



open scholar
openscholar.org.uk

Open Peer Review Module for Open Access Repositories

Madrid, 27 April 2016

#OPRM2016



open scholar
openscholar.org.uk



135 Members
19 Countries

<http://www.openscholar.org.uk>

@os_soc


@ppandelis

perakakis@openscholar.org.uk



What's the problem with the current system?

scholarly communication



<http://www.openscholar.org.uk/blog>



What's the problem with the current system?

Validation

What we need:

- ✓ Many reviewers
- ✓ Experts in the field(s)
- ✓ Open reviews
- ✓ Transparent dialogue
- ✓ Reviewer recognition

What we have:

- ✓ 2-3 reviewers
- ✓ Anonymous
- ✓ Non-public reviews
- ✓ Editorial decision
- ✓ System of favours



What's the problem with the current system?

Evaluation

What we need:

- ✓ Multi-parametric indexes
- ✓ Overall assessment
- ✓ Dynamic assessment


What we have:

- ✓ Single index
- ✓ Mostly impact
- ✓ Static assessment

Natural selection of academic papers

Pandelis Perakakis · Michael Taylor ·
Marco Mazza · Varvara Trachana

Scientometrics (2010) 85:553–559
DOI 10.1007/s11192-010-0253-1



http://www.openscholar.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/nsap_perakakis_2010.pdf



open scholar
openscholar.org.uk

OPEN PEER REVIEW module are you an open reviewer?



open scholar



OpenAIRE
Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe



ARVO CONSULTORES
digital repositories





open scholar
openscholar.org.uk

OPEN PEER REVIEW module are you an open reviewer?



open scholar



Quality Evaluation & Information Retrieval



OpenAIRE

Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe



ARVO CONSULTORES
digital repositories



DIGITAL.CSIC

OPEN SCIENCE



Confederation of Open Access Repositories