

1 **TITLE:**

2 **Factors Influencing Gastrointestinal Tract and Microbiota Immune Interaction in**
3 **Preterm Infants.**

4 **AUTHORS:**

5 María Carmen Collado¹, María Cernada^{2,3}, Josef Neu⁴, Gaspar Pérez-Martínez¹, María
6 Gormaz³, Máximo Vento^{2,3}

7 **AFFILIATION**

8 ¹Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos; Consejo Superior de
9 Investigaciones Científicas (IATA-CSIC); Valencia; Spain; ² Health Research Institute
10 (Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria) La Fe; Valencia; Spain; ³ Division of Neonatology,
11 University and Polytechnic Hospital; Valencia, Spain. ⁴ Division of Neonatology,
12 Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, FL, USA.

13 **RUNNING TITLE:** Preterm microbiota

14 **FINANCIAL SUPPORT:** M Cernada MD acknowledges a “Rio Hortega” Research
15 Fellowship Grant (CM13/0017) and M Vento acknowledges grants PI11/0313 and
16 RD12/0026/0012 (Red SAMID) from the Instituto Carlos III (Spanish Ministry of
17 Economy and Competitiveness). MC Collado and G Pérez-Martínez were supported by the
18 grant AGL2013-47420-R from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

19

20 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:** Máximo Vento MD PhD; Professor of Pediatrics

21 Director of the Neonatal Research Group (Grupo de Investigación en Perinatología);
22 University and Polytechnic Hospital La Fe; Av. Fernando Abril Martorell 106; 46026
23 Valencia; Spain

24 Email: maximo.vento@uv.es ; Phone: +34 961245688 / 86.

25

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 The role of microbial colonization is indispensable for keeping a balanced immune
3 response in life. However, the events that regulate the establishment of the microbiota,
4 their timing, and the way in which they interact with the host are not yet fully
5 understood. Factors such as gestational age, mode of delivery, environment, hygienic
6 measures and diet influence the establishment of microbiota in the perinatal period.
7 Environmental microbes constitute the most important group of exogenous stimuli in
8 this critical time frame. However, the settlement of a stable gut microbiota in preterm
9 infants is delayed compared to term infants. Preterm infants have an immature
10 gastrointestinal tract and immune system which predisposes to infectious morbidity.
11 Neonatal microbial dynamics and alterations in early gut microbiota may precede and/or
12 predispose to diseases such as necrotizing enterocolitis, late-onset sepsis or others.
13 During this critical period, nutrition is the principal contributor for immunological and
14 metabolic development, and microbiological programming. Breast milk is a known
15 source of molecules that act synergistically to protect the gut barrier and enhance the
16 maturation of the gut-related immune response. Host-microbe interactions in preterm
17 infants and the protective role of diet focused on breast milk impact are beginning to be
18 unveiled.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 **Introduction**

2 Current evidence supports the role of microbiota in promoting and maintaining a
3 balanced immune response and the establishment of the gut barrier in the immediate
4 postnatal life. The human body harbors a dynamic and complex microbial population,
5 which includes around 500-1000 different species. In the perinatal period neonates are
6 exposed not only to a vast microbial diversity but also to a variety of organisms such as
7 viruses, fungi and parasites. After weaning, the infant's gut is colonized by a rapidly
8 diversifying microbiota that leads to an adult-like pattern of intestinal flora. The
9 composition of the neonatal microbiota is influenced by numerous perinatal factors such
10 as mode of delivery, environment, hygienic measures, antibiotic treatment and
11 breastfeeding practices (1). Adverse circumstances affecting the establishment of a
12 normal microbiota may result in failure in the development of a balanced immune
13 response but also have a significant impact on the intestinal mucosal barrier function
14 and intestinal maturation. Furthermore, these disturbances may predispose to specific
15 diseases later in life. Early host-microbe interactions may provide a signal for immune
16 system development and maturation (2).

17 The "Early programming" theory is based upon the fact that environmental exposures
18 including nutritional during the perinatal period can induce permanent changes in many
19 physiological processes. Postnatal diet mainly breastfeeding practices are therefore
20 critical for the ongoing developmental maturation of many organ systems and optimal
21 physiological functions. The effects of early programming extend into adulthood and are
22 linked to the risk of acquiring specific diseases in adult life (3-5).

23 **Prematurity and microbiota**

24 In the last decades, mortality of very preterm infants has been substantially reduced.
25 Improvement in the survival rates has been attributed to a series of factors such as

1 regionalization, generalization of antenatal steroids, new modalities of mechanical
2 ventilation, and the use of surfactant replacement therapy (6). However, this has not
3 always been paralleled by a simultaneous decrease in morbidity. The prevalence of
4 serious complications such as retinopathy of prematurity, bronchopulmonary dysplasia
5 or periventricular leukomalacia has remained practically unchanged especially in the
6 very preterm infants (<32 weeks' gestation) (7). Of note, mortality as a consequence of
7 neonatal septicemia has been reported to be around 18% of all neonatal deaths.
8 Interestingly, approximately 50% of these deaths occur in the first week of life, and the
9 remaining 50% are nosocomial infections acquired during prolonged hospitalization (8).

10 The role of microbiota in preterm birth and the consequences of prematurity upon
11 postnatal microbiome development are emerging fields of discussion. The exact
12 mechanisms responsible for preterm birth are multifactorial and yet not completely
13 unveiled (table 1). However, the link between infection and prematurity has been well
14 established. In addition to the traditionally accepted ascending infection from the lower
15 genital tract recent research suggests that other forms of bacterial spreading could be
16 responsible for preterm delivery (9). Interestingly, studies by Madianos et al (10) and
17 Aargard et al (11) have shown resemblances between fetal and neonatal bacterial
18 colonization and maternal oral cavity and placental flora strongly suggesting a
19 hematogenous dissemination in the perinatal period.

20 The interaction of preterm infants' altered gut microbiota with an immature
21 immunologic intestinal response triggers pro-inflammatory and counter-inflammatory
22 cytokine response (12). These factors are extremely important for preterm infants
23 because they influence the pattern of bacterial gut colonization, which is different to that
24 in the healthy full-term infant (figure 1). Briefly, preterm infants showed retarded
25 *Bifidobacterium*- microbiota colonization, a high prevalence of *Staphylococcaceae*,

1 *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Enterococcaceae* and other lactic acid bacteria as the genus
2 *Lactobacillus* and *Weissella* in a low-diversity bacterial ecosystem (13, 14, 15). In
3 preterm birth a negative correlation with gestational age and a tendency toward a higher
4 inflammatory response has been associated with higher presence of *Enterobacter*,
5 *Enterococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Photobacterium*, and *Tannerella* spp. (17). Microbial
6 colonization of meconium and feces of preterm infants analyzed during the first months
7 of life using traditional culture-based methods and molecular techniques to analyze
8 bacterial diversity revealed that Firmicutes was the predominant phylum in meconium
9 while Proteobacteria was present in neonatal fecal samples. Interestingly, the
10 Proteobacteria phylum may increase the inflammatory response in the preterm intestine,
11 and it has been speculated that exposure to low quantities of lipopolysaccharides (LPS),
12 flagellin or other Gram negative substances may interact with the intestinal Toll Like
13 Receptors (TLR) and confer “tolerance” to further inflammatory stimuli (18). In a
14 recent study, it was shown that early Proteobacteria colonization might cause an
15 exacerbated immune response, which may impact on the intestinal barrier enhancing
16 bacterial translocation and the risk to develop sepsis, NEC and other inflammatory
17 problems (19, 20, 21). The concept of bacterial translocation and gut-originated sepsis
18 and NEC as a cause of systemic infectious complications has emerged over the last
19 years, although the exact clinical relevance of these phenomena continues to be debated
20 (21).

21 **Gastrointestinal tract immune system and microbiome interaction in preterm** 22 **infants**

23 At birth, the adaptive immune system is quite rudimentary and untrained. Hence,
24 normal term newborns highly rely on trans-placental passage of mother’s IgG during
25 the last three months of gestation for control of pathogens showing a remarkable

1 activation of T cells (22, 23). Furthermore, the immune response to gut pathogens in
2 term newborn infants still requires protein components from mother's milk to efficiently
3 fulfill TLR binding and signal transduction (24). Innate immune functions in the fetus
4 are ontologically regulated through gestation; hence, developmental events may give
5 rise to differences in the innate immune response of the neonate, and particularly in
6 premature newborns, putting them at high risk of developing severe infections. A high
7 proportion of Th1-polarizing cytokines during pregnancy has been related to a high
8 probability of abortion (25). Therefore, in the fetus, expression of Th2 cytokines may be
9 the strategy to avoid negative reactions. However, premature birth renders the newborn
10 more susceptible to infections (22).

11 Nevertheless, preterm infants have a substantially reduced trans-placental transfer of
12 maternal antibodies and an immature innate immune system. Both these circumstances
13 facilitate the preterm to acquire infections (23, 24). Shorter gestational duration is
14 associated with reduced proportions of peripheral blood lymphocytes (26), pro-
15 inflammatory cytokine response and secretion of antibacterial peptides, smaller counts
16 of phagocytic peripheral monocytes and reduced leukocyte endothelial transmigration
17 (27, 28). The reduced synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 β , IL-6,
18 TNF- α , etc., in response to bacterial endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) binding to
19 TLR4 does not depend on the low expression of TLR4 and CD14 but to a yet unknown
20 factor downstream in the signal transduction pathway (29). Transmigration of
21 neutrophils in innate immunity is an essential process for immune cell recruitment
22 towards inflamed tissues. It is a highly regulated process that involves a series of
23 adhesion steps to specific molecules comprising an initial "rolling" of neutrophils on the
24 endothelial surface, followed by firm adhesion, and finally transmigration and exit from
25 the blood vessel. Therefore, this process is favored by Th1-polarizing cytokines (pro-

1 inflammatory); however, the fetus follows a Th2-polarization strategy that affects the
2 efficiency of transmigration (22). Finally, there is a likely reduction in phagocytic
3 activity by monocytes, with respect to term newborns (26, 30), but also of gut dendritic
4 cells (31). These cells ultimately eliminate bacteria and therefore constitute an essential
5 component of the innate immune system; however, they also intervene in the process of
6 antigen presentation, which constitutes the initial step in the adaptive immune response.
7 Abnormal patterns of colonizing gut bacteria in infants with an immature host innate
8 and adaptive immune system will accelerate the advent of infectious diseases,
9 particularly when catheters and enteric feeding facilitate pathogenic invasion. The
10 remarkable importance of maintenance of immune homeostasis in preterm infants
11 derives from the fact that, although clinical and pharmacological approaches have
12 decreased mortality of infected preterm infants, these processes cause a high pro-
13 inflammatory and pro-oxidant stress that inevitably leads to irreversible damage to vital
14 organs, including brain and intestine that often results in neurodevelopment impairment
15 (32) and gut bacteria over-reactivity that may lead to IBD (33).

16 **Sepsis and microbiome**

17 Sepsis remains one of the most common causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality in
18 the preterm population (8, 34). Early-onset sepsis occurs in 1.5 to 1.9% of very low
19 birth weight (VLBW) and late-onset sepsis (LOS) in 20%, with mortality altogether
20 approaching 18% (8) and a higher risk of cerebral palsy in preterm infants (33). Gram-
21 positive organisms are the most prevalent microbes in LOS, and among them
22 Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CONS) are the most common microbes followed
23 by specific gram-negative bacteria (8). However, it has been shown that a dysbiosis in
24 preterm microbiota composition but not an increased prevalence of potential pathogens
25 is associated with sepsis (19). Thus, the presence of *Enterobacter* and *Staphylococcus*

1 spp. has been associated with NEC and sepsis, respectively (34). It has been also
2 described that lower bacterial diversity present in meconium samples from preterm
3 infants is related to higher risk of sepsis (35). Our results in very low birth weight
4 (VLBW) preterm twins showed higher levels of *Enterobacteriaceae* family and lower
5 levels of *Bifidobacterium* spp in the sepsis neonates as those observed in their healthy
6 twin controls. By pyrosequencing, we also found a high presence of Proteobacteria
7 phylum (*Enterobacteriaceae* family) in septic infants. Principal Coordinate Analysis
8 (PCoA) showed differences between sepsis and control groups although microbial
9 profiles were twin-pair and neonate-dependent.

10 **Necrotizing enterocolitis and microbiome**

11 Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) has become the one of the most severe and dreaded
12 diseases seen in neonatal intensive care (36). In North America, it affects approximately
13 7% of babies weighing between 500-1500 grams and approximately 20 to 30% of these
14 babies die of this disease (37). Sequels are not limited to the gastrointestinal system,
15 where short gut is a common problem, but severe neurodevelopmental delays are
16 commonly seen especially after NEC that requires surgery (38). The initiation of early
17 enteral feeding in preterm infants favors an earlier achievement of full enteral feeding
18 and does not increase the risk of NEC; however, the optimal advance of enteral feedings
19 remains a debatable question that ongoing studies intend to clarify (39).

20 The pathophysiology of the most common form of this disease seen in preterm infants
21 has remained elusive; however, several factors predisposing to the development of this
22 disease have been identified. Functional immaturity of the gut likely plays a role (36).
23 The fact that the disease often occurs in clusters has suggested a microbial etiology. As
24 of yet, no single microbe has been found that is causative, but an inappropriate
25 colonization prior to the development of the disease has been suggested (40).

1 Studies of the microbial ecology prior to the development of NEC using sequencing
2 technology show differences in those babies who develop the disease versus matched
3 controls (40, 41). Preliminary studies on fecal microbiota from unaffected preterm
4 infants and from infants with NEC both prior to and during NEC showed an association
5 between intestinal microbial species and NEC. A matched analysis of predominant
6 phyla prior to the development of the disease shows that Proteobacteria or the relative
7 proportion of Proteobacteria with the other phyla may be involved. The Proteobacteria
8 phylum contains numerous gram-negative genera such as *Klebsiella* and *Escherichia*
9 *coli*, which may be pathogenic (42, 43).

10 Promising approaches to prevent NEC are directed towards the inhibition of TLR4. In
11 experimental models the molecule C34, a 2-acetamidopyranoside (MW389) (44) and
12 amniotic fluid (45) have reduced the incidence of experimental NEC. Epithelial Growth
13 Factor (EGF) (46) and hepatocyte growth factors (HGF) (47) were found to be the
14 mediators of amniotic fluid derived protection. An improved understanding of the
15 factors influencing the development of the microbiota or that modulate its composition
16 may offer new strategies, tools, and opportunities for preterm interventions that reduce
17 the risk of specific diseases as NEC.

18 **Potential tools to modulate preterm microbiome**

19 Among the different strategies employed to reduce the incidence and/or severity of NEC
20 and prevent LOS in preterm infants, the use of human breast milk (HM) and/or
21 supplementation with pro-and/or-prebiotics have rendered effective results (48, 49, 50).

22 In the following paragraphs we will expand on these strategies.

23 i) *Human Breast milk as gold standard diet for preterm*

24 HM is considered the gold standard for infant nutrition and constitutes the main
25 postnatal link between mother and infant. HM contains bioactive components that

1 directly influence the developing infant and shape the development of the intestinal
2 microbiota. Beyond the nutritional composition, it contains several immune-related
3 substances such as regulatory cytokines and growth factors, which are considered
4 protective and stimulate the immune system with a positive impact on health. In
5 addition, it contains other active non-specific factors, such as lysozyme, lactoferrin,
6 oligosaccharides and also, microorganisms, which also contribute to enhance anti-
7 infective and immune-modulatory properties (51). The implication of HM in both
8 prevention and treatment of NEC has long been recognized; however the specific
9 compounds responsible for these beneficial effects are yet unknown. Hence, several
10 recent studies have reported that lactoferrin might be able to minimize LOS and also
11 NEC (49, 52). The ability of preterm infants to respond to pathogens, as the reported
12 incidence of NEC and LOS indicates, inversely correlates to the gestational age (53),
13 and enteral formula diets when coupled to parenteral nutrition predispose to NEC, while
14 progressive nutrition with colostrum and mother's milk show a protective effect (50).
15 Perhaps the increased amount of polyamines in breast milk known to have a protective
16 effect on beneficial microbiota constitutes a natural contribution of mothers that give
17 birth preterm (54, 55). Another interesting source of protection is related to the
18 immaturity of the antioxidant defense system of preterm infants (55). Oxidative stress-
19 derived free radicals are relevant contributing factors to a generalized inflammatory
20 response, which sets the basis for organ/system damage (56, 57). Interestingly, it has
21 been shown that feeding with preterm human milk is protective against hydroxyl radical
22 aggression as compared to formula feeding. Hence, preterm babies fed own mother's
23 milk eliminated significantly less biomarkers of oxidative damage to proteins and DNA
24 in the urine as compared to paired formula fed preterm infants (58).

1 Fresh own mother's milk provided directly from the breast is the gold standard as all its
2 biologically active components are preserved. Preterm infants require tube feeding and
3 are either fed fresh expressed, frozen, and sometimes pasteurized donor human milk.
4 Milk storage and processing affects bioactive compounds, but still donor pasteurized
5 human milk appears to provide protection from NEC when compared to formula (59,
6 60).

7 ii) Microbes to modulate preterm microbial composition

8 Preterm infants are endowed with lower microbial diversity compared to term neonates.
9 The use of probiotics could modulate towards a similar microbial community to those
10 observed in term infant or adult gastrointestinal microbiome and ameliorate microbiome
11 status of preterm infants. (61). Studies that promote exogenous supplementation with
12 probiotics to preterm infants are based on the hypothesis that microbiota of preterm
13 infants can be modulated by exogenous bacteria which results in an improvement in
14 clinical outcomes. Probiotic bacteria are able to exert beneficial activity on intestinal
15 epithelial cells, microbiota modulation and immune system response through different
16 mechanisms of action which include: i) competitive exclusion, inhibition and
17 displacement of potential pathogenic organisms adhesion and also, nutrient competition;
18 ii) production of antimicrobial compounds; iii) improvement of barrier function; iv)
19 modulation of immune response; and v) reduction of inflammation by interacting of
20 NF- κ B pathways (62).

21 The prevention of NEC in extremely low birth weight (ELBW) infants derived from the
22 prophylactic use of probiotics has not yet been clearly established. In the most recent
23 Cochrane review and meta-analysis on the prevention of NEC using prophylactic
24 probiotics a total of 24 randomized and quasi-randomized studies were included.
25 Prevention with probiotics, which contained either *Lactobacillus spp* alone or in

1 combination with *Bifidobacterium spp* was analyzed. Results of the meta-analysis
2 suggested that probiotic supplementation may have some positive effects in relation
3 with overall mortality and NEC, but did not influence the incidence of nosocomial
4 sepsis. In addition, no side effects due to probiotic treatment were reported (48).
5 However, it should be underscored that most of the studies included in this meta-
6 analysis were not blinded, had a high variability in the enrolment criteria, in the feeding
7 regimes, in the probiotic composition and dosing, and in the basal incidence of NEC
8 and treatment regimes. Moreover, ELBW infants were underrepresented or not
9 beneficial effects found in this specific population that represents the highest at-risk
10 patients for NEC and/or septicemia. At present there insufficient evidence to
11 recommend routine prophylactic use of probiotics to prevent NEC in ELBW infants.
12 Moreover, there are still many unanswered questions regarding the use of probiotics in
13 the clinical setting before its use gets generalized. Hence, identification of the
14 appropriate probiotic-strain, rigorous quality control of manufacturing of this probiotic
15 as a pharmaceutical agent rather than a food, dose, timing and length of treatment, effect
16 on highest risk population or in exclusively breast fed infants, remain unanswered.
17 Potential long-term consequences such as modification of host gene expression,
18 influence on ongoing bacterial colonization, immune-modulation or antibiotic resistance
19 have not been explored. Under these circumstances it has been recently proposed the
20 need for a high quality NEC prevention clinical trial using probiotics in at-risk ELBW
21 infants fulfilling the stringent guidelines of the International Conference of
22 Harmonisation for Good Clinical Practice (63).
23 Interestingly, inactivated probiotics may also play a role modulating excessive
24 inflammatory stimuli. Thus, preterm infants treated with inactivated probiotics showed
25 a decreased incidence of NEC as compared to the control babies (64)

1 Further large clinical trials are required to answer these issues and to support and push
2 the development of new probiotic products, which would benefit preterm population.

3

4 *iii) Prebiotics*

5 Prebiotics are non-digestible food ingredients such as oligosaccharides that are
6 beneficial because they selectively stimulate the growth and/or biologic activities of
7 intestinal bacteria in the colon thus contributing to ameliorate the host's health status.
8 Prebiotics promote the growth of non-pathogenic organisms such as bifidobacteria.
9 Only few studies have been performed in the clinical setting. The most recent updated
10 review and meta-analysis which included a total of 7 studies and 417 preterm babies
11 enrolled, concluded that the use of oligosaccharides enhanced beneficial bacterial
12 growth but did not reduce the incidence of NEC, LOS, or modify time to achievement
13 of full enteral feedings (64).

14 **Conclusions**

15 To conclude, perinatal and early postnatal time represent the most critical periods for
16 the establishment of the microbiota, which exerts a key role in the establishment of the
17 gut barrier and as an immune-modulator. Numerous perinatal factors influence neonatal
18 microbiota such as mode of delivery, environment, hygienic measures, antibiotic use
19 and breastfeeding practices. Preterm infants altered gut microbiota interaction with an
20 immature immunologic intestinal response triggers pro-inflammatory and counter-
21 inflammatory cytokine response. Breast milk is the gold standard for infant nutrition
22 and influences the development of intestinal microbiota and immune system through its
23 bioactive components. Probiotics may be promising in the prevention of NEC in certain
24 populations of preterm infants. Future research should aim to explore appropriate
25 treatment regimes, strain-specific effects on sub-selected populations and long term

1 effects of probiotic administration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **References**

- 2 1. Collado MC, Cernada M, Bäuerl C et al. Microbial ecology and host-microbiota
3 interactions during early life stages. *Gut Microbes* 2012;3:352-65.
- 4 2. Hollister EB, Gao C, Versalovic J. Compositional and functional features of
5 gastrointestinal microbiome and their effects on human health.
6 *Gastroenterology* 2014;146:1449-1458.
- 7 3. Canani RB, Costanzo MD, Leone L, et al. Epigenetic mechanisms elicited by
8 nutrition in early life. *Nutr Res Rev* 2011;24:198-205..
- 9 4. Nauta AJ, Ben Amor K, Knol J et al. Relevance of pre- and postnatal nutrition
10 to development and interplay between the microbiota and metabolic and
11 immune systems. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013;98:586S-93S.
- 12 5. Madan JC, Farzan SF, Hibberd PL, et al. Normal neonatal microbiome
13 variation in relation to environmental factors, infection and allergy. *Curr Opin*
14 *Pediatr* 2012;24:753-9
- 15 6. Vento M, Moro M, Escrig R et al. Preterm resuscitation with low oxygen
16 causes less oxidative stress, inflammation, and chronic lung disease. *Pediatrics*
17 2009; 124:e439-49.
- 18 7. Fanaroff AA, Stoll BJ, Wright LL et al. Trends in neonatal morbidity and
19 mortality for very low birth weight infants. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
20 2007;196:147.e1-e8.
- 21 8. Stoll BJ, Hansen N, Fanaroff AA, et al. Late-onset sepsis in very low birth
22 weight neonates: the experience of the NICHD Neonatal Research Network.
23 *Pediatrics* 2002;110:285-291.
- 24 9. Muglia LJ, Katz M. The enigma of spontaneous preterm birth. *N Engl J Med*
25 2010; 362: 529–535.

- 1 10. Madianos PN, Bobetsis YA, Offenbacher S. Adverse pregnancy outcomes
2 (APOs) and periodontal disease: pathogenic mechanisms. *J. Periodontol* 2013;
3 84: S170–S180.
- 4 11. Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM et al. J. The placenta harbors a unique
5 microbiome. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6:237.ra65
- 6 12. Torrazza, RM. and Neu J. The Altered Gut Microbiome and Necrotizing
7 Enterocolitis *Clin Perinatol* 2013;40:93–108.
- 8 13. Jacquot A, Neveu D, Aujoulat F et al. Dynamics and clinical evolution of
9 bacterial gut microflora in extremely premature patients. *J Pediatr*
10 2011;158:390-6.
- 11 14. Arboleya S, Binetti A, Salazar N et al. Establishment and development of
12 intestinal microbiota in preterm neonates. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2012; 79:763-
13 72.
- 14 15. Arboleya S, Solís G, Fernández N et al. Facultative to strict anaerobes ratio in
15 the preterm infant microbiota: a target for intervention? *Gut Microbes*
16 2012;3:583-8.
- 17 16. LaTuga MS, Ellis JC, Cotton CM et al. Beyond bacteria: a study of the enteric
18 microbial consortium in extremely low birth weight infants. *PLoS One*
19 2011;6:e27858.
- 20 17. Ardisson AN, de la Cruz DM, Davis-Richardson AG et al. Meconium
21 microbiome analysis identifies bacteria correlated with premature birth. *PLoS*
22 *One* 2014;9:e9078.
- 23 18. Moles L, Gómez M, Heilig H et al. Bacterial Diversity in Meconium of Preterm
24 Neonates and Evolution of Their Fecal Microbiota during the First Month of
25 Life. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e66986.

- 1 19. Mai V, Torrazza RM, Ukhanova M et al. Distortions in development of
2 intestinal microbiota associated with late onset sepsis in preterm infants. PLoS
3 One. 2013;8:e52876.
- 4 20. Sherman MP. New concepts of microbial translocation in the neonatal intestine:
5 mechanisms and prevention. Clin Perinatol 2010; 37:565-79.
- 6 21. Deitch EA. Gut-origin sepsis: evolution of a concept. Surgeon 2012;10:350-6.
- 7 22. Nussbaum, C. and M. Sperandio. Innate immune cell recruitment in the fetus
8 and neonate. J Reprod Immunol 2011;90:74-81.
- 9 23. Luciano, A. A., H. Yu, L. W. Jackson et al. Preterm Labor and Chorioamnionitis
10 Are Associated with Neonatal T Cell Activation. PLoS ONE 2011;6:e16698.
- 11 24. Goldman AS. The immune system in human milk and the developing infant.
12 Breastfeed Med 2007;2:195-204.
- 13 25. Makhseed, M., R. Raghupathy, F. Azizieh et al. Th1 and Th2 cytokine profiles
14 in recurrent aborters with successful pregnancy and with subsequent abortions.
15 Human Reproduction 2001;16: 2219-2226.
- 16 26. Milcic TL. The complete blood count. Neonatal Netw 2010; 29: 109–115.
- 17 27. Sharma AA, Jen R, Butler A et al. The developing human preterm neonatal
18 immune system: a case for more research in this area. Clin Immunol
19 2012;145:61-68.
- 20 28. Strunk T, Currie A, Richmond P et al. Innate immunity in human newborn
21 infants: prematurity means more than immaturity. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med
22 2011;24:25-31.
- 23 29. Strunk T, Prosser A, Levy O et al. Human monocyte responsiveness to the
24 commensal bacterium *Staphylococcus epidermidis* develops late in gestation.
25 Pediatr Res 2012;72:10–18.

- 1 30. Walker JC, Smolders MA, Gemen EF et al. Development of lymphocyte
2 subpopulations in preterm infants. *Scand J Immunol* 2011;73:53–58.
- 3 31. Holloway JA, Thornton CA, Diaper ND, Howe DT, Warner JO. Phenotypic
4 analysis of circulating dendritic cells during the second half of human gestation.
5 *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2009; 20: 119–125.
- 6 32. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Adams-Chapman I et al. Neurodevelopmental and growth
7 impairment among extremely low-birth-weight infants with neonatal infection.
8 *JAMA* 2004;292:2357–2365.
- 9 33. Harpavat, S., M. Pammi and M. Gilger. Novel treatments for NEC: keeping
10 IBD in mind. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2012; 14(5): 373-379.
- 11 34. Stewart CJ, Marrs EC, Magorrian S et al. The preterm gut microbiota: changes
12 associated with necrotizing enterocolitis and infection. *Acta Paediatr* 2012;
13 101:1121-7.
- 14 35. Madan JC, Salari RC, Saxena D et al. Gut microbial colonisation in premature
15 neonates predicts neonatal sepsis. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2012; 97:
16 F456–462.
- 17 36. Neu J. Necrotizing enterocolitis. *World Rev Nutr Diet* 2014;110:253-63.
- 18 37. Holman RC, Stoll BJ, Curns AT et al. Necrotising enterocolitis hospitalisations
19 among neonates in the United States. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2006; 20: 498-
20 506.
- 21 38. Martin CR, Dammann O, Allred EN et al. Neurodevelopment of extremely
22 preterm infants who had necrotizing enterocolitis with or without late
23 bacteremia. *J Pediatr* 2010;157:751-756.
- 24 39. Leaf A. Introducing feeds in the high risk preterm infant. *Semin Fetal Neonatal*
25 *Med* 2013; e-pub ahead of print 2 May 2013.

- 1 40. Claud EC & Walker WA. Hypothesis: inappropriate colonization of the
2 premature intestine can cause neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. *Faseb J* 2001;
3 15:1398-1403.
- 4 41. Torrazza RM, Ukhanova M, Wang X et al. Intestinal microbial ecology and
5 environmental factors affecting necrotizing enterocolitis. *PLoS One* 2013;8:
6 e83304.
- 7 42. Stewart CJ, Marrs EC, Nelson A et al. Development of the preterm gut
8 microbiome in twins at risk of necrotising enterocolitis and sepsis. *PLoS One*
9 2013; 8:e73465.
- 10 43. Morrow AL, Lagomarcino AJ, Schibler KR et al. Early microbial and
11 metabolomic signatures predict later onset of necrotizing enterocolitis in
12 preterm infants. *Microbiome* 2013;1:13.
- 13 44. Neal MD, Jia H, Eyer B, et al. Discovery and validation of a new class of small
14 molecule Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) inhibitors. *PloS one*. 2013; 8:e65779.
- 15 45. Good M, Siggers RH, Sodhi CP, et al. Amniotic fluid inhibits Toll-like receptor
16 4 signaling in the fetal and neonatal intestinal epithelium. *PNAS*
17 2012;109:11330–11335.
- 18 46. Siggers J, Ostergaard MV, Siggers RH, et al. Postnatal amniotic fluid intake
19 reduces gut inflammatory responses and necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm
20 neonates. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. 2013;304:G864-75.
- 21 47. Jain SK, Baggerman EW, Mohankumar K, et al. Amniotic Fluid-borne
22 Hepatocyte Growth Factor Protects Rat Pups against Experimental Necrotizing
23 Enterocolitis. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. 2014;306:G361-9.

- 1 48. AlFaleh K1, Anabrees J. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014 Apr
2 10;4:CD005496. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005496.pub4. Probiotics for
3 prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants.
- 4 49. Manzoni P, Meyer M, Stolfi I et al. Bovine lactoferrin supplementation for
5 prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis in very-low-birth-weight neonates: a
6 randomized clinical trial. *Early Hum Dev* 2014; 90:S60-5.
- 7 50. Cristofalo EA, Schanler RJ, Blanco CL et al. Randomized trial of exclusive
8 human milk versus preterm formula diets in extremely premature infants. *J*
9 *Pediatr* 2013; 163:1592-1595.
- 10 51. Walker A. Breast milk as the gold standard for protective nutrients. *J Pediatr*
11 2010;156:S3-7.
- 12 52. Akin IM, Atasay B, Dogu F et al. Oral Lactoferrin to Prevent Nosocomial
13 Sepsis and Necrotizing Enterocolitis of Premature Neonates and Effect on T-
14 Regulatory Cells. *Am J Perinatol* 2014; e-pub ahead of print 16 May 2014.
- 15 53. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm
16 infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. *Pediatrics* 2010;
17 126:443–456.
- 18 54. Plaza-Zamora J, Sabater-Molina M, Rodríguez-Palmero M et al. Polyamines in
19 human breast milk for preterm and term infants. *Br J Nutr* 2013; 110: 524-528.
- 20 55. Gómez-Gallego C, Collado MC, Pérez G et al. Resembling breast milk:
21 influence of polyamine-supplemented formula on neonatal BALB/cOlaHsd
22 mouse microbiota. *Br J Nutr* 2014;111:1050-8.
- 23 56. Vento M, Aguar M, Escobar J et al. Antenatal steroids and antioxidant enzyme
24 activity in preterm infants: influence of gender and timing. *Antiox Redox*
25 *Signal* 2009;11:2945-55.

- 1 57. Vento M. Oxygen supplementation in the neonatal period: changing the
2 paradigm. *Neonatology*. 2014;105:323-31.
- 3 58. Ledo A, Arduini A, Asensi MA et al. Human milk enhances antioxidant
4 defenses against hydroxyl radical aggression in preterm infants. *Am J Clin Nutr*
5 2009;89:210-5.
- 6 59. Chang JC, Chen CH, Fang LJ et al. Influence of prolonged storage process,
7 pasteurization, and heat treatment on biologically-active human milk proteins.
8 *Pediatr Neonatol*. 2013;54:360-6.
- 9 60. Quigley M, McGuire W. Formula versus donor breast milk for feeding preterm
10 or low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 22:CD002971.
- 11 61. Neu J, Caicedo R. Probiotics: protecting the intestinal ecosystem? *J Pediatr*
12 2005;147:143-6.
- 13 62. Collado MC, Isolauri E, Salminen S et al. The impact of probiotic on gut health.
14 *Curr Drug Metab* 2009;10: 68-78.
- 15 63. Abrahamsson TR, Rautava S, Moore AM et al. The time for a confirmative
16 necrotizing enterocolitis probiotics prevention trial in the extremely low birth
17 weight infant in North America is now! *J Pediatr* 2014;165:389-394.
- 18 64. Awad H, Mokhtar H, Imam SS et al. Comparison between killed and living
19 probiotic usage versus placebo for the prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis
20 and sepsis in neonates. *Pak J Biol Sci* 2010;13:253-262.
- 21 65. Srinivasjois R, Rao S, Patole S. Prebiotic supplementation in preterm neonates:
22 updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials.
23 *Clin Nutr*. 2013;32:958-65.
- 24
25

1 **Legend to Figure 1.**

2 Part A, represents perinatal factors influencing gut microbiota composition in
3 term and preterm infants (INTERVENTION TERM/PRETERM). Part B, shows
4 the influences of perinatal factors upon microbiome composition in term and
5 preterm infants. In preterm infants, antibiotic treatment, reduce utilization of
6 human milk and prolonged hospitalization prompt the development of specific
7 microbial strains that cause bacterial translocation, inflammation and oxidative
8 stress thus contributing to the development of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC)
9 and/or late onset sepsis (LOS).

10

Table 1. Contributing causes to preterm delivery extracted from Muglia LJ & Katz M (reference #9)

Maternal conditions

- Preeclampsia
- Fetal distress

Preterm C-section

Reproductive assisted techniques

- Multiple gestations
- Older maternal age

Surgery performed in the mother

Social stress and race

- Low maternal age,
- Limited maternal education
- Poverty
- Unmarried status

Infection and inflammation

- Chorioamnionitis
- Inflammation of membranes

Genetic and epigenetic (environmental) factors

(A) INTERVENTION TERM/PRETERM

(B) MICROBIOME IMPACT

Breastmilk vs. Formula

↑ *Bifidobacterium* spp

↓ Enterobacteriaceae

Vaginal vs. C-section

↑ *Lactobacillus*, *Prevotella* & *Sneathia*
(maternal vaginal and intestinal microbiota)

↓ *Staphylococcus*, *Propionibacterium* and
Corynebacterium (skin and oral microbiota,
environmental bacteria)

Delayed *Bacteroides* colonization

Antibiotic treatment
Reduced utilization of human milk
Prolonged hospitalization

↑ Proteobacteria and Firmicutes

↑ Enterobacteriaceae (*E. coli* & *Klebsiella* spp.)

↑ *Staphylococcus*, *Propionibacterium* &
Corynebacterium

TERM

PRETERM

↑ Bacterial translocation

↑ Inflammation

↑ Oxidative stress



↑ **NEC / LOS**