

*Citation for published version:*

Navin, K, Subohi, O, Ball, R & Kurchania, R 2021, 'Role of Fe ( $x=0-0.15$ ) Substitution on Structural, Magnetic, and Transport Properties of the  $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$  System', *ECS Journal of Solid State Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1149/2162-8777/ac10b5>

*DOI:*

[10.1149/2162-8777/ac10b5](https://doi.org/10.1149/2162-8777/ac10b5)

*Publication date:*

2021

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

© Institute of Physics 2021

Navin, K, Subohi, O, Ball, R & Kurchania, R 2021, 'Role of Fe ( $x=0-0.15$ ) Substitution on Structural, Magnetic, and Transport Properties of the  $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$  System', *ECS Journal of Solid State Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1149/2162-8777/ac10b5>

**University of Bath**

## **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

## Role of Fe ( $x=0-0.15$ ) Substitution on Structural, Magnetic, and Transport Properties of the $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ System

To cite this article before publication: Kumar Navin *et al* 2021 *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1149/2162-8777/ac10b5>

### Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2021 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd..

This article can be copied and redistributed on non commercial subject and institutional repositories.

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

**Role of Fe ( $x=0-0.15$ ) Substitution on Structural, Magnetic,  
and Transport Properties of the  $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$   
System**

Journal:	<i>ECS Journal of Solid State Science and Technology</i>
Manuscript ID	JSS-101309.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Jun-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Navin, Kumar; Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Nanoscience and Engineering Center, Department of Physics Subohi, Oroosa; Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Department of Physics Ball, Richard; University of Bath, BRE Centre for Innovative Construction Materials, Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering Kurchania, Rajnish; Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Department of Physics
Keywords:	B-site doping, Nanoscale materials, Transport properties, Magnetoresistance

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Role of Fe (x=0-0.15) Substitution on Structural, Magnetic, and Transport Properties of the $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ System

Kumar Navin,<sup>1</sup> Oroosa Subohi,<sup>2</sup> Richard J. Ball,<sup>3</sup> and Rajnish Kurchania<sup>1, z</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Functional Nanomaterials Laboratory, Department of Physics, Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology (MANIT), Bhopal-462003, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology (VNIT), Nagpur, Maharashtra-440010, India

<sup>3</sup>BRE Centre for Innovative Construction Materials, Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

<sup>z</sup>E-mail: rkurchania@gmail.com

This paper investigates the effect of Fe substitution on the structural, magnetic and transport properties of the  $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Mn}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$  (LSMO) system where x ranged between 0 and 0.15. Samples were prepared using a non-aqueous sol-gel synthesis method. Structural and chemical analysis confirmed the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  substitution at  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  sites without any impurity phase resulted in a small change in structural parameters of the LSMO. The change in magnetic behavior and Curie temperature of the Fe-doped LSMO is explained through competitive exchange interactions developed in the system. The temperature-dependent resistivity demonstrated that the resistivity of the samples increased with Fe concentration due to different conduction mechanisms related to the ferromagnetic-metallic and paramagnetic-insulating regions. The magneto-transport measurement showed significant improvement in the magnetoresistance due to Fe doping at Mn site, which was attributed to enhancement of the spin-glass phase in Fe doped LSMO system. The reduction in magnetoresistance for higher Fe concentration is explained with the help of percolation threshold mechanism.

## 1. Introduction

1  
2  
3 The perovskite manganite ( $ABO_3$ : A=trivalent rare earth, and B=transition metal) is an  
4 important compound with interesting magnetic and transport properties and several promising  
5 applications. Among these systems,  $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$  (LSMO) is one of the most important members  
6 of the perovskite manganite family due to its interesting properties such as colossal  
7 magnetoresistance (CMR), metal-insulator transition, ferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition,  
8 excellent catalytic properties that make it suitable for application in magnetic field sensors,  
9 biomedical devices, EMI shielding, fuel cell technology, and electrochemical charge storage  
10 applications<sup>1-5</sup>. The magnetic and transport behavior of LSMO is explained in terms of the  
11 exchange interaction (double exchange and superexchange) between Mn ions through oxygen  
12 ions<sup>6</sup>. Different methods for improving the magnetic, transport, and catalytic properties of the  
13 LSMO have been reported, including different synthesis methods, varying particle size, strain  
14 engineering, doping at A- and B-sites with other rare-earth and transition metal ions, and tuning  
15 the nature of the grain boundary<sup>7-12</sup>.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Among these methods, doping at A- and B-sites in the LSMO system is an important field  
35 of research because it changes the structure and charge transport mechanism of the system. There  
36 are different reports available on the study of the substitution of  $La^{3+}$  (A-site) with other rare-earth  
37 ions (Y, Sm, Ce, Bi, Gd, and Nd etc.) in addition to the Sr on the magnetic and transport parameters  
38 of LSMO<sup>12-17</sup>. Doping at the A-site directly affects the Mn-O-Mn bond angle which modifies the  
39 magnetic and transport properties. The doping at the A-site results in improvement in  
40 magnetocaloric effect, temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR), and magnetoresistance of the  
41 LSMO<sup>17</sup>. Furthermore, the doping by other transition metals at the B-site is also of interest as it  
42 directly affects the charge transport mechanism involved in LSMO. The substitution of the  
43 transition metal at Mn sites disrupts the  $Mn^{3+}-O^{2-}-Mn^{4+}$  chain leading to the alternation of the  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Mn<sup>3+</sup>/Mn<sup>4+</sup> ratio. Previous research has investigated doping with other transition metals including  
4 Cu, Fe, Ni, Co, and Ru at the Mn site in the LSMO system<sup>11, 18-24</sup>. Substitution at the Mn-site with  
5 transition metals has been reported to have a significant effect on the magnetic and transport  
6 behavior of LSMO<sup>18</sup>. The resistivity of the sample increases with doping at the Mn-site along with  
7 a suppression of the magnetic transition and metal-insulator transition temperature of the system<sup>20</sup>.  
8 Doping at the B-site by Co, Ni and Fe have also been reported to have weakened the magnetic  
9 behavior of LSMO due to a decrease in the double exchange interaction between Mn ions<sup>11, 18, 20</sup>.  
10 The low field magnetoresistance (LFMR) shows significant improvement due to the doping of  
11 other transition metals at the Mn site. The study of the effect of Fe doping at the B-site in LSMO  
12 is particularly important from the fundamental understanding of its properties and applications due  
13 to nearly similar ionic radii and electronic configurations of Fe and Mn [Fe<sup>3+</sup>(t<sub>2g</sub><sup>3</sup>e<sub>g</sub><sup>2</sup>) = 0.645Å,  
14 Mn<sup>3+</sup>(t<sub>2g</sub><sup>3</sup>e<sub>g</sub><sup>1</sup>) = 0.645Å, Mn<sup>4+</sup>( t<sub>2g</sub><sup>3</sup>e<sub>g</sub><sup>0</sup>) = 0.53Å] ions. It has been observed that the  
15 magnetoresistance increases for the lower doping level of Fe at the Mn site, due to the formation  
16 of the spin-glass phase in the system. Therefore, a systematic study of the effect of Fe doping at  
17 the Mn site on the magnetic and transport properties of LSMO becomes essential.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 In this work, Fe-doped LSMO [La<sub>0.7</sub>Sr<sub>0.3</sub>Mn<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; x=0, 0.03, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12, and 0.15]  
40 has been synthesized using the non-aqueous sol-gel method. The structural, magnetic, transport  
41 and magneto-transport behavior of the Fe-doped LSMO have been systematically investigated.  
42 The transport behavior of the La<sub>0.7</sub>Sr<sub>0.3</sub>Mn<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system has been explained with different  
43 conduction processes involved in different temperature regions.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

## 54 2.Experimental details

55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### (a) Synthesis method

$\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$  [ $x=0$  (LSMO), 0.03 (LF3), 0.06 (LF6), 0.09 (LF9), 0.12 (LF12), and 0.15 (LF15)] samples are synthesized using a sol-gel method. Fig.1 shows the flow diagram for synthesis of Fe-doped LSMO samples. The stoichiometric amount of metal acetate precursors was mixed with ethylene glycol and the solution obtained was then refluxed at 120°C for 12 h. The obtained gel was dried at 120°C to remove the solvent. The resulting brown colored powder was ground well and calcined at 600°C to form the Fe-doped LSMO. The powder obtained was then pressed to form a pellet which was subsequently sintered at 800°C for 4 h.

### (b) Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Bruker-D8 Advanced, Cu- $K_\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda=1.54\text{\AA}$ ), Field emission scanning electron microscopy (Zeiss Ultra FESEM), and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM CM 200) was used to structurally analyze the samples. The structural parameters of the XRD pattern were determined by Rietveld refinement by using the FULLPROF computer program<sup>25</sup>. Compositional and chemical analysis of the samples was performed using energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDAX) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The magnetization with temperature (M-T) and isotherm magnetization (M-H) of the samples was measured using SQUID-VSM (Quantum design Inc.). The isotherm magnetization was measured at 10 K and 300 K in a magnetic field of -4 T to 4 T. The temperature-dependent resistivity ( $\rho$ -T) was measured using the four-probe technique in an MPMS system (Quantum design Inc.) in the temperature range of 10 K to 300 K. The magnetoresistance measurement was performed as a function of magnetic field at 10 K and 300 K.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Structural analysis

XRD patterns of the Fe-doped ( $x=0, 0.03, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15$ ) LSMO samples are shown in Fig.2. The peaks of the diffraction patterns are indexed with the rhombohedral structure ( $R\bar{3}c$ , JCPDS-50-0308) which confirms the single-phase nature of the as prepared perovskite samples without any peaks relating to impurities. To clarify the effect of Fe doping on the XRD pattern, the diffraction patterns were enlarged in the range of  $2\theta=32-33.5^\circ$  (Inset of Fig.2). A very small peak shift towards the higher angle side of the diffraction pattern with an increase in the doping concentration of Fe ions is clearly visible, indicating a small change in the structural parameters of the sample due to Fe doping at the Mn site. The structural parameters were obtained from the refinement of the XRD pattern of the Fe-doped LSMO samples. Fig.3 (a) shows the refined XRD data of the LSMO and LF-15 samples and structural parameters are summarized in the Table-1. The crystal structure observed from the structural parameters are shown in Fig.3 (b). It has been reported that the doping of Fe at B-site in LSMO results in the replacement of  $Mn^{3+}$  ion by  $Fe^{3+}$ <sup>20</sup>. Thus, a negligible change in lattice parameter and cell volume is expected because of the similar ionic radii of the  $Mn^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  (0.645Å). However, a small change observed in the lattice parameters of the Fe doped samples is attributed to the formation of magnetic polarons<sup>26</sup>. The magnetic polaronic state results in the change in Mn-O bond length and thus results in the change in other structural parameters of the Fe doped LSMO samples.

The crystallite size of the Fe-doped samples as calculated from the Debye Scherrer method were 18.2nm, 15.9nm, 15.38nm, 15.64nm, 15.24nm, and 16.39nm for LSMO, LF3, LF6, LF9, LF12, and LF15 samples respectively. Thus, the crystallite size of the samples decreased with the increase in Fe concentration at the Mn site [Fig.3(c)]. The morphological and compositional



1  
2  
3 analysis of the LSMO and LF-15 are shown as FESEM and TEM micrographs in Fig.4(a-c). They  
4 clearly show that both samples have a spherical or ellipsoidal shape, and the particle size is reduced  
5  
6 in the B-site doped LSMO. The average particle size was calculated as 35.5 nm and 19.4 nm for  
7  
8 LSMO and LF-15 samples respectively. The energy dispersion spectroscopy was performed for  
9  
10 the elemental analysis of the samples, as shown in Fig.4(a-c). It shows the presence of all the  
11  
12 elements in the required elemental ratio in the sample. The elemental ratio obtained from the EDS  
13  
14 measurement is shown in Fig.4 for LSMO and LF-15 samples, which is very close to the required  
15  
16 stoichiometry of the sample.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

## 22 **2. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis**

23  
24  
25  
26 The XPS measurement is used to determine the chemical nature of the LSMO and  
27  
28 Fe-doped LSMO samples. The core-level XPS spectra of the LSMO, LF6, and LF-15 samples are  
29  
30 shown in Fig.5. The survey spectrum of the LSMO, LF6 and LF15 is shown in Fig.5(a), which  
31  
32 confirms the existence of all constituent elements (La, Sr, Mn, Fe, and O) in the sample. The  
33  
34 enlarged spectrum of the Mn 2p, and Fe 2p is deconvoluted to examine chemical state and  
35  
36 composition with the help of Voigt function with Shirley background.  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Fig.5(b) shows the Mn 2p XPS core level spectra of the LSMO, LF6 and LF15 samples.  
42  
43 The Mn 2p XPS spectra show two peaks related to the Mn 2p<sub>1/2</sub> and Mn 2p<sub>3/2</sub> due to spin-orbit  
44  
45 splitting, which is deconvoluted using Mn<sup>3+</sup>, Mn<sup>4+</sup>, and satellite peaks<sup>27</sup>. The ratio of the  
46  
47 Mn<sup>4+</sup>/Mn<sup>3+</sup> calculated for the LSMO and Fe doped LSMO samples showed an increase due to Fe  
48  
49 doping [Fig.5(c)]. This confirmed the substitution of Fe ions at the Mn<sup>3+</sup> sites. The core-level  
50  
51 spectra of the Fe 2p is shown in Fig.5(d). The Fe 2p spectra is deconvoluted into Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> (~ 711  
52  
53 eV), Fe 2p<sub>1/2</sub> (~724 eV), and shake-up satellite peaks<sup>28</sup>. The Fe 2p spectra confirms the presence  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

of Fe in the +3 state within the sample, which agrees with previous studies of the Fe doped samples<sup>29, 30</sup>.

### 3.3 Magnetic properties

Fig.6 shows M-H measurement of the Fe-doped LSMO samples at 10 K. The M-H plots clearly show the ferromagnetic behavior of Fe-doped LSMO, for  $x \geq 0.09$  the M-H loop remains unsaturated up to a magnetic field of 4 T. The saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) also decreases with Fe concentration at the Mn site as shown in Table-2 [Fig.7(a)]. The magnetic properties of the LSMO can be described with the help of a double exchange (DE) mechanism between Mn ions ( $Mn^{3+}-O^{2-}-Mn^{4+}$ ). When the Mn ion is replaced by Fe ( $Fe^{3+}$ ) the ratio of the  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$  increases, which is confirmed by the XPS analysis. As a result, the long-range ferromagnetic order of the  $Mn^{3+}-O^{2-}-Mn^{4+}$  is disturbed and the resulting antiferromagnetic contribution ( $Mn^{3+}-O^{2-}-Mn^{3+}$ ) increases leading to a decrease in the overall magnetization of the sample<sup>26</sup>. An increase in Fe concentration at the Mn-site weakens the DE interaction between Mn ions, as a result,  $M_s$  decreases with Fe doping. An increase in the coercivity of the sample with the doping concentration of Fe [Fig.6(a)] was also observed and is explained in terms of the pinning of the domain wall motion by Fe ions<sup>18</sup>. In addition, the blocking of the glass state generated by the random distribution of the Fe ion in the Mn network is also responsible for the higher coercivity observed in the samples<sup>11</sup>.

The temperature-dependent magnetization ( $H=0.01$  T) of the LSMO, LF6 and LF15 samples are shown in Fig.7(b). The bifurcation in the ZFC-FC curve is attributed to the spin-glass system or superparamagnetic nature of the system<sup>31</sup>. The spin-glass like behavior of LSMO nanoparticles has been reported due to the presence of spin disorder present in the system<sup>9</sup>. The

1  
2  
3 random distribution of Fe in the LSMO system increases the presence of the spin-glass phase.  
4  
5 Bifurcation of the ZFC-FC curve also indicates pinning of the domain wall motion due to the spin  
6  
7 -glass phase in the system. The increase in coercivity of the sample with Fe doping also confirms  
8  
9 the enhanced role of spin-glass phase on the domain wall motion<sup>18</sup>. The ferromagnetic-  
10  
11 paramagnetic transition ( $T_c$ ) temperature of the LSMO, LF6, and LF15 samples are calculated as  
12  
13 352 K, 255 and 226 K, respectively. A drastic decrease in the  $T_c$  of Fe doped samples are due to  
14  
15 the strong competition between the ferromagnetic double exchange mechanism and  
16  
17 antiferromagnetic interaction. Therefore, the magnetic contribution of Fe doping at the Mn site is  
18  
19 explained in terms of the increased antiferromagnetic state that weakens the ferromagnetic  
20  
21 exchange interaction in the LSMO system.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 **3.4 Transport properties**

28  
29  
30 The temperature-dependent resistivity of the Fe-doped LSMO sample in the temperature  
31  
32 range of 10K-300K is shown in Fig.8. The results show that the resistivity of the samples is  
33  
34 extremely sensitive to the extent of Fe substitution at the Mn site. Fig.9 shows that the resistivity  
35  
36 increases and the transition temperature ( $T_{M-I}$ ) decreases with Fe doping. The double exchange  
37  
38 mechanism is used to explain the conduction of charge carriers in LSMO. In the case of the Fe-  
39  
40 doped LSMO samples,  $Fe^{3+}$  ions replace the Mn ions and change the  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+}$  ratio weakening  
41  
42 the double exchange mechanism that results in the decrease of the active sites available for charge  
43  
44 carrier hopping<sup>32</sup>. The number of ferromagnetic clusters used for the conduction decreases and  
45  
46 antiferromagnetic clusters increase with Fe doping. This results in the scattering of charge carriers  
47  
48 and an increase in the overall resistivity of the sample<sup>33</sup>. Thus, the Fe substitution weakens the  
49  
50 double exchange process that increases the resistivity of the sample and decreases the value of the  
51  
52 transition temperature. In addition, it has been also reported that doping at B-site results in the  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 modification of the grain boundary region due to interdiffusion of the dopant atoms that result in  
4 the augmentation of the resistivity of the sample<sup>34</sup>.  
5  
6  
7

8  
9 A different charge transport mechanism is used to explain the resistivity variation with  
10 temperature of the Fe-doped LSMO samples. In metallic regions ( $T < T_{MI}$ ), the resistivity can be  
11 explained with the model given as  $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_2 T^2 + \rho_{4.5} T^{4.5}$ , where,  $\rho_0$  is related to the grain  
12 boundary scattering,  $\rho_2 T^2$  is the electron-electron scattering, and  $\rho_{4.5} T^{4.5}$  is electron-magnon  
13 scattering process<sup>35, 36</sup>. The parameters obtained from the resistivity fitting in the ferromagnetic  
14 metallic region are summarized in Table-3. For  $T > T_{MI}$  (paramagnetic-semiconducting region), the  
15 resistivity of the sample decreases with increases in temperature. The resistivity in this region is  
16 explained with the adiabatic small polaron hopping (ASPH) model:  $\rho = \rho_0 \exp(E_a/k_B T)$  for  $T_{MI} <$   
17  $T < \theta_D/2$  range, where  $\rho_0$  is residual resistivity,  $E_a$  is the activation energy,  $k_B$  is Boltzmann  
18 constant, and  $\theta_D$  is the Debye temperature<sup>37</sup>. Resistivity is fitted with the ASPH model [ $\ln(\rho/T)$   
19 vs.  $1000/T$ ], and the parameters obtained are summarized in Table-3. The activation energy ( $E_a$ )  
20 increases with Fe doping concentration at the B-site which indicates that electrons become  
21 localized with Fe doping and are unable to cross the potential barrier ( $E_a$ ), which also results in an  
22 increase in the sample resistivity<sup>26</sup>.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 The temperature ( $T_{MI} < T < \theta_D/2$ ) at which the resistivity diverged from linearity [in  $\ln(\rho/T)$   
43 vs.  $1000/T$  plot] is described with the VRH model:  $\rho = \rho_0 \exp(T_0/T)^{1/4}$ , where  $T_0 = 16\alpha^3/k_B N(E_F)$ ,  
44  $N(E_F)$  is the density of states at Fermi level,  $\alpha = 2.22 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ <sup>38</sup>. The resistivity is fitted using the VRH  
45 model [ $\ln(\rho)$  vs.  $T^{-0.25}$ ] and parameters obtained are shown in Table-3. The value of  $T_0$  increases  
46 while  $N(E_F)$  decreases with Fe doping which indicates an increase in the localization of charge  
47 carriers<sup>39</sup>.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

The field dependence magnetoresistance [ $MR=(\rho_0-\rho_H)/\rho_0$ ] of the Fe doped LSMO samples at 10 K and 300 K are shown in Fig.10 (a). The MR is divided into the low field region ( $H<0.5$  T), and high field region ( $H>0.5$  T) with respect to the different mechanisms involved. In the low field region, the sharp drop of resistivity due to the magnetic field is attributed to the spin polarized tunneling or scattering of the conduction electron. The high magnetic field region of the MR is explained in terms of the noncollinear structure near the grain boundary region. The MR behavior of the Fe doped LSMO is strongly dependent on the Fe doping concentration at Mn sites. The results obtained from the MR are summarized in Table-2 and variation of MR with Fe concentration is shown in Fig.10 (b). MR values, at 10 K with 0.5 T magnetic field, of 27.9%, 30.7 %, 32.1 %, 33.8 %, 23.12 %, and 19.8 % for the LSMO, LF3, LF6, LF9, LF12, and LF15 samples were obtained respectively. Similar MR behavior has been reported earlier for the B-site doped LSMO system<sup>18,20</sup>. The MR value increased with Fe concentration to a maximum value of  $x=0.09\%$ , before decreasing for higher Fe doping concentrations. The MR behavior with Fe doping at Mn site can be explained using a percolation threshold mechanism<sup>40</sup>. The formation of metallic clusters due to Fe doping below the threshold doping concentration enhanced the spin polarized tunneling of the conduction electron thereby increasing MR. The increase in Fe doping above  $x\geq 0.9$  increases the localized charge carrier by destroying the ferromagnetic state ( $Mn^{3+}-O^{2-}-Mn^{3+}$ ) which is responsible for the decrease in MR at higher doping concentrations.

#### 4. Conclusions

The effect of Fe doping on the structural, magnetic and, transport, properties of the  $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}Mn_{1-x}Fe_xO_3$  ( $x=0-0.15$ ) has been studied. Structural analysis confirmed the single-phase nature of the Fe-doped LSMO samples prepared, with a small change in lattice parameters due to the similar ionic radii of the Mn and Fe. Chemical analysis confirmed that Fe doping results in an

1  
2  
3 increase of the  $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Mn}^{3+}$  ratio attributed to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ions replacing  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  in LSMO. Decreased  
4 magnetization and increased coercivity of Fe-doped LSMO is described as increased  
5 antiferromagnetic super exchange interaction and depletion of ferromagnetic double exchange  
6 interaction due to disruption of the long-range ferromagnetic order of Mn ions. The ferromagnetic-  
7 paramagnetic transition temperature also decreases with Fe doping due to weakening of the  
8 ferromagnetic interaction in the system. The resistivity of the samples increases and  $T_{\text{MI}}$  decreases  
9 with Fe doping concentration due to a depletion of the double exchange mechanism between Mn  
10 ions. The MR increased at lower Fe doping concentrations ( $x \leq 0.09$ ), and a maximum value of MR  
11 was obtained as 33.8 % at 10 K with a magnetic field of 0.5 T. Above  $x \geq 0.09$ , a decreased MR  
12 due to Fe doping can be explained through the percolation threshold mechanism and was attributed  
13 to the localization of the charge carriers. Thus, Fe doping at Mn sites provides an efficient way to  
14 improve low field magnetoresistance in the LSMO system for MR based device applications.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 **Acknowledgment**

35  
36  
37 The authors are thankful to the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), New  
38 Delhi for providing funding under the grant File Number EMR/2017/000755 for carrying out this  
39 work. The authors would also like to thank UGC-DAE, CSR, Indore, and SAIF, IIT-Bombay for  
40 providing characterization facilities.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## References

1. N. Thorat, K. Shinde, S. Pawar, K. Barick, C. Betty, and R. Ningthoujam, *Dalton Trans.*, **41** (10), 3060-3071 (2012).
2. K. Shinde, S. Pawar, N. Deshpande, J. Kim, Y. Lee, and S. Pawar, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **129** (1-2), 180-182 (2011).
3. H. A. Reshi, A. P. Singh, S. Pillai, R. S. Yadav, S. Dhawan, and V. Shelke, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, **3** (4), 820-827 (2015).
4. K. Miyazaki, N. Sugimura, K. Matsuoka, Y. Iriyama, T. Abe, M. Matsuoka, and Z. Ogumi, *J. Power Sources*, **178** (2), 683-686 (2008).
5. A. Molinari, P. M. Leufke, C. Reitz, S. Dasgupta, R. Witte, R. Kruk, and H. Hahn, *Nat. Commun.*, **8** 15339 (2017).
6. A. Sadhu and S. Bhattacharyya, *Chem. Mater.*, **26** (4), 1702-1710 (2014).
7. K. Navin and R. Kurchania, *Appl. Phys. A*, **126** (2), 1-13 (2020).
8. K. Navin and R. Kurchania, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, **448** 228-235 (2018).
9. K. Navin and R. Kurchania, *Ceram. Int.*, **44** (5), 4973-4980 (2018).
10. A. Chen, J.-M. Hu, P. Lu, T. Yang, W. Zhang, L. Li, T. Ahmed, E. Enriquez, M. Weigand, and Q. Su, *Sci. Adv.*, **2** (6), e1600245 (2016).
11. X. Chen, J. Fu, C. Yun, H. Zhao, Y. Yang, H. Du, J. Han, C. Wang, S. Liu, and Y. Zhang, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **116** (10), 103907 (2014).
12. M. Ehsani, P. Kameli, F. Razavi, M. Ghazi, and B. Aslibeiki, *J. Alloys Compd.*, **579** 406-414 (2013).
13. S. Israel, S. S. Kumar, R. Renuretsen, R. Sheeba, and R. Saravanan, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, **35** (1), 107-118 (2012).
14. A. Khare, R. Choudhary, and S. Sanyal, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **112** (2), 023714 (2012).
15. L. Qi, C. Wang, Y. Li, P. Yu, Y. Gao, D. Wu, Y. Yang, Q. Chen, and H. Zhang, *Ceram. Int.*, **47** (5), 5944-5950 (2021).
16. A. D. Souza, S. Rayaprol, A. Sagdeo, A. Sinha, and M. Daivajna, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, **511** 166966 (2020).
17. X. Wang, Q. Chen, L. Li, C. Wang, P. Sun, and H. Zhang, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, **30** (20), 19035-19042 (2019).
18. A. Yadav, J. Shah, R. Gupta, A. Shukla, S. Singh, and R. Kotnala, *Ceram. Int.*, **42** (11), 12630-12638 (2016).
19. Y. Zhou, X. Zhu, and S. Li, *Ceram. Int.*, **43** (13), 10026-10031 (2017).
20. Y. Zhou, X. Zhu, and S. Li, *Ceram. Int.*, **43** (4), 3679-3687 (2017).
21. N. Astik, P. K. Jha, and V. Sathe, *Phys. Solid State*, **61** (4), 618-626 (2019).
22. N. M. Astik, H. Soni, P. K. Jha, and V. Sathe, *Physica B: Condens. Matter*, **541** 103-110 (2018).
23. S. Jethva, S. Katba, M. Udeshi, and D. Kuberkar, *Physica B: Condens. Matter*, **520** 13-20 (2017).
24. M. Mootabian, S. R. Ghorbani, A. Kompany, and M. E. Abrishami, *J. Alloys Compd.*, **868** 159185 (2021).
25. L. M. Rodriguez-Martinez and J. P. Attfield, *Phys. Rev. B*, **58** (5), 2426 (1998).
26. N. Kumar, H. Kishan, A. Rao, and V. Awana, *J. Alloys Compd.*, **502** (2), 283-288 (2010).
27. Y. Zhang, T. Phan, D. Yang, and S. Yu, *Curr. Appl. Phys.*, **12** (3), 803-807 (2012).
28. T. Yamashita and P. Hayes, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, **254** (8), 2441-2449 (2008).
29. T. Zhao, R. Ji, Y. Meng, G. Zhang, H. Si, Y. Wang, M. Yang, F. Wu, L. Li, and R. Chen, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **54** (12), 9098-9110 (2019).
30. M. Nasir, N. Patra, M. A. Ahmed, D. Shukla, S. Kumar, D. Bhattacharya, C. Prajapat, D. Phase, S. Jha, and S. Biring, *RSC Adv.*, **7** (51), 31970-31979 (2017).
31. K. Navin and R. Kurchania, *Ceram. Int.*, **47** (11), 15859-15867 (2021).
32. V. Dayal and S. Keshri, *Solid State Commun.*, **142** (1-2), 63-66 (2007).

- 1
- 2
- 3 33. S. Ogale, R. Shreekala, R. Bathe, S. Date, S. Patil, B. Hannoyer, F. Petit, and G. Marest, *Phys. Rev.*
- 4 *B*, **57** (13), 7841 (1998).
- 5 34. P. Siwach, P. Srivastava, J. Singh, H. Singh, and O. Srivastava, *J. Alloys Compd.*, **481** (1-2), 17-21
- 6 (2009).
- 7 35. K. Kubo and N. Ohata, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **33** (1), 21-32 (1972).
- 8 36. N. Dhahri, A. Dhahri, J. Dhahri, E.-k. Hlil, and E. Dhahri, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, **326** 129-137
- 9 (2013).
- 10 37. D. Emin and T. Holstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **36** (6), 323 (1976).
- 11 38. N. Mott, *Oxford University Press, Walton Street, Oxford OX 2 6 DP, UK.*, (1987).
- 12 39. A. Banerjee, S. Pal, S. Bhattacharya, B. Chaudhuri, and H. Yang, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **91** (8), 5125-5134
- 13 (2002).
- 14 40. L. Hueso, J. Rivas, F. Rivadulla, and M. López-Quintela, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **89** (3), 1746-1750 (2001).
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60



## Figure Captions

**Figure.1.** Flow diagram of the synthesis process of Fe doped LSMO.

**Figure.2.** XRD pattern of the Fe doped LSMO samples.

**Figure.3.** (a) Rietveld refinement of the LSMO and LF15 samples, (b) crystal structure of the LSMO, and (c) Variation of the crystallite size and cell volume with x (% Fe).

**Figure.4.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Energy dispersive spectra and quantitative analysis of (a) LSMO, (b) LF15 samples, (c) EDS maps of the LF15 sample for La, Sr, Mn O and Fe.

**Figure.5.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data of the LSMO, LF6, and LF15 samples (a) Survey spectrum (b) Mn 2p state, (c) Mn<sup>4+</sup>/Mn<sup>3+</sup> ratio, and (d) Fe 2p state.

**Figure.6.** M-H data of the Fe doped LSMO at 10 K.

**Figure.7.** (a) Variation of Saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) and Coercivity ( $H_c$ ) with Ni concentration, (b) M-T measurement of LSMO and LN15

**Figure.8.** Resistivity with temperature measurement of the Fe doped LSMO samples. Inset shows the fitting of resistivity data by different conduction model.

**Figure.9.** Variation of resistivity (at  $T_{MI}$ ) and  $T_{MI}$  with Fe doping.


**Figure.10.** (a) Magnetoresistance (MR) variation with magnetic field at 10K and 300 K, and (b) MR variation with Fe concentration at 10K and 300K.

**Table Captions**


**Table-1:** Structural parameters obtained from the X-ray diffraction (XRD) data.

**Table-2:** Magnetic and transport properties of Fe doped LSMO samples.


**Table-3:** Fitting parameters obtained by fitting the resistivity by different conduction model.




**Figure.1.** Flow diagram of the synthesis process of Fe doped LSMO.



**Figure.2.** XRD pattern of the Fe doped LSMO samples.




**Figure 3.** (a) Rietveld refinement of the LSMO and LF15 samples, (b) crystal structure of the LSMO, and (c) Variation of the crystallite size and cell volume with x (% Fe).




**Figure.4.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Energy dispersive spectra and quantitative analysis of (a) LSMO, (b) LF15 samples, (c) EDS maps of the LF15 sample for La, Sr, Mn O and Fe.






**Figure.5.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data of the LSMO, LF6, and LF15 samples (a) Survey spectrum (b) Mn 2p state, (c) Mn<sup>4+</sup>/Mn<sup>3+</sup> ratio, and (d) Fe 2p state.




**Figure.6.** M-H data of the Fe doped LSMO at 10 K.







**Figure.7.** (a) Variation of Saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) and Coercivity ( $H_c$ ) with Ni concentration, (b) M-T measurement of LSMO and LN15



**Figure.8.** Resistivity with temperature measurement of the Fe doped LSMO samples. Inset shows the fitting of resistivity data by different conduction model.



**Figure.9.** Variation of resistivity (at  $T_{MI}$ ) and  $T_{MI}$  with Fe doping.



**Figure.10.** (a) Magnetoresistance (MR) variation with magnetic field at 10K and 300 K, and (b) MR variation with Fe concentration at 10K and 300K.

Table-1: Structural parameters obtained from the X-ray diffraction (XRD) data.

Parameters →	Crystallite size (nm)	Structure	Lattice parameters (Å)	Cell Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	Bond length (Å) (Mn-O)	Bond Angle (°) (Mn-O-Mn)
Samples ↓						
<b>LSMO</b>	18.2	Rhombohedral ( $R\bar{3}c$ )	a=b=5.4724 c=13.4663	349.247	1.947	168.78
<b>LF3</b>	17.03	Rhombohedral ( $R\bar{3}c$ )	a=b=5.4767 c=13.4832	350.231	1.952	167.19
<b>LF6</b>	16.27	Rhombohedral ( $R\bar{3}c$ )	a=b=5.4775 c=13.4824	350.314	1.950	168.38
<b>LF9</b>	16.56	Rhombohedral ( $R\bar{3}c$ )	a=b=5.4785 c=13.4808	350.401	1.950	168.51
<b>LF12</b>	16.43	Rhombohedral ( $R\bar{3}c$ )	a=b=5.4820 c=13.4895	350.826	1.951	168.28
<b>LF15</b>	15.58	Rhombohedral ( $R\bar{3}c$ )	a=b=5.4789 c=13.4893	350.676	1.951	167.87

Table-2: Magnetic and transport properties of Fe doped LSMO samples.

Parameters →	Saturation magnetization (Ms) (emu/g)	Coercivity (H <sub>c</sub> ) (kOe)	R (Ω) at T <sub>MI</sub>	T <sub>MI</sub> (K)	MR (%) H=0.5 T	
					10K	300K
<b>LSMO</b>	78.5	0.192	2.5	222	27.9	2.3
<b>LF3</b>	57.56	0.205	2.7	181	30.7	0.72
<b>LF6</b>	52.02	0.248	2.9	178	32.1	1.55
<b>LF9</b>	50.27	0.407	3.15	171	33.8	0.67
<b>LF12</b>	47.12	1.370	3.36	162	23.1	0.33
<b>LF15</b>	45.9	1.470	3.87	135	19.8	0.10

Table-3: Fitting parameters obtained by fitting the resistivity by different conduction model.

Parameters →	$\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_2 T^2 + \rho_{4.5} T^{4.5}$			$\rho = \rho_0 \exp(T_0/T)^{1/4}$		$\rho = \rho_0 T \exp(E_a/k_B T)$	
Sample ↓	$\rho_0$ ( $\Omega.cm$ )	$\rho_2$ ( $\Omega.cm K^{-2}$ ) $\times 10^{-4}$	$\rho_{4.5}$ ( $\Omega.cm K^{-4.5}$ ) $\times 10^{-10}$	$T_0$ (K)	$N(E_F)$ ( $eV^{-1}cm^{-3}$ )	$\theta_D$ (K)	$E_a$ (meV)
<b>LSMO</b>	1.30	0.432	0.28	$5.7 \times 10^4$	$3.56 \times 10^{22}$	342	33.7
<b>LF3</b>	2.5	0.112	0.130	$3.32 \times 10^5$	$6.12 \times 10^{21}$	121	43.96
<b>LF6</b>	2.69	0.130	0.165	$4.25 \times 10^5$	$4.77 \times 10^{21}$	151.5	45.74
<b>LF9</b>	2.91	0.147	0.19	$1.75 \times 10^6$	$1.16 \times 10^{21}$	147.8	48.07
<b>LF12</b>	3.09	0.155	0.19	$9.56 \times 10^6$	$2.12 \times 10^{20}$	166	52.95
<b>LF15</b>	3.53	0.198	0.33	$1.73 \times 10^7$	$1.17 \times 10^{20}$	110..2	55.23