

Citation for published version: Effinger, A, O'Driscoll, CM, McAllister, M & Fotaki, N 2020, 'Gastrointestinal diseases and their impact on drug solubility: Celiac disease', *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 152, 105460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105460

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105460

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Gastrointestinal diseases and their impact on drug solubility: Celiac disease
2	Angela Effinger ¹ , Caitriona M O'Driscoll ² , Mark McAllister ³ , Nikoletta Fotaki ^{1*}
3	¹ Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, UK
4	² School of Pharmacy, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
5	³ Pfizer Drug Product Design, Sandwich, UK
6	
7	Address for correspondence:
8	Dr Nikoletta Fotaki
9	Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology
10	University of Bath
11	Claverton Down
12	Bath, BA2 7AY
13	United Kingdom
14	
15	Tel. +44 1225 386728
16	Fax: +44 1225 386114
17	E-mail: <u>n.fotaki@bath.ac.uk</u>

18 Abstract

The aim of this study was to develop an *in vitro* tool for predicting drug solubility and 19 dissolution in intestinal fluids of patients with Celiac disease (CED). Biorelevant media for 20 21 patients with CED were developed based on published information and a Design of Experiment (DoE) approach. The CED biorelevant media were characterised according to their surface 22 tension, osmolality, dynamic viscosity and buffer capacity. By performing solubility studies of 23 six drugs with different physicochemical properties in CED media, we aimed to identify drugs 24 25 at high risk of altered luminal solubility in CED patients. Identified differences in CED patients compared to healthy subjects were related to a higher concentration of bile salts, lecithin and 26 27 cholesterol and included as factors in the DoE resulting in 8 CED biorelevant media. Differences in media properties were observed for the surface tension between biorelevant 28 media based on CED patients and healthy subjects. In terms of solubility, only a minimal effect 29 30 of CED on the solubility of the hydrophilic neutral compound azathioprine was observed. For neutral moderately lipophilic compounds (budesonide, celecoxib) a higher surfactant 31 concentration resulted in most cases in a higher drug solubility, while it was specific to each 32 drug whether this was mainly driven by bile salts or lecithin. In comparison, drug solubilisation 33 of ionisable compounds with moderate to high lipophilicity was less impacted by CED 34 differences. The developed biorelevant CED media serve as *in vitro* tool to identify the main 35 media factors impacting on drug solubility. 36

37 Keywords

38 Gastrointestinal diseases; Celiac disease; Biorelevant media; Physicochemical properties;39 Solubility

40 **1. Introduction**

Celiac disease (CED) is a chronic auto-inflammatory disease induced by an intolerance to 41 dietary gluten, a storage protein of wheat, rye, barley and oats. Approximately 1% of the 42 43 population is affected by CED and its aetiology is a combination of genetic predisposition and environmental factors (e.g., breastfeeding, time of gluten introduction and the microbiota) 44 (Koehler et al., 2014). CED mainly affects the small intestine resulting in gastrointestinal (GI) 45 46 symptoms such as bloating, diarrhoea, malabsorptive symptoms and weight loss. Additionally, CED patients can present extra-intestinal symptoms such as dermatitis herpetiformis, anaemia 47 48 or osteoporosis (Leffler et al., 2015). The diagnosis involves serological testing for autoantibodies (anti-tTG, anti-EMA) and an endoscopic biopsy (Turner et al., 2015). 49 50 Depending on the damage to the small intestine, the disease can be classified in different 51 disease grades based on histological findings such as crypt hyperplasia, the constitution of the 52 villi and the intra-epithelial lymphocytes in the jejunum and duodenum (Oberhuber et al., 1999). For the treatment of CED, patients need to adhere to a gluten-free diet, the only known 53 54 effective treatment to date, since the reintroduction of dietary gluten results in a relapse of the disease (Gottlieb et al., 2015). More treatment options are expected to emerge in the near future, 55 since several new active pharmaceutical ingredients have reached clinical phases of drug 56 development in recent years (Gottlieb et al., 2015). 57

Patient convenience dictates that oral administration is the preferred route of drug administration for most drugs. Consequently, patients with CED are likely to be treated with orally administered drug products for concomitant conditions or extra-intestinal manifestations of CED. Since oral drug administration is, apart from drug and formulation properties, dependent on gastrointestinal physiology, pathophysiological changes in CED could affect drug safety and efficacy. GI diseases can affect various processes involved in oral drug delivery e.g., drug release from the formulation, drug dissolution, permeation through the GI membrane and gut or hepatic metabolism (Effinger et al., 2019). Altered drug absorption in CED patients
compared to healthy subjects has previously been attributed to a reduced small intestinal
surface area, a different intestinal CYP enzyme abundance, a higher jejunal permeability and
differences in gastric emptying (Tran et al., 2013).

So far, there is only a small number of drugs for which drug product performance has been
investigated in CED patients and these studies included only a small number of patients (Tran
et al., 2013). Due to the high costs of clinical trials, it is expected that in the future investigations
in CED patients will remain rare.

For poorly soluble drugs, drug absorption can be limited by the dissolution rate or the solubility 73 of the drug in gastrointestinal fluids (Amidon et al., 1995). If this is the case, in vitro release 74 and dissolution testing can be used as surrogate for a drug's *in vivo* performance (Amidon et 75 76 al., 1995). To simulate closely the conditions present in the GI tract, biorelevant media were developed mimicking the composition of the gastrointestinal fluids of healthy subjects (Galia 77 et al., 1998; Jantratid et al., 2008; Markopoulos et al., 2015; Vertzoni et al., 2010; Vertzoni et 78 79 al., 2005). The composition of the gastrointestinal fluids can be altered in patients with GI disease and therefore, in vitro dissolution and solubility studies with biorelevant media adapted 80 to pathophysiological conditions could result in better predictions of drug product performance 81 in patient populations (Effinger et al., 2019). 82

This study aims to identify drugs at risk of altered solubility in GI fluids of CED patients. Biorelevant media for patients with CED representative of the small intestinal fluid in the fasted and fed state were developed. Information from literature was collected to identify differences in the composition of luminal contents of patients with CED compared to healthy subjects. Biorelevant media for CED patients were developed based on biorelevant media for healthy subjects and a Design of Experiment (DoE) approach by integrating the identified differences

as factors with two levels. Subsequently, the CED biorelevant media were characterised in
terms of surface tension, osmolality, buffer capacity and dynamic viscosity. Additionally, the
solubility of six compounds with different physicochemical properties (including azathioprine,
budesonide, celecoxib, dipyridamole, loperamide and sulfasalazine), in the developed
biorelevant media based on CED patients and healthy subjects was determined.

94 2. Materials

Acetic acid High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) grade, chloroform, sodium 95 oleate, budesonide, phosphoric acid and sodium hydroxide were purchased from Sigma-96 97 Aldrich Company Ltd., Dorset, England. Sulfasalazine, loperamide hydrochloride, dipyridamole, celecoxib, azathioprine, methanol HPLC grade and acetonitrile HPLC grade 98 were purchased from VWR International Ltd, Lutterworth, UK. Sodium chloride, 99 100 trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate, dimethyl sulfoxide and maleic acid were used from Fisher Scientific UK Ltd., Loughborough, England. Other 101 chemicals used included sodium taurocholate (Prodotti Chimici Alimentari S.P.A., Basaluzzo, 102 Italy), egg lecithin–Lipoid EPCS (Lipoid GmbH, Ludwigshafen, Germany), glyceryl 103 monooleate-Rylo Mg 19 (Danisco, Brabrand, Denmark) and cholesterol (95%, Acros 104 105 Organics, Geel, Belgium). Water was ultra-pure (Milli-Q) laboratory grade.

- 106 **3.** Methods
- 107 3.1. Media development
- 108 3.1.1. GI physiological differences in CED compared to healthy subjects

109 To identify differences in the composition of GI fluids of untreated CED patients compared to 110 healthy subjects, a literature search was performed. Since to date the GI fluids of CED patients 111 have not been directly characterised, studies investigating parameters that most likely impact 112 on GI fluids were considered.

The bile flow and biliary lipid output has previously been measured in untreated CED patients 113 and healthy subjects during a constant infusion of a liquid formula diet using a duodenal 114 intubation technique (Vuoristo and Miettinen, 1985). Biliary lipid outputs such as cholesterol, 115 bile acids and phospholipids could be estimated in comparison to the dilution of a marker 116 (polyethylene glycol 4000). The bile flow was with 232 ± 29 mL/h (mean \pm SD) significantly 117 higher in CED patients compared to 132±24 mL/h in healthy subjects (Student's t-test, p<0.05). 118 The biliary cholesterol output normalised to the body weight was significantly increased in 119 CED patients (0.82±0.10 vs 0.43±0.06 mg/kg*h, p<0.02). Similarly, the biliary output of 120 121 phospholipids was also highly increased in CED patients compared to healthy subjects (0.26±0.05 vs 0.08±0.02 mg/kg*h, p<0.02). Additionally, a higher bile acid output was 122 observed in CED patients (9.28±1.65 vs 4.64±0.45 mg/kg*h). In accordance, it was observed 123 124 that the bile salt pool is three times higher in CED patients compared to healthy subjects, which could be related to a very effective ileal reabsorption of bile acids or a sluggish contraction of 125 the gall bladder (Low-Beer et al., 1973). Since our study was based on untreated CED patients 126 (not adhering to a gluten-free diet), dietary differences between CED patients and healthy 127 subjects were not considered. 128

129

3.1.2. Development of CED media with Design of Experiment

The development of biorelevant media for CED patients followed a DoE approach and CED 130 131 biorelevant media representative of the small intestinal fluid in the fasted and fed state were 132 developed. Biorelevant media previously developed based on healthy subjects were used as the basis for CED biorelevant media and included Fasted-State Simulated Intestinal Fluid-Version 133 2 (FaSSIF-V2) and Fed-State Simulated Intestinal Fluid-Version 2 (FeSSIF-V2) (Jantratid et 134 135 al., 2008). According to the identified differences described in Section 3.1.1, biorelevant media based on healthy subjects were modified by including the differences as factors in the 136 experimental design. For both prandial states, the integrated factors in the experimental design 137

were the concentration of bile salts, lecithin and cholesterol. Since the biliary secretion is the main source of bile salts, lecithin and cholesterol present in the intestinal fluids, a direct correlation between biliary output and intestinal concentration was assumed. Since the three parameters were not directly measured in the GI fluids, an indirect percental approach was followed to determine the level of the corresponding factor according to

143
$$x_{CED-BM} = \frac{y_{CED}}{y_H} * x_{H-BM}$$
(1)

where x_{CED-BM} is the high level of the factor in CED media, y_{CED} and y_H are the median of the corresponding biliary output observed in CED patients and healthy subjects, respectively and x_{H-BM} is the level of the factor in biorelevant media based on healthy subjects.

The three factors were integrated with two levels in the experimental design, a low and a high level. The low level was based on the concentration in biorelevant media based on healthy subjects (Table 1) and the high level corresponded to the median percentage of the respective concentration in the healthy medium. For cholesterol, the low level concentration was based on the median concentration of cholesterol observed in human intestinal fluid as observed by Riethorst et al. (2016) [fasted state: 0.08 mM, fed state: 0.57 mM], since cholesterol is not a component of FaSSIF-V2 and FeSSIF-V2.

154 The DoE was performed with Statgraphics Centurion 18 (Statpoint Technologies Inc., VA, US) with a full factorial design for CED intestinal biorelevant media for the fasted and fed state. 155 An overview of the DoE is given in Figure 1. Biorelevant media were prepared as previously 156 described with an additional step of adding cholesterol (Jantratid et al., 2008). The cholesterol 157 158 solution (50 mg/mL in chloroform) was mixed with a lecithin solution (100 mg/ml in dichloromethane) using a magnetic stirrer, before being added to the bile salt/buffer mixture 159 and driven off using a rotary evaporator Büchi Rotovapor R-114 (Büchi Labotechnik, Flawil, 160 Switzerland) according to the published protocol. The osmolality of CED media was set to the 161

value in the corresponding biorelevant medium based on healthy subjects by adjusting theconcentration of sodium chloride.

164 3.1.3. Media characterisation

Surface tension, osmolality, dynamic viscosity and buffer capacity of biorelevant media previously developed based on healthy subjects and newly developed for CED patients were measured in triplicate. The results are reported as mean with standard deviation.

168

3.1.3.1. Surface tension

Surface tension measurements were performed at room temperature with a ring tensiometer (Sigma 700 Force tensiometer, Attension, UK) using approximately 10 mL of each medium, placed in a glass vessel with a diameter of 46 mm. A platinum Du Noüy ring was lowered below the meniscus of the medium. Subsequently, by pushing and pulling the ring through the surface of the medium, the force exerted by the meniscus was measured and related to the surface tension of the medium (Butt et al., 2004).

175 3.1.3.2. Osmolality

Osmolality was determined with an Advanced Instruments Inc. micro-osmometer Model 3300
(Norwood, MA, US). Therefore, the freezing-point depression of a 20 µl sample was measured
with a high-precision thermistor following the supercooling and induced crystallisation of the
sample.

180

3.1.3.3. Dynamic viscosity

The dynamic viscosity at 37°C was measured with a Bohlin Rheometer C-VOR (Malvern instruments, UK). Therefore, a cone-plate measuring system, including a rotating upper cone (4°, 40mm) and a fixed lower plate with the medium contained between them, was used. The shear rate was measured while twenty different shear stresses, logarithmically distributed in the range of 0.05 to 0.15 Pa, were exerted on the sample of the medium. The ratio of shear stress to shear rate corresponds to the dynamic viscosity.

187 3.1.3.4. Buffer capacity

Buffer capacity was determined using a potentiometric titration method. Therefore, small
volumes of 0.5 M hydrochloric acid were added to 10 mL of sample until a change of one pH
unit was recorded by a Mettler Toledo SevenCompact S220 pH meter (Schwerzenbach,
Switzerland). Equation (2) was used to calculate the buffer capacity (β) according to

192
$$\beta = \left(\frac{0.5M * V_{acid}}{\Delta pH}\right) * \frac{1000}{V_s}$$
(2)

where V_{acid} is the volume of the acid added, V_s is the volume of the sample and ΔpH corresponds to the change in pH (Rabbie et al., 2015).

For the solubility studies, low soluble compounds belonging to Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) class II (low solubility, high permeability) or IV (low solubility, low permeability) were selected as shown in Table 1. Additionally, the selected drugs varied in their ionization properties (pKa) and lipophilicity (logP). Drugs with indication for gastrointestinal diseases were preferred.

201 Table 1: Properties and indication of selected compounds for solubility stud

Drug	pKa (acid/base)	logP	BCS class	Intrinsic aqueous solubility [mg/mL]	Indication
Azathioprine	7.9 (acid) (Mitra and Narurkar, 1987)	0.1 (Hansch et al., 1995)	IV (Lindenberg et al., 2004)	0.171 (Llinas et al., 2008)	Immunosuppressive
Budesonide	12.0 (acid) (Corey and Fossel, 2016)	2.6 (Bharate et al., 2016)	II (Bhatt et al., 2014)	0.028 (Ali et al., 2010)	Locally acting corticosteroid in IBD
Celecoxib	11.1 (acid)(G.D. SearleLLC Divisionof Pfizer Inc,2019)	3.5 (G.D. Searle LLC Division of Pfizer Inc, 2019)	II (Paulson et al., 2001)	0.003 - 0.007 (Paulson et al., 2001)	Nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drug
Dipyridamole	6.4 (base) (Pedersen, 1979)	2.2 (Betageri and Dipali, 1993)	II (Zaki et al., 2010)	0.003 (Hopfinger et al., 2009)	Platelet aggregation inhibitor
Loperamide	8.6 (base) (Manallack, 2007)	5.5 (Dickson et al., 2017)	II (Zaki et al., 2010)		Anti-diarrheal agent
Sulfasalazine	2.3, 7.9 (acid) (Shalaeva et al., 2008)	2.9 (Graham and Pile, 2015)	II/IV (Lindenberg et al., 2004)	0.29 x 10 ⁻³ (Llinas et al., 2008)	Anti-inflammatory agent in IBD

203 3.3. Solubility studies

The shake-flask method was used to determine the solubility of the investigated compounds (Baka et al., 2008). Therefore, an excess amount of drug was added to 5 mL of the respective medium in a glass tube, which was then placed in a shaking water bath (Grant instruments, UK) and maintained at 37°C and 200 strokes/min for 24 h. Subsequently, GF/D membrane filters with a pore size of 2.7 μ m (Whatman[®] Puradisc, diameter 13 mm) were used to filter the sample followed by quantitative analysis with HPLC/UV. The solubility studies were

210 performed in triplicate in CED disease media and healthy media and average solubility differences between CED media and healthy media were expressed as a % Relative effect on 211 solubility [((S_{CED}-S_{Healthy})/S_{Healthy}) x 100]. A higher drug solubility in CED media compared to 212 healthy media is indicated by a positive value, whereas the opposite is indicated for negative 213 values. HPLC analysis was performed with an Agilent Technologies 1200 series HPLC system 214 (Santa Clara, CA) including a binary pump (G1212A), an autosampler (G1329A), a 215 216 thermostatted column compartment (G1316A) and a diode array detector (G1315D). The methods used for the HPLC-UV analysis of the six drugs were modifications of previously 217 218 published methods (presented in Gastrointestinal diseases and their impact on drug solubility: Crohn's disease) (Effinger et al., 2020). 219

220 3.4. Statistical analysis

Differences between media properties and drug solubility in biorelevant media based on CED patients compared to healthy subjects were identified with the software XLSTAT (Addinsoft, France) using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a post-hoc Tukey's test and a significance level of $p \le 0.05$.

A multifactorial ANOVA performed in Statgraphics Centurion 18 (Statpoint Technologies Inc., VA, US) was used to estimate the effects of the three categorical variables (bile salts, lecithin, cholesterol) and two-factor interactions in the DoE on the solubility of each of the six investigated compounds. Factors were considered statistically significant if the p-value was less than 0.05, indicating an effect on drug solubility at the 95.00% confidence level.

230 4. Results and discussion

231 4.1. Media characterisation

The surface tension of intestinal CED biorelevant media is shown in Figure 2 and was in the 232 233 range of 45.5 to 51.6 mN/m and of 26.6 to 35.7 mN/m for the fasted and fed state, respectively. In the fasted state, the surface tension of all media with low bile salt concentration was higher 234 compared to the healthy medium (p<0.05). This finding is consistent with another study, where 235 a higher surface tension was observed for reduced bile salt concentrations in fasted state 236 simulating fluids without cholesterol (Xie et al., 2014). Additionally, media with at the same 237 238 time high bile salt and lecithin concentrations possessed a significantly higher surface tension compared to the healthy medium but a lower surface tension compared to all CED media with 239 low bile salt concentrations (p<0.05). In the fed state, the surface tension of all CED media 240 241 with low lecithin concentrations, except for the medium with at the same time low bile salt and cholesterol concentrations, was significantly decreased (p<0.05). 242

The osmolality of biorelevant media based on CED patients and healthy subjects was notsignificantly different.

The measured dynamic viscosities of CED biorelevant media at a shear stress of 0.06 Pa, 0.08 Pa and 0.15 Pa are presented in Figure 3. All healthy and CED media showed shear thinning behaviour. The viscosity of CED biorelevant media at an applied shear stress of 0.15 Pa was in the range of 3.26 to 3.56 mPas, at 0.08 Pa in the range of 3.70 to 4.56 mPas and at 0.06 Pa in the range of 4.28 to 6.42 mPas, respectively. No significant differences between biorelevant media based on CED patients and healthy subjects were observed considering all three different shear stresses (p<0.05). The buffer capacity was not significantly different in fasted and fed state intestinal media based on healthy subjects compared to CED patients, since the same buffer composition was used and no changes of the media pH were applied (data not shown).

4.2. Solubility of drugs in CED biorelevant media

256 Considering the final pH value of the medium after 24 h, the pH was within 6.5 ± 0.1 and 5.8

 ± 0.1 in all cases except for the sulfasalazine studies in fasted (final medium pH: 6.2 ± 0.1) and fed state (final medium pH 5.7 ± 0.1) intestinal media.

4.2.1. Neutral drugs

260 The results of the solubility studies with neutral compounds in CED fasted and fed state261 intestinal media are illustrated in Figure 4.

For azathioprine, the solubility in the fasted state was not significantly different in CED media compared to healthy media. In the fed state, the solubility of azathioprine was significantly higher in CED biorelevant media with high concentrations of bile salts but the relative increase was for all media below 15%.

For budesonide, the solubility in all fasted state CED biorelevant media was significantly 266 267 higher compared to the healthy medium (p < 0.05), whereby the solubility of budesonide was highest in CED media with high bile salt concentrations. The positive effect of bile salts is in 268 accordance with a previous study showing that an increase of the concentration of bile salts in 269 a fixed 4:1 ratio of bile salts to lecithin resulted in an increase in budesonide solubility 270 (Soderlind et al., 2010). Additionally, the positive effect of cholesterol on budesonide 271 solubilisation indicates a drug-cholesterol interaction or a positive solubilisation effect of more 272 273 complex vesicles (sodium taurocholate-lecithin-cholesterol) as previously reported for fenofibrate (Khoshakhlagh et al., 2015). 274

275 In the fed state, the solubility of budesonide in the CED media with at the same time low concentrations of bile salts and lecithin was significantly decreased compared to the healthy 276 medium (p<0.05), indicating a competition for solubilisation between cholesterol and 277 278 budesonide possibly due to the similarity of their chemical structure. In contrast, a significantly higher solubility was observed in CED media with high concentrations of bile salts and lecithin 279 and CED media with either a high concentration of bile salts or lecithin and a low concentration 280 281 of cholesterol (p<0.05), indicating a positive effect of higher surfactant concentration and a negative effect of cholesterol on budesonide solubility. 282

For celecoxib, the solubility in fasted state CED media with a high concentration of lecithin and a low concentration of cholesterol was significantly higher compared to the healthy medium. In contrast, in all other CED fasted state media, the solubility of celecoxib was significantly lower (p<0.05). The positive effect of lecithin on celecoxib solubility is in accordance with previous results revealing a higher solubility of celecoxib in FaSSIF (higher concentration of lecithin) compared to FaSSIF-V2 (Shono et al., 2009).

In the fed state, the solubility of celecoxib was significantly higher in CED media with at the same time high concentrations of bile salts and lecithin (p<0.05), suggesting a positive effect of luminal surfactants on celecoxib solubility.

292 4.2.2. Weak acid

The results of the solubility studies in CED fasted and fed state intestinal media with compounds possessing different ionisation properties are presented in Figure 5.

For the weak acid sulfasalazine, the solubility in fasted state CED media with at the same time high concentrations of lecithin and low concentrations of cholesterol is significantly lower compared to the healthy medium (p<0.05). In fed state intestinal media, the solubility of sulfasalazine was significantly higher in CED media with high bile salt concentrations and in

the medium with a low concentration of bile salts and lecithin and a high concentration ofcholesterol.

301 4.2.3. Weak bases

For the weak base dipyridamole, the solubility was significantly higher in fasted state CED 302 media with high bile salt concentrations and to a lower extent also in the medium with a high 303 304 concentration of lecithin and low concentrations of bile salts and cholesterol (p<0.05). The positive effect of bile salts on the solubility of dipyridamole is most likely the result of 305 electrostatic interactions of the weak base with sodium taurocholate. In the fed state, the 306 307 solubility of dipyridamole in the CED medium with a high concentration of lecithin and low concentrations of bile salts and cholesterol was significantly lower compared to the 308 corresponding healthy medium (p<0.05). 309

For loperamide hydrochloride, the solubility in the fasted state CED media with high concentrations of lecithin and cholesterol and a low concentration of bile salts was significantly lower compared to the corresponding healthy medium (p<0.05). This is possibly due to less bile salts being available for drug solubilisation due to the need for lecithin and cholesterol solubilisation. In the fed state, the solubility of loperamide hydrochloride was not significantly different in CED media compared to the corresponding healthy medium (p<0.05).

4.3. Multifactorial statistical analysis of solubility in CED media

For CED fasted state intestinal media, the significant effects and two-factor interactionsaffecting the drug solubility of the six investigated drugs are presented in Table 2.

For azathioprine and budesonide, only the bile salt concentration had a positive impact on their solubility. For celecoxib, the highest positive effect on solubility was observed for the lecithin concentration, followed by a negative effect of cholesterol. Additionally, all two-factor interactions were significant for the solubility of celecoxib but less influential in comparison 323 to both main effects. For dipyridamole, the highest positive impact on its solubility was observed for bile salts. Other significant effects for dipyridamole were a positive effect of 324 lecithin, a negative effect of cholesterol and the interaction between bile salts and cholesterol 325 was significant. Considering loperamide, bile salts showed a positive and cholesterol a negative 326 impact on solubility, respectively. For sulfasalazine solubility, a positive effect of cholesterol 327 was observed, followed by a significant interaction of bile salts and cholesterol and a positive 328 329 effect of the bile salt concentration.

330

340

Main effects/	AZA	BUD	CEL	DIP	LOP	SSZ
BS	+	+		+	+	+
Lec			+	+		
Chol			_	-	-	+
BS/Lec			_			
BS/Chol			+	+		+
Lec/Chol						

Table 2: Significant effects and two-factor interactions in CED fasted state intestinal media. 331

+: positive effect, -: negative effect, BS: bile salts, Lec: lecithin, Chol: cholesterol, AZA: 332 azathioprine, BUD: budesonide, CEL: celecoxib, DIP: dipyridamole, LOP: loperamide, SSZ: 333 334 sulfasalazine

For CED fed state intestinal media, the significant effects and two-factor interactions with an 335

impact on the drug solubility of all six drugs are shown in Table 3. 336

For azathioprine, the bile salt concentration had the highest positive impact on solubility, 337 followed by a positive impact of cholesterol. Considering budesonide solubility, all three main 338 339 effects were significant with the highest positive impact of bile salts, followed by a positive impact of lecithin and a negative impact of cholesterol. The two-factor interactions bile salts/cholesterol and lecithin/cholesterol were also significant but less influential compared to the main effects. For celecoxib, the lecithin concentration had the highest positive impact on its solubility, followed by a positive effect of the bile salt concentration. For dipyridamole, bile salts and cholesterol had a positive impact on solubility. Additionally, the interaction of bile salts and cholesterol was significant. Considering loperamide solubility, a negative impact of cholesterol was observed and a smaller positive effect of the lecithin concentration. For sulfasalazine, only the bile salt concentration had a positive impact on its solubility.

348

Main effects/	AZA	BUD	CEL	DIP	LOP	SSZ
inter actions						
BS	+	+	+	+		+
Lec		+	+		+	
Chol	+	_		+	_	
BS/Lec						
BS/Chol		-		+		
Lec/Chol		_				

Table 3: Significant effects and two-factor interactions in CED fed state intestinal media.

+: positive effect, -: negative effect, BS: bile salts, Lec: lecithin, Chol: cholesterol, AZA:

azathioprine, BUD: budesonide, CEL: celecoxib, DIP: dipyridamole, LOP: loperamide, SSZ:

352 sulfasalazine

353

4.4. Drugs at risk of altered solubility in luminal fluids of CED patients

355 For hydrophilic compounds, only small differences in drug solubility are expected between

356 intestinal fluids of CED patients and healthy subjects as shown by the low impact of CED

357 alterations on azathioprine solubility.

A higher impact of CED on drug solubility is expected for neutral compounds with moderate to high lipophilicity. For these drugs, a higher luminal surfactant concentration (bile salts, lecithin) is expected to result in a higher solubility. It seems to be specific to each drug whether this increase in solubility is mainly driven by bile salts as in the case of budesonide or lecithin as in the case of celecoxib.

A lower risk of altered intestinal solubility in CED is expected for ionisable compounds with moderate to high lipophilicity since drug solubilisation was less impacted by CED changes integrated in the DoE compared to neutral lipophilic compounds.

The investigation of solubility differences for six compounds in simulated gastrointestinal fluids representing CED patients compared to healthy subjects provided an initial biopharmaceutics risk assessment in CED patients. To reach broader conclusions a bigger database including additional compounds is needed.

The present study considered differences in CED patients in terms of luminal concentrations of bile salts, lecithin and cholesterol. More studies are needed to characterise the luminal fluid composition of CED patients to investigate additional differences (e.g., luminal pH since a higher jejunal surface pH has been reported, luminal protein concentrations that are potentially increased by protein leakage through the intestinal membrane), which could not be adequately explored in this study (Kitis et al., 1982).

376 5. Conclusion

In the current study, biorelevant media developed to be representative of the small intestinal fluids in fasted and fed state of CED patients showed differences in media properties and drug solubilisation compared to biorelevant media developed based on healthy subjects. In terms of media properties, some CED media showed a higher surface tension in the fasted state compared to biorelevant media based on healthy subjects, whereas a lower surface tension was 382 observed in some CED media in the fed state. Differences in drug solubility in CED media compared to biorelevant media based on healthy subjects were mainly observed for moderately 383 lipophilic compounds with a higher surfactant concentration (bile salts, lecithin) resulting in 384 385 most cases in a higher drug solubility. The driving factor behind the increase in drug solubility (higher bile salt or lecithin concentration) seemed to be specific to each drug. Further solubility 386 studies with additional compounds would increase the database for biopharmaceutics risk 387 assessment in CED patients and additional studies investigating the composition of luminal 388 contents in CED patients are needed. 389

390 6. Acknowledgements

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 674909 (PEARRL). The authors would like to thank Prof Karen Edler, Prof Roland Jones and Mr Fernando Acosta (University of Bath) for their assistance with surface tension, osmolality and viscosity measurements.

395 7. Declaration of interest

396 None.

398 8. References

- Ali, H.S.M., York, P., Blagden, N., Soltanpour, S., Acree, W.E., Jouyban, A., 2010.
- 400 Solubility of Budesonide, Hydrocortisone, and Prednisolone in Ethanol + Water Mixtures at
- 401 298.2 K. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data 55, 578-582.
- 402 Amidon, G.L., Lennernas, H., Shah, V.P., Crison, J.R., 1995. A theoretical basis for a
- 403 biopharmaceutic drug classification: the correlation of in vitro drug product dissolution and in
- 404 vivo bioavailability. Pharm Res 12, 413-420.
- Baka, E., Comer, J.E., Takacs-Novak, K., 2008. Study of equilibrium solubility measurement
- 406 by saturation shake-flask method using hydrochlorothiazide as model compound. J Pharm
- 407 Biomed Anal 46, 335-341.
- Betageri, G.V., Dipali, S.R., 1993. Partitioning and thermodynamics of dipyridamole in the
 n-octanol/buffer and liposome systems. J Pharm Pharmacol 45, 931-933.
- 410 Bharate, S.S., Kumar, V., Vishwakarma, R.A., 2016. Determining Partition Coefficient (Log
- 411 P), Distribution Coefficient (Log D) and Ionization Constant (pKa) in Early Drug Discovery.
- 412 Comb Chem High Throughput Screen 19, 461-469.
- 413 Bhatt, H., Naik, B., Dharamsi, A., 2014. Solubility Enhancement of Budesonide and
- 414 Statistical Optimization of Coating Variables for Targeted Drug Delivery. J Pharm (Cairo)
 415 2014, 262194.
- 416 Butt, H., Graf, K., Kappl, M., 2004. Liquid Surfaces, in: Butt, H., Graf, K., Kappl, M. (Eds.),
- 417 Physics and Chemistry of Interfaces. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim,
- 418 Germany, pp. 4-25.
- 419 Corey, E.J., Fossel, E.T., 2016. Transdermal formulations of fluticasone (US 2016/0081915).
 420 Google Patents.
- 421 Dickson, C.J., Hornak, V., Pearlstein, R.A., Duca, J.S., 2017. Structure-Kinetic Relationships
- 422 of Passive Membrane Permeation from Multiscale Modeling. J Am Chem Soc 139, 442-452.

- 423 Effinger, A., O'Driscoll, C.M., McAllister, M., Fotaki, N., 2019. Impact of gastrointestinal
- 424 disease states on oral drug absorption implications for formulation design a PEARRL
- 425 review. J Pharm Pharmacol 71, 674-698.
- 426 Effinger, A., O'Driscoll, C.M., McAllister, M., Fotaki, N., 2020. Gastrointestinal diseases and
- 427 their impact on drug solubility. Part I. Crohn's disease. Eur J Pharm Sci (in press).
- 428 G.D. Searle LLC Division of Pfizer Inc, 2019. CELEBREX- celecoxib capsule prescribing
- 429 information, New York, NY, US. Available from:
- 430 <u>http://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx?id=793</u> [accessed 09.06.2019].
- 431 Galia, E., Nicolaides, E., Horter, D., Lobenberg, R., Reppas, C., Dressman, J.B., 1998.
- 432 Evaluation of various dissolution media for predicting in vivo performance of class I and II
- 433 drugs. Pharm Res 15, 698-705.
- 434 Gottlieb, K., Dawson, J., Hussain, F., Murray, J.A., 2015. Development of drugs for celiac
- disease: review of endpoints for Phase 2 and 3 trials. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) 3, 91-102.
- 436 Graham, G.G., Pile, K.D., 2015. Sulfasalazine and Related Drugs, in: Parnham, M. (Ed.),
- 437 Compendium of Inflammatory Diseases. Springer, Basel, Switzerland, pp. 1-5.
- 438 Hansch, C., Leo, A., Hoekman, D., 1995. Exploring QSAR: Hydrophobic, Electronic, and
- 439 Steric Constants. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, US.
- 440 Hopfinger, A.J., Esposito, E.X., Llinas, A., Glen, R.C., Goodman, J.M., 2009. Findings of the
- 441 challenge to predict aqueous solubility. J Chem Inf Model 49, 1-5.
- 442 Jantratid, E., Janssen, N., Reppas, C., Dressman, J.B., 2008. Dissolution media simulating
- 443 conditions in the proximal human gastrointestinal tract: an update. Pharm Res 25, 1663-1676.
- 444 Khoshakhlagh, P., Johnson, R., Langguth, P., Nawroth, T., Schmueser, L., Hellmann, N.,
- 445 Decker, H., Szekely, N.K., 2015. Fasted-State Simulated Intestinal Fluid "FaSSIF-C", a
- 446 Cholesterol Containing Intestinal Model Medium for In Vitro Drug Delivery Development. J
- 447 Pharm Sci 104, 2213-2224.

- 448 Kitis, G., Lucas, M.L., Bishop, H., Sargent, A., Schneider, R.E., Blair, J.A., Allan, R.N.,
- 449 1982. Altered jejunal surface pH in coeliac disease: its effect on propranolol and folic acid
- 450 absorption. Clin Sci (Lond) 63, 373-380.
- 451 Koehler, P., Wieser, H., Konitzer, K., 2014. Chapter 1 Celiac Disease—A Complex Disorder,
- 452 in: Koehler, P., Wieser, H., Konitzer, K. (Eds.), Celiac Disease and Gluten. Academic Press,
- 453 London, UK, pp. 1-96.
- 454 Leffler, D.A., Green, P.H., Fasano, A., 2015. Extraintestinal manifestations of coeliac
- disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 12, 561-571.
- 456 Lindenberg, M., Kopp, S., Dressman, J.B., 2004. Classification of orally administered drugs
- 457 on the World Health Organization Model list of Essential Medicines according to the
- 458 biopharmaceutics classification system. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 58, 265-278.
- 459 Llinas, A., Glen, R.C., Goodman, J.M., 2008. Solubility challenge: can you predict
- solubilities of 32 molecules using a database of 100 reliable measurements? J Chem Inf
- 461 Model 48, 1289-1303.
- Low-Beer, T.S., Heaton, K.W., Pomare, E.W., Read, A.E., 1973. The effect of coeliac
- disease upon bile salts. Gut 14, 204.
- 464 Manallack, D.T., 2007. The pK(a) Distribution of Drugs: Application to Drug Discovery.
- 465 Perspect Medicin Chem 1, 25-38.
- 466 Markopoulos, C., Andreas, C.J., Vertzoni, M., Dressman, J., Reppas, C., 2015. In-vitro
- simulation of luminal conditions for evaluation of performance of oral drug products:
- 468 Choosing the appropriate test media. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 93, 173-182.
- 469 Mitra, A.K., Narurkar, M.M., 1987. Kinetics of azathioprine degradation in aqueous solution.
- 470 Int J Pharm 35, 165-171.

- 471 Oberhuber, G., Granditsch, G., Vogelsang, H., 1999. The histopathology of coeliac disease:
- time for a standardized report scheme for pathologists. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 11, 1185-1194.
- 474 Paulson, S.K., Vaughn, M.B., Jessen, S.M., Lawal, Y., Gresk, C.J., Yan, B., Maziasz, T.J.,
- 475 Cook, C.S., Karim, A., 2001. Pharmacokinetics of celecoxib after oral administration in dogs
- and humans: effect of food and site of absorption. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 297, 638-645.
- 477 Pedersen, A.K., 1979. Specific determination of dipyridamole in serum by high-performance
- 478 liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr 162, 98-103.
- 479 Rabbie, S.C., Flanagan, T., Martin, P.D., Basit, A.W., 2015. Inter-subject variability in
- 480 intestinal drug solubility. Int J Pharm 485, 229-234.
- 481 Riethorst, D., Mols, R., Duchateau, G., Tack, J., Brouwers, J., Augustijns, P., 2016.
- 482 Characterization of Human Duodenal Fluids in Fasted and Fed State Conditions. J Pharm Sci483 105, 673-681.
- 484 Shalaeva, M., Kenseth, J., Lombardo, F., Bastin, A., 2008. Measurement of dissociation
- 485 constants (pKa values) of organic compounds by multiplexed capillary electrophoresis using
- 486 aqueous and cosolvent buffers. J Pharm Sci 97, 2581-2606.
- 487 Shono, Y., Jantratid, E., Janssen, N., Kesisoglou, F., Mao, Y., Vertzoni, M., Reppas, C.,
- 488 Dressman, J.B., 2009. Prediction of food effects on the absorption of celecoxib based on
- 489 biorelevant dissolution testing coupled with physiologically based pharmacokinetic
- 490 modeling. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 73, 107-114.
- 491 Soderlind, E., Karlsson, E., Carlsson, A., Kong, R., Lenz, A., Lindborg, S., Sheng, J.J., 2010.
- 492 Simulating fasted human intestinal fluids: understanding the roles of lecithin and bile acids.
- 493 Mol Pharm 7, 1498-1507.
- 494 Tran, T.H., Smith, C., Mangione, R.A., 2013. Drug absorption in celiac disease. Am J Health
- 495 Syst Pharm 70, 2199-2206.

- 496 Turner, G.D., Dunne, M.R., Ryan, A.W., 2015. Celiac Disease: Background and Historical
- 497 Context, in: Ryan, A.W. (Ed.), Celiac Disease: Methods and Protocols. Springer, New York,
 498 NY, US, pp. 3-14.
- 499 Vertzoni, M., Diakidou, A., Chatzilias, M., Soderlind, E., Abrahamsson, B., Dressman, J.B.,
- 500 Reppas, C., 2010. Biorelevant media to simulate fluids in the ascending colon of humans and
- their usefulness in predicting intracolonic drug solubility. Pharm Res 27, 2187-2196.
- 502 Vertzoni, M., Dressman, J., Butler, J., Hempenstall, J., Reppas, C., 2005. Simulation of
- 503 fasting gastric conditions and its importance for the in vivo dissolution of lipophilic
- compounds. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 60, 413-417.
- 505 Vuoristo, M., Miettinen, T.A., 1985. Increased Biliary Lipid Secretion in Celiac Disease.
- 506 Gastroenterology 88, 134-142.
- 507 Xie, X., Cardot, J.M., Garrait, G., Thery, V., El-Hajji, M., Beyssac, E., 2014. Micelle
- 508 dynamic simulation and physicochemical characterization of biorelevant media to reflect
- 509 gastrointestinal environment in fasted and fed states. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 88, 565-573.
- 510 Zaki, N.M., Artursson, P., Bergstrom, C.A., 2010. A modified physiological BCS for
- 511 prediction of intestinal absorption in drug discovery. Mol Pharm 7, 1478-1487.

514 **Figure Legends**

Figure 1: Design of Experiments for the development of Celiac disease intestinal biorelevant
media (*value observed in human intestinal fluids (Riethorst et al., 2016)).

517 Figure 2: Surface tension (blue, left y-axis) and osmolality (rose, right y-axis) of Celiac

518 disease biorelevant media according to the Design of Experiment (green: high level, red: low

519 level, white: healthy) and healthy media (H).

520 Figure 3: Dynamic viscosity of biorelevant media based on Celiac disease patients and the

521 corresponding biorelevant media based on healthy subjects (H) at different shear stress (0.06

522 Pa: blue, 0.08 Pa: red, 0.15 Pa: black) according to the Design of Experiments (green: high

523 level, red: low level, white: healthy).

524 Figure 4: % Relative effect (RE) on the solubility of neutral (at pH 5.8-6.5) investigated

525 drugs in Celiac disease intestinal biorelevant media compared to the corresponding media

526 based on healthy subjects according to Design of Experiments (red: low concentration of

527 cholesterol, blue: high concentration of cholesterol, grey point: medium based on healthy

528 subjects).

529 Figure 5: % Relative effect on the solubility of weak acids and bases in Celiac disease

530 intestinal biorelevant media compared to the corresponding media based on healthy subjects

according to Design of Experiments (red: low concentration of cholesterol, blue: high

532 concentration of cholesterol, grey point: medium based on healthy subjects).

533

534

	Celiac disease			
Prandial state	Fasted state		Fed state	
Compartment	intestine		intestine	
Level	low	high	low	high
Bile salts [mM]	3.0	5.1	10.0	17.0
Lecithin [mM]	0.2	0.6	2.0	6.0
Cholesterol [mM]	0.08*	0.16	0.57*	1.14

increase
value in healthy biorelevant media







