ORGANOMETALLICS



pubs.acs.org/Organometallics

Diverging Pathways in the Activation of Allenes with Lewis Acids and Bases: Addition, 1,2-Carboboration, and Cyclization

Rebecca L. Melen,^{*,†,‡} Lewis C. Wilkins,[†] Benson M. Kariuki,[†] Hubert Wadepohl,[‡] Lutz H. Gade,[‡] A. Stephen K. Hashmi,^{§,||} Douglas W. Stephan,[⊥] and Max M. Hansmann^{*,§}

[†]School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Cardiff CF10 3AT, Cymru/Wales, U.K.

[‡]Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut and [§]Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

^{II}Chemistry Department, King Abdulaziz University (KAU), Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3H6

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The reactions of allenes with frustrated (or cooperative) Lewis acid/base pairs result in the 1,4-addition of the base pair to the allene. The reactions of allenyl ketones and esters just in the presence of the strong Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$ afford the selective formation of the 1,2-carboboration products. In both cases the Lewis acid activates the allene to either a C_6F_5 migration or nucleophilic attack by the Lewis base. In addition to the 1,2-carboboration pathway, which can be viewed as being triggered by activation of the ketone (σ -activation), in the case of allenyl esters the corresponding cyclization products are observed in the presence of water.

INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) has exploded since their first report in 2006.¹ The combination of a Lewis acid and a Lewis base where steric hindrance impedes classical Lewis acid/base adduct formation constitutes an FLP. Owing to the unquenched Lewis acidity and basicity, such systems display unique reactivity and are capable of activating small molecules such as H₂, CO₂, N₂O, SO₂, alkenes, and alkynes, inter alia.² In the case of H₂ activation, FLPs have been employed in metal-free hydrogenation catalysis for the reduction of a variety of unsaturated substrates,^{2,3} including enantioselective hydrogenations.⁴ FLPs have also been shown to effect 1,2-additions to both alkynes and alkenes. In these cases, the Lewis base (e.g., amines, phosphines, thiols, or amides) acts as the nucleophile and the Lewis acid (usually a borane or alane) as the electrophile (Scheme 1, path I).⁵ This has also been extended to 1,4-addition processes in the case of 1,3-dienes,⁶ 1,3-enynes, and 1,3-diynes.⁷ In this context, we have implemented the strong Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to activate the alkyne moiety, thus promoting intramolecular attack by nucleophilic amide or ester functionalities.^{8,9} In the case of terminal propargyl esters intramolecular cyclization onto the π activated alkyne followed by a subsequent C₆F₅ shift from boron to carbon (1,1-carboboration) leads to cyclic allyl boron reagents, which can then undergo a rearrangement reaction to yield the formal 1,3-carboboration products (Scheme 1, path II).⁹ While π -Lewis acidic transition metals such as gold can interact strongly with π -bonds,¹⁰ the corresponding boron- π -



Scheme 1. Boron-Mediated π Activation of Alkenes and Alkynes



bond complex has never been isolated, although weak van der Waals interactions have been detected.¹¹ In FLP chemistry, computational studies have shown that an "encounter complex" forms between the Lewis acid ($B(C_6F_5)_3$), the Lewis base (tBu_3P), and ethylene in which both the Lewis acid and base components of the FLP interact simultaneously with the alkene.¹² In these calculations, the addition reaction was shown to be asynchronous, with the C–B bond forming slightly before P–C bond formation. However, in other cases it was calculated that the borane initially associated with ethylene, which was then followed by 1,2-FLP addition.¹³

Received: June 23, 2015 Published: August 13, 2015 Carboboration reactions of alkynes result in the breaking of the C–B bond in the R_3B starting material and the formation of both R–C and C–BR₂ bonds^{14,15} providing a versatile synthetic method to substituted alkenylboranes. Such species have found applications in a variety of organic transformations, including cross-coupling reactions.¹⁶ Typically, transitionmetal-based catalysts such as those with copper, palladium, and nickel have been utilized to effect carboboration reactions,¹⁷ although Ohmiya and Sawamura et al. recently reported an elegant approach to afford 1,2-trans-carboboration products using phosphine organocatalysis with alkynoates (Scheme 2, path I).¹⁸ Earlier reports of metal-free, *noncatalyzed*

Scheme 2. Catalyzed and Noncatalyzed Carboboration Reactions

a) Main group catalyzed carboboration reactions



b) Non-catalyzed transition metal free carboboration reactions

"Wrackmever Ln₩⊖ reaction II) $R^1 \longrightarrow ML_n + BR_3$ ML_n = SiR₃, GeR₃, SnR₃, PbR₃, PtL_n B(C₆F₅)₃ B(C₆F₅)₂ III) -R² R¹ _ 1.1-Carboboration R^2 IV) <u>—</u> R² R¹ svn-1.2-Carboboration

carboboration reactions described as the "Wrackmeyer reaction" involved "activated" alkynes such as acetylides derived from the heavier group 14 elements or terminal metal alkynyl species which reacted in the presence of trialkylboranes.^{14,19} In these cases, a 1,2-shift reaction leads to 1,1-carboboration products which are postulated to proceed through the formation of alkynylborates (Scheme 2, path II). In the past few years, the Erker group extended this methodology to "normal" terminal and internal alkynes utilizing the strong Lewis acids $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and $RB(C_6F_5)_2$ to generate alkenylboranes in a 1,1-carboboration reaction (Scheme 2, path III) 14,15 in contrast to 1,2-hydroborations.²⁰ However, recent work by Ingleson and Bourissou has described borenium systems that effect metal-free syn-1,2-carboborations: for example, in the selective transfer of phenyl and thienyl groups from the borenium system to the alkyne (Scheme 2, path IV).²¹

Herein, we report the reactions of (frustrated) Lewis acid/ base pairs with allenes and also show that in the absence of the Lewis base metal-free regioselective 1,2-carboboration reactions of allenes take place using the strong Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$. Interestingly, Alcarazo et al. studied the FLP-catalyzed hydrogenation of electron-deficient allenes, although the addition reactions of FLPs in the absence of hydrogen were not described.²²

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reactivity of Allenyl Ketones toward Cooperative Lewis Pairs. We initially investigated the reactions of Lewis acid/base pairs with allenes in the anticipation that the Lewis acid and base could add across the terminal alkene unit of the allene similarly to that observed for the 1,2-addition of FLPs with alkenes and alkynes (Scheme 1, path I).⁵ The allene starting materials 1a-e bearing an electron-withdrawing ketone functionality were synthesized according to standard literature protocols, involving homopropargylation mediated by the in situ generated organozinc compounds followed by Dess-Martin periodinane oxidation (see the Supporting Information). The allenyl ketones **1a–e** were subsequently reacted with the Lewis acid $B(C_6F_3)_3$ and a tertiary phosphine $(P(o-tol)_3)_3$ $P(m-FC_6H_4)_3$, $P(p-OMeC_6H_4)_3$, PBn_3) in a 1:1:1 stoichiometric ratio. The in situ NMR-scale reaction of 1a-e with the Lewis acid/base combination in CDCl₂ resulted in an immediate color change to give orange or red solutions in all cases. The reactions were extremely rapid and clean, as observed by NMR (1 H, 19 F, 11 B, 13 C, 31 P) spectroscopy, yielding the products 2a-k (Scheme 3) quantitatively by NMR spectroscopy under ambient conditions, although the isolated yields were sometimes lower. The ¹¹B NMR spectra were all very similar for compounds 2, displaying a resonance between

Scheme 3. Reactions of Allenyl Ketones 1 with FLPs To Give 2^a



^aIsolated yields are indicated.

-3.5 and -4.0 ppm. With the exception of **2f**,**g**, the ¹⁹F spectra revealed three signals in a 2:2:1 ratio due to the ortho (δ -132.7 to -133.3 ppm), meta (δ -164.9 to -165.9 ppm), and para (δ -160.1 to -161.2 ppm) fluorine atoms on the pentafluorophenyl rings. For **2f**,**g**, an additional signal of intensity 1 was also observed at δ -105.5 and -105.2 ppm, respectively, due to the *m*-fluorine atoms on the phosphine. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra showed a signal due to the phosphine employed in the reaction: P(*o*-tol)₃ (δ 22.8–23.6 ppm (**2b**-**e**)), P(*m*-FC₆H₅)₃ (δ 22.7–22.8 ppm (**2f**,**g**)), P(*p*-OMeC₆H₅)₃ (δ 21.7 ppm (**2h**,**i**)), PBn₃ (δ 25.0–25.2 ppm (**2j**,**k**)).

In the case of $2\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}$ crystals could be obtained from the CDCl₃ solution. In addition, the stoichiometric reaction of **1b** with B(C₆F₅)₃ and P(*o*-tol)₃ on a preparative scale in toluene followed by recrystallization from hexane/toluene yielded a small crop of colorless crystals. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction of the crystals **2a**-**c**,**f**,**j**,**k** confirmed the identity of these species as the products arising from the addition of the Lewis acid/base pairs to the allene oxygen and β -carbon atom of the allene (Figures 1 and 2).

The metric parameters for $2\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}$ are very similar (Table 1). Although the two double bonds $C^1=C^2$ and $C^3=C^4$ are conjugated, in the solid state the $O-C^1-C^2-C^3-C^4$ system is not completely planar with the C^3-C^4 bond twisting out of the $O-C^1-C^2$ plane, consistent with reduced delocalization of electron density across the π -system. The torsion angles between the $C^1C^2C^3$ plane and the $C^2C^3C^4$ plane are 29.5(3)° (2a), 23.8(5)° (2b), 23.8(3)° (2c), 14.2(4)° (2f), 22.5(3)° (2j), and 21.9(2)° (2k). This twisting effect presumably arises through crystal packing. Additionally, the aryl ring attached to C^1 also rotates out of the $O-C^1-C^2$ plane, presumably also a result of steric hindrance. In all cases, it can be seen from the crystal structure that the two alkenes adopt an s-cis configuration.

The Lewis acid/base addition reaction is thought to be initiated by adduct formation between the strong Lewis acid and the ketone oxygen atom through a σ -activation mode. Our previous studies on the reactivity of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with related propargyl amides and esters revealed that adduct formation occurred immediately, albeit reversibly, under ambient conditions.^{8,9} This O-coordination has the effect of removing electron density from the β -position of the allene, resulting in the buildup of a large partial positive charge at this carbon atom. This renders the β -position susceptible to nucleophilic attack (conjugate addition) from the phosphine resulting in 2a-k (Scheme 4). The independent reaction of phosphines with the allenes in the absence of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ showed no reaction, as evidenced by the ³¹P and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra, which only show free phosphine. This does not rule out addition of the phosphine to the allene which may be rapid and reversible at room temperature but rather suggests that $B(C_6F_5)_3$ is necessary for the isolation of the addition product.²²

Reactivity of Allenyl Ketones and Esters toward B(C_6F_5)₃. The study of the intrinsic reactivity of allene substrates with B(C_6F_5)₃ in the absence of added Lewis base was subsequently investigated. The 1:1 stoichiometric reactions of the allenes 1a-e with B(C_6F_3)₃ proceeded very rapidly at room temperature (<30 min) to give new compounds in which the B(C_6F_5)₃ underwent a regioselective 1,2-carboboration reaction with the terminal C=C moiety of the allene. Interestingly, Alcarazo et al. observed in the case of electron



Figure 1. Solid-state molecular structures of 2a-c,f (from top to bottom): C, black; O, red; B, yellow-green; F, pink; P, orange. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

-rich allenes nucleophilic attack of the central carbon atom onto $B(C_6F_5)_3$ but did not observe any carboboration due to the enhanced stability of the allene—borane products generated.²² Products **3a**—**e** were identified as α,β -unsaturated ketones with a pentafluorophenyl group at the β -position (Scheme 5). The reactions were selective, giving products in which the double-bond configuration is exclusively *E*. The products were fully characterized by multinuclear NMR, mass spectrometry, and/or elemental analysis. In the ¹¹B NMR spectrum a single broad peak observed around 0 ppm is attributed to coordination of the borane to the ketone oxygen atom, yielding an intra-



Figure 2. Solid-state molecular structures of 2j,k (from top to bottom): C, black; O, red; B, yellow-green; F, pink; P, orange; Cl, aquamarine. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

molecular chelate analogous to those observed previously for related allyl boron products.⁹ In the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum six peaks are observed for the two different C₆F₅ rings in a 2:1 ratio.

Crystals of 3a,b suitable for X-ray diffraction could be obtained by dissolving the solid in a few drops of toluene with the addition of 1 drop of hexane and maintaining the temperature at -40 °C. X-ray diffraction analysis confirmed the products as being $\alpha_{\beta}\beta$ -unsaturated ketones in which a C₆F₅ group has been transferred to the β -position of the allene and the boron transferred to the γ -position in a net 1,2carboboration reaction (Figure 3). The structural parameters for the C₄OB heterocycle in the products 3a,b are the same within error and are included in Table 2.

Further proof of the identity of these compounds was obtained from the reactions of the allenyl esters 4a,b with $B(C_6F_3)_3$ (Scheme 6). These allenes contain ester functionalities rather than ketones as in the allenes 1 and could be easily prepared in one step in a modified Wittig reaction with the corresponding acyl chlorides (see the Supporting Information).

Table 1. Experimental Bond Lengths (Å) in 2a-c,f,j,k

1.818(2)

1.825(3)

 C^3-P

evaporation of volatiles from a hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (5a) or

1.811(2)

In the case of 5a,b, the structural analysis confirmed that $B(C_6F_5)_3$ had added in a 1,2-fashion across the π -bond of the allene distant from the ester group, with one of the C₆F₅ groups

1.800(2)

Unlike the reactions of 1 with $B(C_6F_5)_3$, which were rapid at

room temperature, the 1:1 reactions of the allenes 4a,b with

 $B(C_6F_5)_3$ were inconclusive by in situ NMR in CDCl₃.

However, the preparative reaction in CH₂Cl₂ showed evidence

of the 1,2-carboboration products 5a,b, respectively, which

were formed as a racemic mixture (Scheme 6). Slow





Scheme 4. Reactions of Allenes with Lewis Acid/Base Pairs







^aIsolated yields are indicated.

1.811(1)

1.804(1)



Figure 3. Solid-state molecular structures of **3a** (top) and **3b** (bottom, toluene solvent omitted for clarity): C, black; O, red; B, yellow-green; F, pink. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

regioselective, with the C_6F_5 group being transferred to the β position of the allene and the $B(C_6F_5)_2$ group being installed at the γ -position. The reactions were also selective, giving the *E* isomer, which is presumably a result of the intramolecular nature of the reaction and due to the formation of a stable sixmembered chelate product via intramolecular coordination of the ester oxygen to the Lewis-acidic boron center. In both cases the compounds showed a broad peak in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum at 4.2 ppm (**5a**) and 4.5 ppm (**5b**) typical for boron adducts. The structural parameters are summarized in Table 2.

However, the reaction outcome was altered by traces of water in the mixture of allenyl ester 4c and $B(C_6F_5)_3$. In this case the corresponding γ -lactone cyclization product (6c) in which the lactone carbonyl oxygen atom coordinates to $B(C_6F_5)_3$ was obtained in 56% yield (Scheme 7). This compound formulation was verified by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 5) and resembles compounds typically obtained in catalytic reactions with π -Lewis acidic transition metals such as gold and palladium.^{24,25} Further attempts to





bond	3a	3Ь	5a		
					5b
C^1-C^2	1.437(4)	1.440(3)	1.4661(3)	1.4636(3)	1.4655(16)
$C^{2}-C^{3}$	1.352(3)	1.355(2)	1.3416(3)	1.3482(3)	1.3565(16)
$C^{3}-C^{4}$	1.496(3)	1.497(3)	1.5190(3)	1.5180(3)	1.5235(17)
C^1-O	1.268(3)	1.277(2)	1.2554(2)	1.2557(2)	1.2613(15)
О-В	1.569(3)	1.565(2)	1.5732(3)	1.5723(3)	1.5660(16)
$B-C^4$	1.604(3)	1.603(3)	1.6183(3)	1.6148(3)	1.6136(17)

^{*a*}5a has two molecules in the asymmetric unit.



Figure 4. Solid-state molecular structures of **5a** (top, only one of the two independent molecules is shown) and **5b** (bottom): C, black; O, red; B, yellow-green; F, pink. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Scheme 7. Reactions of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with Allenyl Ester 4c



trigger these cyclization reactions in a catalytic fashion are ongoing but are challenging, as complete suppression of the 1,2-carboboration pathway is required in order to promote lactone formation.



Figure 5. Solid-state structure of compound 6c: C, black; O, red; B, yellow-green; F, pink. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Mechanistically, we attribute the generation of the 1,2carboboration and cyclization products to two different potential activation modes: σ -activation (dative bond formation between the carbonyl oxygen atom and boron) and π -activation (Scheme 8). It is possible that a weak van der Waals interaction

Scheme 8. Proposed Mechanism for σ vs π Activation of Allenyl Esters



between the borane and π -bond occurs prior to 1,2-addition, as observed in FLP chemistry,¹¹ although another possible explanation would be the formation of a covalently bound borate—carbocation intermediate. In the case of alkene—borane π -activation of the allene moiety (**IV**) a vinyl borate compound is formed (**V**). This reactivity is analogous to that observed in transition-metal-catalyzed reactions.²⁵ Although a pathway involving the B(C₆F₅)₃-mediated generation of a strong Brønsted acid (H⁺) in the presence of water cannot be excluded, we observe a small sharp peak at δ –15.8 ppm in the

in situ ¹¹B NMR spectrum in the 1:1 reaction of allenyl ester 4c and $B(C_6F_5)_3$. This might be attributed to the generation of the vinyl borate compound V (in the cyclization of propargyl amides the corresponding vinyl borate compounds exhibited very similar chemical shifts in the ¹¹B NMR spectra $\delta \sim -16$ ppm).^{8,23} In the case where water is present, hydrolysis of V takes place releasing the γ -lactone 6 via protodeboronation of the C-B bond and dealkylation of the oxygen atom.²⁶ The presence of water appears necessary to generate a driving force for the cyclization event, as in its absence conversion to the vinyl borate could not be observed. This suggests a reversible cyclization process, which can only be trapped by the presence of a suitable nucleophile which acts to dealkylate the oxygen atom generating the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -substituted lactone. Subsequent protodeboronation regenerates $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and yields the γ lactone.

The alternative bond activation process leading to the 1,2carboboration product is triggered by the σ -activation of the carbonyl moiety. Here, adduct formation between the Lewis acid and the ketone oxygen atom reduces the electron density from the β -position of the allene moiety, generating a partial positive charge while at the same time increasing the nucleophilicity of the $B-C_6F_5$ bond (I and II). This facilitates migration of the C_6F_5 group to the β -position of the allene, yielding the dienyloxyborane III which undergoes a [1,5]-B sigmatropic shift to give the products 3/5. The diastereoselective formation of the double bond in these reactions is presumably due to the formation of a six-membered intramolecular chelate that dictates the geometry of the double bond in the product. This mechanism is also in agreement with the observed selectivity: while the allenyl ketones coordinate more strongly to $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and therefore strongly polarize the allene moiety, the 1,2-carboboration mechanism overpowers other reaction pathways. In contrast, the corresponding allenyl esters form much weaker adducts;²⁷ therefore, alternative reaction pathways become accessible. In the absence of water 1,2-carboboration products 5 are formed, while in its presence the cyclization pathway yielding 6 can dominate.

Theoretical Considerations. Two sets of representative DFT calculations were undertaken to probe the activity of these acceptor-substituted allenes with respect to (i) addition of a Lewis acid/base pair and (ii) addition of the Lewis acid followed by a subsequent C_6F_5 migration. In the first instance addition of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to the parent allenyl ketone 1a was considered followed by addition of triphenylphosphine. In each case the structures were fully optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory, followed by thermodynamic calculations based on single-point B3LYP/6-311G*+ computations which were ZPE corrected. Furthermore, a NBO analysis was carried out to probe changes to the bonding scenario. These reveal that σ coordination of the Lewis acid to the allenyl ketone (Lewis acid/base coordination) is thermodynamically favorable ($\Delta H =$ -23 kJ/mol) and leads to an increase in partial charge on the central carbon atom of the allene from +0.12 to +0.21 e. Subsequent addition of PPh₃ is also thermodynamically favorable ($\Delta H = -55$ kJ/mol). The geometric parameters for the final geometry-optimized structure are in good agreement with experimental data. Similarly, studies were undertaken to probe the activation of the allenyl ester MeCH=C= CMeCO₂Me (4d) by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ followed by C_6F_5 migration. These revealed that initial adduct formation was also favorable by 12 kJ/mol and that C_6F_5 -group migration was favored by 111 kJ/mol. Attempts to identify a concerted process in which C_6F_5 migration occurred concomitantly with C–B bond formation proved unsuccessful, suggesting a multistep migration process with one or more transient intermediates. An NBO analysis of the coordination of the Lewis acid to the allenyl ester (4d) revealed that while partial charge on the central carbon center of the allene increased upon coordination (from +0.13 to +0.16 e), this increase in partial positive charge was much less pronounced (almost negligible) than that observed with allenyl ketones (see above). This is in accordance with the observations that the allenyl ketones undergo much more rapid C_6F_5 -group transfer than the corresponding allenyl esters (see the Supporting Information).

CONCLUSIONS

Addition of a (frustrated) Lewis acid-base pair to acceptorsubstituted allenes (allenyl ketones or esters) occurs via O coordination of the ketone to the Lewis acid with the associated activation of the central allene carbon atom toward nucleophilic attack by the phosphine. In the absence of a Lewis base two reaction pathways need to be discriminated: σ -oxygen activation vs π -allene activation. While in the case of the strongly polarized and strongly coordinating allenyl ketones exclusive σ -activation triggers a 1,2-carboboration mechanism, allenyl esters can undergo alternative reaction pathways. In the presence of water the formation of γ -lactone products could be observed, which is reminiscent of reactivity typically effected by π -Lewis acidic transition metals. We should note that the 1,2carboboration products of the reactions with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ generate organoboron compounds, which might have applications in organic synthesis. Future studies will aim at addressing their reactivity toward organic electrophiles as well as investigating the *catalytic* synthesis of γ -lactones using B(C₆F₅)₃.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Considerations. With the exception of the synthesis of starting materials, all reactions and manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of dry, O2-free nitrogen using standard double-manifold techniques with a rotary oil pump. An argon- or nitrogen-filled glovebox (MBRAUN) was used to manipulate solids, including the storage of starting materials, roomtemperature reactions, product recovery, and sample preparation for analysis. All solvents (toluene, CH2Cl2, pentane, hexane) were dried by employing a Grubbs-type column system (Innovative Technology) or an MB SPS-800 solvent purification system and stored under a nitrogen atmosphere. Deuterated solvents were distilled and/or dried over molecular sieves before use. Chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. ¹H, ¹³C, ¹¹B, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance II 400, Bruker Avance 500, Bruker Avance III 600, and JEOL 300 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are expressed as parts per million (ppm, δ) downfield of tetramethylsilane (TMS) and are referenced to CDCl_3 (7.26/77.16 ppm) and CD₂Cl₂ (5.32/53.80 ppm) as internal standards. NMR spectra were referenced to CFCl₃ (¹⁹F), H₃PO₄ (³¹P) and BF₃·Et₂O/ $CDCl_3$ (¹¹B). The description of signals include the following: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad. All coupling constants are absolute values, and J values are expressed in hertz (Hz). ¹³C NMR spectra were all measured as ¹H-decoupled. IR spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu IRAffinity-1 spectrophotometer, Elemental analysis was conducted by Mr. Stephen Boyer of London Metropolitan University. Mass spectra were obtained in house using a Waters LCT Premier/XE or a Waters GCT Premier spectrometer and were calculated using ¹⁰B. All spectra were analyzed assuming a first-order approximation. For the synthesis of the allene starting materials (1) and the reactions of 1 with phosphines along with ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{11}B$, ${}^{13}C$, ${}^{19}F$, ${}^{31}P$, and ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR spectra for all compounds see the Supporting Information.

Synthesis of 2. General Procedure. $PR_3~(0.1~mmol, 1~equiv)$ in $CDCl_3~(0.5~mL)$ was added to $B(C_6F_5)_3~(51~mg, 0.1~mmol, 1~equiv)$ to give a pale yellow solution, which was then transferred to 1 (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv) to give a red solution. The mixture was transferred to an NMR tube, and the $^1H,~^{13}C~^{11}B,~^{19}F,~^{31}P~and~^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectra were measured. Multinuclear NMR reaction spectra for 2a were not recorded due to the product precipitating out of solution upon reaction; similarly, an in situ $^{13}C~$ NMR spectrum of 2c was not recorded for the same reason.

Compound 2a. Yield: 58.3 mg, 88.9 μ mol, 89%. IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3054, 2987, 2685, 2412, 2305, 1642, 1558, 1515, 1466, 1423, 1266, 1087, 980, 896, 747, 735, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for [M – H]⁻ [C₄₉H₂₈BF₁₅OP]⁻, 958.1768; found, 958.1782.

Compound 2b. Yield: 65 mg, 66.7 µmol, 67%. Mp: 178-193 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.37–7.07 (m, 12H, phosphine C–H), 7.37–7.07 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 5.40 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 53$ Hz, 1H, C–H), 5.22 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 26$ Hz, 1H, C–H), 4.34 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 11$ Hz, 1H, C=CH) 2.45 (s, br, 3H, Ar-CH₃), 2.25 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.78 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.59 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 165.2 (s), 151.5 (s), 148.9 (s), 146.9 (s), 138.4 (s), 137.5 (s), 134.9 (s), 133.6 (s), 132.2 (s), 130.9 (s), 129.7 (s), 127.7 (s), 93.7 (s), 22.6 (s), 21.5 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -4.0 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -132.7 (m, 2F, o-F), -161.1 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -165.9 (m, 2F, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{FF} = 20$ Hz, ${}^{1}J_{FF}$ *m*-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.9 (s, br). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 23.0 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2987, 2925, 2853, 2687, 2412, 2306, 1643, 1586, 1558, 1513, 1465, 1423, 1390, 1373, 1266, 1202, 1137, 1114, 1087, 997, 980, 927, 897, 836, 809, 742, 707. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^-$ [C₅₀H₃₀BF₁₅OP]⁻, 972.1924; found, 972.1971. Anal. Calcd for C50H31BF15OP: C, 61.62; H, 3.21. Found: C, 61.68; H, 3.14.

Compound 2c. Yield: 47 mg, 47.4 μmol, 47%. Mp: 176–187 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.28–7.14 (m, 12H, phosphine C–H), 7.52 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 2H, *o*-H), 6.89 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 2H, *m*-H), 5.54 (d, ³*J*_{HP} = 52 Hz, 1H, C–H), 5.35 (d, ³*J*_{HP} = 26 Hz, 1H, C–H), 4.42 (d, ³*J*_{HP} = 11 Hz, 1H, C=C–H), 3.79 (s, 3H, Ar– OCH₃), 2.45 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.85 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.65 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): –4.0 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): –132.7 (m, 2F, *o*-F), –161.1 (t, ³*J*_{FF} = 21 Hz, 1F, *p*-F), –165.9 (m, 2F, *m*-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.7 (s, br). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.8 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2988, 2931, 2856, 2687, 2524, 2411, 2306, 1713, 1643, 1610, 1587, 1557, 1513, 1465, 1423, 1390, 1373, 1267, 1175, 1138, 1088, 1032, 980, 925, 908, 897, 842, 808, 756, 724, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: *m/z* calculated for [M – H]⁻ [C₅₀H₃₀BF₁₅O₂P]⁻, 988.1873; found, 988.1891. Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₃₁BF₁₅O₂P·CDCl₃: C, 55.14; H, 2.99. Found: C, 55.07; H, 2.26.

Compound 2d. Yield: 98 mg, 98.5 µmol, 99%. Mp: 186-194 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.15–7.17 (m, 12H, phosphine C–H), 7.53 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8.5 Hz, o-H), 7.34 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8.5 Hz, m-H), 5.52 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 52 Hz, 1H, C–H), 5.38 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 25 Hz, 1H, C–H), 4.48 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 10 Hz, 1H, C-H), 2.44 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.83 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.66 (s, br, 3H, phosphine CH₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 163.8 (m), 149.1 (s), 147.2 (s), 143.9 (s), 143.2 (s), 143.2 (s), 139.0 (s), 137.8 (s), 135.3 (s), 134.7 (s), 134.0 (s), 133.5 (s), 130.0 (s), 129.7 (s), 129.5 (s), 129.3 (s), 126.6 (s), 113.5 (s), 94.6 (m), 26.0 (s), 22.8 (s, br), 21.5 (s), 19.4 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.9 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -132.9 (m, 2F, o-F), -160.8 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -165.6 (m, 2F, m-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 23.0 (s, br). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 23.1 (s). IR (cm^{-1}) : ν_{max} 3055, 2987, 2685, 2412, 2308, 1644, 1585, 1556, 1515, 1488, 1466, 1422, 1390, 1371, 1296, 1267, 1202, 1171, 1136, 1122, 1088, 1032, 1016, 996, 979, 926, 910, 898, 844, 808, 750, 729, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^- [C_{49}H_{27}BClF_{15}OP]^-$, 992.1378; found, 992.1411.

Compound 2e. Yield: 100 mg, 88.1 μ mol, 88%. Mp: 98–115 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.59–6.84 (m, 12H, phosphine C–H), 7.59–6.84 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.59–6.84 (m, br, 2H, furan C–

H), 5.87 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 50$ Hz, 1H, C–H), 5.63 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 23$ Hz, 1H, C– H), 4.36 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H, C=C–H), 2.30 (s, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.79 (s, 3H, phosphine CH₃), 1.58 (s, 3H, phosphine CH₃). 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 151.5 (s), 150.7 (m), 148.3 (s), 147.7 (s), 145.8 (s), 138.6 (s), 136.5 (s), 133.9 (s), 129.8 (s), 128.1 (s), 127.4 (s), 126.8 (s), 125.3 (s), 114.1 (s), 112.2 (s), 95.2 (m), 21.6 (s), 20.0 (m). 11 B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.6 (s, br). 19 F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -132.9 (m, 2F, o-F), -161.2 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -165.7 (m, 2F, m-F). 31 P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 23.6 (s), 19.7 (s, br). 31 P 11 H NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 23.6 (s), 19.7 (s, br). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2988, 2686, 2522, 2412, 2306, 1712, 1645, 1593, 1566, 1555, 1514, 1466, 1424, 1368, 1265, 1223, 1170, 1137, 1087, 1025, 978, 897, 808, 753, 723, 705. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for [M - H]⁻ [C₅₃H₂₉BClF₁₅O₂P]⁻, 1058.1484; found, 1058.1536.

Compound 2f. Yield: 55 mg, 54.9 µmol, 55%. Mp: 151–164 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.71-7.48 (m, 3H, phosphine C-H), 7.39 (t, br, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, 3H, phosphine C–H), 7.34 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} =$ 8.2 Hz, 2H, o-H), 7.16 (m, 3H, phosphine C-H), 7.05 (m, 3H, phosphine C–H), 6.74 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.2$ Hz, 2H, m-H), 5.63 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 53$ Hz, 1H, =C–H), 5.06 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 25$ Hz, 1H, =C–H), 4.45 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} =$ 9.8 Hz, 1H, =С-H), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³С NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 166.0 (m), 163.8 (m), 161.9 (m), 160.0 (s), 148.6 (s), 139.6 (s), 137.5 (m), 135.4 (m), 132.9 (s), 132.6 (m), 131.1 (s), 129.7 (s), 129.7 (s), 129.4 (s), 123.1 (m), 120.6 (m), 119.4 (s), 118.8 (s), 114.0 (s), 92.3 (m), 55.1 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.8 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -105.5 (m, 1F, phosphine), -133.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 22$ Hz, 2F, o-F), 160.8 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 1F, p-F), 165.6 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 2F, m-F). ${}^{31}P$ NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.6 (s). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.7 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2987, 2686, 2411, 2306, 1644, 1610, 1585, 1556, 1514, 1480, 1465, 1423, 1270, 1262, 1237, 1175, 1098, 1090, 1033, 999, 981, 925, 897, 844, 794, 757, 723, 706. HRMS AP+: m/z calculated for $[M]^+$ $[C_{47}H_{22}BF_{18}O_2P]^+$, 1002.1163; found, 1002.1166. Anal. Calcd for $C_{47}H_{22}BF_{18}O_2P$: C, 56.31; H, 2.21. Found: C, 56.27; H, 2.18.

Compound 2g. Yield: 93 mg, 92.4 µmol, 92%. Mp: 119-141 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.54–7.02 (m, 12H, phosphine C-H) 7.54–7.02 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 5.62 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 53$ Hz, 1H, =C-H), 5.11 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 25$ Hz, 1H, =C-H), 4.52 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 9.4$ Hz, 1H, =CH). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 165.3 (m), 164.4 (m), 162.3 (m), 149.1 (m), 147.2 (m), 140.2 (m), 137.9 (m), 137.7 (s), 135.8 (m), 135.2 (s), 134.2 (m), 133.1 (m), 130.8 (m), 130.0 (m), 129.4 (m), 127.9 (s), 127.3 (s), 123.8 (m), 121.2 (m), 119.7 (m), 119.0 (m), 117.0 (m), 93.6 (m). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.8 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -105.2 (m, 1F, $P(m-FC_6H_4)_3)$, -133.3 (m, 2F, o-F), -160.4 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -165.4 (m, 2F, m-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.9 (s, br). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 22.8 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2988, 2964, 2932, 2874, 2861, 2686, 2411, 2307, 1720, 1682, 1644, 1585, 1556, 1514, 1480, 1465, 1424, 1370, 1267, 1235, 1100, 1056, 1015, 998, 979, 966, 926, 898, 843, 794, 752, 731, 707. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^- [C_{46}H_{18}BClF_{18}OP]^-$, 1004.0626; found, 1004.0672.

Compound 2h. Yield: 70 mg, 67.4 μ mol, 67%. Mp: 110–122 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.32 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar o-H), 7.24 (m, 6H, phosphine *m*-H), 6.89 (dd, ³J_{HH} = 8.8 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.5 Hz, 6H, phosphine *o*-H), 6.70 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar *m*-H), 5.47 (d, ³J_{HP} = 50 Hz, 1H, =C-H), 5.00 (d, ³J_{HP} = 24 Hz, 1H, =C-H), 4.47 (d, ³J_{HP} = 9.0 Hz, 1H, =CH), 3.77 (s, 9H, phosphine CH₃), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 164.8 (s), 164.11 (m), 159.9 (s), 149.0 (s, br), 147.1 (s, br), 137.7 (m), 135.8 (m), 132.0 (s), 131.7 (s), 130.8 (s), 129.9 (s), 115.8 (m), 113.9 (s), 109.2 (s), 108.4 (s), 94.0 (m), 55.7 (s), 55.3 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.8 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -133.0 (m, 2F, o-F), -161.2 (t, ³J_{FF} = 21 Hz, 1F, *p*-F), -165.8 (t, ³J_{FF} = 21 Hz, 2F, *m*-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 21.8 (s, br). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 21.7 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2988, 2845, 2687, 2410, 2307, 1713, 1643, 1596, 1568, 1513, 1505, 1464, 1443, 1423, 1364, 1297, 1267, 1184, 1170, 1112, 1084, 1026, 966, 897, 834, 805, 753, 728, 705. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^- [C_{50}H_{30}BF_{15}O_5P]^-$, 1036.1721; found, 1036.1725.

Compound 2i. Yield: 100 mg, 95.9 µmol, 96%. Mp: 87-99 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.30 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar o-H), 7.24–7.20 (m, 6H, phosphine C–H), 7.12 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar *m*-H), 6.92–6.91 (m, 6H, phosphine C–H), 5.47 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 49 Hz, 1H, =C-H), 5.06 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 23 Hz, 1H, =C-H), 4.54 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 8.6 Hz, 1H, =CH), 3.78 (s, 9H, phosphine CH₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 164.6 (m), 148.8 (m), 146.7 (m), 136.0 (m), 135.5 (m), 129.8 (s), 129.1 (s), 128.9 (s), 128.5 (s), 128.1 (s), 125.2 (s), 116.6 (m), 115.7 (m), 108.6 (m), 107.9 (m), 55.6 (m), 31.5 (s), 22.6 (s), 21.3 (s), 14.0 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.8 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -133.1 (m, 2F, o-F), -160.9 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.7$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -165.6 (m, 2F, m-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 21.6 (s). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 298 K): 21.7 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3054, 2988, 2846, 2685, 2309, 1713, 1644, 1594, 1567, 1514, 1504, 1465, 1422, 1366, 1300, 1265, 1223, 1184, 1113, 1088, 1024, 979, 965, 896, 835, 806, 739, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^- [C_{49}H_{27}BClF_{15}O_4P]^-$, 1040.1225; found, 1040.1245.

Compound 2j. Yield: 62 mg, 62.6 µmol, 63%. Mp: 168–181 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.39-7.34 (m, 9H, phosphine C-H), 7.39-7.34 (m, 2H, Ar o-H) 6.89 (m, 6H, phosphine C-H), 6.80 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar *m*-H), 5.58 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 47$ Hz, 1H, ==C-H), 5.19 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 20$ Hz, 1H, =C-H), 4.87 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, = CH), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.20 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 13 Hz, 6H, phosphine CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 196.9 (s), 164.5 (m), 149.1 (s, br) 147.2 (s, br) 137.9 (s, br), 137.3 (s), 135.9 (m), 134.7 (s), 133.3 (s), 130.3 (m), 129.2 (m), 128.7 (m), 126.1 (s), 91.3 (m), 28.6 (m), 26.0 (m). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.57 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -132.9 (m, 2F, o-F), -160.3 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.1$, 1F, p-F), 165.1 (m, 2F, m-F). ${}^{31}P$ NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 25.1 (m). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 25.0 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2987, 2961, 2926, 2855, 2687, 2411, 2359, 2308, 1716, 1643, 1609, 1588, 1565, 1514, 1498, 1465, 1423, 1365, 1266, 1175, 1088, 1032, 980, 925, 896, 865, 845, 808, 751, 730, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^ [C_{50}H_{30}BF_{15}O_2P]^-$, 988.1873; found, 988.1896. Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₃₁BF₁₅O₂P: C, 60.63; H, 3.15. Found: C, 60.48; H, 2.94.

Compound 2k. Yield: 87 mg, 87.4 µmol, 87%. Mp: 91–103 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.29-7.21 (m, 9H, phosphine C-H), 7.29-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar o-H), 7.14 (m, 2H, Ar m-H), 6.77 (m, 6H, phosphine C–H), 5.42 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HP}$ = 47 Hz, 1H, C–H), 5.09 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HP}$ = 20 Hz, 1, C–H), 4.8 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 8.1 Hz, 1H, =CH), 3.13 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HP}$ = 13 Hz, 6H, phosphine CH₂). 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 186.7 (s), 167.5 (s), 155.9 (s), 148.3 (s), 139.8 (s), 138.1 (s), 130.3 (m), 129.6 (m), 128.8 (m), 126.2 (s), 93.6 (s), 34.5 (m), 22.4 (m). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -3.5 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -132.9 (m, 2F, o-F), -160.1 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.6$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -164.9 (m, 2F, m-F). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 25.4 (m). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 25.2 (s). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2987, 2927, 2854, 2687, 2412, 2361, 2307, 1714, 1678, 1645, 1589, 1515, 1464, 1423, 1364, 1266, 1222, 1088, 978, 964, 897, 751, 728, 707. HRMS ESI⁻: m/z calculated for $[M - H]^{-1}$ $[C_{49}H_{27}BClF_{15}OP]^{-},\ 992.1378;\ found,\ 992.1357.$ Anal. Calcd for C49H28BClF15OP: C, 59.15; H, 2.84. Found: C, 59.02; H, 2.86.

Alternative Synthesis of 2c. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (103 mg, 0.2 mmol), $P(o-tol)_3$ (60 mg, 0.2 mmol), and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1one 1c (36 mg, 0.2 mmol) were mixed in toluene (2 mL), giving a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was left to stand at room temperature for 24 h. Removal of the solvent afforded an orange oil. Washing with hexane (3 × 2 mL) yielded the product 2c (159 mg, 0.16 mmol, 80%). The addition of hot hexane (ca. 2 mL) and a few drops of hot toluene to give a clear solution gave, upon cooling and prolonged storage (3–4 days), a few colorless needle-shaped crystals of 2c.

Synthesis of Compounds 3a–e. General Procedure. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (51 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv) in CDCl₃ (0.5 mL) was added to 3 (0.1

mmol, 1 equiv), the mixture was transferred to an NMR tube, and the ¹H, ¹¹B, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were measured.

Compound **3a**. Yield: 26 mg, 39.6 μ mol, 40%. Mp: 48–51 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.26 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.7 Hz, 2H, *o*-H), 7.88 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, 1H, *p*-H), 7.67 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.7 Hz, 2H, *m*-H), 7.33 (s, C–H), 2.74 (s, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 192.6 (s), 169.1 (s), 148.9 (m), 147.0 (m), 146.3 (m), 143.2 (m), 141.4 (m), 141.0 (m), 138.6 (s), 138.3 (m), 137.3 (m), 136.4 (m), 132.9 (s), 131.9 (s), 130.1 (s), 121.2 (s), 116.0 (m), 27.2 (m). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 2.7 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): –135.1 (m, 4F, *o*-F), –138.2 (m, 2F, *o*-F), –149.1 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.5 Hz, 1F, *p*-F), –157.6 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.7 Hz, 2F, *p*-F), –159.7 (m, 2F, *m*-F), –163.6 (m, 4F, *m*-F). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2988, 2689, 2365, 2307, 1736, 1719, 1651, 1520, 1473, 1422, 1270, 1262, 1096, 982, 897, 759, 721, 708. HRMS ESI⁻: *m*/z calculated for [M – H]⁻ [C₂₈H₇BF₁₅O]⁻: ,654.0387; found, 654.0378.

Compound **3b**. Yield: 64 mg, 95.5 μ mol, 96%. Mp: 48–52 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.19 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.4 Hz, 2H, *o*-H), 7.46 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.4 Hz, 2H, *m*-H), 7.29 (s, C–H), 2.71 (s, 2H, CH₂), 2.54 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 191.8 (s), 168.1 (s), 151.7 (s), 149.2 (m), 147.4 (s), 145.5 (m), 143.5 (m), 141.3 (m), 138.7 (m), 137.7 (m), 136.7 (m), 132.4 (s), 131.2 (s), 130.6 (s), 121.4 (s), 116.4 (m), 22.8 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 2.2 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -135.1 (m, 4F, *o*-F), -138.5 (m, 2F, *o*-F), -149.6 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.1 Hz, 1F, *p*-F), -157.8 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.6 Hz, 2F, *p*-F), -159.9 (m, 2F, *m*-F), -163.7 (m, 4F, *m*-F). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2983, 2686, 2411, 2360, 2343, 2307, 1714, 1650, 1606, 1520, 1486, 1422, 1364, 1319, 1268, 1264, 1223, 1184, 1093, 980, 896, 754, 726, 704. HRMS ESI⁻: *m*/z calculated for [M – H]⁻ [C₂₉H₉BF₁₅O]⁻, 668.0543; found, 668.0535. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₁₀BF₁₅O: C: 51.97, H: 1.50. Found: C: 51.86, H: 1.46.

Compound **3c**. Yield: 68 mg, 99.1 μ mol, 99%. Mp: 64–71 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.30 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.6 Hz, 2H, *o*-H), 7.23 (s, C–H), 7.11 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.6 Hz, 2H, *m*-H), 4.00 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.66 (s, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 189.0 (s), 168.8 (s), 165.8 (s), 150.0 (m), 147.0 (m), 145.1 (m), 143.2 (m), 140.7 (m), 138.9 (m), 138.2 (m), 137.2 (m), 136.3 (m), 135.2 (s), 125.5 (s), 120.9 (s), 116.4 (m), 115.8 (s), 56.4 (s), 26.9 (s, br). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 1.7 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): –135.2 (m, 4F, *o*-F), –138.9 (m, 2F, *o*-F), –150.3 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.9 Hz, 1F, *p*-F), –158.2 (t, ³J_{FF} = 21.0 Hz, 2F, *p*-F), –160.2 (m, 2F, *m*-F), –163.9 (m, 4F, *m*-F). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3056, 2989, 2687, 2413, 2361, 2308, 1713, 1649, 1593, 1572, 1518, 1496, 1465, 1427, 1384, 1271, 1264, 1178, 1095, 1050, 1019, 993, 978, 922, 897, 845, 758, 722, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: *m*/z calculated for [M – H]⁻ [C₂₉H₉BF₁₅O₂]⁻, 684.0605; found, 684.0624.

Compound **3d**. Yield: 58 mg, 84.0 μ mol, 84%. Mp: 61–66 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.22 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.5 Hz, 2H, *o*-H), 7.64 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.5 Hz, 2H, *m*-H), 7.28 (s, 1H, C–H), 2.75 (s, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 191.4 (s), 170.1 (s), 149.0 (m), 147.0 (m), 146.1 (s), 145.3 (m), 143.3 (m), 141.0 (m), 139.4 (m), 138.4 (m), 137.3 (m), 136.3 (m), 133.0 (s), 131.2 (s), 130.6 (s), 120.8 (s), 115.9 (m), 114.3 (s), 76.2 (s), 27.3 (s), 24.8 (s), 21.1 (m). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 2.1 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -135.2 (m, 4F, *o*-F), -138.0 (m, 2F, *o*-F), -148.7 (t, ³J_{FF} = 21.1 Hz, 1F, *p*-F), -157.4 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.7 Hz, 2F, *p*-F), -159.6 (m, 2F, *m*-F), -163.5 (m, 4F, *m*-F). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2988, 2686, 2362, 2343, 2307, 1712, 1669, 1651, 1520, 1484, 1423, 1363, 1320, 1266, 1223, 1093, 980, 896, 749 732, 706. HRMS ESI⁻: *m*/*z* calculated for $[M - H]^- [C_{28}H_6BClF_{15}O]^-$, 687.9997; found, 687.9984.

Compound **3e**. Yield: 46 mg, 60.8 μ mol, 61%. Mp: 58–63 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 8.04 (s, br, 1H, C–H), 7.81 (m, 1H, C–H), 7.47 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.36 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.16 (s, 1H, C–H), 2.60 (s, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 176.1 (s, br), 166.5 (s, br), 161.9 (s, br), 149.0 (m), 147.0 (m), 146.2 (m), 143.3 (m), 140.9 (m), 138.4 (m), 136.2 (m), 134.40 (s), 133.0 (s), 132.60 (s), 132.3 (s), 132.11 (s), 131.7 (s), 130.6 (s), 129.8 (s), 127.7 (s, br), 126.6 (s), 120.5 (s), 117.1 (s, br), 116.2 (m), 27.3 (m), 21.4

(s), 20.5 (s). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 1.9 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -135.1 (m, 4F, o-F), -138.3 (m, 2F, o-F), -149.9 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -158.1 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 2F, p-F), -160.1 (m, 2F, m-F), -163.9 (m, 4F, m-F). IR (cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3055, 2987, 2686, 2411, 2361, 2343, 2307, 1714, 1651, 1519, 1493, 1482, 1422, 1365, 1320, 1266, 1186, 1093, 1029, 982, 897, 752, 728, 706. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₉BClF₁₅O₂: C, 50.80; H, 1.20. Found: C, 50.74; H, 1.12.

Synthesis of 5a. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (103 mg, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (1 mL) and was added to ethyl 2,5-dimethylhexa-2,3-dienoate (4a; 34 mg, 0.2 mmol) in toluene (1 mL). The reaction mixture was left to stand at room temperature for 24 h, giving an orange solution. Removal of the solvent afforded a dark orange oil. Addition of hexane (2 mL) and a small amount of DCM (ca. 0.5 mL to solubilize the oil) followed by slow evaporation of the solvent afforded colorless crystals of the product. The remaining solution was decanted off and the solid product washed with pentane (3 × 2 mL) and dried in vacuo to give the pure product (36.3 mg, 27%, 0.05 mmol). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 4.2 (s, br).

Synthesis of 5b. B(C₆F₅)₃ (103 mg, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (1 mL) and was added to ethyl 2-methyl-5-phenylpenta-2,3dienoate (4b; 43 mg, 0.2 mmol) in toluene (1 mL), giving a yellow solution upon addition. The reaction mixture was left to stand at room temperature for 24 h. Removal of the solvent afforded a dark orange oil. Addition of hexane (2 mL) and a small amount of toluene (ca. 0.5 mL to solubilize the oil) followed by slow evaporation of the solvent afforded colorless crystals of the product. The remaining solution was decanted off and the solid product washed with pentane $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and dried in vacuo to give the pure product (13.7 mg, 9%, 0.02 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): 7.08–7.07 (m, 3H, o-H and p-H), 6.95 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.6$ Hz, 2H, m-H), 4.68 and 4.43 (m, 2H, CH₂ diastereotopic), 3.08 and 2.65 (m, 2H, CH₂ diastereotopic), 1.78 (d, ${}^{4}J_{\rm HH}$ = 2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.51 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.1 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.88 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.1 Hz, 1H, CH). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): 4.5 (s, br). $^{19}{\rm F}$ NMR (282 MHz, ${\rm C_6D_{6}}$ 298 K): –132.9 (m, 2F, o-F), –133.2 (m, 1F, o-F), -137.5 (br s, 2F, o-F), -139.3 (m, 1F, o-F), -152.2 (t, ³J_{FF} = 21 Hz, 1F, p-F), -157.2 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 21 Hz, 1F, p-F), -157.8 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 20 Hz, 1F, p-F), -160.4 (m, 1F, m-F), -161.5 (m, 1F, m-F), -163.3 (m, 2F, m-F), -163.6 (m, 2F, m-F).

Synthesis of 6. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (102 mg, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (2 mL) and added to ethyl 2,4-dimethylpenta-2,3-dienoate (4c; 31 mg, 0.2 mmol) to give a brown solution. After the addition of 1 equiv of H₂O the reaction mixture was left at room temperature for 72 h. Slow evaporation of the solvent gave large brown crystals that were suitable for X-ray diffraction. The remaining solid was washed with hexane $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and dried in vacuo to give the pure product (72 mg, 0.12 mmol, 56%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 7.42 (s, 1H, =CH), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.43 (s, 6H, (CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 160.9 (s), 148.8 (s), 146.8 (s), 138.9 (s), 137.8 (s), 135.8 (s), 128.7 (s), 23.7 (s), 17.0 (s), 9.6 (s). $^{11}\mathrm{B}$ NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 40.4 (s, br), 26.2 (s, br), 1.1 (s, br, B–O adduct), -3.6 (s, br). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): -134.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 2F, o-F), -157.3 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21$ Hz, 1F, p-F), -164.2 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{FF}}$ = 21 Hz, 2F, m-F). HRMS ESI⁺: m/z calculated for [M - $B(C_6F_5)_3]^+ [C_7H_{10}O_2]^+$, 126.0681; found, 126.0683.

Crystallographic Studies. Crystallographic studies of compounds **2**, **3**, and **6** were undertaken on single crystals mounted in Paratone and studied on an Agilent SuperNova dual four-circle diffractometer using monochromatic Cu K α (1.54184 Å) or Mo K α (0.71073 Å) radiation and a CCD detector. Measurements were typically made at 150(1) K with temperatures maintained using an Oxford cryostream. Data were collected and integrated and data corrected for absorption using a numerical absorption correction based on Gaussian integration over a multifaceted crystal model within CrysAlisPro.²⁸ The structures were solved by direct methods and refined against F^2 within SHELXL-2013.²⁹ For compounds **5**, intensity data sets were collected at low temperature with a Bruker AXS Smart 1000 CCD diffractometer using a sealed X-ray tube and graphite monochromator. Data sets for **5** were corrected for air and detector absorption and Lorentz and polarization

effects;³⁰ absorption by the crystal was treated with a semiempirical multiscan method.^{31,32} The structures were solved by direct methods with dual-space recycling³³ (compound **5a**) or by intrinsic phasing³⁴ (compound **5b**) and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods based on F^2 against all unique reflections.³⁵ The crystals of **5a** were pseudomerohedrally twinned (twin fractions 0.61:0.39); refinement was against all reflections involving both twin domains. All nonhydrogen atoms were given anisotropic displacement parameters. The positions of most hydrogen atoms (except those of the methyl groups) were taken from difference Fourier syntheses and refined. A summary of crystallographic data are available as Supporting Information, and the structures have been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database (CCDC deposition numbers 1062326–1062327 and 1062523–1062531).

Computational Studies. DFT calculations were carried out using Jaguar v.8.7.³⁶ and visualized within Maestro 10.1. All structures were geometry optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G*+ level followed by subsequent thermodynamic single-point energy calculations at the triple- ζ B3LYP/6-311G*+ level and a zero point energy correction made. Determination of partial charges and bond orders were made using the NBO approach.³⁷

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

This material is available free of charge on the ACS publication Web site: The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/ acs.organomet.5b00546.

Crystallographic data for 2a-c,f,j,k, 3a,b, 5a,b, and 6 (ZIP)

NMR spectra, computational data, and a summary of crystallographic data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail for R.L.M.: MelenR@cardiff.ac.uk.

*E-mail for M.M.H.: hansmann@oci.uni-heidelberg.de.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.M.H. is grateful to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a Chemiefonds scholarship and the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes. D.W.S. is grateful for the award of a Canada Research Chair. We thank W. R. Grace for the kind donation of $B(C_6F_5)_3$.

REFERENCES

(1) Welch, G. C.; San Juan, R. R.; Masuda, J. D.; Stephan, D. W. Science **2006**, 314, 1124–1126.

(2) For recent reviews on FLP reactivity and activation of small molecules see: (a) Stephan, D. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1535–1539. (b) Stephan, D. W. Dalton Trans. 2009, 3129–3136. (c) Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8526–8533. (d) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46–76. (e) Stephan, D. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5740–4746. (f) Stephan, D. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 306–316. Also see: (g) Frustrated Lewis Pairs I; Stephan, D. W., Erker, G., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 2013; Topics in Current Chemistry. Frustrated Lewis Pairs II; Stephan, D. W., Erker, G., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 2013; Topics in Current Chemistry.

(3) For examples see: (a) Chase, P. A.; Welch, G. C.; Jurca, T.;
Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8050-8053.
(b) Chase, P. A.; Jurca, T.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1701-1703. (c) Spies, P.; Schwendemann, S.; Lange, S.; Kehr, G.;

Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7543-7546.
(d) Wang, H. D.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun.
2008, 5966-5968. (e) Geier, S. J.; Chase, P. A.; Stephan, D. W. Chem.
Commun. 2010, 46, 4884-4886. (f) Greb, L.; Oña-Burgos, P.;
Schirmer, B.; Grimme, S.; Stephan, D. W.; Paradies, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10164-10168. (g) Wang, Y.; Chen, W.; Lu, Z.; Li, Z.
H.; Wang, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7496-7499. (h) Greb,
L.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Bergander, K.; Paradies, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2013, 52, 5876-5879. (i) Hounjet, L. J.; Bannwarth, C.; Garon, C. N.;
Caputo, C. B.; Grimme, S.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2013, 52, 7492-7495. (j) Chernichenko, K.; Madarász, A.; Pápai, I.;
Nieger, M.; Leskelä, M.; Repo, T. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 718-723.
(k) Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15809-15812.

(4) (a) Chen, D.; Klankermayer, J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2130–2131. (b) Chen, D.; Wang, Y.; Klankermayer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9475–9478. (c) Sumerin, V.; Chernichenko, K.; Nieger, M.; Leskelä, M.; Rieger, B.; Repo, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2093–2110. (d) Liu, Y.; Du, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6810–6813. (e) Wei, S.; Du, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12261–12264. (f) Shi, L.; Zhou, Y.-G. ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 54–56.

(5) (a) McCahill, J. S. J.; Welch, G. C.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4968–4971. (b) Dureen, M. A.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8396–8397. (c) Voss, T.; Chen, C.; Kehr, G.; Nauha, E.; Erker, G.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 3005–3008. (d) Chen, C.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3580–3582. (e) Dureen, M. A.; Brown, C. C.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2010, 29, 6422–6432. (f) Liedtke, R.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2011, 30, 5222–5232. (g) Voss, T.; Mahdi, T.; Otten, E.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2012, 31, 2367–2378. (h) Melen, R. L. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1161–1174 and references therein.

(6) Ullrich, M.; Seto, K. S.-H.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2009, 2335–2337.

(7) (a) Mömming, C. M.; Kehr, G.; Wibbeling, B.; Fröhlich, R.; Schirmer, B.; Grimme, S.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2414–2417. (b) Feldhaus, P.; Schirmer, B.; Wibbeling, B.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Frohlich, R.; Grimme, S.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 9135–9142. (c) Feldhaus, P.; Wibbeling, B.; Fröhlich, R.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Synlett 2014, 25, 1529–1533.

(8) Melen, R. L.; Hansmann, M. M.; Lough, A. J.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 11928–11938.

(9) (a) Hansmann, M. M.; Melen, R. L.; Rominger, F.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 777–782. For further reactions of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with propargyl esters see: (b) Hansmann, M. M.; Melen, R. L.; Rominger, F.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. **2014**, 50, 7243–7245.

(10) Brooner, R. E. M.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11714–11724.

(11) Zhao, X.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12448– 12450.

(12) Stirling, A.; Hamza, A.; Rokob, T. A.; Pápai, I. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3148–3150.

(13) Guo, Y.; Li, S. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2008, 2501-2505.

(14) Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1839–1850 and references therein.

(15) For 1,1-carboboration reactions see: (a) Chen, C.; Kehr, G.;
Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13594–13595.
(b) Ekkert, O.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, F.; Erker, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4610–4616. (c) Chen, C.; Voss, T.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.;
Erker, G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 62–65. (d) Möbus, J.; Bonnin, Q.; Ueda, K.; Fröhlich, R.; Itami, K.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1954–1957. (e) Eller, C.; Kehr, G.; Daniliuc, C. G.;
Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2013, 32, 384–386.

(16) For examples see: (a) Colberg, C. J.; Rane, A.; Vaquer, J.; Soderquist, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 6065–6071. (b) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 95, 2457–2483. (c) Flynn, A. B.; Ogilvie, W. W. Chem. Rev. **2007**, 107, 4698–4745. (d) Daini, M.; Yamamoto, A.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 2918– 2919. (e) Daini, M.; Suginome, M. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5224–5226. (f) Okuno, Y.; Yamashita, M.; Nozaki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 920–923.

(17) For examples see: (a) Alfaro, R.; Parra, A.; Alemán, J.; Ruano, J.
L. G.; Tortosa, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15165–15168.
(b) Daini, M.; Yamamoto, A.; Suginome, M. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2, 968–976. (c) Yoshida, H.; Kageyuki, I.; Takaki, K. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 952–955. (d) Bidal, Y. D.; Lazreg, F.; Cazin, C. S. J. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 1564–1569. For reviews on alkyne borylation reactions, see:
(e) Suginome, M. Chem. Rec. 2010, 10, 348–358. (f) Barbeyron, R.; Benedetti, E.; Cossy, J.; Vasseur, J.-J.; Arseniyadis, S.; Smietana, M. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 8431–8452.

(18) (a) Nagao, K.; Ohimya, H.; Sawamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 10605–10608. In addition, one report on the use of tartaric acid as a promoter for a 1,2-anti carboboration reaction with propargyl alcohols has been reported; see: (b) Roscales, S.; Csákÿ, A. G. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 1605–1608.

(19) (a) Wrackmeyer, B. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 145, 125–156.
(b) Wrackmeyer, B. Heteroat. Chem. 2006, 17, 188–208.

(20) For example, see: (a) Brown, H. C.; Rao, B. C. S. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1956, 78, 5694–5695. (b) Brown, H. C. Tetrahedron 1961, 12, 117–138. (c) Burkhardt, E. R.; Matos, K. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2617–2650. (d) Thomas, S. P.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1896–1898.

(21) (a) Devillard, M.; Brousses, R.; Miqueu, K.; Bouhadir, G.; Bourissou, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5722–5726. (b) Cade, I. A.; Ingleson, M. J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 12874–12880. For 1,2haloboration see: Lawson, J. R.; Clark, E. R.; Cade, I. A.; Solomon, S. A.; Ingleson, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7518–7522.

(22) Inés, B.; Palomas, D.; Holle, S.; Steinberg, S.; Nicasio, J. A.; Alcarazo, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12367–12369.

(23) See the Supporting Information.

(24) (a) Hashmi, A. S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1581–1583. (b) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Schwarz, L.; Choi, J.-H.; Frost, T. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2285–2288. (c) for other reaction modes, see also: Hashmi, A. S. K.; Schwarz, L.; Bats, J. W.; Prakt, J. J. Prakt. Chem. 2000, 342, 40–51.

(25) (a) Liu, L.-P.; Xu, B.; Mashuta, M. S.; Hammond, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 17642–17643. For a review on transitionmetal-catalyzed cyclization reactions of allenes, see: (b) Ma, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **2003**, 36, 701–712. For the coordination of the lactone oxygen to $B(C_6F_5)_3$ see also: (c) Hansmann, M. M.; Rominger, F.; Boone, M. P.; Stephan, D. W.; Hashmi, A. S. K. Organometallics **2014**, 33, 4461–4470.

(26) For the related reactions using gold(I) electrophiles, compounds of type V could be isolated and studied in detail: (a) Döpp, R.; Lothschütz, C.; Wurm, T.; Pernpointner, M.; Keller, S.; Rominger, F.; Hashmi, A. S. K. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 5894–5903. In the presence of water the vinylgold species do not show a reaction at the metal–carbon bond; only the hydrolysis to the lactone ring is observed: (b) Hashmi, A. S. K. *Gold Bull.* **2009**, *42*, 275–279.

(27) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M.; Atencio, R.; Zaworotko, M. J. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 1369–1377.

(28) CrysAlisPro, Version 1.171.37.33 (release 27-03-2014 CrysAlis171.NET); Agilent Technologies, 2014.

(29) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-2013; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 2013.

(30) SAINT; Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany, 1997-2013.

(31) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS; Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2004–2014. (b) Krause, L.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Stalke, D. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **2015**, 48, 3–10.

(32) Blessing, R. H. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 1995, 51, 33–38.

(33) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXD; University of Göttingen and Bruker Nonius, 2000–2004. (b) Sheldrick, G. M.; Hauptman, H. A.; Weeks, C. M.; Miller, R.; Usón, I. Ab initio phasing. In *International Tables for Crystallography*; Rossmann, M. G., Arnold, E., Eds.; IUCr and Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001; Vol. F, 333–351. (34) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXT; University of Göttingen and Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2012–2014. (b) Ruf, M.; Noll, B. C. Application Note SC-XRD 503; Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2014.
(c) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv. 2015, 71, 3– 8.

(35) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-93; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1993. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 2008, 64, 112–122.

(36) Jaguar, version 8.7; Schrodinger, Inc., New York, 2015;. Bochevarov, A. D.; Harder, E.; Hughes, T. F.; Greenwood, J. R.; Braden, D. A.; Philipp, D. M.; Rinaldo, D.; Halls, M. D.; Zhang, J.; Friesner, R. A. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **2013**, 113, 2110–2142.

(37) Glendening, E. D.; Badenhoop, J. K.; Reed, A. E.; Carpenter, J. E.; Bohmann, J. A.; Morales, C. M.; Landis, C. R.; Weinhold, F. *NBO* 6.0; Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 2013; http://nbo6.chem.wisc.edu/.