# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 1-(2-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-3,3-dimethylthiourea

### Gamal A. El-Hiti,<sup>a</sup>\* Keith Smith,<sup>b</sup> Amany S. Hegazy,<sup>b</sup> Mohammad Hayal Alotaibi<sup>c</sup> and Benson M. Kariuki<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Cornea Research Chair, Department of Optometry, College of Applied Medical Sciences, King Saud University, PO Box 10219, Riyadh 11433, Saudi Arabia, <sup>b</sup>School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, Wales, and <sup>c</sup>Petrochemical Research Institute, King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, PO Box 6086, Riyadh 11442, Saudi Arabia Correspondence e-mail: gelhiti@ksu.edu.sa, kariukib@cardiff.ac.uk

Received 9 May 2014; accepted 16 May 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.028; wR factor = 0.077; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.3.

In the title compound,  $C_9H_{10}BrClN_2S$ , the dimethylthiourea group is twisted from the benzene ring plane by 54.38 (6)°. In the crystal, the amino groups are involved in the formation of  $N-H\cdots S$  hydrogen bonds, which link the molecules into chains along [010]. Weak  $C-H\cdots Cl$  interactions further link these chains into layers parallel to the *ab* plane.

### **Related literature**

For related compounds, see: Maddani & Prabhu (2010); Yahyazadeh & Ghasemi (2013); Zhao *et al.* (2013). For convenient routes for modifying urea derivatives *via* organolithium intermediates, see: Smith *et al.* (1996, 1999, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014). For the structures of related compounds, see: Zhao *et al.* (2008); Ramnathan *et al.* (1996).



### Experimental

Crystal data  $C_9H_{10}BrClN_2S$   $M_r = 293.61$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ a = 12.1369 (3) Å

<i>b</i> =	= 7.9431 (2) Å
<i>c</i> =	= 13.2230 (4) Å
$\beta$ :	= 115.386 (3)°
V	= 1151.67 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>

#### Z = 4Cu K $\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 8.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

### Data collection

Agilent SuperNova (Dual, Cu at
zero, Atlas) diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2014)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.580, T_{\rm max} = 1.000$

### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$  $wR(F^2) = 0.077$ S = 1.042245 reflections

# Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots S1^{i}$ $C9 - H9B \cdots Cl1^{ii}$	0.86 0.96	2.67 2.81	3.349 (2) 3.696 (2)	137 153
		-		

T = 296 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.015$ 

130 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^-$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

 $0.28 \times 0.20 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$ 

4291 measured reflections 2245 independent reflections

2078 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

H-atom parameters constrained

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) x - 1, y, z.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS2013* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2013* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012).

The authors thank the College of Applied Medical Sciences Research Center and the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this research.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV5457).

### References

- Agilent (2014). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Maddani, M. R. & Prabhu, K. R. (2010). J. Org. Chem. 75, 2327-2332.
- Ramnathan, A., Sivakumar, K., Janarthanan, N., Meerarani, D., Ramadas, K. & Fun, H.-K. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 411–414.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Smith, K., El-Hiti, G. A. & Alshammari, M. B. (2012). Synthesis, 44, 2013–2022.
- Smith, K., El-Hiti, G. A. & Alshammari, M. B. (2014). Synthesis, 46, 394-402.
- Smith, K., El-Hiti, G. A. & Hegazy, A. S. (2010). Synthesis, pp. 1371–1380.

Smith, K., El-Hiti, G. A., Hegazy, A. S., Fekri, A. & Kariuki, B. M. (2009). *Arkivoc* xiv, 266–300.

- Smith, K., El-Hiti, G. A. & Shukla, A. P. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 2305–2313.
- Smith, K., Shukla, A. P. & Matthews, I. (1996). Sulfur Lett. 20, 121-137.
- Yahyazadeh, A. & Ghasemi, Z. (2013). Eur. Chem. Bull. 2, 573-575.
- Zhao, Q., Li, S., Huang, K., Wang, R. & Zhang, X. (2013). Org. Lett. 15, 4014–4017.
- Zhao, P. S., Qin, Y. Q., Zhang, J. & Jian, F. F. (2008). Pol. J. Chem. 82, 2153–2165.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o704 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814011350]

## 1-(2-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-3,3-dimethylthiourea

# Gamal A. El-Hiti, Keith Smith, Amany S. Hegazy, Mohammad Hayal Alotaibi and Benson M. Kariuki

### S1. Structural commentary

Recently, various thiourea derivatives have been synthesised and showed broad interesting properties (Maddani & Prabhu, 2010; Yahyazadeh & Ghasemi, 2013; Zhao *et al.*, 2013). In a continuation of our research focused on new synthetic routes towards novel substituted urea derivatives (Smith *et al.*, 1996, 1999, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014) we have synthesized 3-(2-bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylthiourea (I) in a high yield (Smith *et al.*, 1996). We have prepared the material again and crystallized it in high purity in order to obtain its crystal structure, which we present herein. In (I) (Fig. 1), all bond lengths and angles are normal and correspond well to those observed in the related compounds (Zhao *et al.*, 2008; Ramnathan *et al.*, 1996). The non-hydrogen atoms in (I) fall into two planes with an interplaner angle of 54.38 (6)° between the bromo-chlorophenyl and dimethylthiourea groups. Each molecule is involved in N—H…S contacts (Table 1) with two neigbouring molecules, with one as an acceptor and the other as a donor, leading to the formation of zig-zag-chains in [010] (Fig 2). The bromo-chlorophenyl and dimethylthiourea groups of adjacent molecules are parallel in the stack forming chains of alternating S…Br…S groups with a separation of 4.07Å and 4.11Å between the atoms.

### S2. Synthesis and crystallization

To a stirred solution of 2-bromo-4-chloro-1-isothiocyanatobenzene (12.43 g, 50.0 mmol) in anhydrous dioxane (120 ml) dimethylamine (7.10 g of 33% solution in ethanol, 52.0 mmol) was slowly added in a drop-wise manner over 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for an extra 1 h. The solid obtained was collected by filtration and washed with dioxane (2 x 20 ml) and dried. Recrystallization from ethyl acetate gave 3-(2-bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylthiourea (13.80 g, 47.0 mmol; 94%) as yellow crystals, m.p. 193–194 °C [lit. 184–185 °C (ethyl acetate); Smith *et al.* (1996)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.) 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, H-6), 7.59 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 7.32 (dd, *J* = 2.3, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.17 (br, exch., 1 H, NH), 3.43 [s, 6 H, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.) 181.2 (s, C=S), 136.6 (s, C-1), 131.8 (d, C-3), 131.0 (s, C-4), 127.8 (d, C-6), 127.7 (d, C-5), 118.1 (s, C-2), 41.3 [q, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. AP<sup>+</sup>—MS (*m*/*z*, %): 297 ([*MH*<sup>81</sup>Br<sup>37</sup>Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 34), 295 ([*MH*<sup>81</sup>Br<sup>35</sup>Cl and *MH*<sup>79</sup>Br<sup>37</sup>Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 293 ([*MH*<sup>79</sup>Br<sup>35</sup>Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 80), 263 (12), 215 (22), 213 (50). HRMS (AP<sup>+</sup>): Calculated for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub><sup>79</sup>Br<sup>35</sup>ClN<sub>2</sub>S [*MH*] 292.9515; found, 292.9515.

### **S3. Refinement**

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with Uiso(H) = 1.2 times Ueq for the atom they are bonded to except for the methyl groups where 1.5 times Ueq was used with free rotation about the C—C bond.



### Figure 1

View of (I) showing atom labels and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms.



### Figure 2

A portion of the crystal packing viewed along the *a* axis. N—H…S contacts are shown as dotted lines.

### 1-(2-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-3,3-dimethylthiourea

F(000) = 584  $D_x = 1.693 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2078 reflections  $\theta = 4.1-75.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 8.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 296 KPlate, colourless  $0.28 \times 0.20 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$  Data collection

Agilent SuperNova (Dual, Cu at zero, Atlas)	4291 measured reflections
diffractometer	2245 independent reflections
Radiation source: SuperNova (Cu) X-ray	2078 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Source	$R_{int} = 0.015$
Mirror monochromator	$\theta_{max} = 73.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 4.1^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -13 \rightarrow 14$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -9 \rightarrow 6$
( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2014)	$l = -16 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.580, T_{\rm max} = 1.000$	1-10-15
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	H-atom parameters constrained
Least-squares matrix: full	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0429P)^2 + 0.4682P]$

	11 atom parameters constrained
Least-squares matrix: full	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0429P)^2 + 0.4682P]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.077$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
S = 1.04	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
2245 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
130 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL2013 (Sheldrick,
0 restraints	2008), $Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Hydrogen site location: inferred from	Extinction coefficient: 0.0048 (3)
neighbouring sites	

### Special details

**Experimental**. Absorption correction: CrysAlisPro (Agilent, 2014). Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

		_	TT */TT	
X	y	2	$U_{\rm iso}$ / $U_{\rm eq}$	
0.85876 (18)	0.0661 (3)	0.22866 (16)	0.0377 (4)	
0.85637 (19)	0.1344 (3)	0.13058 (16)	0.0404 (4)	
0.9605 (2)	0.1405 (3)	0.11271 (19)	0.0495 (5)	
0.9581	0.1851	0.0468	0.059*	
1.0675 (2)	0.0794 (3)	0.1942 (2)	0.0523 (5)	
1.0726 (2)	0.0087 (3)	0.29241 (19)	0.0497 (5)	
1.1455	-0.0334	0.3465	0.060*	
0.96763 (19)	0.0022 (3)	0.30797 (17)	0.0440 (5)	
0.9699	-0.0460	0.3729	0.053*	
0.72784 (18)	0.1177 (3)	0.32603 (16)	0.0379 (4)	
0.5801 (3)	0.1471 (4)	0.4043 (2)	0.0638 (7)	
0.5786	0.0517	0.4483	0.096*	
0.5006	0.1978	0.3710	0.096*	
0.6383	0.2278	0.4515	0.096*	
0.5176 (2)	0.0190 (4)	0.2169 (2)	0.0569 (6)	
0.5032	0.0890	0.1533	0.085*	
0.4443	0.0110	0.2274	0.085*	
	x 0.85876 (18) 0.85637 (19) 0.9605 (2) 0.9581 1.0675 (2) 1.0726 (2) 1.1455 0.96763 (19) 0.9699 0.72784 (18) 0.5801 (3) 0.5786 0.5006 0.6383 0.5176 (2) 0.5032 0.4443	x $y$ $0.85876 (18)$ $0.0661 (3)$ $0.85637 (19)$ $0.1344 (3)$ $0.9605 (2)$ $0.1405 (3)$ $0.9581$ $0.1851$ $1.0675 (2)$ $0.0794 (3)$ $1.0726 (2)$ $0.0087 (3)$ $1.1455$ $-0.0334$ $0.96763 (19)$ $0.0022 (3)$ $0.9699$ $-0.0460$ $0.72784 (18)$ $0.1177 (3)$ $0.5801 (3)$ $0.1471 (4)$ $0.5786$ $0.0517$ $0.5006$ $0.1978$ $0.6383$ $0.2278$ $0.5176 (2)$ $0.0190 (4)$ $0.5032$ $0.0890$ $0.4443$ $0.0110$	x $y$ $z$ $0.85876(18)$ $0.0661(3)$ $0.22866(16)$ $0.85637(19)$ $0.1344(3)$ $0.13058(16)$ $0.9605(2)$ $0.1405(3)$ $0.11271(19)$ $0.9581$ $0.1851$ $0.0468$ $1.0675(2)$ $0.0794(3)$ $0.1942(2)$ $1.0726(2)$ $0.0087(3)$ $0.29241(19)$ $1.1455$ $-0.0334$ $0.3465$ $0.96763(19)$ $0.0022(3)$ $0.30797(17)$ $0.9699$ $-0.0460$ $0.3729$ $0.72784(18)$ $0.1177(3)$ $0.32603(16)$ $0.5801(3)$ $0.1471(4)$ $0.4483$ $0.5006$ $0.1978$ $0.3710$ $0.6383$ $0.2278$ $0.4515$ $0.5176(2)$ $0.0190(4)$ $0.2169(2)$ $0.5032$ $0.0890$ $0.1533$ $0.4443$ $0.0110$ $0.2274$	$x$ $y$ $z$ $U_{iso}*/U_{eq}$ 0.85876 (18)0.0661 (3)0.22866 (16)0.0377 (4)0.85637 (19)0.1344 (3)0.13058 (16)0.0404 (4)0.9605 (2)0.1405 (3)0.11271 (19)0.0495 (5)0.95810.18510.04680.059*1.0675 (2)0.0794 (3)0.1942 (2)0.0523 (5)1.0726 (2)0.0087 (3)0.29241 (19)0.0497 (5)1.1455-0.03340.34650.060*0.96763 (19)0.0022 (3)0.30797 (17)0.0440 (5)0.9699-0.04600.37290.053*0.72784 (18)0.1177 (3)0.32603 (16)0.0379 (4)0.5801 (3)0.1471 (4)0.4043 (2)0.0638 (7)0.57860.05170.44830.096*0.50060.19780.37100.096*0.5176 (2)0.0190 (4)0.2169 (2)0.0569 (6)0.50320.08900.15330.085*0.44430.01100.22740.085*

HOC	0 5416	-0.0013	0.2045	0.085*	
Br1	0.3410 0.70976 (2)	0.0913	0.2043 0.01974 (2)	0.085	
Cl1	1.19895 (7)	0.08827(15)	0.0174(2) 0.17270(8)	0.0936 (3)	
N1	0.74917 (15)	0.0536 (3)	0.24110 (14)	0.0442 (4)	
H1	0.6901	-0.0001	0.1897	0.053*	
N2	0.61441 (17)	0.0925 (3)	0.31655 (16)	0.0470 (4)	
S1	0.83592 (5)	0.22395 (7)	0.43366 (4)	0.04637 (16)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0377 (9)	0.0420 (10)	0.0314 (9)	-0.0038 (8)	0.0129 (7)	-0.0039 (8)
C2	0.0436 (10)	0.0403 (10)	0.0335 (9)	-0.0009 (8)	0.0128 (8)	-0.0013 (8)
C3	0.0570 (13)	0.0531 (13)	0.0436 (11)	-0.0046 (10)	0.0264 (10)	0.0013 (10)
C4	0.0437 (11)	0.0644 (15)	0.0526 (12)	-0.0043 (10)	0.0242 (10)	-0.0078 (11)
C5	0.0395 (10)	0.0595 (14)	0.0420 (11)	0.0018 (9)	0.0098 (9)	-0.0050 (10)
C6	0.0451 (10)	0.0495 (12)	0.0327 (9)	0.0004 (9)	0.0123 (8)	0.0013 (8)
C7	0.0420 (10)	0.0375 (10)	0.0312 (9)	0.0047 (8)	0.0128 (8)	0.0048 (7)
C8	0.0684 (15)	0.0766 (18)	0.0611 (15)	0.0111 (14)	0.0416 (13)	0.0006 (13)
C9	0.0376 (10)	0.0679 (16)	0.0603 (14)	0.0018 (10)	0.0164 (10)	-0.0056 (12)
Br1	0.05856 (19)	0.0600(2)	0.04035 (17)	0.01302 (11)	0.00771 (12)	0.00683 (10)
Cl1	0.0558 (4)	0.1432 (9)	0.0976 (6)	-0.0020 (4)	0.0480 (4)	-0.0011 (6)
N1	0.0376 (8)	0.0595 (11)	0.0339 (8)	-0.0088 (8)	0.0138 (7)	-0.0088 (8)
N2	0.0435 (9)	0.0547 (11)	0.0451 (9)	0.0054 (8)	0.0213 (8)	-0.0008 (8)
<b>S</b> 1	0.0545 (3)	0.0447 (3)	0.0308 (3)	0.0000 (2)	0.0096 (2)	-0.00197 (19)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C6	1.384 (3)	C7—N2	1.343 (3)
C1—C2	1.395 (3)	C7—N1	1.355 (3)
C1—N1	1.412 (3)	C7—S1	1.690 (2)
C2—C3	1.383 (3)	C8—N2	1.457 (3)
C2—Br1	1.887 (2)	C8—H8A	0.9600
C3—C4	1.372 (3)	C8—H8B	0.9600
С3—Н3	0.9300	C8—H8C	0.9600
C4—C5	1.392 (3)	C9—N2	1.459 (3)
C4—C11	1.738 (2)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
C5—C6	1.375 (3)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
С5—Н5	0.9300	С9—Н9С	0.9600
С6—Н6	0.9300	N1—H1	0.8600
C6—C1—C2	118.68 (18)	N1	122.03 (16)
C6-C1-N1	121.79 (18)	N2—C8—H8A	109.5
C2-C1-N1	119.39 (18)	N2—C8—H8B	109.5
C3—C2—C1	121.09 (19)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C3—C2—Br1	118.76 (16)	N2—C8—H8C	109.5
C1—C2—Br1	120.14 (15)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	118.7 (2)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5

С4—С3—Н3	120.7	N2—C9—H9A	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	120.7	N2—C9—H9B	109.5
C3—C4—C5	121.6 (2)	Н9А—С9—Н9В	109.5
C3—C4—Cl1	118.89 (19)	N2—C9—H9C	109.5
C5—C4—Cl1	119.51 (19)	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
C6—C5—C4	118.8 (2)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
С6—С5—Н5	120.6	C7—N1—C1	126.45 (17)
С4—С5—Н5	120.6	C7—N1—H1	116.8
C5—C6—C1	121.2 (2)	C1—N1—H1	116.8
С5—С6—Н6	119.4	C7—N2—C8	120.9 (2)
С1—С6—Н6	119.4	C7—N2—C9	122.72 (18)
N2—C7—N1	114.77 (18)	C8—N2—C9	116.3 (2)
N2—C7—S1	123.19 (16)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N1—H1···S1 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	2.67	3.3488 (19)	137
C9—H9 <i>B</i> ···Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96	2.81	3.696 (2)	153

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+3/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (ii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*.