## MOLECULAR ADHESIVE CONTACT FOR INDENTERS OF NON-IDEAL SHAPES

Feodor M. Borodich\* and Boris A. Galanov\*\*

- \* School of Engineering, Cardiff University, P.O. Box 925, Cardiff CF24 3AT, UK
- \*\* Institute for Problems in Materials Science (NASU), 3 Krzhyzhanovsky Street, 03142 Kiev, Ukraine

<u>Summary</u> The JKR and the DMT theories of adhesive contact are developed to describe contact between an indenter and an elastic sample, when the distances between the surfaces are described as monomial functions of arbitrary degrees. The results are applied to depth-sensing nanoindentation of soft and hard materials by indenters of non-ideal shapes.

Usually adhesion between contacting bodies has a negligible effect on surface interactions at the macro-scale, while it becomes significant as the contact size decreases, in particular adhesion becomes an important issue at nanoscale. Hence, adhesion of dry surfaces plays a key role in the development of various technologies, especially in such applications as nanoindentation, contact of clean surfaces, information storage devices and microelectromechanical systems (MEMS).

The mechanics of adhesion is well established for contact between homogeneous elastic solids having spherical surfaces [1]. Three theories of adhesion of elastic spheres are now in common use. They were introduced by Johnson, Kendall, and Roberts (JKR) in 1971, by Derjaguin, Muller, and Toporov (DMT) in 1975, and by Maugis (JKR-DMT transition) in 1992 [1]. However, solids in contact may often have more general shape, in particular the shape functions f can be monomial functions of radius  $\rho$ 

$$f(\rho) = B_d \rho^d, \tag{1}$$

where  $B_d$  is a shape constant. For example, it was shown [2] that nanoindenters can be well approximated by monomial functions of degrees d in the range 1 < d < 2. Here the JKR and the DMT theories of contact in the presence of surface forces are developed to describe contact between an indenter and an elastic sample, when the distances between the solids are described as monomial functions of arbitrary degrees.

It was assumed by Tabor and showed precisely by Muller [3] that the JKR and DMT theories apply to the opposite ends of the range of the non-dimensional parameter

$$\mu = \frac{32}{3\pi} \left( \frac{2w^2 R}{\pi (E^*)^2 z_0} \right)^{1/3}$$

where  $w = 2\gamma$  is the work of adhesion,  $\gamma$  is the surface energy,  $z_0$  is the equilibrium separation between surfaces, R is the radius of the sphere, and  $E^*$  is the reduced Young's modulus that can be obtained from the following formula  $(E^*)^{-1} = (1 - \nu_1^2)/E_1 + (1 - \nu_2^2)/E_2$ . Here  $E_i$  and  $\nu_i$  (i = 1, 2) are the Young's modulus and the Poisson ratio of the first and the second solid respectively. Roughly speaking, the DMT model is applicable to hard materials ( $\mu$  is less than 0.1 say), while the JKR model should be used for soft materials ( $\mu$  is greater than 5 say).

First we consider the JKR theory. Using Galin's solution [4], it was obtained by the authors (see, e.g. [5]) independently from each other that there is an exact formula giving relation between the load P and the radius of the contact region a

$$P = C(d)E^*B_d a^{d+1} - \sqrt{8\pi w E^* a^3}, \quad C(d) = \frac{d^2}{d+1} 2^{d-1} \frac{[\Gamma(d/2)]^2}{\Gamma(d)}.$$
 (2)

Here  $\Gamma(d)$  is the Euler gamma function. Evidently, (2) coincides with the classic JKR formula [1]

$$P = (4E^*/3R)a^3 - \sqrt{8\pi w E^* a^3}$$

in the case d=2. When d is an even integer, (2) coincides with the solution obtained independently by Carpick *et al.* [6]. However, an exact solution for pressure within the contact region exists only in the Hertzian case d=2. Hence, we use a numerical approach to obtain the pressure for monomial indentors.

Note that the DMT model shows a smooth change in contact radius a until the point contact, while it follows from the JKR model that the detachment of the spheres is accompanied by an abrupt change in the contact radius. Indeed, it follows from (2) that the radius  $a_0$  of the contact region at P = 0 is

$$a_0 = \left[\sqrt{8\pi w/E^*}/(C(d)B_d)\right]^{2/(2d-1)}$$
.

In general, one cannot obtain an analytic solution in the case of the DMT theory. Hence, an integral equation was derived. The integral equation the DMT theory is solved numerically. To perform the numerical simulations, we apply the method of non-linear boundary integral equations (NBIE) developed by Galanov (see, e.g. [7, 8]). To solve the Hertzian contact problem for indenters of non-ideal shapes, we consider not a traditional formulation of contact problem, but rather the following NBIE with an unknown function  $v(\mathbf{x})$  [8]

$$\alpha v^{-}(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \int_{\Omega} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) v^{+}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = g(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$$
 (3)

$$v^{+}(\mathbf{x}) = \sup\{v(\mathbf{x}), 0\}, \qquad v^{-}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf\{v(\mathbf{x}), 0\},$$

 $\alpha$  is an arbitrary positive fitting parameter,  $\beta = (\pi E^*)^{-1}$ ,  $g(\mathbf{x}, P) = h(p) - f(\mathbf{x})$ , and K is the standard kernel (see for details [8]).  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary bounded domain containing the closure of  $\Omega_0$ , and  $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain such that

$$g(\mathbf{x}, P) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_0, \qquad g(\mathbf{x}, P) \le 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{x} \notin \Omega_0$$
 (4)

The domain  $\Omega_0$  may be multiply connected or consist of non-connected sub-domains. Evidently,  $G \subset \Omega_0$ .

The mechanical meaning of the solution v to the NBIE (3) is the following

- (i) for  $\mathbf{x} \in G$ ,  $v^+(\mathbf{x})$  is the contact pressure, i.e.  $v^+(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{x})$ ;
- (ii) for  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega \setminus G$ , the function  $(-\alpha v^-(\mathbf{x}))$  defines the gap between the punch and the half-space after deformation. To solve the adhesive contact problem for indenters of non-ideal shapes, we approximate Lennard-Jones potential F as

$$F(x) = Ax^{-m} - Bx^{-n}, \quad m > n > 0, \quad A > 0, \quad B > 0$$

and write the following integral equations for pressure  $\sigma$ 

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = F\left\{ \left[ g(\mathbf{x}) + 2\beta \int_{\Omega} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \sigma(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right]^{+} + c \right\}, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$$
 (5)

and for displacements

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = \left[ g(\mathbf{x}) + 2\beta \int_{\Omega} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) F\left[v^{+}(\mathbf{y}) + c\right] d\mathbf{y} \right]^{+}, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega.$$
 (6)

Solving (5), we obtain the pressure  $\sigma$  within the contact region, and solving (6), we obtain the function v and the vector of displacements.

For the Maugis theory, there are some exact results [9] obtained for d = 2n where n is an integer. Evidently, the adhesive problem for arbitrary d for the Maugis theory can be solved numerically using the same approach.

The results are applied to depth-sensing nanoindentation of soft and hard materials by indenters of non-ideal shapes. Nanoindentation techniques are commonly used to obtain mechanical properties of materials of very small volumes [10]. The interpretation of nanoindentation tests is usually based on the main assumptions of the Hertz contact theory and formulae obtained for ideally shaped indenters. In particular, the elastic modulus of thin films is estimated using the following BASh formula for the slope S

$$S = \frac{dP}{dh} = \frac{2\sqrt{A}}{\sqrt{\pi}}E^*,\tag{7}$$

where A is the contact area  $(A = \pi a^2)$ , and h is the indentation depth of the indenter tip. Although the formula is still valid for adhesive contact a flat punch (the Boussinesq-Kendall problem), molecular adhesion may affect the observed relations. For example, the above formula (7) is not valid for the JKR model because both P and a may decrease while h is constant.

Thus, both the adhesive molecular interaction and non-ideality of the shape may affect the results obtained using the standard Hertzian approach.

## References

- [1] Maugis D.: Contact, Adhesion and Rupture of Elastic Solids. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [2] Borodich F.M., Keer L.M., Korach C.S.: Analytical study of fundamental nanoindentation test relations for indenters of non-ideal shapes. *Nanotechnology*, **14**, 803-808, 2003.
- [3] Muller V.M., Yushchenko V.S.: Influence of surface forces on deformation and adhesion of elastic particles to a rigid substrate or to each other. Colloid J. USSR, 42, 411-419, 1980.
- [4] Galin L.A.: Spatial contact problems of the theory of elasticity for punches of circular shape in planar projection. J. Appl. Math. Mech. (PMM), 10, 425–448, 1946.
- [5] Galanov B.A., Grigor'ev O.N: Adhesion and wear of diamond. Part I. Modelling. Technical Report. Institute Prob. Mat. Sci., Nat. Ac. Sci. Ukraine, Kiev, 1-14, 1994.
- [6] Carpick R.W., Agraït N., Ogletree D.F., Salmeron M. Measurement of interfacial shear (friction) with an ultrahigh vacuum atomic force microscope. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B, 14, 1289–1295, 1996.
- [7] Galanov B.A.: On the nonlinear boundary equations of the mechanics of the contact of rough elastic bodies. J. Appl. Math. and Mech., **50**, 357–361, 1986.
- [8] Borodich F.M., Galanov B.A.: Self-similar problems of elastic contact for non-convex punches. J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 50, 2441-2461, 2002.
- [9] Goryacheva I.G., Makhovskaya Yu.Yu.: Adhesive interaction of elastic bodies. J. Appl. Math. Mech., 65, 273–282, 2001.
- [10] Pethica J.B., Oliver W.C.: Tip surface interactions in STM and AFM. Physica Scripta, T19, 61–66, 1987.