ovided by Ghent University Academic Bibliogra



Journal of Experimental Botany

doi:10.1093/jxb/erab202 Advance Access Publication 10 My, 2021 This paper is available online free of all access charges (see https://academic.oup.com/jxb/pages/openaccess for further details)

### REVIEW

# Getting to the root of belowground high temperature responses in plants

Cassio Flavio Fonseca de Lima<sup>1,2,0</sup>, Jürgen Kleine-Vehn<sup>3,4,5,0</sup>, Ive De Smet<sup>1,2,0</sup> and Elena Feraru<sup>3,\*,0</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> VIB Center for Plant Systems Biology, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium

<sup>3</sup> Department of Applied Genetics and Cell Biology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Muthgasse 18, 1190 Vienna, Austria

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Biology II, Department of Molecular Plant Physiology (MoPP), University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Center for Integrative Biological Signalling Studies (CIBSS), University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

\* Correspondence: elena.feraru@boku.ac.at

Received 2 March 2021; Editorial decision 30 April 2021; Accepted 6 May 2021

Editor: Marcel Quint, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

### Abstract

The environment is continually challenging plants, and in response they use various coping strategies, such as adaptation of their growth. Thermomorphogenesis is a specific growth adaptation that promotes organ growth in response to moderately high temperature. This would eventually enable plants to cool down by dissipating heat. Although well understood for shoot organs, the thermomorphogenesis response in roots has only recently received increased research attention. Accordingly, in the past few years, the hormonal responses and underlying molecular players important for root thermomorphogenesis have been revealed. Other responses triggered by high temperature in the root encompass modifications of overall root architecture and interactions with the soil environment, with consequences for the whole plant. Here, we review scientific knowledge and highlight current understanding of root responses to moderately high and extreme temperature.

**Keywords:** Auxin, brassinosteroids, high temperature, primary root growth, root architecture, root–soil interactions, thermomorphogenesis.

## Introduction

Plants face a wide range of temperatures during their life cycle, at both a daily and a seasonal level, and need to continually adapt. In addition to aboveground organs, root systems are exposed to a soil temperature range dependent on radiation absorption, reflection, and permeation, with most variation in the topsoil (Ren *et al.*, 2017; Farias *et al.*, 2018; Lu *et al.*, 2020). The overall soil temperature is several degrees lower than that of the air (Shen *et al.*, 2018) and plays an essential role in underground root growth and development, affecting the uptake and transport of water and nutrients (Koevoets *et al.*, 2016). In addition, depending on the climate zone, the soil shows distinct temperature regimes. Soils from temperate latitudes suffer from a large range of superficial temperature

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jxb/advance-article/doi/10.1093/jxb/erab202/6273223 by Ghent University user on 07 July 202



#### Page 2 of 10 | Fonseca de Lima et al.

variability across seasons (> $\pm$ 5 °C), while for tropical soils the seasonal temperature variation usually falls within the 5 °C range (USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2020). Plants develop adaptive traits to overcome limitations imposed by extreme soil temperature in their habitat (Martre et al., 2002; Garrett et al., 2010; Iversen et al., 2014). Despite the fact that some polar plants are capable of maintaining growth under extremely low temperatures in cold soils (1–3  $^{\circ}$ C), optimum root growth occurs at 12-20 °C (Bell and Bliss, 1978). Commonly, temperature fluctuates for the topsoil and tends to gradually stabilize with depth (Fig. 1A) (Chakrabarti et al., 2013; Aydin et al., 2015; Pramanik et al., 2018). For instance, in the climatic zone of the temperate crop wheat, the mean soil surface temperature fluctuates between 13 and 17 °C (Chakrabarti et al., 2013). A similar topsoil temperature fluctuation is observed in the zone of the tropical crop maize, but with higher absolute temperatures (Yin et al., 2016; Pramanik et al., 2018). Accordingly, plant species have different optimal soil temperatures for growth depending on their climatic zone (Fig. 1B).

A plant exposed to moderately high temperature has its growth and development positively affected, showing a morphological response named thermomorphogenesis (Fig. 2) (Erwin *et al.*, 1989; Delker *et al.*, 2014). A considerable scientific literature describes this phenomenon in shoots, and among other things, highlights the most observable effects as being elongation of hypocotyl, petioles, and leaves (Gray *et al.*, 2016; Casal and Balasubramanian, 2019; Jin and Zhu, 2019) for evaporative cooling effects (Crawford *et al.*, 2012;



**Fig. 1.** Soil temperature gradient and the range to which roots can be exposed. (A) Representation of soil depth versus temperature. Soil depth is positively correlated with effectively maintaining a more stable temperature. Red indicates supra-optimal temperature and blue indicates sub-optimal temperature for roots. Graph plotted using raw data from 10-year daily observations recorded by the meteorological station of Redding, CA, USA (Diamond *et al.*, 2013). (B) Illustration of optimum temperature for root development in indicated climate zone. Red indicates optimum temperature range for studied species from tropical regions (rice, maize, and soybean), orange for subtropical species (tomato), and yellow for temperate species (wheat and barley).

Bridge et al., 2013). The primary root also experiences the highest temperature at the soil surface (Fig. 1A). As a consequence, the primary root elongates, most probably in order to reach deeper and cooler soil layers (Illston and Fiebrich, 2017) or to search for available water (Martins et al., 2017). Although roots show thermomorphogenesis to some extent, and one can point to similarities with shoot-related effects, the mechanisms underlying thermomorphogenesis in roots are less understood. Here we discuss hormone-mediated root thermomorphogenesis, root architecture, and the root systemsoil interactions under moderately high and extreme temperature conditions. First, we summarize the results obtained by investigating the bare roots of young Arabidopsis seedlings grown on agar plates, under a long-day or continuous light regime and constant moderately high temperature of 26-29 °C. These roots do not experience, as roots grown in (field) soil do, a gradient of decreasing temperature from the soil surface to deeper layers. More importantly, agar-grown roots are also exposed to light, and light intensity affects root responses to moderately high temperature (Fei et al., 2019). Although different from field conditions, these laboratory experimental set-ups allow deciphering the mechanisms regulating the initial response of primary roots. In addition, we review current knowledge on root architecture and root system-soil interactions under high temperature. Here, we discuss how roots of various crop species, grown under laboratory or field conditions, respond to high temperature.

# Hormone-mediated root thermomorphogenesis

#### Auxin

molecular mechanisms Several involved in shoot thermomorphogenesis, which seem to regulate the cooling capacity of the shoot (Crawford et al., 2012; Bridge et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2016; Park et al., 2019), have been well characterized. These include the combined action of the temperature sensors such as phytochrome B (phyB), several bHLH transcription factors, namely PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTORS (PIFs), and the phytohormone auxin (Quint et al., 2016; Casal and Balasubramanian, 2019; Jin and Zhu, 2019). In short, increasing environmental temperature reduces phyB activity (Jung et al., 2016; Legris et al., 2016), induces expression of PIF4, and subsequently stimulates auxin biosynthesis and tissue elongation (Gray et al., 1998; Koini et al., 2009; Stavang et al., 2009; Franklin et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012; Fiorucci et al., 2020). In addition to PIF4, PIF7 and other PIFs bind the promoter of auxin biosynthesis genes, such as YUCCA8 (YUC8) and YUC9, TRYPTOPHAN AMINOTRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS1 (TAA1; also called CK-INDUCED ROOT CURLING1 (CKRC1)) and CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY79B (CYP79B2), triggering an increase in auxin level, transcript elevation of



**Fig. 2.** Plant responses to moderately high and extreme temperature. Temperature modulates plant growth and development positively (moderately high) or negatively (extremely high), and affects overall shoot and root activity. Shoot and root thermomorphogenesis are coupled through the PHY–PIF–HY5 module. As temperature rises, ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) toxicity increases and uptake is reduced. In parallel, temperature increases in-soil denitrification and availability of multiple nitrogen forms, such as  $NH_4^+$  and nitrate ions ( $NO_3^-$ ). With decreased nitrogen assimilation by the plant, growth arrest is observed.

auxin-responsive genes, and ultimately tissue elongation (Gray et al., 1999; Koini et al., 2009; Stavang et al., 2009; Franklin et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012; Chung et al., 2020; Fiorucci et al., 2020). The regulatory mechanisms of shoot thermomorphogenesis are, nevertheless, more complex than the overview presented above, and include multiple levels of regulation, mainly PIF4 transcriptional and post-translational control (Quint et al., 2016; Casal and Balasubramanian, 2019). For example, another important regulator of shoot thermomorphogenesis is CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1, which integrates temperature information and promotes the moderately high temperature-dependent degradation of the PIF4 repressor LONG HYPOCOTYL5 (HY5) (Gangappa et al., 2017; Park et al., 2017). Moreover, two alternative temperaturesensing mechanisms have been recently discovered in Arabidopsis (Chung et al., 2020; Jung et al., 2020). One encompasses PIF7, which activates thermomorphogenesis by acting as an RNA thermoswitch (Chung et al., 2020). Moderately high temperature enhances the translation of PIF7 mRNA, PIF7-dependent gene expression, and, consequently, auxin biosynthesis and shoot organ elongation (Chung et al., 2020). Another temperature-sensing mechanism involves EARLY FLOWERING3 (ELF3), a component of the evening complex that not only works as a transcriptional repressor of PIF4, affecting thermomorphogenesis by modulating PIF4dependent auxin-responsive genes, but also inhibits PIF4 transcriptional activity through direct interaction (Box et al., 2015; Nieto et al., 2015; Raschke et al., 2015). Furthermore, ELF3 shifts between active and inactive states in a temperaturedependent manner. This defines ELF3 as a thermosensor that

modulates temperature-dependent transcription (Jung et al., 2020).

Similar to shoot organs, the primary root of Arabidopsis elongates in response to moderately high temperature, such as 26-29 °C, and this response is mediated primarily by auxin (Figs 2 and 3) (Hanzawa et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Ibanez et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Feraru et al., 2019; Gaillochet et al., 2020). However, in contrast to the now well-established role of auxin in root thermomorphogenesis, the most upstream regulators of auxin-mediated root thermomorphogenesis are still under investigation (Hanzawa et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Ibanez et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Feraru et al., 2019; Gaillochet et al., 2020). Although the phytochromes and PIFs are not directly and locally required for root elongation under moderately high temperature (Martins et al., 2017; Gaillochet et al., 2020), it has been shown that their activity in the shoot can regulate the root response to moderately high temperature (Gaillochet et al., 2020). Analyses of well-known regulators of shoot thermomorphogenesis revealed that a shoot module, encompassing phyA and phyB, several PIFs, and the transcriptional repressor HY5, regulates the shoot-to-root response to a temperature of 27 °C, suggesting that shoot and root thermo-responses are coupled, linking energy availability with overall growth rates (Fig. 2) (Gaillochet et al., 2020). Importantly, it has been shown that the root can also sense and respond to moderately high temperature independently of the shoot (Bellstaedt et al., 2019), indicating that many aspects of the most upstream regulation of root thermomorphogenesis are not entirely elucidated and should be further investigated.

#### Page 4 of 10 | Fonseca de Lima et al.



**Fig. 3.** Auxin signaling mediates root thermomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. Moderately high temperature (orange boxes) has a positive (TIR1, PIN2, ETR1) or negative (BRI1, PILS5,6) effect on components of auxin (blue), brassinosteroid (BR, purple) and ethylene (gray) pathways, stimulating auxin signaling and root growth. The dual ability of moderately high temperature to stimulate nuclear auxin perception, ETR1/ethylenemediated auxin biosynthesis and PIN2-dependent shootward auxin transport while decreasing BRI1 and downstream signaling and PILS5,6dependent intracellular transport at the endoplasmic reticulum may jointly promote TIR1-mediated auxin signaling and, consequently, root elongation.

One consistent finding in the current literature is the increased intensity of auxin signaling reporters, such as DR5, in roots of seedlings germinated at or transferred to temperatures of 27-29 °C, indicating that auxin signaling is important for root elongation under moderately high temperature (Hanzawa et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017; Feraru et al., 2019; Gaillochet et al., 2020). Moreover, additional results revealed that also components of auxin perception (Wang et al., 2016), biosynthesis (Gaillochet et al., 2020), and transport (Hanzawa et al., 2013; Feraru et al., 2019) regulate root elongation under moderately high temperature (Fig. 3). The increased auxin signaling observed in roots exposed to moderately high temperature was initially explained by enhanced auxin perception. A short-term (1 h) exposure to a temperature of 29 °C stabilizes the auxin co-receptor TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE (TIR1) and consequently increases auxin signaling (Wang et al., 2016). Mutants defective in nuclear auxin perception (tir1 or auxin signaling f-box protein (afb2)) or at the plasma membrane (transmembrane kinase1,4 (tmk1, 4) show reduced root elongation under moderately high temperature (Wang et al., 2016; Gaillochet et al., 2020), supporting this conclusion. Similarly, yucQ quintuple or taa/ ckrc1 mutants, which are defective in auxin biosynthesis, also show reduced root elongation at 27 °C, indicating the importance of auxin availability (Fei et al., 2017; Gaillochet et al., 2020). However, in roots exposed to a temperature of 27 °C there is no change in auxin levels (Gaillochet *et al.*, 2020), as has been observed in shoots. Moreover, the agravitropic root growth of *taa1/ckrc1* observed when grown at 27 °C can be restored by treating the mutant with the synthetic auxin 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (Fei *et al.*, 2017), which is known to increase the expression of several genes involved in auxin transport (Vieten *et al.*, 2005). This shows that root and shoot thermomorphogenesis, although both are auxin-mediated, are mechanistically distinct. In line with this, the transport and cellular homeostasis of auxin have been found to play important roles in root thermomorphogenesis (Hanzawa *et al.*, 2013; Feraru *et al.*, 2019).

Auxin transport is crucial for generating and maintaining the gradients and cellular homeostasis of auxin. The auxin efflux carriers of the PIN-FORMED (PIN) family, which mediate intercellular auxin transport, play a positive role in roots responding to moderately high temperature (Fig. 3) (Hanzawa *et al.*, 2013). Specific SORTING NEXIN1-dependent targeting of PIN2 at the plasma membrane leads to increased shootward auxin transport at 29 °C (Hanzawa *et al.*, 2013). This may enhance the auxin flow through the meristem and maintain an auxin maximum in the root tip of seedlings exposed to moderately high temperature. In contrast to wild type control seedlings, *pin2* or the *aux1* mutant of the AUXIN RESISTANT1 (AUX1) auxin influx carrier showed altered root growth and impaired shootward auxin transport at 29 °C (Hanzawa *et al.*, 2013).

The PIN-LIKES (PILS) putative auxin carriers at the endoplasmic reticulum link intracellular auxin transport with nuclear availability and signaling of auxin (Barbez et al., 2012; Beziat et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2020) and regulate auxin-dependent root response to moderately high temperature (Fig. 3) (Feraru et al., 2019). At the cellular level, PILS proteins regulate auxin transport at the endoplasmic reticulum, most probably by transporting auxin from the cytosol into the endoplasmic reticulum lumen, which reduces free IAA diffusion into the nucleus and, subsequently, limits nuclear auxin signaling (Barbez et al., 2012; Barbez and Kleine-Vehn, 2013; Beziat et al., 2017; Feraru et al., 2019). Moderately high temperature has a negative effect on PILS proteins, causing their degradation within 1.5 h following a shift from 21 to 29 °C (Feraru et al., 2019). The reduction of PILS6 results in higher nuclear abundance and hence signaling of auxin, initiating root growth promotion (Feraru et al., 2019). In accordance, lines with altered PILS6 levels, such as *pils6-1* or 35S::PILS6-GFP, are defective in root thermomorphogenesis (Feraru et al., 2019). This observation presumably relates to moderately high temperature-mediated stabilization of TIR1. In a dual manner, moderately high temperature seems to stabilize the TIR1 co-receptor (Wang et al., 2016) while promoting the degradation of PILS6 (Feraru et al., 2019). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the rapid decrease of PILS6 protein could generate the enhanced auxin levels necessary for the TIR1-based auxin signaling. The temperature-sensitive shift in PILS6-dependent auxin compartmentalization and the higher sensitivity for nuclear auxin perception could jointly explain how nuclear auxin signaling increases even though the auxin content in the root remains constant (Wang *et al.*, 2016; Feraru *et al.*, 2019; Gaillochet *et al.*, 2020).

#### Brassinosteroids

Hypocotyl growth under standard growth conditions is achieved through elongation of already existing cells (Gendreau et al., 1997). The increased hypocotyl growth observed under moderately high temperature is the result of cellular elongation, too (Gray et al., 1998). Although auxin alone can promote cellular elongation, the elongation of shoot organs under moderately high temperature is often achieved through crosstalk with other hormones, such as brassinosteroids (BR). In shoot thermomorphogenesis, auxin has been recently identified rather as a cotyledon-derived mobile signal that delivers growth information and promotes local BR-induced cellular elongation (Ibanez et al., 2017; Bellstaedt et al., 2019). During root thermomorphogenesis, moderately high temperature down-regulates the level of the BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 (BRI1) receptor and downstream signaling and promotes root growth at 26 °C (Fig. 3) (Martins et al., 2017). Mutants defective in the BR response such as bri1 and bri1-EMS-SUPRESSOR (bes1) are accordingly defective in root elongation at 26 °C. Interestingly, BR modulates PILSdependent auxin signaling and growth (Fig. 3) (Sun et al., 2020). Similar to moderately high temperature, the increase in BR signaling represses the accumulation of PILS proteins at the endoplasmic reticulum, thus increasing auxin signaling and promoting root organ growth (Sun et al., 2020). When grown at 29 °C, genetic interference with BRI1 (bri1<sup>imp1</sup> or bri1-301 mutants) affects the moderately high temperature-dependent degradation of PILS5–GFP and root growth (Sun et al., 2020). Accordingly, it is tempting to speculate that auxin and BR signaling could converge at the level of PILS proteins to quantitatively define root thermomorphogenesis (Sun et al., 2020).

#### Ethylene

Under standard growth conditions, the synergistic action of auxin and ethylene controls specific developmental processes such as root elongation and root hair formation, while their antagonistic action controls lateral root formation (Qin and Huang, 2018; Qin *et al.*, 2019). Likewise, the cross-talk between auxin and ethylene mediates Arabidopsis gravitropic root growth under moderately high temperature (Fei *et al.*, 2017, 2019). Gravitropic growth at 27 °C is mediated by TAA1/CKRC1-dependent auxin biosynthesis and ETHYLENE RESPONSE1 (ETR1)-dependent ethylene signaling (Fei *et al.*, 2017). The exogenous application of the ethylene hormone precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid rescues the agravitropic root phenotype caused by moderately high temperature of *taa/ckrc1* in the wild type background,

but not of the ethylene receptor mutant *etr1* (*ckrc1;etr1*) (Fei *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, moderately high temperature increases the expression of ETR1, promoting ethylene production, and ultimately, TAA1/CKRC1-induced auxin production (Fig. 3) (Fei *et al.*, 2017). Based on the analysis of *AUX1*, *PIN1*, and *PIN2* auxin carriers in the *ckrc1* mutant, the authors concluded that a certain level of auxin is required in maintaining the expression of auxin transport carriers under moderately high temperature conditions. Accordingly, ethylene is required for maintaining auxin levels and transport under these moderately high temperature conditions (Fei *et al.*, 2017).

#### Root system architecture

The root system is exposed to a heterogeneous matrix of soil in a range of environmental conditions, including a soil temperature gradient (Lundholm, 2009; Ulrich *et al.*, 2014; Onwuka, 2018). In addition, the root system architecture displays a spatial configuration in which roots grow and dynamically adapt to changes in the environment, such as variations in temperature (Zhu *et al.*, 2011; Bardgett *et al.*, 2014). Upon exposure to stressful high temperature (>29 °C), a decrease in primary root length and lateral root density and changes in root growth angle occur (Figs 2 and 4) (McMichael and Quisenberry, 1993; Seiler, 1998; Nagel *et al.*, 2009). Among other effects, this reduction negatively impacts uptake of nutrients and water, belowground interactions with other seed-lings, and tolerance to other stresses, such as drought and pests (Hendrick and Pregitzer, 1996; Román-Avilés *et al.*, 2004; Luo



Fig. 4. Impact of different temperatures on the *Agastache rugosa* system at 32 d after transplant. *Agastache rugosa* is originally from a subtropical climate. Reprinted with permission from Lam *et al.* (2020).

#### Page 6 of 10 | Fonseca de Lima et al.

*et al.*, 2020). Moreover, not all species share the same temperature response range. Different species show distinct optimum temperatures for root system architecture responses (Fig. 1B; Table 1) (Walter *et al.*, 2009; Gray and Brady, 2016; Luo *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, in comparison with the wide optimum temperature ranges for the development of aerial parts of multiple species from different regions (Alsajri *et al.*, 2019; Ayenan *et al.*, 2019; Begcy *et al.*, 2019; Chavan *et al.*, 2019; Draeger *et al.*, 2020), root temperature response profiles are very similar (Fig. 1B; Table 1). For that matter, despite the fact that some tundra plants are capable of maintaining growth under extremely low temperatures in cold soils (1–3 °C), optimum root growth occurs at 12–20 °C (Bell and Bliss, 1978), which is much closer to plants found in other biomes.

Temperature-based root studies are especially challenging due to the hidden, buried nature of the root, as most experiments assess traditional traits such as biomass and length (Jarvi and Burton, 2020). Soilless, but non-destructive observations, such as the use of a transparent gel growth system, are an alternative and show similar results to studies performed in soil (Luo et al., 2020). For instance, subtropical Agastache rugosa plants subjected to stable air temperature, but increasingly high root temperature, show severely altered root architecture at 36 °C (Fig. 4) (Lam et al., 2020). Gladish and Rost (1993) observed a decreasing trend in primary root growth rate and lateral root development inhibition in garden pea, as they shifted the temperature from cold (15 °C) to high (32 °C) (Gladish and Rost, 1993). However, seedlings exposed to 32 °C for 17 days showed inhibition of primary root elongation, but they were, interestingly, capable of restoring root growth to a normal state when transferred to 25 °C (Gladish and Rost, 1993). Likewise, soybean plants showed a considerable decrease in multiple parameters related to general root growth (e.g. root surface area, cumulative root length, and root volume) when subjected to a high temperature regime (40 °C/32 °C) compared with control plants (30 °C/22 °C) (Alsajri et al., 2019). Strikingly, not all studied traits showed a decreasing trend. In the same study, the soybean root secondary developmental parameters were enriched in the high temperature regime (e.g. number of root tips and root forks) (Alsajri et al., 2019). With respect to monocots, 21-day-old wheat plants subjected to a 36 °C/28 °C (day/night) regime showed a significant reduction in several root parameters when compared with controls at 25 °C/20 °C, such as a decrease in root biomass, shoot-to-root ratio, primary root length, root surface area, and root volume (Rehman *et al.*, 2019).

# Interaction of the root system with the soil under high temperature

Among the minerals absorbed by plant roots, nitrogen is one if not the most important macro-nutrient for growth, development, and response to biotic and abiotic stresses in plants. Although the development of methods to synthesize and administer ammonia and other nitrogenous compounds is the keystone of the green revolution, soil temperature comes into play to affect both the type of fertilizer available in the soil and plant preferences for the chemical form taken up (Fryzuk, 2004; Dent and Cocking, 2017).

At the soil level, commonly used modern fertilizers contain anhydrous ammonia, urea, ammonium sulfate, and ammonium nitrate (Finch *et al.*, 2014). NH<sub>3</sub> shows the slowest conversion rate to nitrate in soil and therefore is less susceptible to denitrification (in opposition to soil fixation of N) and leaching than the others. However, the actual rate at which this reaction occurs is increased as soil temperature rises, doubling with every 10 °C increase within the temperature range of 15–35 °C (Stanford *et al.*, 1975; Finch *et al.*, 2014).

In parallel, some plant species show a preference for uptake of specific nitrogen forms to the detriment of others at distinct soil temperatures. When both ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$ and nitrate (NO3<sup>-</sup>) ions are available in soil, NH4<sup>+</sup> uptake is higher the lower the temperature (Kafkafi, 2008; Tan et al., 2018). It is hypothesized that this is caused by a lower energy demand for NH4<sup>+</sup> assimilation compared with the more numerous biochemical processes involved in nitrate assimilation. As the temperature rises (>25 °C) at the root-zone, plant tolerance to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is reduced (Fig. 2) (Kafkafi, 1990). A potential explanation for that is the fact that  $NH_4^+$  needs to be dealt with inside the cell, since it is involved in triggering quick changes in cytosolic pH, gene expression, post-translational modification of proteins, oxidative status, root system architecture, and if not tightly regulated can trigger programmed cell death (Liu and von Wirén, 2017). In response to that, the nitrogen biochemical pathway of incorporation and detoxification makes use of available carbon skeletons. These are mainly produced by

Table 1. Optimum temperature for root development in different species from distinct geographical zones

Zones	Species	Optimum temperature range for root development (°C)	Reference
Temperate	Wheat	14–18	Reviewed in Porter and Gawith (1999)
	Barley	15–20	Mozafar and Oertli (1992), Sharratt (1991)
Subtropical	Tomato	22–25	Gosselin and Trudel (1984), Kawasaki et al. (2014)
Tropical	Maize	25–35	Blacklow (1972), Grobbelaar (1963)
	Rice	25–28	Arai-Sanoh et al. (2010), Sánchez et al. (2014)
	Soybean	25	Janas <i>et al.</i> (2000)

aerial photosynthetic parts of the plants and later translocated to the root system. In rice, acute internal  $NH_4^+$  excess was shown to induce processes of reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging and cause carbon scarcity by reallocating resources to induce glycolysis in shoots. An unexpected response was also the suppression of carbon production, photocapture genes, and activity of primary CO<sub>2</sub> fixation enzymes such as Rubisco, thereby impacting overall plant growth. In the same experiment, a sucrose-rich feeding substrate was able to cancel the responses of the ROS burst and restore the activity of the carbon-capture machinery (Yang et al., 2020). This observation suggests that  $NH_4^+$  hinders growth by requiring relocation of carbon resources to metabolize its downstream products and detoxify the cell. Moreover, nondissociated NH<sub>3</sub> could accumulate to toxic levels if sugar is not present nearby (Guan et al., 2016). Under high temperature, sugar in the root is rapidly consumed by cell respiration (Kafkafi, 2008).  $NH_4^+$  metabolism is restricted to the root, where the sugar supply detoxifies the free NH<sub>3</sub> produced in the cytoplasm (Marschner, 2012). The combination of low sugar concentration and increasing concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> inside the cell under high temperature is dangerous to cell survival, since a temperature point is reached at which all the sugar in the root is consumed and nothing is left to prevent NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity (Ganmore-Neumann and Kafkafi, 1985; Kafkafi, 1990).

In addition to mineral uptake, root respiration also varies over a temperature range. During root respiration, cells take oxygen available in the air spaces between soil particles. This process is mostly observed at the root hair level and, among other gases, oxygen and carbon dioxide move by diffusion. Respiration and oxygen uptake at the root zone double with every 10 °C increase in soil temperature, but oxygen solubility is inversely proportional to temperature. This increased demand for oxygen and reduced availability could lead to root hypoxia (Lam *et al.*, 2020).

#### Conclusions

There is already a good understanding of the molecular players and the hormonal connections regulating root response to moderately high temperature, and more will be revealed in the coming years. Importantly, laboratory experimental designs need to be aligned with similar growth conditions of temperature and light intensity, in order to obtain comparable results and prevent controversy. One such controversy was over elongation (Hanzawa *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2016; Ibanez *et al.*, 2017; Yang *et al.*, 2017; Feraru *et al.*, 2019; Gaillochet *et al.*, 2020) or no elongation (Fei *et al.*, 2017) of the primary root in response to moderately high temperature, which was resolved in follow-up publications showing that root elongation is light intensity dependent (Fei *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, scientists could assess the primary root response under moderate temperature gradient conditions, matching what might be observable in field.

The primary root response to moderately high temperature was long overlooked. Only in the past 5 years this topic has been investigated and several key players in root thermomorphogenesis identified. It should be noted that several loss- and gain-of-function lines also display root phenotypes under control conditions, but that their responsiveness to high temperature can still be affected, and this is best revealed through looking at fold change responses. It remains, however, unclear how plants translate the temperature information into light-dependent root responses. We need to resolve whether moderately high temperature is also perceived locally, in roots, and whether the newly identified thermosensors or other components play a role during root thermomorphogenesis. Importantly, it is not entirely clear how the increased root length observed upon moderately high temperature exposure is achieved. Although Martins and et al. (2017) showed that the increased total root length observed at 26 °C is achieved through cellular elongation, we do not know precisely if moderately high temperature only promotes elongation of the already existing cells, as in hypocotyl, or also promotes a faster transition of meristematic cells into the elongation zone (Feraru et al., 2019).

Last but not least, soil temperature not only affects root growth and development but various (soil) chemical processes are also influenced by high temperature and impact plant fitness. Taken together, it will require a future multi-disciplinary approach to fully understand the impact of high temperature on root architecture and on the interplay with soil chemical/ physical properties.

#### Acknowledgements

We apologize for those articles that we have not cited in this review. We thank the Editor and the two Reviewers whose constructive suggestions improved our review, and the funding agencies that supported this work: Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) (to JK-V), European Research Council (AuxinER – ERC starting grant 639478 to JK-V), Austrian Science Fund (FWF) (P26591 to JK-V, Elise Richter V690-B25 to EF), and Research Foundation – Flanders (grant FWO. OPR.2019.0009.01) (to IDS).

#### References

Alsajri FA, Singh B, Wijewardana C, Irby JT, Gao W, Reddy KR. 2019. Evaluating soybean cultivars for low- and high-temperature tolerance during the seedling growth stage. Agronomy **9**, 13.

Arai-Sanoh Y, Ishimaru T, Ohsumi A, Kondo M. 2010. Effects of soil temperature on growth and root function in rice. Plant Production Science **13**, 235–242.

Aydin M, Sisman A, Gültekin A, Dehghan BB. 2015. An experimental and computational performance comparison between different shallow

#### Page 8 of 10 | Fonseca de Lima et al.

ground heat exchangers. Proceedings of the World Geothermal Congress, Melbourne, Australia, 19–25 April 2015.

Ayenan MAT, Danquah A, Hanson P, Ampomah-Dwamena C, Sodedji FAK, Asante IK, Danquah EY. 2019. Accelerating breeding for heat tolerance in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.): an integrated approach. Agronomy **9**, 720.

Barbez E, Kleine-Vehn J. 2013. *Divide Et Impera*—cellular auxin compartmentalization. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **16**, 78–84.

Barbez E, Kubeš M, Rolčík J, *et al.* 2012. A novel putative auxin carrier family regulates intracellular auxin homeostasis in plants. Nature **485**, 119–122.

**Bardgett RD, Mommer L, De Vries FT.** 2014. Going underground: root traits as drivers of ecosystem processes. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **29**, 692–699.

Begcy K, Nosenko T, Zhou LZ, Fragner L, Weckwerth W, Dresselhaus T. 2019. Male sterility in maize after transient heat stress during the tetrad stage of pollen development. Plant Physiology **181**, 683–700.

**Bell K, Bliss L.** 1978. Root growth in a polar semidesert environment. Canadian Journal of Botany **56**, 2470–2490.

Bellstaedt J, Trenner J, Lippmann R, Poeschl Y, Zhang X, Friml J, Quint M, Delker C. 2019. A mobile auxin signal connects temperature sensing in cotyledons with growth responses in hypocotyls. Plant Physiology 180, 757–766.

**Beziat C, Barbez E, Feraru MI, Lucyshyn D, Kleine-Vehn J.** 2017. Light triggers PILS-dependent reduction in nuclear auxin signalling for growth transition. Nature Plants **3**, 17105.

Blacklow WM. 1972. Influence of temperature on germination and elongation of the radicle and shoot of corn (*Zea mays* L.). Crop Science **12**, 647–650.

Box MS, Huang BE, Domijan M, et al. 2015. ELF3 controls thermoresponsive growth in *Arabidopsis*. Current Biology **25**, 194–199.

Bridge LJ, Franklin KA, Homer ME. 2013. Impact of plant shoot architecture on leaf cooling: a coupled heat and mass transfer model. Journal of the Royal Society, Interface **10**, 20130326.

**Casal JJ, Balasubramanian S.** 2019. Thermomorphogenesis. Annual Review of Plant Biology **70**, 321–346.

**Chakrabarti B, Singh SD, Kumar V, Harit RC, Misra S.** 2013. Growth and yield response of wheat and chickpea crops under high temperature. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology **18**, 7–14.

**Chavan SG, Duursma RA, Tausz M, Ghannoum O.** 2019. Elevated  $CO_2$  alleviates the negative impact of heat stress on wheat physiology but not on grain yield. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 6447–6459.

Chung BYW, Balcerowicz M, Di Antonio M, Jaeger KE, Geng F, Franaszek K, Marriott P, Brierley I, Firth AE, Wigge PA. 2020. An RNA thermoswitch regulates daytime growth in *Arabidopsis*. Nature Plants 6, 522–532.

Crawford AJ, McLachlan DH, Hetherington AM, Franklin KA. 2012. High temperature exposure increases plant cooling capacity. Current Biology **22**, R396–R397.

**Delker C, Sonntag L, James GV, et al.** 2014. The DET1-COP1-HY5 pathway constitutes a multipurpose signaling module regulating plant photomorphogenesis and thermomorphogenesis. Cell Reports **9**, 1983–1989.

**Dent D, Cocking E.** 2017. Establishing symbiotic nitrogen fixation in cereals and other non-legume crops: the Greener Nitrogen Revolution. Agriculture & Food Security **6**, 7.

**Diamond HJ, Karl TR, Palecki MA, et al.** 2013. U.S. Climate Reference Network after one decade of operations: status and assessment. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society **94**, 485–498.

Draeger T, C Martin A, Alabdullah AK, Pendle A, Rey MD, Shaw P, Moore G. 2020. *Dmc1* is a candidate for temperature tolerance during wheat meiosis. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **133**, 809–828.

Erwin JE, Heins RD, Karlsson MG. 1989. Thermomorphogenesis in *Lilium longiflorum*. American Journal of Botany **76**, 47–52.

Farias PdSd, Souza LdS, Paiva AdQ, Oliveira ÁSd, Souza LD, Ledo CAdS. 2018. Hourly, daily, and monthly soil temperature fluctuations in a drought tolerant crop. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 42, https://doi.org/10.1590/18069657rbcs20170221.

Fei Q, Wei S, Zhou Z, Gao H, Li X. 2017. Adaptation of root growth to increased ambient temperature requires auxin and ethylene coordination in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell Reports **36**, 1507–1518.

Fei Q, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Liang L, Wu L, Gao H, Sun Y, Niu B, Li X. 2019. Effects of auxin and ethylene on root growth adaptation to different ambient temperatures in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Science **281**, 159–172.

Feraru E, Feraru MI, Barbez E, Waidmann S, Sun L, Gaidora A, Kleine-Vehn J. 2019. PILS6 is a temperature-sensitive regulator of nuclear auxin input and organ growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **116**, 3893–3898.

**Finch HJS, Samuel AM, Lane GPF.** 2014. Fertilisers and manures. In: Finch HJS, Samuel AM, Lane GPF, eds. Lockhart & Wiseman's crop husbandry including grassland (ninth edition). Sawston, Waltham, Kidlington: Woodhead Publishing, 63–91.

Fiorucci AS, Galvão VC, Ince YÇ, Boccaccini A, Goyal A, Allenbach Petrolati L, Trevisan M, Fankhauser C. 2020. PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 7 is important for early responses to elevated temperature in Arabidopsis seedlings. New Phytologist 226, 50–58.

Franklin KA, Lee SH, Patel D, et al. 2011. Phytochrome-interacting factor 4 (PIF4) regulates auxin biosynthesis at high temperature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **108**, 20231–20235.

Fryzuk MD. 2004. Inorganic chemistry: ammonia transformed. Nature 427, 498–499.

Gaillochet C, Burko Y, Platre MP, Zhang L, Simura J, Willige BC, Kumar SV, Ljung K, Chory J, Busch W. 2020. HY5 and phytochrome activity modulate shoot-to-root coordination during thermomorphogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. Development **147**, dev192625.

Gangappa SN, Berriri S, Kumar SV. 2017. PIF4 coordinates thermosensory growth and immunity in *Arabidopsis*. Current Biology **27**, 243–249.

**Ganmore-Neumann R, Kafkafi U.** 1985. The effect of root temperature and nitrate/ammonium ratio on strawberry plants. II. Nitrogen uptake, mineral ions, and carboxylate concentrations1. Agronomy Journal **77**, 835–840.

**Garrett TY, Huynh CV, North GB.** 2010. Root contraction helps protect the "living rock" cactus *Ariocarpus fissuratus* from lethal high temperatures when growing in rocky soil. American Journal of Botany **97**, 1951–1960.

Gendreau E, Traas J, Desnos T, Grandjean O, Caboche M, Höfte H. 1997. Cellular basis of hypocotyl growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiology **114**, 295–305.

**Gladish DK, Rost TL.** 1993. The effects of temperature on primary root growth dynamics and lateral root distribution in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L., cv. "Alaska"). Environmental and Experimental Botany **33**, 243–258.

**Gosselin A, Trudel MJ.** 1984. Interactions between root-zone temperature and light levels on growth, development and photosynthesis of *Lycopersicon* esculentum Mill. cultivar 'Vendor'. Scientia Horticulturae **23**, 313–321.

Gray SB, Brady SM. 2016. Plant developmental responses to climate change. Developmental Biology **419**, 64–77.

Gray WM, del Pozo JC, Walker L, Hobbie L, Risseeuw E, Banks T, Crosby WL, Yang M, Ma H, Estelle M. 1999. Identification of an SCF ubiquitin-ligase complex required for auxin response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genes & Development **13**, 1678–1691.

**Gray WM, Ostin A, Sandberg G, Romano CP, Estelle M.** 1998. High temperature promotes auxin-mediated hypocotyl elongation in *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **95**, 7197–7202.

**Grobbelaar WP.** 1963. Responses of young maize plants to root temperatures. PHD Thesis, Wageningen University.

**Guan M, de Bang TC, Pedersen C, Schjoerring JK.** 2016. Cytosolic glutamine synthetase Gln1;2 is the main isozyme contributing to GS1 activity and can be up-regulated to relieve ammonium toxicity. Plant Physiology **171**, 1921–1933.

Hanzawa T, Shibasaki K, Numata T, Kawamura Y, Gaude T, Rahman A. 2013. Cellular auxin homeostasis under high temperature is regulated through a sorting NEXIN1-dependent endosomal trafficking pathway. The Plant Cell **25**, 3424–3433.

Hendrick RL, Pregitzer KS. 1996. Temporal and depth-related patterns of fine root dynamics in northern hardwood forests. Journal of Ecology **84**, 167–176.

Ibanez C, Poeschl Y, Peterson T, Bellstädt J, Denk K, Gogol-Döring A, Quint M, Delker C. 2017. Ambient temperature and genotype differentially affect developmental and phenotypic plasticity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biology **17**, 114.

**Illston BG, Fiebrich CA.** 2017. Horizontal and vertical variability of observed soil temperatures. Geoscience Data Journal **4**, 40–46.

Iversen CM, Sloan VL, Sullivan PF, Euskirchen ES, McGuire AD, Norby RJ, Walker AP, Warren JM, Wullschleger SD. 2014. The unseen iceberg: plant roots in arctic tundra. New Phytologist **205**, 34–58.

Janas K, Cvikrová M, Pałągiewicz A, Eder J. 2000. Alterations in phenylpropanoid content in soybean roots during low temperature acclimation. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **38**, 587–593.

**Jarvi MP, Burton AJ.** 2020. Root respiration and biomass responses to experimental soil warming vary with root diameter and soil depth. Plant and Soil **451**, 435–446.

Jin H, Zhu Z. 2019. Dark, light, and temperature: key players in plant morphogenesis. Plant Physiology **180**, 1793–1802.

Jung JH, Barbosa AD, Hutin S, et al. 2020. A prion-like domain in ELF3 functions as a thermosensor in *Arabidopsis*. Nature **585**, 256–260.

Jung JH, Domijan M, Klose C, et al. 2016. Phytochromes function as thermosensors in *Arabidopsis*. Science **354**, 886–889.

**Kafkafi U.** 1990. Root temperature, concentration and the ratio  $NO_3^-/NH_4^+$  effect on plant development. Journal of Plant Nutrition **13**, 1291–1306.

Kafkafi UZI. 2008. Functions of the root system. In: Raviv M, Lieth JH, eds. Soilless culture. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 13–40.

Kawasaki Y, Matsuo S, Kanayama Y, Kanahama K. 2014. Effect of root-zone heating on root growth and activity, nutrient uptake, and fruit yield of tomato at low air temperatures. Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science 83, 295–301.

**Koevoets IT, Venema JH, Elzenga JT, Testerink C.** 2016. Roots withstanding their environment: exploiting root system architecture responses to abiotic stress to improve crop tolerance. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**, 1335.

Koini MA, Alvey L, Allen T, Tilley CA, Harberd NP, Whitelam GC, Franklin KA. 2009. High temperature-mediated adaptations in plant architecture require the bHLH transcription factor PIF4. Current Biology **19**, 408–413.

Lam VP, Kim SJ, Bok GJ, Lee JW, Park JS. 2020. The effects of root temperature on growth, physiology, and accumulation of bioactive compounds of *Agastache rugosa*. Agriculture **10**, 162.

Legris M, Klose C, Burgie ES, Rojas CC, Neme M, Hiltbrunner A, Wigge PA, Schäfer E, Vierstra RD, Casal JJ. 2016. Phytochrome B integrates light and temperature signals in *Arabidopsis*. Science **354**, 897–900.

Liu Y, von Wirén N. 2017. Ammonium as a signal for physiological and morphological responses in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 2581–2592.

Lu H, Xia Z, Fu Y, Wang Q, Xue J, Chu J. 2020. Response of soil temperature, moisture, and spring maize (*Zea mays* L.) root/shoot growth to different mulching materials in semi-arid areas of Northwest China. Agronomy **10**, 453.

**Lundholm JT.** 2009. Plant species diversity and environmental heterogeneity: spatial scale and competing hypotheses. Journal of Vegetation Science **20**, 377–391.

Luo H, Xu H, Chu C, He F, Fang S. 2020. High temperature can change root system architecture and intensify root interactions of plant seedlings. Frontiers in Plant Science **11**, 160.

**Marschner H.** 2012. Preface to second edition. In: Marschner P, ed. Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants (third edition). San Diego: Academic Press, 9.

Martins S, Montiel-Jorda A, Cayrel A, Huguet S, Roux CP, Ljung K, Vert G. 2017. Brassinosteroid signaling-dependent root responses to prolonged elevated ambient temperature. Nature Communications 8, 309.

Martre P, North GB, Bobich EG, Nobel PS. 2002. Root deployment and shoot growth for two desert species in response to soil rockiness. American Journal of Botany **89**, 1933–1939.

**McMichael BL, Quisenberry JE.** 1993. The impact of the soil environment on the growth of root systems. Environmental and Experimental Botany **33**, 53–61.

**Mozafar A, Oertli JJ.** 1992. Root-zone temperature and salinity: interacting effects on tillering, growth and element concentration in barley. Plant and Soil **139**, 31–38.

Nagel KA, Kastenholz B, Jahnke S, et al. 2009. Temperature responses of roots: impact on growth, root system architecture and implications for phenotyping. Functional Plant Biology **36**, 947–959.

Nieto C, López-Salmerón V, Davière JM, Prat S. 2015. ELF3-PIF4 interaction regulates plant growth independently of the Evening Complex. Current Biology **25**, 187–193.

**Onwuka BM.** 2018. Effects of soil temperature on some soil properties and plant growth. Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research **8**, 34–37.

Park YJ, Lee HJ, Gil KE, Kim JY, Lee JH, Lee H, Cho HT, Vu LD, De Smet I, Park CM. 2019. Developmental programming of thermonastic leaf movement. Plant Physiology **180**, 1185–1197.

Park YJ, Lee HJ, Ha JH, Kim JY, Park CM. 2017. COP1 conveys warm temperature information to hypocotyl thermomorphogenesis. New Phytologist **215**, 269–280.

**Porter JR, Gawith M.** 1999. Temperatures and the growth and development of wheat: a review. European Journal of Agronomy **10**, 23–26.

Pramanik P, Chakrabarti B, Bhatia A, Singh SD, Maity A, Aggarwal P, Krishnan P. 2018. Effect of elevated temperature on soil hydrothermal regimes and growth of wheat crop. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment **190**, 217.

**Qin H, He L, Huang R.** 2019. The coordination of ethylene and other hormones in primary root development. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 874.

Qin H, Huang R. 2018. Auxin controlled by ethylene steers root development. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **19**, 3656.

Quint M, Delker C, Franklin KA, Wigge PA, Halliday KJ, van Zanten M. 2016. Molecular and genetic control of plant thermomorphogenesis. Nature Plants **2**, 15190.

**Raschke A, Ibañez C, Ullrich KK, et al.** 2015. Natural variants of ELF3 affect thermomorphogenesis by transcriptionally modulating PIF4-dependent auxin response genes. BMC Plant Biology **15**, 197.

**Rehman A, Farooq M, Asif M, Ozturk L.** 2019. Supra-optimal growth temperature exacerbates adverse effects of low Zn supply in wheat. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **182**, 656–666.

Ren X, Zhang P, Liu X, Ali S, Chen X, Jia Z. 2017. Impacts of different mulching patterns in rainfall-harvesting planting on soil water and spring corn growth development in semihumid regions of China. Soil Research 55, 285–295.

Román-Avilés B, Snapp SS, Kelly JD. 2004. Assessing root traits associated with root rot resistance in common bean. Field Crops Research 86, 147–156.

Sánchez B, Rasmussen A, Porter JR. 2014. Temperatures and the growth and development of maize and rice: a review. Global Change Biology **20**, 408–417.

**Seiler GJ.** 1998. Influence of temperature on primary and lateral root growth of sunflower seedlings. Environmental and Experimental Botany **40**, 135–146.

Sharratt BS. 1991. Shoot growth, root length density, and water use of barley grown at different soil temperatures. Agronomy Journal 83, 237–239.

Shen Y, McLaughlin N, Zhang X, Xu M, Liang A. 2018. Effect of tillage and crop residue on soil temperature following planting for a Black soil in Northeast China. Scientific Reports 8, 4500.

Stanford G, Dzienia S, Vander Pol RA. 1975. Effect of temperature on denitrification rate in soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal **39**, 867–870.

#### Page 10 of 10 | Fonseca de Lima et al.

Stavang JA, Gallego-Bartolomé J, Gómez MD, Yoshida S, Asami T, Olsen JE, García-Martínez JL, Alabadí D, Blázquez MA. 2009. Hormonal regulation of temperature-induced growth in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **60**, 589–601.

Sun L, Feraru E, Feraru MI, Waidmann S, Wang W, Passaia G, Wang ZY, Wabnik K, Kleine-Vehn J. 2020. PIN-LIKES coordinate brassinosteroid signaling with nuclear auxin input in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Current Biology **30**, 1579–1588.e6.

Sun J, Qi L, Li Y, Chu J, Li C. 2012. PIF4-mediated activation of YUCCA8 expression integrates temperature into the auxin pathway in regulating *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl growth. PLoS Genetics **8**, e1002594.

Tan X, Shao D, Gu W. 2018. Effects of temperature and soil moisture on gross nitrification and denitrification rates of a Chinese lowland paddy field soil. Paddy and Water Environment **16**, 687–698.

Ulrich W, Soliveres S, Maestre FT, et al. 2014. Climate and soil attributes determine plant species turnover in global drylands. Journal of biogeography **41**, 2307–2319.

**USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service**. 2020. Soils. https:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/soils/home/.

van Zanten M, Voesenek LA, Peeters AJ, Millenaar FF. 2009. Hormoneand light-mediated regulation of heat-induced differential petiole growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **151**, 1446–1458.

Vieten A, Vanneste S, Wisniewska J, Benková E, Benjamins R, Beeckman T, Luschnig C, Friml J. 2005. Functional redundancy of PIN proteins is accompanied by auxin-dependent cross-regulation of PIN expression. Development **132**, 4521–4531.

Walter A, Silk WK, Schurr U. 2009. Environmental effects on spatial and temporal patterns of leaf and root growth. Annual Review of Plant Biology **60**, 279–304.

Wang R, Zhang Y, Kieffer M, Yu H, Kepinski S, Estelle M. 2016. HSP90 regulates temperature-dependent seedling growth in *Arabidopsis* by stabilizing the auxin co-receptor F-box protein TIR1. Nature Communications **7**, 10269.

Yang S, Hao D, Jin M, Li Y, Liu Z, Huang Y, Chen T, Su Y. 2020. Internal ammonium excess induces ROS-mediated reactions and causes carbon scarcity in rice. BMC Plant Biology **20**, 143.

Yang X, Dong G, Palaniappan K, Mi G, Baskin TI. 2017. Temperaturecompensated cell production rate and elongation zone length in the root of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant, Cell & Environment **40**, 264–276.

Yin W, Feng F, Zhao C, Yu A, Hu F, Chai Q, Gan Y, Guo Y. 2016. Integrated double mulching practices optimizes soil temperature and improves soil water utilization in arid environments. International Journal of Biometeorology **60**, 1423–1437.

**Zhu J, Ingram PA, Benfey PN, Elich T.** 2011. From lab to field, new approaches to phenotyping root system architecture. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **14**, 310–317.

**Zhu JY, Oh E, Wang T, Wang ZY.** 2016. TOC1–PIF4 interaction mediates the circadian gating of thermoresponsive growth in *Arabidopsis*. Nature Communications **7**, 13692.