

# A correlation of the cosmic microwave sky with large scale structure

Stephen Boughn<sup>1</sup> & Robert Crittenden<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Haverford College, Haverford PA 19041 USA

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, Portsmouth PO1 2EG UK

The fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) have proved an invaluable tool for uncovering the nature of our universe. The recent dramatic data provided by the WMAP satellite [1] have confirmed previous indications that the expansion of the universe may be accelerating[2], driven by a cosmological constant or similar dark energy component. One consequence of dark energy is the suppression of the rate of gravitational collapse of matter at relatively recent times. This causes fluctuations in the CMB to be created as the photons pass through nearby large scale structures, a phenomenon known as the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect. The result is additional large scale fluctuations in the CMB which are correlated with the relatively nearby (i.e., at redshift  $z \sim 1$ ) matter distribution [3]. Here we report evidence of correlations between the WMAP data and two all sky probes of large scale structure, the hard X-ray background observed by the HEAO-1 satellite [4] and the NVSS survey of radio galaxies [5]. Both observed correlations are consistent with an ISW origin, indicating that we are seeing the impact of dark energy on the growth of structure.

In the standard model of the origin of structure, most of the fluctuations were imprinted on the CMB at the epoch of last scattering, when the universe was 400,000 years old ( $z \simeq 1100$ .) The ISW effect induces extra fluctuations only when matter domination ends and the dark energy becomes important dynamically ( $z \sim 1$ .) When this happens, the gravitational potentials of large, diffuse concentrations and rarefactions of matter begin to decay and the energy of photons passing through them changes by an amount that depends on the depth of the potentials. The amplitude of these ISW fluctuations tends to be small compared to the fluctuations originating at the epoch of last scattering except on very large scales. However, since ISW fluctuations were created more recently, it is expected that the CMB fluctuations should be partially correlated with tracers of the large scale matter distribution, e.g., with the distribution of distant galaxies.

Detecting the relatively weak correlation of the CMB with the distribution of galaxies requires nearly full sky surveys out to redshifts  $z \sim 1$ . Focus has thus been on luminous active galaxies, which are believed to trace the mass distribution on large scales. While active galaxies emit at a wide range of frequencies, the most useful maps are in the hard X-rays (2-10 KeV), where they dominate the X-ray sky, and

arXiv:astro-ph/0305001v1 30 Apr 2003

in the radio, where the number counts are dominated by sources at  $z \sim 1$ . The full sky map of the intensity of the hard X-ray background (XRB) made by the HEAO-1 satellite [4] and a map of the number density of radio sources provided by the NRAO VLA sky survey (NVSS) [5] are the two best maps to search for this effect. In order to predict the expected level of the ISW effect in these two surveys, it is essential to know both the inherent clustering of the sources and their distribution in redshift. The former can be determined from previous studies of the X-ray and radio auto-correlation functions [6, 7] while the latter can be estimated from deep, pointed surveys [8, 9]. The detailed considerations for calculating the amplitude of the ISW effect can be found elsewhere [3, 10].

Previous attempts at measuring the correlation by cross correlating the CMB with both the HEAO-1 and the NVSS maps have yielded only upper limits [6, 7]. Here we repeat these analyses with the WMAP satellite data. We use two CMB maps generated from the WMAP data: the “internal linear combination” (ILC) map [11]; and the “cleaned” map of Tegmark, de Oliveira-Costa and Hamilton [12]. The dominant “noise” to the cross correlation signal is due to the fluctuations in the CMB itself and is well characterized, while the instrument noise is negligible on the angular scales relevant to the present analysis. To reduce possible residual Galaxy and nearby radio source contamination, these maps were masked with the most aggressive mask provided by the WMAP team [11]. The X-ray map was similarly masked and, in addition, was corrected for several large scale systematics including a linear drift of the detectors [7]. Finally, the NVSS catalog was corrected for a systematic variation of source counts with declination [6]. The sky coverage was 68% for the CMB maps, 56% for the NVSS map, and 33% for the X-ray map. The aggressive masking of the X-ray maps was chosen because of the low resolution of the map ( $3^\circ$ ) and the larger number of foreground sources. Significantly, however, our results are relatively insensitive to the corrections and level of masking of the maps.

The maps were expressed as 24576  $1.3^\circ \times 1.3^\circ$  pixels in an equatorial quadrilateralized spherical cube projection on the sky [13]. The cross correlation function (CCF) of the X-ray and CMB maps was computed according to

$$CCF(\theta) = \frac{1}{N_\theta} \sum_{i,j} (I_i - \bar{I})(T_j - \bar{T}) \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over all pairs of pixels,  $i, j$ , with angular separation  $\theta$ ,  $N_\theta$  is the number of pairs of pixels separated by  $\theta$ ,  $I_i$  is the X-ray intensity of the  $i^{th}$  pixel,  $T_j$  is the temperature of the  $j^{th}$  pixel of the the CMB map, and  $\bar{I}$  and  $\bar{T}$  are the mean values of these quantities. The CCF of the NVSS and CMB maps is similarly defined. Figures 1, 3 are plots of the CCF’s for angular separations from 0 to 30 degrees along with the expected ISW signal. The CCF’s using the ILC and “cleaned” CMB maps were entirely consistent with each other as expected and we plot the averages of the results for the two maps. Four hundred Monte Carlo simulations of the CMB sky were also cross correlated with the actual X-ray and radio maps. The *rms* values of these trials are taken as the errors for each point. These errors are highly correlated due to

the large scale structure in the maps. For comparison, the errors were also computed from the data themselves by rotating the maps with respect to each other so that for small angular separations, the pixel pairs were far removed from one another on the sky. The two error estimates were consistent. The significance of the detection of the ISW effect can be estimated by fitting the amplitude of the theoretical profile to the data. Minimum  $\chi^2$  fits indicate that the significance of the signal is roughly  $2.0 - 2.5 \sigma$  for the radio/CMB CCF and  $2.5 - 3.0 \sigma$  for the X-ray/CMB CCF, depending on the number of bins included in the fits. These values are consistent with number of times the  $\text{CCF}(\theta)$  of the Monte Carlo trials exceed the values of the measured  $\text{CCF}(\theta)$  for the first few data points where the ISW signal is largest.

The possibility that the signal is arising from unmasked foreground sources is unlikely since the observed correlations do not change when the Galactic cuts are varied nor when the aggressiveness of the masking is changed. It is significant that the amplitudes of the CCF's are essentially unchanged when no masking at all is applied to the CMB maps. It is also unlikely that a small number of diffuse foreground sources is responsible for the signal. When the different hemispheres of the maps are analyzed separately the results are consistent. The fluctuations caused by inverse Compton scattering of CMB photons (as they travel through the ionized intergalactic medium of rich clusters of galaxies), the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect, is expected to dominate only at much smaller angles and be anti-correlated with the matter distribution[14]. Finally, it is possible that microwave emission from the radio/X-ray sources themselves could result in the positive correlation of the maps. However, extrapolations of the frequency spectra of the radio galaxies indicate that the microwave emission is much smaller than the observed signal [6]. In addition, the clustering of radio sources is on a much smaller angular scale than the apparent signals, and any such contamination would appear only at  $\theta = 0^\circ$  and not at larger angles[15].

While the detection of ISW type signals in the two CCF's is only at the 2 to 3  $\sigma$  level, we find it significant that both these signals are consistent with the theoretical predictions with no free parameters. However, it should be emphasized that these two measurements are by no means independent. Both of them use the same CMB maps and, furthermore, the X-ray background is highly correlated with the NVSS radio sources[15]. If the distribution of sources of one of the maps by chance coincided with the fluctuations of the CMB, one would expect the other map also to be correlated with the CMB to some degree. The coincidence of the expected amplitudes of the two signals is encouraging but by no means definitive since the signal to noise is not large. On the other hand, the detection of the ISW type signal in both CCF's gives a strong indication that they are not due to some unknown systematic effects in the maps. The radio and X-ray data were gathered by quite distinct methods and it would be surprising if unknown systematics in the two maps were correlated in any way. We will present more detailed analysis of all these issues elsewhere.

We tentatively conclude that we have observed the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect. If so, these observations offer the first direct glimpse into the production of CMB fluctu-

ations and provide important, independent confirmation of the standard cosmological model, dominated by dark energy. It is worth noting that the power spectrum of CMB fluctuations does not show evidence of increased power on large angular scales ( $\theta > 20^\circ$ ) as predicted by the ISW effect, but rather indicates that there is a significant deficit of power at these angular scales[1]. Our observed CCF's also appear to drop off somewhat faster in  $\theta$  than do the theoretical predictions indicating perhaps a deficit of large scale power in the CMB fluctuations produced locally. However, for  $\theta > 8^\circ$  both the signal and theoretical prediction are less than  $1 \sigma$  so quantitative assessments are problematic. We also note that the WMAP-NVSS results have been independently analyzed by the WMAP team.

## References

- [1] Bennett, C. L., *et al.*, First year *Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe* observations: preliminary maps and basic results, [xxx.lanl.gov/astro-ph/0302207](http://xxx.lanl.gov/astro-ph/0302207)
- [2] Bahcall, N. Ostriker, J.P., Perlmutter, S. & Steinhardt, P., The cosmic triangle: revealing the state of the universe, *Science* **284**, 1481 (1999)
- [3] Crittenden, R. & Turok, N., Looking for a cosmological constant with the Rees-Sciama effect, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 575 (1996)
- [4] Boldt, E., The cosmic X-ray background, *Phys. Rep.* **146**, 215 (1987)
- [5] Condon, J., *et al.*, The NRAO VLA sky survey, *Astron. J.* **115**, 1693 (1998)
- [6] Boughn, S. & Crittenden, R., Cross correlation of the CMB with radio sources: constraints on an accelerating universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**:021302 (2002)
- [7] Boughn, S., Crittenden, R. & Koehrsen, G., The large scale structure of the X-ray background and its cosmological implications, *Astrophys. J.* **580**, 672 (2002)
- [8] L. L. Cowie, A. J. Barger, M. W. Bautz, W. N. Brandt & G. P. Garmire, The redshift evolution of the 2 – 8KeV X-ray luminosity function, *Astrophys. J.* **584**, 57 (2003)
- [9] J. S. Dunlop & J. A. Peacock, The redshift cut-off in the luminosity function of radio galaxies and quasars, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **247**, 19 (1990)
- [10] Boughn, S., Crittenden, R. & Turok, N., Correlations between the cosmic X-ray and microwave backgrounds: constraints on a cosmological constant, *New Astron.* **3**, 275 (1998)
- [11] Bennett, C. L., *et al.*, First year *Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe* observations: foreground emission, [xxx.lanl.gov/astro-ph/0302208](http://xxx.lanl.gov/astro-ph/0302208)
- [12] M. Tegmark, A. de Oliveira-Costa & A. Hamilton, A high resolution foreground cleaned CMB map from WMAP, [xxx.lanl.gov/astro-ph/0302496](http://xxx.lanl.gov/astro-ph/0302496)

- [13] R. White & S. Stemwedel, The quadrilateralized spherical cube and quad-tree for all sky data, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems I*, eds. D. Worrall, C. Biemesderfer & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 379 (1992)
- [14] Peiris, H. V. & Spergel, D., Cross-correlating the Sloan Digital Sky Survey with the microwave sky, *Astrophys. J.* **540**, 605 (2000)
- [15] Boughn, S., Cross-correlation of the 2-10 keV X-ray background with radio sources: constraining the large-scale structure of the X-ray background, *Astrophys. J.* **499**, 533 (1998)

We are grateful to Mike Nolta, Lyman Page and the rest of the WMAP team, as well as Neil Turok, Bruce Partridge and Bruce Bassett, for useful conversations. RC acknowledges financial support from a PPARC fellowship.

**Communicating author: Robert Crittenden, [Robert.Crittenden@port.ac.uk](mailto:Robert.Crittenden@port.ac.uk)**




Figure 1: **The X-ray intensity measured by HEAO-A1 is correlated with the microwave sky measured by WMAP at a higher level than would be expected by chance correlations.** Here we plot the cross correlation between the X-ray intensity fluctuations and the CMB temperature fluctuations along with the theoretical predictions for the ISW effect in a cosmological constant ( $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.72$ ), the best fit WMAP model for scale invariant fluctuations. To give an idea of the level of accidental correlations, the green curves show the result of correlating the X-ray map with 100 independent Monte Carlo realized CMB maps with the same power spectrum as the WMAP data. The variance increases at smaller angular separations, where there are fewer pairs of pixels contributing to the correlation and one can see that the signals in neighboring bins are highly correlated for a given realization. Due to the shape of the expected correlation, the signal to noise is greatest at smaller angular separations. For  $\theta = 0^\circ$ ,  $1.3^\circ$ , and  $2.6^\circ$ , the Monte Carlo trials exceed the amplitude of the actual X-ray/CMB correlation only 0.3%, 0.8%, and 0.3% of the time respectively. These correspond to 2.4 to 2.8  $\sigma$ . At larger angular separations, the observed correlations appear to fall faster than predicted by theory. The blue line shows the theoretical predictions if the quadrupole and octupole modes are suppressed as suggested by the measured WMAP temperature spectrum. While it seems to fit the data better, the larger angular separations have very low signal to noise.




Figure 2: **The observed X-ray/CMB cross correlation for  $\theta = 0^\circ$  (in green) exceeds the value found for most Monte Carlo simulations.** Here we plot the distribution of the correlation from 400 simulations, where the observed X-ray map was cross correlated with random CMB maps with the same power spectrum as the observed WMAP CMB sky. The underlying distribution of the Monte Carlos is not precisely Gaussian, but should be nearly Gaussian by the central limit theorem.




Figure 3: **The NVSS radio galaxies also appear correlated with the microwave sky, but at lower confidence level than the X-rays.** Here we plot the correlation between the radio galaxy number counts and the WMAP temperature maps. The other curves are as in Figure 1. The Monte Carlo trials exceed the amplitude of the actual radio/CMB correlation in the lowest three bins 1.2%, 1.9%, and 3.4% of the time respectively, corresponding to a 1.8 to 2.3  $\sigma$  signal detection. Again, there is good agreement with the theoretical predictions, with the signal falling off faster than predicted at larger angles (at fairly low statistical significance.) The consistency of the NVSS and X-ray CCF's suggests that the signal is not the result of unknown systematics in either the X-ray or the NVSS map.