# CityCarControl: An Electric Vehicle Drive-by-Wire Solution for Distributed Steering, Braking and Throttle Control

by

Thomas B. Brown

S.B., C.S. M.I.T., 2009

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Engineering in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology

May 2010

©2010 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved.

Author\_\_\_ Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science May 17, 2010 Certified by\_\_\_ Professor Kent Larson Thesis Supervisor . And Accepted by\_\_\_\_ 10Dr. Christopher J. Terman Chairman, Masters of Engineering Thesis Committee

M	ASSACHUSETTS INSTITU OF TECHNOLOGY	TE
	DEC 1 6 2010	
	LIBRARIES	

ARCHIVES

CityCarControl: An Electric Vehicle Drive-by-Wire Solution for Distributed Steering, Braking and Throttle Control by Thomas Brown

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

September 10, 2010

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

#### **1** Abstract

In this paper, we propose CityCarControl, a system to manage the steering, braking, and throttle of a new class of intra-city electric vehicles. These vehicles have a focus on extreme light-weight and a small parking footprint. In order to maximize maneuverability within a city environment, we show the feasibility of omnidirectional steering, and the integration of a folding chassis. Furthermore, we apply traditionally programming best-practice techniques to simplify the design of the control system. Specifically, we present the concept of a modular, fail-silent wheel-robot with a standardized API responsible for controlling steering, braking and throttle within the vehicle.

# **Table of Contents**

1 Abstract	2		
2 Introduction			
3 Background			
4 Approach			
4.1 Drivemode Function			
4.1.1 Standard Driving Mode	8		
4.1.2 U-1 Urn Driving Mode			
4.1.3 Folding Mode			
4.1.4 Purkeu Moue			
4.2 Sale I III ollie Function			
4.2.1 Electronic Studility Control (ESC) Module Description			
4.2.2 The Electronic Prelie Force Distribution Module (EPED) Description			
4.2.5 The Electronic Druke Force Distribution Module (EDFD) Description			
4.2.4 Antilock Druking System (ADS) Module Description			
4.3 Steel by whe Function			
4.3.1 Standard Steering Mode			
4.5.2 0-1 un Steering Mode			
4.4 1 Standard Throttle Mode			
4.4.2 O-Turn Throttle Mode			
4.5 BrakeDogon Function			
4.5 Drakenegen Function			
5 Implementation			
5.1 Domain Communication			
5.2 Wheel Robot Design			
5.2.1 General Approach			
5.2.2 Wheel API			
5.2.3 Electronic Braking			
5.3 Steering Algorithm Design			
5.3.1 Steering Simulator			
5.3.2 User Interface Requirements			
5.4 Energy Management System			
5.4.1 High Voltage Bus			
5.4.2 Batteries			
5.4.3 Motor Controllers			
5.4.4 Safety Switches			
5.4.5 Low Voltage Bus	21		
6 Conclusion			
7 Annondix	22		
7 Appendix			
7.1 Block Functional Modules and Sensors			
7.2 Preliminary communication Flow			
7.2.1 DIIVEMUUE MESSUYE FIOW			
7.2.2 Suje I II folle Message Flow			
7.2.5 SIEERBYWIRE MESSAGE FIOW			
7.2.4 INFOTTIEBYWIFE MESSAGE FIOW			
7.2.5 Brakekegen Message Flow			
7.2.6 Infotainment Message Flow			
1.2.1 FOIdByWire Message Flow			

7.2.8	Safety Message Flow	,31
7.2.9	BatteryMan Message Flow	31
7.2.10	Telematics Link Message Flow	31
7.2.11	Autonomy Message Flow	31
7.3 ASI	L Decomposition	
7.3.1	DriveMode Function ASIL Decomposition	32
7.3.2	SafeThrottle Function ASIL Decomposition	33
7.3.3	SteerByWire Function ASIL Decomposition	33
7.3.4	ThrottleByWire Function ASIL Decomposition	33
7.3.5	BrakeRegen Function ASIL Decomposition	33
7.3.6	Infotainment Function ASIL Decomposition	34
7.3.7	Body Systems Function ASIL Decomposition	34
7.3.8	Canopy Function ASIL Decomposition	34
7.3.9	FoldByWire Function ASIL Decomposition	34
7.3.10	Safety Function ASIL Decomposition	34
7.3.11	BatteryMan Function ASIL Decomposition	35
7.3.12	Telematics Link ASIL Decomposition	35
7.3.13	Autonomy Function ASIL Decomposition	35
7.4 Dri	vetrain Simulator Source Code	

# 2 Introduction

With increasing urbanization worldwide, city streets are becoming ever more crowded and parking spaces are becoming more difficult to obtain. It is clear that a radical rethinking of the current automobile industry has become necessary. One solution to this growing problem is Professor Bill Mitchell's CityCar implemented as a fleet of lightweight electric vehicles that can be used in a one-way shared-use program within the city center. <sup>1</sup> These vehicles can be made very light-weight and can maintain a greatly reduced footprint if we allow for a reduction in maximum range and maximum speed. Furthermore, these specialized vehicles provide for the capability of rapid charging, and because of the shared-use system, can hypothetically receive much more use than their personal-use counterparts. With the objective of allowing for efficient parking of the vehicles, we design them with the capability of omnidirectional steering as well as the capacity to fold in order to reduce their footprint.

In addition to meeting the novel demands of this new vehicle class, the drivetrain system must be demonstrably reliable and responsive to the user's interactions. Because we desire omnidirectional steering, adjustable vehicle dynamics and regenerative braking, a traditional drivetrain presents many problems and obstacles to our parallel goals. Instead, we posit a drive-by-wire system composed of fail-silent, redundant wheels controlled by a simplified but powerful wheel API and a decoupled, modular user interface.

# 3 Background

The CityCar system provides the unique opportunity to redesign a vehicle from the ground up with a focus on sustainability and integration within the city's ecosystem. With this in mind, there are four main goals to consider: integrating with the city's power system, utilizing the "mobility internet", deploying with a one-way shared-use model and basing the vehicle on an electric drive system with a drive-by-wire control system.<sup>2</sup>

The vehicle should take advantage of the infrastructure of the city. As electric vehicles become more common, it is clear that manufacturers are moving towards a standard connector for rapidly charging vehicles from the city's power network. By integrating with this system, the vehicle can reduce charge times and take advantage of the more economical and environmentally friendly power generating techniques that power cities in general.

The "mobility internet" is made possible by the ease of a pervasive internet connections coupled with the low cost of computation. CityCar vehicles are conceived to be intelligent and capable of communication with each other as well as with the infrastructure of the city. This communication allows a wide variety of advantages including dynamic pricing, smart insurance, and even autonomous driving and parking.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reinventing the Automobile - Personal Urban Mobility for the 21st Century. William J. Mitchell, Christopher E. Borroni-Bird and Lawrence D. Burns
 <sup>2</sup> Reinventing the Automobile - Personal Urban Mobility for the 21st Century. William J. Mitchell, Christopher E. Borroni-Bird and Lawrence D. Burns

Finally, the vehicle's drive-by-wire control system allows for the removal of several mechanical components, facilitating the independent maneuvering of each wheel, and the decoupling of the user interface. Furthermore, drive-by-wire permits the vehicle to be a simple platform for autonomy, opening the vehicle up for a myriad of different applications including automated towing, self-parking and remote driving. <sup>3</sup>

There has been significant research along the lines of drive-by-wire systems within the last ten years. For example, GM produced an entirely drive-by-wire vehicle entitled the Hy-wire which demonstrated the ease of customizing the user interface of electronically controlled vehicles.<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, modern hybrids like the Toyota Prius and the Nissan Leaf use throttle-by-wire systems that have proven safe and effective in a mass market. However despite the many advantages of drive-by-wire, there has yet to be a paper looking at the specific problem of dealing with a wheel-robot based distributed-drive vehicle.

# 4 Approach

We break the drive-by-wire system up into several functional modules. In Figure 1 we describe the basic interrelations of these modules. These functions are then spread across electronic control units (ECUs) in such a way as to maintain fast close-loop control between sensors and actuators that need to react quickly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Reinventing the Automobile - Personal Urban Mobility for the 21st Century.

William J. Mitchell, Christopher E. Borroni-Bird and Lawrence D. Burns

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Chernoff, Adrian. "The 2003 Hy-wire Concept Car". Ideation Genesis, LLC. Retrieved 2010-07-22.



Figure 1 - Drivetrain functional modules and their associated actuators and sensors.

In the above model, we use the Joystick Controller to relay Angle X and Angle Y readings to the SteerByWire and ThrottleByWire functions. In the joystick scenario, Angle X and Angle Y correspond to horizontal and vertical movement of the joystick respectively. However, due to the modularity of the system. We are free to use any number of user interfaces. For example, with a classical steering system, the rotation of the steering wheel would correspond to Angle X and the action of the gas and brake pedals would correspond to Angle Y.

#### 4.1 DriveMode Function

The DriveMode function determines which mode the car should be in and relays information that affects the behavior of the SteerByWire and ThrottleByWire functions. In order to determine the feasibility of state changes, the DriveMode function also monitors the status of folding and whether the vehicle is traveling forwards or backwards. The vehicle is always in exactly one mode, and for the purpose of safety, during transitions between modes both the throttle and steering is deactivated . Because of the complexity and length of time for the folding action to complete, we include both Folding and Unfolding as distinct but temporary modes. The different DriveModes that the car may be in are:

- Parked Mode
- Folded Parked Mode
- Standard (Driving) Mode
- Reverse Standard (Driving) Mode
- Folded Driving Mode (Limited Speed)
- Reverse Folded Driving Mode

- O-Turn Mode
- Folded O-Turn Mode
- Folding Mode
- Unfolding Mode

The state flow controls is displayed in Figure 2. Note that the vehicle must be parked to initiate folding.



# **Drive Mode States**

Figure 2 – Possible states and transitions for the DriveMode function.

Because accurate reporting of the status of the folding is necessary for safe steering, the DriveMode module requires a reliable connection to the folding sensors.

Additionally, the DriveMode module requires a reliable, fast connection to all missioncritical driving inputs provided by the driver. These include:

- Angle X
- Angle Y
- Fold Button
- O-Turn Button
- Driving Button
- Reverse Button
- Park Button

#### 4.1.1 Standard Driving Mode

When in Standard Steering, the full range of the joystick is mapped to the current safe wheel range determined by the SteerByWire function described in section 4.3. This safe range based on speed and road conditions and allows for small course corrections while traveling at high speeds; steering angle adjustments become more limited as speed increases.

In order to avoid stability problems, speeds are further limited when in Folded Driving mode, as the center of mass of the vehicle is higher. Further study is necessary to properly measure the effects of folding upon the vehicle dynamics of the car.

#### 4.1.2 O-Turn Driving Mode

O-Turn steering allows the car to rotate in place around its central axis. The car must have come to a complete stop before it can transition in or out of O-Turn steering mode.

#### 4.1.3 Folding Mode

Folding mode is the temporary mode that the car is in while it is in the process of folding.

The car must be in Parked Mode in order begin folding. After folding has completed, the car returns to Parked Mode.

While in the process of folding, all steering is disabled, and the wheels are set to directly forward, as it is in Parked Mode. The throttle is automatically engaged to assist in the folding action, and the brakes are activated for the front two wheels.

#### 4.1.4 Parked Mode

In Parked Mode, the car's brakes are engaged, the throttle is disabled, and the steering of each wheel is locked in the forwards-facing position. This allows the vehicle to maintain a minimal footprint and also facilitates static parking when using a wheel with a caster system. Casters allow the wheels to maintain fail-silence in the event of a loss of power to the steering system, and are discussed in more depth in section 5.1.

Before the vehicle may enter Parked Mode, all wheels must come to a complete stop. If the user tries to enter Parked Mode while the car while moving, a message is displayed alerting them to park the vehicle first. The user may cancel the folding process while the vehicle is being folded, in which case the vehicle begins the unfolding process.

#### 4.2 SafeThrottle Function

The goal of the SafeThrottle function is to develop a situation-adaptive system for drive and braking support. The system increases the vehicle's safety by detecting situations where a loss of traction is imminent and then either warning the user or intervening in brake or drive functions.

Because the physical dimensions of the vehicle change when folded, the SafeThrottle function needs to have awareness of the current state of the DriveMode module.

The function is broken into four subsystems:

- Electronic Stability Control
- Traction Control
- Electronic Brake Force Distribution
- Antilock Braking System

#### 4.2.1 Electronic Stability Control (ESC) Module Description

The goal of the Electronic Stability Control Module is to improve the vehicle stability by detecting and minimizing skids. The ESC module works in the background and continuously monitors the car's steering and vehicle direction as determined through sensors measuring the vehicle's lateral acceleration, vehicle rotation (yaw) and individual wheel speeds. A description of the major sensors and their interrelations can be found in the appendix section 7.1.

When the ESC module detects that the vehicle is in a skid, it applies the brakes asymmetrically, realigning the vehicle with the desired angle.

### 4.2.2 Traction Control System (TCS) Description

The Traction Control System monitors all four wheels and detects if an individual wheel is turning at a different rate than the others. When this loss of traction begins to occur, the TCS adjusts the power to that wheel until it has matched the turn rate of the other wheels.

# 4.2.3 The Electronic Brake Force Distribution Module (EBFD) Description

The Electronic Brake Force Distribution Module balances initial brake force over the front and back pairs of wheels during braking. This allows for significantly safer and gentler braking. Generally the front brakes are able to provide significantly more braking power, as they are driven down with some force during the braking process. However, this will be subject to the weight distribution within the vehicle as well. This final weight distribution will vary from vehicle to vehicle, thus directed study of the vehicle dynamics is necessary before the EBFD function's constants can be hard coded. Alternatively, weight sensors within the wheel robots' suspension could allow for dynamically setting the EBFD at the cost of additional expense and complexity.

# 4.2.4 Antilock Braking System (ABS) Module Description

Each Antilock Braking System Module monitors an individual wheel for signs that the wheel is about to lock up. A locked wheel can stop spinning much more quickly than a car can decelerate even under optimal conditions. The ABS module observes wheel speed for signs of locking and when triggered reduces braking until the wheel begins accelerating again.

For optimal behavior, this module must have very tight loop control with the braking module of the wheel robot.

# 4.3 SteerByWire Function

With a steer-by-wire design, there is a complete physical decoupling of the steering controls and the steering actuator. In order to allow intuitive steering, the vehicle's SteerByWire Function provides the user with force feedback based on force sensors and gyroscopes within the wheels and body of the car. For more details, see section 7.1.

Based on inputs from the DriveMode function, the SteerByWire function may be in one of two modes:

- Standard Steering Mode
- O-Turn Steering Mode

These modes determine the relationship between the user's joystick input and the steering angles provided by the actuators.

When we describe wheel steering angle we use the following convention: A positive steering angle value signifies that the front of the wheel is pointing away from the chassis. A negative steering angle value signifies that the front of the wheel is pointing towards the chassis.

Because the joystick presents a single point of failure, and a fault would lead to an extremely dangerous situation, great care must be taken to ensure fail safety in the joystick. By using a fail silent mechanical design in the wheel robots (such as a well-designed caster system,

discussed more in section 5.1), we can use the natural symmetry of the vehicle to produce redundancy from simply fail silent wheel robot units.

#### 4.3.1 Standard Steering Mode

When in Standard Steering, the full range of the joystick is mapped to the current safe wheel range based on speed and road conditions. For example, the safe range of wheel steering angles when traveling at 60km/h is much smaller than the full range of wheel steering angles when traveling at 10km/h. This restriction allows for small course corrections while traveling at high speeds.

Additionally, there are two behaviors (sub-modes) that the vehicle may use for steering depending on the situation and the driver's preference:

- Two wheel frontal steering
- Four wheel mirrored steering

Two wheel frontal steering is generally what modern drivers expect from a steering system. However, four wheel mirrored steering gives the driver a tighter turn radius at low speeds.

#### 4.3.2 O-Turn Steering Mode

O-Turn steering allows the car to rotate in place around its central axis. The angle of the wheels during an O-turn steering maneuver is highly dependent upon the dimensions of the vehicle's chassis, thus the SteerByWire function must know whether the vehicle is folded or unfolded, and must therefore have reliable access to the state of the FoldByWire function.

#### 4.4 ThrottleByWire Function

Like the SteerByWire function, ThrottleByWire interprets the user's inputs and based upon the current DriveMode, converts them into the appropriate output for the wheels.

As such, the ThrottleByWire function behaves differently based upon the possible modes of the DriveMode system described below:

- Standard Throttle Mode
- O-Turn Throttle Mode

#### 4.4.1 Standard Throttle Mode

In standard steering, the vehicle may be traveling either forwards or backwards, as dictated by the DriveMode function.

The ThrottleByWire function monitors the speed of the vehicle, and always keeps it within the safe limits of the vehicle's stability. When the vehicle is folded, the maximum speed is reduced significantly.

The SafeThrottle function affects the ThrottleByWire function most directly when in standard steering mode.

#### 4.4.2 O-Turn Throttle Mode

When in O-Turn mode, the ThrottleByWire function translates the driver's inputs into clockwise or counter-clockwise motion of the car.

As in standard steering mode, the ThrottleByWire function monitors the vehicle's speed and prevents the vehicles from rotating at unsafe speeds.

# 4.5 BrakeRegen Function

The BrakeRegen Function determines how much braking power should come from the mechanical brake, and how much should come from the regenerative braking of the motor.

In order to avoid overcharging the batteries, BrakeRegen must have access to the charge status of the battery pack that it is recharging.

# 5 Implementation

In order to implement the described functions, we break the system into ECUs based upon their physical location necessitated by time-critical sensors-actuator loops. With this in mind, we use the physical placement of the ECUs described in Figure 3. The general subsystems created by this organization are the WheelRobot, the Driving Algorithms, and the Energy Management System. We describe these systems in greater detail below.

# **High Level Harness Routing**



Figure 3 – The different components of the CityCarControl system, as well as their wiring harness and layout within the physical body of the vehicle.

#### 5.1 Domain Communication

One advantage of the CityCarControl system is that it integrates neatly with the other systems in a vehicle with a backbone/gateway structure like that described in Figure 4

# **Control System Domains**



Figure 4 - An example layout for communication between various control system domains.

The backbone/gateway structure described above is the common choice for vehicle systems because it prevents the broadcasting of superfluous messages to the system as a whole, while still limiting the amount of hardware necessary for full functionality and safety.<sup>5</sup>

We use CANBus for non-mission-critical functions, and FlexRay for mission-critical functions that require deterministic-time response. The specifications of these protocols are beyond the scope of this paper.

### 5.2 Wheel Robot Design

As described above, a primary aspect of the CityCarControl system is the incorporation of steering, throttle and braking functions into each wheel robot. Thus great care must be taken to ensure both the safety and simplicity of design of each wheel robot.

### 5.2.1 General Approach

The design of the wheel robot maintains a focus on modularity. This allows us more easily develop physical connection of each wheel to the main system, and assists in system integration and testing.

Additionally, in order for each wheel robot to be capable of performing all the necessary time-critical reactionary adjustments on its own within the given time frames, they must maintain tight-loop control with their actuators. This means that the wheels should be given simple instructions as to their *desired angle* and their *desired speed* and the wheel itself must autonomously and optimally work to achieve those goals. This includes antilock braking, regenerative brake balancing and steering adjustments. The tight-loop control system also assists in rapid autonomous response times by providing a close coupling of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Deliverable D2.2 - Conceptual Hardware Architecture Specification Kosinski, R. J. (2008).

actuators, sensors and ECUs. Where there are less components to pass through, their tends to be less latency.

The modularity of each wheel also allows us to achieve *fail-silence* in each wheel robot. For the purpose of this document, we define fail-silence in accordance with EASIS as a module that upon encountering a fault goes into a "safe state" that cannot spread the problem to other subsystems.<sup>67</sup> Although it is beyond the scope of this paper, it is important to note that the greatest impediment to fail-silence in a wheel is the possibility of a catastrophic failure within the steering system. Our recommended solution to this is to implement a caster system which allows a depowered wheel to safely follow the remaining functional wheels.

#### 5.2.2 Wheel API

We provide a simple API to the wheel that consists of the following four simple commands:

- set\_desired\_speed
- set\_desired\_angle
- update\_battery\_status
- get\_wheel\_status

By limiting the communication between the wheels, we great simplify the process of debugging our system and also open the way for later wheel developers to provide their own compatible wheel robots, and later platform developers to utilize our wheel robots.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> C. Temple, "Avoiding the Babbling-Idiot Failure in a Time-Triggered Communication System," ftcs, pp.218, The Twenty-Eighth Annual International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing, 1998

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Deliverable D2.2 - Conceptual Hardware Architecture Specification Kosinski, R. J. (2008).

# **Drivetrain Function Allocation**



Figure 5 - The allocation of various functions within the Drivetrain, as well as basic communication flow.

Figure 5 depicts the allocation of the various functions, along with the message passing between the Control Algorithm ECU and the Wheel Robots. As one can see, there are four basic messages that are passed between the two groups.

#### 5.2.3 Electronic Braking

The braking system of the wheel must take into account two important factors. One is the automatic balancing of the braking between the mechanical brake force and the regenerative electronic brake force provided by the reverse-driving of the wheel's main drive-motor. The other is the initiation of the antilock braking function built into the wheel. In our system we describe these functions as the BrakeRegen and SafeThrottle function respectively.

The BrakeRegen function keeps track of the current state of charge of the battery packs as reported by the BatteryMan function. This prevents a possibly catastrophic thermal event from occurring due to the overcharging of the battery packs. The message flow presented in Figure 6 shows how the BrakeRegen function interacts and communicates with the other functions present.



Figure 6 – A basic message flow for the BrakeRegen system. This depicts a single cycle within the communication bus.

The goal of the SafeThrottle function is to develop a situation-adaptive system for drive and braking support. The system increases the vehicle's safety by detecting situations where a loss of traction is imminent and then either warning the user or intervening in brake or drive functions. The ABS function monitors the spin of the wheel for signs that the wheel is about to lock up. A locked wheel can stop spinning much more quickly than a car can decelerate even under optimal conditions.<sup>8</sup> When triggered, the ABS function reduces braking until the wheel begins accelerating again. As described above, for optimal behavior, this module must have very tight loop control with the braking module of the wheel robot and is therefore placed in the actual WheelRobot module.

#### 5.3 Steering Algorithm Design

The steering algorithm provides the logic necessary to convert the user's simple inputs into the appropriate messages to each wheel robot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Semmler, S., Isermann, R., Schwarz, R., and Rieth, P., "Wheel Slip Control for Antilock Braking Systems Using Brake-by-Wire Actuators," SAE Technical Paper 2003-01-0325, 2003.

#### 5.3.1 Steering Simulator

The general approach to the steering algorithm focuses on modularity and testability. With this in mind, we created the control algorithm simulator, as seen in Figure 7. The simulator allows for debugging of the complex system, and also allows for the isolation of the user interface and wheel robot APIs.<sup>9</sup>



Figure 7 - A screenshot from the simulator found at http://mobility-server.media.mit.edu/drivetrain/car.html

An example of how the simulator simplifies complex interactions is the implementation of the drive modes we described in Figure 2. In our simulator, they are controlled by a separate DriveModeAlg object that controls the actual DriveMode that the vehicle is in and presents methods that simulate the user requesting a mode change. By enclosing this logic and the state of the vehicle's DriveMode within a private object, we ensure that other functions cannot change the DriveMode unexpectedly or illegally. The data encapsulation and abstraction used further allow our simulator to provide a seamless interface between the user's inputs, and the API used for controlling the wheel robots.

#### 5.3.2 User Interface Requirements

Through the decoupling of the user interface and the drive system, we gain the unique ability to explore with novel user interfaces. With that in mind, the only requirements we have are the ability to steer left and right, to adjust the throttle forwards and backwards, and change the vehicle's DriveMode. Because the user interface is a mission-critical system, it may make sense to use redundancy to achieve fail-tolerance. For example, in our prototype we chose to use a pair of redundant joysticks as the primary user interface.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> More screenshots, source code, and a link to the working simulator can be found in the Appendix.

#### 5.4 Energy Management System

The full extent of the vehicle's energy management system is beyond the scope of this paper. However, it is important to consider the redundancy necessary for safely operating the system using both the high-voltage and low voltage buses.

#### 5.4.1 High Voltage Bus

In order to provide each wheel with an uninterrupted supply of power, and to allow for regenerative braking, we recommend the following architecture for the high voltage system within the vehicle:



High Voltage Energy Management System Architecture

Figure 8 - An example architecture for the high voltage energy management system powering CityCarControl.

#### 5.4.2 Batteries

The system's battery pack must achieve certain benchmarks with regard to the following criteria:

- Form Factor
- Energy
- Power
- Voltage

Since we are developing under extreme constraints on size and weight, but also want the ability to rapid charge, the energy density and power density of the batteries are crucial.

#### 5.4.2.1 Battery Form Factor

Each battery pack must fit in one of the compartments located between either the front wheels or the rear wheels. Further, they must be accessible for maintenance and replacement. If the battery packs retain their charge properties for 1000 cycles, for high-use

vehicles (more than 5 recharges per day) we predict that battery replacement may be as common as once every six months.

#### 5.4.2.2 Battery Energy

In order to provide the desired maximum range of 60 km in a loaded vehicle, we estimate that t at least 10 kW-h of energy must to be contained within the vehicle's batteries.

#### 5.4.2.3 Battery Power

To provide power to the Powertrain and to all subfunctions of the vehicle, we need to be able to draw up to 30 kW from the batteries for peak operation.

Additionally, in order to charge the battery packs within 15 minutes, they need to be able to absorb 40kW when rapidly charging. This may require additional cooling during the charging process.

### 5.4.2.4 Operating Voltage

Higher voltages allows for thinner wires and less energy loss throughout the wiring of the system. However, limitations are imposed by both the chemistry of the battery packs, and the operating voltages of the drive motors.

We suggest a maximum operating voltage of 264 V for each of the battery packs in the battery system. Further, we suggest that between 160V and 240V be used on the main high voltage bus. During the lifetime of the battery packs, their operating voltage will decrease from their initial operating voltage. By setting the voltage of the bus to significantly lower than that of the battery, we can use a unidirectional buck-boost converter, simplifying the system and allowing for cost savings.

Assuming the use of a unidirectional buck-boost converter, and a maximum battery pack operating voltage of 264V, there is a tradeoff to be considered when determining the operating voltage of the drivetrain system:

- A higher voltage (~240V) will allow the system to operate more efficiently for some time. However, as the battery pack degrades, it's voltage will decrease to 240V fairly quickly.
- A lower voltage(~160V) would allow the battery pack to last longer, as more recharge cycles can take place before the battery voltage will be reduced to 160V. However, more energy will be lost in the wires running through the system, and late stage batteries may have significantly worse total energy stores.

We recommend an operating voltage of 200V in order to provide a good balance between these competing goals.

#### 5.4.3 Motor Controllers

We will be using Reductive Controllers to power the drive motors in each wheel robot. This MCU also functions as a rectifier or inverter, allowing us to use three-phase AC current to charge the batteries.

#### 5.4.4 Safety Switches

We have a set of safety switches that are used in the case of a fault such as a soft-short to minimize damage.

#### 5.4.5 Low Voltage Bus

The low voltage bus provides power to all systems that operate near 12 Volts. For the purpose of redundancy, we create two redundant low voltage power buses that share no connection point. These independent buses allow us to ensure fail operability of the mission critical ECUs.



# Low Voltage Energy Management System Architecture

Figure 9- An example architecture for the low voltage energy management system powering CityCarControl.

# 6 Conclusion

Through our investigation of the CityCarControl system, we have laid the foundation for a drive-by-wire solution that allows vehicle designers to create a product with adjustable vehicle dynamics, omnidirectional steering, regenerative braking, chassis folding and customizable user-interfaces. We believe that by applying popular programming bestpractices like data-abstraction and message passing, we can greatly reduce the time, expense, and complexity of designing vehicles incorporating myriad novel features. Furthermore, through the simulation and the partitioning of the system into the logical subcomponents we have described, we believe that we can greatly reduce the length and complexity of the subsequent development process of said vehicle.

We foresee three important areas of future study stemming from the work presented in this paper. Generally these three areas correspond to the user interface, the vehicle dynamics, and the safety system. We describe each problem below, and give suggestions for further research.

As we described early in this paper, one of the advantages of a drive-by-wire system is its flexibility with regard to various user-interfaces. However, with this broadening of possibilities comes the responsibility of evaluating the usability and safety of these new

user-interfaces. Thus we suggest that a further area of study is the development of sensible user interfaces to allow for usability and universal accessibility.

The vehicle dynamics of the system are another area that must be properly evaluated. By allowing the vehicle to fold, we force designers to consider the dynamics and properties of both a folded and an unfolded vehicle. However, by controlling each wheel independently we gain the ability to dynamically adjust the vehicle's handling capabilities on the fly. The ramifications of this shift in design warrant further study but are beyond the scope of this paper.

Finally, an in depth safety analysis of CityCarControl is necessary before it may be used in commercial production. As a starting point, we present our basic hazard analysis along with Automotive Safety Integrity Level (ASIL) decompositions in section 7.3 of the attached appendix.

# 7 Appendix

7.1 Block Functional Modules and Sensors

# **Block Functional Modules and Sensors**



Figure 10 – This diagram provides a complete picture of the major functional modules and their primary actuators and sensors.

#### 7.2 Preliminary Communication Flow

We present some preliminary solutions for the communication flow of the functions of the vehicle. Processes on the left of the diagram begin first and progress sequentially to the right of the diagram. These diagrams may serve as a template for the development of a fully functional system implementing CityCarControl

#### 7.2.1 DriveMode Message Flow



#### 7.2.2 SafeThrottle Message Flow





Figure 13

# SteerByWire Message Flow

# 7.2.4 ThrottleByWire Message Flow



#### 7.2.5 BrakeRegen Message Flow



#### 7.2.6 Infotainment Message Flow

# Infotainment Message Flow



Figure 16

#### 7.2.7 FoldByWire Message Flow

# FoldByWire Message Flow



#### 7.2.8 Safety Message Flow

#### 7.2.9 BatteryMan Message Flow



Figure 18

#### 7.2.10 Telematics Link Message Flow

7.2.11 Autonomy Message Flow

# Autonomy Message Flow

**Control Algorithms** 



Figure 19

#### 7.3 ASIL Decomposition

For the purpose of determining the functional safety requirements of each function in the system, we perform a hazard and risk analysis on each.

For each possible hazard, we rate the severity of the hazard (S), the probability of exposure (E), and the controllability of the hazard (C). Based off of these three estimations, we assign each hazard an Automotive Software Integrity Level (ASIL) rating using the guidelines put forth in ISO26262<sup>10</sup>

#### 7.3.1 DriveMode Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the DriveMode function to consider. These include:

- A requested or unrequested transition out of Standard (Driving) Mode while driving at high speed. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- An internal folding state change that does not reflect the actual folding state of the vehicle while driving unfolded at high speeds and cornering quickly. (S3 E4 C1 ASIL-B)
- An internal folding state change that does not reflect the actual folding state of the vehicle allowing the driver to travel at high speeds while folded. (S3 E2 C1 QM)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> ISO 26262-3, Page 10, Table 4

• An unrequested transition out of Parked Mode while the vehicle is parked. (S2 E4 C2 ASIL-B)

# 7.3.2 SafeThrottle Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the SafeThrottle function to consider. These include:

- An unnecessary increase in braking power to one or more wheels due to a problem with the Electronic Stability Control or Electronic Brake Force Distribution functions when the vehicle is moving at high speeds. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- An unnecessary decrease in throttle power to one or more wheels due to a problem with the Traction Control function when traveling at high speeds in traffic. (S3 E3 C2 ASIL-B)
- A failure in the initiation of antilock braking function leading to a single wheel's brake locking. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A problem with the antilock braking function leading to all wheels' brakes failing to activate. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A problem with the antilock braking function leading to a single wheel's brake failing to activate. (S0 E4 C3 QM, due to redundancy of the wheels)

# 7.3.3 SteerByWire Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the SteerByWire Function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes one or more wheels to actively steer in an unrequested direction while at high speed. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure to place one or more wheel at the appropriate angle during O-turn. (S2 E4 C2 ASIL-B)
- A failure that causes all wheels to lose steering power and passively steer in an unpowered state while at high speed. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure that causes one wheel to lose steering power and passively steer in an unpowered state while at high speed. (S0 E4 C3 QM, due to redundancy of the wheels)

### 7.3.4 ThrottleByWire Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the ThrottleByWire Function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes one or more wheels to increase their throttle in an unrequested manner. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure that causes one or more wheels brake in an unrequested manner. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure that causes one or more wheels to lose the ability to brake or drive as requested. (S0 E4 C3 QM, due to redundancy of the wheels)

# 7.3.5 BrakeRegen Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the BrakeRegen Function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes a brake to continue to use regenerative braking after the batteries are already full, leading to a thermal event and loss of steering control in at least two wheels. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure that causes braking to be impaired in a single a wheel. (S2 E4 C0 QM, due to redundancy of the wheels)
- A failure that causes one or more wheels brake in an unrequested manner. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)

#### 7.3.6 Infotainment Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the Infotainment Function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes the rear-view video to fail while backing the car up. (S2 E3 C1 QM)
- A failure that prevents up to date diagnostic information from being displayed. (S2 E4 C0 QM)
- A failure that causes the stereo system to suddenly become very loud. (S2 E4 C0 QM)

#### 7.3.7 Body Systems Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the Body Systems function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes the headlights to go out while traveling at high speeds through the dark. (S2 E3 C1 QM)
- A failure that causes the seat to adjust itself into an uncomfortable position while traveling at high speeds. (S2 E3 C1 QM)
- A failure that prevents the user from being warned of impending battery exhaustion, resulting in loss of control. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure in the network management system that causes a component of the drive or energy management systems to enter sleep mode when not requested. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)

#### 7.3.8 Canopy Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the Canopy Function to consider. These include:

• A failure that causes a requested or unrequested opening of the canopy while traveling at high. (S3 E4 C2 ASIL-C)

#### 7.3.9 FoldByWire Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the FoldByWIre Function to consider. These include:

• A failure that causes a requested or unrequested folding of the vehicle while traveling at high. (S3 E4 C2 ASIL-C)

#### 7.3.10 Safety Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the Safety Function to consider. These include:

• A failure that causes the airbag to deploy unnecessarily while stationary (S1 E4 C3 ASIL-B)

- A failure that causes the airbag to deploy unnecessarily while driving (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure that prevents the airbag from deploying during impact (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)

### 7.3.11 BatteryMan Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the BatteryMan Function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes all four wheels to lose power. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)
- A failure that prevents the driver from being notified of impending battery exhaustion. (S3 E4 C3 ASIL-D)

### 7.3.12 Telematics Link ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the Telematics Link to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes the GPS system to fail. (S0 E4 C1 QM)
- A failure that prevents the system from assisting the user with inter-vehicular communication. (S0 E4 C1 QM)

### 7.3.13 Autonomy Function ASIL Decomposition

There are several possible hazards related to the Autonomy Function to consider. These include:

- A failure that causes the vehicle to lose control when driving autonomously in an occupied area and hit a bystander. (S1 E2 C3 QM)
- A failure that causes the vehicle to lose control when driving autonomously in a controlled environment and destroy property (S0 E2 C3 QM)

# 7.4 Drivetrain Simulator Source Code

Processing Code capable of being run with the IDE found at <u>www.processing.org</u> or the tools at <u>www.processingis.org</u>.

Live demo can be found at <u>http://mobility-server.media.mit.edu/drivetrain/car.html</u>.

Here are screenshots of the system in action:



Figure 20



Rear Right ECU: Change Desired Angle: 49.75364169072618 Rear Left ECU: Change Desired Angle: -49.76364169072618 Front Left MCU: Change Throttle: -52 percent of max. Front Right MCU: Change Throttle: -52 percent of max. Rear Right MCU: Change Throttle: -52 percent of max. Rear Left MCU: Change Throttle: 52 percent of max.

```
// Global variables
float wheelOffsetX;
float wheelOffsetY;
float X, Y;
float nX, nY;
boolean bover = false;
```

float sWidth, sHeight; //Car Components Chassis chassis; SteeringControlAlg steeringControlAlg; ThrottleControlAlg throttleControlAlg; DriveModeAlg driveModeAlg; Wheel wheelFL; Wheel wheelFR; Wheel wheelRR; Wheel wheelRL; WheelECU wheelECUFL; WheelECU wheelECUFR; WheelECU wheelECURR; WheelECU wheelECURL; //Enumerate Driving Modes int normalMode = 0; int hurricaneMode = 1; int foldingMode = 2; //Disables driving while in the process of folding int unfoldingMode = 3; //Disables driving while in the process of folding

//Colors
int bgColor = 124;

boolean messageMode;

/\*
Drive Mode Algorithm ECU Class
Determines active mode and sets the activeMode of the steering
Alg.
Singleton Pattern
\*/
class DriveModeAlg extends Node{
String name;
int currentMode;
int lastMode;
float steeringInput;

```
DriveModeAlg(String name){
    super(name);
    this.name = name;
    this.currentMode = normalMode;
    this.lastMode = normalMode;
  }
  void run(){
    check folded status();
  }
  void check folded status(){
    if (currentMode == foldingMode && chassis.get length() ==
chassis.foldedL){
      currentMode = lastMode;
    }
    if (currentMode == unfoldingMode && chassis.get length() ==
chassis.unfoldedL) {
      currentMode = lastMode;
    }
  }
  void h mode(){
    if (currentMode != foldingMode && currentMode !=
unfoldingMode) {
      currentMode = hurricaneMode;
      print message("Activate Hurricane Mode");
    }
  }
  void n mode(){
    if (currentMode != foldingMode && currentMode !=
unfoldingMode) {
      currentMode = normalMode;
      print message("Normal Hurricane Mode");
    }
  }
  /*Bring wheels straight, fold, put wheels back into mode they
were in before folding began.
   Disable all inputs except unfold */
  void fold(){
    print message("Activate Folding Mode");
    if (currentMode == foldingMode){
      //don't do anything
      return;
    }
    else if (currentMode == unfoldingMode){
      //don't change lastMode
    }
    else{
      //store the mode we were in before folding began
      lastMode = currentMode;
```

```
}
    //set folding mode
    this.currentMode = foldingMode;
    check_folded_status(); //are we already folded?
  }
  /*Bring wheels straight, fold, put wheels back into mode they
were in before folding began.
   Disable all inputs except unfold */
  void unfold(){
    print message("Activate Unfolding Mode");
    if (currentMode == unfoldingMode){
      //don't do anything
      return;
    }
    else if (currentMode == foldingMode){
      //don't change lastMode
    }
    else{
      //store the mode we were in before folding began
      lastMode = currentMode;
    }
    //set folding mode
    this.currentMode = unfoldingMode;
    check folded status(); //are we already unfolded?
  }
  int get mode(){
    return this.currentMode;
  }
  String get mode string(){
   if (qet mode() == 0)
    return "Normal Mode";
   if (get mode() == 1)
    return "Hurricane Mode";
   if (qet mode() == 2)
    return "Folding Mode";
   if (get mode() == 3)
    return "Unfolding Mode";
  return "ERROR";
  }
}
/*
Throttle Control Algorithm ECU Class
Singleton Pattern
 */
class ThrottleControlAlg extends Node{
  String name;
  float throttleInput;
  ThrottleControlAlg(String name) {
```

```
super(name);
    this.name = name;
  }
 void process input(float throttleInput){
    this.throttleInput = 1-(throttleInput/300); //between -1 and
1
 }
 void run(){
    int driveMode = driveModeAlg.get mode();
        if (driveMode==normalMode) {
change throttle(this.throttleInput,wheelECUFL,driveMode);
    change throttle(this.throttleInput,wheelECUFR,driveMode);
    change throttle(this.throttleInput,wheelECURR,driveMode);
    change throttle(this.throttleInput,wheelECURL,driveMode);
    }
    else if (driveMode == hurricaneMode){
      float scalar = .75;//reduce the throttle power by some
scalar
    change throttle(-
this.throttleInput*scalar,wheelECUFL,driveMode);
change throttle(this.throttleInput*scalar,wheelECUFR,driveMode);
    change throttle(-
this.throttleInput*scalar,wheelECURR,driveMode);
change throttle(this.throttleInput*scalar,wheelECURL,driveMode);
    }
    else{
       change throttle(0,wheelECUFL,driveMode);
    change throttle(0,wheelECUFR,driveMode);
    change throttle(0,wheelECURR,driveMode);
    change throttle(0,wheelECURL,driveMode);
    }
  }
    /*
  Determine desired throttle based on throttle input and drive
mode
   */
  void change throttle(float throttleInput, WheelECU wheelECU,
int driveMode){
    Wheel wheel = wheelECU.wheel; //Get the wheel associated with
the wheel ECU
    wheel.set throttle(throttleInput);
  }
```

```
}
```

```
/*
Steering Control Algorithm ECU Class
 Singleton Pattern
 */
class SteeringControlAlg extends Node{
  String name;
  float steeringInput;
  SteeringControlAlg(String name){
    super(name);
    this.name = name;
  }
  void process input(float steeringInput){
    this.steeringInput = steeringInput;
    if (driveModeAlg.get mode() == foldingMode ||
driveModeAlg.get mode() == unfoldingMode){
      //set all wheels to straight forwards
      this.steeringInput = width/2; //set wheels straight
    }
  }
  void run(){
    int driveMode = driveModeAlg.get mode();
    print message("Current Mode is:
"+driveModeAlg.get mode string());
    change steering(this.steeringInput,wheelECUFL,driveMode);
    change steering(this.steeringInput,wheelECUFR,driveMode);
    change steering(this.steeringInput,wheelECURR,driveMode);
    change steering(this.steeringInput,wheelECURL,driveMode);
  }
  //calculate the turn radius based on the current steeringInput
  float get turn radius(){
    float trOffset=0, trCenterX=0, trCenterY=0;
    if(driveModeAlg.get mode() == normalMode){
      //calculate desired turn radius
      trOffset = turn radius((this.steeringInput*2/width)-1);
      trCenterX = trOffset;
      trCenterY = chassis.carCenterY;
    }
    else if(driveModeAlg.get mode() == hurricaneMode){
      trCenterX = 0; //hack to put it in the right place
      trCenterY = chassis.carCenterY;
    }
    else if(driveModeAlg.get mode() == foldingMode ||
driveModeAlg.get mode() == unfoldingMode){
      //calculate desired turn radius
```

```
trOffset = turn radius((this.steeringInput*2/width)-1);
      trCenterX = trOffset;
      trCenterY = chassis.carCenterY;
    }
    return trCenterX;
  }
  /*
  Determine desired angle based on steering input and drive mode
   */
  void change steering(float steeringInput, WheelECU wheelECU,
int driveMode){
    Wheel wheel = wheelECU.wheel; //Get the wheel associated with
the wheel ECU
    if (driveMode==hurricaneMode) {
      float yOff = chassis.carCenterY-wheel.wheelCenterY;
      float xOff = chassis.carCenterX-wheel.wheelCenterX;
      float angle = PI/2-atan(xOff/yOff);
      //hack to keep it pointing forward
      if(angle>(PI/2)){
        angle = angle-PI;
      }
      //Send message with angle
     wheelECU.set angle(angle);
    }
    else{
      float trOffset =
this.turn radius((this.steeringInput*2/width)-1);
      float trCenterX = trOffset;
      // trCenterX = turn radius(X)
      float trCenterY = chassis.carCenterY;
      float yOff = chassis.carCenterY-wheel.wheelCenterY;
      float xOff = abs(wheel.wheelCenterX-chassis.carCenterX) +
trCenterX;
      float angle = PI/2-atan(xOff/yOff);
      //hack to keep it pointing forward
      if(angle>(PI/2)){
        angle = angle-PI;
      }
     //Send message with angle
     wheelECU.set angle(angle);
    }
 }
```

```
42
```

```
// takes an input between -1 and 1, calculates turn radius.
  // we let the minimum turn radius be 100px and the max be 10^{12}
  float turn radius(float normSteeringInput){
    if(normSteeringInput<0){</pre>
      //make positive, change scale, then make result negative
      return -this.turn_function(-normSteeringInput);
    }
    else{
      return this.turn function(normSteeringInput);
    }
  }
  //maps [0,1] to [10^5,10^2]
  float turn function(float val){
    float sensitivity base = 10;
    float sensitivity scalar = 3;
    float offset = 2.3; //how tight is the closest turn radius?
    //map [0,1] to [5,2]
    val=offset+sensitivity scalar-(val*sensitivity scalar);
    //use as exponent
    val = pow(sensitivity base,val);
    return val;
  }
  // Draws turn radii that show the turning range of the car
  void draw turn radii(){
    float carCenterX = chassis.carCenterX;
    float carCenterY = chassis.carCenterY;
    float wheelOffsetX = chassis.wheelOffsetX;
    float wheelOffsetY = chassis.wheelOffsetY;
    float TR = steeringControlAlg.get turn radius();
    //draw turn left and right radius based on calulated trCenter
    // Draw inner turn radius
    // Set stroke-color to grey
    stroke(40);
    strokeWeight( 10 );
    // Set fill-color to clear
    fill(0,0,0,0);
    if(driveModeAlg.get mode() == hurricaneMode){
      float wheelRadius= sqrt(pow(wheelOffsetX,2)
+pow(wheelOffsetY,2))+10;
      ellipse(carCenterX, carCenterY,
wheelRadius*2,wheelRadius*2);
    }
    else{
      float leftTR = sqrt(pow((TR+wheelOffsetX),2)
+pow(wheelOffsetY,2));
```

```
ellipse(TR+carCenterX, carCenterY, leftTR*2, leftTR*2);
    float rightTR = sqrt(pow((TR-wheelOffsetX),2)
+pow(wheelOffsetY,2));
    ellipse(TR+carCenterX, carCenterY, rightTR*2, rightTR*2);
   }
 }
}
/*
Wheel Robot Class
*/
class WheelECU extends Node{
 float desiredAngle;
 Wheel wheel;
 String name;
 WheelECU(String name,Wheel wheel){
   super(name);
   this.wheel = wheel;
   this.name = name;
 }
 /*
 Sets the desired angle, motor controller should take care of
the rest.
  */
 void set angle(float angle){
   //we print this in degrees rather than radians for clarity
   this.print_message("Change Desired Angle:
"+str(angle*180/PI));
   this.desiredAngle = angle;
   //update steering MCU and receive updated position from it.
   wheel.set angle(this.desiredAngle);
 }
}
/*
FlexRay Bus Class
Messages are sent over the Flexray network, all the nodes on the
FlexRay bus receive all
the messages and write them to their FlexRay buffer. The Bus
keeps track of all the nodes on it.
*/
```

```
class Bus extends NamedObject{
```

```
ArrayList nodes;
 Bus(String name, ArrayList nodes){
    super(name);
   this.nodes = nodes;
  }
 void write(Message message){
    for (int i = nodes.size()-1; i >= 0; i--) {
      //ArrayList doesn't know what it's storing so we have to
cast it
      Node node = (Node) nodes.get(i);
      node.to buffer(message);
      print message(message+"");
    }
  }
}
/*
FlexRay Message Class
Messages are sent over the Flexray network
 */
class Message {
 Node fromNode;
 Node toNode;
  String command;
  float argument;
 Message(Node FromNode, Node toNode, String command, float
argument) {
    this.fromNode = fromNode;
    this.toNode = toNode;
   this.command = command;
   this.argument = argument;
  }
}
/*
FlexRay Node Class
Everything connected to the FlexRay bus with a FlexRay
tranceiver is a FlexRay Node.
 */
class Node extends NamedObject {
  ArrayList buffer;
  Node(String name){
    super(name);
    buffer = new ArrayList(); //set up an empty arraylist
  }
  void to_buffer(Message message){
    buffer.add(message);
  }
  void read buffer(){
    //read from front to back. Acts like a queue.
```

```
for (int i = buffer.size()-1; i >= 0; i--) {
     //ArrayList doesn't know what it's storing so we have to
cast it
    Message message = (Message) buffer.get(i);
     //figure out what to do with the message.
     this.process(message);
   }
 }
 /* For now, just print the message instead of processing it.
  */
 void process(Message message){
   print message(message+"");
 }
}
class Wheel extends NamedObject{
 float wheelCenterX;
 float wheelCenterY;
 float currentAngle;
 float currentThrottle; //between -1 and 1
 float maxSteeringSpeed = .1;//PI/180*5; //we can turn at 5
degrees per frame
 Wheel(String name, float centerX, float centerY){
   super(name);//create the parent
   this.wheelCenterX = centerX;
   this.wheelCenterY = centerY;
   this.currentAngle = 0;
   this.currentThrottle = 0;
 void update folded position(float centerY){
   this.wheelCenter\overline{Y} = centerY;
 }
 /*
 Send desired angle to MotorController and receive actual angle
back
  */
 void set angle(float desiredAngle){
   //figure out new current angle
   float angleDiff = this.currentAngle - desiredAngle;
   if(abs(angleDiff)<=maxSteeringSpeed){</pre>
    this.currentAngle = desiredAngle;
   }
```

```
else if(angleDiff>maxSteeringSpeed){
    this.currentAngle = this.currentAngle-maxSteeringSpeed;
   }
  else if(angleDiff<-maxSteeringSpeed){</pre>
    this.currentAngle = this.currentAngle+maxSteeringSpeed;
   }
 }
 void draw(){
  pushMatrix();
   fill( 0);
   set throttle color();
   strokeWeight( 10 );
   translate(wheelCenterX,wheelCenterY);
   rotate(this.currentAngle);
   ellipse(0,0,30,70);
  popMatrix();
 }
 void set throttle color(){
       stroke( 0, 121, 184 ); default
   11
      stroke( 255*abs(currentThrottle), 121+(255-
11
121)*currentThrottle, 184+71*currentThrottle );
 stroke( 255*max(currentThrottle,0), 121+(255-
121)*currentThrottle, 184*(currentThrottle+1));
 }
 float get current angle(){
   return this.currentAngle;
 }
 void set throttle(float throttle){
  currentThrottle = throttle;
   this.print_message("Change Throttle: "+str(throttle*100)+"
percent of max.");
 }
}
class Chassis {
```

float chassisL;
float chassisW;
float wheelOffsetX;
float wheelOffsetY;
float carCenterX;
float carCenterY;

```
float foldedL = 130;
  float unfoldedL = 180;
  Chassis(){
    chassisL = 180;
    chassisW = 150;
    wheelOffsetX =chassisW/2-20;
    wheelOffsetY =chassisL/2-25;
    carCenterX =sWidth/2;
    carCenterY =sHeight/2;
    //Set up the car
    wheelFL = new Wheel("Front Left MCU",carCenterX-
wheelOffsetX,carCenterY-wheelOffsetY);
    wheelFR = new Wheel("Front Right
MCU",carCenterX+wheelOffsetX,carCenterY-wheelOffsetY);
    wheelRR = new Wheel("Rear Right
MCU",carCenterX+wheelOffsetX,carCenterY+wheelOffsetY);
    wheelRL = new Wheel("Rear Left MCU", carCenterX-
wheelOffsetX,carCenterY+wheelOffsetY);
    //set up the ECUs
    wheelECUFL = new WheelECU("Front Left ECU", wheelFL);
    wheelECUFR = new WheelECU("Front Right ECU", wheelFR);
    wheelECURR = new WheelECU("Rear Right ECU", wheelRR);
    wheelECURL = new WheelECU("Rear Left ECU",wheelRL);
  }
  void update folded size(){
    if ((driveModeAlg.get mode() == foldingMode) &&
chassis.chassisL>130){
      chassisL-=2;
      wheelOffsetY =chassisL/2-25;
    }
    else if ((driveModeAlg.get mode() == unfoldingMode) &&
chassisL<180){
      chassisL+=2;
      wheelOffsetY =chassisL/2-25;
    }
  }
  void run(){
    //folding stuff
    if (((driveModeAlg.get mode() == unfoldingMode) &&
chassis.chassisL<180)
```

```
(driveModeAlg.get mode() == foldingMode) &&
chassis.chassisL>130)
                {
    update folded size();
    wheelFL.update folded position(carCenterY-wheelOffsetY);
    wheelFR.update folded position(carCenterY-wheelOffsetY);
    wheelRR.update folded position(carCenterY+wheelOffsetY);
    wheelRL.update folded position(carCenterY+wheelOffsetY);
   }
 }
 void draw(){
   fill( 0, 200);
   stroke( 0, 121, 184, 255);
   strokeWeight( 10 );
       ellipse( carCenterX, carCenterY, chassisW, chassisL);
   11
  ellipse( carCenterX, carCenterY, chassisW, chassisL);
 }
 float get length(){
   return this.chassisL;
 }
}
class NamedObject{
 String name;
 NamedObject(String name){
  this.name = name;
 }
 void print message(String message){
   if (messageMode)
    println(name + ": " + message);
 }
}
void draw controls(){
 float bh = 20;
 float bw = 85;
```

```
float bx = 20;
  float by = 20;
  strokeWeight(1);
  //modes
  mode box(normalMode, "Normal (n)", bx, by, bh, bw);
  bx = 140;
  by = 20;
  mode_box(hurricaneMode,"O-Turn (h)",bx,by,bh,bw);
  //folding
  bx = 20;
  by = 50;
  fold box(true, "Fold (f)", bx, by, bh, bw);
  bx = 140;
  fold box(false,"Unfold (u)",bx,by,bh,bw);
  //messages
  by = 80;
  stroke(255);
  text("Messages (m):",20,by+bh-3);
  bw = 30;
  bx = 145;
  messages box(true,"On",bx,by,bh,bw);
  bx = 195;
  by = 80;
  messages box(false,"Off",bx,by,bh,bw);
}
void mode box(int newDriveMode,String newDriveModeText, float
bx,float by, float bh, float bw){
  int thisColor = 255;
  int contrastColor = 000;
  fill(thisColor);
  stroke(153);
  // if the cursor is over the grey box
  if (mouseX > bx && mouseX < bx+bw &&
    mouseY > by \&\& mouseY < by+bh)
  {
    bover = true;
    if(mousePressed) {
      stroke(000);
      fill(contrastColor);
      if (newDriveMode == hurricaneMode){
        driveModeAlg.h mode();
      }
      else if (newDriveMode == normalMode){
        driveModeAlg.n mode();
      }
    }
    else {
```

```
stroke(000);
      bover = false;
    }
  }
  // Draw the box
  rect(bx, by, bw, bh);
  fill(000);
  textSize(16);
  text(newDriveModeText,bx+3,by+bh-3);
}
void fold box(boolean newFolded,String foldModeText, float
bx,float by, float bh, float bw){
  int thisColor = 255;
  int contrastColor = 000;
  fill(thisColor);
  stroke(153);
  // if the cursor is over the grey box
  if (mouseX > bx && mouseX < bx+bw &&
    mouseY > by && mouseY < by+bh)</pre>
  {
    bover = true;
    if(mousePressed) {
      stroke(000);
      fill(contrastColor);
      if(newFolded){
        driveModeAlg.fold();
      }
      else {
        driveModeAlg.unfold();
      }
    }
    else {
      stroke(000);
      bover = false;
    }
  }
  // Draw the box
  rect(bx, by, bw, bh);
  fill(000);
  textSize(16);
  text(foldModeText,bx+3,by+bh-3);
}
void messages box(boolean newMessageMode,String messageModeText,
float bx,float by, float bh, float bw){
  int thisColor = 255;
  int contrastColor = 000;
  fill(thisColor);
  stroke(153);
  // if the cursor is over the grey box
  if (mouseX > bx && mouseX < bx+bw &&
```

```
mouseY > by && mouseY < by+bh)</pre>
  {
    bover = true;
    if(mousePressed) {
      stroke(000);
      fill(contrastColor);
      messageMode = newMessageMode;
    }
    else {
      stroke(000);
      bover = false;
    }
  }
  // Draw the box
  rect(bx, by, bw, bh);
  fill(000);
  textSize(16);
  text(messageModeText,bx+3,by+bh-3);
}
void keyPressed()
{
  // if the key is between 'A'(65) and 'z'(122)
  if( key == 'H' || key == 'h' ) {
    driveModeAlg.h mode();
  }
  else if( key == 'N' || key == 'n' ) {
    driveModeAlg.n mode();
  }
  else if( key == 'M' || key == 'm' ) {
   messageMode = !messageMode;
  }
  else if( key == 'F' || key =='f' ) {
    driveModeAlg.fold();
  }
 else if( key == 'U' || key =='u' ) {
    driveModeAlg.unfold();
  }
}
```

```
void setup(){
  // Setup the Processing Canvas
  messageMode = false;
  chassis = new Chassis();
  steeringControlAlg = new SteeringControlAlg("Steering Control
Alg");
  throttleControlAlg = new ThrottleControlAlg("Throttle Control
Alq");
  driveModeAlg= new DriveModeAlg("Drive Mode Alg");
  size( 1000, 600 );
  //for some reason width and height are buggy
  sWidth = 1000;
  sHeight = 600;
  strokeWeight( 10 );
  frameRate( 15 );
  X = width / 2;
  Y = height / 2;
  nX = X;
  nY = Y;
}
// Main draw loop
void draw(){
  // Fill canvas grey
  background( 100 );
  //Read inputs from Joystick
  driveModeAlg.run();
  steeringControlAlg.process_input(X);
  throttleControlAlg.process input(Y);
  steeringControlAlg.run();
  throttleControlAlg.run();
  chassis.run();
// wheelFL.run();
// wheelFR.run();
// wheelRR.run();
// wheelRL.run();
  pushMatrix();
  translate(width/2,height/2);
  steeringControlAlg.draw_turn_radii();
  // Draw wheels (clockwise)
  wheelFL.draw();
  wheelFR.draw();
  wheelRR.draw();
```

```
wheelRL.draw();
// Draw Car translucent
chassis.draw();
popMatrix();
draw_controls();
}
// Set the input's next destination
void mouseMoved(){
    X = mouseX;
    Y = mouseY;
}
```