1	P087, a lactococcal phage with a morphogenesis module similar
2	to an <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> prophage
3	
4	
5	Manuela Villion ^{1,2} , Marie-Christine Chopin ³ , Hélène Deveau ^{1,2} , S. Dusko Ehrlich ³ ,
6	Sylvain Moineau ^{1,2,4*} , and Alain Chopin ³ .
7	
8	
9	¹ Département de Biochimie et de Microbiologie, Faculté des Sciences et de Génie,
10	² Groupe de Recherche en Ecologie Buccale (GREB), Faculté de Médecine Dentaire, 2420 rue de
11	la Terrasse, Université Laval, Québec, Québec G1V 0A6, Canada,
12	³ Laboratoire de Génétique Microbienne, INRA, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas, France
13	⁴ Félix d'Hérelle Reference Center for Bacterial Viruses, 2420 rue de la Terrasse, Université
14	Laval, Québec, Québec, G1V 0A6
15	
16	
17	** Corresponding author. Mailing address: Groupe de recherche en écologie buccale, Faculté de
18	médecine dentaire, 2420 rue de la Terrasse, Université Laval,
19	Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, G1V 0A6
20	Phone: 418-656-3712. Fax: 418-656-2861. E-mail: <u>Sylvain.Moineau@bcm.ulaval.ca</u>

Abstract

22

21

23 The virulent lactococcal phage P087 was isolated from a dairy environment in 1978. This 24 phage was then recognized as the reference member for one of the ten phage groups currently 25 known to infect Lactococcus lactis strains. The double-stranded DNA genome of this 26 Siphoviridae phage is composed of 66,074 bp and is circularly permuted. Five tRNA and 88 27 ORFs were found within an uncommon genome architecture. Eleven structural proteins were also 28 identified through SDS-PAGE and LC-MS/MS analyses. Of note, 11 translated ORFs from the structural module of phage P087 have identities to gene products found in a prophage located in 29 30 the genome of Enterococcus faecalis V583. The alignment of both genomic sequences suggests 31 that DNA exchanges could occurr between these two phages which are infecting low G+C 32 bacteria found in similar ecological niches.

34 Introduction

35

36 Bacteriophages are now widely recognized as the most abundant microorganisms on the 37 planet and they are arguably also the most diverse (Whitman et al., 1998; Wommack and 38 Colwell, 2000). Such natural variation is a reflection of the array of hosts available to them as 39 well as their high rate of adaptive evolution when facing selective pressure. In fact, in most 40 environments, a large pool of phages and their respective bacterial hosts are involved in 41 continuous cycles of co-evolution where phage-resistant host mutants help to preserve bacterial 42 cell lineages while counter-resistant phage mutants emerge and threaten the new bacterial strains 43 (Emond and Moineau, 2007). Genome recombination is one of the processes by which novel phages with unique characteristics are developed (Labrie and Moineau, 2007). In fact, it is the 44 45 field of large-scale genome sequencing that led to unprecedented insights into phage and bacterial 46 co-evolution (Ackermann and Kropinski, 2007). Viral metagenomic studies have also revealed 47 that the overall gene pool of viruses is still vastly untapped (Edwards and Rohwer, 2005).

48

49 Lactococcus lactis is one of the relatively few Gram-positive bacterial species that has 50 been domesticated by humans and extensively used for food fermentation processes (Moineau et 51 al., 2002). Unfortunately, these bacteria are often susceptible to phage attacks, particularly during 52 large-scale milk fermentation (Emond and Moineau, 2007). Due to their negative impacts on food 53 bioprocesses and on the quality of fermented products, lactococcal phages are among the most 54 studied bacterial viruses. Over 700 hundred lactococcal phage isolates have been reported in the 55 literature while several other isolates are stored in company and university laboratories 56 (Ackermann and Kropinski, 2007).

57 Basic research on lactococcal phages led to the development of practical phage 58 classification schemes which were used to design effective strategies to limit phage propagation 59 within manufacturing factories (Jarvis et al., 1991). The latest classification of L. lactis phages 60 recognized 10 morphologically and genetically distinct groups (Deveau et al., 2006), including 8 61 belonging to the Siphoviridae family (non-contractile tail) and 2 to the Podoviridae family (short 62 tail). This grouping was based on electron microscopic observations, DNA-DNA hybridizations, 63 and comparative genome analyses. Siphophages belonging to three groups (936, c2, and P335) 64 have been the most scrutinized because they are the main causes of milk fermentation failures 65 worldwide (Moineau et al., 1992; Josephsen et al., 1994; Bissonnette et al., 2000). For example, among the 25 wild-type lactococcal phage genomes for which a complete sequence is currently 66 67 available, 13 belong to P335-like phage group, 6 belong to the 936 and 2 belong to the c2.

68

69 Members of the seven other lactococcal phage groups are infrequently found in the dairy 70 industry or in ecological niches associated with lactococci. Nonetheless, members of these phage 71 groups can still lead to fermentation collapses. With the aims of understanding how phage 72 genomes are related to each other and what evolutionary mechanisms shaped the lactococcal 73 phage population (Hatfull, 2008), the genome of phage members representing 4 of the less 74 studied L. lactis phage groups were recently analyzed. This included the virulent siphophages 75 Q54 (Fortier et al., 2006) and 1706 (Garneau et al., 2008) as well as the virulent podophages 76 KSY1 (Chopin et al., 2007) and assephi28, a member of the P034 group (Kotsonis et al., 2008). 77 Thus, at least one complete genome sequence is available for 7 of the 10 recognized lactococcal 78 phage groups. Here, we report the complete genome sequence and analysis of phage P087, a 79 virulent representative of the lactococcal phage group that bears its name.

81 **Results and Discussion**

82

Phage P087 was isolated in Germany from a dairy environment in 1978 (Braun et al., 1989). Electron microscopy revealed that it belonged to the *Siphoviridae* family as for the majority of the lactococcal phages. Its noncontractile tail is 163 nm in length and 14-16 nm in width while its isometric capsid is approximately 59 nm in diameter (Fig. 1). It differs from other lactococcal phages through its complex baseplate with no terminal fiber (Deveau et al., 2006).

88

89 Microbiological characterization

90

91 We performed a host range analysis and phage P087 was able to infect the following L. 92 lactis strains: SMQ-384 (also named C10), SMQ-385 (also named ML8 strain), NCK203, and 93 SMQ-86. The latter two L. lactis strains are also sensitive to several lactococcal phages belonging to the P335 group (Hill et al., 1990; Moineau et al., 1992; Emond et al., 1997). Interestingly, it 94 95 was recently shown that phage 1706 can also infect the same four strains (Garneau et al., 2008). 96 Phage P087 was not able to infect a set of 42 industrial L. lactis strains. Taken altogether, the host 97 range of P087 is limited, but it can infect L. lactis strains that are sensitive to phages from at least 98 two other genetically-distinct groups.

To determine whether P087 was sensitive to abortive infection mechanisms (Abi), we introduced a high copy vector (pNZ123) expressing AbiK (Emond et al., 1997), AbiQ (Emond et al., 1998) and AbiT (Bouchard et al., 2002) into *L. lactis* SMQ-384, and the resulting transformants were challenged with P087. Of the three mechanisms, only the AbiQ system was very effective against P087 (EOP of $\leq 10^{-8}$). Thus, natural means are already available to curtail the multiplication of this virulent phage.

107 A single contig with overlapping ends was obtained, suggesting that P087 extremities are 108 circularly permuted. After removing the duplicated sequence, a sequence of 60,074 bp was 109 obtained. This gives the second largest sequenced genome for a lactococcal phage, after KSY1 110 (Chopin et al., 2007). Most known Siphoviridae phages with genome of more than 60 kb and 111 infecting Gram-positive bacteria are mycophages, but their genome have a GC content of 57.3% 112 to 69% (Pedulla et al., 2003). The GC content of the lactococcal P087 genome is 34.4 % which is 113 within the range of its L. lactis hosts (35.3 %) (Bolotin et al., 2001; Makarova et al., 2006; 114 Wegmann et al., 2007), and other lactococcal phages (Hejnowicz et al., 2008).

The circular permutation of P087 genome was further analyzed by cloning and sequencing the ends. The sequences obtained were apparently random, with no common features (not shown). The terminal redundancy length could not be determined from the sequencing data. The positions of the ends were uniformly scattered throughout the phage genome, indicating a highly circularly permuted genome, characteristic of *pac*-type phages.

120

121 Bioinformatic analysis and P087 genome organization

122

Eighty-eight open reading frames were deduced from the complete genomic sequence. They were all oriented in the same direction and 22 of them were overlapping (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The smallest gene preceded by an adequate ribosome binding site (RBS) complementary to the end of *L. lactis* 16S rRNA would encode a protein of only 29 amino acids (gp44). The sizes of the remaining gene products varied from 33 (gp39) to 1309 amino acids (gp73). As observed for other phages, the P087 genome was highly compact, with only 5% of the genome having no 129 coding function. The longest non-coding region (about 600 bp) was found upstream of orfl. 130 Globally, P087 genes were clustered in a typical temporal expression pattern. As seen for other 131 dairy phages, the leftward half of the genome (orf1-orf55) contained genes involved in DNA 132 metabolism, and expected to be expressed early in the phage infection cycle. The rightward half 133 of the genome (orf56-orf88) contained genes encoding either structural proteins, or proteins 134 involved in phage assembly or cell lysis (Fig. 2). These genes would likely be expressed later in 135 the phage lytic cycle. No lysogeny module (or remnant) was found in the P087 genome, 136 confirming its virulent nature. We could not find another siphophage with an identical genome 137 architecture in databases. However, some similarities were observed with the recently described 138 Pseudomonas phage YuA (Ceyssens et al., 2008). Bioinformatic analyses revealed that 32 gene 139 products out of 88 (36%) were similar to known proteins in the GenBank database (Altschul et 140 al., 1997) and in the ACLAME database, which is specific to viruses (Leplae et al., 2004). Of 141 those, ten P087 gene products share significant homology to other lactococcal phage or host 142 genome proteins available in public databases, although not necessarily giving the best hits.

143

144 P087 DNA replication and metabolism

145

Gp2 was found to contain a conserved domain from the helicase superfamily (HELICc: helicase superfamily C-terminal domain), a domain associated with DEXDc, DEAD-, and DEAH-boxes. Thus, gp2/helicase probably plays a role in DNA replication or transcription. The DNA polymerase of phage P087 can be encoded by *orf4*, as it deduced gene product has 27% identity with the polymerase of *Lactobacillus salivarius* phage SalI. Gp15 could encode a methylase homologous to the C-5 cytosine-specific DNA methylase family (Cheng, 1995) and be involved in the methylation of P087 genome to avoid the negative effect of host R/M systems.

Gp19 contains the motif CXXC (CMQC) and would be involved in reducing ribonucleotides 153 154 while Gp23 possesses a peptidase domain (peptidase T family). We also identified a cysteine 155 synthase (gp31, family CysK) involved in the amino acid transport and metabolism suggesting 156 that P087 acquired a host pyrimidine biosynthesis function, as was the case for the myophage T4 157 (Drake and Kreuzer, 1994), and the large phages infecting Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Hertveldt et 158 al., 2005). It was shown for coliphage T4 that the presence of such a gene helps phage growth 159 (Drake and Kreuzer, 1994). To our knowledge, this is the first time that such a gene has been 160 found in a lactococcal phage. Gp53, which could be a DNA polymerase, shares 45% identity with 161 a putative polymerase found in the virulent lactococcal phage Q54 (Fortier et al., 2006) and gp77 162 from Listeria phage A511 (Klumpp et al., 2008). Gp54 contains a ParB-like nuclease domain in 163 its N-terminus and may be involved in DNA binding and cleavage of single-stranded DNA 164 (Johnson et al., 1999). gp54 has also 40% identity with a methyltransferase from the temperate 165 streptococcal phage EJ-1. The identity was limited to the N-terminal part, which corresponds to 166 the ParB-like domain (data not shown). Gp62 is likely a protease/scaffold protein because it 167 shows 34% identity with the N-terminus of the CLP-protease of Lactobacillus reuteri F275.

168

169 The structural proteome of P087 and other genes coding for morphogenetic proteins

170

Proteomic analysis of phage P087 led to the identification of eleven structural proteins (Fig. 3). The three most abundant (major) structural proteins were easily identifiable by SDS-PAGE and were in agreement with a previously published protein profile of P087 (Braun et al., 1989). The smallest of these three bands represented a protein containing a bacterial Ig-like domain found in cellular surface proteins (gp59), while the other two, identified as gp63 and gp70 are likely the major capsid (MCP) and the major tail (MTP) proteins. Gp73 has homology 177 to the tail tape measure protein of staphylococcal phage phiNM3, while a segment of gp86 shares 178 similarity with the studied neck passage structure (NPS) of L. lactis phage TP901-1 (Johnsen et 179 al., 1995; Vegge et al., 2006). A 547-bp region in the middle part of the nps gene was highly 180 conserved (82% identity) between TP901-1 (P335 group) and P087. However, it is worth 181 mentioning that gp86 is 274 amino acids larger than the NPS protein of TP901-1. The receptor 182 binding protein (RBP) of phage P087 could be gp78 as it shares 26% identity with the RBP from 183 L. lactis phage SL3 (936 group). The identity was limited to the C-terminus, which corresponds 184 to the head domain involved in host recognition (Spinelli et al., 2006; Tremblay et al., 2006).

185

186 *P087 lysis genes*

187

188 Gp85 has the hallmarks of an endolysin as it contains an amidase domain and is highly 189 homologous to several lactococcal phage endolysins. Interestingly, gp75 may also be an 190 endolysin as it is 29% identical to an endolysin found in *Enterococcus faecalis*. The presence of 191 two endolysins was also predicted in the lactococcal phage KSY1 (Chopin et al., 2007) and in 192 other double-stranded DNA phages (Wang et al., 2000). As reported for KSY1 and ascephi28 193 (lactococcal P034 group), no holin gene could be detected in the genome of P087. However, 194 gp83 possesses several holin structural features such as two transmembrane domains (MEMSAT 195 program; Jones, 2007), a short hydrophobic N-terminus, and a highly charged C-terminus (Young 196 and Blasi, 1995). Usually, the holin gene directly precedes an endolysin gene in siphophage 197 genomes. However, a short orf is found between orf83 (holin gene) and orf85 (endolysin gene). 198 Interestingly, the lysis module was located within the morphogenesis module of P087. This 199 organization was previously only observed in the genome of lactococcal phages 1706 (Garneau et 200 al., 2008) and KSY1 (Chopin et al., 2007) as well as in mycophages (Hatfull, 2008).

203 Bioinformatic searches revealed the presence of five tRNAs clustered together at the 3' end of the P087 genome, namely tRNA^{Asn} (recognizing the codon AAC), tRNA^{Asp} (GAC), tRNA^{Cys} (UGC), 204 tRNA^{Pro} (CCA), and tRNA^{Thr} (ACA). Transfer RNAs are the only translation-associated genes 205 206 usually found in phages, particularly those with a large genome (Bailly-Bechet et al., 2007). 207 Three tRNAs were also identified in lactococcal phage KSY1 but they were separated from each 208 other by several short orfs (Chopin et al., 2007). To date, this is the highest number of tRNA 209 genes found in a lactococcal phage genome. However, up to 14 tRNA genes were recognized in 210 the genome (131,573 bp) of the virulent Lactobacillus plantarum myophage LP65 (Chibani-211 Chennoufi et al., 2004). These tRNA genes were likely acquired by recombination with other 212 phages or host DNA (Weinbauer, 2004). Transfer RNAs found in virulent phage genomes tend to 213 correspond to highly used codons, leading to an enhanced translational efficiency (Bailly-Bechet 214 et al., 2007). Indeed, the frequency of usage per thousand codons corresponding to four (of the 215 five) tRNAs was significantly higher in phage P087 than in L. lactis strains (Table 2). Moreover, 216 the genes coding for three most abundant structural proteins of P087 (gp59, gp63, and gp70) 217 appears to have more codons recognized by those tRNA found in P087 genome (at least 2/5). 218 However, it should be noted that the genomic sequence is not available for any of P087 host 219 strains thereby, limiting the interpretation.

220

221 Similarity to the sequence of a putative Enterococcus faecalis V583 prophage

222

223 Many structural proteins of P087 shows identities with proteins deduced from the genome 224 of *Enterococcus faecalis* V583, a clinical vancomycin-resistant isolate (Paulsen et al., 2003). 225 Analysis of the complete genomic sequence of strain V583 revealed seven mobile regions that 226 could be linked to prophages (Paulsen et al., 2003). One of these regions (coordinates EF2084 to 227 EF2145) codes for several proteins sharing between 20 to 45% identities with P087 structural 228 proteins (gp77 to gp54, Table 1, Fig. 2). This specific V583 region corresponds to the 229 enterococcal prophage 05 (Lepage et al., 2006), which is located downstream of the 3'end of 230 tRNA-Thr2 and flanked by a 15-bp repeat corresponding to its attL/R attachment site (Fig. 2). Interestingly, E. faecalis prophage 05 is present only in clinical isolates and is apparently absent 231 232 in E. faecalis strains isolated from dairy foods. This enterococcal prophage could contribute to 233 the adaptation of some *E. faecalis* strains to a specific ecological niche (Lepage *et al.* 2006).

234 E. faecalis is a low GC Gram positive bacterium found in the mammalian gastrointestinal 235 tract but also in soil, water, and foods (Klare et al., 2001). It is tempting to speculate that the 236 virulent *Lactococcus lactis* phage P087 is derived, at least in part, from a phage infecting another 237 low GC Gram positive bacteria. Alternatively, the E. faecalis prophage 05 could be derived from 238 a dairy phage. In fact, DNA exchanges between Lactococcus and Enterococcus have been 239 observed previously. For example, a multi-antibiotic resistance plasmid (pK214) isolated from a 240 Lactococcus strain found in raw milk cheese could be transferred to an E. faecalis strain (Perreten 241 et al., 1997). Similarly, another plasmid (pRE25) could be transferred by conjugation from an E. 242 faecalis strain to a L. lactis strain, confirming a molecular communication between these two 243 bacterial species (Teuber et al., 2003).

In conclusion, we have described the reference member of the 8th lactococcal phage group. Genome analysis of P087 revealed a mosaic structure made up of modules that come from disparate origins. The proteomic similarities with an enterococcal prophage coupled with the possible acquisition of a receptor-binding protein (gp78) from another lactococcal phage (936), suggests a mechanism for the emergence of P087.

249

251 *Microbiological assays*

252

253 Phage P087 and its host were obtained from the Félix d'Hérelle Reference Center for Bacterial Viruses (www.phage.ulaval.ca). The host, Lactococcus lactis C10, was grown at 30°C 254 255 in M17 broth supplemented with 0.5% glucose (GM17). For phage amplification, phage and host 256 were incubated at room temperature in the presence of 10 mM CaCl₂. When needed, glycine 257 (0.5%) was added to the top agar to increase plaque size and facilitate phage enumeration 258 (Lillehaug, 1997). Phage lysates were concentrated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) and purified 259 on a discontinuous-step CsCl gradient (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Phages were stained with 260 2% uranyl acetate and observed using a JEOL 1230 transmission electron microscope at 80 kV as described elsewhere (Deveau et al., 2006). To measure the efficacy of phage defense 261 mechanisms, the EOP was calculated by dividing the phage titer for the tested L. lactis strain by 262 263 the titer for the sensitive strain (L. lactis SMQ384 containing pNZ123; De Vos, 1987). The tested 264 strains were SMQ-384 transformed with pNZ123 containing, either abiK (pSRQ823; Emond et 265 al., 1997), abiT (pED209; Bouchard et al., 2002) or abiQ (pSRQ928; Emond et al., 1998).

266

267 DNA sequencing

268

The DNA of phage P087 was isolated from CsCl-purified phages as reported elsewhere 269 270 (Chibani Azaiez et al., 1998). The complete genomic sequence was determined as previously 271 described (Chopin et al., 2007). Approximately 1000 reads were assembled, achieving 8.6-fold 272 coverage and resulting in a single circular contig. To identify genome ends, terminal genome 273 fragments were cloned and sequenced. P087 genomic DNA was treated with T4 polymerase 274 (New England Biolabs). Blunted genomes were ligated into the SmaI-digested cloning vector 275 pUC19. The genomic DNA/pUC19 ligation reaction was then digested with EcoRI and HindIII 276 and self-ligated. pUC19 has unique EcoRI and HindIII sites while these enzymes have 0 and 30 277 restriction sites in the genome of P087, respectively. The ligation mixture was transformed into 278 Escherichia coli TG1 competent cells. Transformants were picked at random and P087 DNA cloned fragments were PCR-amplified using oligonucleotides complementary to pUC19 279 280 sequence. The sequence of fragments cloned into 17 independent end clones was determined.

281

282 Orf prediction and annotation

283

284 Open reading frame and tRNA searches were carried out as described elsewhere (Chopin 285 et al., 2007; Garneau et al., 2008). Predictions were visually inspected and the putative ribosome-286 binding sites were verified using the 3'-end of L. lactis IL1403 16S rRNA (Bolotin et al., 2001). 287 The presence of conserved investigated domains was on NCBI 288 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi), within the CDD database. The isoelectric 289 point and molecular mass were determined using http://ca.expasy.org/tools/pi tool.html. The 290 translated ORF products were compared with known protein sequences using BLASTP (Altschul 291 et al., 1997) and the non-redundant public GenBank database. Blast searches were also done 292 using the ACLAME database of clustered viral proteins maintained at Service de Conformation 293 de Macromolécules Biologiques et de Bioinformatique, Université Libre de Bruxelles 294 (http://aclame.ulb.ac.be/) (Leplae et al., 2004). The frequency usage was calculated with the 295 countcodon program from the web site: http://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/cgi-bin/countcodon.cgi.

299	One liter of phage lysate was PEG-concentrated, purified on a discontinuous CsCl
300	gradient, and on a one-step CsCl gradient. Ultracentrifugation was performed using a Beckman
301	SW41 Ti rotor at 35,000 rpm for 3 h. The second ultracentrifugation was performed using a
302	Beckman NVT65 rotor at 60,000 rpm for 17 h. The phage preparation (8 x 10 ¹¹ PFU/ml) was
303	then dialyzed against phage buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 100mM NaCl, 10mM MgSO ₄) and
304	analyzed for structural proteins by standard Tris-glycine 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel
305	electrophoresis (PAGE). Samples were mixed with 4X sample loading buffer and boiled for 5
306	min before loading. Protein bands were detected by Coomassie blue staining. The bands were cut
307	out of the gel, digested with trypsin, and identified by liquid chromatography-tandem mass
308	spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (Genome Quebec Innovation Centre, McGill University).
309	
310	Accession number
311	
312	The sequence data was deposited in EMBL/Genbank/DDBJ databases under accession number
313	FJ429185.
314	
315	Acknowledgments
316	
317	We are grateful to Marie-Eve Dupuis, Audrey Fleury, and Steve Labrie for their technical help in
318	phage assays, and to Barbara-Ann Conway for editorial assistance. This study was funded, in
319	part, by a strategic grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC)
320	of Canada to S.M.

321 **References**

- Ackermann, H.-W., Kropinski, A.M., 2007. Curated list of prokaryote viruses with fully sequenced genomes. Res. Microbiol. 158, 555-566.
- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., Lipman, D.J.,
 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
 programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 3389-3402.
- Bailly-Bechet, M., Vergassola, M., Rocha, E., 2007. Causes for the intriguing presence of tRNAs
 in phages. Genome Res. 17, 1486-1495.
- Bissonnette, F., Labrie, S., Deveau, H., Lamoureux, M., Moineau, S., 2000. Characterization of
 mesophilic mixed starter cultures used for the manufacture of aged cheddar cheese. J. Dairy
 Sci. 83, 620-627.
- Bolotin, A., Wincker, P., Mauger, S., Jaillon, O., Malarme, K., Weissenbach, J., Ehrlich, S.D.,
 Sorokin, A., 2001. The complete genome sequence of the lactic acid bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *lactis* IL1403. Genome Res. 11, 731-753.
- Bouchard, J.D., Dion, E., Bissonnette, F., Moineau, S., 2002. Characterization of the twocomponent abortive phage infection mechanism AbiT from *Lactococcus lactis*. J. Bacteriol.
 184, 6325-6332.
- Braun, V., Hertwig, S., Neve, H., Geis, A., Teuber, M., 1989. Taxonomic differentiation of
 bacteriophages of *Lactococcus lactis* by electron microscopy, DNA-DNA hybridization, and
 protein profiles. J. Gen. Microbiol. 135, 2551-2560.
- 342 Ceyssens, P.J., Mesyanzhinov, V., Sykilinda, N., Briers, Y., Roucourt, B., Lavigne, R., Robben,
- J., Domashin, A., Miroshnikov, K., Volckaert, G., Hertveldt, K., 2008. The genome and
 structural proteome of YuA, a new *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phage resembling M6. J.
 Bacteriol. 190,1429-1435.
- Cheng, X., 1995. Structure and function of DNA methyltransferases. Ann. Rev. Biophysics
 Biomol. Struct. 24, 293-318.
- 348 Chibani-Azaiez, S.R., Fliss, I., Simard, R.E., Moineau, S., 1998. Monoclonal antibodies raised
- against native major capsid proteins of lactococcal c2-like bacteriophages. Appl. Environ.Microbiol. 64, 4255-4259.

- Chibani-Chennoufi, S., Dillmann, M.L., Marvin-Guy, L., Rami-Shojaei, S., Brüssow, H., 2004.
 Lactobacillus plantarum bacteriophage LP65: a new member of the SPO1-like genus of the
 family *Myoviridae*. J. Bacteriol. 186, 7069-7083.
- Chopin, A., Deveau, H., Ehrlich, S.D., Moineau, S., Chopin, M.C., 2007. KSY1, a lactococcal
 phage with a T7-like transcription. Virology 365, 1-9.
- Deveau, H., Labrie, S.J., Chopin, M.C., Moineau, S., 2006. Biodiversity and classification of
 lactococcal phages. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 4338-4346.
- Drake, J.W., Kreuzer, K.N., 1994. DNA transactions in T4-infected *Escherichia coli*. In: Karam,
 J.D. (Ed.), Molecular biology of bacteriophage T4. pp. 11-13.
- 360 Edwards, R.A., Rohwer, F., 2005. Viral metagenomics. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3, 504-510.
- 361 Emond, E., Dion, E., Walker, S.A., Vedamuthu, E.R., Kondo, J.K., Moineau, S., 1998. AbiQ, an
- abortive infection mechanism from *Lactococcus lactis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 47484756.
- Emond, E., Holler, B.J., Boucher, I., Vandenbergh, P.A., Vedamuthu, E.R., Kondo, J.K.,
 Moineau, S., 1997. Phenotypic and genetic characterization of the bacteriophage abortive
 infection mechanism AbiK from *Lactococcus lactis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63, 1274 1283.
- Emond, E., Moineau, S., 2007. Bacteriophages and food fermentations. In: McGrath, S., van
 Sinderen, D. (Eds.), Bacteriophage: genetics and molecular biology, pp. 93-123.
- Fortier, L.-C., Bransi, A., Moineau, S., 2006. Genome sequence and global gene expression of
 Q54, a new phage species linking the 936 and c2 phage species of *Lactococcus lactis*. J.
 Bacteriol. 188, 6101-6114.
- Garneau, J.E., Tremblay, D.M., Moineau, S., 2008. Characterization of 1706, a virulent phage
 from *Lactococcus lactis* with similarities to prophages from other Firmicutes. Virology 373,
 298-309.
- Hatfull, G.F., 2008. Bacteriophage genomics. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 11, 447-453.
- Hejnowicz, M.S., Golebiewski, M., Bardowski, J., 2008. Analysis of the complete genome
 sequence of the lactococcal bacteriophage bIBB29. Int. J. Food Microbiol. In press.
- 379 Hertveldt, K., Lavigne, R., Pleteneva, E., Sernova, N., Kurochkina, L., Korchevskii, R., Robben,
- 380 J., Mesyanzhinov, V., Krylov, V.N., Volckaert, G., 2005. Genome comparison of
- 381 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* large phages. J. Mol. Biol. 354, 536-545.

- Hill, C., Miller, L.A., Klaenhammer, T.R., 1990. Cloning, expression, and sequence
 determination of a bacteriophage fragment encoding bacteriophage resistance in *Lactococcus lactis*. J. Bacteriol. 172, 6419-6426.
- Jarvis, A.W., Fitzgerald, G.F., Mata, M., Mercenier, A., Neve, H., Powell, I.B., Ronda, C.,
 Saxelin, M., Teuber, M., 1991. Species and type phages of lactococcal bacteriophages.
 Intervirology 32, 2-9.
- Johnsen, M.G., Neve, H., Vogensen, F.K., Hammer, K., 1995. Virion positions and relationships
 of lactococcal temperate bacteriophage TP901-1 proteins. Virology 212, 595-606.
- Johnson, E.P., Mincer, T., Schwab, H., Burgin, A.B., Helinski, D.R., 1999. Plasmid RK2 ParB
 protein: purification and nuclease properties. J. Bacteriol. 181, 6010-6018.
- Jones, D.T., 2007. Improving the accuracy of transmembrane protein topology prediction using
 evolutionary information. Bioinformatics 23, 538-544.
- Josephsen, J., Andersen, N., Behrndt, H., Brandsborg, E., Christiansen, G., Hansen, M.B.,
 Hansen, S., Nielsen, E.W., Vogensen, F.K., 1994. An ecological study of lytic
 bacteriophages of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* isolated in a cheese plant over a five
 year period. Int. Dairy J. 4, 123-140.
- Klare, I. Werner, G., Witte, W., 2001. Enterococci. Habitats, infections, virulence factors,
 resistances to antibiotics, transfer of resistance determinants. Contrib. Microbiol. 8, 108-122.
- Klumpp, J., Dorscht, J., Lurz, R., Bielmann, R., Wieland, M., Zimmer, M., Calendar, R.,
 Loessner, M.J. 2008. The terminally redundant, nonpermuted genome of *Listeria*bacteriophage A511: a model for the SPO1-like myoviruses of gram-positive bacteria. J.
 Bacteriol. 190, 5753-5765.
- Kotsonis, S.E., Powell, I.B., Pillidge, C.J., Limsowtin, G.K., Hillier, A.J., Davidson, B.E., 2008.
 Characterization and genomic analysis of phage ascephi28, a phage of the family *Podoviridae* infecting *Lactococcus lactis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 3453-3460.
- 407 Labrie, S.J., Moineau, S., 2007. Abortive infection mechanisms and prophage sequences
 408 significantly influence the genetic makeup of emerging lytic lactococcal phages. J. Bacteriol.
 409 189, 1482-1487.
- 410 Lepage, E., Brinster, S., Caron, C., Ducroix-Crepy, C., Rigottier-Gois, L., Dunny, G., Hennequet-
- 411 Antier, C., Serror, P., 2006. Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of *Enterococcus*
- 412 *faecalis*: identification of genes absent from food strains. J. Bacteriol. 188, 6858-68.

- Leplae, R., Hebrant, A., Wodak, S.J., Toussaint, A., 2004. ACLAME: A CLAssification of
 Mobile genetic Elements. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, D45-49.
- Lillehaug, D., 1997. An improved plaque assay for poor plaque-producing temperate lactococcal
 bacteriophages. J. Appl. Microbiol. 83, 85-90.
- 417 Makarova, K., Slesarev, A., Wolf, Y., Sorokin, A., Mirkin, B., Koonin, E., Pavlov, A., Pavlova,
- 418 N., Karamychev, V., Polouchine, N., Shakhova, V., Grigoriev, I., Lou, Y., Rohksar, D.,
- 419 Lucas, S., Huang, K., Goodstein, D. M., Hawkins, T., Plengvidhya, V., Welker, D., Hughes,
- 420 J., Goh, Y., Benson, A., Baldwin, K., Lee, J. H., Diaz-Muniz, I., Dosti, B., Smeianov, V.,
- 421 Wechter, W., Barabote, R., Lorca, G., Altermann, E., Barrangou, R., Ganesan, B., Xie, Y.,
- 422 Rawsthorne, H., Tamir, D., Parker, C., Breidt, F., Broadbent, J., Hutkins, R., O'Sullivan, D.,
- 423 Steele, J., Unlu, G., Saier, M., Klaenhammer, T., Richardson, P., Kozyavkin, S., Weimer, B.,
- 424 Mills, D., 2006. Comparative genomics of the lactic acid bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 425 USA. 103, 15611-15616.
- Moineau, S., Fortier, J., Ackermann, H.-W., Pandian, S., 1992. Characterization of lactococcal
 bacteriophages from Quebec cheese plants. Can. J. Microbiol. 38, 875-882.
- Moineau, S., Tremblay, D., Labrie, S., 2002. Phages of lactic acid bacteria: from genomics to
 industrial applications. ASM News. 68, 388-393.
- 430 Paulsen, I.T., Banerjei, L., Myers, G.S., Nelson, K.E., Seshadri, R., Read, T.D., Fouts, D.E.,
- 431 Eisen, J.A., Gill, S.R., Heidelberg, J.F., Tettelin, H., Dodson, R.J., Umayam, L., Brinkac, L.,
- 432 Beanan, M., Daugherty, S., DeBoy, R.T., Durkin, S., Kolonay, J., Madupu, R., Nelson, W.,
- 433 Vamathevan, J., Tran, B., Upton, J., Hansen, T., Shetty, J., Khouri, H., Utterback, T.,
- 434 Radune, D., Ketchum, K.A., Dougherty, B.A., Fraser, C.M., 2003. Role of mobile DNA in
- the evolution of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Science 299, 2071-2074.
- 436 Pedulla, M.L., Ford, M.E., Houtz, J.M., Karthikeyan, T., Wadsworth, C., Lewis, J.A., Jacobs-
- 437 Sera, D., Falbo, J., Gross, J., Pannunzio, N.R., Brucker, W., Kumar, V., Kandasamy, J.,
- Keenan, L., Bardarov, S., Kriakov, J., Lawrence, J.G., Jacobs, W.R., Hendrix, R.W., Hatfull,
 G.F., 2003. Origins of highly mosaic mycobacteriophage genomes. Cell 113, 171-182.
- Perreten, V., Schwarz, F., Cresta, L., Boeglin, M., Dasen, G., Teuber, M., 1997. Antibiotic
 resistance spread in food. Nature 389, 801-802.
- 442 Sambrook, J., Russell, D.W., 2001. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 3rd ed. Cold Spring
 443 Harbor, N.Y.

- Spinelli, S., Desmyter, A., Verrips, C.T., de Haard, H.J.W., Moineau, S., Cambillau, C., 2006.
 Lactococcal bacteriophage p2 receptor binding protein structure suggests a common ancestor
 gene with bacterial and mammalian viruses. Nature Struct. Mol. Biol. 13,85-89.
- 447 Teuber, M., Schwarz, F., Perreten, V., 2003. Molecular structure and evolution of the conjugative
- 448 multiresistance plasmid pRE25 of *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from a raw-fermented
 449 sausage. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 88, 325-329.
- Tremblay, D.M., Tegoni, M., Spinelli, S., Campanacci, V., Blangy, S., Huyghe, C., Desmyter, A.,
 Labrie, S., Moineau, S., Cambillau, C., 2006. Receptor-binding protein of *Lactococcus lactis*phages: identification and characterization of the saccharide receptor-binding site. J.
 Bacteriol. 188, 2400-2410.
- Vegge, C.S., Neve, H., Brøndsted, L., Heller, K.J., Vogensen, F.K., 2006. Analysis of the collarwhisker structure of temperate lactococcal bacteriophage TP901-1. Appl. Environ.
 Microbiol. 72, 6815-6818.
- Wang, I.N., Smith, D.L., Young, R., 2000. Holins: the protein clocks of bacteriophage infections.
 Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 54, 799-825.
- 459 Wegmann, U., O'Connell-Motherway, M., Zomer, A., Buist, G., Shearman, C., Canchaya, C.,
- 460 Ventura, M., Goesmann, A., Gasson, M.J., Kuipers, O.P., van Sinderen, D., Kok, J., 2007.
- 461 Complete genome sequence of the prototype lactic acid bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* subsp.
 462 *cremoris* MG1363. J. Bacteriol. 189, 3256-3270.
- 463 Weinbauer, M.G., 2004. Ecology of prokaryotic viruses. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 28, 127-181.
- 464 Whitman, W.B., Coleman, D.C., Wiebe, W.J., 1998. Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. Proc.
 465 Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 95, 6578-6583.
- 466 Wommack, K.E., Colwell, R.R., 2000. Virioplankton: viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiol.
- 467 Mol. Biol. Rev. 64, 69-114.
- Young, R., Blasi, U., 1995. Holins: form and function in bacteriophage lysis. FEMS Microbiol.
 Rev. 17, 191-205.

471	Legends of the Figures
472	
473	
474	Figure 1: Electron micrograph of lactococcal phage P087.
475	
476	Figure 2: Genomic organization of the virulent Lactococcus lactis phage P087 compared to
477	Enterococcus faecalis V583 prophage 05. Prophage 05 was previously identified by Paulsen et al.
478	(2003) and the att sites by Lepage et al. (2006). Each putative ORF is represented by an arrow.
479	The putative functions of the corresponding gene products are indicated above (for P087) or
480	below (for prophage 05) the arrows. Bold arrows indicate structural proteins identified by LC-
481	MS/MS (see Fig 3). Blue arrows linked by grey shadows indicate ORFs for which translated
482	products share identity. The percentage of identity is also indicated. The scale above the map is in
483	base pairs.
484	

485 Figure 3: Analysis of structural proteins of lactococcal phage P087. Panel A, Coomassie blue staining of a 12% SDS-PAGE gel showing the structural proteins of phage P087. The bands 486 487 extracted and identified by LC-MS/MS are numbered on the right side of the gel. On the left side, 488 the 7-175 kDa Broad Range Marker (New England BioLabs) was used to estimate protein 489 molecular mass. Panel B, Identification of the structural proteins of P087 identified in Panel A.

TABLE 1. ORFs deduced from P087 genome sequence for which gene products present a putative function or have homologs in databases

Start Find (inclustration TRADAMSEAGEGTATICS TRADAMSEAGEGTATICS TRADAMSEAGEGTATICS Tradamseage Macroscope	ORF	Pos	sition	Size	MM	pl	Putative RBS and start codon	Bradiated function (or	Best homologs in databases				
pg pg<		Start	End	(a.a.)	(KDa)		TT <u>AGAAAGGAGGT</u> GATCC	domain)	-	Identical/overall (%)	Size (a.a.)	E-value	Accession number
gp 697 742 587 52 TAAGAGTTACTTAAGGAGTTaeauAT DNA polymeasu Phase NA polymeasu Panes P	gp2	3416	4942	508	57.2	8.1	GGATAGATTTCTTGCTTAAGGAGGTaatATG	Helicase	Hypothetical protein alr7157 [Nostoc sp. PCC 7120]	107/359 (29%)	629	1.0E-30	NP_490263.1
gpl 6 75 78.2 75 78.4 8.2 TAAGASTTACTTACGTAGEGEGIDERARTG DNA.polymense Phage DNA.polymense<									Putative helicase [Lactobacillus phage phiJL-1]	101/353 (28%)	467	6.0E-29	YP_223915.1
Geb 6479 9174 23 26.5 7 TGAAOCTCCTCGTGAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTangettaATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTAnaggatATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTAnaggatATG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTANAGGAGGTANG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTANG ACCAAATGGTAGGAGGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGGANG ACCAAAGGAGGGANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGAGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGAGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGAGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGAGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGAGTANG ACCAAAGGAGGAGGAGGAGAGAGAAGGAGGAGGAGAGAGAGAG	gp4	5675	7642	655	75.4	5.2	TAAGAGTTTACTCTT <u>AAGGAGGT</u> acaatATG	DNA polymerase	Phage DNA polymerase [Lactobacillus salivarius phage Sal1]	140/501 (27%)	565	2.0E-25	YP_535651.1
pg 6 9/19 9/14 21 25 5/7 TCAAACTCCTTAGGAGGGTagattatATG ACLABLE creditory-backmass buget may hydroides (Bacteroiders - Juget 390) 64/22 (27) 100 9/20 (27) 19/1 9/1 10/2 12 22/3 23/4 11/2 (27) 10 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2 10/2									ACLAME: protein:vir:78051 Listeria monocytogenes phage P35 # gp33	146/520 (28%)	635	7.0E-25	YP 001468817
gp 94/5 97/5 1/5 5.5 CTACAAATCGTAAGGAGGTasquarTG DN Phytophetical protein VT8091 Listenia mococytegenes phage P58 gp30 90/217 (27%) 100 10.50 40.60 PC 00480851 918 1121 212 24.5 8.9 CTTACAAATCGTAAGGAGGTasquarTG DN methyses Hypothetical protein Z4 (Lacobacellan plantian mOcoS) 31125 (23%) 123 4.06-7 NP 208061 918 1232 7.8 9.1 5.5 CTACAAATCGTAAGGAGGTasquarTG DN methyses Hypothetical protein Z4 (Lacobaceus lacts MS 133) 31125 (23%) 123 4.06-7 NP 208061 918 1248 135 CGAAAGCAAAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian CAGAAGCAAAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian CAGAAGCAAAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian CAGAAGCAAAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian CAGAAGCAAAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian CAGAAGCAAAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythian ACTAGAGGGGGTascuarTG Pythia	gp6	8479	9174	231	26.5	5.7	TGAAGCTCTCCTTGCAGGAGGTcaagcttaATG		Metallo-β-lactamase superfamily hydrolase [Bacteroides vulgatus 8482]	64/228 (28%)	267	4.0E-08	YP_001300327.1
gp6 987 197 19.3 6.6 CTACAAATCOTAGAGAGEIgaptATG Physical Light Sector P									ACLAME: protein:vir:78081 Listeria monocytogenes phage P35 # gp30	60/217 (27%)	190	1.0E-06	YP 001468814
gp:5 11/42 12/12 22 24 6 9 P. 288801 19/15 11/42 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12 12/12	gp8	9463	9876	137	16.3	5.6	CTACAAATCGTAAGGAGGTaggttATG		Hypothetical protein CLOLEP_01819 [Clostridium leptum DSM 753]	41/122 (33%)	124	4.0E-07	ZP_02080366.1
gp15 1174 [212] 24.5 8.5 CTTACACASCISTINGUIDANCI COMPACINGUIDANCI COM methylese TTACACCIGACCISTINGUIDANCI COM methylese profestional and the professional and the profession and the profesional and the profesion and the profesion and the									Prophage Lp2 protein 24 [Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS1]	37/125 (29%)	126	4.0E-07	NP_785890.1
gp11 1123 1347 119 14.2 4.4 TTTACATOSGEAGEImatumENTO MmpOrtexical protein (Eastern Cost) 31/16 (28%) 100 10.66-4 MP_B11111 1911 1344 1370 78 5.5 CAGACACACACACCESCEDENTS 30/16 (27%) 72 72 72 77 80.7 72 72 77 80.7 72 72 77 80.7 72 72 77 80.7 72 72 77 80.7 72 72 77 80.7 72 72 72 73 80.7 72 72 73 80.7 72 73 80.7 72 73 80.7 72 73 80.7 72 73 80.7 72 73 80.7 72 73 80.7 71 74.44% 74 74.44% 74 74.44% 74 74.44% 74 74.44% 74 74.44% 74.7 74.44% 74.7 74.44% 74.7 74.44% 74.7 74.44% </td <td>gp15</td> <td>11474</td> <td>12112</td> <td>212</td> <td>24.5</td> <td>8.9</td> <td>CTTAT<u>AGAAA</u>TG<u>AAGGAGGT</u>aacagaatATG</td> <td>DNA methylase</td> <td>Putative C5 methylase MarMP [Mycoplasma phage MAV1]</td> <td>72/215 (33%)</td> <td>259</td> <td>4.0E-15</td> <td>NP_047260.1</td>	gp15	11474	12112	212	24.5	8.9	CTTAT <u>AGAAA</u> TG <u>AAGGAGGT</u> aacagaatATG	DNA methylase	Putative C5 methylase MarMP [Mycoplasma phage MAV1]	72/215 (33%)	259	4.0E-15	NP_047260.1
gp11 1348 13720 78 8.1 5.5 GAGAAGCAAACGGGTGCAGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGGTGCAGAAGGGGGGGG	gp18	13128	13487	119	14.2	4.4	TTTACATGGGGAGGTaaataatATG		Hypothetical protein EF0327 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	31/104 (29%)	100	1.0E-04	NP_814119.1
Production Classedoux-lass problem roff (Lactococcus lasts MG1583) PP PD	gp19	13484	13720	78	9.1	5.5	GAGAAGCAAAGGGGTacaaataTTG	NrdH-redoxin family	Glutaredoxin related protein [Lactococcus lactis SK11]	30/63 (47%)	72	3.0E-12	YP_809022.1;
go21 1452 1568 346 36 4.4 AACAGAAGAAG AGGGaactataaMT Prepridase MACAGAAGAAGAAG AGGGaactataaMT Prepridase Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes and Quises ATCC2019) 22/73 (3%) 31 40.E-14 Prepridase NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes and Quises ATCC2019) 22/73 (3%) 31 40.E-14 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes and Quises ATCC2018) 22/73 (3%) 31 40.E-14 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes on prine); patient Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes on prine); patient Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes on prine); patient Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (3%) 31 40.E-14 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes Dispostave Prine); patient Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (3%) 31 40.E-14 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (3%) 31 40.E-14 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (3%) 31 40.E-14 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (4%) 31 10.E-69 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (4%) 31 40.E-64 NP-postave Profibicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501) 14/324 (4%) 31 40.E-64 NP-postave Profibicicial BACAP QUISE (Baterindes ATCC30501)									Glutaredoxin-like protein nrdH [Lactococcus lactis MG1363]				YP_001032827.1
gp23 1682 1688 448 3.6. AACGAAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAA									ACLAME: protein:vir:81265 [Brevibacterium flavum] phage BFK20 gp53	22/73 (30%)	88	9.0E-09	YP_001456783
gp31 1777 1877 311 3.1 5.3 GGAAAATCACTTAAGGAGGGaaaaATG Cysteine synthase A [Enterococus appage 32] 154/304 (50%) 310 4.0E-74 NP_953331 1972 18778 301 97 177 1877 1877 177 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1774 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1774 1877 110.0 27 2787 177 1774 1877 177 177 177 177 177 177 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774 1774	gp23	14652	15698	348	39.6	4.4	AACAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	Peptidase	Hypothetical BACCAP_03129 [Bacteroides capillosus ATCC29799]	73/221 (33%)	313	4.0E-16	ZP_02037512.1
gp22 gp23 gp33 gp43 gp44 gp43 gp44 <th< td=""><td>gp31</td><td>17772</td><td>18707</td><td>311</td><td>33.1</td><td>5.3</td><td>GGAAAATCACTTAAAGAAATCATGGAGGacaaataATG</td><td>Cysteine synthase</td><td>Cysteine synthase A [Enterococcus faecalis V583]</td><td>154/304 (50%)</td><td>310</td><td>4.0E-74</td><td>NP_815300.1</td></th<>	gp31	17772	18707	311	33.1	5.3	GGAAAATCACTTAAAGAAATCATGGAGGacaaataATG	Cysteine synthase	Cysteine synthase A [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	154/304 (50%)	310	4.0E-74	NP_815300.1
ip 42 23230 23439 369 43.4 9.7 TCAATAAAAGGGGTGBBABARTO Viral A-type inclusion protein	gp32	18718	19011	97	11.6	6.3	GAAGGCTATAAATATT <u>AAGGAGGT</u> caccATG		p12 putative [Lactococcus phage c2]	29/75 (38%)	122	3.0E-05	NP_043538.1
op 48 28791 163 164 4.3 AATTAAGCAGC TaglaaaATG Isole (ACACCACTAGCAGCACTACTACAGCAGCACTACTACACACTAGCAGCACTACTACACTACAGCAGCACTACTACACTACAGCAGCACTACTACTACAGCAGCACTACTACTACAGCAGCACTACTACTACAGCAGCACTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCACTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACTACAGCAGCTACTACAGCAGCTACTACCAGCACTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCAGCTACTACCAGCAGCCAGC	gp42	22330	23439	369	43.4	9.7	TCAAATAAAGGAGtttagaaaATG		Viral A-type inclusion protein, putative [Trichomonas vaginalis G3]	59/270 (21%); N-part	4045	1.0E-06	XP_001304893.1
pp53 30808 28324 414 417 5.3 TAGAAAGGGGCastATG DNA polymerase (actococcus phage Cs4) 179/391 (45%), m37 4.0E.88 7P 72868.1 gp64 29324 2848 174 19.9 5.2 GCTTAGGAAGGAEtadataCATG ParB-like nuclease Hypothetical BACSTE Q2196 (Bacteroids strong XTCC348) 4008 (40%), N pat 1.0E.00 ZP 24339431 gp65 3077 3378 492 6.5.7 TTAAAACCCTAGGACATATG Structure Hypothetical BACSTE Q2196 (Bacteroids strong XTCC348) 6005-37 NP 36577.4 gp65 3087 3378 492 6.5.7 TTAAAACCCTTAGGACAAGGGUetaATG Structure Hypothetical protein F2112 (Enteroncocus freecals V583) 84400 (20%), V ad 420 0.0E-57 NP 36777.4 gp65 3687 3767 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAGGAGAEtaaatGS Structure Hypothetical protein F2104 [Enteroncocus freecals V583] 84168 (26%), 0.32 2.0E-13 PP 04182056.1 gp74 3678 478 4.0 4.2 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	gp48	26300	26791	163	18.6	4.3	AATTAAGGAGGTaqtaaatATG		Isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase [Methanobrevibacter smithii ATCC 35061]	34/112 (30%)	1090	1.7E-02	YP_001273914.1
gp54 29324 2984 174 19.9 5.2 GCTTTAGGAGGastascatATG ParB-like nucleas ACLAME: proteintwit:02949 Steptococcus phage EL+1 methytransfersam 40098 (d0%); N.part AT 10.E-09 P.P.94276 N.P.945276 gp57 32313 578 66.3 5.7 TTAAAACTCTTAGGAAAGS[tgactATG ITAAAACGTGTAAAAGGTtactaaATG Structure Hyoohetical pAction EF2111 [Enterococcus faecalis V83] 44053 (20%); A.944 519 6.0E-37 N.PE15775.1 gp57 33788 346 3.8.2 4.2 TTAAAACTCTTAAGGAGGastastaaATG Structure Hyoohetical protein EF2111 [Enterococcus faecalis V83] 84403 (20%); A.944 4.0E-18 N.PE15775.1 gp63 36543 37679 378 2.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGGastastaaTG Structure Hyoohetical protein E7213 [Enterococcus faecalis V83] 8010 hyao 55/144 (29%) 20E-13 P.P_e094226 gp64 37679 378 4.21 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGGastastaaTG Structure Hyoohetical protein E7213 [Enterococcus faecalis V83] 45/158 (28%) 325 6.0E-47 N.P_e15767.1 gp74 42689 9.15.5 7.7 GTAGATATCTAAGGAGGastastastaTG Structure Hyoohet	gp53	28080	29324	414	47.1	5.3	TAGAAAAGGGAGGTcaatATG	DNA polymerase	Putative DNA polymerase [Lactococcus phage Q54]	179/391 (45%)	387	4.0E-88	YP 762586.1
apple 3 apple 3 <t< td=""><td>gp54</td><td>29324</td><td>29848</td><td>174</td><td>19.9</td><td>5.2</td><td>GCTTTAGGAGGaattaactaATG</td><td>ParB-like nuclease</td><td>Hypothetical BACSTE 02196 [Bacteroides stercoris ATCC43183]</td><td>40/98 (40%); N-part</td><td>371</td><td>1.0E-09</td><td>ZP 02435943.1</td></t<>	gp54	29324	29848	174	19.9	5.2	GCTTTAGGAGGaattaactaATG	ParB-like nuclease	Hypothetical BACSTE 02196 [Bacteroides stercoris ATCC43183]	40/98 (40%); N-part	371	1.0E-09	ZP 02435943.1
Gpb 3057 3231 57 CTAAAACTCTTAGAGAAAGGigactATG Hughtering ACLAME: protein C2112 [Entrococcus faecalis V583] 44/0554 (2%) 519 6.0E-37 NP_815775.1 gp5 3210 3378 492 564 5.1 TTAAAACTCTTAGAGGAGUEataBATG Hypothetical protein E72112 [Entrococcus faecalis V583] 84/053 (2%) 428 2.0E-05 NP_815775.1 gp52 3468 3852 346 38.2 4.2 TTATACCTTATTCATGGAGUEatBAATG Structure Hypothetical protein E72115 [Entrococcus faecalis V583] 84/03 (2%) 424 1.0E-18 YP_08942 gp63 36543 37679 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGUEBABABATG Kajota Capsid protein Hypothetical protein E72103 [Entrococcus faecalis V583] 60/39 (2%) 325 6.0E-04 NP_815767.1 gp64 37679 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGUEBABABATG Hypothetical protein E72104 [Entrococcus faecalis V583] 60/39 (2%) 325 6.0E-04 NP_815785.1 gp74 46603 1309 13.5 7.6 CATAACGATAAGGAGUEBABBABATG <td< td=""><td>0.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>37/92 (40%)</td><td>421</td><td>1.0E-09</td><td></td></td<>	0.									37/92 (40%)	421	1.0E-09	
gb65 32313 578 66.3 6.7.5 TTAAAACTCTTAG <u>GAAAGGUeacATO</u> Hypohetical protein EF2112 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 140:554 (28%) 519 6.037 NP_B15775.1 gb75 32313 378 492 554 5.1 TTAGAATTCTAAGGAGGAGaataataaaaATO Structure Hypohetical protein EF2112 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 84403 (20%) 424 2.0E-05 NP_B15775.1 gp52 3584 36528 346 8.2 4.2 TTATACCTAGGAGGTgaaataaTO Structure Hypohetical protein EF2112 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 84/403 (20%) 424 2.0E-05 NP_B1576.1 gp64 37652 3784 42.8 4.2 TTATACCTAGGAGGTaaataGaTO Structure Hypohetical protein EF2103 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 80/399 (20%) 322 6.0E-04 NP_B15765.1 gp70 4708 4738 28.8 4.5 CATAACCATCTAAGGAGGTaaataATO Structure Hypohetical protein EF2103 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 80/399 (20%) 323 6.0E-07 NP_B15765.1 gp71 4708 44859 4.8 CATAACCATCTAAGGAGGTaaataATO Structure Hypohetical protein EF2032 [Enterococcus f									ACLAME: protein:vir:102949 Streptococcus phage EJ-1 methyltransferase	. ,			-
gp57 32310 33788 492 564 5.1 TTAGAATTICAAAGGAGttacataaATG Hypothetical protein Hypothetical protein Filt and the measure protein 541403 (20%) 426 2.0E-09 NP_a4726.1 gp63 3468 3652 346 36.2 4.2 TTATCCCTTATICAAGGAGttacataaATG Soft [like domains] Soft [like domain	gp56	30577	32313	578	66.3	5.7	TTAAAACTCTTAGAGAAAGGtgactATG		Hypothetical protein EF2112 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	146/554 (26%)	519	6.0E-37	NP_815775.1
gp59 34440 34952 170 18.2 8.9 TTATAGAGGA stataataaaTG Tail (1g-like domains) Contains cell adhesion domain (Clostridium aectobut/yleum ATC 82.4) 35/101 (34%) 439 7.0E-05 NP_348726.1 gp62 364.8 36528 366 38.2 4.2 2 1.0E-18 PUB42056.1 ACLAME: proteins/tr.102115 Clostridium aectobut/yleum ATC 82.4 35/101 (34%) 439 7.0E-05 NP_348726.1 gp63 36543 3767 378 4.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGGTiaaaaaATG Major capaid protein Hypothetical protein E72103 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 80/399 (20%) 392 5.0E-04 NP_31576.1 gp70 40256 4158 44 48.5 4.7 GTAGCTAATACCTTAAGGAGGaataTG Structure Hypothetical protein E7203 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 120/436 (27%) 44 9.0E-27 NP_81576.1 gp73 4267 4809 96 1157 7.9 ACACGATAAGGAGGAGGTiaaatGT Tail (gradie adataataaTG Hypothetical protein E7203 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 23/46 (28%) 106 6.0E-07 NP_81576.1 gp73 4267 1	gp57	32310	33788	492	56.4	5.1	TTAGAATTTCAAAAGGAGttacataaATG	Structure	Hypothetical protein EF2111 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	84/403 (20%)	426	2.0E-09	NP_815774.1
gp62 35488 36528 346 38.2 4.2 TTATCCTTATTCATGGAGGTgaaataATG Scaffolding proteins Phage ob-protease domain protein 55/13 55/13 244 1.0.E-18 YP_001842056.1 gp63 35543 37679 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGGataaaaaaGTG Major capid proteins 55/13 65/13 50/39 2/43 2/44 1.0.E-18 YP_001842056.1 gp63 35543 37679 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAATGGGAGGTaaaatATG Major capid proteins 50/13 45/18 (28%) 322 5.0E-04 NP_8 15766.1 gp71 41708 4234 2.08 3.4 5 CATAACGTACATAGGGGaaaataATG Sensory box histidine kinase [Shewanela benthica KT99] 46/175 (28%) 867 7.5E-02 ZP_02159271.1 gp72 42379 42669 96 1.5 7.9 AGACGATAAGG GatataaaaaGTG Sensory box histidine kinase [Shewanela benthica KT99] 46/175 (28%) 867 7.5E-02 ZP_02159271.1 gp74 4607 47737 76 42.7	gp59	34440	34952	170	18.2	8.9	TTATAAGGAGaataaattaaaATG	Tail (Ig-like domains)	Contains cell adhesion domain [Clostridium acetobutylicum ATCC 824]	35/101 (34%)	439	7.0E-05	NP_348726.1
gp63 36543 37679 378 421 5.00 ATGATATCTAAGGAGaattaaaaaATG Major capied prism Molifie Cip ordease domain protein 55/144 (29%) 20-E13 %P_699942 gp64 3769 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGaattaaaaaATG Major capied prism Hyoothetical protein EF2104 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 80/399 (20%) 392 5.0E-04 NP_815762.1 gp74 4058 445 4.5 4.7 GTAGCTAATCACTTAAGGAGaattaaaaaATG Structure Hyoothetical protein EF2099 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 120436 (27%) 444 9.0E-27 NP_815762.1 gp74 42669 6 11.5 7 ACACACTATCTACAGAGGAattaaATG Structure Hyoothetical protein EF2099 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 20/86 (27%) 10 6.4E-0 NP_815762.1 gp74 42667 4.6603 130 136.4 9.4 TGAAATGAAAGAGAATAAGGAGaattaaATG Structure Hyoothetical protein EF2099 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 20/86 (27%) 10.0E-30 NP_815762.1 gp74 46607 47.73 73.6 42.7 4.4 <	gp62	35488	36528	346	38.2	4.2	TTATCCCTTATTCATGGAGGTgaaataATG	Scaffolding protein	Phage clp-protease [Lactobacillus reuteri F275]	59/173 (34%) N-part	244	1.0E-18	YP_001842056.1
gp63 36543 3767 378 42.1 5.0 ATGATATCTAAGGAGaattaaaaaATG Major capsid protein Hypothetical protein EF2104 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 80/398 (20%) 392 5.0E-04 NP_815767.1 gp74 37692 38414 240 282 4.9 TCCAAGGTTAATAGGAGGEacaataATG Structure Hypothetical protein EF2103 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 120/436 (27%) 2444 9.0E-27 NP_815766.1 gp71 47082 2334 208 2.3 4.5 CATAACGTAAGACATTTGGAAGGAastataaAGTG Structure Hypothetical protein EF2016 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 24/6175 (26%) 867 7.5E-02 ZP_02159271.1 gp72 4237 236 1.0 AGAATGAAGAAATAAGGAAGTATAGTGAAGGAAGTATAGTG Hypothetical protein EF2017 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 24/6175 (26%) 867 7.5E-02 ZP_02159271.1 gp73 42674 46603 1309 13.6 9.4 TGAAATGAATAGTAGTAAGGAGAAGAATAGTG Hypothetical protein EF2016 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 50/196 (26%) 677 7.5E-02 ZP_02159271.1 gp74 46607 47737 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ACLAME: protein:vir:102115 Clostridium perfringens SM101 phage</td><td>55/184 (20%)</td><td>243</td><td>2.0E-13</td><td>YP_699942</td></td<>									ACLAME: protein:vir:102115 Clostridium perfringens SM101 phage	55/184 (20%)	243	2.0E-13	YP_699942
gp64 37692 38414 240 28.2 4.9 TCCCACGTTAATAGGAGGTtaaATG Structure Hypothetical protein EF203 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 45/158 (28%) 235 6.0E-07 NP_815766.1 gp70 40256 4159 446 45. 4.7 GTAGCTAAATCACTTAACGAGGacacataTG Structure Hypothetical protein EF203 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 120/436 (27%) 444 9.0E-27 NP_815766.1 gp71 4708 2334 4.5 CATAACGTACACTAGAAACATTTGGAGGacacataATG Structure Hypothetical protein EF203 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 120/436 (27%) 444 9.0E-27 NP_815766.1 gp72 42674 46603 1309 13.6.4 9.4 TGCAACGTAAGGAGTATAGGT Hypothetical protein EF2037 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 2376 (28%) 0.01 6.4E-02 NP_815758.1 gp73 46607 477.3 76 42.7 4.4 TAAATCATATATCGAAGGGactatataATG Endoysin domain protein [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 2336 (28%) 0.01 6.4E-02 NP_815758.1 gp74 46607 477.3 76 42.7 4.4 TAAATCATATATCGAAGGGacatatatATG Endoysin domain protein [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	an63	36543	37679	378	42 1	5.0	ATGATATCTAAGGAGaattaaaaaaATG I	Maior cansid protein	Hypothetical protein EE2104 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	80/399 (20%)	392	5.0E-04	NP 815767 1
gp70 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 0102 <th< td=""><td>an64</td><td>37692</td><td>38414</td><td>240</td><td>28.2</td><td>49</td><td>TCCCACGTTAATAGGAGGT#aaATG</td><td>Structure</td><td>Hypothetical protein EF2103 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]</td><td>45/158 (28%)</td><td>235</td><td>6.0E-07</td><td>NP 815766 1</td></th<>	an64	37692	38414	240	28.2	49	TCCCACGTTAATAGGAGGT#aaATG	Structure	Hypothetical protein EF2103 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	45/158 (28%)	235	6.0E-07	NP 815766 1
gp71 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03 41:03	gp04 gp70	40256	41596	446	48.5	4.0	GTAGCTAAATCACTTAAGGAGGacaaata ATG	Structure	Hypothetical protein EF2099 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	120/436 (27%)	444	9.0E-27	NP 815762.1
gp71 11103 2004 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005	gp70	41708	42334	208	23.8	4.5		olidolare	Sensory box histidine kinase [Shewanella benthica KT00]	46/175 (26%)	867	7.5E-02	7P 02159271 1
gp73 42674 4660 1309 136.4 9.4 TGAATGAAGATAAAGGAactaatTG Tail protein Endocement P2005 [Endocement	an72	42379	42669	96	11.5	79			Hypothetical protein EE2097 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	23/86 (26%)	101	6.4E-02	NP 815760 1
gp74 46607 47737 376 42.7 4.4 TAATCATATATCAAAGGAGtttaattcATG Handpe Indextore ACLAME: proteins/information of the proteins/informating protein information of the proteins/informating prot	an73	42674	46603	1309	136.4	94	TGAAATGAAGAATAAAGGAaactaattaa ATG	Tail tane measure	Tail protein [Enterococcus faecalis V/583]	56/196 (28%): C-part	1720	5.0E-06	NP 815759 1
gp74 46607 47737 376 42.7 4.4 TAATCATATATCAAAGGAGtttaattcATG Hyothetical protein phage tail tape measure prot	gpro	42014	40000	1000	100.4	0.4		run tape measure	ACLAME: protein:vir:101308 Stanbylococcus aureus phage phiNM3 #	53/237 (22%) (N-term	1509	3.0E-05	YP 908841
gp74 46607 47737 376 42.7 4.4 TAAATCATATATCAAAGGAGtttattATG Hypothetical protein EF2095 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 88/328 (26%) 371 3.0E-30 NP_815758.1 gp74 46607 47737 376 42.7 4.4 TAAATCATATATCAAAGGAGtttattATG Endlysin domain protein [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 111/376 (29%) 858 1.0E-39 NP_815755.1 gp76 48969 4703 5.0 TAACAAATTATGCAAAGGAGTATATGGAAGTAGGAGTATATGCAACGACGACTATATGGAAGTAGGAGTATATGCAACGAAGTAGGAGTATATGAAGGAGTATATGCAACGAAGTAGTATATGCAAAGGAGGATACTATATGGAAGTAGGAGTACTATATGGAAGTAGGAGTACTATATGGAAGTAGGAGGTACTATAGGAGGAGTACTATATGGAAGGAGGATACTATG NS: hypothetical protein EF2091 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 74/161 (45%) 162 2.0E-35 NP_815754.1 gp78 50243 50896 217 24.4 8.2 ACGTCCAAGGAGGATATG Putative receptor binding Putative receptor binding protein [Lactococcus bacteriophage SL3] 50/189 (26%) 273 8.0E-08 AR181485.1 gp80 51694 5250 271 29.1 5.0 GAACATATGATATGGAAGGAGTACTATAAGGAGGATACCAATG NS gp80 51694 5250 271 29.1 5.0 GAACACATAGTATTGGACGAGGatatacaCATG NS									phage tail tape measure protein	part of both proteins)		0.02 00	
gp75 47737 48969 410 47.0 5.0 TAACAAATTGGAAAGGActtattaATG Endolysin domain protein [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 111/376 (29%) 858 1.0E-39 NP_815756.1 gp76 48969 410 47.0 5.0 TAACAAATTGGAAATGAGC Endolysin domain protein [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 111/376 (29%) 858 1.0E-39 NP_815756.1 gp76 48969 410 47.0 5.2 AGCAGGAGTATTGGAAATGAGC NS gp77 9768 50253 161 18.2 4.5 AATGAAGAATGAGGAGTACTAATGAATTGAGTACTAATTGAAGGAGTACTAATGAATTGAGTACTAATGAAGTACTAATGAAGTACTAATGAAGTAATGAATG	gp74	46607	47737	376	42.7	4.4	TAAATCATATATCAAAGGAGtttaattcATG		Hypothetical protein EF2095 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	88/328 (26%)	371	3.0E-30	NP 815758.1
gp76 48969 49793 274 30.9 5.2 AGCAGGAGTATATGGAAATGGAGTaattaTG NSS hypothetical protein EF2092 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 17/55 (30%) 229 0.65 NP_815755.1 gp77 49768 50253 161 18.2 4.5 AATAGAACAATGGAAGGaattacaaaATG Hypothetical protein EF2092 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 74/161 (45%) 162 2.0E-35 NP_81575.1 gp78 50243 50896 217 24.4 8.2 ACGTCCAAGGAGTATTGGAGGaattacaaaATG Hypothetical protein E/2092 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 74/161 (45%) 162 2.0E-35 NP_81575.1 gp78 50243 50896 217 24.4 8.2 ACGTCCAAGGAGtaattaaATG Hypothetical protein E/2091 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 50/189 (26%) 273 8.0E-08 AR1485.1 gp80 5164 52509 271 29.1 5.0 GTAAGAAAGTACTATAAGGAGaataactATG Structure NSS gp85 53231 106 11.9 5.9 GAACACTTAGTA	ap75	47737	48969	410	47.0	5.0	TAACAAATTGGAAAGGActtattaATG		Endolvsin domain protein [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	111/376 (29%)	858	1.0E-39	NP_815756.1
gp77 49768 50253 161 18.2 4.5 AATAGAACAATGGAGGatatacaaATG Hypothetical protein EF2091 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 74/161 (45%) 162 2.0E-35 NP_815754.1 gp78 50243 50896 217 24.4 8.2 ACGTCCAAGGAGGatatacaaATG Hypothetical protein EF2091 [Enterococcus faecalis V583] 74/161 (45%) 162 2.0E-35 NP_815754.1 gp78 50908 5161 257 29.2 4.5 TCCAACAACTATTAGGAGGatatataCATG Hypothetical protein [Lactococcus bacteriophage SL3] 50/189 (26%) 273 8.0E-08 AAT814851.1 gp80 51694 5250 271 29.1 5.0 GTAAGAAAGTACTATAAGGAGatataaCATG Hypothetical ANASTE_01764 [Anaerofustis stercorihominis DSM17241] 45/149 (30%) 556 2.0E-03 ZP_02862545.1 gp80 51694 5250 271 29.1 5.9 GAACATTAGAACGACATATGA Structure NS gp83 53234 106 11.9 5.9 GAACATTAGAACGAAGGACataatatGTG Holin NS gp845 53855 54568 237 26.8 7.8 TACCGTTGCACAAGGTACAGGAGGatatatGTG Endolysin gp	ap76	48969	49793	274	30.9	5.2	AGCAGGAGTATATGGAAATGGAGTaaattaATG	Structure	NSS: hypothetical protein EF2092 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	17/55 (30%)	229	0.65	NP 815755.1
gp78 50243 50896 217 24.4 8.2 ACGTCCAAGGAGGataatttagATG Receptor binding Putative receptor binding protein [Lactococcus bacteriophage SL3] 50/189 (26%) 273 8.0E-08 AAT81485.1 gp78 50986 51681 257 29.2 4.5 TCCAACACTAATTAAGGAGataattaaATG Hypothetical ANASTE_01764 [Anaerofustis sterconhominis DSM17244] 45/149 (30%) 556 2.0E-03 ZP_02862545.1 gp80 51681 257 27.1 5.0 GTAAGGAAGTACTATTAAGGAGataattaATG Structure NSS gp82 52911 53231 106 11.9 5.9 GAACATAGGTCCAAGGGataatactATG Structure NSS gp85 53555 5456 2.7 2.8 7.0E-03 YP 398596 gp85 53555 5456 2.7 3.0 GATAGGAGGataattaatATG NSS gp85 53555 54568 2.7 2.8 7.0E-03 YP 398596 gp86 54663 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTAACAGGAGGataataTG Endolysin gp22 putativ	ap77	49768	50253	161	18.2	4.5	AATAGAACAAATGGAGGaattacaaaATG		Hypothetical protein EF2091 [Enterococcus faecalis V583]	74/161 (45%)	162	2.0E-35	NP 815754.1
gp79 50908 51681 257 29.2 4.5 TCCAACAACTAATTAAAGGAGaattaaATG Hypothetical ANASTE_01764 [Anaerofustis stercorihominis DSM17244] 45/149 (30%) 556 2.0E-03 ZP_02862545.1 gp80 51694 52509 271 29.1 5.0 GTAAGAAAGTACTAATAAGGAGaattaacaATG Structure NSS gp82 52911 506 1.1.9 5.9 GAACATTAAGAGAGGataacaCATG ACLAME: Clostridium botulinum C phage C-St, phage virion protein 21/69 (30%) 523 7.0E-03 YP 398596 gp83 53234 53572 112 12.1 4.6 AGTAAGCTGGGTAAATTCACACAGGAGTaactaaATG Holin NSS gp85 53855 54568 237 26.8 7.8 TACCGTTGCACAAAGTACAGGAGGTaactaaATG Holin NSS gp85 53855 54568 237 26.8 7.8 TACCGTTGCACAAAGTACAGGAGGTaactaatATG Holosin gp22 putative lysin [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp86 54663 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTTAATAGGAGGAGattaATG Sk NPS [Lactococccus phage P901-1] 140/214 (65%)	ap78	50243	50896	217	24.4	8.2	ACGTCCAAGGAGGataatttagATG	Receptor binding	Putative receptor binding protein [Lactococcus bacteriophage SL3]	50/189 (26%)	273	8.0E-08	AAT81485.1
gp80 51694 52509 271 29.1 5.0 GTAAGAAAGTACTATAAGAAGAattacATG Structure NSS gp80 51694 52509 271 29.1 5.0 GTAAGAAAGTACTATAAGAAGGAttactaATG Structure NSS gp82 52911 53231 106 11.9 5.9 GAACATTAGTATTAGAAGGAttactacATG ACLAME: Clostridium botulinum C phage C-St, phage virion protein 21/69 (30%) 523 7.0E-03 YP 398596 gp85 53855 54568 237 26.8 7.8 TACCGTTGCACAAGGTACAGAGGAGTcaattaGTG Endolysin gp22 putative lysin [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp85 57496 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTAATAAGGAGGACattaTG Neck Passage NPS [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp85 574967 58300 277 30.9 5.0 ATTGGATTACAGAGGAGTAGAGGAGTG Structure NSS gp88 58345 59274 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTAG	ap79	50908	51681	257	29.2	4.5	TCCAACAACTAATTAAAGGAGaattaaATG		Hypothetical ANASTE 01764 [Anaerofustis stercorihominis DSM17244]	45/149 (30%)	556	2.0E-03	ZP 02862545.1
gp82 52911 53231 106 11.9 5.9 GAACATTAGTATTAGAAGGataacatATG ACLAME: Clostridium botulinum C phage C-St, phage virion protein 21/69 (30%) 523 7.0E-03 YP 398596 gp83 5324 53572 112 12.1 4.6 AGTAAGCTGGGTAATTCAACAGGTaacataaTG Holin NS gp85 53555 54568 537 10 10.2 5.3 GGTGGTAATTCAACGAAGGTacataaTG Holiysin pg22 putative lysin [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp86 54663 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTAATAGGAGGadcattATG Neck Passage NPS [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp86 54663 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTAATAGGAGGadcattATG Neck Passage NPS [Lactococcus phage TP901-1] 140/214 (65%) 667 3.0E-71 NP_112714.1 gp87 58303 277 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTAAGGAGatacaATG Structure NSS gp88 58345 59274 30	08qp	51694	52509	271	29.1	5.0	GTAAGAAAGTACTATAAGGAGattaatcaATG	Structure	NSS				
gp83 53234 53572 112 12.1 4.6 AGTAAGCTGGGTAAATTCGACCAAGGTaactaataTG Holin NSS gp85 53855 54568 237 26.8 7.8 TACCGTTGC <u>ACAAGTTCGACCAAGGTaactaataGTG</u> Endolysin gp22 putative lysin [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp85 54663 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTTAAGGAGGGcatatATG Neck Passage NPS Iature NSS gp86 57497 58330 277 30.9 5.0 ATTGGATTAACGAGAGTAGGAGatacaATG Structure NSS gp88 58345 59274 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTAAGGAGatacaATG Structure NSS	gp82	52911	53231	106	11.9	5.9	GAACATTAGTATTATAGAAGGataacactATG		ACLAME: Clostridium botulinum C phage C-St, phage virion protein	21/69 (30%)	523	7.0E-03	YP 398596
TACCGTTGCACAAGTACAGGAGGTcaaataGTG Endolysin gp22 putative lysin [Lactococcus phage P008] 114/227 (50%) 233 1.0E-58 YP_762533.1 gp85 54563 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTAATAGGAGGactalATG Neck Passage NPS [Lactococcus phage P008] 140/214 (65%) 667 3.0E-71 NP_112714.1 gp85 57497 58330 277 30.9 5.0 ATTGGATTACAGGAGGTG Structure NSS gp85 58245 59274 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTAAGGAGatacaATG Structure NSS	gp83	53234	53572	112	12.1	4.6	AGTAAGCTGGGTAAATTCGACCAAGGTaactaaatATG	Holin	NSS				
gp86 54663 57488 941 103.2 5.3 GGTGGTATTTTAAT <u>AAGGAGGactatATG</u> Neck Passage NPS [Lactococcus phage TP901-1] 140/214 (65%) 667 3.0E-71 NP_112714.1 gp87 57497 58330 277 30.9 5.0 ATTGGATTAAC <u>AGGATGGGactatATG</u> Structure NSS gp88 58345 59274 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTA <u>AGGAGGactataATG</u> Structure NSS	gp85	53855	54568	237	26.8	7.8	TACCGTTGCACAAAGTACAGGAGGTcaaataGTG	Endolysin	gp22 putative lysin [Lactococcus phage P008]	114/227 (50%)	233	1.0E-58	YP 762533.1
gp87 57497 58330 277 30.9 5.0 ATTGGATTAACAGATAGGAGTItaaATG Structure NSS gp88 58345 59274 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTAACGAGAtaccaATG Structure NSS	gp86	54663	57488	941	103.2	5.3	GGTGGTATTTTAATAAGGAGGactatATG	Neck Passage	NPS [Lactococcus phage TP901-1]	140/214 (65%)	667	3.0E-71	NP 112714.1
gp88 58345 59274 309 34.2 5.9 TGCCAAGTTA <u>AGATAAGGAG</u> ataca ATG Structure NSS	gp87	57497	58330	277	30.9	5.0	ATTGGATTAACAGATAGGAGTttaaATG	Structure	NSS	,			-
	gp88	58345	59274	309	34.2	5.9	TGCCAAGTTAAGATAAGGAGatacaATG	Structure	NSS				

pl and MM taken from ExPASy home page: Compute pl/Mw (http://ca.expasy.org/tools/pi_tool.html) Conserved domains had been found on NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi), within the CDD database, with an evalue of 0.01.

NSS: No significant hits

MM: Molecular Mass

pl: isoelectric point

	Table 2. Frequency usage per thousand codons									
tRNA	Codon	Amino Acid	P087	<i>L. lactis</i> MG1363	<i>L. lactis</i> IL1403	L. lactis SK11	<i>E. faecalis</i> V583	<i>E. faecalis</i> V583 prophage 05		
Pro	CCA	Р	17.2	15.0	15.5	14.5	16.2	13.4		
Thr	ACA	Т	28.6	22.1	22.9	22.1	24.8	23.5		
Asn	AAC	Ν	20.3	10.7	10.6	11.1	14.0	14.9		
Asp	GAC	D	23.4	14.7	13.9	14.4	12.5	13.3		
Cys	UGC	С	1.7	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.7	3.0		
Total number of codons			18442	739646	667611	696252	963629	13623		

Table 2 Frequency usage per thousand and an

The putative prophage 05 codons from E. faecalis V583 were calculated from positions 2004910 (start of EF2084) to 2048146 (stop of EF2145).

Figure 1 Click here to download Figure: Figure 1.ppt



Figure 1





Figure 3

136.3

103.2

56.4

48.5

42.5

34.2

31.0

30.9

29.1

28.8

18.2