1	The CRISPR-Cas immune system and genetic transfers: reaching an equilibrium
2	Julie E. Samson, Alfonso H. Magadan and S. Moineau
3	
4	Département de Biochimie, Microbiologie et Bio-Informatique, Faculté des Sciences et de Génie,
5	Groupe de Recherche en Écologie Buccale, Félix d'Hérelle Reference Center for Bacterial
6	Viruses, Faculté de Médecine Dentaire, Université Laval, Québec City, Québec, Canada, G1V 0A6
7	
8	* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Groupe de Recherche en Écologie Buccale, Faculté de
9	médecine dentaire, Université Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, G1V 0A6. Phone: 418-656-
10	3712. Fax: 418-656-2861. E-mail: Sylvain.Moineau@bcm.ulaval.ca
11 12	Abstract
13	
14	Horizontal gene transfer drives the evolution of bacterial genomes, including the adaptation to
15	changing environmental conditions. Exogenous DNA can enter a bacterial cell through
16	transformation (free DNA or plasmids) or through the transfer of mobile genetic elements
17	(MGE) by conjugation (plasmids) and transduction (bacteriophages). Favorable genes can be
18	acquired but non-desirable traits can also be inadvertently acquired through these processes.
19	Bacteria have systems, such as CRISPR-Cas, that can cleave foreign nucleic acid molecules. In
20	this review, we discuss recent advances in understanding CRISPR-Cas system activity against
21	mobile genetic element transfer through transformation and conjugation. We also highlight
22	how CRISPR-Cas systems influence bacterial evolution and how CRISPR-Cas components affect
23	plasmid replication.

25 Introduction

26

27 In 1987 Ishino et al. (1) sequenced the Escherichia coli alkaline phosphatase isozyme 28 conversion gene (iap). Downstream of iap, they observed an array of short repeats (29) 29 nucleotides) separated by non-repetitive short sequences (spacers) (2). The terms "CRISPR" for Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats and "Cas" for CRISPR-associated 30 genes were first coined by Jansen et al. (3) in 2002 to describe the genetic structure of these 31 32 loci. Increasing availability of genomic sequences in databases allowed Mojica et al. (4) to 33 identify CRISPR as specific family of repeats. Now, we know that CRISPR-Cas systems are found in approximately 90% of archaeal and 40% of eubacterial sequenced genomes (5-7). In 2005, 34 35 three groups independently reported similarities between spacer sequences and foreign mobile 36 genetic elements such as phages and plasmids (8-10). These observations led to several 37 hypotheses including that CRISPR-Cas systems may play a role in immunity and protect archaeal 38 and bacterial cells from invasion by foreign DNA.

39

The immune mechanism of the CRISPR-Cas systems was experimentally demonstrated for the first time by Barrangou *et al.* in 2007 (11). These authors showed that the lactic acid bacterium *Streptococcus thermophilus* could acquire resistance against a bacteriophage by integrating a genome fragment (the protospacer) from this infectious bacterial virus into its CRISPR locus (spacer). Later studies discovered the expanded role of CRISPRs in preventing horizontal gene transfer through conjugation and transformation (12-14). The CRISPR spacer sequences of archaeal species frequently match those of their own resident viruses or plasmids,

suggesting a regulatory rather than inhibitory role for CRISPR-Cas. Indeed, it has been
demonstrated that CRISPR-Cas systems may also play a role in transcriptional regulation (15,16),
DNA repair (17), pathogenesis (16,18), modulation of the biofilm production (19,20) and
sporulation (21).

51

52 CRISPR-Cas organisation

53 CRISPR loci are genomic DNA clusters consisting of a series of short repeat sequences (typically 24–37 bp) separated by spacer sequences of similar length (Fig. 1) (5). Within a given 54 locus, the length of the repeats and spacers sequences is typically conserved. Spacer sequences 55 56 correspond mostly to fragments derived from viral genomes or mobile genetic elements 57 (MGEs). They appear to serve as a "genetic memory" of previous nucleic acid invasions and 58 provide the specific CRISPR immunity (8-10). An adenine- and thymine-rich leader region 59 containing 20 to 534 bp, including a transcriptional promoter, is present upstream of the CRISPR 60 locus (3). Moreover, the *cas* genes, essential for the CRISPR-Cas machinery, are encoded in the vicinity of the CRISPR locus (upstream or downstream) (3). Based on the presence of specific 61 62 signature cas genes, CRISPR-Cas systems are divided into three main types (I, II and III). These 63 types are further divided into ten subtypes (I-A, I-B and so on), each of which expresses a 64 different protein complex responsible for the CRISPR-Cas immunity mechanism (22).

65

66 Steps of the CRISPR-Cas mechanism

CRISPR-Cas systems function in three general steps: 1) adaptation or immunization
(involving the acquisition of spacers); 2) biogenesis and maturation of CRISPR RNA (crRNA
encoded by the repeat-spacer region); and 3) interference (cleavage of invading nucleic acids)
(23). These steps are summarized below (figure 1).

71 Spacers in the CRISPR locus are acquired from the DNA of invading plasmids or viruses in 72 a process known as adaptation. New spacers are usually added at the 5'-leader region of the 73 CRISPR locus (11,24,25) and come from defective or fragmented molecules (26). For type I and 74 type II CRISPR-Cas systems, a conserved sequence motif in the vicinity of the protospacer, known as the protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM), is needed for spacer acquisition and 75 76 interference (23,25,27). The incorporation of spacers in the CRISPR locus implies the action of 77 two Cas proteins, Cas1 and Cas2, and sometime the help of accessory elements specific for some CRISPR-Cas systems (28,29). During this step, a repeat is also duplicated to conserve the 78 79 genetic organisation of the repeat-spacer region. Despite the "adaptive" mode of CRISPR-Cas 80 systems, the molecular mechanism of this process still remains enigmatic.

The CRISPR locus is then transcribed as a long primary precursor CRISPR RNA (pre-crRNA) transcript, which is processed within the repeat sequences to produce a collection of short crRNAs (29). Further trimming can be done at the 3' end or 5' end to complete the maturation of crRNAs (29). This is a process known as crRNA biogenesis and maturation. Recently, an unusual crRNA maturation pathway was discovered in *Neisseria meningitidis*, in which crRNAs are transcribed from promoters embedded within each repeat, thus initiating the crRNA transcription independently within each spacer (13).

In conjunction with a set of Cas proteins, these crRNAs form the core of CRISPR-Cas 88 89 ribonucleoprotein complexes. These complexes act as a guided-surveillance system and provide 90 immunity against ensuing foreign nucleic acid invasion of DNA or RNA molecules 91 complementary to each specific crRNA. On recognition of a matching target sequence, the 92 plasmid or viral DNA is cleaved in a sequence-specific manner (30,31) known as the interference 93 step. The combination of recognition of an effective PAM (Types I and II) and the base-paring of 94 a "seed" crRNA spacer region of 6-8 nucleotides almost identical to the viral or plasmid targeted 95 protospacer are necessary to induce nucleic acids cleavage (5,29). However, for some systems, the PAM sequence necessary for the acquisition step can diverge from the PAM recognized for 96 97 the interference step, although they usually overlap considerably (32). Type I and type II CRISPR-98 Cas systems cleave DNA while type III systems can cleave DNA or RNA (33). To discriminate 99 between "self" vs. "non-self" (foreign) target, the crRNA-Cas complexes base-paired with 100 sequences outside the protospacer (PAM or non-PAM) to avoid autoimmunity (34). In this way, 101 CRISPR-Cas systems recognise and target invading nucleic acids molecules avoiding the 102 destruction of their bacterial own genomes.

103

104 **CRISPR targets mobile genetic elements**

105

106 Rapid evolution of bacteria is not just the consequence of rearrangements in the 107 genome. Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is also an important mechanism for bacterial evolution 108 (35). Large proportions of bacterial genomes contain genes that come from the horizontal 109 exchange of genetic material. This transfer of genetic material can occur by acquiring DNA

110 directly from the environment (transformation) or by incorporating heterologous DNA using 111 mobile genetic elements (MGE) such as plasmids (conjugation and transduction) and 112 bacteriophages (transduction) (Fig. 2). Generally, the outcome of horizontal gene transmission 113 depends on the nature of the incoming DNA and on the genetic background of the host (36-38). 114 Favorable DNA helping to cope with a specific environmental condition may no longer provide a 115 selective advantage to the same bacteria that grow under other conditions and even can reduce 116 the host fitness (36,37,39,40). To control the entry of new genetic material, bacteria possess 117 systems that either block the access to foreign DNA or remove MGE from the cell. Restriction-118 Modification (R-M) and CRISPR-Cas systems protect bacteria against foreign DNA by cleaving 119 specific DNA sequences (41). Both systems are compatible in the same cells and efficiently 120 protect bacterial genomes against phages and plasmids (42).

121

122 Incompatibility of plasmids and CRISPR-Cas systems

123 CRISPR-Cas systems can cleave foreign DNA and was expected to cause plasmid loss or 124 block plasmid acquisition (Fig. 2). This phenomenon was demonstrated experimentally in vivo 125 using a CRISPR-Cas system (type II-A) from S. thermophilus. When the CRISPR-Cas system 126 acquired spacers from the artificially transformed plasmid, this led to plasmid loss (30). 127 Moreover, some acquired spacers match an antibiotic-resistance gene (present on the plasmid), 128 which could interfere with the dissemination of other plasmids carrying the same antibiotic 129 resistance marker. This antagonism between CRISPR-Cas interference and plasmid maintenance 130 could explain why S. thermophilus naturally contains few plasmids as well as other bacteria (30).

131

132 Escherichia coli produces a global transcriptional repressor H-NS that represses 133 transcription of the two CRISPR-Cas type I-E systems encoded in its genome (43,44). A 134 prolonged incubation time (around 1-2 weeks) of *E. coli* K12 Δhns under non-selective 135 conditions resulted in loss of a high copy plasmid concomitant with acquisition of new plasmid-136 targeting spacers in the CRISPR loci (25). The transformation efficiency (by heat shock) of the 137 cured strain that has acquired new spacers targeting the plasmid dropped significantly (25). 138 Others have used these PIMs (Plasmid Interfering Mutants) to artificially transform them with 139 an unrelated plasmid (45). Some spacers in the CRISPR loci match partly with this latter plasmid, 140 but no spacer was 100% identical to the plasmid sequence. When the CRISPR possesses a 141 plasmid-targeting spacer containing a sequence mismatch, it "primes" the strain to acquire new 142 spacers from the invading plasmid, causing plasmid loss after two days of incubation (45). As 143 demonstrated previously, CRISPR loci can acquire new spacers "naively" from the invading DNA, 144 but at low frequency. An intact spacer sequence results in interference, while mutation in the 145 protospacer can abolish the CRISPR interfering activity. However, for some systems, when the 146 CRISPR already contain a spacer that matches the invading DNA with a protospacer mismatch in 147 its seed sequence and/or mutation in the PAM, the CRISPR-Cas machinery is used to accelerate 148 the spacer acquisition of the targeted foreign DNA element in a phenomenon called "priming" 149 (45-47). In *E. coli*, the new spacers acquired by priming come from the same strand as the first 150 spacer acquired (25,45-47) while in other organisms, this strand bias have not been observed 151 (48,49).

152

153 In a similar way, when the CRISPR-Cas I-F systems of Pectobacterium atrosepticum 154 contain spacer targeting an engineered plasmid (with the corresponding protospacer and a 155 functional PAM), they cause plasmid loss after few days of culturing (49). Moreover, the 156 presence of this spacer primes the systems to incorporate new spacers into the CRISPR loci that 157 match the plasmid, while no detectable spacer acquisition occurs with the control plasmid 158 (without the protospacer sequence). These newly acquired spacers provide bacterial immunity 159 against the transformation and the conjugation of similar plasmids. Surprisingly, one strain has 160 added as many as 9 new plasmid-targeting spacers in the two functional CRISPR loci of P. 161 atrosepticum (CRISPR1 and CRISPR2). Interestingly, the more spacers a strain possesses against 162 a plasmid, the more resistant the strain is to transformation and conjugation (49) probably 163 caused by a higher surveillance done by the CRISPR-Cas complexes, the cut at multiple sites 164 within the plasmid DNA and the difficulty to mutate several protospacers for escapees.

165

The *Staphylococcus aureus* strain USA300 contains three plasmids including the plasmid pUSA02 that confers resistance to the tetracycline antibiotic. A phagemid construction with Cas9, tracrRNA and a repeat-spacer unit of *S. pyogenes* was designed to target the plasmid pUSA02 and delivered into the cells by transduction using the phage pBD121 (50). Plasmid loss was achieved in 99.99% of cells resulting in restoration of sensitivity to tetracycline. Moreover, cells that have been pre-treated with the engineered CRISPR system targeting pUSA02 could not acquire the plasmid by transduction compare to the control without CRISPR immunisation (50).

173

174 An antagonistic correlation between the presence of CRISPR-Cas systems and the 175 multidrug-resistant phenotype of Enterococcus strains have been observed by Palmer and 176 Gilmore (51). In these opportunistic pathogenic enterococci, the principal method of genome 177 adaptation is *de novo* horizontal uptake of genes. The acquisition of new MGEs such as plasmids 178 and transposons is frequently associated with the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes. They 179 observed that many antibiotic-resistant enterococcal strains lack CRISPR-Cas systems. 180 Conversely, bacteria with CRISPR possess spacers in their loci that match MGE sequences, 181 suggesting that CRISPR-Cas systems regulate the flux of these elements (51). Thus, the extensive 182 use of antibiotics appears to have enriched for enterococcal strains with lower defences (no 183 functional CRISPR-Cas systems) against MGE. However, this correlation between the presence 184 of CRISPR-Cas activity and the antibiotic resistance phenotype or the presence of plasmids does 185 not seem to apply for *E. coli* strains from clinical or animal samples (52). Some non-pathogenic 186 group B E. coli carry additional CRISPR arrays (CRISPR3 and CRISPR4) with a significant abundance of spacers targeting plasmids compared to CRISPR1 and CRISPR2, which contain 187 188 more phage-related spacers (53). Experiments demonstrating the link between the presence of 189 plasmids and CRISPR activity should be done to confirm these bio-informatics results.

190

Bacteriophages escaping CRISPR-Cas systems have usually mutations in the targeted protospacer or PAM via point mutations or deletion (27). In contrast, when a CRISPR locus contains a spacer targeting a plasmid, especially if the targeted gene induces a strong selective advantage to the bacteria such as virulence factors or antibiotic resistance genes, it often results in the inactivation of CRISPR-Cas system. This inactivation is caused by major modifications in

the CRISPR-Cas locus such as the deletion or significant modification of the spacer, inactivation
of a gene coding for an essential Cas protein or deletion of the complete CRISPR-Cas locus (5458). Therefore, bacteria possess CRISPR-Cas systems that protect the cells from invading DNA,
however, they must control CRISPR activity to permit incorporation of novel genes in their
genomes.

201

202 CRISPR-Cas systems interfere with transformation

203 Bacterial HGT involving plasmid exchange happens through one of two processes: 204 transformation and conjugation. Transformation is the acquisition of free DNA molecules from 205 the surrounding environment. Natural transformation occurs when bacterial cells are in a 206 physiological state of competence. This time-limited state usually happens in response to 207 specific environmental conditions or stresses such as altered growth conditions, limited nutrient 208 access, cell density (monitored by quorum sensing) or starvation (35). Artificial transformation 209 of weakened bacteria using electroporation or thermal shock is also a commonly used tool in 210 laboratories around the world to introduce DNA fragments into bacteria.

211

Evolutionary study of competent vs. non-competent strains of *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* revealed that competent strains contain more CRISPR-Cas systems than non-competent ones (59). Moreover, the remnant CRISPR-Cas systems found in the noncompetent strains often contain mutations or deletions in *cas* genes. The genetic diversity between both types of strains varies: competent strains have larger genomes with frequent rearrangements, while non-competent strains have stable genomes with more extra-

chromosomal elements such as prophages and plasmids. This peculiarity is reflected in the spacer's content of the CRISPR loci. Spacers from competent strains more often target plasmids or phages sequences while spacers from non-competent cells more frequently match *A. actinomycetemcomitans* genomes (59).

222

223 To determine if the CRISPR-Cas system inhibits the acquisition of DNA by natural 224 transformation in Streptococcus pneumoniae, in vitro and in vivo (in mice) assays were 225 performed (54). No functional CRISPR-Cas system has been identified so far in S. pneumoniae 226 strains for which the genome is available. Thus, the authors engineered the non-capsulated 227 pneumococcal strain R6 to integrate the type II CRISPR system of *Streptococcus pyogenes* into 228 its chromosome. The CRISPR locus was also engineered to add specific spacer sequences 229 derived from prophage DNA or from the capsule biosynthesis gene (54). When a DNA molecule 230 targeted by a CRISPR spacer was introduced into the bacteria by transformation in vitro, using 231 the natural competency of S. pneumoniae, no transformants were obtained, indicating that the 232 CRISPR-Cas system blocks the transformation or that the bacteria die because the transferred 233 DNA molecule is destroy and the antibiotic used as selection marker is not detoxified. Then, the 234 same authors tried to transfer a CRISPR-Cas locus containing a spacer targeting the 235 chromosome of the recipient cell into bacteria without a CRISPR-Cas system (54). Similarly, no 236 antibiotic-resistant transformant was obtained with a strain carrying a functional CRISPR-Cas 237 system and containing a chromosomal-targeting spacer, reinforcing the idea that an active type 238 II CRISPR-Cas system and its target cannot co-exist in the same cell. Finally, they co-infected 239 mice with non-capsulated and capsulated strains, each containing or missing the CRISPR-Cas

240 system (with a spacer targeting the capsule biosynthesis gene) to see if the CRISPR system could 241 prevent capsular gene transformation in vivo (54). Non-capsulated strains of S. pneumoniae 242 can't cause infections, while capsulated strains can avoid the immune system and cause an 243 infection. Non-capsulated strains can naturally acquire a functional capsule gene (cap3) to 244 produce a capsule and begin the infection. As expected, the strain without the CRISPR-Cas 245 system acquired the cap3 gene by HGT, produced a capsule and caused an infection in mice. 246 Conversely, the CRISPR containing cells could not acquire *in vivo* the *cap3* gene and could not 247 cause infection.

248

249 Using the native CRISPR-Cas system of Neisseria meningitidis 8013 and the natural 250 competency of this bacterium, Zang and coworkers obtained similar results (13). They cloned 251 different protospacers targeted by the endogenous CRISPR-Cas system of this strain into 252 different plasmids or engineered genomic DNA (gDNA). They then transformed N. meningitidis 253 using its natural competence and tested the transformation efficiency using these molecules. 254 When the plasmid or gDNA contained a protospacer and a good PAM sequence, the 255 transformation efficiency was lower (no transformants) than the controls without a protospacer 256 or those containing a potential protospacer with a defective PAM (13). Thus, the CRISPR-Cas 257 system of N. meningitidis also interferes with the natural transformation of this bacterium. 258 Moreover, the presence of CRISPR-Cas systems could explain the rare presence of plasmids in 259 this bacterium.

260

261 CRISPR-Cas systems can also inhibit artificial transformation done by electroporation or 262 heat shock (Fig. 2). Transformation efficiency assays are often used to verify CRISPR-Cas activity 263 during the adaptation step (acquisition of new spacers from the transformed plasmid) or 264 interference (capacity to cut the plasmid DNA or eliminate the plasmid). Moreover, these 265 plasmid-invader tests allowed the determination of possible protospacer mutations required to 266 escape CRISPR-Cas systems or the identification of PAM sequences required for CRISPR 267 adaptation/interference steps. These kinds of experiments have been used successfully with many different organisms such as E. coli (25,47,60-63), Haloarcula hispanica (48), Haloferax 268 volcanii (56,57,64), P. atrosepticum (49), Sulfolobus spp. (58,65), Staphylococcus epidermidis 269 270 (14), Streptococcus agalactiae (66), Streptococcus pyogenes (67), S. thermophilus (30) and 271 Thermococcus kodakarensis (68). Transformation interference is an important consideration 272 when trying to introduce foreign DNA into industrially relevant cultures. In addition, plasmid 273 stability can be compromised during long-term incubation or when using non-selective media.

274

275 The role of CRISPR-Cas systems in conjugation interference

276 Conjugation is the process by which a conjugative plasmid is transferred from a donor to 277 a recipient cell. Conjugative plasmids can be grouped into at least six different MOB families 278 (genes required for the mobilization) based mainly on the comparison of their relaxase genes 279 (69). Since CRISPR-Cas systems defend bacteria against foreign DNA, it is not totally surprising 280 that these systems can interfere with the conjugation process (Fig. 2).

281

282 Westra and coworkers screened public databases to analyse the spacer content of 283 CRISPR loci related to conjugative plasmids (12). They found that targeted protospacers are not 284 randomly distributed on conjugative plasmids: there is a MOB family-dependent bias. Indeed, 285 MOB_P family plasmids are most frequently targeted within the lagging regions (the last plasmid 286 section entering in the recipient bacteria) while the protospacers of MOB_F family are mostly 287 located in the leading region (the first plasmid section entering the cell). Using synthetic 288 constructions, the authors demonstrated that the E. coli K12 type I-E CRISPR-Cas system 289 interferes with the conjugation of the plasmid F (MOB_F family) (12). Surprisingly, the level of 290 protection achieved by the CRISPR-Cas system is independent of the protospacer position 291 (leading or lagging) and independent of the DNA strand (parental or newly synthesized strand). 292 Thus, the bias distributions of the protospacers probably depend on the acquisition step of a 293 new spacer in the CRISPR locus or on the first regions that go double stranded as this CRISPR-294 Cas system target dsDNA.

295

Experimental studies demonstrating the conjugation interfering role of CRISPR-Cas 296 297 systems are not abundant. CRISPR-Cas systems can acquire spacers targeting conjugative plasmids, when the archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus was challenged with the SMV1 virus (70) or 298 299 when S. solfataricus culture was subjected to freeze-thaw stress (71). The Listeria 300 monocytogenes RliB-CRISPR array can interfere with plasmid conjugation using type I CRISPR-301 Cas machinery and a PNPase (polynucleotide phosphorylase) (72). Moreover, CRISPR-Cas 302 systems can mediate resistance to conjugative plasmids in staphylococci (14,73) and P. 303 atrosepticum (49).

304 Effects of CRISPR-Cas systems on plasmid replication and protein production

305

Plasmids are also useful genetic tools for analyzing the activities of the steps of the CRISPR-Cas immunity or to overexpress Cas proteins for characterization. They can also be used to better understand the role of specific Cas proteins. For example, the Cas3 protein (nucleasehelicase) from the type I-E CRISPR-Cas system of *E. coli* can increase the copy number of ColEIreplicon plasmids but has no effect on pRSF1010- or p15A-replicon plasmid yields (74). The Cas3 plasmid copy number-increasing activity is RNaseHI dependent and promotes the formation of plasmid concatemers or multimers (74).

313

314 In another study, Maier and coworkers tested the influence of plasmid copy number and 315 the origin of replication on CRISPR-Cas type I-B interference in Haloferax volcanii (64). 316 Interestingly, they found that plasmid copy number has no effect on the plasmid transformation 317 rate but the replicon used has an effect. Plasmids with the pHV1 ori were degraded by the 318 CRISPR-Cas system (few transformants) while plasmids with the pHV2 ori were successfully 319 transformed into cells (64). This observation is analogous to the results obtained with the non-320 random MOB-family conjugative plasmids spacer acquisition in CRISPRs (12). Thus, spacer 321 acquisition from plasmids is potentially dependent on their mode of entry into the cells or their 322 mode of replication. Further experiments are needed to understand the mechanism.

323

Perez-Rodrigez *et al.* activated accidentally an *E. coli* CRISPR-Cas system while trying to
 overexpress the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) protein in cells with a deletion in the *DnaK*

chaperone gene (75). This CRISPR activation silences the plasmid bearing the *tat* gene and is dependent on the two-component, extracytoplasmic stress system, BaeSR. Indeed, BaeSR activates transcription of the CRISPR-Cas operon by binding to the promoter upstream of *casA* (75). Henceforth, when trying to overexpress a protein, especially if the protein can induce cell envelope stress, it will be important to test for CRISPR activity if the bacteria inhibit the protein production. Otherwise, the resident CRISPR-Cas systems can be activated and cure the constructed plasmid.

333

334 Conclusions

335 Bacteria must adapt to survive in ever-changing environments, including when they face 336 phages or other antimicrobial agents. They need to mutate or acquire new advantageous genes 337 to cope with these harmful conditions. The CRISPR-Cas adaptive immune system of bacteria and 338 archaea can clearly interfere with the transfer of foreign DNA into the cells, including plasmids. 339 This inhibition can also interfere with the maintenance of existing plasmids as observed initially 340 in S. thermophilus (30). Future studies are still needed to better understand the spacer acquisition process, including its preference for specific DNA sequences or for the uptake of 341 342 particular plasmid replicon sequences. Another area of research interest is to understand why 343 only half of the bacteria for which a complete genomic sequence is available do not possess a 344 CRISPR-Cas system. For example, clinical strains appear to contain fewer CRISPR-Cas systems 345 (51,76). Finally, it is largely unknown how so many bacterial strains are able to carry and 346 maintain plasmids in the presence of seemingly functional CRISPR-cas systems.

347

348 Acknowledgments

349 We tank Barb Conway and Alexander Hynes for editorial assistance. S.M. acknowledges

- 350 funding from NSERC of Canada (Discovery program). S.M. holds a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair
- in Bacteriophages.
- 352

353 References

- Ishino Y, Shinagawa H, Makino K, Amemura M, Nakata A. 1987. Nucleotide sequence of the *iap* gene, responsible for alkaline phosphatase isozyme conversion in *Escherichia coli*, and identification of the gene product. *J Bacteriol* 169: 5429-5433.
- Nakata A, Amemura M, Makino K. 1989. Unusual nucleotide arrangement with
 repeated sequences in the *Escherichia coli* K-12 chromosome. *J Bacteriol* 171: 3553 3556.
- Jansen R, Embden JD, Gaastra W, Schouls LM. 2002. Identification of genes that are
 associated with DNA repeats in prokaryotes. *Mol Microbiol* 43: 1565-1575.
- Mojica FJ, Diez-Villasenor C, Soria E, Juez G. 2000. Biological significance of a family of regularly spaced repeats in the genomes of Archaea, Bacteria and mitochondria. *Mol Microbiol* 36: 244-246.
- 3655.Deveau H, Garneau JE, Moineau S. 2010. CRISPR/Cas system and its role in phage-366bacteria interactions. Annu Rev Microbiol 64: 475-493.
- Terns MP, Terns RM. 2011. CRISPR-based adaptive immune systems. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 14: 321-327.
- 369 7. Wiedenheft B, Sternberg SH, Doudna JA. 2012. RNA-guided genetic silencing systems in
 370 bacteria and archaea. *Nature* 482: 331-338.
- Bolotin A, Quinquis B, Sorokin A, Ehrlich SD. 2005. Clustered regularly interspaced short
 palindrome repeats (CRISPRs) have spacers of extrachromosomal origin. *Microbiology* 151: 2551-2561.
- Mojica FJ, Diez-Villasenor C, Garcia-Martinez J, Soria E. 2005. Intervening sequences of regularly spaced prokaryotic repeats derive from foreign genetic elements. *J Mol Evol* 60: 174-182.
- Pourcel C, Salvignol G, Vergnaud G. 2005. CRISPR elements in *Yersinia pestis* acquire
 new repeats by preferential uptake of bacteriophage DNA, and provide additional tools
 for evolutionary studies. *Microbiology* 151: 653-663.
- Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA,
 Horvath P. 2007. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes.
 Science 315: 1709-1712.
- Westra ER, Staals RH, Gort G, Hogh S, Neumann S, de la Cruz F, Fineran PC, Brouns SJ.
 2013. CRISPR-Cas systems preferentially target the leading regions of MOBF conjugative
 plasmids. *RNA Biol* 10: 749-761.

- I3. Zhang Y, Heidrich N, Ampattu BJ, Gunderson CW, Seifert HS, Schoen C, Vogel J,
 Sontheimer EJ. 2013. Processing-independent CRISPR RNAs limit natural transformation
 in *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Mol Cell* 50: 488-503.
- Marraffini LA, Sontheimer EJ. 2008. CRISPR interference limits horizontal gene transfer
 in staphylococci by targeting DNA. *Science* 322: 1843-1845.
- 391 15. Sampson TR, Weiss DS. 2014. CRISPR-Cas systems: new players in gene regulation and
 392 bacterial physiology. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 4: 37.
- 393 16. Sampson TR, Saroj SD, Llewellyn AC, Tzeng YL, Weiss DS. 2013. A CRISPR/Cas system
 394 mediates bacterial innate immune evasion and virulence. *Nature* 497: 254-257.
- Babu M, Beloglazova N, Flick R, Graham C, Skarina T, Nocek B, Gagarinova A, Pogoutse
 O, Brown G, Binkowski A, Phanse S, Joachimiak A, Koonin EV, Savchenko A, Emili A,
 Greenblatt J, Edwards AM, Yakunin AF. 2011. A dual function of the CRISPR-Cas system
 in bacterial antivirus immunity and DNA repair. *Mol Microbiol* **79**: 484-502.
- Louwen R, Horst-Kreft D, de Boer AG, van der Graaf L, de Knegt G, Hamersma M,
 Heikema AP, Timms AR, Jacobs BC, Wagenaar JA, Endtz HP, van der Oost J, Wells JM,
 Nieuwenhuis EE, van Vliet AH, Willemsen PT, van Baarlen P, van Belkum A. 2013. A
 novel link between *Campylobacter jejuni* bacteriophage defence, virulence and GuillainBarre syndrome. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 32: 207-226.
- 404 19. Zegans ME, Wagner JC, Cady KC, Murphy DM, Hammond JH, O'Toole GA. 2009.
 405 Interaction between bacteriophage DMS3 and host CRISPR region inhibits group
 406 behaviors of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* 191: 210-219.
- 407 20. Cady KC, O'Toole GA. 2011. Non-identity-mediated CRISPR-bacteriophage interaction
 408 mediated via the Csy and Cas3 proteins. *J Bacteriol* 193: 3433-3445.
- Viswanathan P, Murphy K, Julien B, Garza AG, Kroos L. 2007. Regulation of dev, an
 operon that includes genes essential for *Myxococcus xanthus* development and CRISPRassociated genes and repeats. *J Bacteriol* 189: 3738-3750.
- 412 22. Makarova KS, Haft DH, Barrangou R, Brouns SJ, Charpentier E, Horvath P, Moineau S,
 413 Mojica FJ, Wolf YI, Yakunin AF, van der Oost J, Koonin EV. 2011. Evolution and
 414 classification of the CRISPR-Cas systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 9: 467-477.
- 415 23. Marraffini LA, Sontheimer EJ. 2010. CRISPR interference: RNA-directed adaptive
 416 immunity in bacteria and archaea. *Nat Rev Genet* 11: 181-190.
- 417 24. Tyson GW, Banfield JF. 2008. Rapidly evolving CRISPRs implicated in acquired resistance
 418 of microorganisms to viruses. *Environ Microbiol* 10: 200-207.
- 419 25. Swarts DC, Mosterd C, van Passel MW, Brouns SJ. 2012. CRISPR Interference directs
 420 strand specific spacer acquisition. *PLoS ONE* 7: e35888.
- 421 26. Hynes AP, Villion M, Moineau S. 2014. Adaptation in bacterial CRISPR-Cas immunity can
 422 be driven by defective phages. *Nat Commun* 5: 4399.
- 423 27. Deveau H, Barrangou R, Garneau JE, Labonte J, Fremaux C, Boyaval P, Romero DA,
 424 Horvath P, Moineau S. 2008. Phage response to CRISPR-encoded resistance in
 425 Streptococcus thermophilus. J Bacteriol 190: 1390-1400.
- 426 28. Yosef I, Goren MG, Qimron U. 2012. Proteins and DNA elements essential for the CRISPR
 427 adaptation process in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: 5569-5576.
- 428 29. van der Oost J, Westra ER, Jackson RN, Wiedenheft B. 2014. Unravelling the structural
 429 and mechanistic basis of CRISPR-Cas systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12: 479-492.

- 430 30. Garneau JE, Dupuis ME, Villion M, Romero DA, Barrangou R, Boyaval P, Fremaux C,
 431 Horvath P, Magadan AH, Moineau S. 2010. The CRISPR/Cas bacterial immune system
 432 cleaves bacteriophage and plasmid DNA. *Nature* 468: 67-71.
- 433 31. Magadan AH, Dupuis ME, Villion M, Moineau S. 2012. Cleavage of phage DNA by the
 434 Streptococcus thermophilus CRISPR3-Cas system. PLoS ONE 7: e40913.
- 435 32. Shah SA, Erdmann S, Mojica FJ, Garrett RA. 2013. Protospacer recognition motifs: Mixed
 436 identities and functional diversity. *RNA Biol.* 10: 891-899.
- 437 33. Barrangou R, Marraffini LA. 2014. CRISPR-Cas systems: Prokaryotes upgrade to adaptive
 438 immunity. *Mol Cell* 54: 234-244.
- 439 34. Marraffini LA, Sontheimer EJ. 2010. Self versus non-self discrimination during CRISPR
 440 RNA-directed immunity. *Nature* 463: 568-571.
- 441 35. Thomas CM, Nielsen KM. 2005. Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer
 442 between bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3: 711-721.
- Humphrey B, Thomson NR, Thomas CM, Brooks K, Sanders M, Delsol AA, Roe JM,
 Bennett PM, Enne VI. 2012. Fitness of *Escherichia coli* strains carrying expressed and
 partially silent IncN and IncP1 plasmids. *BMC Microbiol* 12: 53.
- 37. Smith MA, Bidochka MJ. 1998. Bacterial fitness and plasmid loss: the importance of culture conditions and plasmid size. *Can J Microbiol* 44: 351-355.
- 44838.De Gelder L, Ponciano JM, Joyce P, Top EM. 2007. Stability of a promiscuous plasmid in449different hosts: no guarantee for a long-term relationship. *Microbiology* 153: 452-463.
- 450 39. Dahlberg C, Chao L. 2003. Amelioration of the cost of conjugative plasmid carriage in
 451 *Eschericha coli* K12. *Genetics* 165: 1641-1649.
- 40. Paulander W, Maisnier-Patin S, Andersson DI. 2009. The fitness cost of streptomycin
 resistance depends on *rpsL* mutation, carbon source and RpoS (sigmaS). *Genetics* 183:
 539-546.
- 455 41. **Johnston C, Martin B, Polard P, Claverys JP.** 2013. Postreplication targeting of transformants by bacterial immune systems? *Trends Microbiol* **21:** 516-521.
- 457 42. Dupuis ME, Villion M, Magadan AH, Moineau S. 2013. CRISPR-Cas and restriction458 modification systems are compatible and increase phage resistance. *Nat Commun* 4:
 459 2087.
- 43. Westra ER, Pul U, Heidrich N, Jore MM, Lundgren M, Stratmann T, Wurm R, Raine A,
 Mescher M, van Heereveld L, Mastop M, Wagner EG, Schnetz K, van der Oost J,
 Wagner R, Brouns SJ. 2010. H-NS-mediated repression of CRISPR-based immunity in *Escherichia coli* K12 can be relieved by the transcription activator LeuO. *Mol Microbiol*464
 77: 1380-1393.
- 465 44. Pougach K, Semenova E, Bogdanova E, Datsenko KA, Djordjevic M, Wanner BL,
 466 Severinov K. 2010. Transcription, processing and function of CRISPR cassettes in
 467 Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 77: 1367-1379.
- 468 45. Fineran PC, Gerritzen MJ, Suarez-Diez M, Kunne T, Boekhorst J, van Hijum SA, Staals
 469 RH, Brouns SJ. 2014. Degenerate target sites mediate rapid primed CRISPR adaptation.
 470 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: E1629-1638.
- 471 46. Datsenko KA, Pougach K, Tikhonov A, Wanner BL, Severinov K, Semenova E. 2012.
 472 Molecular memory of prior infections activates the CRISPR/Cas adaptive bacterial
 473 immunity system. *Nat Commun* 3: 945.

474 Savitskaya E, Semenova E, Dedkov V, Metlitskaya A, Severinov K. 2013. High-47. 475 throughput analysis of type I-E CRISPR/Cas spacer acquisition in E. coli. RNA Biol 10: 716-476 725. 477 48. Li M, Wang R, Zhao D, Xiang H. 2014. Adaptation of the Haloarcula hispanica CRISPR-Cas 478 system to a purified virus strictly requires a priming process. Nucleic Acids Res 42: 2483-479 2492. 480 49. Richter C, Dy RL, McKenzie RE, Watson BN, Taylor C, Chang JT, McNeil MB, Staals RH, 481 Fineran PC. 2014. Priming in the Type I-F CRISPR-Cas system triggers strand-independent 482 spacer acquisition, bi-directionally from the primed protospacer. Nucleic Acids Res 42: 483 8516-8526. 484 Bikard D, Euler CW, Jiang W, Nussenzweig PM, Goldberg GW, Duportet X, Fischetti VA, 50. 485 Marraffini LA. 2014. Exploiting CRISPR-Cas nucleases to produce sequence-specific 486 antimicrobials. Nat Biotechnol In press. 487 51. Palmer KL, Gilmore MS. 2010. Multidrug-resistant enterococci lack CRISPR-cas. mBio 1. 488 52. Touchon M, Charpentier S, Pognard D, Picard B, Arlet G, Rocha EP, Denamur E, Branger 489 C. 2012. Antibiotic resistance plasmids spread among natural isolates of Escherichia coli in spite of CRISPR elements. *Microbiology* 158: 2997-3004. 490 491 Touchon M, Rocha EP. 2010. The small, slow and specialized CRISPR and anti-CRISPR of 53. 492 Escherichia and Salmonella. PLoS ONE 5: e11126. 493 54. Bikard D, Hatoum-Aslan A, Mucida D, Marraffini LA. 2012. CRISPR interference can 494 prevent natural transformation and virulence acquisition during in vivo bacterial 495 infection. Cell Host Microbe 12: 177-186. 496 55. Jiang W, Maniv I, Arain F, Wang Y, Levin BR, Marraffini LA. 2013. Dealing with the 497 evolutionary downside of CRISPR immunity: bacteria and beneficial plasmids. PLoS Genet 498 9: e1003844. 499 56. Maier LK, Stoll B, Brendel J, Fischer S, Pfeiffer F, Dyall-Smith M, Marchfelder A. 2013. 500 The ring of confidence: a haloarchaeal CRISPR/Cas system. Biochem Soc Trans 41: 374-501 378. 502 57. Fischer S, Maier LK, Stoll B, Brendel J, Fischer E, Pfeiffer F, Dyall-Smith M, Marchfelder 503 A. 2012. An archaeal immune system can detect multiple protospacer adjacent motifs 504 (PAMs) to target invader DNA. J Biol Chem 287: 33351-33363. 505 58. Gudbergsdottir S, Deng L, Chen Z, Jensen JV, Jensen LR, She Q, Garrett RA. 2011. 506 Dynamic properties of the Sulfolobus CRISPR/Cas and CRISPR/Cmr systems when 507 challenged with vector-borne viral and plasmid genes and protospacers. Mol Microbiol 508 **79:** 35-49. 509 59. Jorth P, Whiteley M. 2012. An evolutionary link between natural transformation and 510 CRISPR adaptive immunity. *mBio* 3. 511 60. Sapranauskas R, Gasiunas G, Fremaux C, Barrangou R, Horvath P, Siksnys V. 2011. The 512 Streptococcus thermophilus CRISPR/Cas system provides immunity in Escherichia coli. 513 Nucleic Acids Res 39: 9275-9282. 514 61. Semenova E, Jore MM, Datsenko KA, Semenova A, Westra ER, Wanner B, van der Oost 515 J, Brouns SJ, Severinov K. 2011. Interference by clustered regularly interspaced short 516 palindromic repeat (CRISPR) RNA is governed by a seed sequence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 517 A **108:** 10098-10103.

- Almendros C, Guzman NM, Diez-Villasenor C, Garcia-Martinez J, Mojica FJ. 2012. Target
 motifs affecting natural immunity by a constitutive CRISPR-Cas system in *Escherichia coli*.
 PLoS ONE 7: e50797.
- 521 63. Shmakov S, Savitskaya E, Semenova E, Logacheva MD, Datsenko KA, Severinov K. 2014.
 522 Pervasive generation of oppositely oriented spacers during CRISPR adaptation. *Nucleic* 523 Acids Res 42: 5907-5916.
- Maier LK, Lange SJ, Stoll B, Haas KA, Fischer S, Fischer E, Duchardt-Ferner E, Wohnert J,
 Backofen R, Marchfelder A. 2013. Essential requirements for the detection and
 degradation of invaders by the *Haloferax volcanii* CRISPR/Cas system I-B. *RNA Biol* 10:
 865-874.
- 528 65. Deng L, Garrett RA, Shah SA, Peng X, She Q. 2013. A novel interference mechanism by a
 529 type IIIB CRISPR-Cmr module in *Sulfolobus. Mol Microbiol* 87: 1088-1099.
- 530 66. Lopez-Sanchez MJ, Sauvage E, Da Cunha V, Clermont D, Ratsima Hariniaina E,
 531 Gonzalez-Zorn B, Poyart C, Rosinski-Chupin I, Glaser P. 2012. The highly dynamic
 532 CRISPR1 system of *Streptococcus agalactiae* controls the diversity of its mobilome. *Mol*533 *Microbiol* 85: 1057-1071.
- 534 67. Deltcheva E, Chylinski K, Sharma CM, Gonzales K, Chao Y, Pirzada ZA, Eckert MR, Vogel
 535 J, Charpentier E. 2011. CRISPR RNA maturation by trans-encoded small RNA and host
 536 factor RNase III. *Nature* 471: 602-607.
- 537 68. Elmore JR, Yokooji Y, Sato T, Olson S, Glover CV, Graveley BR, Atomi H, Terns RM,
 538 Terns MP. 2013. Programmable plasmid interference by the CRISPR-Cas system in
 539 Thermococcus kodakarensis. RNA Biol 10: 828-840.
- 54069.Garcillan-Barcia MP, Francia MV, de la Cruz F. 2009. The diversity of conjugative541relaxases and its application in plasmid classification. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33: 657-687.
- 542 70. Erdmann S, Garrett RA. 2012. Selective and hyperactive uptake of foreign DNA by
 543 adaptive immune systems of an archaeon via two distinct mechanisms. *Mol Microbiol*544 85: 1044-1056.
- 545 71. Erdmann S, Shah SA, Garrett RA. 2013. SMV1 virus-induced CRISPR spacer acquisition
 546 from the conjugative plasmid pMGB1 in *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2. *Biochem Soc Trans*547 41: 1449-1458.
- 548 72. Sesto N, Touchon M, Andrade JM, Kondo J, Rocha EP, Arraiano CM, Archambaud C,
 549 Westhof E, Romby P, Cossart P. 2014. A PNPase dependent CRISPR system in *Listeria*.
 550 *PLoS Genet* 10: e1004065.
- 55173.Hatoum-Aslan A, Maniv I, Samai P, Marraffini LA. 2014. Genetic characterization of552antiplasmid immunity through a type III-A CRISPR-Cas system. J Bacteriol 196: 310-317.
- 55374.Ivancic-Bace I, Radovcic M, Bockor L, Howard JL, Bolt EL. 2013. Cas3 stimulates runaway554replication of a ColE1 plasmid in *Escherichia coli* and antagonises RNaseHI. *RNA Biol*, **10**.
- 555 75. Perez-Rodriguez R, Haitjema C, Huang Q, Nam KH, Bernardis S, Ke A, Delisa MP. 2011.
 556 Envelope stress is a trigger of CRISPR RNA-mediated DNA silencing in *Escherichia coli*.
 557 *Mol Microbiol* **79**: 584-599.
- 558 76. **Hatoum-Aslan A, Marraffini LA.** 2014. Impact of CRISPR immunity on the emergence 559 and virulence of bacterial pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **17:** 82-90.
- 560
- 561

562 Legend of Figures

563

Figure 1. Genetic organization of a type II CRISPR-Cas system and its general steps of action. The 564 565 CRISPR locus is composed of repeats (black diamond) interspaced with spacer (red or white 566 rectangle) of similar length. In the vicinity of the CRISPR array, cas genes (colored arrows) coded 567 for proteins necessary for the immunity process. During the adaptation step, a repeat and most importantly a new spacer (red rectangle) is acquired in the CRISPR locus usually at the 5' region. 568 569 Transcription of the CRISPR locus leads to pre-crRNAs that are processed, leading to short 570 crRNAs. These crRNAs are assembled with Cas protein(s) in ribonucleoprotein complexes that 571 act as surveillance guide looking for matching invading sequences. If the crRNA sequence 572 matches a protospacer found on the foreign and invading nucleic acid molecule and if a PAM 573 (grey box) is present next to the protospacer (for types I and II systems), it leads in the cleavage 574 of the invading molecule (interference step).

575

576 Figure 2. Probable action of CRISPR-Cas systems against invading plasmids. A) Plasmids entering 577 a bacterial cell via natural transformation (free DNA), conjugation (pilus not represented) and 578 transduction (phagemids). Of note, to date, no CRISPR-Cas system has been identified to cleave 579 ssDNA molecules. However, after their entry in the bacteria, ssDNA will usually be transformed 580 into double stranded DNA molecule (dsDNA) and maintain as plasmid or integrated within the 581 chromosome. If the new dsDNA molecule contains a protospacer matching a crRNA sequence it 582 will be cleaved by the CRISPR-Cas machinery in a sequence specific manner. B) During artificial 583 transformation of bacterium with heat treatments or electroporation, dsDNA will directly enters 584 the cells. Thus, the CRISPR-Cas ribonucleoprotein complexes can target directly these dsDNA

- 585 molecules to eliminate them. C) Some CRISPR-Cas systems (type III) cleave RNA molecules.
- 586 After transcription of plasmid genes, these latter will be silenced by the CRISPR-Cas system and
- 587 after a few round of bacterial replication, these plasmids may be lost.



