

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Environmental Influence of Problematic Social Relationships on Adolescents' Daily Cortisol

Secretion: A Monozygotic (MZ) Twin Difference Study

Mara Brendgen ^{1,2}, Isabelle Ouellet-Morin ^{3,4}, Alain Girard ², Sonia Lupien ^{4,5}, Frank Vitaro ^{2,6},
Ginette Dionne ⁷, Michel Boivin ^{7,8}

IN PRESS, PSYCHOLOGICAL MEDICINE

¹Department of Psychology, University of Quebec at Montreal, Montréal, Canada; ²Ste-Justine Hospital Research Center, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ³School of Criminology, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada; ⁴Research Center of the Montreal Mental Health University Institute, Montréal, Canada; ⁵Department Psychiatry, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada; ⁶School of Psycho-Education, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada; ⁷Department of Psychology, Laval University, Quebec City, Canada; ⁸Institute of Genetic, Neurobiological, and Social Foundations of Child Development, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russian Federation.

Corresponding Author: Mara Brendgen, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, University of Quebec at Montreal, C.P. 8888 succursale Centre-ville, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3C 3P8, email: Brendgen.Mara@uqam.ca.

Funding for this study was provided by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. We thank Jocelyn Malo and Marie-Elyse Bertrand for coordinating the data collection and Hélène Paradis for data management and preparation. We also thank the twins and their families as well as their classmates for participating in this study.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Abstract

Background: This study investigated the potential environmental effects of peer victimization and the quality of the relationships with parents and friends on diurnal cortisol secretion in mid-adolescence. **Methods:** This study used the Monozygotic (MZ) twin difference design to control for genetic effects and thus estimate the unique environmental influences on diurnal cortisol. Participants were 136 MZ twin pairs (74 female pairs) for whom cortisol was assessed four times per day over four collection days grouped in a two-week period in grade 8 (mean age = 14.07 years). Participants also provided self-reports of peer victimization from grade 4 through grade 8 and of the relationship quality with the mother, father, and best friend in grade 8. **Results:** The expected pattern of diurnal cortisol secretion was observed, with high levels at awakening followed by an increase 30 minutes later and a progressive decrease subsequently. Controlling for a host of confounders, only within-twin pair differences in peer victimization and a problematic relationship with the mother were significantly linked to twin-differences in diurnal cortisol secretion. Specifically, whereas a more problematic mother-child relationship was associated with morning cortisol secretion (CAR), peer victimization was linked to cortisol secretion later in the day (diurnal slope). **Conclusions:** Controlling for genetic influences and other confounders, stressful relationships with peers and the mother exert unique and time-specific environmental influences on the pattern of diurnal cortisol secretion in mid-adolescence.

Word count: 4500 (excluding abstract, references, 2 figures and 2 tables)

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Environmental Influence of Problematic Social Relationships on Adolescents' Daily Cortisol:

A Monozygotic Twin Difference Study

Cortisol, a glucocorticoid hormone secreted by the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, is involved in the regulation of many systems critical for attention, behavioral activation and stress response. Cortisol typically follows a time-dependent pattern of secretion, with higher levels at awakening, followed by a peak roughly 30 minutes thereafter and a decrease over the remainder of the day until a minimum is reached around midnight (Stone *et al.*, 2001). Diurnal cortisol secretion receives attention because of its proposed impact on physical, emotional and behavioral problems (Fries *et al.*, 2005). Central to this hypothesis are the notable inter-individual differences in diurnal cortisol secretion. A considerable part of these differences are thought to stem from differential exposure to environmental circumstances (Lupien *et al.*, 2009). During stress, cortisol is elevated above normal levels to mobilize energy stores and facilitate behavioral responses to threat (Gunnar and Quevedo, 2007). However, consistently high levels of cortisol can be harmful, as chronically stressed individuals may become 'threat-sensitized', resulting in either an over-reaction or an under-reaction of the HPA system to stress (Miller *et al.*, 2007).

The HPA system is particularly responsive to stressors that have a socio-evaluative component (Dickerson and Kemeny, 2004). One of the most prevalent adverse social experiences for children and adolescents is physical or psychological victimization by peers, with between 20% and 25% of youth being bullied (Craig and Edge, 2011). The few studies in this context show an association between peer victimization and lower levels of diurnal cortisol as measured via salivary cortisol (Knack *et al.*, 2011, Vaillancourt *et al.*, 2008). It remains unclear, however, to what extent these associations indicate true environmental influences, as many (positive and negative) social experiences partly arise as a function of individuals' genetic makeup (Jaffee and Price, 2007). Evidence from genetically informed research such as twin studies indeed suggests that heritable factors explain over half of inter-individual

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

differences in peer victimization among youth (Brendgen *et al.*, 2011). Genetic influences have also been found on diurnal cortisol secretion (Bartels *et al.*, 2003, Gustafsson *et al.*, 2011, Ouellet-Morin *et al.*, 2016). The observed association between peer victimization and altered diurnal cortisol secretion may thus at least partly be explained by genetic factors. Controlling for genetic etiology is crucial to identify the "true" environmental effect of social stressors such as peer victimization on diurnal cortisol secretion.

Equally important is the consideration of the potentially cumulative influence of stress in other key social relationships, specifically parents and the best friend, on diurnal cortisol secretion (Hostinar and Gunnar, 2013). Whereas positive relationships may have a beneficial effect on physiological stress reactivity by providing external coping resources, problematic relationships may add to the potentially detrimental effect of peer victimization on cortisol secretion. Indeed, problematic relationships with parents or friends have been associated with lower morning cortisol in adolescents (Booth *et al.*, 2008, Byrd-Craven *et al.*, 2012). However, these studies often included only single day cortisol assessments and did not simultaneously assess the three most common indicators of diurnal cortisol (i.e., the awakening level, the cortisol awakening response (CAR), and the diurnal change). Moreover, genetic influences that may affect both cortisol secretion and social experiences were not controlled.

The Present Study

The present study aimed to test the potential additive environmental influences of peer victimization and relationship quality with parents and friends on diurnal cortisol secretion in mid-adolescence. To this end, we used the Monozygotic (MZ) twin difference design (Vitaro *et al.*, 2009), which allows control for family-wide (i.e., shared environmental and genetic) influences. This control is achieved by calculating differences between the two twins of a pair with respect to the predictor and outcome variables. Because MZ twins do not differ genetically and grow up in the same shared family environment, phenotypic differences between the two twins reflect the influence of differential

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

environmental experiences unique to each twin (Neale, 2009). Thus, an association between twin-differences in peer victimization and twin-differences in diurnal cortisol secretion may be interpreted as a unique environmental influence of peer victimization on cortisol. Based on the scarce studies with singletons, we expected that peer victimization as well as problematic social relationships with the mother, the father and the best friend would be linked to lower cortisol awakening levels, CAR and diurnal change over the day.

These associations were examined while controlling for a host of potential confounders. Thus, birthweight, body mass index, pubertal stage, depression symptoms and general physical health status may not only influence cortisol levels but also social relationships (Branje *et al.*, 2010, Brendgen *et al.*, 2013, Craig *et al.*, 2001, Janssen *et al.*, 2004, Jessop and Turner-Cobb, 2008, Kiess *et al.*, 1995, Prinstein *et al.*, 2005, Reijntjes *et al.*, 2010, Steinberg, 1987, Van den Bergh and Van Calster, 2009, Wüst *et al.*, 2005). To tease apart stable from “situation-specific” variation of cortisol secretion and thus obtain more reliable indicators of diurnal cortisol patterns, we included four assessments points per day for four days over a two-week period.

Methods

Sample

The participating 136 MZ pairs (74 female pairs) were part of a population-based sample of Monozygotic (MZ) and Dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs (Boivin *et al.*, 2012). Participants were recruited at birth from the Québec Newborn Twin Registry, which identified all twin births occurring in the Province of Québec between 1995 and 1998. All families (n = 989) in the registry living in the Greater Montreal area were asked to enroll and 662 families agreed to participate. Twins were first seen at 5 months of age and then prospectively assessed for a variety of child and family characteristics. Ninety-five % of parents lived together, 44% of the twins were the firstborn children, 66% of mothers and 60% of fathers were between 25 and 34 years old, 17% of mothers and 14% of fathers had not finished high

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

school, 28% of mothers and 27% of fathers held a university degree, 83% of the parents were employed, 10% of the families received social welfare or unemployment insurance, and 30% of families had an annual income of < \$30,000. Most families were of European descent (87%), 3% were of African descent, 3% were of Asian descent, and 1% were Native North Americans. Zygosity was assessed with 8-10 highly polymorphous genetic markers. Twins were diagnosed as Monozygotic when concordant for every genetic marker. When genetic material was insufficient, zygosity was determined based on physical resemblance at ages 18 months and 9 years (Spitz *et al.*, 1996). The comparison of both methods in a subsample of 237 same-sex pairs revealed a 94% correspondence rate. The present study includes data collected in grades four through 8 (*mean* age in grade 4 = 10.00 years, *SD* = 0.26, and *mean* age in grade 8 = 14.07 years, *SD* = 0.30). Valid data on cortisol, which was assessed in grade 8, was available for 136 MZ twin pairs, of whom 86% had collected saliva at each of the four collection days.

Procedure

Letters explaining the objectives of the study were sent to the families, followed by a home visit. After obtaining informed consent from the parents and assent from the participants, research assistants explained the collection protocol, which consisted in sampling saliva at four time points during the day (at awakening, 30 minutes later, late in the afternoon and bedtime) on four collection days (Tuesdays and Thursdays on two consecutive weeks) as well as the one-time completion of an interview-based questionnaire by the twins. Research assistants ensured that participants (and their parents) were familiar with the material. Families were visited a second time to gather the saliva tubes and conduct the interviews with the twins. Instruments and study procedures were approved by the IRB of the Ste-Justine Hospital.

Main Measures

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Saliva collection and cortisol analysis in grade 8. Participants were provided with saliva tubes (Sarstedt©), diaries to report collection times and instructions for collection. Saliva samples were first placed in the participants' refrigerator during data collection days and then stored in freezers at -20°C once returned to the laboratory until cortisol determination using a high sensitivity enzyme immune assay kit (Salimetrics® State College, PA, Catalogue No. 1-3102). Frozen samples were brought to room temperature to be centrifuged at 15000xg (3000rpm) for 15 minutes and all analyzed in one batch. The range of detection for this assay is between 0.012-3ug/dL (.33-82.76 nmol/L). We identified 1% cortisol samples with a value greater than 3 *SDs* above the mean of their respective sampling time and replaced them by the last value within 3 *SDs*. Participants were considered "compliant" if their awakening and +30min samples were separated for at least 20 min and less than 40 min, the awakening collection was completed within the first 15 min following awakening and not distinct between the twins (\leq eight min). A total of 8.61% of the samples were discarded due to noncompliance. Cortisol values were converted into nmol/L (to convert ug/dL to nmol/L, multiply by 27.588) and naturally log transformed before analyses.

We combined the information from the single point cortisol measures to three global diurnal cortisol indicators, i.e., a) the *CAR*, b) the *mean level of cortisol at awakening (Intercept)*, and c) the *change* that took place thereafter (*Slope*). Creating aggregated indicators of cortisol across several days is recommended when examining individual characteristics or experiences in relation to cortisol levels (Adam & Gunnar, 2001; Nicolson, 2008). A detailed description of the different analytical steps for deriving these indicators is provided elsewhere (Ouellet-Morin *et al.*, 2016). Briefly, we first derived an indicator of *CAR* for each day of saliva collection by subtracting the awakening level from the one collected 30 minutes later. Second, we performed growth curve analyses using mixed modeling for longitudinal data to capture the cortisol diurnal rhythm at each collection day by estimating the mean level of cortisol at awakening (*Intercept*) and the change that took place thereafter (*Slope*). The second

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

saliva sample (30 minutes following awakening) was excluded from these analyses, as estimation of spline models would require more than the available four time points. Growth curve estimates showed that a significant decrease in cortisol levels took place from awakening to evening each day. The expected brief spike in cortisol levels 30 minutes after awakening (CAR) was also found. Third, we tested whether Intercept, Slope and CAR estimates were affected by a wide range of potential confounders (e.g., sex, time of awakening, sampling time, sleep duration and quality, time of onset and duration of physical exercises, medications, menstruation for girls, current health conditions such as cold, fever, allergies, as well as persistent health conditions such as diabetes). Significant confounders were statistically controlled in the subsequent step. Fourth, the four intercept estimates (one for each collection day) were included in a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to derive a more stable indicator free from situational-specific variation. Similar CFAs were conducted for the Slopes and CAR estimates. All analyses were conducted in Mplus Version 6.11 using maximum likelihood estimation and the COMPLEX option adjusting standard error estimates to correct for the non-independence of observations. The CFAs confirmed that the respective estimates derived at each collection day could be grouped into three global factors of CAR, intercept and slope. Factor scores were saved for further analyses (Intercept $Mean = 7.62$ nmol, $SD = 1.86$ nmol, Min = 3.67, Max = 15.55; Slope $Mean = -.09$ nmol, $SD = .01$ nmol, Min = -.11, Max = -.03; CAR $Mean = 3.43$ nmol, $SD = 4.14$ nmol, Min = -8.00, Max = 20.13).

Relationship quality with the mother, father and best friend was evaluated in grade 8 by each twin based on items from the *Network of Relationships Inventory* (Furman and Buhrmester, 1992). Separately for each relationship, six items focussed on positive features (e.g., “Do you feel loved and/or appreciated by your (mother/ father/ best friend)?”; “When things go wrong, can you count on...to provide you comfort?”) and four items focused on negative features (e.g., “Do you get angry at...?”; “Do you fight with...?”). Each item was rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1(not true at all) to 5(very

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

true). Separately for each relationship, individual item scores were averaged to compute scales scores for positive and negative relationship aspects, respectively (Cronbach's alpha for positive relationship aspects ranged from .80 to .89 and Cronbach's alpha for negative relationship aspects ranged from .81 to .85). Separately for each relationship, the negative score was then subtracted from the positive score to indicate the overall relationship quality (Mother-child relationship $Mean = 1.44$, $SD = 1.26$, $Min = -2.83$, $Max = 4.00$; Father-child relationship $Mean = 1.27$, $SD = 1.46$, $Min = -3.33$, $Max = 4.00$; Friend-child relationship $Mean = 1.80$, $SD = 1.19$, $Min = -1.92$, $Max = 4.00$).

Peer victimization was assessed in grades 4, 6, 7 and 8 using twins' self-reports on nine items derived from the Social Experiences Questionnaire (Crick and Grotpeter, 1996) (e.g., "During this school year, how many times has another kid called you names or said mean things to you?,stopped you from being in his or her group although you wanted to be?,pushed, hit or kicked you?,threatened you or said mean things about you via e-mail, chat room, or cell phone?"). Responses were given on a three-point scale ranging from 1(never), 2(once or twice) to 3(often). Separately for each of the four assessment times, item scores were averaged to yield a yearly victimization score (Cronbach's alpha ranged from .75 to .79). For grades 4, 6, and 7, yearly victimization scores were dichotomized such that participants with a mean victimization score greater than 1 in a given year were considered as victimized during that year. Next, the percentage of time a participant was victimized from grades 4 through 7 was calculated to indicate the severity of past victimization. The current (i.e., grade 8) victimization score was then weighted by the proportion of time the participant was victimized in the past to compute a *Global (i.e., current and past) Victimization* score. Thus, a high score indicates high levels of current victimization, in addition to high levels of past victimization by peers ($Mean = 1.38$, $SD = .52$, $Min = 1.00$, $Max = 4.44$).

Additional Control Variables

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Depression symptoms were assessed in grade 8 via the brief version of the Children's Depression Inventory (Kovacs, 1992). Twins rated the frequency of 7 items primarily concerned with depressive affect (e.g., "I feel like crying") during the previous 2 weeks on a scale from 0(rarely) to 2(often). Item scores were averaged (Cronbach's alpha = .73, Mean = .34, SD = .32, Min = 0.00, Max = 1.40).

Physical health problems were assessed in grade 8 via self-reports on ten items from a widely-used self-reported physical health complaints scale (Pennebaker, 1982). Symptoms included digestive tract problems (nausea, stomach aches), migraines, vertigo, asthma attacks, nose-throat infections, chest pains, heart palpitations, and loss of consciousness. Responses ranged from 0(never) to 3(often). The respective item scores were averaged to represent the overall level of current physical health problems (Mean = 1.56, SD = .34, Min = 1.04, Max = 2.96).

Pubertal Status was assessed in grade 8 with the Pubertal Development Scale (Petersen *et al.*, 1987). Participants rated their physical development on a 4-point scale (1"no development" to 4"development is complete") on several characteristics, including: growth spurt in height, pubic hair, and skin change for boys and girls; facial hair growth and voice change in boys; breast development and menarche in girls. Participants were then classified according to Tanner's (1962) pubertal development stages: 1 = Prepubertal (1%); 2 = Early pubertal (11%); 3 = Midpubertal (68%); 4 = Late pubertal (20%); 5 = Postpubertal (0%) (Mean = 1.5 SD = .49, Min = .00, Max = 2.60).

Birthweight in kg was derived from birth records (Mean = 2.48, SD = .50, Min = 1.17, Max = 3.73).

Body Mass Index (BMI) in grade 8 was calculated as the participant's self-reported weight in kilograms divided by self-reported height in meters squared ($BMI = \text{kg}/\text{m}^2$) (Mean = 20.47, SD = 3.76, Min = 13.74, Max = 33.95).

Analyses and Results

Preliminary Analyses

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Prior to creating within-pair difference scores, within-pair correlations of all study variables were examined (see diagonal of Table 1). Within-pair correlations varied between $r = .42$ and $r = .82$, indicating significant shared familial (including genetic) influences on all variables. To control for these influences, we followed the strategy most commonly used in MZ-Differences studies (Vitaro *et al.*, 2009). Specifically, relative twin-difference scores were derived by subtracting twin #2's scores from twin #1's scores, with rank order between twins in a pair determined at random. Thus, a high positive difference score indicates that twin #1 had a much higher value on that variable than the co-twin, whereas a high negative difference score indicates that twin #1 had a much lower value on that variable than the co-twin.

Bivariate correlations of the twin-difference scores of the study variables are shown below the diagonal in Table 1. MZ-twin-differences in all three cortisol indicators were significantly correlated with each other, as were twin-differences in most relationship variables. Moreover, twin-differences in all relationship variables were correlated with twin-differences in at least one indicator of diurnal cortisol, either significantly or with a statistical trend. In light of these correlations, subsequent regression analyses to examine the unique associations of each relationship variable with each indicator of diurnal cortisol were warranted.

Main Analyses

Using the twin pair as unit of analysis, three series of hierarchical multiple linear regressions were performed to test the predictive associations of twin-differences in peer victimization and in the quality of the relationship with the mother, father and best friend with twin-differences in the three daily cortisol indicators. Twin differences regarding confounders were entered in Block 1 and twin differences regarding peer victimization and the three relationship variables were added in Block 2 (with interactions between these variables and sex entered in Block 3). Multiple (i.e., 100) imputations were used to account for missing data (9% of data points). For conservative testing, twin-differences in

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

the previously mentioned control variables were also included. In the regressions predicting to twin-differences in the Slope and in the CAR, we also controlled for their overlap with twin-differences in the Intercept. To simplify interpretation of regression coefficients, difference scores were z-standardized prior to analyses. Bonferroni correction with a critical p-value of .017 was applied to control for multiple testing (i.e., three identical multiple regressions to predict each cortisol indicator).

As shown in Table 2, results from Block 1 showed that participants with higher awakening cortisol showed a steeper decrease (Slope) of cortisol over the day and a weaker CAR than their co-twin. Contrary to expectations, twin differences in confounding variables were not significantly associated with twin differences in the cortisol indicators. Results from Block 2 revealed that participants who had a more problematic mother-child relationship than their co-twin showed lower awakening cortisol (Intercept), but this association was no longer significant after Bonferroni-correction. However, twin-differences in peer victimization were uniquely associated with twin-differences in the diurnal decrease of cortisol, even after Bonferroni-correction. Specifically, participants who were more victimized by their peers showed a steeper decrease of cortisol over the day, once the effects of the Intercept and confounders were held constant. Moreover, participants who had a worse relationship with their mother than their co-twin also showed a stronger CAR even after Bonferroni-correction. Sex moderation of these associations, examined in Block 3, was not found.

The differential patterns of diurnal cortisol secretion (intercept and slope) of a pair consisting of a highly victimized twin (i.e., with the observed maximum score of 4.44, indicating frequent victimization in both primary and high school) and a non-victimized co-twin (i.e., a score of 1, indicating no victimization) are illustrated in Figure 1. The differential CAR patterns of a pair consisting of a twin with a very positive mother-child relationship (i.e., observed maximum score of 4.00) and a co-twin with a very negative mother-child relationship (i.e., observed minimum score of -2.83) are illustrated in Figure 2.

Discussion

This study investigated the potential environmental effects of peer victimization and the quality of the relationships with parents and best friend on diurnal cortisol secretion in mid-adolescence. Utilizing the Monozygotic (MZ) twin difference design allowed us to control for family-wide – including genetic – effects and thus identify environmental influences unique to each child (Vitaro *et al.*, 2009). To tease apart more “stable” from more “situation-specific” variation of cortisol secretion, we used composite indices of the awakening cortisol level, the CAR and the diurnal change in cortisol secretion based on four assessments per day for four days over a two-week period. Overall, we observed the typical diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion, with relatively high levels at awakening, a further increase roughly 30 minutes thereafter and a decrease over the rest of the day. However, there was also notable within-pair variability in the three cortisol indicators, indicating that diurnal cortisol secretion is also influenced by environmental factors unique to each child.

Controlling for potential confounders, twin-differences in peer victimization and a problematic mother-child relationship were significantly linked to twin-differences in diurnal cortisol secretion. The contributions of peer victimization and a problematic mother-child relationship were not additive, however, but concerned different indicators of cortisol. Whereas twin-differences in peer victimization were unrelated to twin-differences in cortisol secretion in the morning (i.e., intercept or CAR), twins who were more victimized than their co-twin showed a stronger decrease of cortisol until bedtime (controlling for awakening levels). In contrast, twin-differences in the mother-child relationship quality were unrelated to twin-differences in cortisol secretion later in the day (i.e., the diurnal slope). However, twins with a more problematic mother-child relationship than their co-twin showed a stronger awakening response than their co-twin (controlling for awakening levels). It is interesting that a problematic mother-child relationship was associated with cortisol secretion in the morning, whereas peer victimization was linked to HPA axis functioning later in the day. While speculative, this

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

divergence might reflect differences in the ‘timing’ of exposure to the social stressor: Whereas most adolescents with a problematic mother-child relationship likely experience stressful interactions with mothers already in the morning, they typically spend the remainder of the day until late afternoon in school, where bullying by peers may occur. Our findings thus suggest that diurnal cortisol secretion may be sensitive to and shaped by the timing of daily occurring stressors.

The blunted diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion in peer victimized youth is in line with findings based on singleton samples (Knack *et al.*, 2011, Vaillancourt *et al.*, 2008). Because our measure of peer victimization reflected cumulative adverse experiences with peers since primary school, the observed lower cortisol secretion may have resulted from chronic exposure to peer stress and thus indicate a down-regulation of the stress-response system in highly victimized youth (Miller *et al.*, 2007). Some findings also suggest that a change may occur during puberty in how adversities affect the HPA axis, with cortisol hyper-secretion before age 11 and hypo-secretion thereafter (Bosch *et al.*, 2012). Unfortunately, blunted cortisol levels might indicate insufficient physiological responses to stress and aggravate vulnerability for adverse health outcomes (Pruessner *et al.*, 2013).

At least during awakening, lower cortisol was also predicted by a problematic mother-child relationship, although this association failed to retain significance after Bonferroni correction. Relationship quality with the mother was only assessed in grade 8, but may nevertheless also reflect more chronic relationship problems for some. Especially for youth who already have highly negative parent-child interactions during childhood, relationship quality seems to decline further from age 11 years onwards (Laursen *et al.*, 2010). Why, then, did youth with a problematic mother-child relationship show an increased – not decreased – awakening response, controlling for awakening levels? Some researchers conceptualize the awakening response as a ‘‘cortisol mobilization response’’ that enables individuals to face potential stressors (e.g., Tops *et al.*, 2008). Youth with a problematic

mother-child relationship may engage in severe arguments right after awakening and the increased CAR may reflect a direct or anticipatory HPA response to such stressful interactions.

Interestingly, analyses showed no links between the relationship with the father or best friend and diurnal cortisol levels. Previous studies reporting such associations did not control for the overlap between different social relationships nor for genetic influences (Booth *et al.*, 2008, Byrd-Craven *et al.*, 2012). Such control is important, however, as peer victimization and relationship problems with parents or friends often go hand in hand (Beran, 2009, Boulton *et al.*, 1999). Moreover, heritable factors can influence not only adolescents' diurnal cortisol secretion (Ouellet-Morin *et al.*, 2016) but also the quality of relationships with parents and friends (McGue *et al.*, 2005). Methodological control for genetic influences may also explain why, unlike other studies, confounding variables did not significantly predict cortisol secretion in our study.

Strengths and Limitations

Our study shows that stressful relationships with peers and with the mother are uniquely associated with altered patterns of diurnal cortisol secretion. Because familial (including genetic) influences were methodologically controlled through the MZ-twin design, these associations may be interpreted as indicating unique environmental effects on HPA axis functioning. By collecting salivary diurnal cortisol over four days, we obtained more reliable measurements than single day assessments (Kraemer *et al.*, 2006).

Still, our study is not without limitations. While saliva samples obtained at home allowed us to measure cortisol levels in a natural environment, the sampling procedure could not be directly controlled. Despite detailed instructions, compliance in collecting saliva samples at specific times at home is not always satisfactory (Kudielka *et al.*, 2003). Nevertheless, the mean sampling times indicated that most participants adhered well to the sampling protocol. Control of the sampling time when calculating the three cortisol indicators also helped minimize potential bias. Another limitation

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

concerns the sole use of self-reports to assess problematic social relations. However, evidence suggests that subjective rather than objective stress impacts physiological stress response and health outcomes (Adler *et al.*, 2000).

Also, the blunted cortisol secretion associated with peer victimization may be specific to adolescents. Indeed, adverse experiences may be associated with higher cortisol in pre-pubertal children (Bosch *et al.*, 2012). Generalization could also be limited since data were based on twins. However, twins do not differ from singletons in the level of peer victimization, the relationship with parents or friends (Boivin *et al.*, 2013, Lytton and Gallagher, 2005, Thorpe, 2003), mood levels or cortisol reactivity to daily stressors (Jacobs *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, although twins more often have low birth weight, they do not differ from singletons in regard to other confounders, such as BMI or pubertal status (Kaprio *et al.*, 1995). These variables were also controlled in our study. Finally, our results cannot be generalized to clinical populations, as individuals with psychiatric disorders show different patterns of diurnal cortisol secretion (Goodyer *et al.*, 1996).

Despite these limitations, this study provides further insights about the role of stressful relationships with peers or the mother in the alteration of biological stress systems, even when controlling for genetic vulnerabilities. Given the importance of the HPA axis in the development of mental and physical health problems, these results underscore the need for a better understanding of the biological mechanisms linking social stress and developmental outcomes.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Table 1

Within-Pair Correlations of the Study Variables and Bivariate Correlations of the MZ-Twin Difference Δ Scores of the Study Variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. Δ Birth Weight	.82***											
2. Δ BMI	-.05	.77***										
3. Δ Puberty	.01	.02	.53***									
4. Δ Phys. health	.03	-.11	-.11	.43***								
5. Δ Depression	-.13	-.07	-.04	.08	.42***							
6. Δ Victimization	-.13	-.15	.21*	.02	.34**	.49***						
7. Δ Mother Rel.	.06	.09	.06	-.08	-.19*	.03	.63***					
8. Δ Father Rel.	-.04	.04	.03	-.04	-.33***	-.12	.59***	.66***				
9. Δ Friend Rel.	.16	.07	.04	-.05	-.25*	-.30***	.39***	.42***	.43***			
10. Δ Intercept	.09	-.08	.04	-.04	-.11	-.03	.25**	.15†	.21*	.47***		
11. Δ Slope	.08	.01	.06	.15†	.03	-.15†	-.23**	-.12	-.15†	-.56***	.50***	
12. Δ CAR	.06	-.04	.08	.08	-.03	.05	-.27**	-.06	-.01	-.32***	.27**	.46***

Note. Within-pair correlations of the study variables are shown in the diagonal. Bivariate correlations of the relative twin-difference scores of the study variables are shown below the diagonal. Δ = Relative MZ Twin-Difference score (a higher value indicates a higher level of the variable than the co-twin). CAR = Cortisol awakening response. *** $p \leq .001$; ** $p \leq .01$; * $p \leq .05$; † $p \leq .10$

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Table 2

Multiple Linear Regressions Predicting to MZ Differences Δ in Cortisol Intercept, Slope, and CAR

		Outcomes: Indicators of Diurnal Cortisol Secretion					
		Δ Intercept		Δ Slope		Δ CAR	
Model	Predictors	Estimate(SE)	<i>p</i>	Estimate(SE)	<i>p</i>	Estimate(SE)	<i>p</i>
1	Δ Cortisol Intercept	---	---	-.57 (.08)	.00	-.34 (.09)	.00
	Δ Birth weight	.08 (.10)	.44	.13 (.08)	.12	.08 (.10)	.43
	Δ BMI	-.09 (.09)	.34	-.02 (.08)	.82	-.06 (.09)	.49
	Δ Pubertal status	.04 (.09)	.66	.11 (.07)	.16	.10 (.10)	.32
	Δ Physical health	-.04 (.10)	.68	.14 (.08)	.09	.07 (.10)	.46
	Δ Depression symptoms	-.10 (.10)	.30	-.02 (.08)	.79	-.06 (.09)	.51
R ²		.03		.36		.14	
2	Δ Victimization	.00 (.10)	.97	-.24 (.09)	.00	.12 (.11)	.25
	Δ Mother-child relationship	.23 (.11)	.04	-.05 (.10)	.59	-.35 (.12)	.00
	Δ Father-child relationship	-.06 (.12)	.66	.03 (.10)	.79	.13 (.13)	.32
	Δ Friend-child relationship	.13 (.11)	.24	-.11 (.09)	.20	.13 (.11)	.23
R ²		.10		.41		.22	
3	Sex (Girl)	.10 (.18)	.58	-.02 (.15)	.90	-.45 (.18)	.01
	Sex X Δ Victimization	-.21 (.20)	.27	.10 (.16)	.53	.09 (.19)	.62
	Sex X Δ Mother-child relationship	.15 (.24)	.53	-.16 (.20)	.41	-.18 (.24)	.44
	Sex X Δ Father-child relationship	-.25 (.24)	.30	.02 (.20)	.92	.22 (.24)	.36
	Sex X Δ Friend-child relationship	-.08 (.22)	.71	.24 (.18)	.17	-.17 (.20)	.40
R ²		.13		.43		.29	

Note. Δ = Relative MZ Twin-Difference score. SE = Standard error. All regression coefficients estimates and associated SE are based on multiple imputations; no model fit statistics are available for multiple imputations. CAR = Cortisol awakening response.

References

- Adler, N. E., Epel, E. S., Castellazzo, G. & Ickovics, J. R.** (2000). Relationship of subjective and objective social status with psychological and physiological functioning: Preliminary data in healthy, White women. *Health Psychology* **19**, 586-592.
- Bartels, M., de Geus, E. C., Kirschbaum, C., Sluyter, F. & Boomsma, D.** (2003). Heritability of Daytime Cortisol Levels in Children. *Behavior Genetics* **33**, 421-433.
- Beran, T.** (2009). Correlates of peer victimization and achievement: An exploratory model. *Psychology in the Schools* **46**, 348-361.
- Boivin, M., Brendgen, M., Dionne, G., Dubois, L., Pérusse, D., Robaey, P., Tremblay, R. E. & Vitaro, F.** (2012). The Quebec Newborn Twin Study Into Adolescence: 15 Years Later. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* **FirstView**, 1-6.
- Boivin, M., Brendgen, M., Vitaro, F., Dionne, G., Girard, A., Pérusse, D. & Tremblay, R. E.** (2013). Strong Genetic Contribution to Peer Relationship Difficulties at School Entry: Findings From a Longitudinal Twin Study. *Child Development* **84**, 1098-1114.
- Booth, A., Granger, D. A. & Shirtcliff, E. A.** (2008). Sex- and Age-Related Differences in the Association Between Social Relationship Quality and Trait Levels of Salivary Cortisol. *Journal of Research on Adolescence* **18**, 239-260.
- Bosch, N. M., Riese, H., Reijneveld, S. A., Bakker, M. P., Verhulst, F. C., Ormel, J. & Oldehinkel, A. J.** (2012). Timing matters: Long term effects of adversities from prenatal period up to adolescence on adolescents' cortisol stress response. The TRAILS study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **37**, 1439-1447.
- Boulton, M. J., Trueman, M., Chau, C. A. M., Whitehand, C. & Amatya, K.** (1999). Concurrent and longitudinal links between friendship and peer victimization: implications for befriending interventions. *Journal of Adolescence* **22**, 461-466.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

- Branje, S. J. T., Hale, W. W., Frijns, T. & Meeus, W. H. J.** (2010). Longitudinal Associations Between Perceived Parent-Child Relationship Quality and Depressive Symptoms in Adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* **38**, 751-763.
- Brendgen, M., Boivin, M., Dionne, G., Barker, E. D., Vitaro, F., Girard, A., Tremblay, R. & Pérusse, D.** (2011). Gene-Environment Processes Linking Aggression, Peer Victimization, and the Teacher-Child Relationship. *Child Development* **82**, 2021-2036.
- Brendgen, M., Girard, A., Vitaro, F., Dionne, G., Tremblay, R. E., Pérusse, D. & Boivin, M.** (2013). Gene-Environment Processes Linking Peer Victimization and Physical Health Problems: A Longitudinal Twin Study. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*.
- Byrd-Craven, J., Auer, B. J., Granger, D. A. & Massey, A. R.** (2012). The father-daughter dance: The relationship between father-daughter relationship quality and daughters' stress response. *Journal of Family Psychology* **26**, 87-94.
- Craig, W. & Edge, H. M.** (2011). The Health of Canada's Young People: a mental health focus. Government of Canada: Public Health Agency of Canada.
- Craig, W. M., Pepler, D., Connolly, J. & Henderson, K.** (2001). Developmental context of peer harassment in early adolescence: The role of puberty and the peer group. In *Peer harassment in school: The plight of the vulnerable and victimized* (ed. J. Juvonen and S. Graham), pp. 242-261. Guilford.: New York.
- Crick, N. R. & Grotpeter, J. K.** (1996). Children's Treatment by Peers: Victims of Relational and Overt Aggression. *Development and Psychopathology* **8**, 367-380.
- Dickerson, S. S. & Kemeny, M. E.** (2004). Acute Stressors and Cortisol Responses: A Theoretical Integration and Synthesis of Laboratory Research. *Psychological Bulletin* **130**, 355-391.
- Fries, E., Hesse, J., Hellhammer, J. & Hellhammer, D. H.** (2005). A new view on hypocortisolism. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **30**, 1010-1016.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Furman, W. & Buhrmester, D. (1992). Age and Sex Differences in Perceptions of Networks of Personal Relationships. *Child Development* **63**, 103-115.

Goodyer, I. M., Herbert, J., Altham, P. M. E., Pearson, J., Secher, S. M. & Shiers, H. M. (1996). Adrenal secretion during major depression in 8- to 16-year-olds, I. Altered diurnal rhythms in salivary cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) at presentation. *Psychological Medicine* **26**, 245-256.

Gunnar, M. & Quevedo, K. (2007). The Neurobiology of Stress and Development. *Annual review of psychology* **58**, 145-173.

Gustafsson, P. A., Gustafsson, P. E., Anckarsäter, H., Lichtenstein, P., Ljung, T., Nelson, N. & Larsson, H. (2011). Heritability of Cortisol Regulation in Children. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* **14**, 553-561.

Hostinar, C. E. & Gunnar, M. R. (2013). Future Directions in the Study of Social Relationships as Regulators of the HPA Axis Across Development. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology* **42**, 564-575.

Jacobs, N., Myin-Germeys, I., Derom, C., Delespaul, P., van Os, J. & Nicolson, N. A. (2007). A momentary assessment study of the relationship between affective and adrenocortical stress responses in daily life. *Biological Psychology* **74**, 60-66.

Jaffee, S. R. & Price, T. S. (2007). Gene-environment correlations: a review of the evidence and implications for prevention of mental illness. *Mol Psychiatry* **12**, 432-442.

Janssen, I., Craig, W. M., Boyce, W. F. & Pickett, F. (2004). Associations Between Overweight and Obesity With Bullying Behaviors in School-Aged Children. *Pediatrics* **113**, 1187-1194.

Jessop, D. S. & Turner-Cobb, J. M. (2008). Measurement and meaning of salivary cortisol: A focus on health and disease in children. *Stress* **11**, 1-14.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Kaprio, J., Rimpel, xc, Arja, Winter, T., Viken, R. J., Rimpel, xc, Matti & Rose, R. J. (1995).

Common Genetic Influences on BMI and Age at Menarche. *Human Biology* **67**, 739-753.

Kiess, W., Meidert, A., Dressendorfer, R. A., Schriever, K., Kessler, U., Kounig, A., Schwarz, H.

P. & Strasburger, C. J. (1995). Salivary Cortisol Levels throughout Childhood and

Adolescence: Relation with Age, Pubertal Stage, and Weight. *Pediatr Res* **37**, 502-506.

Knack, J. M., Jensen-Campbell, L. A. & Baum, A. (2011). Worse than sticks and stones? Bullying is

associated with altered HPA axis functioning and poorer health. *Brain and Cognition* **77**, 183-

190.

Kovacs, M. (1992). *Children's depression Inventory (CDI) manual*. Multi-Health Systems: North

Tonawanda, NY.

Kraemer, H. C., Giese-Davis, J., Yutsis, M., O'Hara, R., Neri, E., Gallagher-Thompson, D.,

Taylor, C. B. & Spiegel, D. (2006). Design Decisions to Optimize Reliability of Daytime

Cortisol Slopes in an Older Population. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **14**, 325-

333.

Kudielka, B. M., Broderick, J. E. & Kirschbaum, C. (2003). Compliance with saliva sampling

protocols: Electronic monitoring reveals invalid cortisol profiles in noncompliant subjects.

Psychosomatic Medicine **65**, 313-319.

Laursen, B., DeLay, D. & Adams, R. E. (2010). Trajectories of perceived support in mother-

adolescent relationships: The poor (quality) get poorer. *Developmental Psychology* **46**, 1792-

1798.

Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R. & Heim, C. (2009). Effects of stress throughout the

lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **10**, 434-445.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

- Lytton, H. & Gallagher, L.** (2005). Parenting twins and the genetics of parenting. In *Handbook of parenting* (ed. M. H. Bornstein), pp. 227-253. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Mahwah, New Jersey.
- McGue, M., Elkins, I., Walden, B. & Iacono, W. G.** (2005). Perceptions of the Parent–Adolescent Relationship: A Longitudinal Investigation. *Developmental Psychology* **41**, 971–984.
- Miller, G. E., Chen, E. & Zhou, E. S.** (2007). If it goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. *Psychological Bulletin* **133**, 25-45.
- Neale, M. C.** (2009). Biometrical Models in Behavioral Genetics. In *Handbook of Behavior Genetics* (ed. Y.-K. Kim), pp. 15-33. Springer New York.
- Ouellet-Morin, I., Brendgen, M., Girard, A., Lupien, S. J., Dionne, G., Vitaro, F. & Boivin, M.** (2016). Evidence of a unique and common genetic etiology between the CAR and the remaining part of the diurnal cycle: a study of 13 year-old twins. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **66**, 91-100.
- Pennebaker, J. W.** (1982). *The psychology of physical symptoms*. Springer-Verlag: New York.
- Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L., Richards, M. & Boxer, A.** (1987). A self-report measure of pubertal status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* **17**, 117 - 133.
- Prinstein, M. J., Borelli, J. L., Cheah, C. S. L., Simon, V. A. & Aikins, J. W.** (2005). Adolescent Girls' Interpersonal Vulnerability to Depressive Symptoms: A Longitudinal Examination of Reassurance-Seeking and Peer Relationships. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **114**, 676-688.
- Pruessner, M., Béchard-Evans, L., Boekestyn, L., Iyer, S. N., Pruessner, J. C. & Malla, A. K.** (2013). Attenuated cortisol response to acute psychosocial stress in individuals at ultra-high risk for psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* **146**, 79-86.
- Reijntjes, A., Kamphuis, J. H., Prinzie, P. & Telch, M. J.** (2010). Peer victimization and internalizing problems in children: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Child Abuse and Neglect* **34**, 244-252.

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DAILY CORTISOL: AN MZ TWIN DIFFERENCE STUDY

Spitz, E., Carlier, M., Vacher-Lavenu, M.-C., Reed, T., Moutier, R., Busnel, M.-C. &

Roubertoux, P. (1996). Long-term effect of prenatal heterogeneity among monozygotes. *Cahiers de psychologie cognitive* **15**, 283-308.

Steinberg, L. (1987). Impact of puberty on family relations: Effects of pubertal status and pubertal timing. *Developmental Psychology* **23**, 451-460.

Stone, A. A., Schwartz, J. E., Smyth, J., Kirschbaum, C., Cohen, S., Hellhammer, D. &

Grossman, S. (2001). Individual differences in the diurnal cycle of salivary free cortisol: a replication of flattened cycles for some individuals. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **26**, 295-306.

Tanner, J. M. (1962). *Growth at Adolescence*. Thomas: Springfield, IL.

Thorpe, K. (2003). Twins and Friendship. *Twin research* **6**, 532-535.

Tops, M., Riese, H., Oldehinkel, A. J., Rijdsdijk, F. V. & Ormel, J. (2008). Rejection sensitivity relates to hypocortisolism and depressed mood state in young women.

Psychoneuroendocrinology **33**, 551-559.

Vaillancourt, T., Duku, E., Decatanzaro, D., Macmillan, H., Muir, C. & Schmidt, L. A. (2008).

Variation in hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis activity among bullied and non-bullied children. *Aggressive Behavior* **34**, 294-305.

Van den Bergh, B. R. H. & Van Calster, B. (2009). Diurnal cortisol profiles and evening cortisol in post-pubertal adolescents scoring high on the Children's Depression Inventory.

Psychoneuroendocrinology **34**, 791-794.

Vitaro, F., Brendgen, M. & Arseneault, L. (2009). The discordant MZ-twin method: One step closer to the holy grail of causality. *International Journal of Behavioral Development* **33**, 376-382.

Wüst, S., Entringer, S., Federenko, I. S., Schlotz, W. & Hellhammer, D. H. (2005). Birth weight is associated with salivary cortisol responses to psychosocial stress in adult life.

Psychoneuroendocrinology **30**, 591-598.