FORCED-CONVECTION CONDENSATION INSIDE TUBES

E

Donald P. Traviss Anton B. Baron Warren M. Rohsenow

Report No. DSR 72591-74

American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers Contract No. ASHRAE RP63

Engineering Projects Laboratory Department of Mechanical Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

July 1, 1971

Heat Transfer Laboratory

ENGINEERING PROJECTS LABORATORY NGINEERING PROJECTS LABORATOR' IGINEERING PROJECTS LABORATO' NEERING PROJECTS LABORAT' NEERING PROJECTS LABOR ERING PROJECTS LABOR ERING PROJECTS LABO' RING PROJECTS LAB' ING PROJECTS LA' IG PROJECTS L PROJECTS L PROJECTS PROJECTS PROJECT NGECT JEC Technical Report No. 72591-74

FORCED-CONVECTION CONDENSATION INSIDE TUBES

by

Donald P. Traviss Anton B. Baron Warren M. Rohsenow

Sponsored by:

Technical Committee 1.3

American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers

Contract No: ASHRAE RP63

DSR Project No: 72591-74

July 1, 1971

Heat Transfer Laboratory Mechanical Engineering Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, 02139

.

,

FORCED-CONVECTION CONDENSATION INSIDE TUBES

by

Donald P. Traviss Anton G. Baron Warren M. Rohsenow

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ABSTRACT

High vapor velocity condensation inside a tube was studied analytically. The von Karman universal velocity distribution was applied to the condensate flow, pressure drops were calculated using the Lockhart-Martinelli method, and heat transfer coefficients were calculated from the momentum and heat transfer analogy. Subsequently, the analysis was reduced to an accurate, but simplified form, to facilitate calculations.

Experimental data for refrigerants R-12 and R-22 condensing in a 0.315 in. I. D. tube were obtained for mass fluxes from 1.2×10^5 to 11.3×10^5 lbm/hr-ft², qualities from 0.02 to 0.96, and saturation temperatures from 75 to 140°F. On the basis of the data and analysis, a simplified non-dimensional presentation of the results evolved. The agreement between the majority of the data and the analysis was within + 15 percent.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to ASHRAE Technical Committee TC 1.3 for support of this work.

Table of Contents

Abstract	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Acknowledgment	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
Table of Contents	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
Nomenclature	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
Introduction	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
Experiment	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
General Description of Test Facility	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Test Procedure	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
Data Reduction	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
Analysis	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
Calculation Procedure for Analytical Results	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
Results	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
Conclusions	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
References	•	•	•	•	•	•	34
Figures	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
Experimental Apparatus	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
Graph of β vs. δ^+	•	•	•	•	•	•	38
Graph of M vs. δ^+	•	•	•	•	•	•	39
Graph of F_2 vs. Re_1	•	•	•	•	•	•	40
Baker Flow Regime Map	•	•	•	•	•	•	41
Comparison of Analysis and Heat Transfer Data	•	•	•	•	•	•	42
Comparison of Analysis and Pressure Gradient Data .	•	•	•	•	•	•	59
Appendix 1. Tables of Data	•	•	•	•	•	•	71
Appendix 2	•	•	•	•	•	•	99
List of Relevant Variables for Computer Program	•	•	•	•	•	•	99
Computer Program for Calculating Analytical Results	•	•	•	•	•	•	101

Nomenclature

A	cross sectional area ft ²
а	axial acceleration due to external force ft/hr^2
В	buoyancy modulus
с	specific heat BTU/1bm- ^O F
D	tube inside diameter ft
Е	ratio of eddy conductivity to eddy viscosity
Fo	defined in Eq. (16) lbf/ft ² -ft
F ₂	defined in Eq. (28a,b,c)
Fr	Froude number
G	mass velocity lbm/ft ² -hr
^g 0	constant: 4.17×10^8 lbm-ft/lbf-hr ²
h _z	local heat transfer coefficient BTU/hr-ft ² - ^o F
h avg	average heat transfer coefficient BTU/hr-ft ² - ^o F
^h fg	latent heat of vaporization BTU/1bm
К	thermal conductivity BTU/ft-hr- ^O F
L	total length of condensation ft
м	defined in Eq. (25)
Nu	Nusselt number
dP/dz	pressure gradient lbf/ft ² -ft
Pr	Prandtl number
q/A	heat flux BTU/hr-ft ²
Re	Reynolds number
S	perimeter ft
Т	temperature ^O F

Δт	difference between vapor and wall temperatures °F					
U	mean velocity ft/hr					
u _τ	friction velocity as defined in Eq. (21) ft/hr					
v _z	local axial velocity ft/hr					
W	mass flow rate lbm/hr					
x	quality					
X _{tt}	Lockhart-Martinelli parameter defined in Eq. (6)					
у	radial distance from the wall ft					
Z	axial distance from condenser inlet ft					
α	void fraction					
β	ratio of interface velocity to average liquid velocity					
δ	thickness of the condensate film ft					
ε _h	eddy conductivity					
ε _m	eddy viscosity					
μ	absolute viscosity lbm/ft-hr					
ν	kinematic viscosity ft ² /hr					
ρ	density lbm/ft ³					
τ	shear stress lbf/ft ²					
SUBSCRIPTS						
е	exit					
f	friction					
g	gravity					
l	liquid					
v	vapor					
Z	local value					
0	wall					

•

INTRODUCTION

When saturated vapor flows in a tube that is cooled by an exterior fluid, some of the vapor condenses on the tube wall and forms a liquid film. Condensation inside tubes occurs in many applications, particularly in refrigeration condensers. The main resistance to heat transfer for refrigerants and other low-conductivity fluids is the resistance to conduction through the condensate film.

The analysis of Nusselt [1] outlined the basic approach to this problem. At low flow rates and velocities, a laminar condensate film forms on the tube wall; and for a horizontal tube, the liquid accumulates at the bottom. Experimental data for this situation are in good agreement with the results [2], [3], and [4]. A turbulent condensate film evolves at higher flow rates. This problem has been studied by several investigators (for instance: Akers [5], Chen [6], Soliman [7], and Patel [8], and the resulting correlations have usually relied on empirical methods. Carpenter and Colburn [9] derived a semi-empirical equation of limited application. Rohsenow et al. [10] obtained the heat transfer coefficient for a liquid film on a vertical flat plate by using the momentum and heat transfer analogy. Later papers [11], [12], and [13] employed the same approach. More recent developments by Bae et al. [14] and Kosky and Staub [15] employed variations of the Lockhart-Martinelli pressure drop model.

In ideal annular flow, the condensate forms a film of uniform thickness on the tube wall and the vapor flows in the interior core. In practice, this pattern may be modified by waves, entrainment, and stratification. However, these effects are hard to predict or

analyze, and annular flow is usually assumed to exist in the parametric range of interest. Since the vapor core is very turbulent, radial temperature gradients are neglected. In addition, the temperatures in the vapor core and at the liquid-vapor interface are assumed to be equal to the saturation temperature. Axial heat conduction and subcooling of the liquid film are also neglected.

In the present paper, the momentum and heat transfer analogy is applied to the annular model using the von Karman universal velocity distribution to describe the liquid film. This seems to be the most accurate method for describing the condensate flow and heat transfer. An order of magnitude analysis and non-dimensionalization of this theory result in a simple formulation for the local heat transfer coefficient. The analysis is compared to experimental data and the results are used to obtain a general design equation for forcedconvection condensation.

EXPERIMENT

General Description of Test Facility

The basic apparatus is shown schematically in Fig. 1. It consisted of a closed-loop refrigerant flow circuit driven by a mechanical-sealed rotor pump. An electrically heated boiler generated vapor which passed through a flow meter and into the test section. An aftercondenser downstream from the test section condensed any remaining vapor and ensured liquid refrigerant at the pump inlet. The pump was connected to a by-pass loop, and a valve in the by-pass loop was used to regulate the flow rate and pressure in the test section. The return line from the boiler incorporated a filtering-drying element and a commercial sight glass and moisture indicator. Front and rear views of the experimental apparatus are shown in Fig. 2.

The test section was a tube-in-tube heat exchanger: the refrigerant flowed through the inner tube and the water flowed countercurrently in the annulus or jacket. The inner tube was a commercial 3/8 in. O. D. (0.315 in. I. D.), continuous copper tube 16 1/2 ft. long and extended 2 ft upstream from the test section.

Seven brass rings, each incorporating a pressure tap, were soldered to the inner tube at 29 in intervals. These split the annulus lengthwise into six sections. Heat transfer and pressure drop measurements were made in each of these sections. Adjoining sections of the water jacket were connected in series by flexible hoses to ensure mixing. Two differential thermocouples were located at the inlet and outlet of

each water jacket for measuring the temperature rise of the water through each section. In addition, two differential thermocouples were located at the first water inlet and the last water outlet in order to check the overall water temperature rise against the sum of the six individual water temperature rises. At the mid-point of each section two thermocouples were installed: one on the outside wall of the condenser tube and one at the centerline of the tube. The wall temperature thermocouples were soldered flush to the outer surface of the copper tube; and as such, did not project into the boundary layer of the coolant. To install the centerline thermocouples, holes were bored into the copper tube and open-ended stainless steel tubes, 0.035 in. O.D., were soldered in the holes. The tip of the stainless steel tube was 1/64 in. short of the copper tube centerline. The thermocouples were then inserted so that the thermocouple beads would be at the centerline of the copper tube, subsequently the thermocouples were glued in place with epoxy. All the thermocouples were made of 0.005 in. 0.D. nylon-sheathed copper and constantan wire.

Downward-sloping copper tubes connected the pressure taps to a U-tube mercury manometer through a manifold which enabled the measurement of the refrigerant pressure drop through each section. A Bourdon pressure gage, located upstream of the test section, was used to measure the inlet saturation pressure.

Calibrated flowmeters were used to measure the flowrate of the water through the annulus and aftercondenser. Thermocouples were also installed to measure the temperature of the water at the aftercondenser

inlet and outlet, and of the refrigerant at the inlet of the test section and the outlet of the aftercondenser.

All the loop was insulated with fiberglass. The heat loss from the test section to the atmosphere was not measurable within the accuracy of the potentiometer.

Test Procedure

It was desirable to eliminate all possible contaminants before charging the refrigeration loop. The loop was evacuated to 30 in. Hg and filled with dry nitrogen repeatedly to eliminate moisture. Then the system was evacuated and filled with the refrigerant vapor until a pressure of 70 psig. was reached. The refrigerant was then allowed to escape through bleed valves at the aftercondenser, boiler return line, and manometer until the pressure fell to 5 psig. This was repeated twice in order to dilute any traces of non-condensibles in the system. The system was then charged with liquid refrigerant until the sight glass in the boiler showed that the heating elements were covered.

To obtain the desired conditions in the runs, several parameters could be controlled. The temperature of the water entering the annulus and the aftercondenser was controlled by mixing hot and cold feeds. The water temperature, the water flow rates, the by-pass valve setting, and boiler heat input determined the refrigerant temperature, pressure, and flow rate. Data were taken one hour after the system had reached steady state.

Data Reduction

An overall heat balance was performed for each run by comparing the heat gained by the water with the heat lost by the refrigerant in the test section and the aftercondenser. For all runs, the error was less than 7 percent. The heat flux from the refrigerant was obtained by multiplying the water flow rate by the water temperature rise and specific heat. Using the thermal conductivity of the inner tube, dimensions of the inner tube, and heat flux, the temperature drops across the tube wall were calculated. From this information the inside wall temperatures were determined. The refrigerant qualities at the midpoints of the six sections were determined from a heat balance using the thermodynamic properties of the refrigerant, refrigerant flow rate, and heat gain of the water. The condensation heat transfer coefficient was obtained by dividing the average heat flux for a section by the difference between the vapor temperature and inside wall temperature. The pressure gradient was calculated by dividing the pressure drop across one section by the length of that section.

ANALYSIS

The pressure gradient for two-phase flow in a pipe may be expressed as the sum of three components:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{\mathrm{f}} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{\mathrm{g}} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)_{\mathrm{m}} \tag{1}$$

due respectively to friction, external body forces, and momentum change. The components of the total pressure gradient are related to wall shear stress, external acceleration and velocity gradients as follows^[14]:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}} = -\tau_{0} \frac{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{A}} \tag{2}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)_{g} = \frac{a}{g_{0}} \left[\alpha \rho_{v} + (1 - \alpha) \rho_{\ell}\right]$$
(3)

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)_{\mathrm{m}} = -\frac{1}{\mathrm{g}_{0}^{\mathrm{A}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dz}} \left[U_{\mathrm{v}} W_{\mathrm{v}} - U_{\mathrm{k}} W_{\mathrm{k}} \right]$$
(4)

Assuming that condensation does not affect the frictional pressure drop, the isothermal correlations are applied directly as was done by Martinelli and Nelson^[18] for boiling and by Bae^[14] for condensation. Following the method used by Lockhart and Martinelli^[19], the frictional pressure gradient for two-phase flow is related to the pressure gradient for vapor only by:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)_{\mathrm{f}} = \phi_{\mathrm{v}}^{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}} \tag{5}$$

where:

$$\chi_{tt} = \frac{\left(\frac{dP}{dz}\right)_{\ell}}{\left(\frac{dP}{dz}\right)_{v}} = \left(\frac{\mu_{\ell}}{\mu_{v}}\right)^{0.1} \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{0.9} \left(\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}}\right)^{0.5}$$
(6)

and:

$$\left(\frac{dP}{dz}\right)_{\mathbf{v}} = -\frac{4}{D} \left(\frac{0.045}{Re_{\mathbf{v}}^{0.2}}\right) \frac{G^2 x^2}{2g_0} = -0.09 \frac{\frac{\mu_{\mathbf{v}}^{0.2} G^{1.8} x^{1.8}}{g_0 \rho_{\mathbf{v}}}}{g_0 \rho_{\mathbf{v}}}$$
(7)

The data of ref. [19] were given in an approximate curve by Soliman et al.^[7] as follows:

$$\phi_{v} = 1 + 2.85 \chi_{tt}^{0.523}$$
(8)

combining Equations (5), (6), (7) and (8):

$$\left(\frac{dP}{dz}\right)_{f} \frac{g_{0}^{D}}{\frac{G^{2}}{\rho_{v}}} = -0.09 \left(\frac{{}^{\mu}v}{G_{v}D}\right)^{0.2}$$
(9)

$$[1 + 2.85 [(\frac{\mu_{\ell}}{\mu_{v}})^{0.1}(\frac{1-x}{x})^{0.9} (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})^{0.5}]^{0.523}]^{2}$$

The gravity component is re-written as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)_{g} \frac{g_{0}^{D}}{\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{\rho_{v}}} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Fr}^{2}} \left[\frac{\rho_{\ell}}{\rho_{v}} - \mathrm{B\alpha}\right]$$
(10)

where:

$$Fr^{2} = \frac{\left(\frac{G}{\rho}\right)^{2}}{aD}$$
(11)

is the Froude member based on the total flow and:

$$B = \frac{\rho_{\ell} - \rho_{v}}{\rho_{v}}$$
(12)

is the buoyancy modulus. The local void fraction is calculated using Zivi's equation^[20]:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{1 - x}{x})(\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{l}})^{\frac{2}{3}}}$$
(13)

Combining Eq. (13) with Eq. (4) and performing the indicated operations yields:

$$(\frac{dP}{dz})_{m} \frac{g_{0}^{D}}{\frac{G^{2}}{\rho_{v}}} = -D(\frac{dx}{dz}) [2x + (1 - 2x) (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})^{\frac{1}{3}} + (1 - 2x) (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})^{\frac{2}{3}} - 2(1 - x) (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})]$$

$$(14)$$

For most of the tube length the liquid film is thin. At 20% vapor quality the film thickness is less than 10% of the tube radius.

Therefore a flat plate approximation is used for the liquid film.

The momentum equation for an element of the liquid layer yields:

$$\tau_0 = F_0 \delta + \tau_v \tag{15}$$

where F_0 includes pressure, momentum and gravity forces acting on the film.

$$F_{0} = -\left(\frac{dP}{dz}\right) + \frac{a}{g_{0}}\rho_{\ell} - \frac{C^{2}}{g_{0}\rho_{\nu}}\frac{dx}{dz}\left[\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\rho_{\ell}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right]$$

$$-\left(\frac{(1-x)(2-\beta)}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\left(\frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\rho_{\ell}}\right)\right]$$
(16)

 β is the ratio of the vapor-liquid interphace velocity to the average velocity in the liquid film. This was obtained from the universal velocity profile and is a function of $\underline{\delta}^+$ as shown in Fig. 3. A more detailed description of the F₀ and β terms can be found in Bae^[12].

Assuming that the von Karman momentum-heat transfer analogy is applicable to the liquid layer, the shear stress and heat flux are written as:

$$\tau = \frac{\rho_{\ell}}{g_0} \left(v_{\ell} + \epsilon_m \right) \frac{dV_z}{dy}$$
(17)

$$\frac{q}{A} = \rho_{\ell} c_{\ell} (\alpha_{\ell} + \epsilon_{h}) \frac{dT}{dy}$$
(18)

E is the ratio of eddy conductivity ε_h to eddy viscosity ε_m . Some investigators^[10] have^[11] obtained good results with E = 1.0. Others have indicated that the ratio ranges from 1.0 to 1.7. Rearranging Eq. (17) and solving for ε_m , one obtains:

$$\epsilon_{\rm m} = \left[\frac{\tau g_0}{\rho_{\ell}} \frac{1}{u_{\tau}^2} \left(\frac{1}{dv_{\ell}^+}\right) - 1\right] v_{\ell}$$
(19)

Where:

$$v_{z}^{+} = \frac{v_{z}}{u_{\tau}}$$
(20)

$$u_{\tau} = \sqrt{\frac{g_0^{\tau}_0}{\rho_{\ell}}}$$
(21)

$$y^{+} = \frac{y u_{\tau}}{v_{\ell}}$$
(22)

The von Karman universal velocity distribution for the liquid layer is:

$$0 < y^{+} < 5$$
 $v_{z}^{+} = y^{+}$ (23a)

$$5 < y^{+} < 30$$
 $v_{z}^{+} = -3.05 + 5 \ln y^{+}$ (23b)

$$30 < y^{+}$$
 $v_{z}^{+} = 5.5 + 2.5 \ln y^{+}$ (23c)

Using Eqs. (19) and (23a, b, c), we obtain three expressions for ϵ_m :

For the laminar zone $\epsilon_m / \nu_l << 1$ and $\tau / \tau_0 = 1$; hence,

$$0 < y^{\dagger} < 5 \qquad \varepsilon_{m} = 0 \qquad (24a)$$

For the buffer zone, the eddy viscosity is of the same order of magnitude as the kinematic viscosity and $\tau/\tau_0 \stackrel{\sim}{=} 1$; hence,

$$5 < y^{+} < 30$$
 $\varepsilon_{m} = (v_{\ell}) (\frac{y^{+}}{5} - 1)$ (24b)

Assuming a linear shear stress variation in the turbulent zone with $\tau = F_0(\delta - y) + \tau_v$ and $\varepsilon_m / \frac{v_\ell}{2} >> 1$, then: $z_m = \begin{bmatrix} v_{\ell} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt$

where:

$$\left(\widetilde{M} \right) = \frac{\widetilde{F_0} \delta^+ v_{\ell}}{\tau_0^{\ u_{\tau}}} = 1 - \frac{\tau_v}{\tau_0}$$
(25)

Since $(q/A) \stackrel{\sim}{=} (q/A)_0$, Eq. (18) may be integrated to yield:

$$\frac{1}{h_z} = \frac{T_{\delta} - T_0}{(\frac{q}{A})} = \int_0^{\delta^+} \frac{v_z}{\rho_{\ell} c_{\ell} (\alpha_{\ell} + E \varepsilon_m) u_{\tau}} dy^+$$
(26)

Substituting Eq. (24) into Eq. (26) and completing the integration:

$$Nu_{z} = \frac{h_{z}^{D}}{k_{l}} = -\frac{\rho_{l}c_{l}^{D}u_{\tau}}{k_{l}F_{2}}$$
(27)

where F₂ is

$$0 < \delta^{+} < 5 \quad F_{2} = \delta^{+} Pr$$
 (28a)

$$5 < \delta^{+} < 30 \quad F_{2} = 5Pr + \frac{5}{E} \ln(1 + EPr(\frac{\delta^{+}}{5} - 1))$$
 (28b)

$$30 < \delta^+ < \infty$$
 $F_2 = 5Pr + \frac{5}{E} \ln(1 + 5EPr)$ (28c)

$$+ \frac{2.5}{E \sqrt{1 + \frac{10M}{E\delta^{+}Pr}}} \ln \left(\frac{\frac{2M - 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{10M}{E\delta^{+}Pr}}}{2M - 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{10M}{E\delta^{+}Pr}}} \right)$$

$$\cdot \frac{\frac{60M}{\delta^{+}} - 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{10M}{E\delta^{+}Pr}}}{\frac{60M}{\delta^{+}} - 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{10M}{E\delta^{+}Pr}}}$$

The equations for F_2 in the first two zones are fairly simple. However the third term in Eq. (28c) involves a laborious calculation. This term can be simplified, since $\delta^+ > 30$, $Pr_{\ell} > 3$ for refrigerants R-12 and R-22, and $0 \leq M \leq 1$. Therefore:

$$1 + \frac{10M}{\text{EPr } \delta^+} < 1 + \frac{(10) (1)}{(3) (30)} = 1.11$$

Hence using a truncated binominal expansion as an approximation of this factor would introduce an error of less than 0.05%, therefore:

$$\sqrt{\frac{10M}{\text{EPr }\delta^+} + 1} \cong 1 + \frac{5M}{\text{EPr }\delta^+}$$

And the third term of Eq. (28c) becomes:

$$\frac{2.5}{1+\frac{5M}{EPr\ \delta^{+}}}\ln\left[\frac{2M+\frac{5M}{EPr\ \delta^{+}}}{2M-2-\frac{5M}{EPr\ \delta^{+}}}\cdot\frac{\frac{60M}{\delta^{+}}-2-\frac{5M}{EPr\ \delta^{+}}}{\frac{60M}{\delta^{+}}+\frac{5M}{EPr\ \delta^{+}}}\right]$$

Which may be simplified and expressed as

$$\frac{2.5}{1+\frac{5M}{EPr\ \delta^{+}}} \left[\ln \left[\frac{\delta^{+} EPr\ + 2.5}{30\ EPr\ + 2.5} \right] + \ln \left[\frac{\frac{M}{\delta}}{\frac{\delta^{+}}{\delta}} \frac{(30-\frac{2.5}{EPr})\ - 1}{\frac{M}{\delta^{+}}} \right] \right]$$

But since,

$$1 < 1 + \frac{5M}{EPr \delta^{+}} < 1 + \frac{(5)(1)}{(1)(3)(30)} = 1.0556$$

this factor may also be approximated as

$$1 + \frac{5M}{EPr \ \delta^+} \cong 1$$

which introduces an error of less than 6 percent in the third term of Eq. (28c) and a much smaller error in F_2 .

Also, δ^+ EPr ≥ 30 EPr $\geq 30(1)(30) = 90$

Hence 2.5 is neglected in comparison to $\text{EPr}\delta^+$ and 30 EPr. Since 2.5 is added to both the numerator and the denominator, the effect of dropping it disappears for all practical purposes.

With these simplifications the third term becomes:

2.5
$$\ln\left[\frac{\delta^{+}}{30}\right]$$
 + 2.5 $\ln\left[\frac{\frac{M}{\delta^{+}}}{\frac{M}{\delta^{+}}}\left(30 - \frac{2.5}{EPr}\right) - 1\right]$

and Eq. (29c) can be re-written as:

$$\delta^{+} > 30$$

$$F_{2} = 5Pr + \frac{5}{E} \ln(1 + 5EPr) + 2.5 \ln(\frac{\delta^{+}}{30}) + 2.5 \ln\left[\frac{M}{\delta^{+}} (30 - \frac{2.5}{EPr}) - 1\right]$$
term 1 term 2 term 3 term 4 (29)

The fourth term of this expression represents the correction due to the fact that $\tau_0 \neq \tau_v$. This term is negligible when the quality gradient $(\frac{dx}{dz})$ is not large. The calculation of the heat transfer coefficient is greatly simplified when this term is negligible, since the calculation of M depends on dx/dz which requires a laborious iteration. The effect of M should be included if, in Eq. (29):

term 4 ² 0.05 (term 1 + term 2 + term 3)

or:

$$\frac{M}{\delta^{+}} (30 - \frac{2.5}{EPr}) - 1$$
2.5 $\ln[\frac{\delta}{\frac{M}{\delta^{+}}} (\delta^{+} - \frac{2.5}{EPr}) - 1] \ge 0.25 [Pr + \frac{1}{E} \ln(1 + 5EPr) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{\delta^{+}}{30})]$

Solving this inequality for M, one obtains:

 $M \ge M_{crit} =$

$$\frac{(1+5EPr)^{\frac{0.1}{E}}}{(1+5EPr)^{\frac{0.1}{E}}}\frac{e^{0.1Pr}}{30^{0.05}}(\delta^{+})^{1.05}-\delta^{+}}{(\delta^{+})^{1.05}-\frac{2.5}{EPr}(1+5EPr)^{\frac{0.1}{E}}}\frac{e^{0.1Pr}}{30^{0.05}}(\delta^{+})^{0.05}(30-\frac{2.5}{EPr})$$

Equation (30) gives the value of M for which an error of 5 percent results if term 4 of Eq. (29) is dropped. Since the Prandtl number is fixed for a given fluid and temperature, the value of δ^+ determines M_{crit} . To determine whether the fourth term of Eq. (29) may be neglected, one should calculate a test value of M from Eq. (25) with $\delta^+ > 30$ and compare this value to M_{crit} as determined from Eq. (30) or Fig. 4. Fig. 4 is a plot of M_{crit} versus δ^+ for several Prandtl numbers, with E taken as unity. When the fourth term may be neglected, Eq. (29c) reduces to the following:

$$\delta^+ > 30$$

 $F_2 = 5Pr + \frac{5}{E} \ln(1 + 5EPr) + 2.5 \ln(\frac{\delta^+}{30})$ (31)

which is similar to the one presented by Kosky and Staub^[15]. Otherwise, it is best to use Eq. (29) in its entirety.

From the definition of δ^+ and Re_e:

$$\delta^{+} = \frac{\delta \ u_{\tau}}{\nu} \tag{32}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\ell} = \frac{G(1 - x) D}{\mu_{\ell}}$$
(33)

Using continuity of mass the liquid Reynolds number may be written as:

$$Re_{\ell} = \frac{4}{\mu_{\ell}} \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho_{\ell} v_{z} \, dy = 4 \int_{0}^{\delta^{+}} v_{z}^{+} \, dy^{+}$$
(34)

Substituting Eq. (23) for v_z^+ into Eq. (34) yields:

$$\delta^{+} < 5 \quad \text{Re}_{\ell} = 2(\delta^{+})^{2}$$
 (35a)

$$5 < \delta^{+} < 30$$
 Re_g = 50 - 32.2 δ^{+} + 20 δ^{+} 1n δ^{+} (35b)

$$\delta^+ > 30 \quad \text{Re}_{\ell} = -256 + 12\delta^+ + 10\delta^+ \ln \delta^+$$
 (35c)

Equations (35) may be approximated with an error of less than 4 percent by straight line segments on a log-log graph. Using these piecewise linear curve fits one can obtain δ^{+} as an explicit function of Re_g:

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\ell} < 50$$
, $\delta^{+} = 0.7071 \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.5}$ (36a)

$$50 < \operatorname{Re}_{\ell} < 1125, \delta^{+} = 0.4818 \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.585}$$
 (36b)

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\ell} > 1125, \delta^{+} = 0.095 \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.812}$$
 (36c)

Equation (36) may be substituted in Eq. (31) to yield F_2 as a function of two accessible parameters, Re_{l} and Pr_{l} :

$$Re_{l} < 50$$
 $F_{2} = 0.707 Pr_{l} Re_{l}^{0.5}$ (37a)

$$50 < \text{Re}_{\ell} < 1125$$
 $F_2 = 5\text{Pr}_{\ell} + 5 \ln [1 + \text{Pr}_{\ell}(0.09636\text{Re}_{\ell}^{0.585} - 1)]$
(37b)

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\ell} > 1125 \quad \operatorname{F}_{2} = 5\operatorname{Pr}_{\ell} + 5 \ln(1 + 5\operatorname{Pr}_{\ell}) + 2.5 \ln(0.00313\operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.812})$$
(37c)

Equations (37a, b, c) are also presented graphically in Fig. 5 for ease of calculation.

Substituting Eqs. (2), (9), and (21) into Eq. (27) and solving for h_z :

$$h_{z} = \frac{1}{F_{2}} \rho_{\ell} c_{\ell} u_{\tau} = \frac{1}{F_{2}} \rho_{\ell} c_{\ell} [\frac{g_{0}}{\rho_{\ell}} \frac{D}{4} \frac{.090}{g_{0}} \frac{\mu_{v}^{0.2} c_{1.8} x^{1.8}}{\rho_{v} D^{1.2}}]$$

$$[1 + 2.85 [(\frac{\mu_{v}}{\mu_{\ell}})^{0.1} (\frac{1 - x}{x})^{0.9} (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})^{0.5}]^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(38)

or simplifying:

$$h_{z} = \frac{1}{F_{2}} 0.15 \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{\ell}}{v}} c_{\ell} \mu_{v}^{0.1} \frac{G^{0.9}}{D^{0.1}} x^{0.9} [1 + 2.85[\frac{\mu_{\ell}}{\mu_{v}})^{0.1} (\frac{1 - x}{x})^{0.9} (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})^{0.5}]^{0.523}]$$
(39)

Equation (39) may be rearranged in a more compact form by algebraic manipulations and the definition of χ_{tt} , Eq. (6), to yield:

$$\frac{\text{Nu}}{[\chi_{tt}^{-1} + 2.85 \,\chi_{tt}^{-0.476}]} = \frac{0.15}{F_2} \, \Pr_{\ell} \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.9} \tag{40}$$

or equivalently:

·->

$$\frac{\mathrm{NuF}_{2}}{\mathrm{Pr}_{\ell}\mathrm{Re}_{\ell}^{0.9}} = F(\chi_{tt})$$
(41)

where
$$\left[F(\chi_{tt}) \equiv 0.15 \left[\chi_{tt}^{-1} + 2.85 \chi_{tt}^{-0.476}\right]\right]$$
 (42)

Equation (40) is a good working equation since the right side is a function only of Re_{ℓ} and Pr_{ℓ} (assuming the fourth term of Eq. (29) may be neglected as previously explained). The value of Eq. (41) is in correlating condensation data for different fluids or conditions. In this case the heat transfer parameter ($\operatorname{NuF}_2/\operatorname{Pr}_{\ell}\operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.9}$) may be plotted as a function of only one variable: χ_{++} .

The average overall heat transfer coefficient may be calculated for the case of a constant temperature difference $(T_{vapor} - T_{wall})$. If the temperature difference is not constant along the condenser length, as is usually the case, then an average heat transfer coefficient is of little use in determining the overall heat transfer or condenser length since:

$$q/\Lambda$$
{avg} = (h ΔT){avg} \neq h_{avg} ΔT _{avg}

Consider the heat transfer through the liquid layer:

$$dq = -Wh_{fg} dx = h_z \Delta T \pi D dz$$
(43)

Rearranging and integrating for constant AT yields:

$$\int_{x_{e}}^{1} \frac{dx}{h_{z}} = \frac{\Delta T \pi DL}{Wh_{fg}}$$
(44)

Where x_{ρ} and L_{ρ} are the exit quality and length. By definition:

$$h_{avg} = \frac{1}{L_e} \int_0^L h_z dz$$

and

$$q = h_{avg} \Delta T \pi DL_e = Wh_{fg} (1 - x_e)$$
(45)

Combining Eqs. (36) and (37):

$$\frac{1}{h_{avg}} = \frac{1}{(1 - x_e)} \int_{x_e}^{1} \frac{dx}{h_z}$$
(46)

Calculation Procedure for Analytical Results

Analytical heat transfer and pressure drop results were calculated and compared with the experimental results with the same refrigerant mass flux, saturation temperature, temperature difference, and tube diameter. The calculations were accomplished in the following manner:

1. Using the computer, the refrigerant properties were evaluated at the average vapor temperature from a piecewise linear curve fit of tabulated property values [16,17].

2. The quality was divided into 5 per cent increments starting at 1.00 and decreasing to 0.05. The heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop were calculated at each increment.

3. X_{tt} and Re_{ℓ} were calculated from eqs. (6) and (33). F_2 was evaluated using eq. (37) or Fig. 5. (The inherent assumption in eq. (37) that M = O will be checked later in step 11.)

4. The Nusselt number (Nu) and heat transfer coefficient (h_z) were calculated from eq. (41).

5. The friction pressure gradient was determined from eq. (9).

6. Since the condenser tube was horizontal, the gravity pressure gradient was not calculated. For inclined tubes, the gravity pressure gradient may be determined from eqs. (10) and (13).

7. The quality gradient $(\frac{dx}{dz})$ was calculated from eq. (43): $\frac{dx}{dz} = -\frac{h_z \Delta T \pi D}{W h_{fo}}$, using the values of h_z from step 4. 8. The momentum pressure gradient was evaluated using eq. (14).

9. The total pressure gradient was determined from eq. (1).

10. δ^+ was calculated from eq. (36).

11. For $\delta^+ > 30$, τ_0 and u_{τ} were determined from eqs. (2) and (21). In addition F_0 was calculated from eq. (16) with β obtained from Fig. 3. M was then calculated using eq. (25).

12. M as calculated in step 10 was compared to M_{crit} as obtained from eq. (30) or Fig. 4. If $M > M_{crit}$ then F_2 was recalculated using eq. (29) and (36) instead of eq. (37). The heat transfer coefficient was recalculated using the new value of F_2 in eq. (41).

13. The increment of tube length (Δz) required for a 5 per cent quality change was determined from eq. (43):

$$\Delta z = \frac{W h_{fg}(0.05)}{h_z \Delta T \pi D}$$

As discussed in the following chapter, the iterative steps 10 through 13 are not required if the quality gradient is small. In particular, these steps were not needed for analytical calculations based on the experimental data. This means that only steps 1 through 4 are needed to calculate the heat transfer coefficient for low to moderate condensation rates.

RESULTS

Sixteen experimental runs were made with refrigerant R-12 and eleven runs were made with refrigerant R-22 for saturation temperatures between 77 to 137°F and mass fluxes between 1.19×10^5 lbm/hr ft² and 1.13×10^6 lbm/hr ft². The absolute value of the maximum heat balance error for all runs was 7 percent. The data are presented in Appendix 1. Figures 9 through 23 show the experimental and analytical heat transfer coefficients while Figures 24 through 35 show the pressure gradient data. Some experimental pressure gradient data (runs 3, 4, 6, and 19) were omitted, because the sum of the individually measured pressure drops differed apprecially (>15 percent) from the total pressure drop. Refrigerant R-12 was used for runs 1 through 16, and refrigerant R-22 was used for runs 17 through 27. Analytical values of the local heat transfer coefficients and pressure gradients were obtained using the procedure outline in the proceeding section. One version of a computer program used for these calculations is presented in Appendix 2.

It is important to know how often term 4 of Eq. (29) which includes the effect of M was used. The ability to neglect this term with minimal inaccuracy greatly simplifies the calculations. The effect of M was important only 8 of the 27 runs, and then only for qualities less than 0.10 where the annular model is not applicable anyway. Previous data obtained by Bae [12] for a 1/2 in. I. D. tube were also checked: the term involving M was even less important for Bae's data. Hence, one can neglect term 4 of Eq. (29) for conditions similar to the experimental runs of Bae and the present authors. For higher condensation rates (higher heat fluxes and quality gradients) this term becomes important and has the ultimate effect of lowering heat transfer coefficient. In these cases, Eq. (29) should be used in its entirety.

In the derivation of the heat transfer coefficient, no restrictions were placed on the position of the condenser tube. Although in the present work data were determined from measurements with a horizontal tube, this analysis has an applicability to inclined tubes. The value of E (ratio of eddy conductivity to eddy viscosity) was taken to be 1.0 for the curves of Figures 9 through 23. Calculations with E values of 1.1 and 1.4 were also compared to experimental data, but no definite trends were observed.

Since the analysis was developed for annular flow, departures from this flow regime are presently examined. When the mass flux of the refrigerant vapor exceeded 500,000 lbm/hr ft² there was appreciable entrainment of liquid in the first portion of the condenser tube. Physically this occurred because the vapor had a sufficiently high velocity to pick liquid up off the wall and transport it as droplets in the vapor core. At these high mass fluxes, the thickness of the liquid layer decreased due to entrainment, and consequently, the heat transfer coefficient increased. Since the present analysis assumes that annular film condensation exists and that all of the liquid is on the tube wall, the analytical predictions were below the experimental data in this misty flow regime. This effect is shown by Figures 15, 16, 17, 22 and 23. Entrainment usually occurs only in the inlet region of the condenser tube, because the vapor velocity progressively decreases as additional condensation occurs. The existence of entrainment was also substantiated by plotting the experimental runs on a Baker flow regime map [21] as shown in Figure 6, and also by high speed photographs through the glass sight tube.

With the exception of high qualities and mass fluxes, the liquid annulus was usually thicker at the bottom of the tube than at the top. However, the analytical predictions compared well with the experimental data, because a compensating effect existed between the increased heat transfer in the upper portion and decreased heat transfer in the lower portion. At qualities of less than 0.10, the flow was observed to be in the slug flow regime. Experimental data obtained at low qualities (runs 1, 6, 8, 10, and 24 on Figures 9, 11, 12, 13, and 22) show good agreement with the analytical predictions in the neighborhood of 0.10 quality. At qualities appreciably below 0.10, a linear extrapolation between the present heat transfer equation (Eqs. 39, 40, or 41) at x = 0.10 and a single phase heat transfer equation gives a good estimate of the heat transfer coefficient.

On the basis of Eq. (41), all of the experimental data for refrigerants R-12 and R-22 were reduced using the non-dimensional parameters Nu $F_2/Pr_{\chi}Re_{\chi}^{0.9}$ and $F(\chi_{tt}) \equiv 0.15 [\chi_{tt}^{-1} + 2.85 \chi_{tt}^{-0.476}]$. These data (approximately 160 data points) are presented in Fig. 7 along with the predicted values from the annular flow model (the straight line). Previous data from Bae [12] for R-22 are shown on a similar plot in Fig. 8. As observed from Figures 7 and 8, these non-dimensional parameters correlate a wide range of data very well. The experimental data and analysis are in excellent agreement for $F(\chi_{tt}) < 2$ (or $\chi_{tt} < 0.155$). For $F(\chi_{tt}) > 2$ the data are somewhat higher than the analysis predicts. Consequently, these data are represented better by the dotted line of Fig. 7, which may be expressed as:

$$\frac{\operatorname{Nu} \mathbf{F}_{2}}{\operatorname{Pr}_{\ell} \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}} = \left[\mathbf{F}(\chi_{tt}) \right]^{1.15}$$
(47)

One of the reasons for the higher value of $\frac{\operatorname{Nu} F_2}{\operatorname{Pr}_{\ell} \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.9}}$ when $\chi_{tt} > 2$ is the entrainment effect previously discussed. Even for $F(\chi_{tt}) > 2$ the non-dimensional parameters correlate the data well. This indicates that the two curves drawn through the data points of Fig. 7 should be a good design criterion for a wide range of conditions and flow regimes.

CONCLUSIONS

1. For the practical range of refrigerant condenser operating conditions the simplified analysis developed here is applicable.

2. Recommended design equations for the local heat transfer coefficient in refrigerant condensers are as follows:

$$0.1 < F(\chi_{tt}) < 1 \qquad \frac{Nu F_2}{Pr_{\ell} Re_{\ell}} = F(\chi_{tt}), \qquad \pm 15\%$$
$$1 < F(\chi_{tt}) < 15 \qquad \frac{Nu F_2}{Pr_{\ell} Re_{\ell}} = [F(\chi_{tt})]^{1.15}, \pm 15\%$$

where F_2 , χ_{tt} and $F(\chi_{tt})$ are given by Eqs. (37), (6) and (42).

3. Pressure drop may be calculated by Eq. (1) with Eqs. (9), (10) and (14).

REFERENCES

- Nusselt, M. "Die Oberflachen Kondensation Des Wasserdamfes," Seitschrift des Vereines Deutscher Ingenieure, Vol. 60, No. 541 (1916).
- Chaddock, J. B., "Film Condensation of Vapor in Horizontal Tubes," Sc. D. Thesis, M. I. T. (1955), also Refrigerating Engineering, Vol. 65, No. 4, p. 36 (1957).
- 3. Chato, S. C., "Laminar Condensation Inside Horizontal and Inclined Tubes," ASHRAE Journal, Vol. 4, No. 2 (1962).
- 4. Rufer, C. and S. P. Kezios, Analysis of Two-Phase One Component Stratified Flow with Condensation," Journal of Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, Series C, Vol. 88 (1966).
- 5. Akers, W. W. and H. F. Rosson, "Condensation Inside a Horizontal Tube," Chemical Engineering Progress, Symposium Series, Heat Transfer, Storrs, Vol. 56, No. 30 (1960).
- Chen, C. J., "Condensing Heat Transfer in a Horizontal Tube," M. S. Thesis, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kansas State University (1962).
- Soliman, M., J. R. Schuster and P. J. Berenson, "A General Heat Transfer Correlation for Annular Flow Condensation," Trans. ASME, Journal of Heat Transfer, Series C, Vol. 90, No. 2 (1968).
- 8. Patel, S. P., "Film Coefficient of Heat Transfer of Freon-12 Condensing inside a Single Horizontal Tube," M. S. Thesis, Kansas State University (1956).
- 9. Carpenter, E. F. and A. P. Colburn, "The Effect of Vapor Velocity on Condensation inside Tubes," Proceedings of the General Discussion of Heat Transfer, I. Mech. E. and ASME (1951).
- Rohsenow, W. M., J. H. Webber and A. T. Ling, "Effect of Vapor Velocity on Laminar and Turbulent-Film Condensation," Trans. ASME, p. 1637 (1956).
- 11. Altman, M., F. W. Staub and R. H. Norris, "Local Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop for Refrigerant-22 Condensing in Horizontal Tubes," ASME-AIChE, Heat Transfer Conference, Storrs, Conn. (1959).
- Bae, S., J. S. Maulbetsch and W. M. Rohsenow, "Refrigerant Forced-Convection Condensation inside Horizontal Tubes," Rep. No. 79760-64, Heat Transfer Lab., Mass. Inst. of Tech., Cambridge, Mass., Nov. 1, 1969.
- 13. Kunz, H. R. and S. Yerazunis, "An Analysis of Film Condensation, Film Evaporation, and Single-Phase Heat Transfer for Liquid Prandatl Numbers from 10⁻³ to 10⁴," Heat Transfer Conference, Seattle, Wash., Paper No. 67-HT-1 (1967).
- Bae, S., J. S. Maulbetsch and W. M. Rohsenow, "Refrigerant Forced-Convection Condensation inside Horizontal Tubes," Rep. No. DSR 72591-71, Heat Transfer Lab., Mass. Inst. of Tech., Cambridge, Mass., Nov. 1, 1970.
- Koskyk P. G. and F. W. Staub, "Local Condensing Heat Transfer Coefficients in the Annular Flow Regime," presented at AIChE 63rd Annual Meeting, Nov. 1970.
- 16. "Thermodynamic Properties of Freon-12," DuPont (1956).
- 17. "Thermodynamic Properties of Freon-22," DuPont (1964).
- Martinelli, R. C. and D. B. Nelson, "Prediction of Pressure Drop During Forced Circulation Boiling of Water," Trans. ASME, Vol. 70, pp. 652-702 (1948).
- Lockhart, R. W. and R. C. Martinelli, "Proposed Correlation of Data for Isothermal Two-Phase, Two-Component Flow in Pipes," Chemical Engineering Progress, Vol. 45, No. 1, p. 39 (1949).
- 20. Zivi, S. M., "Estimation of Steady-State Steam Void-Fraction by Means of the Principle of Minimum Entropy Production," Journal of Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, Series C, Vol. 86, p. 247 (1964).
- 21. Baker, O., "Simultaneous Flow of Oil and Gas," The Oil and Gas Journal, Vol. 53, pp. 185-195 (1954).



FIGURE 1 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF APPARTUS

Ж



FRONT VIEW



REAR VIEW

FIGURE 2 EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS



FIGURE 3 GRAPH OF β VERSUS δ^+

,





FIGURE 5 GRAPH OF F_2 VERSUS Re_{ℓ} AT CONSTANT Pr_{ℓ}



Refrigerant R-12, I.D. = 0.315 in. 1.1 < G x 10 < 11.3 lbm/hr ft² 50 0.07 < < 0.95 х 75 < Т < 140 F 6 < Δτ < 20 F • - Refrigerant R-22, I.D. = 0.315 in. 1.4 < G x 10⁻⁵ < 7.4 lbm/hr ft² 0.02 < х < 0.96 82 < Т < 118 F 3 < < 27 F Δт 10 Nu F, Nu F₂ 1.15 [F(χ_{tt})] Pr_lRel^{0.9} 1 $\frac{\operatorname{Nu} F_2}{\operatorname{Pr}_{\ell} \operatorname{Re}_{\ell}^{0.9}} = F(\chi_{tt})$ 0.5 $F(\chi_{tt}) = \chi_{tt}^{-1} + 2.85 \chi_{tt}^{-0.476}$ $\chi_{tt} = (\frac{\mu_{\ell}}{\mu_{v}})^{0.1} (\frac{1-x}{x})^{0.9} (\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{\ell}})^{0.5}$ 0.5 0.11 5 10 20 $F(\chi_{tt})$

FIGURE 7 COMPARISON OF ANALYSIS AND PRESENT CONDENSATION DATA



FIGURE 8 COMPARISON OF ANALYSIS AND BAE'S CONDENSATION DATA







































FIGURE 26





e: N



FIGURE 28

B





 \mathfrak{S}

FIGURE 30



FIGURE 31

R





FIGURE 33

6 è






APPENDIX 1

TABLES OF DATA

FREON MASS FLUX	3.2063487E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 37.5	0 FREON TEMP IN	80.17
WTR FLOW RATE	621.65	MEAN H	T COEF 842.4	4 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.052
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(F)	(F)	(F)	(LBF/FT3)	
	79.820	71.170	3.300	55.403	
	80.040	72.430	2.790	52.487	
	78.260	68.320	3.130	43.740	
	79.050	67.140	2.710	32.076	
	77.180	62.460	2.620	15.746	
	75.400	57.460	2.370	7.581	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	71.277	8,542	10319.3	1208-0	
	72.520	7.519	8724.5	1160.3	
	68.421	9.838	9787.7	994.8	
	67.228	11.821	8474.3	716.8	
	62.545	14.634	8192.9	559.8	
	57.537	17.862	7411.1	414.8	
	QUALITY	хтт	F(XTT) NU	*F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.900	3.187E-02	6.911E 00	1.082E 01	
	0.716	L.009E-01	2.758E 00	4.306E 00	
	0.542	L.963E-01	1.691E 00	2.470E 00	
	0.364	8.802E-01	1.071E 00	1.348E 00	
	0.210	7.435E-01	6.939E-01	8.782E-01	

3.492E-01

5.665E-01

0.068 2.341E 00

RUN NO. 2		REFRIGE	RANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	4.4146437E	05 WTR TEM	PIN 69.	74 FREON TEMP IN	101.00
WTR FLOW RATE	966.32	MEAN HT	COEF 1067	•6 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.007
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	98.740	91.390	2.230	79.315	
	98.830	91.910	2.040	73.483	
	97.430	88.390	2.110	62.110	
	98.170	87.350	2.000	50.446	
	96.920	84.390	1.910	34.700	
	95.960	82.520	1.790	21.870	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2) (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	91.502	7.237	10839.7	1497.8	
	92.013	6.816	9916.1	1454.6	
	88.496	8.933	10256.4	1148.1	
	87.451	10.718	9721.7	906.9	
	84.486	12.433	9284.2	746.7	
	82.610	13.349	8700.9	651.7	
	QUALITY	хтт	F(XTT) N	U*F2/PR*(RE**.9)	
	0.922	2.909E-02	7.458E 00	1.247E 01	
	0.768	9.205E-02	2.959E 00	4.822E 00	
	0.622	1.711E-01	1.867E 00	2.522E 00	
	0.472	2.976E-01	1.264E 00	1.502E 00	
	0.336	4.929E-01	9.029E-01	1.019E 00	
	0.207	8.872E-01	6.216E-01	7.661E-01	

FREON MASS FLUX	4.3239100E	05 WTR TEM	MP IN 86.5	7 FREON TEMP IN	120.00
WTR FLOW RATE	827.98	MEAN HI	T COEF 857.	2 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.045
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	117.250 117.580 116.480 117.670 116.570	109.040 109.460 106.090 104.960 101.740	2.360 2.250 2.330 2.260 2.080	50.738 42.281 30.909 22.744 14.580	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	109.142 109.557 106.191 105.058 101.830	8.107 8.022 10.288 12.611 14.739	9829.2 9371.1 9704.3 9412.7 8663.0	1212.3 1168.1 943.1 746.3 587.7	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU	402.(J*F2/PR*(RE**.9)	
	0.925 0.770 0.620 0.463 0.322 0.193	3.237E-02 1.049E-01 1.988E-01 3.561E-01 6.038E-01 1.106E 00	6.821E 00 2.679E 00 1.676E 00 1.120E 00 7.919E-01 5.429E-01	1.039E 01 3.906E 00 2.065E 00 1.219E 00 7.892E-01 5.635E-01	

RUN NO.4		REFRIG	ERANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	4.2630031E	05 WTR TEI	MP IN 108.78	B FREON TEMP IN	135.88
WTR FLOW RATE	923.24	MEAN H	T COEF 768.0	HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.000
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	133.130 133.670 133.000 134.080 133.170	125.170 125.210 122.500 121.540 119.540	1.860 1.840 1.790 1.720 1.660	42.573 38.782 29.743 24.785 18.370	
	132.670 IN WALL T (F)	117.830 DEL WALL T (F)	1.530 HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	13.413 H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	125.260 125.299 122.586 121.623 119.620 117.904	7.869 8.370 10.413 12.456 13.549 14.765	8638.1 8545.2 8313.0 7987.9 7709.3 7105.5	1097.6 1020.8 798.3 641.2 568.9 481.2	
	QUALITY	XTT 3-4015-02	F(XTT) NU+	*F2/PR*(RE**•9) 9-957E 00	
	0.781 0.638 0.495 0.363 0.239	1.113E-01 2.092E-01 3.575E-01 5.780E-01 9.885E-01	2.561E 00 1.617E 00 1.116E 00 8.143E-01 5.815E-01	3.554E 00 1.819E 00 1.101E 00 8.043E-01 5.848E-01	

RUN NO. 5

REFRIGERANT 12

FREDN MASS FLUX	3.8527143E	05 WTR	TEMP IN	67.59 FRE	ON TEMP IN	101.65
WTR FLOW RATE	1047.96	MEA	N HT COEF	681.9 HEA	T BAL ERROR	-0.035
	VAPUR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL (F)	T DELW (F	TRT PGRAI) (LBF/F	D T 3)	
	100.570	90.520 88.570	2.2	30 46.65 00 41.99	5	
	100.570 99.570	86.520 83.590	1.9	10 27.99 10 20.99	3 5	
	98.130 95.650	79.860 76.960	1.5 1.2	00 12.83 50 8.74	5 7	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL (F)	T HEAT F	LUX H T (-FT2) (BTU/HR-	COEF -FT2-F)	
	90.642 88.679	9 .927 12 .7 10	11755 10543	.4 1184. .0 829.4	1 4	
	86.624 83.683 70.943	13.945	10068 9014	.6 722.0 .2 567.0	0	
	77.028	18.621	6589	• 4 353•	7 B	
	QUALITY	XTT 3.7615-02	F(XTT 6-025E) NU*F2/PR*(RI	E **•9) n	
	0.708	1.240E-01 2.425E-01	2.363E 1.457E	00 2.534E 00 00 1.487E 00	5 5 0	
	0.374 0.236 0.123	4.321E-01 7.767E-01 1.550E 00	9.843E-1 6.752E-1 4.436E-1	01 9.117E-0 01 5.904E-0 01 4.285E-0	1 1 1	

RUN NO.6		REFRIG	ERANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	4.1723918E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 90.	65 FREON TEMP IN	127.92
WTR FLOW RATE	1097.07	MEAN H	T COEF 599	•O HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.039
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
		(f [*])	()	(LDF/FIS)	
	125.500	113.210	2.391	36.450	
	126.870	111.380	2.122	25.952	
	125.920	108.220	2.035	17.495	
	126.000	104.910	1.730	12.538	
	123.000	100.960	1.465	8.164	
	118.220	97.870	1.048	5.540	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COFF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2) (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	113,347	12,152	13194.8	1085.7	
	111.502	15.367	11710.3	761.9	
	108.337	17.583	11230.2	638.6	
	105.009	20.990	9547.0	454.8	
	101.044	21.955	8084.6	368.2	
	97.930	20.289	5783.4	285.0	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) N	U*F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.890	5.006E-02	4.773E 00	6.948E 00	
	0.674	1.735E-01	1.847E 00	1.942E 00	
	0.481	3.559E-01	1.120E 00	1.098E 00	
	0.303	7.035E-01	7.185E-01	6.099E-01	
	0.165	1.398E 00	4.717E-01	4.248E-01	
	0.069	3.240E 00	2.905E-01	3.012E-01	

ー

FREON MASS FLUX	5.2174331E	05 WTR	TEMP IN	78.61	I FREON TEMP IN	112.17
WTR FLOW RATE	716.50	MEAN	I HT COEF	1088.5	5 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.027
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL	T DEL	WTR T	P GRAD	
				(1)	(20171137	
	112.000	106.130	2	.601	73.191	
	112.170	104.780	2	•539	40.823	
	111.430	103.260	2	•583	63.568	
	111.170	100.780	2	.728	56.570	
	109.750	98.130	2	.614	43.156	
	108.570	96.000	2	•513	34.408	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL	τ ήεδτ	FLUX	H T COFF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/	HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	106.227	5.772	02	74.5	1624.0	
	104.875	7.294	91	51.0	1254.4	
	103.357	8.072	93	109.6	1153.1	
	100.882	10.287	98	32.2	955.7	
	98.228	11.521	94	21.3	817.6	
	96.094	12.475	90	57.3	726.0	
	QUALITY	хтт	F(X	(TT) NU+	*F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.938	2.543E-02	8.350	DE 00	1.417E 01	
	0.817	7.732E-02	3.385	E 00	4.364E 00	
	0 .69 8	1.390E-01	2.172	E 00	2.629E 00	
	0.574	2.256E-01	1.533	E 00	1.629E 00	
	0.453	3.453E-01	1.143	E 00	1.129E 00	
	0.337	5.295E-01	8.618	E-01	8.534E-01	

FREON TEMP IN

HEAT BAL ERROR

94.17

0.011

	93.700	85.260	1.590	16.329
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)
WTR FLOW RATE	625.82	MEAN HT	COEF 461.6	HEAT B
FREON MASS FLUX	1.9431068E	05 WTR TEM	P IN 66.41	FREON

93.650

93.650	84.260	1.650	14.580
92.910	82.910	1.780	11.663
93.170	80.700	1.700	6.415
92.300	78.000	1.630	4.665
91.000	75.700	1.270	1.749
IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF
(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)
85.312	8.387	5005.3	596.7
84.314	9.335	5194.2	556.3
82.968	9.941	5603.4	563.6
80.755	12.414	5351.6	431.0
78.053	14.246	5131.2	360.1
75.741	15.258	3997.9	262.0
QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU	*F2/PR*(RE**•9)
0.917	2.984E-02	7.300E 00	9.391E 00
0.748	9.782E-02	2.826E 00	3.441E 00
0.571	2.004E-01	1.667E 00	2.228E 00
0.389	3.901E-01	1.053E 00	1.264E 00
0.218	8.119F-01	6.567E-01	8.595E-01
0.073	2.505E 00	3.359E-01	5.419E-01

79

RUN NO. 9

WTR TEMP IN FREON TEMP IN 108.91 2.7648256E 05 75.35 FREON MASS FLUX HEAT BAL ERROR -0.037 MEAN HT COEF WTR FLOW RATE 706.71 503.0 DEL WTR T P GRAD VAPOR TEMP OUT WALL T (F) (LBF/FT3) (F) (F) 27.993 99.000 1.830 108.830 97.350 1.860 23.327 108.650 96.040 2.050 16.912 107.710 107.880 92.170 2.000 9.914 88.650 2.080 5.831 106.740 85.740 1.440 2.332 104.780 HEAT FLUX H T COEF IN WALL T DEL WALL T (F) (F) (BTU/HR-FT2)(BTU/HR-FT2-F) 666.3 99.067 9.762 6505.5 97.418 11.231 6612.1 588.7 96.115 11.594 7287.6 628.5 92.244 15.635 7109.8 454.7 410.4 88.727 18.012 7394.2 5119.0 269.6 85.793 18.986 QUALITY XTT F(XTT) NU*F2/PR*(RE**.9) 0.920 6.893E 00 7.967E 00 3.196E-02 2.731E 00 2.791E 00 0.760 1.023E-01 1.641E 00 1.909E 00 0.593 2.048E-01 1.056E 00 0.418 3.886E-01 1.020E 00 6.703E-01 7.406E-01 0.245 7.857E-01 0.102 1.998E 00 3.825E-01 4.205E-01

RUN NO. 10		REFRIGE	RANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	1.1875593E	05 WTR TEM	IP IN 48.9	6 FREON TEMP IN	74.86
WTR FLOW RATE	412.63	MEAN HT	COEF 418.	9 HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.001
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	72.770 72.410 71.740 72.000 71.700 70.500	66.640 66.140 65.130 63.680 61.740 58.500	1.720 1.460 1.590 1.540 1.640 1.220	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL T (F)	HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	66.677 66.171 65.164 63.713 61.775 58.526	6.092 6.238 6.575 8.286 9.924 11.973	3570.1 3030.4 3300.2 3196.4 3404.0 2532.2	585.9 485.7 501.8 385.7 342.9 211.4	
	QUALITY 0.911 0.743 0.583 0.417 0.250 0.103	XTT 2.654E-02 8.270E-02 1.579E-01 2.897E-01 5.744E-01 1.472E 00	F(XTT) NU 8.055E 00 3.213E 00 1.978E 00 1.288E 00 8.176E-01 4.574E-01	*F2/PR*(RE**.9) 1.330E 01 4.540E 00 3.126E 00 1.812E 00 1.303E 00 6.922E-01	

RUN NO. 11

REFRIGERANT 12

FREON MASS FLUX	2.8129662E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 75.5	2 FREON TEMP IN	107.42
WTR FLOW RATE	696.57	MEAN H	T COEF 475.	3 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.003
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	107.380 107.210 107.120 106.830 106.000	97.430 95.960 95.000 93.050 89.960	2.160 2.140 0.000 2.220 2.060	29.160 24.494 23.327 17.495 12.247	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	97.508 96.038 95.000 93.131 90.035	9.871 11.171 12.119 13.698 15.964	7568.4 7498.3 0.0 7778.7 7218.0	766.7 671.1 0.0 567.8 452.1	
	88.029 QUALITY	16.970 XTT	6692.4 F(XTT) NU	394.3 #F2/PR*(RE**.9)	
	0.909 0.730 0.640 0.548 0.372 0.210	3.600E-02 1.173E-01 1.706E-01 2.404E-01 4.556E-01 9.282E-01	6.246E 00 2.463E 00 1.870E 00 1.466E 00 9.506E-01 6.045E-01	8.111E 00 2.835E 00 0.000E 00 1.556E 00 9.396E-01 6.759E-01	

βŊ

RUN NO. 12		REFRIGE	ERANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	6.6783725E	05 WTR TEN	1P IN 36.96	FREON TEMP IN	81.00
WTR FLOW RATE	1172.11	MEAN H	COEF 1804.1	HEAT BAL ERROR	0.025
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	177	1 1			
	80.520	72.860	3.080	228.031	
	78.570	72.820	2.650	222.199	
	76.870	67.090	2.780	191.872	
	75.480	65.130	2.510	150.174	
	73.350	61.870	2.410	120.139	
	71.260	59.590	2.320	73.774	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	73.049	7.470	18159.6	2430.7	
	72.982	5.587	15624.4	2796.4	
	67.260	9.609	16390.8	1705.7	
	65.284	10.195	14798.9	1451.4	
	62.018	11.331	14209.3	1253.9	
	59.732	11.527	13678.7	1186.6	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU+	F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.916	2.712E-02	7.910E 00	1.356E 01	
	0.763	8.001E-02	3.297E 00	6.519E 00	
	0.619	1.455E-01	2.100E 00	2.667E 00	
	0.480	2.392E-01	1.471E 00	1.745E 00	
	0.353	3.743E-01	1.083E 00	1.256E 00	
	0.234	6.186E-01	7.797E-01	1.031E 00	

FREON MASS FLUX	7.6344000E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 47.	41 FREON TEMP IN	94.48
WTR FLOW RATE	890.98	MEAN H	IT COEF 1989	•7 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.039
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(F)	(F)	(=)	(LBF/F13)	
	91.700	85.780	3.410	226.864	
	90.220	85.430	3.080	213.742	
	87.960	80.610	3.250	174.376	
	87.700	78.870	3.020	161.254	
	85.700	75.570	3.160	128.887	
	83.860	73.350	3.040	89.521	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2) (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	85,939	5.760	15283.2	2652.9	
	85.573	4.646	13804.1	2971.0	
	80.761	7.198	14566.1	2023.5	
	79.011	8.688	13535.2	1557.7	
	75.717	9.982	14162.7	1418.7	
	73.491	10.368	13624.9	1314.1	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) N	U*F2/PR*(RE**.9)	
	0.939	2.175E-02	9.537E 00	1.724E 01	
	0.820	6.481E-02	3.886E 00	7.733E 00	
	0.706	1.130E-01	2.533E 00	3.490E 00	
	0.590	1.789E-01	1.808E 00	2.028E 00	
,	0.481	2.616E-01	1.382E 00	1.514E 00	
	0.372	3.848E-01	1.063E 00	1.196E 00	

RUN NO. 14		REFRIGE	RANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	9.0910312E	05 WTR TEN	1P IN 72.05	FREON TEMP IN	112.48
WTR FLOW RATE	996.25	MEAN HI	COEF 1969.4	HEAT BAL ERROR	0.046
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	109.710 108.830 106.870 106.830 105.170 103.430	103.390 103.610 98.570 97.390 94.570 92.040	3.160 2.870 3.070 2.970 3.140 3.150	203.536 212.867 172.044 166.503 134.719 96.227	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL T (F)	HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	103.555 103.759 98.730 97.545 94.733 92.204	6.154 5.070 8.139 9.284 10.436 11.225	15835.9 14382.6 15384.9 14883.8 15735.7 15785.8	2572.8 2836.7 1890.1 1603.0 1507.8 1406.2	
	QUALITY 0.944 0.834	XTT 2.258E-02	F(XTT) NU* 9.237E 00 3.757E 00	F2/PR*(RE**.9) 1.539E 01 6.716E 00	
	0.728 0.616 0.508 0.398	1.171E-01 1.854E-01 2.714E-01 3.985E-01	2.466E 00 1.762E 00 1.347E 00 1.038E 00	2.952E 00 1.872E 00 1.429E 00 1.125E 00	

RUN NO. 15

FREON MASS FLUX	9 . 1649862E	05 WTR TI	EMP IN 108.9	6 FREON TEMP IN	140.38
WTR FLOW RATE	1810.95	MEAN I	HT COEF 1369.	0 HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.028
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(F)	(F)	(F)	(LBF/FT3)	
	138,250	129.960	1.950	160.380	
	130 040	120 000	1.0/0	100.000	
	150.040	128.000	1.800	123.095	
	136./10	123.580	1.790	92.128	
	137.500	123.380	1.700	80.190	
	136.250	121.420	1.650	56.861	
	135.080	119.460	1.550	31.784	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	130,145	8,104	17763.5	2191.6	
	128.176	9.863	16943.7	1717.8	
	122 7/0	12 060	16306 0	1250 1	
	123 541	12.900	16506.0		
	123+341	10.900	15400.2	1109•4	
	121.576	14.673	15030.7	1024.3	
	119.607	15.472	14119.7	912.5	
	QUALITY	ХТТ	F(XTT) NU	*F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.931	3.4645-02	6.448E 00	1.055E 01	
	0.790	1.090E-01	2.603E 00	3.241E 00	
	0.659	1.973E-01	1.685E 00	1.575E 00	
	0.528	3.242E-01	1.193E 00	1.0545 00	
	0 400	4 0545-01			
	0 207	7 4005 01			
	0.291	1.0906-01	0.1946-01	0.1205-01	

96

.

RUN NO. 16		REFRIGE	RANT 12		
FREON MASS FLUX	1.1296822E	06 WTR TEN	1P IN 95.91	FREON TEMP IN	124.62
WTR FLOW RATE	1843.07	MEAN HI	COEF 2011.8	HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.059
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	122.670 121.710 120.080 118.910 117.500 115.880	116.130 114.290 111.710 109.710 108.170 106.430	1.926 1.804 1.757 1.687 1.639 1.583	274.687 233.280 193.622 96.227 157.463 122.472	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL T (F)	HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	116.316 114.464 111.879 109.872 108.328 106.582	6.353 7.245 8.200 9.037 9.171 9.297	17856.1 16725.0 16289.3 15640.3 15195.3 14676.1	2810.2 2308.2 1986.4 1730.6 1656.7 1578.5	
	QUALITY 0.947 0.840 0.741 0.646 0.555 0.668	XTT 2.420E-02 7.199E-02 1.230E-01 1.834E-01 2.556E-01	F(XTT) NU* 8.710E 00 3.579E 00 2.377E 00 1.775E 00 1.405E 00	F2/PR*(RE**.9) 1.433E 01 4.665E 00 2.670E 00 1.783E 00 1.407E 00 1.155E 00	

RUN NO. 17

FREON MASS FLUX	2.5736990F	05 WTR TE	EMP IN 80.3	5 FREON TEMP IN	96.88
WTR FLOW RATE	1250.82	MEAN H	IT COEF 754.	O HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.065
	VAPOR TEMP	CUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(F)	(F)	(F)	(LBF/FT3)	
	05 4 9 0	90 790	0 050	30 (1)	
	90.400	89.180	0.950	20.411	
	95.960	89.610	0.870	20.243	
	95.430	88.170	0.870	20.411	
	96.120	87.740	0.910	20.411	
	95.300	86.700	0.740	14.580	
	93.910	85.700	0.780	10.205	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	89.842	5.637	5977.3	1060.2	
	89.667	6.292	5473.9	869.8	
	88,227	7,202	5473.9	759.9	
	87.799	8.320	5725.6	688-1	
	86 748	9 551	4654 0	544 4	
	85.751	8.158	4907.7	601.5	
	QUALITY	хтт	F(XTT) NU	#F2/PR#(RE##.9)	
	0.943	2.373E-02	8.855E 00	1.414E 01	
	0.832	7.080E-02	3.626E 00	4.678E 00	
	0.728	1.232E-01	2.375E 00	2.715E 00	
	0.618	1.942E-01	1.704E 00	1.852E 00	
	0.520	2.771E-01	1.328F 00	1.206F 00	
	0.432	3.774F-01	1.077E 00	1.154F 00	

•

•

RUN NO. 18		REFRIG	ERANT 22		
FREON MASS FLUX	2.4756590E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 60.7	7 FREON TEMP IN	85.09
WTR FLOW RATE	1089.37	MEAN H	T COEF 764.	8 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.025
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(F)	(F)	(F)	(LBF/FT3)	
	83.270	76.610	1.460	24.202	
	84.090	76.130	1.320	24.202	
	83.230	73.740	1.450	20.995	
	84.170	72.960	1.180	17.204	
	82.910	70.960	1.280	12.247	
	84.130	69.350	1.180	7.581	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	76.693	6.576	8000-5	1216.5	
	76.205	7.884	7233.3	917.4	
	73.822	9.407	7945.7	844.6	
	73.027	11.142	6466.2	580.3	
	71.033	11.876	7014.1	590.5	
	69.417	14.712	6466.2	439.5	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU	*F2/PR#(RE**•9)	
	0.924	2.844E-02	7.601E 00	1.291E 01	
	0.777	8.870E-02	3.045E 00	3.944E 00	
	0.632	1.660E-01	1.907E 00	2.385E 00	
	0.492	2.810E-01	1.315E 00	1.249E 00	
	0.365	4.432E-01	9.680E-01	1.053E 00	
	0.232	7.994E-01	6.631E-01	6.684E-01	

RUN ND. 19

FRECN MASS FLUX 2.5346834E 05 78.43 WTR TEMP IN FREON TEMP IN 100.04 WTR FLOW RATE 879.57 MEAN HT COEF 820.4 HEAT BAL ERROR 0.045 P GRAD VAPOR TEMP OUT WALL T DEL WTR T (F) (F) (F) (LBF/FT3)98.700 93.430 1.430 24.202 99.260 93.220 1.310 19.828 98.590 91.220 1.440 15.746 1.300 99.300 90.430 14.580 98.260 88.740 1.390 14.580 97.780 87.090 1.350 9.331 IN WALL T DEL WALL T HEAT FLUX H T COEF (F) (F) (BTU/HR-FT2) (BTU/HR-FT2-F) 6326.9 93.495 5.204 1215.7 93.280 5.979 5796.0 969.2 91.286 7.303 6371.2 872.3 90.489 8.810 5751.8 652.8 88.804 9.455 6150.0 650.3 87.152 10.627 5973.0 562.0 QUALITY XTT F(XTT) NU+F2/PR+(RE++.9) 0.937 2.672E-02 8.009E 00 1.520E 01 0.817 7.995E-02 3.299E 00 4.922E 00 0.697 1.440E-01 2.116E 00 2.901E 00 1.499E 00 1.635E 00 0.576 2.329E-01 0.461 3.508E-01 1.131E 00 1.328E 00 3 0.343 5.454E-01 8.454E-01 9.702E-01

RUN NO. 20		REFRIG	ERANT 22		
FREON MASS FLUX	2.2254859E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 98.35	FREON TEMP IN	118.26
WTR FLOW RATE	558.51	MEAN H	T COEF 614.3	HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.056
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(+)	(Г)	(=)		
	116.610	112.500	1.170	11.955	
	117.210	112.350	1.220	13.121	
	116.830	111.580	1.260	11.955	
	117.420	110.260	1.300	10.205	
	116.740	108.830	1.440	8.747	
	116.260	107.540	1.430	6.123	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	112.534	4.075	3287.0	806.4	
	112.385	4.824	3427.5	710.4	
	111.616	5.213	3539.9	679.0	
	110.298	7.121	3652.2	512.8	
	108.872	7.867	4045.6	514.1	
	107.581	8.678	4017.5	462.9	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU#	F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.960	2.003E-02	1.023E 01	1.718E 01	
	0.879	6.027E-02	4.116E 00	5.862E 00	
	0.794	1.058E-01	2.662E 00	3.588E 00	
	0.706	1.629E-01	1.934E 00	2.004E 00	
	0.614	2.351E-01	1.489E 00	1.593E 00	
	0.518	3.341E-01	1.169E 00	1.186E 00	

RUN	NO.	21	

FREON MASS FLUX	1.3886631F 05	WTR TEMP IN	75.17	FREON TEMP IN	93.43
WTR FLOW RATE	589.97	MEAN HT COEF	533.6	HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.064

VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL	T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD
(F)	(F)		(F)	(LBF/FT3)
93.260	88.900		1.050	8.164
93.700	88.740		1.000	8.164
93.220	87.300		1.130	6.706
93.570	86.430		1.150	6.415
92.700	84.960		1.150	4,957
92.390	83.680		1.130	3.790
IN WALL T	DEL WALL	т	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF
(F)	(F)		(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)
88.932	4.327		3116.0	720.0
88.770	4.929		2967.6	602.0
87.334	5.885		3353.4	569.8
86.465	7.104		3412.8	480.3
84.995	7.704		3412.8	442.9
83.714	8.675		3353.4	386.5
QUALITY	XTT		F(XTT) NU+	F2/PR*(RE**.9)
0.944	2.275E-02		9.179E 00	1.637E 01
0.836	6.779E-02		3.751E 00	5.527E 00
0.725	1.225E-01		2.384E 00	3.382E 00
0.605	2.008E-01		1.664E 00	2.101E 00
0.486	3.076E-01		1.236E 00	1.552E 00
0.367	4.759E-01		9.238E-01	1.136E 00

RUN NO. 22		REFRIG	SERANT 22		
FREON MASS FLUX	1.47030405	05 WTR TE	MP IN 74.4	6 FREON TEMP IN	93.22
WTR FLOW RATE	485.72	MEAN H	IT COEF 568.	2 HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.070
	VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T (F)	P GRAD (LBF/FT3)	
	92.260 92.570 92.260 92.700 92.090 91.870	88.900 88.610 87.390 86.780 85.700 84.570	1.130 1.020 1.120 1.180 1.220 1.260	8.164 9.331 9.331 8.164 8.164 6.706	
	IN WALL T (F)	DEL WALL T (F)	HEAT FLUX (BTU/HR-FT2)	H T COEF (BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	88.928 88.635 87.418 86.810 85.731 84.602	3.331 3.934 4.841 5.889 6.358 7.267	2760.9 2492.1 2736.4 2883.0 2980.8 3078.5	828•7 633•4 565•2 489•4 468•7 423•5	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU	*F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.954 0.866 0.780 0.685 0.589	1.878E-02 5.420E-02 9.337E-02 1.451E-01 2.107E-01	1.081E 01 4.479E 00 2.927E 00 2.105E 00 1.609E 00	2.108E 01 6.562E 00 3.845E 00 2.470E 00 1.887E 00	
	0.488	3.0296-01	1.249E 00	1.418E 00	

RUN NO. 23

FREDN MASS FLUX	1.5033075E 05	WTR TEMP IN	49.68	FREON TEMP IN	82.87
WTR FLOW RATE	544.99	MEAN HT COEF	507.8	HEAT BAL ERROR	-0.028

VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR	T P GRAD
(F)	(F)	(F)	(LBF/FT3)
		••••	
78.870	72.000	1.640	0.000
79.550	71.830	1.410	0.000
78.830	69.520	2.000	0.000
79.410	68.410	2.040	0.000
78.130	65.610	2.050	0.000
76.170	61.830	1.720	0.000
	010030	10,20	0.000
IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	
(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)
	•••		
72.046	6.823	4495.9	658.9
71.870	7.679	3865.4	503.3
69.577	9.252	5482.8	592.5
68.468	10.941	5592.5	511.1
65.668	12.461	5619.9	450.9
61.879	14.290	4715.2	329.9
			52707
QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT)	NU+F2/PR+(RE++.9)
		• • • • • •	
0.932	2.444E-02	8.637E 00	1.158E 01
0.801	7.460E-02	3.481E 00	3.599E 00
0.656	1.450E-01	2.105E 00	2.678E 00
0.482	2.782E-01	1.324E 00	1.639E 00
0.311	5.275E-01	8.639E-01	1.136E 00
0.157	1.146E 00	5.314E-01	7.004E-01

94 4

RUN NO. 24		REFRIGE	RANT 22		
FREON MASS FLUX	7.3864387E	05 WTR TEM	IP IN 36.57	FREON TEMP IN	81.83
WTR FLOW RATE	1508.44	MEAN HT	COEF 2062.5	HEAT BAL ERROR	0.028
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	PGRAD	
	(+)	(+)	(+)	(LBF/F13)	
	79,050	71,220	3,190	183,707	
	79.050	72.050	2.620	189,540	
	77.460	67.410	2.850	148.716	
	77.820	65.430	2.500	134.135	
	76.090	62.410	2.770	119.555	
	74.640	55.320	2.360	43.740	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	71.472	7.577	24205.1	3194.2	
	72.257	6.792	19880.0	2926.6	
	67.635	9.824	21625.2	2201.1	
	65.627	12.192	18969.5	1555.8	
	62.628	13.461	21018.2	1561.4	
	55.506	19.133	17907.2	935.9	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU#	F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.925	2.714E-02	7.904E 00	1.362E 01	
	0.785	8.119E-02	3.259E 00	5.154E 00	
	0.656	1.434E-01	2.123E 00	2.605E 00	
	0.527	2.334E-01	1.496E 00	1.408E 00	
	0.405	3.575E-01	1.117E 00	1.162E 00	
	0.287	5.652E-01	8.262E-01	5.975E-01	

RUN NO. 25

REFRIGERANT 22

FREON MASS FLUX	5.9624675E 05	WTR TEMP IN	53.05	FREON TEMP IN	96.42
WTR FLOW RATE	1491.60	MEAN HT COEF	1422.4	HEAT BAL ERROR	0.029

VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL (F)	T	DEL WTR (F)	T P GRAD (LBF/FT3)
93.910	84.090		2.770	110.807
94.480	84.570		2.590	99.143
93.170	79.640		2.720	72.900
94.170	77.820		2.460	55.403
92.480	73.700		2.320	34.991
91.000	70.550		2.050	21.870
IN WALL T	DEL WALL	т	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF
(F)	(F)		(BTU/HR-FT;	2) (BTU/HR-FT2-F)
84.306	9.603		20783.6	2164.1
84.772	9.707		19433.0	2001.8
79.852	13.317		20408.5	1532.4
78.012	16.157		18457.6	1142.3
73.881	18.598		17407.2	935.9
70.710	20.289		15381.4	758.0
QUALITY	XTT		F(XTT)	NU*F2/PR*(RE**.9)
0.915	3.444E-02		6.479E 00	1.021E 01
0.748	1.110E-01		2.568E 00	3.764E 00
0.586	2.143E-01		1.589E 00	1.889E 00
0.423	3.902E-01		1.053F 00	1.064E 00
0.280	6.808E-01		7.336E-01	7.217E-01
0.151	1.361E 00		4.791E-01	5.074E-01

RUN NO. 26		REFRIG	ERANT 22		
FREON MASS FLUX	5.8922237E	05 WTR TE	MP IN 36.33	3 FREON TEMP IN	89.04
WTR FLOW RATE	1569.60	MEAN H	T COEF 1384.4	4 HEAT BAL ERROR	0.063
	VAPOR TEMP	OUT WALL T	DEL WTR T	P GRAD	
	(=)		(+)	(LBF/F13)	
	85.780	74.730	3.430	77.274	
	86.700	74.550	2.830	94.770	
	85.000	68.320	3.050	64.152	
	86.520	65.870	3.030	42.281	
	84.090	59.860	2.330	24.785	
	81.520	54.140	1.770	11.663	
	IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF	
	(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)	
	75.012	10.767	27081.5	2515.0	
	74.782	11.917	22344.2	1874.9	
	68.570	16.429	24081.2	1465.7	
	66.119	20.400	23923.3	1172.6	
	60.051	24.038	18396.4	765.2	
	54.285	27.234	13975.0	513.1	
	QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU	*F2/PR*(RE**•9)	
	0.892	4.135E-02	5.574E 00	9.624E 00	
	0.689	1.360E-01	2.206E 00	2.951E 00	
	0.504	2.709E-01	1.349E 00	1.551E 00	
	0.304	5.851E-01	8.080E-01	9.344E-01	
	0.140	1.388E 00	4.737F-01	5.087E-01	
	0.020	8.808E 00	1.687E-01	3.045E-01	

RUN ND. 27

REFRIGERANT 22

FREON MASS FLUX	6.8991512E 05	WTR TEMP IN	82.91	FREON TEMP IN	113.04
WTR FLOW RATE	1153.34	MEAN HT COEF	1726.8	HEAT BAL ERROR	0.031

VAPOR TEMP (F)	OUT WALL T (F)	DEL WTR T	P GRAD (LBE/ET3)
	10(170	2 1 6 0	100 /75
111.400	106.170	2.180	108.475
111.680	105.790	2.080	102.643
110.740	103.040	2.260	86.896
111.230	101.910	2.220	79.315
109.830	100.000	2.350	67.651
109.120	98.220	2.360	48.988
IN WALL T	DEL WALL T	HEAT FLUX	H T COEF
(F)	(F)	(BTU/HR-FT2)	(BTU/HR-FT2-F)
106.301	5.158	12647.5	2451.9
105.915	5.764	12067.3	2093.4
103.176	7.563	13111.6	1733.5
102.044	9.185	12879.6	1402.1
100.142	9.687	13633.8	1407.2
98.362	10.757	13691.8	1272.7
QUALITY	XTT	F(XTT) NU*	F2/PR*(RE**•9)
0.952	2.324E-02	9.014E 00	1.692E 01
0.857	6.850E-02	3.721E 00	5.742E 00
0.762	1.197E-01	2.426E 00	3.081E 00
0.662	1.871E-01	1.750E 00	1.851E 00
0.564	2.691E-01	1.355E 00	1.494E 00
0.462	3.868E-01	1.059E 00	1.129F 00

36

APPENDIX 2

LIST OF RELEVANT VARIABLES FOR THEORETICAL PROGRAM

- TSAT refrigerant temperature entering test section
- DET difference between vapor temperature and inside wall temperature
- G refrigerant flow rate
- E ratio of eddy conductivity to eddy viscosity
- GR gravitational constant
- A axial acceleration due to external forces
- DDXDZ D(dx/dz)
- UL, UV liquid and vapor viscosities
- KL liquid thermal conductivity
- CL liquid specific heat
- ROL, ROV liquid and vapor densities
- RU ratio of liquid to vapor viscosities
- RRO ratio of vapor to liquid densities
- PR Prandtl number
- XL 1 minus quality
- X quality
- DPF frictional pressure gradient
- V void fraction
- DPG gravitational pressure gradient
- RE Reynolds number
- DPM momentum pressure gradient
- DPT total pressure gradient
- DP delta plus
- TAO wall shear stress

BT	β
FO	F ₀
М	М .
MUSED	indicator showing if M term was used in the calculation of F_2
F2	F ₂
К	indicator showing number of iterations to obtain correct D(dx/dz)
YDIS	right term of Eq. (31)
HZ	local heat transfer coefficient
L	total length of condensation
DDD	new value of D(dz/dz) for iteration
НМ	mean heat transfer coefficient

PAGE 1 TRAVISS // JCE T 1130 1131 1131 1131 TRAVISS LCG DRIVE CART SPEC CART AVAIL PHY DRIVE 0000 1130 1130 0001 0000 0001 1131 1131 V2 MC9 ACTUAL 8K CONFIG 8K // FCR ***ICCS (CARD, 1403 PRINTER)** * LIST SCURCE PROGRAM REAL L.M.KL **DIMENSION SH(19)** CATA C/.0265/ READ (2,1C) N **1** C FCRMAT (I6) DC 21 II=1,NREAD (2,11) TSAT, DET, G, E 11 FERMAT (4F8.0) GR=4.17E8 С GRAVITY FCRCE $A = C \cdot O$ С CUALITY GRADIENT CCXCZ = -.001С PHYSICAL PRCPERTIES UL=0.673-(0.00135*TSAT) $UV = C \cdot C28 + ((0 \cdot 5 + TSAT) / 1000C \cdot)$ KL = 0.0699 - ((0.235 + TSAT) / 1000.)RCL=86.056-(.149*TSAT) IF(TSAT-100) 5,5,6 RCV = (.05 * TSAT) - 1.11155 FFG=98.363-(C.255*TSAT)CL=.255+.6*TSAT/1CCO. GC TC 7 RCV=.0665*TSAT-2.6593 6

```
PAGE 2 TRAVISS
```

```
HFG=101.6-(0.288*TSAT)
      CL=.24+.75+TSAT/1000.
7
      RL=UL/UV
      RRC=RCV/RCL
      PR=UL*CL/KL
      WRITE(5,12) TSAT, G, C, CET, E
12
      FCRMAT(1H1,5X'FRECN 22 AT ',F5.1,5X'G= ',F9.1,5X'D= ',
     1F6.4,5X, 'CET='F4.1,5X, 'E=', F4.1/)
      WRITE(5,13) UV,UL
13
      FCRMAT(5X'VAPCR VISCOSITY', F8.4, 5X'LIQUID VISCOSITY', F7.3/)
      WRITE(5,14) RCV,RCL
14
      FCRMAT(5X'VAPCR DENSITY', F1C.4, 5X'LIQUID DENSITY', F9.3/)
      WRITE(5,15) KL,CL, HFG, PR
15
      FCRMAT(5X'CONCUCTIVITY', F8.4, 3X'SPECIFIC HEAT', F7.3,
     13X'LATENT HEAT', F8.3.3X'PR', F6.2//)
      WRITE(5, 16)
16
      FCRMAT(2X, 'X', 5X, 'CPF', 1CX, 'CPM', 7X, 'CPT', 8X, 'RE', 11X, 'HZ', 7X,
     1'PM',9X,'L',13X,'M',11X,'DP',5X,'MUSEC'/)
      DC 21 I=1.19
      XL=.05+1
      X = 1 - XL
      A1=G*G/(GR*RCV*C)
      A2=0.09*((UV/(G*C))**C.2)
      A3=X**1.8
      A4=5.7*(RU**.C523)*(XL**.47)*(X**1.33)*(RR0**.261)
      A5=8.11*(RU**.105)*(XL**.94)*(X**.86)*(RRC**.522)
      CPF = -(A1 + A2 + (A3 + A4 + A5))
С
      VCID FRACTION
      V=1/(1+(XL*RRC**.667)/X)
C
      GRAVITY PRESSURE DRCP
      DPG = ((V * RCV + (1 - V) * RCL) * A)/GR
      RE=(XL+G+C)/UL
С
      MCMENTUM PRESSURE DRCP
      B1=2*X
      P_2 = (1 - 2 + X) + (RRC + + - 333)
```

PAGE	3 TRAVISS
	©3=(1-2*X)*(RRC**.667)
	P4=2*XL*RR0
1	CPM=-(A1*CDXCZ*(B1+B2+B3+B4))
С	TCTAL PRESSURE DRCP
	CPT=CPF+CPM+CPG
С	CELTA PLUS
	IF(RE-50.) 30,30,31
3 C	DP=.7071*RE**.5
	GC TC 36
31	IF(RE-1125.) 32,32,33
32	CP=.4818*RE**.585
	GC TC 36
33	CP=.095*RE**.812
36	TAC = -(DPF * D/4.)
С	CALCULATE BETA
	IF(DP-90.) 37,37,38
37	BI=2.368259*ALDG(DP)
	CC TC 39
38	P1=1.2
С	CALCULATE FC
39	G1=RRC**•333/(1•-V)
	G2=(XL*(2ET)*RRC)/((1V)*(1V))
	FC=-CPT+(A/GR)*RCL-(A1*CCXCZ*(G1-G2))
С	CALCULATE F2
	M=(FC+CP+UL)/(TAC+SGRT(GR+TAC+RCL))
	MUSED=0
	IF(EP-5.) 40,40,41
4 C	F2=DP*PR
	K = C
	GC TC 44
41	IF(DP-30) 42,42,43
42	F2=5.*PR+5.*ALCG(1.+E*PR*(DP/51.))/E
	κ=0
	GC TC 44
43	Y1=((1+PR)**.1*(2.71828**(.1*PR)))/3C.
	YCIS=(Y1*(DP**1.05)-DP)/((Y1*(DP**1.05))-2.5*Y1*(DP**.05)/PR-30

PAGE	4	TRAVISS
	1+2.5/	(PR)-M
	IF(YC	CIS) 60,60,61
60	C1=SG	RT(1.+1C.*M/(PR*CP*E))
	C2=60	0.*M/CP-1C1
	C3=60).*M/CP-1.+C1
	C4=2.	• * M - 1 • + C 1
	C5=2.	•*M-1•-C1
	F2=5.	•*PR+5•*ALCG(1•+5•*E*PR)/E+(2•5*ALCG((C4*C2)/(C5*C3)))/(C1*E)
	MUSED	0=1
	GC TC	2 62
61	F2=5*	+PR+5*(ALCG(1+5*PR*E))/E+2.5*(ALCG(CP/30))
62	K = 1	
C	LCCAL	HEAT TRANSFER CCEFFICIENT
44	FZ=CL	*SQRT(GR*TAC*RCL)/F2
	L=.05	D*HFG*G*C/(4•*HZ*CFT)
	IF(K)	3,3,4
4		
L.	NEW G	ILALITY GRADIENT
	2=AR2	
r	15(2)	
2		
50		(J)JU/ ULU NT /108 514 81
) ()		1
ſ	NEAN	A LARAN CEED COEETCIENT
3	IELI-	-1) 19.19.20
19		
• /	SHIT	=1/H7
	GCIC	23
20	IR=I-	· 1
	R+=1/	- /+Z
	SH(I)	=RH+SH(IR)
	HM = I/	SH(I)
23	WRITE	(5,17) X,CPF,CPM,CPT,RE,FZ,HM,L,M,CP,MUSEC
17	ECDMA	T/EA 2.EC 3 E14 3 E0 3 E14 E 2E0 1 2E14 E E0 3 T41

17 FCRMAT(F4.2,F9.3,F14.3,F9.3,E14.5,2F9.1,2E14.5,F9.3,I6)

PAGE 5 TRAVISS

21 CONTINUE END