





Statistical Model and the mesonic-baryonic transition region

H. Oeschler

Darmstadt University of Technology, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany E-mail: h.oeschler@gsi.de

J. Cleymans

UCT-CERN Research Centre and Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

K. Redlich

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, Pl-45204 Wrocław, Poland

S. Wheaton

UCT-CERN Research Centre and Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

The statistical model assuming chemical equilibrium and local strangeness conservation describes most of the observed features of strange particle production from SIS up to RHIC. Deviations are found as the maximum in the measured $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio is much sharper than in the model calculations. At the incident energy of the maximum, the statistical model shows that freeze out changes regime from one being dominated by baryons at the lower energies toward one being dominated by mesons. It will be shown how deviations from the usual freeze-out curve influence the various particle ratios. Furthermore, other observables exhibit also changes just in this energy regime.

5th International Workshop on Critical Point and Onset of Deconfinement - CPOD 2009, June 08 - 12 2009 Brookhaven National Laboratory, Long Island, New York, USA

Speaker.

1. Introduction

The NA49 Collaboration has performed a series of measurements of the production of strange particles in central Pb-Pb collisions at 20, 30, 40, 80 and 158 *A* GeV beam energies [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The most interesting result is the pronounced maximum in the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio observed around 30 *A* GeV. This "horn" has initiated a lot of discussion related as to whether or not it indicates a phase transition. Indeed, this has been suggested in [6, 7]. A more conventional interpretation has been presented within the hadron gas model [8], yet this model did not reproduce the measured yields in a satisfactory manner. This description together with the recently published values from NA49 and earlier AGS and RHIC results [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] are summarized in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Ratio of strange-to-non-strange mesons (upper part) and the corresponding ratios for baryons (lower part) as a function of $p_{\overline{s_{NN}}}$.

It is important to remark that only the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio exhibits a sharp maximum while the $K = \pi$ ratio shows a continuous rise with $p s_{NN}$. The dashed and solid lines represent calculation within the statistical model [8] explained in the next section. Both trends are qualitatively described within this model.

In the lower part of Fig. 1 the corresponding ratios with strange baryons over pion are seen to exhibit also a maximum, most pronounced for the ratio $\Lambda = \pi^+$. For the other ratios the experimental

situation is less clear and more results are eagerly needed.

2. Maximum relative strangeness content around $p_{\overline{s_{NN}}}$ 6 8 GeV

The statistical model is very successful in describing particle yields from SIS up to RHIC energies with only two parameters T and μ_B . At the very low incident energies a canonical description with exact strangeness conservation is needed [19]. The extracted parameters T and μ_B plotted in a "phase diagram" describe a smooth line which can be parameterized e.g. by the E=N-1GeV condition [20]. Figure 2 shows these values as a function of $\Pr \overline{s_{NN}}$ exhibiting for T a rising curve which saturates above top SPS energies at a value of about 170 MeV. The other parameter μ_B decreases with incident energy from a value near the nucleon mass to zero for fully transparent collisions. The lines represent parameterizations

$$T(\mu_B) = a \quad b\mu_B^2 \quad c\mu_B^4$$
: (2.1)

where $a = 0.166 \quad 0.002 \text{ GeV}, b = 0.139 \quad 0.016 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ and $c = 0.053 \quad 0.021 \text{ GeV}^{-3}$, and

Į

$$\mu_B(\stackrel{p}{\overline{s}}) = \frac{d}{1+e^{p}\overline{s}}; \qquad (2.2)$$

with $d = 1.308 \quad 0.028 \text{ GeV}$ and $e = 0.273 \quad 0.008 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.



Figure 2: Energy dependence of the chemical freeze-out parameters *T* and μ_B . The curves have been obtained using a parametrization discussed in the text.

Based on this set of the $p \overline{s_{NN}}$ dependence of the thermal parameters the dashed lines in Fig. 1 have been calculated. They described the observed trends qualitatively, but not the sharp maximum in $K^+ = \pi^+$. Recently, the statistical model has been extended including higher resonances [21].

As they mostly decay into pions the strong drop of the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio towards RHIC energies as observed in the data, is now much better described.



Figure 3: Left: Contributions to the Wroblewski factor λ_s (for definition see text) from strange baryons, strange mesons, and mesons with hidden strangeness. The sum of all contributions is given by the full black line. Right: Lines of constant Wroblewski factor λ_s in the $T - \mu_B$ plane (solid lines) together with the freeze-out curve (dashed line) [20].

To study whether strangeness has a maximum or not, it is more convenient to plot the Wroblewski factor [22] defined as

$$\lambda_s = \frac{2 s \bar{s}}{u \bar{u} + d \bar{d}}$$

where the quantities in angular brackets refer to the number of newly formed quark-antiquark pairs, i.e. λ_s excludes all quarks that were present in the target and the projectile nuclei. Figure 3, left, shows as solid line (marked "sum") the Statistical-Model calculations along the unified freezeout curve [20] with the energy-dependent parameters *T* and μ_B given above. From this figure we conclude that around $\Pr \overline{s_{NN}} = 6$ GeV corresponding to an incident energy of 20 *A* GeV, the relative strangeness content in heavy-ion collisions reaches a clear and well pronounced maximum. The Wroblewski factor decreases towards higher energies and reaches a limiting value of 0.43. For details see Ref. [8].

The appearance of the maximum can be traced to the specific dependence of μ_B and T on the beam energy as also pointed out in Ref. [23]. Figure 3, right, shows lines of constant λ_s in the T μ_B plane. As expected, λ_s rises with increasing T for fixed μ_B . Following the chemical freeze-out curve, shown as a dashed line in Fig. 2, one can see that λ_s rises quickly from SIS to AGS energies, then reaches a maximum at μ_B 500 MeV and T 130 MeV. These freeze-out parameters correspond to 30 A GeV laboratory energy. At higher incident energies the increase in T becomes negligible but μ_B keeps on decreasing and as a consequence λ_s also decreases.

The importance of finite baryon density on the behavior of λ_s is demonstrated in Fig. 3, left, showing separately the contributions to $\ln s\bar{s}i$ coming from strange baryons, from strange mesons

and from hidden strangeness, i.e. from hadrons like ϕ and η . The origin of the maximum in the Wroblewski ratio can be traced essentially to the contribution of strange baryons. The production of strange baryons dominates at low $\Pr \overline{s_{NN}}$ and loses importance at high incident energies when the yield of strange mesons increases. However, strange mesons also exhibit a maximum, yet less pronounced. This is due to the fact that strangeness production at the lower energies occurs via the associated production, i.e. K⁺ are created together with hyperons [24]. Therefore the K⁺ mesons are affected by the properties of the baryons, but the K are not.

3. Transition from Baryonic to mesonic freeze out

While the Statistical Model cannot fully explain the sharpness of the peak in the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio, there are nevertheless several phenomena giving rise to the rapid change which warrant a closer look at the model.



Figure 4: The entropy density normalized to T^3 as a function of the beam energy as calculated in the Statistical Model using THERMUS [25].

It has been shown that $s=T^3 = 6$ is a fairly good criterium to describe the freeze-out curve [26] and we use it here to describe the nature of the rapid change in the various ratios. We show in Fig. 4 the entropy density divided by T^3 as a function of beam energy as solid line. The separate contribution of mesons and of baryons to the total entropy is also shown in this figure by the dashed and dotted lines. There is a clear change of baryon to meson dominance around $\Pr[s_{NN}] = 8$ GeV.

Above this value the entropy is carried mainly by mesonic degrees of freedom. It is remarkable that the entropy density divided by T^3 is almost constant for all incident energies above the top AGS.

The separation line between meson dominated and baryon dominated areas in the $T = \mu_B$ plane is given in Fig. 5. In this figure the separation line crosses the freeze-out line at the stated $\frac{P}{s_{NN}}$. This figure invites for further speculations as e.g. an existence of a triple point [27].



Figure 5: The line separating the $T = \mu_B$ plane into an area dominated by baryonic and one by mesonic freeze out as calculated in the Statistical Model using THERMUS [25].

4. Deviations from the freeze-out curve

In the previous section we have argued that the Statistical Model with unique freeze out for all particles can not fully quantify the sharpness of the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio. In this section, we explore the possibility that freeze-out might happen earlier in this transition region. For this interpretation, we show in Fig. 6 the calculated values of the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio for various combinations of T and μ_B as contour lines with the corresponding values given in the figure. The thick solid line reflects the locations of the freeze out given by the condition of Ref. [20]. If freeze out happens around an incident energies of 30 A GeV at higher T, then the ratio $K^+ = \pi^+$ will be higher. This ratio can never exceed a value of 0.25 in an equilibrium condition.

It turns out that other particle ratios are less affected by a different freeze-out scenario, as their variation in the $T = \mu_B$ plane is very different [28].



Figure 6: Values of the $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio for combinations of *T* and μ_B are given by the contour lines and the corresponding values. The thick line refers to the freeze-out curve [20].

5. Other observations in this transition regime

An early freeze-out is also supported by results from HBT studies [29]. Figure 7 shows the extracted volume as a function of $P_{\overline{S_{NN}}}$. Between top AGS and the lowest SPS energies a minimum can be seen. As the fireball is expanding, a smaller volume reflects an earlier time. The authors of Ref. [29] relate this minimum to a change in the interaction from πN to $\pi \pi$. Indeed, assuming a mean free path length of about 1 fm nicely explains the observed trends. These studies have been continued and combined with the volume extracted from the statistical model fits [30, 31] and they all exhibit a change of sign in this energy regime.

Furthermore, the pion multiplicity per number of participating nucleons in heavy ion collisions crosses the results from pp collisions also in this energy regime.

6. Summary

It has been shown that the Statistical Model yields a maximum in the relative strangeness content around 30 A GeV. This is due to a saturation in the temperature T while the chemical potential keeps decreasing with incident energy. Since the chemical potential plays a key role, it is clear that baryons are strongly affected. Indeed, all hyperon/ π ratios yield maxima. In contrast, the K = π ratio shows a continuously rising curve as expected from the arguments above. The



Figure 7: Freeze-out volume as extracted from HBT studies [29].

 $K^+ = \pi^+$ ratio, however, exhibits a maximum, as K^+ mesons are sensitive to the baryo-chemical potential due to their associate production with hyperons occurring at the lower incident energies. The model predicts that for different hyperon/ π ratios the maxima occur at different energies. If experiments prove this, the case for a phase transition is strongly weakened.

The energy regime around 30 A GeV seems to have specific properties. It is shown that the entropy production occurs below this energy mainly via creation of baryons, while at the higher incident energies meson production dominates.

Finally, we put attention on the impact of a change in the freeze-out condition which might lead to an early freeze-out, thus deviating from the usual freeze-out condition. Such a scenario would increase the $K^+ = \pi^+$ while leaving other particle ratios essentially unchanged. HBT studies show that around 30 *A* GeV a minimum in the extracted volumina occurs. This could be interpreted as an earlier kinetic freeze-out and might indicate also another freeze-out for chemical decoupling.

This work was supported by the German Ministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and by the Polish Ministry of Science (MEN).

References

- [1] S.V. Afanasiev et al., (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C66 (2002) 054902.
- [2] M. Gaździcki, (NA49 Collaboration), J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 30 (2004) S701.
- [3] T. Anticic et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 022302; C. Blume et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 31 (2005) S685.

- [4] C. Alt et al. [NA49 Collaboration] Phys. Rev. C 77, 024903 (2008) [arXiv:0710.0118 [nucl-ex]]
- [5] T. Anticic et al. [NA49 Collaboration] Phys. Rev. C 79, 044904 (2009) [arXiv:0810.5580 [nucl-ex]]
- [6] M. Gaździcki and M.I. Gorenstein, Acta Phys. Polonica B 30 (1999) 2705.
- [7] R. Stock, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 30 (2004) S633.
- [8] P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, Nucl. Phys. A 697 (2002) 902.
- [9] L. Ahle et al., (E802 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C57 (1998) 466.
- [10] L. Ahle et al., (E802 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 044904 and 064901.
- [11] L. Ahle et al., (E866/E917 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B490 (2000) 53.
- [12] S. Albergo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 062301.
- [13] S. Ahmad et al., Phys. Lett. **B381** (1996) 3.
- [14] J. Klay et al., (E895 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C68 (2003) 054905.
- [15] L. Ahle et al., (E-802 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C58 (1998) 3523; Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 044904;
 L. Ahle et al., E866/E917 Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B476 (2000) 1; Phys.Lett. B490 (2000) 53.
- [16] J.C. Dunlop and C.A. Ogilvie, Phys. Rev. C61 (2000) 031901 and references therein; C. A. Ogilvie. Talk presented at QM2001, Stony Brook, January 2001, Nucl. Phys. A 698 3c; J.C. Dunlop, Ph.D.Thesis, MIT, 1999.
- [17] I. Bearden et al., (NA44 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B471 (1999) 6.
- [18] J. Harris, (STAR Collaboration), Talk presented at QM2001, Stony Brook, January 2001, Nucl. Phys. A698 64c.
- [19] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C59 (1999) 1663.
- [20] J. Cleymans and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 5284.
- [21] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B 673, 142 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1186 [nucl-th]].
- [22] A. Wroblewski, Acta Physica Polonica B16 (1985) 379.
- [23] S. Kabana, Eur. Phys. J. C21 (2001) 545.
- [24] J. Cleymans, A. Forster, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich and F. Uhlig, Phys. Lett. B 603, 146 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0406108].
- [25] S. Wheaton, J. Cleymans and M. Hauer, Computer Physics Communications, 180 (2009) 84-106.
- [26] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich and S. Wheaton, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034905 (2006).
- [27] P. Braun-Munzinger et al. to be published.
- [28] S. Wheaton, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cape Town, 2005.
- [29] D. Adamova et al., (CERES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 022301.
- [30] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 167 (2006) [arXiv:nucl-th/0511071].
- [31] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, Acta Phys. Polon. B 40, 1005 (2009) [arXiv:0901.2909 [nucl-th]].