

IDENTIFICATION OF NITROUS OXIDE GENERATION IN SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER INFILTRATION SYSTEM FILLED WITH MIXED MATRIX

Ying-Hua LI, Lei YANG, Hai-Bo LI^{*}, Si-Qi WANG, Fei SU

School of Resources and Civil Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China

Received 02 July 2019; accepted 20 January 2020

Abstract. Subsurface wastewater infiltration systems (SWIS) are one of the important sources of nitrous oxide (N₂O) production; understanding the biological processes and contributions of N₂O will help control the amount of N₂O produced. To quantitatively reveal the contribution of nitrification and denitrifiaction processes, 8 g potassium nitrate with 99.99 atom % ¹⁵N (i.e. ¹⁵N accounts for 99.99% of the total N) was dissolved in the influent (concentration: 3.3 g/L). Results showed that nitrification released more N₂O within 0–12 h, accounting for 79.6 ± 2.4%. The denitrification process accounted for 88.5 ± 1.3% for N₂O generation after the 12th hour. Thus, in order to effectively control the release of N₂O, the denitrification process should be given more attention. The maximum release rate of N₂O was 8.45 ± 0.8 mg/m²·h, which occurred near the end of the first wetting-drying cycle. Since then, peaks appeared periodically, mostly in the "rest" periods.

Keywords: subsurface wastewater infiltration, nitrous oxide, generation, domestic sewage, mixed matrix.

Introduction

Subsurface wastewater infiltration systems (SWIS) offer a promising opportunity to transform municipal wastewater into renewable energy with significantly reduced energy consumption (Pan et al., 2016a; Jiang et al., 2017). Generally, the SWIS process is a soil-based sewage treatment technology. Purification mechanisms involve biological, physical and chemical processes (Li et al., 2017b). The inlet pipe is usually set at a depth of 40–50 cm. The sewage infiltrates the 60-70 cm support layer under the action of gravity, and then climbs up to a depth of 20-25 cm driven by capillary force. When gravity is greater than the capillary force, sewage infiltrates into the bottom and is collected. According to the literature (Zhang et al., 2015), aerobic, anoxic and anaerobic states are sequentially dominant from top to bottom, thus the organic matter can be degraded through different biochemical reactions specific to each of those zones(Lloréns et al., 2011).

Although several studies have reported that the SWIS processes can effectively perform nitrogen biotransformation, it has been found to be a source of nitrous oxide (N₂O). According to Kong et al. (2002), SWIS emits 3.3–5.0 g N₂O/m³ in China (the total nitrogen concentration was 87 mg/L). Furthermore, the aerobic–anaerobic state is closely related to the generation of N₂O. Since then, many

researchers expressed their interests in N₂O generation from the SWIS process. Li et al. (2017b) confirmed via field study that the generation of N₂O was under the interaction of nitrification and denitrification processes. To solve the problem regarding N₂O sampling, Li et al. (2018) introduced a layered sampling method. Moreover, many operational parameters affecting N₂O generation, such as hydraulic load, organic load and intermittent cycle were revealed by Pan et al. (2016b), Jiang et al. (2017), Li et al. (2017a), Li et al. (2018) and Sun et al. (2018). These reports acquainted us with N₂O generation flux from SWIS. However, the contribution of different biofilm layers and biological processes to the release of N₂O remains undisclosed.

The isotopic tracer method is a microanalytical method for labeling subjects using radionuclides as tracers. This technology has the advantage of high sensitivity, convenient operation and accurate test results. Moreover, using isotopic techniques, the contribution of nitrification and denitrification processes to N₂O release was successfully revealed in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific (Ji et al., 2015). Thus, the aim of this paper is to explore our current understanding and knowledge gaps of the role of nitrification and denitrification in N₂O generation in the SWIS process, and to provide a basis to effectively control the

*Corresponding author. E-mail: graceli_2003@163.com

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by VGTU Press

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

release of N_2O by regulating biological processes. To this end, using isotopic technique, the release rate of N_2O was presented and discussed according to the calculation of stable N isotope concentration in the key processes.

1. Materials and methods

1.1. System, substrate and influent quality description

Four parallel SWIS simulators (plexiglass columns) were constructed, 130 cm high and 30 cm in diameter (Figure 1). The inlet pipe was located at a depth of 50 cm below the soil surface. From top to bottom, each column was filled with farmland soil, mixed matrix and gravel in sequence (Li et al., 2018).



Figure 1. Experimental columns of subsurface wastewater infiltration system

Farmland soil (meadow brown soil, filled in 0–30 cm) was sampled from Shenyang Agro-ecosystem Station. Mixed matrix (filled in 30–110 cm) was composed of fine sand (0.1–1.0 mm in diameter), coal slag (0.1–0.5 mm in particle size) and farmland soil mixed in volume ratio of 1:2:7. Gravels (filled in 110–130 cm, 1–2 cm in diameter) were placed at the bottom to support the matrix and minimize the risk of clogging of outlet. A comparison of the

properties of meadow brown soil (MBS) and mixed matrix (MM) (Table 1) revealed their substantial differences in porosity and permeability.

Five oxidation reduction potential (ORP) probes (FJA-3) were installed at depths of 40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 cm, respectively, giving information on aerobic, facultative and anaerobic conditions. Influent was the blended sewage of campus. The main indexes (Table 2) indicated that the wastewater needed further treatment to meet the discharge standards.

1.2. Experimental operation

A flow meter was used to control the influent to $0.09 \pm 0.01 \text{ m}^3/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d})$. Intermittent operation was adopted with wetting-drying ratio of 1:1 (i.e., SWIS was fed continuously for 12 h followed by a "rest" period for 12 h). During the "rest" period, the system can be reoxygenated (especially for the upper layer), providing conditions that made the biological oxidation of NH₄⁺-N and organics possible.

After the effluent quality steadily satisfied the first class A criteria of the "Discharge Standard of Pollutants for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant" (GB18918-2002) for 5 consecutive days (GB18918-2002: COD < 50 mg/L, $BOD_5 < 10 \text{ mg/L}, \text{NH}_4^+-\text{N} < 5 \text{ mg/L}, \text{TN} < 15 \text{ mg/L} \text{ and}$ TP < 0.5 mg/L), 8 g K¹⁵NO₃ with 99.99 atom % ¹⁵N was dissolved in influent (concentration: 3.3 g/L) within 2 h. Compared with the added ¹⁵N isotope, the concentration of nitrate in raw water was negligible. Gas samples were taken before the introduction of ¹⁵N isotope and every 60 min after the addition. At each sampling time, a collection hood (20 cm high) was placed on the soil surface for 20 min and gases were collected using 25-mL plastic syringes. Then the samples were stored in pre-evacuated 20-mL vials and analyzed within 24 h. Influent, effluent and mixed matrix samples were collected and analyzed as well.

1.3. Analytical method

Water samples were analyzed according to standard methods (APHA, 2005). N₂O concentration was determined using a Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph

Item	pН	Organic matter/ %	Porosity/ %	Permeability/ (cm/s)	Granular grading/%		
					>1 mm	1–0.05 mm	<0.05 mm
MBS	6.8	3.96	52.5	8.9×10 ⁻⁵	2.0	31.1	66.9
MM	7.2	4.99	56.8	1.1×10^{-3}	27.6	23.4	49.0

Table 1. Comparison of properties between MBS and MM

Indexes	COD/(mg/L)	BOD/(mg/L)	$NH_4^+-N/(mg/L)$
Mean value ± deviation	322.2 ± 9	190 ± 12	34.7 ± 9
Indexes	$NO_3^{-}-N/(mg/L)$	$NO_2^{-}-N/(mg/L)$	TN/(mg/L)
Mean value ± deviation	1.9 ± 0.1	0.52 ± 0.1	53.1 ± 0.2

manufactured by Shimadzu Corporation (Japan). The detector was a 63Ni electron capture detector (ECD) and the chromatographic column is an 80/100 mesh Porapak Q packed column. High purity nitrogen (99.999%) was used as the carrier and back flushing gas at a flow rate of 50 mL/min. The analysis of ¹⁵N-N₂O was performed at Stable Isotope Laboratory in Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shenyang, China), determined using a Trace Gas Pre-concentrator and a mass spectrometer (IsoPrime100) (Xi et al., 2016). The water and soil isotopic abundance were determined using a Europa Integra continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Europa Scientific, Seron, Cheshire, UK). The analysis was performed in triplicate, and error analysis was carried out by SPSS 18.0.

1.4. Calculation method

 N_2O generation rates were estimated using formula (1) (Maucieri et al., 2017):

$$F_{N_2O} = H \times (C_2 - C_1) / \Delta t \,. \tag{1}$$

In the above equation, F_{N_2O} was the generation rate of N₂O, mg/(m²·h); *H* was the height of gas collection hood, m (0.2 m here); Δt was the time interval between two consecutive sampling, h; C_1 and C_2 werethe concentration of N₂O in two consecutive samples, mg/m³.

 15 N-N₂O generation rate was calculated by formula (2), proposed by Xi et al. (2016):

$$F_{\rm N_2O}^{15} = F_{\rm N_2O} \times A_{\rm N_2O} \,. \tag{2}$$

In the above equation, $F_{N_2O}^{15}$ was the generation rate of 15 N-N₂O, mg/(m²·h), A_{N_2O} was the abundance of 15 N-N₂O, obtained on the samples,%.

 N_2O generation rates from denitrification and nitrification were calculated using formulas (3)–(4) (Xi et al., 2016):

$$F_{\rm N_2O}^D = F_{\rm N_2O}^{15} / F_n;$$
(3)

$$F_{\rm N_2O}^N = F_{\rm N_2O} - F_{\rm N_2O}^D \,. \tag{4}$$

In the above equations, $F_{N_2O}^D$ was the generation rate of N₂O in denitrification process, mg/(m²·h); F_n was the abundance of ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ in the mixed matrix, obtained on the samples, %; $F_{N_2O}^N$ was the generation rate of N₂O in nitrification, mg/(m²·h).

2. Results and discussion

2.1. The fate of nitrate nitrogen in the influent

For the SWIS process, nitrate nitrogen (NO_3^-) in influent will be discharged, used by microorganisms and converted into gaseous nitrogen (Pan et al., 2016a). In order to quantitatively analyze its fate,nitrate concentration in effluent and soil, N₂O generation rate, ¹⁵N abundance in

soil, effluent and N_2O were analyzed and calculated (Figure 2). All data was corrected from the background value.

As shown in Figure 2a, at 0 h, NO_3^{-} -N in the effluent was as low as (7.5 ± 1.4) mg/L. Considering that the concentration of NO_3^{-} -N in the soil was closely related to that in the wastewater (Han et al., 2017), the concentration of soil NO_3^{-} -N was also low at the beginning (Figure 2b). As the operation progressed, hydraulic load shock was the main reason for the significant increase of NO_3^{-} -N concentration in both effluent and soil (Lyu et al., 2017). After 5 wetting-drying cycles (amounts to 120 h), the concentrations of NO_3^{-} -N in effluent and soil came gradually close to the control groups (11.6 ± 0.8 mg/L and 5.1 ± 0.3 mg/kg, respectively). As the system was dosed in the 12 hour on-12 hour off cycle and then repeated, it was expected to see a nitrate spike at 24–36, 48–60, 72–84, 96–108 and



Figure 2. (a) Nitrate concentration and ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ abundance in effluent, (b) Nitrate concentration and ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ abundance in soil and (c) N₂O release rate and ¹⁵N-N₂O abundance

120–132 hours. But an almost continuous decline was found in effluent (Figure 2a). The reason may be that the nitrate in influent was continuously utilized by microorganisms and converted into amino acids and proteins (Pan et al., 2016b; Jiang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017a). To prove this, organic matter contents of MM were analyzed at 30, 54, 78, 102 and 126 h, respectively. The results showed that during the experimental period, the organic matter content increased slightly from 4.99 (0 h) to 5.06 (30 h), 5.11 (54 h), 5.12 (78 h), 5.15 (102 h) and 5.19 (126 h), indicating that the missing nitrate was likely to be bio-converted into organic substances.

With regard to ¹⁵N abundance, between 0 and 1 h, it was close to 0.366% of natural abundance in N₂O, indicating that ¹⁵NO₃⁻ didn't participate in the denitrification process within the first 1 h, and even failed to reach the bottom at all. This was mainly due to the special hydraulic flow pattern in the SWIS process, as described in Introduction section. Compared with the sand filtration system and constructed wetlands, the special flow pattern of capillary flow (up-flow) and gravity flow (down-flow) led to the longer retention time and higher removal rate of total nitrogen (Zhang et al., 2015; Han et al., 2017; Maucieri et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). Consequently, in the early stage of water intake (especially 0-1 h), low ¹⁵N abundance was observed in effluent and N2O. As the water labeled with ¹⁵N gradually reached the outlet, the abundance of effluent ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻, soil ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and ¹⁵N-N₂O increased rapidly. After 19–20 h, ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ in soil and ¹⁵N-N₂O reached the maximum, which were $(80.1 \pm 2.2)\%$ and $(74.6 \pm 1.6)\%$, respectively. Since then, ¹⁵N labeled water was discharged gradually from the system. As a result, soil ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and 15 N-N₂O decreased and remained at around (40.2 ± 2.0)% and (6.7 \pm 0.6)%, respectively. Based on the ¹⁵N isotope tracer experiment, it can be concluded that $(52.3 \pm 1.7)\%$ nitrate in influent was discharged with effluent. Soil microbial utilization and biotransformation accounted for (40.2 ± 2.0) %. Nitrogen additioncan induce promising effects in the soil by enhancing microbial transformation of native inorganic and organic nitrogen bound (Wu et al., 2017). As a result, the decomposition of labile organic matter in soil was accelerated as indicated by the higher N₂O emissions.

2.2. Nitrous oxide emissions over time

Although only a small fraction of influent nitrate was converted to N_2O through biological denitrification directly, it represented a permanent removal of nitrogen (Li et al., 2019). Therefore, after introducing ¹⁵N, N_2O and ¹⁵N- N_2O were sampled every 60 min and their emission rates were found to have a linear positive correlation (Figure 3).

The maximum generation rate of N₂O occurred at the end of the first wetting-drying cycle (24 h), consistent with the cumulative peak of soil nitrate (as shown in Figure 2b). Then the generation rate of N₂O slowed down. The results suggested that soil nitrate concentration was one of the influencing factors of N₂O generation. Given that nitrate was the electron acceptor in the denitrification process (Sabba et al., 2015), its availability was listed as one of the fundamental prerequisites of N₂O production. However, the process of denitrification, which basically occurs in the bottom layers where the dissolved oxygen concentration is close to zero, requires the consumption of a relatively large number of organic carbon. The reduction of 1 g nitrate to N₂ needs 2.86 g BOD (Mander et al., 2014; Sabba et al., 2015). If all nitrates were reduced to N_2O , the demandfororganic carbon would not be much less than the case of N_2 . Actually, about 60–80% organics in the influent were removed in the upper layers in the SWIS process (Li et al., 2017b, 2019), resulting in a decrease in N₂O generation.

Additionally, it is noted that the average generation rate of N₂O during drying cycles was higher than that in wetting cycles (Figure 3a). For example, during the first wetting cycle (0–12 h), the N₂O generation rate was $3.2 \pm$ 0.4 mg/m²·h, 3.4 ± 0.3 mg/m²·h lower than that of the subsequent drying cycle (12-24 h). Due to the increasingly saturated conditions during wetting cycles, N₂O generated by the denitrification process found it hard to escape from the bottom layer. Instead, during the drying cycles, the unsaturated soil pores encouraged N₂O to escape. There are three benefits to setting up the drying period of the system. One is that the upper layer of soil has sufficient reoxygenation to help the degradation of organic matter, the other is to help drain the accumulated water in the lower layers, and the third is to encourage the biological metabolic gas (such as N₂O) escape from the system



Figure 3. (a) N₂O and ¹⁵N-N₂O release rate over time and (b) Linear correlation between N₂O and ¹⁵N-N₂O release

(Zhang et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2016a; Sun et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). The generation rate of ¹⁵N-N₂O came close to zero at the beginning (0-6 h), which had positive correlation with the ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ abundance in soil (as shown in Figure 2b). With extended running time, ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ abundance in soil increased and provided sufficient electron acceptor for denitrification (Ji et al., 2015). Thus, the generation rate of ¹⁵N-N₂O increased significantly and reached a maximum at 20 h. In brief, N₂O generation rate was as low as $0.33 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg/m}^2$ ·h at the beginning. Its maximum generation was $8.45 \pm 0.8 \text{ mg/m}^2 \cdot h$, occurring near the end of the first wetting-drying cycle (24 h). Then, its generation decreased with increasing running time until it was close to the control group. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between N2O and ¹⁵N-N2O generation rate ($R^2 = 0.86$) (Figure 3b).

2.3. Contribution to nitrous oxide release

92

Micro-environmental differentiation of soil profiles can be done by measuring the ORP (Li et al., 2016). The zones with ORP higher than 400 mV and less than -200 mV are defined as aerobic and anaerobic zones, respectively. While when ORP is between -200 mV and 400 mV, this area is considered to be a facultative zone. Even if a small part of the areas fluctuated between aerobic and facultative or facultative and anaerobic (Figure 4), the upper layer (above 75 cm) was in aerobic state, and the lower layer (below 105 cm) was soil saturated, which was an anaerobic zone. During nitrification (which occurs in the upper layers), N₂O can be generated due to the oxidation of NH4⁺-N and hydroxylamine (NH2OH) (Sun et al., 2018). When the dissolved oxygen availability is limited, NO2⁻-N accumulates and exerts toxicity to microbial cells (Domingo-Félez et al., 2017). In this case, heterogeneous nitrite reductase is produced and reduces NO2⁻-N to N2O (Zhang et al., 2009; Lyu et al., 2017). Due to the incomplete reduction of NO2--N, the denitrification process (which occurs in the lower layers) is another main contributor of N₂O generation (Kong et al., 2002).

In this study, the source of N₂O is categorized into denitrification and nitrification processes, namely $F_{N_2O}^D$ and $F_{N_2O}^N$. According to Eq. (3) and (4), $F_{N_2O}^D$ and $F_{N_2O}^N$ were calculated (Figure 5). Due to the lack of nutrients and electron acceptorduring the "rest" period (i.e. the drying period) (Domingo-Félez et al., 2017), $F_{N_2O}^D$ was very low. With the addition of denitrifying substrate and enhanced activity of denitrifying bacteria, $F_{N_2O}^D$ increased to 0.85 ± 0.1 mg/m²·h at 12 h and reached a maximum of 4.5 ± 0.5 mg/m²·h at 24 h. An increase in the soil nitrate concentration accelerated the denitrification reaction (Pan et al., 2016); Xi et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017), leading to an increase in $F_{N_2O}^D$. As a result, when the concentration of nitrate in the soil decreased, decline in $F_{N_2O}^D$ was also noted. Overall, in the first 12 h, nitrification process



Figure 4. Evolution of ORP versus depth and operation time. ORP profiles were established from five measurements (40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 cm depth), using spatial linear interpolation





was the main pathway for N₂O generation, accounting for 79.6 \pm 2.4%. After 12 h, 88.5 \pm 1.3% of N₂O was generated from the denitrification.

The experiments provided practical proof that the denitrification process accounted more for N_2O generation in SWIS processes, especially with the extension of the operation time. The results also indicated that considering the long-term operation of the system, the denitrification process should be given more attention. In view of the mechanism of N_2O generation during the denitrification process (incomplete reduction of nitrite), it is important to maintain the activity of the related enzymes, such as nitrous oxide reductase. However, SWIS is equivalent to a complex bioreactor, and various microbial processes coexist. Therefore, in addition to the nitrification and denitrification processes, whether and how the other processes contribute to the release of N_2O needs further research.

Conclusions

This study revealed the contribution of nitrification and denitrification processes to the release of N₂O from the SWIS process. After 12 hours of operation, more N₂O was generated in the lower layer, accounting for 88.5 \pm 1.3%. But in the early stage, the aerobic zone (above 75 cm) was the place where more N₂O was generated. Considering the ever-expanding scale of SWIS applications, how to effectively control the release of N₂O from the denitrification process will become the focus of attention. Furthermore, the amount of N2O generated during the drying period was higher than that during the wetting period. And the highest release rate(8.45 \pm 0.8 mg/m²·h) occurred near the end of the first wettingdrying cycle (24 h). The results suggested that once meeting the effluent requirements, the drying cycle should be shortened as much as possible.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to Dr. Yun-Ting Fang of Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shenyang, China) for assistance with the experiments and valuable discussion. This work was financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities [grant number N2001016, N2001012] and the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 41571455, 51578115].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

American Public Health Association (APHA). (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation. Washington, DC.

- Domingo-Félez, C., Pellicer-Nàcher, C., Petersen, M. S., Jensen, M. M., Plósz, B. G., & Smets, B. F. (2017). Heterotrophs are key contributors to nitrous oxide production in activated sludge under low C-to-N ratios during nitrification-batch experiments and modeling. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 114(1), 132–140. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26062
- Han, W. J., Shi, M. M., Chang, J., Ren, Y., Xu, R. H., Zhang, C. B., & Ge, Y. (2017). Plant species diversity reduces N₂O but not CH₄ emissions from constructed wetlands under high nitrogen levels. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24, 5938–5948. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8288-3
- Ji, Q. X., Babbin, A. R., Jayakumar, A., Oleynik, S., & Ward, B. B. (2015). Nitrous oxide production by nitrification and denitrification in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific oxygen minimum zone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(24), 10755– 10764. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066853
- Jiang, Y. Y., Sun, Y. F., Pan, J., Qi, S. Y., Chen, Q. Y., & Tong, D. L. (2017). Nitrogen removal and N₂O emission in subsurface wastewater infiltration systems with/without intermittent aeration under different organic loading rates. *Bioresource Technology*, 244(Part 1), 8–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.135
- Kong, H. N., Kimochi, Y., Mizuochi, M., Inamori, R., & Inamori, Y. (2002). Study of the characteristics of CH₄ and N₂O emission and methods of controlling their emission in the soil-trench wastewater treatment process. *Science of the Total Environment*, 290(1–3), 59–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(01)01058-0
- Li, M., Wu, H. M., Zhang, J., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W. S., & Kong, Q. (2017a). Nitrogen removal and nitrous oxide emission in surface flow constructed wetlands for treating sewage treatment plant effluent: Effect of C/N ratios. *Bioresource Technology*, 240, 157–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.02.054
- Li, Y. H., Li, H. B., Xu, X. Y., Wang, S. Q., & Pan, J. (2018). Does carbon-nitrogen ratio affect nitrous oxide emission and spatial distribution in subsurface wastewater infiltration system? *Bioresource Technology*, 250, 846–852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.12.024
- Li, Y. H., Li, H. B., Xu, X. Y., Xiao, S. Y., Wang, S. Q., & Xu, S. C. (2017b). Field study on N₂O emission from subsurface wastewater infiltration system under variable loading rates and drying-wetting cycles. *Water Science and Technology*, 76(8), 2158–2167. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.388
- Li, Y. H., Li, H. B., Xu, X. Y., Zhou, Y. C., & Gong, X. (2016). Correlations between the oxidation-reduction potential characteristics and microorganism activities in the subsurface wastewater infiltration system. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(12), 5350–5357. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.1003606
- Li, Y. H., Li, H. B., Yang, L., Xu, X. Y., Wang, S. Q., & Su, F. (2019). Study on the contribution of different depth layers to N_2O emission in subsurface wastewater infiltration system. *Ecological Engineering*, 133, 69–75.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2019.04.030
- Lloréns, M., Pérez-Marín, A. B., Aguilar, M. I., Sáez, J., Ortuño, J. F., & Meseguer V. F. (2011). Nitrogen transformation in two subsurface infiltration systems at pilot scale. *Ecological Engineering*, 37(5), 736–743. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.06.033
- Lyu, W. L., Huang, L., Xiao, G. Q., & Chen, Y. S. (2017). Effects of carbon sources and COD/N ratio on N_2O emissions in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Bioresource Technology*, 245(Part A), 171–181.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.056

Mander, Ü., Dotro, G., Ebie, Y., Towprayoon, S., Chiemchaisri, C., Nogueira, S. F., Jamsranjav, B., Kasak, K., Truu, J., Tournebize, J., &Mitsch, W. J. (2014). Greenhouse gas emission in constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: A review. *Ecological Engineering*, 66, 19–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acclong.2013.12.006

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.12.006

Maucieri, C., Barbera, A. C., Vymazai, J., & Borin, M. (2017). A review on the main affecting factors of greenhouse gases emission in constructed wetlands. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 236, 175–193.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.01.006

- Pan, J., Yuan, F., Zhang, Y., Huang, L. L., Cheng, F., Zheng, F. P., & Liu, R. X. (2016a). Nitrogen removal in subsurface wastewater infiltration systems with and without intermittent aeration. *Ecological Engineering*, 94, 471–477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.06.025
- Pan, J., Yuan, F., Zhang, Y., Huang, L. L., Yu, L., Zheng, F. P., Cheng, F., & Zhang, J. D. (2016b). Pollutants removal in subsurface infiltration systems by shunt distributing wastewater with/without intermittent aeration under different shunt ratios. *Bioresource Technology*, 218, 101–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.079
- Sabba, F., Picioreanu, C., Pérez, J., & Nerenberg, R. (2015). Hydroxylamine diffusion can enhance N₂O emissions in nitrifying biofilms: a modeling study. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(3), 1486–1494. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5046919

- Sun, Y. F., Qi, S. Y., Zheng, F. P., Huang, L. L., Pan, J., Jiang, Y. Y., Hou, W. Y., & Xiao, L. (2018). Organics removal, nitrogen removal and N₂O emission in subsurface wastewater infiltration systems amended with/without biochar and sludge. *Bioresource Technology*, 249, 57–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.004
- Wu, L., Tang, S. R., He, D. D., Wu, X., Shaaban, M., Wang, M. L., Zhao, J. S., Khan, I., Zheng, X. H., Hu, R. G., & Horwath, W. R. (2017). Conversion from rice to vegetable production increases N₂O emission via increased soil organic matter mineralization. *Science of the Total Environment*, 583, 190–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.050
- Xi, D., Bai, R., Zhang, L. M., & Fang, Y. T. (2016). Contribution of anammox to nitrogen removal in two temperate forest soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82, 4602– 4612. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00888-16
- Zhang, J. B., Cai, Z. C., Cheng, Y., & Zhu, T. B. (2009). Denitrification and total nitrogen gas production from forest soils of Eastern China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41(12), 2551– 2557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.09.016
- Zhang, L. Y., Ye, Y. B., Wang, L. J., Xi, B. D., Wang, H. Q., & Li, Y. (2015). Nitrogen removal processes in deep subsurface wastewater infiltration systems. *Ecological Engineering*, 77, 275–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.01.008