MMCA- Conference pp R Ciegis Lu c - Vilniaus Gedimino technikos universitetas

SOLUTION OF ABEL-TYPE HYPERGEOMETRIC INTEGRAL EQUATION

A.A.KILBAS, R.K.RAINA, M.SAIGO and H.M.SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics Belarusian State University --- Minsk Belarus

College of Technology and Agricultural Engineering, Udave William Udale 1, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1990, 199

Department of Applied Mathematics Fukuoka University Fukuoka - Japan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Victoria Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3P4, Canada

ABSTRACT

The paper is devoted to the study of the onedimensional integral equation involving the Gauss hypergeometric function in the kernel. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of such an equation in the space of summable functions are proved and two forms for its solution are given

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to the study of the integral equation

$$
\frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{\gamma-1} F\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) \varphi(t) dt = f(x) \ (a
$$

with a set of a set α -respectively. A set α -respectively, the set of α -respectively interval α -respectively. In the set of α the real axis R \sim 1.000 to this equation contains the Gaussian co hypergeometric function function

$$
F(a, b; c; z) \equiv_2 F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}
$$
 (1.2)

where \mathcal{L} is the Pochhammer symbol is the

$$
(z)_0 = 1; (z)_n = z(z+1)\cdots(z+n-1) \ (n = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{1.3}
$$

- and equations () . The classical equation of the classical equations () . The contract of the contract of \mathbf{a} in the case obtained from \mathbf{a} -because \mathbf{b} -because \mathbf{b} Therefore, such an equation is called the Abel-type hypergeometric integral equation

Onedimensional Abeltype integral equations involving the Gauss hyper geometric function in the kernel have been studied by many authors $[1]$, $[4]$, $[7]-[12], [17], [22, Section 35.1], [23], [24]$ - see also [25]. Such equations have arisen in the boundary value problems for partial differential equations with boundary conditions involving generalized integro-differentiation operators [5], $[13]-[16]$, $[18]-[20]$, $[21]$, $[24]$, $[26]$, $[27]$. One such multidimensional integral equation of non-convolution type was investigated in $[2, Section 4.6.2]$. In the papers above, the integral operators of the equations considered were represented as compositions of simpler fractional integration operators with power weights On the basis of these representations and the known properties of fractional calculus operators, the sufficient conditions for the solvability of the integral equations were given and their inversion formulas were obtained in some function spaces

The investigation of necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the above equations is more difficult. This problem is closely connected with characterization of the range of the corresponding integral operators. The classical Tamarkin's statement $[22, Section 2.2]$ on the solvability of the \mathbf{a} integral equation is known in the space L-matrix is known in the space L-matrix is known in the space \mathbf{a} A similar result for the multidimensional Abeltype integral equation over pyramidal domain was proved in 

Our paper is devoted to obtain the aformentioted results for the integral equation (1.1) which was investigated in [17] in the particular case when $h = a$, with α , β and γ being replaced by $\alpha + \beta$, $-\eta$ and α , respectively. Solution of the equation - is given in Section A preliminary lemma is proved in Section Section deals with the solvability of this equation in L-a- b Section 5 is devoted to obtain sufficient conditions for the solvability of the equations (six) and another form for its solutions \sim

- SOLUTION OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATION

we suppose that the integral equation $\{1, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is solution and $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ $x \rightarrow 1$ in the following way Replacing way Replacing both and multiplying both and multiplying both \mathbf{u}

sides of the resulting equation by $(x-t)^{-\gamma}F\left(-\alpha,1+\beta\right)$ $-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}$ and then integrating over a state of the state of

$$
\int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) (t-h)^{-\alpha} dt
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\gamma-1} F\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \frac{t-\tau}{t-h}\right) \varphi(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
= \Gamma(\gamma) \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f(t) dt. \tag{2.1}
$$

Interchanging the order of integration at the lefthand side of - and mak ing the change of variables to the lefthand μ is the lefthand μ and μ side of - in the form

$$
(x-h)^{-\alpha} \int_a^x \varphi(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 s^{-\gamma} (1-s)^{\gamma-1} \left(1 - s \frac{x-\tau}{x-h}\right)^{-\alpha}
$$

$$
\cdot F\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \frac{(1-s)(x-\tau)/(x-h)}{1-s[(x-\tau)/(x-h)]}\right) F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; s \frac{x-\tau}{x-h}\right) ds.
$$

By using  - the latter is seen to be equal to

$$
B(\gamma, 1 - \gamma)(x - h)^{-\alpha} \int_a^x F\left(0, 1 + \beta - \gamma; 1; \frac{x - \tau}{x - h}\right) \varphi(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
= \Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(1 - \gamma)(x - h)^{-\alpha} \int_a^x \varphi(\tau) d\tau,
$$

and therefore \mathbf{r} rewrite \mathbf{r} rewrite as rewriten as \mathbf{r}

$$
\int_{a}^{x} \varphi(\tau)d\tau = \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f(t)dt.
$$
\n(2.2)

 \mathbf{v} follows from \mathbf{v} the solution \mathbf{v}

-x

$$
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \frac{d}{dx} \left[(x-h)^{\alpha} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f(t) dt \right].
$$
\n(2.3)

3. A PRELIMINARY LEMMA

To obtain the solvability conditions of the equation - in the space L-a- b we put

$$
f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f(t)dt.
$$
\n(3.1)

The following preliminary assertion holds

 Δ behind in a body $f(y) \subset \Delta$ (ω , ω), ω , ω

$$
f(t) = O((t - a)^{\mu}), \ \mu > -1 \ (t \to a), \tag{3.2}
$$

when $h < a$ or

$$
f(t) = O((t - a)^{\mu}), \ \mu > \max[\beta - \alpha, 0] - 1 \ (t \to a), \tag{3.3}
$$

when $h = a$, and

$$
f(t) = O((b-t)^{\nu}), \ \nu > \gamma - 2 \ (t \to b), \tag{3.4}
$$

then $f_h^{+, \infty, \omega}(x) \in L_1(a, b)$.

P r o o o we have the order of integration we have the order of integration we have the order of \sim

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f_{h}^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x) dx =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \int_{t}^{b} (x-h)^{\alpha}(x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) dx.
$$
\n(3.5)

To evaluate the inner integral we apply the series expansion \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} α . The change of variables α integral representation of α and α representation of α for the Gauss for μ , μ is a specified function of the Gauss function μ , and μ and μ and μ

$$
I = \int_{t}^{b} (x - h)^{\alpha} (x - t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1 + \beta - \gamma; 1 - \gamma; \frac{x - t}{x - h}\right) dx
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)_k (1 + \beta - \gamma)_k}{(1 - \gamma)_k k!} \int_{t}^{b} (x - h)^{\alpha - k} (x - t)^{-\gamma + k} dx
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)_k (1 + \beta - \gamma)_k}{(1 - \gamma)_k k!} (t - h)^{\alpha - k} (b - t)^{1 - \gamma + k}
$$

 $A.A.Kilbas, R.K.Raina, M.Saigo and H.M.Srivastava$

$$
\int_0^1 \tau^{-\gamma+k} \left[1 - \left(-\frac{b-t}{t-h} \right) \tau \right]^{\alpha-k} d\tau
$$

$$
= \frac{(t-h)^\alpha (b-t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-\alpha)_k (1+\beta-\gamma)_k}{(2-\gamma)_k k!} \left(\frac{b-t}{t-h} \right)^k
$$

$$
\cdot F\left(k-\alpha, 1-\gamma+k; 2-\gamma+k; -\frac{b-t}{t-h} \right),
$$

where we have also used the relations

$$
(-\alpha)_k (-\alpha + k)_{m-k} = (-\alpha)_m, (2-\gamma)_k (2-\gamma + k)_{m-k} = (2-\gamma)_m.
$$

 \mathcal{A} , and changing the order of summation changing the order order of summation \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{A} according to the corresponding to the second term of the corresponding to t

$$
I = \frac{(t-h)^{\alpha}(b-t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)_k (1+\beta-\gamma)_k}{(2-\gamma)_k k!} \left(\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right)^k
$$

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\alpha)_j (1+k-\gamma)_j}{(2+k-\gamma)_j j!} \left(-\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right)^j = \frac{(t-h)^{\alpha}(b-t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right)^m
$$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \frac{(-\alpha)_k (-\alpha+k)_{m-k} (1+\beta-\gamma)_k (1+k-\gamma)_{m-k}}{(2-\gamma)_k (2+k-\gamma)_{m-k} k! (m-k)!}
$$

$$
= \frac{(t-h)^{\alpha}(b-t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)_m}{(2-\gamma)_m} \left(\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right)^m
$$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \frac{(1+\beta-\gamma)_k (1+k-\gamma)_{m-k}}{k! (m-k)!}.
$$
(3.6)

Using the relations

$$
(1 - \gamma + k)_{m-k} = \frac{(1 - \gamma)_m}{(1 - \gamma)_k}, \ (m - k)! = (-1)^{m-k} \frac{(-m)_m}{(-m)_k},
$$

$$
F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \left(Re(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) > 0 \right). \tag{3.7}
$$

96

and - and - we evaluate the inner sum in - and - a

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \frac{(1+\beta-\gamma)_k (1+k-\gamma)_{m-k}}{k! (m-k)!} =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(1-\gamma)_m}{(-m)_m} F (1+\beta-\gamma, -m; 1-\gamma; 1) = \frac{(1-\gamma)_m}{(-m)_m} \frac{\Gamma(1-\gamma)\Gamma(-\beta+m)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma+m)\Gamma(-\beta)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(-\beta)_m}{(-m)_m} = \frac{(-1)^m (-\beta)_m}{m!}.
$$

 \sim we have the relation in - \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim

$$
I=\frac{(t-h)^\alpha(b-t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}F\left(-\alpha,-\beta;2-\gamma;-\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right).
$$

Then for an and \mathbf{r} a

$$
\int_a^b f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)dx = \int_a^b F_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(t)dt,
$$

$$
F_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} (t-h)^{\alpha} (b-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha,-\beta;2-\gamma;-\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right) f(t). \tag{3.8}
$$

When $h < a$, by (3.2), $F_h^{(1),\alpha,\beta}(t) = O((t-a)^{\alpha+\mu})$ as $x \to a$. If $h = a$, according to (2.2) as a simple function of the Gauss hypergeometric function \mathcal{C} at infinity [3, 2.3(9)], we obtain the asymptotic behaviour of $F_h^{(1,\dots,\infty)}(t)$ near $t = a$

$$
F_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(t) = O\left((t-a)^{\mu}\right) + O\left((t-a)^{\mu+\alpha-\beta}\right) \ (t \to a)
$$

in the case of \mathbf{f} is a formulation of log-the case of l integrates the asymptotic structure \mathbf{Q} is the asymptotic function of \mathbf{Q}

$$
F_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(t) = O\left((b-t)^{\nu+1-\gamma}\right) \ (t \to b).
$$

So $f_h^{i_1,\cdots,i_r}(x)$ is integrable on (a,b) , and by (3.8) we have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} |f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)| dx \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)}
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} (t-h)^{\alpha} (b-t)^{1-\gamma} \left| F\left(-\alpha, -\beta; 2-\gamma; -\frac{b-t}{t-h}\right) \right| |f(t)| dt < \infty.
$$
 (3.9)

Hence $f_h^{f^{(\alpha,\beta)}}(x) \in L_1(a,b)$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. SOLVABILITY OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATION

which denote by ACS (bits) continuous functions functions and absolutely continuous functions functions (and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ it is known in the form of the space coincides with the space coincides with the space coincides with the space the space of primitives of Lebesgue summable functions on a- b
namely

$$
AC[a, b] = \{f : f(x) = c + \int_{\alpha}^{x} g(t)dt, \int_{a}^{b} |g(t)|dt < \infty.
$$
 (4.1)

THEOREM 1. Let α , β and $0 < \gamma < 1$ be real numbers and let $f_h^{(\alpha,\alpha,\nu)}(x)$ -h a be given by - The Abeltype hypergeometric integral equation is solvable in L-a- b if and only if

$$
f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x) \in AC([a,b]), \ f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(a) = 0. \tag{4.2}
$$

Under these conditions the equation - has a unique solution given by -

P r o o f To prove the neccesity part let - be solvable in L-a- b Then all steps described in Section 2, in which the change of order of integration in (i.e.) in function the function are true Thus are the Matter Thus (i.e.,) in theorem in the function of th - follows from -

To prove the suciency part let the conditions in - hold Then

$$
\left(f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)\right)'=\frac{d}{dx}f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)\in L_1(a,b),
$$

in view of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ is a solution of the solution of $\{2,3,4\}$. We conclude the contract of $\{1,3,4\}$ \bm{r} (ii) and the lefthand side of \bm{r} and \bm{r} -resulting the resulting the resulting \bm{r} expression by g- g- g- \sim we have the second contract of th

$$
\frac{(x-h)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\gamma-1} F\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) \left(f_h^{\gamma, \alpha, \beta}(t)\right)' dt = g(x). \tag{4.3}
$$

This is an integral equation of the form - involving the prescribed function $f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x) \Big)^\prime$. It . It is constructed and solve and so by $\mathcal{A} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$

$$
\left(f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)\right)' = \left(g_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)\right)',\tag{4.4}
$$

where $g_h^{i_1,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is expressed similarly to (3.1):

$$
g_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)=\frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)}\int_a^x(x-t)^{-\gamma}F\left(-\alpha,1+\beta-\gamma;1-\gamma;\frac{x-t}{x-h}\right)g(t)dt.
$$

Equation (4.4) shows that $f_h^{(k,n)}(x)$ and $g_h^{(k,n)}(x)$ differ by a constant k, that is $f_h^{(1),\alpha,\beta}(x) - g_h^{(1),\alpha,\beta}(x) = k$ for any $x \in [a,b]$. But $f_h^{(1),\alpha,\beta}(a) = 0$ by (4.2) and $g_h^{1,\alpha,\beta}(a)=0$, because (4.3) is a solvable equation. Hence $k=0$ and

$$
\frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) [f(t) - g(t)] dt = 0.
$$
\n(4.5)

This is an equation of the form - and the uniqueness of its solution leads to the result f \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{f} is complete of the proof of Theorem is complete of the proof of th

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE SOLVABILITY AND NEW FORM FOR THE SOLUTION

The criterion of solvability of the Abel-type hypergeometric integral equation (1.1) was obtained in Theorem I in terms of the auxiliary function $f_h^{(0,0)}(x)$. The result below gives such as the functions in terms of the functions in terms of the function f \mathbf{r} To prove such a result, we need in the preliminary assertion contained in

 \blacksquare such that $0 < \gamma < 1$, when $h < a$, and

$$
0 < \gamma < 1, \ \gamma - \alpha - 1 < \beta < 1 + \alpha, \ \gamma < 1 + \alpha, \tag{5.1}
$$

when $h = a$. Then $f_h^{f^{(1)}, \alpha, \beta}(x) \in AC[a, b]$ and

$$
f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}(x-a)^{1-\gamma}f(a)}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \; _2F_1\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-a}{x-h}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f'(t)dt. \tag{5.2}
$$

In particular, when $h = a$

$$
f_a^{\gamma, \alpha, \beta}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha - \beta) f(a)}{\Gamma(2 + \alpha - \gamma)\Gamma(1 - \beta)} (x - a)^{\alpha - \gamma + 1}
$$

$$
+ \frac{(x - a)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{1 - \gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1 + \beta - \gamma; 2 - \gamma; \frac{x - t}{x - a}\right) f'(t) dt. \tag{5.3}
$$

P r o o f Since by hypothesis f -t - ACa- b in view of - f -t is representable in the form

$$
f(t) = f(a) + \int_{a}^{t} f'(\tau) d\tau.
$$
 (5.4)

substituting the relation into a set of the s

$$
f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha} f(a)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) dt
$$

+
$$
\frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) dt \int_a^t f'(\tau) d\tau
$$

= $I_h(x) + J_h(x).$ (5.5)

 \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} if \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c} if $\$

$$
I_h(x) = \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha} f(a)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)_k (1+\beta-\gamma)_k}{(1-\gamma)_k k!} (x-h)^{-k} \int_a^x (x-t)^{k-\gamma} dt
$$

$$
= \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha} (x-a)^{1-\gamma} f(a)}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-a}{x-h}\right). \tag{5.6}
$$

In particular, when $h = a$ and $1 + \alpha - \beta > 0$ we have

$$
I_a(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)f(a)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha-\gamma)\Gamma(1-\beta)}(x-a)^{\alpha-\gamma+1}
$$
(5.7)

in accordance with $\{z\cdot\cdot\}$ and $\{y\mid\{z\cdot\}$, the order of interchanging the order of interchanging $\{y\mid\{y\mid\}$ α in the integral mixing the integral mixing α in the integral with using α

$$
J_h(x) = \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^x f'(\tau) d\tau \int_{\tau}^x (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) dt
$$

$$
= \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^x \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)_k (1+\beta-\gamma)_k}{(1-\gamma)_k k!} (x-h)^{-k} \int_{\tau}^x (x-t)^{-\gamma+k} d\tau \right] f'(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
= \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f'(t) dt.
$$
 (5.8)

If $h < a$, it is clear, that $I_h(x)$, $J_h(x) \in AC[a, b]$ and hence $f_h^{(k)}(x) \in$ activity that is an absolutely continuous continuous continuous continuous continuous continuous continuous co function, because

$$
(x-a)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} = (\alpha-\gamma+1)\int_a^x (t-a)^{\alpha-\gamma}dt
$$
\n(5.9)

and $(t - u)^{-\alpha}$ $\in L_1(a, v)$ by the condition $\alpha - \gamma + 1 > 0$ in (5.1). To prove $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$ in accordance with a symptotic via \mathbf{v} . The symptotic with a symptotic via \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} at intervals of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v} in the set of \mathbf{v}

$$
F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-a}\right) = O\left((x-a)^{-\alpha}\right) + O\left((x-a)^{1+\beta-\gamma}\right) \ (x \to a)
$$

for non-non-teger of \mathbb{R}^n in the case of log-dition of log-dition of log-dition of \mathbb{R}^n Therefore $\{f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \}$ is the condition of the condition of the condition $\{f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \}$ \mathcal{S} in the form of \mathcal{S} in the form \mathcal{S} is the form of \mathcal{S} in the form of \mathcal{S}

$$
J_a(x) = \int_a^x h(t)dt, \ h(x) = \frac{d}{dx}J_a(x). \tag{5.10}
$$

By using - and - and making termbyterm dierentiation being jus time the conditions in \mathcal{N} is easily seen that is easily

$$
h(x) = h_1(x) + h_2(x)
$$

$$
\equiv \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-a}\right) f'(t) dt
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha(x-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(1-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-a}\right) f'(t) dt.
$$
\n(5.11)

It is proved similarly to those in - and - that

$$
\int_a^b h_1(x)dx = \int_a^b g_1(t)dt, \ \int_a^b h_2(x)dx = \int_a^b g_2(t)dt,
$$

where

$$
g_1(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)}(t-a)^\alpha (b-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, -\beta; 2-\gamma; -\frac{b-t}{t-a}\right) f'(t),
$$

$$
g_2(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(3-\gamma)}(t-a)^{\alpha-1}(b-t)^{2-\gamma} F\left(1-\alpha, 1-\beta; 3-\gamma; -\frac{b-t}{t-a}\right) f'(t)
$$

and

$$
\int_a^b |g_1(t)|dt < \infty, \quad \int_a^b |g_2(t)|dt < \infty.
$$

Hence h-x - L-a- b and Ja-x is also an absolutely continuous function in accordance with (5.8) and (5.10) and $J_a^{(1),\alpha,\beta}(x) \in AC([a,0])$.

The representation - follows from -- This completes the proof of Lemma

COROLLARY. Under the conditions of Lemma 2, $f_h^{i, \alpha, \beta}(a) = 0$.

The following result gives a new form of the inversion formula for the equa tion - applicable to absolutely continuous functions

theoretical contracts of the contra numbers such that the conditions in Lemma are satisfied to a set and the satisfied the Second type in the contraction of the contract in Letter in Lateration and its solution of the contract \mathcal{L} can be expressed in the form

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{-\gamma}(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-a}{x-h}\right) f(a)
$$

$$
+ \alpha \frac{(x-a)^{1-\gamma}(x-h)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} F\left(1-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-a}{x-h}\right) f(a)
$$

$$
+ \frac{(x-h)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f'(t) dt
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha(x-h)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(1-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-h}\right) f'(t) dt.
$$
(5.12)

When $h = a$, this solution takes the form

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)f(a)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\gamma)\Gamma(1-\beta)}(x-a)^{\alpha-\gamma}
$$

$$
+\frac{(x-a)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)}\int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{-\gamma} F\left(-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 1-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-a}\right)f'(t)dt
$$

$$
+\frac{\alpha(x-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)}\int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{1-\gamma} F\left(1-\alpha, 1+\beta-\gamma; 2-\gamma; \frac{x-t}{x-a}\right)f'(t)dt.
$$
(5.13)

P r o o f. By Lemma 2 and its corollary, $f_h^{(1),\dots,(2)} \in AC([a,b])$ and $f_h^{+, \infty, \omega}(a) = 0$. So the conditions (4.2) of Theorem 1 are satisfied and the $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}$ is solven in Lemma . The solve is solved in Lemma . The solve is so that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}$ is a solve in Lemma . The solve is so that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}$ is a solve in Lemma . The solve is s $f_h^{\gamma,\alpha,\beta}(x)$, (5 \blacksquare is a set of \blacksquare obtained by dierentiating - The theorem is thus proved

REMARK 1. The results in Lemmas 1 and 2 and Theorems 1 and 2 generalize the corresponding statements for the classical Abel integral equation studied in $[22, Section 2.2]$.

 $R_{\rm E}$ remarks μ . The reduce of Sections I und σ given in Theorems I unit μ und μ in particular the new forms (the forms (the solution of the equation of \sim \mathcal{C} . The used to other similar types of integral equations in the \mathcal{C} model \mathcal{C} is a constant forms of Gauss hypergeometric function \mathcal{N} -forms of \mathcal{N} -forms of \mathcal{N} -forms of \mathcal{N}

and the state is the put has been assumed as in the state of the state of the state of the state of the state o by and by \mathcal{P} in \mathcal{P} in and with the generalized with the genera fractional integral operator in the left-hand side introduced in $[17]$. Theorems 1 and 2 with the above spesializations yield new forms of results concerning the solvability of this equation and they can be applied to solve the boundary value problems where such equations where \mathcal{C} are such that the such that the such that \mathcal{C} $[26]$, $[27]$).

REMARK 3. The results in Theorem 1 leads to the definition of the generalized fractional integration and differentiation operators defined by the lefthand side of the equation \mathbf{A} the equation - \mathbf{A} the equation - \mathbf{A} the equation - \mathbf{A} respectively. Theorem 2 gives sufficient conditions for the existence of such a generalized fractional derivative and its another forms - and -

Acknowledgment

The present investigation was supported, in part, by Belarusian Fundamenthe research ϵ and ϵ and ϵ ϵ -partment of Science and Technology - ϵ is a separate ϵ of India Grant No. 1 and \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{N}

REFERENCES

- [1] B.L.J. Braaksma and A. Schuitman. Some classes of Watson transforms and related integral equations for generalized functions \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} math \mathcal{N} math \mathcal{N}
- [2] Yu.A. Brychkov Yu., H.-J. Glaeske, A.P. Prudnikov, and Vu Kim Tuan. Multidimensional Integral Transformations, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1992.
- [3] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi. Higher Transcendental $Functions, 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.$
- [4] T.P. Higgins. A hypergeometric integral transform, J. Soc. Industr. Appl. Math., 12, 1964, P. $601-612$.
- [5] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo, and O.A. Repin. Solution in closed form of boundary value problem for degenerate equation of hyperbolic type Kyungpook Math J 1996. P. $261-273$. P -
- [6] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo, and H. Takushima. On integrable solution of a multidimensional Abelitype integral equations is attached University Stephen Belley, Second Belley, Integral Sci $1 - 9$
- ER Love Some integral equations involving hypergeometric functions Proc Ed inburgh Math Soc - - P
- [8] E.R. Love. Two more hypergeometric integral equations, *Proc. Cambridge Philos.* Soc - P -
- ER Love A hypergeometric integral equation Lect Notes Math - P
- OI Marichev Handbook of Integral Transforms and Higher Transcendental Functions. Theory and Algorithmic Tables, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1982.
- AC McBride Solution of hypergeometric integral equation involving generalized functions Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding Proceeding P
- [12] T.R. Prabhakar. A class of integral equations with Gauss functions in the kernels, $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$
- [13] O.A. Repin. Boundary value problem for an equation of moisture transfer, Dif fertsialnye Uravneniya P - In Russian
- [14] O.A. Repin. Non-local boundary value problem for parabolic-hyperbolic equation with the charteristic line of changes \sim spectrum, who contributes \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim -- In Russian
- [15] O.A. Repin. Boundary Value Problems with Shift for Equations of Hyperbolic and Mixed Type Saratov Univ Saratov In Russian
- [16] O.A. Repin. Non-local boundary value problem for one degenerate hyperbolic equation Doklar Akad Nauk Russ Akad Russian
- M Saigo A remark on integral operator involving the Gauss hypergeometric function mathematic computers of the computer of the state of the state of the computer of the computer of the
- [18] M. Saigo. A certain boundary value problem for the Euler-Darboux equation, $Math$. Japon - P --
- [19] M. Saigo. A certain boundary value problem for the Euler-Darboux equation II, math and a property we are local property with a water of what is a property of the set of the set of the set
- m said and the Euler Catalogue and the Euler of the Euler of the Euler Catalogue and III III III Math Japon P
- [21] M. Saigo, O.A. Repin, and A.A. Kilbas. On a non-local boundary value problem for an equation of mixed parabolic-hyperbolic type, Internat. J. Math. Statist. Sci., Provided a series of the s
- [22] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I. Marichev. Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1993.
- [23] R.K. Saxena and R. Kumbhat. A generalization of Kober operators, Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrica - P
- [24] M.M. Smirnov. A solution in closed form of the Volterra equation with a hypergeometric function in the kernel Dierentsialnye Uravneniya P -- In Russian
- [25] H.M. Srivastava and R.G. Buschman. Theory and Applications of Convolution Integral Equations, Kluwer, Boston, 1992.
- [26] H.M. Srivastava and M. Saigo. Multiplication of fractional calculus operators and boundary value problems involving the Euler-Darboux equation, J. Math. Anal. \mathbf{r} . The planet is the property of the
- VF Volkodavov and OA Repin A boundary value problem for the Euler Dar bout extending the positive parameter p and p and P --- In Russian