Goranov, Breier, and Grossman

1		
2	YabA of	Bacillus subtilis controls DnaA-mediated replication initiation but
3		not the transcriptional response to replication stress
4		
5		Alexi I. Goranov, Adam M. Breier, and Alan D. Grossman*
6		Department of Biology
7		Building 68-530
8		Massachusetts Institute of Technology
9		Cambridge, MA 02139
10		
11	key words: DNA replication; transcription; DnaA; YabA; Bacillus subtilis	
12	running title: YabA and DnaA of B. subtilis	
13		
14		
15		
16	*Corresponding author:	
17	phone: 6	17-253-1515
18	fax: 6	17-253-2643
19	E-mail: ac	dg@mit.edu
20		
21		

21 Summary

22 vabA encodes a negative regulator of replication initiation in Bacillus subtilis and 23 homologues are found in many other Gram-positive species. YabA interacts with the β-24 processivity clamp (DnaN) of DNA polymerase and with the replication initiator and transcription factor DnaA. Because of these interactions, YabA has been proposed to modulate 25 26 the activity of DnaA. We investigated the role of YabA in regulating replication initiation and 27 the activity of DnaA as a transcription factor. We found that YabA function is mainly limited to 28 replication initiation at oriC. Loss of YabA did not significantly alter expression of genes 29 controlled by DnaA during exponential growth or after replication stress, indicating that YabA is 30 not required for modulating DnaA transcriptional activity. We also found that DnaN activates replication initiation apparently through effects on YabA. Furthermore, association of GFP-31 32 YabA with the replisome correlated with the presence of DnaN at replication forks, but was independent of DnaA. Our results are consistent with models in which YabA inhibits replication 33 34 initiation at oriC, and perhaps DnaA function at oriC, but not with models in which YabA 35 generally modulates the activity of DnaA in response to replication stress. 36

37 Introduction

38 Bacteria use multiple mechanisms to regulate the initiation of replication and to alter gene 39 expression in response to changes in replication status. The chromosomal origin of replication, 40 *oriC*, and the replication initiation protein DnaA are key targets for controlling replication 41 initiation in bacteria {(Kaguni, 2006, Zakrzewska-Czerwinska et al., 2007, Mott & Berger, 42 2007), and references therein}. DnaA is highly conserved (Messer, 2002). It is a member of the 43 AAA+ family of proteins, binds ATP or ADP, and has a weak ATPase activity. In E. coli, and 44 presumably other bacteria, DnaA is active for replication initiation only when in the ATP-bound 45 form (Sekimizu et al., 1987). During replication initiation, DnaA binds to sequences in oriC and 46 can cause melting of a portion of *oriC* to generate ssDNA. The ssDNA serves as an assembly 47 region for the replication machinery {reviewed in (Kaguni, 2006, Mott & Berger, 2007, 48 Zakrzewska-Czerwinska et al., 2007, Messer, 2002, Messer et al., 2001). 49 Much of what we know about the control of replication initiation by DnaA comes from work 50 with E. coli. There are multiple mechanisms for controlling the activity of E. coli DnaA and its 51 ability to bind to its sites in oriC {e.g., (Kato & Katayama, 2001, Ishida et al., 2004, Nievera et 52 al., 2006, Kaguni, 2006, Zakrzewska-Czerwinska et al., 2007). However, despite the 53 conservation of DnaA and its binding sites and the similar overall regulation of replication 54 initiation in many organisms, the proteins used to regulate replication initiation in E. coli are not 55 widely conserved. 56 Replication initiation in *Bacillus subtilis* is also highly regulated and DnaA is part of this 57 regulation (Yoshikawa & Ogasawara, 1991, Ogura et al., 2001, Hayashi et al., 2005). As in E. coli, transcription of dnaA is auto-repressed and overexpression of dnaA causes excessive 58 59 replication initiation and reduces the size of cells at the time of initiation (Ogura et al., 2001). 60 However, B. subtilis does not contain homologs of any of the well-characterized proteins known

to modulate replication initiation and DnaA activity in *E. coli* {e.g., (Zakrzewska-Czerwinska et
al., 2007)}.

63 Replication initiation in *B. subtilis*, and presumably other Gram-positive bacteria, is 64 regulated, in part, by YabA (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Hayashi et al., 65 2005, Cho et al., 2008, Soufo et al., 2008). B. subtilis YabA is a negative regulator of replication initiation. *vabA* null mutations cause increased and asynchronous replication (Noirot-Gros et al., 66 67 2002, Hayashi et al., 2005), and overexpression of *yabA* causes decreased replication (Hayashi et al., 2005). GFP-YabA forms foci within the cell and the positions of these foci correspond with 68 69 those of the replication machinery (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, Hayashi et al., 2005, Cho et al., 70 2008). YabA interacts with DnaA and the β -clamp (DnaN) of DNA polymerase and these 71 interactions are thought to be important for YabA function and localization (Noirot-Gros et al., 72 2002, Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Cho et al., 2008). In addition, YabA seems to be required for association of DnaA with the replication fork (Soufo et al., 2008). Because of these interactions 73 74 and its subcellular location, it is thought that YabA regulates the activity of DnaA in response to replication status (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Hayashi et al., 2005, Cho et 75 76 al., 2008, Soufo et al., 2008).

In addition to its essential role in replication initiation, DnaA is also a transcription factor. In *B. subtilis*, replication stress causes multiple changes in transcription, and DnaA mediates many
of these changes independently of the *recA*-dependent SOS response (Goranov *et al.*, 2005,
Burkholder *et al.*, 2001). Genes controlled by DnaA are involved in many processes, including:
replication, development, metabolism, and cell division (Messer & Weigel, 1997, Goranov et al.,

82 2005, Collier et al., 2006, Gon et al., 2006, Burkholder et al., 2001, Wang & Kaguni, 1987,

83 Atlung et al., 1985, Braun et al., 1985, Kucherer et al., 1986, Ogura et al., 2001, Breier &

84 Grossman, 2009). As is the case for replication initiation, it is thought that DnaA-ATP is the

85 active form for transcriptional regulation (Kurokawa et al., 1999, Kaguni, 2006, Zakrzewska-

86 Czerwinska et al., 2007, Gon et al., 2006).

87 Because of its ability to interact with both DnaA and the β -clamp of DNA polymerase. YabA 88 has been proposed to modulate the activity of DnaA, both as a replication initiator and 89 transcription factor, in response to alterations in replication status (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, 90 Soufo et al., 2008). We investigated the role of YabA in regulating replication initiation and in 91 regulating the activity of DnaA as a transcription factor. We found that YabA function is mainly 92 limited to replication initiation at oriC. Loss of YabA did not significantly alter expression of 93 genes controlled by DnaA nor the broader class of genes whose expression is affected in 94 response to inhibition of replication elongation. Our results indicate that YabA is not required 95 for modulating the activity of DnaA as a transcription factor in response to replication stress. 96 We also found that the β -clamp of DNA polymerase regulates replication initiation and that this 97 regulation appears to be mediated by YabA.

98

99 **Results**

100 Effects of YabA on DNA replication require the DnaA-dependent *oriC*

101 Null mutations in *yabA* cause an increase in replication initiation (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002,

102 Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Hayashi et al., 2005, Cho et al., 2008). We found that this increase was

103 not observed in cells initiating replication from a heterologous origin inserted into the

104 chromosome. We compared the effects of deletion and overexpression of *yabA* on replication in

105 cells initiating from either *oriC* or the heterologous origin *oriN*. Initiation from *oriN* requires its

106 cognate initiator protein RepN and is independent of DnaA (Hassan *et al.*, 1997). We monitored

107 replication relative to cell growth by measuring the DNA to protein ratio (DNA/protein) of cells

108 in culture (Kadoya *et al.*, 2002).

109 In cells growing in minimal medium and initiating replication from *oriC*, a *vabA* null 110 mutation caused an increase in the DNA to protein ratio of nearly 2-fold relative to that of $yabA^+$ 111 cells (Fig. 1A). Conversely, overexpression of *vabA* from a heterologous promoter caused a 112 decrease in the DNA to protein ratio (Fig. 1A). The effect in the *yabA* deletion mutant was more 113 severe in minimal medium than in rich medium (data not shown). These results are consistent 114 with previous findings that YabA is a negative regulator of replication (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, 115 Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Havashi et al., 2005, Cho et al., 2008). 116 In contrast to the effects of *vabA* on replication from *oriC*, there was little or no effect when replication initiated from the DnaA-independent *oriN*. We constructed *oriC*-mutant strains by 117 118 integrating the heterologous origin of replication, *oriN*, and its specific replication initiator, *repN* 119 (Hassan et al., 1997, Kadoya et al., 2002, Berkmen & Grossman, 2007, Goranov et al., 2005), 120 close to the location of the endogenous origin, and deleting part of *oriC* (*oriC*). Replication from 121 oriN does not require DnaA, but appears to require all other known replication initiation factors 122 that are needed at *oriC* (Hassan et al., 1997). Neither deletion nor overexpression of *vabA* had 123 any detectable effect on the DNA to protein ratios in $oriN^+$ oriC⁻ strains (Fig. 1B). 124 Subcellular localization of YabA 125 The use of strains capable of initiating replication from *oriN* makes *dnaA* dispensable for 126 replication and viability (Hassan et al., 1997, Kadoya et al., 2002, Berkmen & Grossman, 2007, Goranov et al., 2005) and allowed us to determine if *dnaA* is required for formation of foci of 127 128 YabA. Previous cell biological analyses indicate that GFP-YabA forms foci that correspond to

- 129 the subcellular positions of the replisome (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Hayashi et al., 2005).
- 130 Analyses of YabA mutants defective in interacting with either DnaA or DnaN (B-clamp) indicate
- 131 that YabA needs to interact with both DnaA and the β -clamp in order to form foci (Noirot-Gros
- 132 et al., 2006). Mutations in *dnaA* that cause altered interactions between DnaA and YabA were

also found to prevent formation of foci of GFP-YabA (Cho et al., 2008), consistent with the prior 133 134 findings. However, loss of interaction between YabA and DnaA is not the only effect of these 135 mutations. The mutant cells also over-initiate replication (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Cho et al., 136 2008) and there could be other effects on YabA and DnaA as well. Therefore, it is possible that 137 the YabA:DnaA interaction may not actually be required for focus formation by GFP-YabA and 138 that the effects of the mutations on foci of GFP-YabA are indirect. 139 To test directly if DnaA and *oriC* are required for formation of GFP-YabA foci, we 140 monitored the formation of GFP-YabA foci in *oriC* mutant cells initiating replication from *oriN*. We disrupted *dnaA* in the *oriN*⁺ *oriC* cells by integrating a plasmid into *dnaA* and placing *dnaN*. 141 142 the gene downstream, under control of the IPTG inducible promoter Pspac(hy). GFP-YabA still 143 formed foci in the *dnaA* null mutant strain (Fig. 2A). Thus, DnaA is not required for GFP-YabA 144 focus formation. 145 Maintenance of GFP-YabA foci was dependent on ongoing replication. We monitored GFP-146 YabA foci in cells treated with HPUra, an inhibitor of DNA Polymerase III that blocks 147 replication elongation (Neville & Brown, 1972, Brown, 1970). Within 15 min of addition of HPUra to cells, foci of GFP-YabA disappeared from >99% of cells in both the *dnaA* null mutant 148 initiating from *oriN* (Fig. 2B) and $dnaA^+$ cells initiating from *oriC* (compare Figs. 2C and 2D). 149 150 Loss of GFP-YabA foci correlated with loss of DnaN-GFP foci. We monitored the effects of 151 replication arrest on foci of the β-clamp (DnaN-GFP), the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase 152 (PolC-GFP), the HolB subunit of the clamp loader (HolB-GFP), and the clamp loader/ τ -subunit 153 (DnaX-GFP). Foci of PolC-GFP (Fig. 2E, F), DnaX-GFP (Fig. 2G, H), and HolB-GFP (data not 154 shown) persisted for greater than 60 min after replication arrest. In contrast, foci of the β -clamp 155 (DnaN-GFP) disappeared from >98% of cells within 15 min after addition of HPUra (Fig. 2I, J). These results indicate that after replication arrest with HPUra, several of the replisome 156

157 components remain assembled, but that the β -clamp is largely dissociated. Taken together, our 158 results indicate that formation of foci of GFP-YabA is independent of *dnaA* and *oriC*, but 159 correlates with foci of DnaN-GFP, and that YabA and DnaN are released from the replication 160 complex following replication arrest.

161 **Overproduction of β-clamp (DnaN) stimulates DNA replication**

Since both DnaA and YabA regulate replication initiation and YabA interacts with both 162 163 DnaA and the ß-clamp, we tested whether alterations in expression of *dnaN* (ß-clamp) might also 164 modulate replication initiation. We found that the ß-clamp stimulates replication initiation, 165 likely by inhibiting the activity of YabA. In these experiments, we manipulated transcription of 166 *dnaN* using a xylose-inducible PxylA-*dnaN* fusion. Induction of PxylA-*dnaN*, in the presence of 167 a wild type copy of *dnaN*, caused an approximately 8-fold increase in the amount of *dnaN* 168 mRNA as determined using DNA microarrays (data not shown). We were unable to measure 169 differences in levels of B-clamp protein since antibodies were not available. Nonetheless, 170 alterations in expression of *dnaN* caused changes in replication. 171 Increased transcription of *dnaN* caused an increase of approximately 60% in the DNA to 172 protein ratio relative to that of wild type (Fig. 3A), indicating that an increase in β-clamp

173 stimulated DNA synthesis. This effect was not general for overexpression of any replisome

174 component as overexpression of *dnaX* (the clamp loader/tau–subunit of DNA polymerase

holoenzyme) for 3-4 generations caused a decrease in the DNA to protein ratio (Fig. 3A).

176 We also found that decreased transcription of *dnaN* caused a decrease in replication

177 initiation. By placing the only copy of *dnaN* under the regulation of PxylA and growing without

178 inducer (xylose) for 3 generations, the level of *dnaN* mRNA was reduced to ~60% of normal.

- 179 The reduced transcription of *dnaN* caused a decrease of $18 \pm 3\%$ in the DNA to protein ratio
- 180 (Fig. 3A). Severe decreases in expression of *B. subtilis dnaN* can cause replication fork stalling

and induction of the SOS response {(Ogura et al., 2001) and data not shown}. Under the
conditions in which mRNA levels of *dnaN* were reduced to ~60% of normal and replication was
decreased, there was no detectable increase in mRNA levels of SOS genes (data not shown),
indicating that the decrease in replication is either due to a very mild decrease in replication
elongation or a decrease in replication initiation.

186 Overproduction of β-clamp stimulates DnaA-dependent replication initiation from *oriC*

187 Since *dnaN* encodes the β-clamp of DNA polymerase holoenzyme and is necessary for

188 replication elongation, it is possible that the increase in DNA synthesis from overexpression of

dnaN is due to either an effect of β-clamp on replication elongation, or due to an ability of β-

190 clamp to modulate replication initiation. We found that the increase in DNA synthesis due to

191 overexpression of *dnaN* was due to an increase in replication initiation. We monitored DNA

192 replication in asynchronous populations of exponentially growing cells using DNA microarrays,

193 essentially as described (Khodursky et al., 2000, Simmons et al., 2004, Wang et al., 2007b,

194 Wang et al., 2007a, Goranov et al., 2006). Overexpression of dnaN for 25 (data not shown) or 50

195 min (Fig. 3B) in an $oriC^+$ strain caused an increase in the amount of origin region DNA

196 compared to other chromosomal regions. This type of increase is typically seen in cells

197 overinitiating DNA replication {e.g., (Simmons et al., 2004)}.

The increase in replication initiation caused by overproduction of the β -clamp was dependent on replication initiation from *oriC*. We tested whether overexpression of *dnaN* affects replication initiation in a strain initiating solely from the heterologous origin *oriN*. We found that overexpression of *dnaN* (β -clamp) in *oriN*⁺ *oriC*⁻ mutant strains had no significant effect on the DNA to protein ratio (Fig. 3A). In addition, overexpression of *dnaN* for 25 (data not shown) or 50 min (Fig. 3C) in the *oriN*⁺ *oriC*⁻ mutant strains had no detectable effect on replication

204 initiation as monitored using DNA microarrays to profile DNA content. These results indicate

205 that the regulatory effects of β -clamp on replication depend on initiation from *oriC*, and that 206 overexpression of β -clamp, to the levels tested here, stimulates replication initiation. There did 207 not appear to be any significant effects on replication elongation as those are expected to be 208 independent of the origin of replication.

209 YabA and ß-clamp appear to the affect same rate-limiting step in replication initiation

Since YabA and β -clamp directly interact (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, Noirot-Gros et al.,

211 2006), affect replication initiation {(Noirot-Gros et al., 2002) and above}, and both require

212 DnaA-dependent initiation of replication from *oriC* to manifest their effects (see above), it is

213 plausible that β -clamp and YabA affect the same rate-limiting step in replication initiation. If this

is true, then overexpression of *dnaN* in a *yabA* null mutant should not cause an increase in

215 replication above that of the *yabA* mutant alone. We constructed a strain that contains a deletion

of *yabA* and overexpresses β -clamp (PxylA-*dnaN*). Each single allele causes overreplication as

217 determined by DNA to protein ratios (Fig. 3A). Overexpression of *dnaN* in the *yabA* null mutant

did not increase the DNA to protein ratio above that in the *yabA* null mutant alone (Fig. 3A),

indicating that these effects were not additive and that YabA and the β-clamp are likely affectingthe same rate-limiting step in replication initiation.

221

Gene expression in a *yabA* null mutant

YabA is an attractive candidate for regulating the activity of DnaA in response to replication
 status. YabA affects replication initiation from the DnaA-dependent *oriC*, it interacts with both

224 DnaA and DnaN, and its association with the replisome correlates with that of DnaN.

225 Expression of genes known or thought to be controlled by DnaA changes in response to

replication stress (Goranov et al., 2005, Breier & Grossman, 2009). DnaA binds to the promoter

regions of many of the proposed target genes in vivo (Goranov et al., 2005, Ishikawa et al., 2007,

228 Breier & Grossman, 2009) and binding to some of these regions increases when replication is

11

inhibited (Breier & Grossman, 2009). YabA could be required to couple the activity of DnaA to
replication elongation, perhaps by sequestering DnaA at active replication forks as previously
proposed (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Soufo et al., 2008). If this is the case, then the DnaAmediated transcriptional response to replication arrest should be severely compromised in a *yabA*null mutant. Furthermore, expression of DnaA-regulated genes might be different in the absence
of *yabA*, even during ongoing replication.

To determine if YabA is required for the regulation of DnaA in response to replication stress, we analyzed global gene expression in a *yabA* null mutant, both during growth and after replication arrest with HPUra. We compared the results to those in isogenic *yabA*⁺ cells. To eliminate potential pleiotropic effects due to overreplication in *yabA* null mutants, we did these experiments in strains initiating replication from *oriN* and containing an inactive *oriC*. *yabA* has no effect on replication in these conditions (Fig. 1B).

241 We found that the *yabA* null mutation had little or no effect on gene expression during 242 exponential growth (Fig. 4A) nor in response to replication arrest (Fig. 4B). We plotted the 243 relative mRNA levels for each gene in $yabA^+$ cells versus those in the yabA null mutant (Fig. 4A, 244 B). Genes known or thought to be regulated directly by DnaA are indicated with black + or -245 symbols, depending on whether expression increases (+) or decreases (-) in response to 246 replication arrest. All other genes are indicated with gray dots. Most of the values from $yabA^+$ 247 cells are the same as or similar to those from the *yabA* null mutant, both during ongoing 248 replication in exponential growth (Fig. 4A) and after replication arrest in cells treated with 249 HPUra (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that during exponential growth and after replication 250 arrest, there is little or no effect of loss of *yabA* on gene expression. 251 Using the data from Figures 4A and 4B, we compared the fold change in mRNA levels

caused by replication arrest (treatment with HPUra) in $yabA^+$ cells to that in the yabA null mutant

(Fig. 4C). Expression of many genes increases in response to HPUra treatment and many of these are part of the SOS regulon and depend on *recA* (Goranov et al., 2005, Goranov et al., 2006). The changes in expression of these genes were similar in the *yabA*⁺ and the *yabA* null mutant cells (Fig 4C). In addition, most of the genes known or thought to be directly regulated by DnaA (+'s and -'s in Fig. 4) also changed expression in response to HPUra, and those changes were similar in the *yabA*⁺ and *yabA* null mutant cells (Fig. 4C).

259 The magnitudes of the changes in gene expression in response to replication arrest in both 260 *yabA*+ and *yabA* null mutant cells initiating replication from *oriN* were somewhat less than those 261 previously reported for cells initiating replication from *oriC* (Goranov et al., 2005, Goranov et 262 al., 2006). In fact, there were many differences in gene expression simply comparing cells 263 initiating replication from *oriC* to those initiating from *oriN* in the absence of added replication 264 stress (unpublished results). These differences indicate that the apparently constitutive and 265 asynchronous replication initiation from *oriN* (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, Hayashi et al., 2005) 266 might cause a small amount of replication stress. Since the basal expression of some of the 267 genes is already changed in the *oriN* cells, the magnitude of the effect of replication arrest is 268 muted and less dramatic in these cells compared to cells initiating from *oriC*. Nevertheless, for 269 many DnaA-regulated genes, there was a significant response to replication stress and there was 270 little or no effect of *yabA* on this response.

271

Discussion

273 Most of the studies on control of bacterial replication initiation have focused on *E. coli* and 274 its close relatives. However, many of the non-essential regulators characterized in *E. coli* are not 275 found in other organisms. YabA is one of the best characterized non-essential regulators of 276 replication initiation in a Gram-positive organism. YabA is a negative regulator of replication 277 initiation in *B. subtilis* (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002, Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Hayashi et al., 2005,

278 Cho et al., 2008, Soufo et al., 2008). Null mutations in *yabA* cause increased replication

279 initiation and overexpression of *yabA* causes decreased replication initiation (Hayashi et al.,

280 2005). YabA was identified because of its ability to interact with DnaA and DnaN (β-clamp) in

a yeast two-hybrid assay (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002).

282 Several different models have been proposed to explain how YabA controls replication 283 initiation. One enticing model (Noirot-Gros et al., 2002) was based on a comparison of YabA to 284 the function of Hda in E. coli. Even though YabA and Hda are not homologous, they have 285 several properties in common. Like YabA, Hda is a negative regulator of replication initiation 286 that interacts with both DnaA and DnaN (Kato & Katayama, 2001). When associated with an 287 active replication fork via DnaN, Hda stimulates the intrinsic ATPase activity of DnaA to 288 generate DnaA-ADP, a form of DnaA that is not active for replication initiation (Kato & 289 Katayama, 2001, Nishida et al., 2002). In this way, Hda couples inactivation of DnaA to active 290 replication forks, and YabA might do so too, although there are recent data indicating that YabA 291 functions differently than Hda (Cho et al., 2008). An alternative model proposed that YabA 292 functions to tether DnaA to active replication forks and release it during replication stress (Soufo 293 et al., 2008). Both of these models for YabA function strongly predict that YabA should affect 294 DnaA in a distributive manner and that in the absence of YabA, DnaA should be more active 295 throughout the cell. These models also predict that *yabA* is required for the DnaA-mediated part 296 of the cellular response to replication stress (Soufo et al., 2008).

297 Our results demonstrate that, under conditions in which *yabA* had no effect on DNA 298 replication, it had no significant effect on expression of known and putative transcriptional 299 targets of DnaA. There were no significant effects on expression of these genes either during 300 exponential growth or during replication stress. These results demonstrate that YabA is not required to modulate the activity of DnaA in response to replication stress and indicate that
 YabA does not affect DnaA in a distributive manner. These findings are not consistent with
 some of the previously proposed models for YabA function.

304 YabA does not affect replication initiation from the DnaA-independent origin of 305 replication *oriN*

306 Models proposing that YabA modulates the activity of DnaA in response to replications 307 stress {e.g., (Soufo et al., 2008)} are quite reasonable. Unfortunately, analyses of the effects of 308 *yabA* on the activity of DnaA are complicated by the fact that *yabA* affects replication initiation 309 and alterations in replication initiation or elongation alter the activity of DnaA (Noirot-Gros et 310 al., 2002, Goranov et al., 2005). Therefore, to test the effects of YabA on the activity of DnaA, it 311 was helpful to eliminate the effects of YabA on replication while maintaining the ability to 312 monitor the activity of DnaA as a transcription factor. This was accomplished by integrating the 313 heterologous DnaA-independent origin of replication oriN into the chromosome and inactivating 314 oriC.

315 We found that neither a null mutation in nor overexpression of *yabA* affected the activity of 316 oriN. These findings indicate that the function of YabA in the negative control of replication 317 initiation is specific to the DnaA-dependent *oriC*. Like the effects of a *yabA* null mutation, we 318 found that overexpression of *dnaN* stimulates replication initiation from *oriC*, but not from *oriN*. 319 We suspect that this stimulation by increased production of DnaN is likely due to titration of 320 YabA away from *oriC*. Since the essential chromosomal replication initiation proteins DnaB 321 DnaD and the replicative helicase DnaC and the clamp DnaN are required for replication from oriN (Hassan et al., 1997), these results indicate that YabA is not likely to affect the activity of 322 323 these other proteins, at least in the absence of DnaA. These findings also made it feasible to 324 determine the effects of *yabA* on the activity of DnaA under conditions in which *yabA* had no

detectable effect on replication and to directly determine the effects of *dnaA* on the subcellularpositioning of YabA.

327 Subcellular location of YabA

Analyses of GFP-YabA fusions indicated that YabA is found associated with the replisome during ongoing replication (Noirot-Gros *et al.*, 2006, Hayashi *et al.*, 2005, Cho *et al.*, 2008). This association appeared to depend on DnaA based on analysis of YabA mutants unable to interact with DnaA (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006) and DnaA mutants unable to interact with YabA (Cho et al., 2008). YabA was also found be required to "tether" DnaA to the replisome (Soufo *et al.*, 2008).

334 We also found that GFP-YabA appeared to be associated with the replisome. However, this 335 association was not dependent on DnaA, nor was it dependent on *oriC*. These findings are not 336 consistent with the interpretation that DnaA is required for the association of YabA with the 337 replisome (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Soufo et al., 2008, Cho et al., 2008). Previous analyses used 338 point mutations in *vabA* or *dnaA* that alter interactions between the two gene products (Noirot-339 Gros et al., 2006, Soufo et al., 2008, Cho et al., 2008). These point mutations are known to 340 affect replication initiation (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006, Cho et al., 2008), which likely causes small 341 amounts of replication stress. The point mutations in *vabA* and *dnaA* could also have other 342 effects on those gene products.

The use of the heterologous origin of replication, *oriN*, allowed us to compare directly the ability of GFP-YabA to form foci in cells with and without *dnaA*. We observed no difference, indicating that neither *oriC* nor *dnaA* is required for association of YabA with the replisome. We also found that after inhibition of replication elongation (replication fork arrest), several replisome subunits were still present in foci, but that DnaN (β-clamp) and YabA were no longer associated with these foci. These findings are consistent with previous results indicating that 349 association of YabA with the replisome requires interaction with DnaN (Noirot-Gros et al.,

350 2006).

351 *yabA* does not affect the activity of DnaA as a transcription factor

352 Using strains in which *vabA* does not affect replication, we found no evidence that it affects 353 the activity of DnaA or the ability of cells to respond to replication stress. In cells deleted for 354 *oriC* and initiating replication from the DnaA-independent origin *oriN*, there was no significant 355 effect of *vabA* on expression of genes known or thought to be regulated by DnaA during exponential growth. These findings are consistent with conclusions in a previous report that 356 357 transcription of *dnaA* and association of DnaA with several chromosomal targets did not change 358 significantly in a *yabA* null mutant (Cho et al., 2008). In addition, we found that genes known or 359 thought to be regulated by DnaA still respond to replication stress in the absence of *vabA*. These 360 results indicate that *yabA* is not required for modulating the activity of DnaA in response to 361 disruptions in replication and are inconsistent with the model that YabA functions to tether DnaA 362 at the replication fork and release it during replication stress (Soufo et al., 2008). Taken 363 together, the simplest model for YabA function is that YabA acts locally at oriC to inhibit 364 replication initiation. It is also formally possible that YabA affects DnaA globally, and that this 365 effect is only manifest at *oriC* and does not alter the ability of DnaA to act as a transcription 366 factor. Although we can not rule it out, we think this possibility is unlikely.

367

Models for the function of YabA and its interactions with DnaA and DnaN

YabA does not affect replication initiation from the DnaA-independent *oriN*, indicating that its function is specific to some aspect of *oriC* and/or DnaA. Since YabA does not affect DnaA in a distributive manner, that is, it does not appear to affect the ability of DnaA to function as a transcription factor, we favor models in which YabA functions at *oriC* to inhibit replication initiation. 373 It seems likely that there are at least three aspects to the ability of YabA to inhibit replication 374 initiation from *oriC* without affecting the global activity of DnaA. First, YabA, although in bulk 375 appears to be with the replication elongation machinery, must get to *oriC*. Second, it somehow 376 inhibits replication initiation from *oriC*. Third, that inhibition is somehow relieved, or not 377 complete, so that replication can initiate at the appropriate time in the cell cycle. Assuming that 378 the interactions between YabA and both DnaA and DnaN are important for YabA function, then 379 these interactions could be related to any of the three aspects of the ability of YabA to inhibit 380 replication initiation.

381 There are many possible models that accommodate these three aspects of YabA function. 382 For example, YabA could get to the origin via its interaction with DnaN, and then function to 383 locally inhibit the activity of DnaA or some aspect of DnaA function at *oriC*. Versions of these 384 types of models have been proposed (Cho et al., 2008, Hayashi et al., 2005). Missense mutations 385 in *yabA* that cause altered interaction with either DnaA or DnaN cause phenotypes similar to 386 those of a *yabA* null mutation (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006). If these mutant phenotypes are not due 387 to general defects in YabA and are indicative of loss of YabA function, and are not due to 388 secondary consequences of altered replication initiation, then the phenotypes appear to be most 389 consistent with a model in which DnaN brings YabA to the *oriC* region, and then YabA inhibits 390 a function of DnaA at oriC. For example, YabA might prevent the proper oligomerization or 391 assembly of DnaA on *oriC* or prevent DnaA-mediated melting of *oriC*. 392 Alternatively, the interaction between YabA and DnaN could function to move YabA away

from the *oriC* region and YabA could get to *oriC* through its interaction with DnaA. Once at *oriC*, YabA could regulate a step in replication initiation that is downstream of but not directly involving DnaA. YabA could also regulate some aspect of DnaA function that is required for the initiation of DNA replication, for example interaction between DnaA and DnaD (required to load

397	the replicative helicase) (Ishigo-Oka et al., 2001, Cho et al., 2008), formation of DnaA multimers
398	(Mott et al., 2008), or another aspect of DnaA function that has not been yet appreciated. Both
399	of these models predict that the effects of YabA would be oriC specific, but differ in what aspect
400	of initiation is affected by YabA. It is not yet known how YabA inhibits replication initiation,
401	but it seems to be by a mechanism different from those described for the various factors that
402	regulate replication initiation in <i>E. coli</i> and its relatives {e.g., (Kaguni, 2006)}.
403	
404	Experimental procedures
405	Growth media and culture conditions
406	For all experiments, cells were grown with vigorous shaking at 37°C in S7 defined minimal
407	medium with MOPS (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid) buffer at a concentration of 50 mM rather
408	than 100 mM (Jaacks et al., 1989). The medium was supplemented with 1% glucose, 0.1%
409	glutamate, and required amino acids. In experiments utilizing expression from the xylose-
410	inducible promoter PxylA, (PxylA-dnaN and PxylA-gfp-yabA), glucose was replaced with 1%
411	arabinose and expression from PxylA was induced with 0.5% xylose. In experiments utilizing
412	expression from the IPTG-inducible promoters Pspac(hy) or Pspank(hy), expression was induced
413	with 1mM IPTG. Strains containing single crossover constructs were routinely grown in the
414	appropriate antibiotic to maintain selection for the integrated plasmid. Standard concentrations
415	of antibiotics were used (Harwood & Cutting, 1990). Where indicated, replication elongation
416	was blocked by addition of HPUra (stock in 50mM KOH) to a final concentration of 38 μ g/ml.
417	Control cultures were mock treated with KOH. Samples were typically harvested 60 min after
418	treatment with HPUra.

419 Strains and alleles

B. subtilis strains are listed in Table 1 and specific alleles are described below. Genetic
manipulations were performed using standard protocols (Harwood & Cutting, 1990).

422 (ypjG-hepT)122 is a deviation in sequences of the ~24 kb chromosomal region from ~ypjG

423 (201.4°) to $\sim hepT$ (203.5°) and was described previously (Berkmen & Grossman, 2007). Briefly,

424 the *ypjG-hepT* region contains the tryptophan biosynthesis genes (*trpABFCDE*), and the (*ypjG-*

425 *hepT*)122 variant likely encodes a heterologous tryptophan operon as strains containing it were

426 transformed to tryptophan-prototropy.

427 $\Delta vabA$:: cat is a deletion-insertion that inactivates vabA by replacing it with cat. The allele 428 was generated by the long-flanking homology PCR method (Wach, 1996). The deletion starts at the 1st codon (TTG) and ends 50bp downstream of the translational stop, removing a total of 429 430 407bp. The deletion stops 13bp upstream of the next gene, *vabB*. The *vabA* ORF is substituted 431 with the 994bp chloramphenicol resistance cassette from pGEMcat. The cassette contains the *cat* 432 ORF, 322bp upstream to include the promoter, and 20bp downstream of the stop codon, and does 433 not include the transcriptional terminators. mRNA levels of *vabB* and other downstream genes in the *yabA*::*cat* mutant AIG109 were indistinguishable from those in wild type ($vabA^+$) cells as 434 435 assessed by microarray analysis (data not shown).

436 *amyE::* {*Pspank(hy)-yabA spc*} is a fusion of *yabA* to the IPTG-inducible promoter

437 Pspank(hy) at *amyE* and was used to overexpress *yabA*. AIG80 was constructed by cloning the

438 entire ORF of *yabA* with its endogenous ribosome binding site into a plasmid containing the

439 Pspank(hy) promoter (pDR66, a gift from David Rudner) thus generating plasmid pAIG10. The

440 Pspank(hy)-*yabA* construct was integrated into the genome of JH642 through a double crossover

441 at the *amyE* locus to generate strain AIG80.

445 *oriC*.

442

443

444

446 *oriC-S* inactivates *oriC* replication functions by deleting ~150 bp of the sequence

447 downstream of *dnaA*, including many essential DnaA binding sites and most of the AT-rich

region that is normally unwound during replication initiation (Hassan et al., 1997, Kadoya et al.,

449 2002, Berkmen & Grossman, 2007).

450 *dnaN*::{*PxylA-dnaN cat*} is a fusion of the only full copy of *dnaN* to the xylose-inducible

451 promoter PxylA. This was constructed by amplifying a region of the genome of MMB26 that

452 included PxylA and the 5' end of *dnaN*. The amplified fragment was cloned into plasmid

453 pGEMcat resulting in plasmid pAIG28. pAIG28 was integrated in the genome of JH642 by a

454 single crossover to generate strain AIG260.

455 *amyE*:: {*PxylA-dnaN cat*} is a fusion of PxylA to *dnaN* (encoding β-clamp) at *amyE*

456 (Goranov et al., 2005, Berkmen & Grossman, 2007) and was used to ectopically express *dnaN*.

457 *amyE::*{*PxylA-gfp-yabA cat*} expresses a fusion of GFP to the N-terminus of YabA under

458 control of PxylA and integrated at *amyE* in the chromosome. The *gfp-yabA* construct was

459 obtained by cloning the entire *yabA* ORF in frame with *gfp* in the pEA18 plasmid (Gueiros-Filho

460 & Losick, 2002). The resulting plasmid (pAIG58) was integrated into the chromosome through

461 double cross over at the *amyE* locus. {The GFP-YabA fusion protein was at least partly

462 functional as it complemented phenotypic characteristics of a *yabA* null mutant (data not shown)

463 (Noirot-Gros et al., 2006)}.

464 *dnaA*::{*Pspac(hy)-dnaN cat*} disrupts *dnaA* while inserting Pspac(hy) to drive expression of

465 *dnaN*. A 450bp DNA fragment internal to *dnaA* was PCR amplified and cloned into the

21

466 SphI/HindIII cloning site of pJQ43 (Quisel et al., 2001) downstream of the Pspac-hy promoter to 467 yield plasmid pAIG37. Integration of pAIG37 into the chromosome by a single crossover 468 disrupts *dnaA* and places *dnaN* under the regulation of Pspac-hy. *dnaA* is normally essential, but 469 it can be deleted in strains capable of initiating chromosomal replication from a heterologous 470 origin such as oriN (Hassan et al., 1997, Berkmen & Grossman, 2007, Moriya et al., 1997, 471 Kadoya et al., 2002). *dnaA* was in fact disrupted as evidenced by loss of detectable protein by 472 Western blots and alterations in gene expression consistent with loss of DnaA (data not shown). 473 **DNA/protein ratio determination** 474 The ratio of DNA to protein was determined as previously described (Lee & Grossman, 475 2006, Kadoya et al., 2002). Briefly, 25ml of exponentially growing cells were collected at an 476 $OD600 \le 0.6$. DNA and protein were extracted and the concentrations were determined using the 477 diphenylamine reaction (DNA) and the Lowry BioRad DC Protein Assay Kit, with appropriate 478 standards. The ratios for all strains were normalized to wild type (wt = 1.0) grown on the same 479 day and under the same conditions. The average of three biological replicates is presented with 480 error bars representing standard deviation.

481 **DNA microarrays**

DNA microarrays were prepared either using PCR products from >99% of the annotated *B. subtilis* open reading frames, or 65-mer oligonucleotide library representing all of the annotated
ORFs in the *B. subtilis* genome (Sigma-Genosys) spotted onto Corning GAPS slides essentially
as described previously (Goranov et al., 2005, Au *et al.*, 2005, Britton *et al.*, 2002, Auchtung *et al.*, 2005). Culture samples were added to an equal volume of ice cold methanol and processed as
described previously (Goranov et al., 2006).
Use of microarrays to analyze DNA replication. Chromosomal DNA was prepared

488 <u>Ose of incroarrays to anaryze DNA replication.</u> Chromosomal DNA was prepared

489 essentially as described previously (Goranov et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2007b). Briefly, DNA

490 was extracted and purified by using G-100 QIAGEN genomic DNA purification columns, 491 fragmented by digestion with HaeIII, and purified again with QIAGEN QiaQuick PCR 492 purification columns. DNA was mixed with random hexamers and aminoallyl-dUTP was 493 incorporated during primer extension reactions. DNA was then labeled with Cy3 or Cy5 494 fluorescent dyes. The amount of DNA from each open reading frame (spot on the microarray) 495 for experimental samples was determined relative to that from a sample of reference DNA taken 496 from cells in stationary phase (non-replicating). Experimental and reference DNA samples were 497 coupled to Cy5 and Cy3 dyes respectively, mixed, and hybridized to a microarray as previously 498 described. The ratios of experimental to reference samples for each chromosomal locus were 499 then determined. Different experimental samples were then compared to each other using these 500 normalized ratios. Microarray scanning, analysis, and normalization was preformed as 501 previously described (Goranov et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2007b). The results presented are from 502 a single representative experiment.

503 Use of microarrays for analysis of mRNA levels. Experimental samples of RNA were 504 purified using RNEasy kits (Qiagen). A reference sample was made by pooling total RNA from 505 cultures grown in defined minimal medium and cultures treated with DNA damaging agents, 506 thus ensuring that all genes expressed under those conditions are represented in the reference 507 sample. Experimental and reference RNA samples were mixed with Superscript II reverse 508 transcriptase (Invitrogen), random hexamers, and aminoallyl-dUTP (Sigma) to make cDNA. 509 The samples were then labeled by conjugation to monofunctional Cy3 or Cy5. The experimental 510 and reference samples were mixed and hybridized to a DNA microarray. GenePix 3.0 (Axon 511 Instruments) was used to analyze microarray images. We included every spot that has \geq 70% of 512 the pixels at least one standard deviation over background and has an overall median intensity at 513 least threefold higher than the global background level in one or both Cy3 or Cy5 channels, and

514	was not flagged automatically as Not Found or manually as "bad" during gridding. Data were		
515	normalized to set the global median to unity after removal of excluded spots and intergenic		
516	regions. Analyses of mRNA levels were done with at least three independent biological		
517	replicate.		
518	Microscopy		
519	Microscopy was performed essentially as described (Lee et al., 2003). Briefly, cells were		
520	placed on 1% agarose pads, and images were captured with a Nikon E800 microscope equipped		
521	with a Hamamatsu digital camera. Improvision OpenLabs 2.0 software was used to process		
522	images.		
523			
524	Acknowledgements		
525	We thank C. Lee for measuring the effects of <i>yabA</i> on mRNA levels; T. Baker, S. P. Bell, F		
526	Solomon, A. Wright, C. Lee, J. D. Wang, and J. Auchtung for useful suggestions and		
527	discussions, and C. Lee, H. Merrikh and M. Berkmen for comments on the manuscript. This		
528	work was supported, in part, by Public Health Service grant GM41934 to A.D.G. and NIH		
529	Kirschstein NRSA postdoctoral fellowship 5 F32 G-076950 to A.M.B.		
530			
531	References		
532	Atlung, T., E. S. Clausen & F. G. Hansen, (1985) Autoregulation of the dnaA gene of		

- 533 Escherichia coli K12. *Mol Gen Genet* **200**: 442-450.
- Au, N., E. Kuester-Schoeck, V. Mandava, L. E. Bothwell, S. P. Canny, K. Chachu, S. A.
- Colavito, S. N. Fuller, E. S. Groban, L. A. Hensley, T. C. O'Brien, A. Shah, J. T. Tierney, L. L.
 Tomm, T. M. O'Gara, A. I. Goranov, A. D. Grossman & C. M. Lovett, (2005) Genetic
- 537 composition of the Bacillus subtilis SOS system. *J Bacteriol* **187**: 7655-7666.
- Auchtung, J. M., C. A. Lee, R. E. Monson, A. P. Lehman & A. D. Grossman, (2005) Regulation
- of a *Bacillus subtilis* mobile genetic element by intercellular signaling and the global DNA
 damage response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102: 12554-12559.
- 541 Berkmen, M. B. & A. D. Grossman, (2007) Subcellular positioning of the origin region of the
- 542 Bacillus subtilis chromosome is independent of sequences within oriC, the site of replication
- 543 initiation, and the replication initiator DnaA. *Mol Microbiol* **63**: 150-165.

- Braun, R. E., K. O'Day & A. Wright, (1985) Autoregulation of the DNA replication gene dnaA
 in E. coli K-12. *Cell* 40: 159-169.
- Breier, A. M. & A. D. Grossman, (2009) Dynamic association of the replication initiator and
 transcription factor DnaA with the Bacillus subtilis chromosome during replication stress. J
 Bacteriol 191: 486-493.
- Britton, R. A., P. Eichenberger, J. E. Gonzalez-Pastor, P. Fawcett, R. Monson, R. Losick & A. D.
 Grossman, (2002) Genome-wide analysis of the stationary-phase sigma factor (sigma-H)
- 551 regulon of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* **184**: 4881-4890.
- Brown, N. C., (1970) 6-(p-hydroxyphenylazo)-uracil: a selective inhibitor of host DNA
 replication in phage-infected Bacillus subtilis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 67: 1454-1461.
- 554 Burkholder, W. F., I. Kurtser & A. D. Grossman, (2001) Replication initiation proteins regulate a 555 developmental checkpoint in Bacillus subtilis. *Cell* **104**: 269-279.
- Cho, E., N. Ogasawara & S. Ishikawa, (2008) The functional analysis of YabA, which interacts
 with DnaA and regulates initiation of chromosome replication in Bacillus subtils. *Genes Genet Syst* 83: 111-125.
- Syst 63. 111-125.
 Collier, J., S. R. Murray & L. Shapiro, (2006) DnaA couples DNA replication and the expression of two cell cycle master regulators. *EMBO J* 25: 346-356.
- of two cell cycle master regulators. *EMBO J* 25: 346-356.
 Gon, S., J. E. Camara, H. K. Klungsoyr, E. Crooke, K. Skarstad & J. Beckwith, (2006) A novel
- regulatory mechanism couples deoxyribonucleotide synthesis and DNA replication in
 Escherichia coli. *Embo J* 25: 1137-1147.
- Goranov, A. I., L. Katz, A. M. Breier, C. B. Burge & A. D. Grossman, (2005) A transcriptional
 response to replication status mediated by the conserved bacterial replication protein DnaA.
 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 12932-12937.
- Goranov, A. I., E. Kuester-Schoeck, J. D. Wang & A. D. Grossman, (2006) Characterization of
 the global transcriptional responses to different types of DNA damage and disruption of
 replication in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* 188: 5595-5605.
- 570 Gueiros-Filho, F. J. & R. Losick, (2002) A widely conserved bacterial cell division protein that 571 promotes assembly of the tubulin-like protein FtsZ. *Genes Dev* **16**: 2544-2556.
- Harwood, C. R. & S. M. Cutting, (1990) *Molecular biological methods for Bacillus*. John Wiley
 & Sons, Chichester, England.
- 574 Hassan, A. K., S. Moriya, M. Ogura, T. Tanaka, F. Kawamura & N. Ogasawara, (1997)
- 575 Suppression of initiation defects of chromosome replication in Bacillus subtilis dnaA and oriC-576 deleted mutants by integration of a plasmid replicon into the chromosomes. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 577 2494-2502.
- Hayashi, M., Y. Ogura, E. J. Harry, N. Ogasawara & S. Moriya, (2005) Bacillus subtilis YabA is
 involved in determining the timing and synchrony of replication initiation. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 247: 73-79.
- 581 Ishida, T., N. Akimitsu, T. Kashioka, M. Hatano, T. Kubota, Y. Ogata, K. Sekimizu & T.
- 582 Katayama, (2004) DiaA, a novel DnaA-binding protein, ensures the timely initiation of
- 583 Escherichia coli chromosome replication. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 45546-45555.
- Ishigo-Oka, D., N. Ogasawara & S. Moriya, (2001) DnaD protein of Bacillus subtilis interacts
 with DnaA, the initiator protein of replication. *J Bacteriol* 183: 2148-2150.
- 586 Ishikawa, S., Y. Ogura, M. Yoshimura, H. Okumura, E. Cho, Y. Kawai, K. Kurokawa, T.
- 587 Oshima & N. Ogasawara, (2007) Distribution of Stable DnaA-Binding Sites on the Bacillus
- 588 Subtilis Genome Detected using a Modified ChIP-chip Method. *DNA Res* 14: 155-168.
- Jaacks, K. J., J. Healy, R. Losick & A. D. Grossman, (1989) Identification and characterization
 of genes controlled by the sporulation-regulatory gene spo0H in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol*
- **171**: 4121-4129.

- 592 Kadoya, R., A. K. Hassan, Y. Kasahara, N. Ogasawara & S. Moriya, (2002) Two separate DNA
- sequences within oriC participate in accurate chromosome segregation in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 45: 73-87.
- Kaguni, J. M., (2006) DnaA: controlling the initiation of bacterial DNA replication and more.
 Annu Rev Microbiol 60: 351-375.
- Kato, J. & T. Katayama, (2001) Hda, a novel DnaA-related protein, regulates the replication
 cycle in Escherichia coli. *Embo J* 20: 4253-4262.
- Khodursky, A. B., B. J. Peter, M. B. Schmid, J. DeRisi, D. Botstein, P. O. Brown & N. R.
 Cozzarelli, (2000) Analysis of topoisomerase function in bacterial replication fork movement:
 use of DNA microarrays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**: 9419-9424.
- Kucherer, C., H. Lother, R. Kolling, M. A. Schauzu & W. Messer, (1986) Regulation of
 transcription of the chromosomal dnaA gene of Escherichia coli. *Mol Gen Genet* 205: 115-121.
- Kurokawa, K., S. Nishida, A. Emoto, K. Sekimizu & T. Katayama, (1999) Replication cyclecoordinated change of the adenine nucleotide-bound forms of DnaA protein in Escherichia
 coli. *Embo J* 18: 6642-6652.
- Lee, P. S. & A. D. Grossman, (2006) The chromosome partitioning proteins Soj (ParA) and
 Spo0J (ParB) contribute to accurate chromosome partitioning, separation of replicated sister
 origins, and regulation of replication initiation in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 60: 853-869.
- 610 Lee, P. S., D. C. Lin, S. Moriya & A. D. Grossman, (2003) Effects of the chromosome
- partitioning protein Spo0J (ParB) on oriC positioning and replication initiation in Bacillus
 subtilis. *J Bacteriol* 185: 1326-1337.
- Messer, W., (2002) The bacterial replication initiator DnaA. DnaA and oriC, the bacterial mode
 to initiate DNA replication. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 26: 355-374.
- 615 Messer, W., F. Blaesing, D. Jakimowicz, M. Krause, J. Majka, J. Nardmann, S. Schaper, H.
- 616 Seitz, C. Speck, C. Weigel, G. Wegrzyn, M. Welzeck & J. Zakrzewska-Czerwinska, (2001)
 617 Bacterial replication initiator DnaA. Rules for DnaA binding and roles of DnaA in origin
- 617 Bacterial replication initiator DnaA. Rules for DnaA binding and roles of DnaA in original unwinding and helicase loading. *Biochimie* **83**: 5-12.
- Messer, W. & C. Weigel, (1997) DnaA initiator--also a transcription factor. *Mol Microbiol* 24: 16.
- Moriya, S., A. K. Hassan, R. Kadoya & N. Ogasawara, (1997) Mechanism of anucleate cell
 production in the oriC-deleted mutants of Bacillus subtilis. *DNA Res* 4: 115-126.
- Mott, M. L. & J. M. Berger, (2007) DNA replication initiation: mechanisms and regulation in
 bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 5: 343-354.
- Mott, M. L., J. P. Erzberger, M. M. Coons & J. M. Berger, (2008) Structural synergy and
 molecular crosstalk between bacterial helicase loaders and replication initiators. *Cell* 135: 623634.
- 628 Neville, M. M. & N. C. Brown, (1972) Inhibition of a discrete bacterial DNA polymerase by 6-
- (p-hydroxyphenylazo)-uracil and 6-(p-hydroxyphenylazo-)-isocytosine. *Nat New Biol* 240: 8082.
- Nievera, C., J. J. Torgue, J. E. Grimwade & A. C. Leonard, (2006) SeqA blocking of DnaA-oriC
 interactions ensures staged assembly of the E. coli pre-RC. *Mol Cell* 24: 581-592.
- 633 Nishida, S., K. Fujimitsu, K. Sekimizu, T. Ohmura, T. Ueda & T. Katayama, (2002) A
- nucleotide switch in the Escherichia coli DnaA protein initiates chromosomal replication:
- evidnece from a mutant DnaA protein defective in regulatory ATP hydrolysis in vitro and in
 vivo. *J Biol Chem* 277: 14986-14995.
- 637 Noirot-Gros, M. F., E. Dervyn, L. J. Wu, P. Mervelet, J. Errington, S. D. Ehrlich & P. Noirot,
- 638 (2002) An expanded view of bacterial DNA replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**: 8342-
- 639 8347.

- Noirot-Gros, M. F., M. Velten, M. Yoshimura, S. McGovern, T. Morimoto, S. D. Ehrlich, N.
 Ogasawara, P. Polard & P. Noirot, (2006) Functional dissection of YabA, a negative regulator
 of DNA replication initiation in Bacillus subtilis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103: 2368-2373.
- 643 Ogura, Y., Y. Imai, N. Ogasawara & S. Moriya, (2001) Autoregulation of the dnaA-dnaN operon
- and effects of DnaA protein levels on replication initiation in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* 183:
 3833-3841.
- Perego, M., G. B. Spiegelman & J. A. Hoch, (1988) Structure of the gene for the transition state
 regulator, abrB: regulator synthesis is controlled by the spo0A sporulation gene in Bacillus
 subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 2: 689-699.
- 649 Quisel, J. D., W. F. Burkholder & A. D. Grossman, (2001) In vivo effects of sporulation kinases 650 on mutant Spo0A proteins in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 6573-6578.
- Sekimizu, K., D. Bramhill & A. Kornberg, (1987) ATP activates dnaA protein in initiating
 replication of plasmids bearing the origin of the E. coli chromosome. *Cell* 50: 259-265.
- 653 Simmons, L. A., A. M. Breier, N. R. Cozzarelli & J. M. Kaguni, (2004) Hyperinitiation of DNA
- replication in Escherichia coli leads to replication fork collapse and inviability. *Mol Microbiol*51: 349-358.
- 656 Soufo, C. D., H. J. Soufo, M. F. Noirot-Gros, A. Steindorf, P. Noirot & P. L. Graumann, (2008)
- 657 Cell-cycle-dependent spatial sequestration of the DnaA replication initiator protein in Bacillus
 658 subtilis. *Dev Cell* 15: 935-941.
- Wach, A., (1996) PCR-synthesis of marker cassettes with long flanking homology regions for
 gene disruptions in S. cerevisiae. *Yeast* 12: 259-265.
- Wang, J. D., M. B. Berkmen & A. D. Grossman, (2007a) Genome-wide coorientation of
 replication and transcription reduces adverse effects on replication in Bacillus subtilis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104: 5608-5613.
- Wang, J. D., G. M. Sanders & A. D. Grossman, (2007b) Nutritional Control of Elongation of
 DNA Replication by (p)ppGpp. *Cell* 128: 865-875.
- Wang, Q. P. & J. M. Kaguni, (1987) Transcriptional repression of the dnaA gene of Escherichia
 coli by dnaA protein. *Mol Gen Genet* 209: 518-525.
- Yoshikawa, H. & N. Ogasawara, (1991) Structure and function of DnaA and the DnaA-box in
 eubacteria: evolutionary relationships of bacterial replication origins. *Mol Microbiol* 5: 25892597.
- 671 Zakrzewska-Czerwinska, J., D. Jakimowicz, A. Zawilak-Pawlik & W. Messer, (2007)
- Regulation of the initiation of chromosomal replication in bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 31:
 378-387.
- 674
- 675
- 676

676 Table 1. *B. subtilis* strains used.

<u>Strains</u>	Relevant Genotype
JH642	<i>trpC2 pheA1</i> (Perego <i>et al.</i> , 1988)
BB987	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 amyE::{Pspac-() cat} (empty vector)</pre>
MMB26	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 amyE::{PxylA-dnaN cat}</pre>
MMB170	pheA1 (ypjG-hepT)122 spoIIIJ:: {oriN repN kan} oriC-S
AIG80	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 amyE::{Pspank(hy)-yabA spc}</pre>
AIG109	trpC2 pheA1 ∆yabA::cat
AIG185	$pheA1 (ypjG-hepT)122 spoIIIJ:: {oriN repN kan} oriC-S \Delta yabA::cat$
AIG208	pheA1 (ypjG-hepT)122 spoIIIJ:: {oriN repN kan} oriC-S amyE:: {Pspank(hy)-
	yabA spc}
AIG245	<i>trpC2 pheA1</i> ΔyabA::cat amyE::{PxylA-dnaN cat::tet}
AIG261	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 dnaN::{PxylA-dnaN cat} (pAIG28)</pre>
AIG278	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 spoIIIJ::{oriN repN kan} oriC-S amyE::{PxylA-dnaN cat}</pre>
AIG371	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 dnaN::{dnaN-gfp spc}</pre>
AIG483	trpC2 pheA1 amyE::{PxylA-gfp-yabA cat::mls} (pAIG58)
AIG505	pheA1 (ypjG-hepT)122 spoIIIJ:: {oriN repN kan} oriC-S amyE:: {PxylA-gfp-yabA
	cat}
AIG573	<pre>trpCl pheAl dnaX::{Pspank(hy)-dnaX spc} (pAIG66)</pre>
AIG593	pheA1 (ypjG-hepT)122 spoIIIJ:: {oriN repN kan} oriC-S amyE:: {PxylA-gfp-yabA
	<pre>cat::mls} dnaA::{Pspac(hy)-dnaN cat} (dnaA null)</pre>
AIG595	pheA1 (ypjG-hepT)122 spoIIIJ:: {oriN repN kan} oriC-S amyE:: {PxylA-gfp-yabA
	<pre>cat::mls} dnaA::{Pspac(hy)-dnaA-dnaN cat}</pre>
KPL374	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 polC::{polC-gfp spc}</pre>
KPL382	<pre>trpC2 pheA1 dnaX::{dnaX-gfp spc}</pre>

679	Figure	legends

680	Figure 1. Effects of YabA on DNA replication are oriC-specific. Strains were grown in
681	minimal medium, and exponentially growing cells were collected for analysis of total DNA and
682	protein. DNA to protein ratios are normalized to wild type (wt = 1). $yabA$ overexpression, from
683	Pspank(hy)-yabA, was induced by growing cells for 4 generations in the presence of 1mM IPTG.
684	A) $oriC^+$ cells: $yabA^+$ wild type (BB987); $yabA$ null mutant (AIG109); overexpression of
685	<i>yabA</i> (AIG80).
686	B) <i>oriC</i> mutant cells replicating from <i>oriN</i> : <i>yabA</i> ⁺ (MMB170); <i>yabA</i> null mutant (AIG185);
687	overexpression of <i>yabA</i> (AIG208).
688	
689	Figure 2. GFP-YabA focus formation does not depend on DnaA and correlates with
690	association of DnaN at replication foci.
691	Cells containing the indicated GFP fusions were grown in defined minimal medium with
692	glucose, or with arabinose and xylose in the case of strains expressing GFP-YabA. Cultures were
693	either untreated (A, C, E, G, I) or treated with HPUra to block replication elongation (B, D, F, H,
694	J) and prepared for microscopy at indicated times after treatment.
695	A-B) GFP-YabA in a <i>dnaA oriC</i> null mutant (AIG593) 15 min after treatment with HPUra
696	C-D) GFP-YabA in $dnaA^+$ ori C^+ cells (AIG483) 15 min after treatment with HPUra
697	E-F) PolC-GFP (KPL374) 60 min after treatment with HPUra
698	G-H) DnaX-GFP (KPL382) 60 min after treatment with HPUra
699	I-J) DnaN(B-clamp)-GFP (AIG371) 15 min after treatment with HPUra
700	

- **Figure 3. DnaN positively regulates DNA replication in an** *oriC*-dependent manner. A) DNA to protein ratios were measured as in Fig. 1. Where used, inducers were present for at least 4 generations before samples were collected. $\uparrow dnaN$: dnaN was overexpressed from PxylA-dnaN (MMB26). $\uparrow dnaX$: dnaX was overexpressed from Pspank(hy)-dnaX (AIG573). $\downarrow dnaN$: the endogenous copy of dnaN was placed under control of PxylA and cells were grown in arabinose without xylose to give only basal expression from PxylA-dnaN (AIG261). $oriN^+C$: (MMB170). $oriN^+C \uparrow dnaN$: same as MMB170, but with dnaN overexpressed from PxylA dnaN (AIG278). yabA-: yabA null mutant (AIG109). yabA- $\uparrow dnaN$: yabA null mutant with PxylA-dnaN (AIG245). B-C) The effect of overproduction of β -clamp (DnaN) on initiation of replication was assessed with DNA microarrays. The log₂ of the relative abundance of chromosomal DNA 50 min (~1 generation) after addition of inducer is compared to uninduced samples and plotted as a function of the position on the chromosome The position of the functional origin of replication is
- indicated by an arrow. *dnaN* mRNA levels (as determined by DNA microarrays) were similar in
 each of the strains used.
- B) Cells replicating from the endogenous DnaA-dependent *oriC* (MMB26)
- 717 C) Cells replicating from *oriN* in the absence of a functional *oriC* (AIG278) (*dnaA*⁺)
- 718

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

719 Figure 4. Effects of *yabA* on gene expression in the absence and presence of HPUra.

- 720 Cells replicating from the DnaA-independent *oriN* in the absence of a functional *oriC* (and
- 721 $dnaA^+$), with and without yabA (MMB170 and AIG185, respectively) were grown to mid-
- exponential phase at 32°C, split, and treated with 38 μ g/ml HPUra to block replication
- relongation, or mock-treated, for 60 min. Cells were harvested and RNA was purified, labeled,
- and mixed with a differently labeled reference RNA for normalization. RNAs from cells grown

725 under several different conditions were pooled to make the reference (Goranov et al., 2005). The 726 mixture of experimental and reference RNA was hybridized to whole genome DNA microarrays 727 and fluorescence signals for each gene were determined. Data are presented as log₂ values on 728 scatter plots of mRNA from vabA + cells (vertical axis) versus mRNA from vabA null mutant 729 (*vabA*-) cells (horizontal axis). Solid diagonal lines indicate the main y=x diagonal, and the 730 dashed lines represent two-fold deviations. Points appearing near the main diagonal had very 731 similar expression in the vabA+ and vabA- strains. Genes previously found to be regulated 732 independently of recA and known or postulated to be directly controlled by DnaA are plotted as 733 + and - symbols, with + indicating those whose expression increases and - indicating those 734 whose expression decreases in response to HPUra and replication arrest (Goranov et al., 2005). 735 All other genes are indicated as gray dots.

A, B) Gene expression in mock-treated exponentially growing cells (A) and in cells treated with HPUra for 60 min to arrest replication elongation (B). Values are relative to the pooled reference and are considered arbitrary, although very high or very low values indicate that mRNA from that gene is significantly different from the level in the pooled reference. The expression level of *yabA*, which was essentially undetected with a value below -6 in the *yabA*strain, is circled. The inset includes the area from -1 to +1 on each axis with only the known and putative DnaA-regulated genes shown for clarity.

C) Change in gene expression between HPUra-treated and mock-treated cells. Changes in expression (+HPUra / -HPUra) are plotted as log_2 values for yabA+ and yabA- cells. A position of (0,0) indicates no change in either strain; genes that were induced in both strains appear in the upper right quadrant, and genes that were repressed in both strains appear in the lower left quadrant. That most genes fall on or near the line y=x indicates that there is little or no effect of *yabA* on the response to HPUra.







