Berkmen, Lee, Loveday, and Grossman

1	
2	Polar positioning of a conjugation protein from the integrative and
3	conjugative element ICEBs1 of Bacillus subtilis
4	
5	Melanie B. Berkmen ^{1,2} , Catherine A. Lee ¹ , Emma-Kate Loveday ^{2,3} , and Alan D. Grossman ¹ *
6	
7	¹ Department of Biology; Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Cambridge, MA 02139
8	² Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry; Suffolk University; Boston, MA 02114
9	³ Current address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, 2350 Health Sciences Mall,
10	University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z4
11	
12	running title: Polar ICEBs1 conjugation protein in B. subtilis
13	
14	Key words: Bacillus subtilis, ICEBs1, conjugative transposon, horizontal gene transfer, ATPase
15	
16	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	* Correspondence to: Alan D. Grossman Department of Biology Building 68-530 MIT Cambridge, MA 02139 phone: 617-253-1515 fax: 617-253-2643 e-mail: adg@mit.edu

2

27 Abstract

ICEBs1 is an integrative and conjugative element found in the chromosome of Bacillus 28 29 subtilis. ICEBs1 encodes functions needed for its excision and transfer to recipient cells. We 30 found that the ICEBs1 gene conE (formerly vddE) is required for conjugation and that 31 conjugative transfer of ICEBs1 requires a conserved ATPase domain of ConE. ConE belongs to 32 the HerA/FtsK superfamily of ATPases, which includes the well-characterized proteins FtsK, 33 SpoIIIE, VirB4, and VirD4. We found that a ConE-GFP (green fluorescent protein) fusion 34 associated with the membrane predominantly at the cell poles in ICEBs1 donor cells. At least one 35 ICEBs1 product likely interacts with ConE to target it to the membrane and cell poles, as ConE-36 GFP was dispersed throughout the cytoplasm in a strain lacking ICEBs1. We also visualized the 37 subcellular location of ICEBs1. When integrated in the chromosome, ICEBs1 was located near 38 midcell along the length of the cell, a position characteristic of that chromosomal region. 39 Following excision, ICEBs1 was more frequently found near a cell pole. Excision of ICEBs1 also 40 caused altered positioning of at least one component of the replisome. Taken together, our 41 findings indicate that ConE is a critical component of the ICEBs1 conjugation machinery, that 42 conjugative transfer of ICEBs1 from B. subtilis likely initiates at a donor cell pole, and that 43 ICEBs1 affects the subcellular position of the replisome.

45 Introduction

Integrative and conjugative elements (also known as conjugative transposons) and
conjugative plasmids are key elements in horizontal gene transfer and are capable of mediating
their own transfer from donor to recipient cells. ICE*Bs1* is an integrative and conjugative
element found in some *Bacillus subtilis* strains. Where found, ICE*Bs1* is integrated into the
leucine tRNA gene *trnS-leu2* (Fig. 1) (7, 14, 21).

51 ICEBs1 gene expression, excision, and potential mating are induced by activation of RecA 52 during the SOS response following DNA damage (7). In addition, ICEBs1 is induced by 53 increased production or activation of the ICEBs1-encoded regulatory protein RapI. Production 54 and activity of RapI are indicative of the presence of potential mating partners that do not contain 55 a copy of ICEBs1 (7). Under inducing conditions, the ICEBs1 repressor ImmR (6) is inactivated 56 by proteolytic cleavage mediated by the anti-repressor and protease ImmA (12). Most ICEBs1 57 genes then become highly expressed (7). One of these genes (xis) encodes an excisionase, which 58 in combination with the element's integrase causes efficient excision and formation of a double-59 stranded circle (7, 38). The circular form is nicked at the origin of transfer, *oriT*, by a DNA 60 relaxase, the product of *nicK* (39). Under appropriate conditions, ICEBs1 can then mate into B. 61 subtilis and other species, including the pathogens Listeria monocytogenes and B. anthracis (7). 62 Once transferred to a recipient, ICEBs1 can be stably integrated into the genome at its attachment 63 site in *trnS-leu2* by the ICEBs1-encoded integrase (38). 64 In contrast to what is known about ICEBs1 genes and proteins involved in excision,

65 integration, and gene regulation, less is known about the components that make up the Gram-

66 positive mating machinery, defined as the conjugation proteins involved in DNA transfer (18,

67 24). The well-characterized Gram-negative mating machinery can serve as a preliminary model

68 (15, 16, 37, 48). The Gram-negative mating machinery is a Type IV secretion (T4S) system 69 composed of at least eight conserved proteins that span the cell envelope. For example, the 70 conjugation apparatus of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens Ti plasmid (pTi) is composed of 11 71 proteins (VirB1 through VirB11) including the ATPase VirB4 (16). VirB4 family members 72 interact with several components of their cognate secretion systems and may energize machine 73 assembly and/or substrate transfer (16, 48). The secretion substrate is targeted to the conjugation 74 machinery by a "coupling protein". Coupling proteins, such as VirD4 of pTi, interact with a 75 protein attached to the end of the DNA substrate and couple the substrate to other components of 76 the conjugation machinery. Coupling proteins might also energize the translocation of DNA 77 through the machinery. Both VirB4 and VirD4 belong to the large HerA/FtsK superfamily of 78 ATPases (29). Two other characterized members of this superfamily are the chromosome 79 partitioning proteins FtsK and SpoIIIE (29), which are ATP-dependent DNA pumps {reviewed 80 in(2).

81 Some of the proteins encoded by Gram-positive conjugative elements are homologous to 82 components of the conjugation machinery from Gram-negative organisms (1, 9, 14, 29) 83 indicating that some aspects of conjugative DNA transfer may be similar in Gram-positives and 84 Gram-negatives. For example, ConE (formerly YddE) of ICEBs1 has sequence similarities to 85 VirB4 (29). YdcQ may be the ICEBs1-encoded coupling protein as it is phylogenetically related 86 to other coupling proteins (29, 44). Despite some similarities, the cell envelopes and many of the 87 genes encoding the conjugation machinery are different between Gram-positive and Gram-88 negative organisms, indicating that there are likely to be significant structural and mechanistic 89 differences as well.

90 To begin to define the conjugation machinery of ICEBs1 and to understand spatial aspects of

91 conjugation, we examined the function and subcellular location of ConE of ICEBs1. Our results 92 indicate that ConE is likely a crucial ATPase component of the ICEBs1 conjugation machinery. 93 We found that ConE and excised ICEBs1 DNA were located at or near the cell poles. We 94 propose that the conjugation machinery is likely located at the cell poles and that mating might occur from a donor cell pole. 95 96 97 **Materials and Methods** 98 Media and growth conditions 99 For B. subtilis and E. coli strains, routine growth and strain constructions were done on LB 100 medium. For all reported experiments with *B. subtilis*, cells were grown at 37°C in S7 defined 101 minimal medium (54) with MOPS buffer at 50 mM rather than 100 mM, with 0.1% glutamate 102 and supplemented with auxotrophic requirements (40 μ g/ml tryptophan; 40 μ g/ml phenylalanine; 103 200 µg/ml threonine) as needed. Either 1% glucose or succinate was used as a carbon source, as 104 indicated. Antibiotics were used at standard concentrations (27). 105 Strains, alleles, and plasmids 106 E. coli strains used for routine cloning were AG115 (MC1061 F'lacl^q lacZ::Tn5) and 107 AG1111 (MC1061 F'lacI^q lacZM15 Tn10). B. subtilis strains used in experiments and their 108 relevant genotypes are listed in Table 1 and are derivatives of JH642 containing the trpC2 and 109 pheA1 mutations (45). B. subtilis strains were constructed by natural transformation (27) or conjugation (7). Strains cured of ICEBs1 (ICEBs1⁰), the spontaneous streptomycin (*str*) resistant 110 111 allele, Δ (*rapIphrI*)342::*kan*, and ICEBs1::*kan* were described previously (7). The unmarked 112 deletions $\Delta nicK306$ (39) and $\Delta xis190$ (38) and the tau-YFP (*dnaX-yfp*) fusion (42) have also 113 been described. All cloned fragments into newly constructed plasmids were verified by

114 sequencing.

115	(i) Unmarked <i>conE</i> mutations. The basic strategy for constructing unmarked alleles of <i>conE</i>
116	was similar to that previously described for construction of $\Delta nicK306$ (39). $conE\Delta(88-808)$ is an
117	unmarked, in-frame deletion of codons 88 through 808 of <i>conE</i> , resulting in the fusion of codons
118	1 through 87 to codons 809 through 831. This deletion keeps the upstream and overlapping yddD
119	gene intact. The splice-overlap-extension PCR method (28) was used to generate a 1.9 kb DNA
120	fragment containing the $conE\Delta(88-808)$ allele. This fragment was cloned into the
121	chloramphenicol resistance vector pEX44 (19)), upstream of <i>lacZ</i> . The resulting plasmid,
122	pMMB941, was used to replace $conE$ with $conE\Delta(88-808)$ in strain JMA168.
123	Mutations in the Walker A and B motifs of <i>conE</i> were made using a strategy similar to that
124	for construction of $conE\Delta(88-808)$. $conE(K476E)$ contains an unmarked missense mutation in
125	<i>conE</i> , converting a lysine at codon 476 to a glutamic acid. <i>conE(D703A/E704A)</i> contains two
126	missense mutations, converting the aspartate and glutamate at 703 and 704 in <i>conE</i> to alanines.
127	DNA fragments (3 kb) containing the <i>conE</i> alleles were generated by PCR and cloned into pKG1
128	(7). The resulting plasmids, pMMB1083 and pMMB1231, were used to introduce $conE(K476E)$
129	and <i>conE(D703A/E704A</i>), respectively, into the chromosome.
130	(ii) Constructs for complementation of <i>conE</i> alleles. The <i>thrC</i> ::{(Pxis-(conE-lacZ)) mls}
131	allele was constructed to express <i>conE</i> from its presumed native promoter (Pxis) of ICEBs1.
132	conE was cloned into pKG1, downstream of Pxis and upstream of lacZ, creating plasmid
133	pMMB943. pMMB943 was transformed into JH642 to create the <i>thrC</i> ::{(Pxis-(conE-lacZ)) mls}
134	allele. A similar strategy was used to produce <i>thrC</i> ::{(<i>Pxis-(yddD conE-lacZ)</i>) <i>mls</i> } from plasmid
135	pMMB942, <i>thrC</i> ::{(Pxis-(yddD-lacZ)) mls} from plasmid pMMB1004, and <i>thrC</i> ::{(Pxis-(yddD-lacZ)) mls}
136	$conE(K476E)-lacZ))$ mls} from pMMB1083. thrC325::{(ICEBs1-311 ($\Delta attR$::tet)) mls} (strain

- MMB1218) contains ICE*Bs1* inserted at *thrC*. It is incapable of excision due to deletion of the
 right-side attachment site *attR* as described previously (39).
- 139 (iii) Overexpression of RapI. rapI was overexpressed from Pspank(hy) in single copy in the
- 140 chromosome at *amyE* (*amyE*::{(*Pspank(hy)-rapI*) *spc*}) as described (7), or from *Pxyl*, also at
- 141 *amyE*. To construct *amyE*::{(Pxyl-rapI) spc}, rapI was cloned downstream of Pxyl in vector
- 142 pDR160, (from D. Rudner, Harvard Medical School, Boston). The resulting plasmid, pMMB856,

143 was integrated at *amyE* in *B. subtilis* by homologous recombination.

144 (iv) Construction of a vector for double integration at *lacA*. We constructed the vector

pMMB752 for introducing DNA via double crossover at *lacA*. First, an 891 bp PCR fragment of

146 the 5' end of *lacA* was cloned into the tetracycline-resistance vector pDG1513 to generate

pMMB739. Second, a 1042 bp PCR fragment of the 3' end of *lacA* was cloned into pMMB739

to generate pMMB752.

149 (v) GFP fusions to ConE, ConE Δ (88-808), and ConE(K476E). The vector pMMB759 was

150 derived from pMMB752. It allows fusion of the C-terminus of a protein to a 23 amino acid

151 linker followed by monomeric GFPmut2 (mGFPmut2). A fragment (containing the 23 amino

acid linker and mGFPmut2) was digested from pLS31 (49) with *Xho*I and *Sph*I and ligated into
pMMB752 to generate pMMB759.

lacA::{(*Pxis-yddD conE-mgfpmut2*) *tet*} expresses *yddD* and *conE-mgfpmut2* from the
presumed native promoter (*Pxis*) of ICE*Bs1*. We inserted a 363 bp PCR fragment containing the

156 Pxis promoter into pMMB759, upstream of *mgfpmut2*, generating pMMB762. A 2.9 kb PCR

157 fragment of *yddD* and *conE* missing its stop codon was cloned into the *Kpn*I and *Xho*I sites of

pMMB762, downstream of Pxis and upstream of mgfpmut2, creating plasmid pMMB786.

pMMB786 was transformed into JH642 to create the *lacA*::{(*Pxis-yddD conE-mgfpmut2*) *tet*}

160 allele. $lacA::{(Pxis-vddD conE \Delta(88-808)-mgfpmut2) tet}$ and $lacA::{(Pxis-vddD conE(K476E)-$ 161 *mgfpmut2*) *tet*} were generated using a similar strategy but using PCR fragments synthesized 162 from templates pMMB1082 for $conE\Delta(88-808)$ and pMMB1083 for conE(K476E). 163 ConE-GFP was partially functional in mating. Expression of *yddD* and *conE-gfp* from their 164 presumed native promoter (Pxis) at the heterologous site (lacA) in conE (K476E) donors 165 increased the frequency of mating at least 250-fold (0.001% mating efficiency for strain 166 MMB1134 compared to <0.000004% for MMB1118). In addition, expression of *conE-gfp* at 167 *lacA* in $conE^+$ donors had no effect on mating frequency (8% mating efficiency for strain 168 MMB968 compared to 7% for JMA168). 169 (vi) Visualization of chromosomal regions using the *lac* operator/*lac* repressor system. The 170 lac operator/lac repressor system has been used previously to visualize chromosome regions in 171 B. subtilis (e.g., (42, 50, 56)). To mark the 47° (in ICEBs1) and 48° (outside of ICEBs1) regions, 172 we inserted a plasmid containing a tandem array of *lac* operators near *yddM* (pMMB779) and 173 ydeDE (pMMB854), respectively, by single crossover. yddM (47°) and ydeDE (48°) are not 174 disrupted in these constructs. We inserted a 466 bp PCR fragment of the 3' end of yddM into the 175 *Nhe*I and *Eco*RI sites of pPSL44a to generate pMMB779. pPSL44a is pGEMcat containing an 176 *XhoI* fragment from pLAU43 that includes a 4.5 kb array of *lac* operators (11). Ten base pairs of 177 random sequence intersperses each *lacO* site of pLAU43, leading to greater genetic stability by 178 reducing the frequency of recombination (35). We inserted a 728 bp PCR fragment including the 179 3' ends and intergenic region between ydeD and ydeE into the NheI and EcoRI sites of pPSL44a 180 to generate pMMB845. The *lac* operator arrays were amplified *in vivo* by selecting for resistance 181 to chloramphenicol ($25 \mu g/ml$) as described previously (56).

182 Mating Assays

183 We assayed ICE*Bs1* DNA transfer as described previously (7). We used donor *B. subtilis*

- 184 cells in which ICEBs1 contained a kanamycin resistance gene. Recipient cells lacked ICEBs1
- 185 (ICEBs l^0) and were distinguishable from donors as they were streptomycin resistant. Donors and
- 186 recipient cells were grown separately in minimal glucose medium for at least four generations.
- 187 ICEBs1 was induced in the donors in mid-exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm to ~0.4)
- 188 by addition of IPTG (1 mM) for 1 hr to induce expression of *rapI* (from *Pspank(hy)-rapI*).

189 Donors and ICEBs 1^0 recipient cells (CAL419) were mixed and filtered on sterile cellulose nitrate

190 membrane filters (0.2 µm pore size). Filters were placed in Petri dishes containing Spizizen's

191 minimal salts (27) and 1.5% agar and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. Cells were washed off the

192 filter and the number of transconjugants (recipients that received ICEBs1) per ml was measured

193 by determining the number of kan^R strep^R colony forming units (CFUs) after the mating. Percent

194 mating is the (number of transconjugant CFUs per donor CFU) x 100%.

195

Live cell fluorescence microscopy

196 Microscopy was performed as described (10). Cells were grown at least four generations to 197 mid-exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm to ~0.4) in minimal medium. RapI 198 overexpression was induced with either 1 mM IPTG for 1 hour for strains containing 199 $amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc}$ or with 1% xylose for ~2 hours for strains containing 200 *amyE*::{(*Pxyl-rapI*) *spc*}. Cells were stained with FM4-64 (1 µg/ml; Molecular Probes) to 201 visualize membranes. Live cells were immobilized on pads of 1% agarose containing Spizizen's 202 minimal salts. All images were captured at room temperature with a Nikon E800 microscope 203 equipped with a 100x DIC objective and a Hamamatsu digital camera. We used the Chroma filter 204 sets 41002b (TRITC) for FM4-64, 31044 for CFP, 41012 for GFP, and 41028 for YFP.

Improvision Openlabs 4.0 Software was used to process images. Cell length and focus position
was measured and plotted as described previously (10, 40). Each strain was examined in at least
two independent experiments with similar results.

208

209 **Results**

210 *conE* is required for mating

211 Conjugative transfer of ICE*Bs1* is a multi-step process. Previous work indicated that *conE* is

not required for ICEBs1 gene expression, excision, integration, circularization, or nicking (6, 7,

213 12, 38, 39). Since ConE is a putative ATPase and distantly related to other ATPases known to be

required for conjugation, we tested the effects of *conE* mutations on mating of ICEBs1.

215 We constructed three different *conE* alleles: 1) an in-frame deletion { $conE\Delta(88-808)$ }

216 removing codons 88 through 808 (of 831); 2) a missense mutation in the Walker A box

217 {*conE*(*K*476*E*)} that is predicted to eliminate nucleotide binding; and 3) a double missense

218 mutation in the Walker B box {*conE(D703A/E704A)*} that is predicted to eliminate ATPase

219 activity {reviewed in (26)}. Each *conE* mutant allele was introduced unmarked into ICEBs1

220 replacing the wild type allele (see Materials and Methods).

We found that *conE* is required for ICE*Bs1* conjugative transfer. We compared mating efficiencies of ICE*Bs1* from donor strains containing the various *conE* alleles into recipient *B*. *subtilis* cells lacking ICE*Bs1* (Fig. 2). ICE*Bs1* was induced by overproduction of RapI from a heterologous promoter and potential donor cells were mixed with potential recipients that lacked ICE*Bs1*, essentially as described (7). The donor ICE*Bs1* contained an antibiotic resistance marker that had been inserted to allow selection and monitoring of ICE*Bs1* acquisition (7). A donor strain with an intact *conE* (*conE*⁺) transferred ICE*Bs1* with an average mating frequency 228 of $\sim 7\%$ {percent transconjugant colony forming units (CFU) per donor CFU; Fig. 2a}. In

229 contrast, there were no detectable transconjugants from the ICEBs1 conE mutants (Fig. 2b-d).

Consistent with previous results indicating that *conE* is not involved in ICEBs1 gene 230

231 expression, excision, or circularization (6, 7, 12, 38, 39), we found that neither conE(K476E) nor

232 $conE\Delta(88-808)$ mutant alleles had any detectable effect on these processes (data not shown).

233

Complementation tests with *conE*

234 We used complementation tests to determine if the defect in mating caused by the

235 conE(K476E) mutation was due to loss of ConE function and/or an unintended effect on some

236 other gene. The defect in mating caused by the conE(K476E) mutation was complemented

237 partially when wild type *conE* was provided in the donor in *trans* under control of the ICEBs1

238 promoter Pxis (Fig. 2e). Measurements of mRNA levels using DNA microarrays indicated that

239 the partial complementation is not due to unexpected defects in expression of other ICEBs1

240 genes or of Pxis-conE (data not shown).

241 The partial complementation of the conE(K476E) mutation is probably due, in part, to 242 inefficient translation of wild type ConE expressed from Pxis-conE. yddD, the gene immediately 243 upstream of *conE*, is predicted to overlap with the first 37 codons of *conE*, and thus the two are 244 likely to be translationally coupled. Complementation of the conE(K476E) mutant was 245 significantly increased when yddD and conE were expressed together (Pxis-yddD conE) than 246 when *conE* was expressed alone (*Pxis-conE*) (Fig. 2e, f). Neither expression of *yddD* alone nor 247 expression of yddD and conE(K476E) together improved the efficiency of transfer of the ICEBs1 248 conE mutant (Fig. 2g, h). conE(K476E) was complemented fully if an additional copy of 249 ICEBs1 was placed at the ectopic locus thrC (Fig. 2i). These results and results from additional 250 mating experiments with *conE* expressed in recipients indicate that *conE* function is needed in

251	the donor and not the recipient (data not shown). Based on these findings, we suspect that ConE
252	is not efficiently translated and assembled into an active complex when expressed in trans to
253	YddD and other ICEBs1 proteins.
254	Taken together, our results indicate that ConE and its ATPase domain are required in the
255	donor for mating of ICEBs1, but are not required for induction of ICEBs1, excision,
256	circularization, nicking, or integration. Based on these results and the homology of ConE to
257	VirB4-like conjugative ATPases, the simplest interpretation is that ConE is a component of the
258	ICEBs1 conjugation machinery and that ATP binding and hydrolysis are required for ConE
259	function in ICEBs1 DNA transfer.
260	ConE-GFP localizes to the cell poles, in close association with the membrane
261	We found that ConE is located predominantly at the cell poles, in close association with the
262	membrane. We visualized the subcellular location of ConE in live cells by visualizing a fusion of
263	GFP to the C-terminus of ConE. conE-gfp was expressed from its presumed native promoter
264	(Pxis), together with yddD, at the heterologous locus (lacA) outside of ICEBs1. This fusion was
265	partially functional and did not interfere with transfer of $conE^+$ ICEBs1 (see Materials and
266	Methods). Most experiments using ConE-GFP were done with strains that also contained a wild-
267	type version of <i>conE</i> in ICEBs1.

We monitored ConE-GFP prior to and after induction of ICE*Bs1* gene expression. Little or no fluorescence was observed in cells in which ICE*Bs1* gene expression was not induced (data not shown). This was expected since the P*xis* promoter driving expression of *conE-gfp* is not active without induction (6, 7, 12). When ICE*Bs1* gene expression was induced by overproduction of RapI, ConE-GFP was found predominantly at the cell poles in most cells (Fig. 3A). This is most evident with simultaneous visualization of ConE-GFP and the cell membrane

13

stained with the dye FM4-64 (Fig. 3B). ConE-GFP appeared to form a "polar cap" along the
entire pole near the membrane. ConE-GFP was most often found at both cell poles, but was also
commonly observed at only one pole. A lower level of fluorescence was also detected
throughout the cell and sometimes along the lateral sides of the cells.

278 Positioning of ConE-GFP at the cell poles requires at least one other ICEBs1 gene

279 The polar positioning of ConE-GFP did not depend on the wild type *conE* in ICEBs1. We 280 visualized ConE-GFP in cells deleted for $conE \{conE\Delta(88-808)\}$ at its native locus in ICEBs1 281 and found that its subcellular position was indistinguishable from that in cells expressing wild 282 type *conE* (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that the positioning of ConE-GFP at the poles does 283 not depend on expression of wild-type *conE* in ICEBs1. In addition, we fused *conE* Δ (88-808) to 284 gfp and expressed this from Pxis (along with yddD) as above. The ConE Δ (88-808)-GFP fusion 285 was found throughout the cyptoplasm, both in the presence and absence of functional *conE* in 286 ICEBs1 (Fig 3D; data not shown). These results indicate that ConE Δ (88-808)-GFP is not 287 capable of localizing at the cell poles.

288 We found that positioning of ConE-GFP to the membrane and cell poles required at least one other ICEBs1 gene. In cells missing ICEBs1 entirely (ICEBs1⁰), ConE-GFP was dispersed 289 290 throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 3E). In these experiments, ConE-GFP was produced 291 constitutively from Pxis in combination with YddD (Pxis-yddD conE-gfp). These results indicate 292 that proper positioning of ConE-GFP at the poles and near the membrane requires an ICEBs1 293 gene product and that YddD is not sufficient to recruit ConE-GFP to the membrane. 294 Alternatively, positioning of ConE-GFP could require interaction with ICEBs1 DNA, although 295 we think this is less likely.

296 The positioning of ConE-GFP near the cell membrane is consistent with a prior report that 297 identified ConE (YddE) as one of many proteins found in sub-membrane fractions of B. subtilis (13). However, ConE does not contain any predicted transmembrane segments according to 298 299 several transmembrane and subcellular localization prediction programs, including Phobius (31), 300 Polyphobius (32), HHMTOP (52, 53), TopPred (17), cPsortdb (46), DAS (20), and PHDhtm 301 (47). Several other ICEBs1 proteins {products of ydcQ, yddB, yddC, yddD, yddG, cwlT 302 (formerly *vddH*), *vddJ*, and *vddM*} contain one or more predicted transmembrane segments 303 (Fig. 1). We do not yet know which, if any, of these proteins are involved in membrane 304 association of ConE, but we favor a model in which at least one of these ICEBs1 proteins 305 interacts with ConE and targets it to the polar membrane. 306 Positioning of ConE-GFP at the cell poles does not require a functional *conE* 307 We found that positioning of ConE at the poles did not require that ConE be functional for 308 mating. We fused the mating-deficient allele conE(K476E) to gfp and expressed this fusion from 309 Pxis (along with yddD) as above. Following induction of ICEBs1, ConE(K476E)-GFP was 310 found at the cell poles near the membrane (Fig. 3F) similar to the location of wild-type ConE-311 GFP (Fig. 3A, B). This polar localization of ConE(K476E)-GFP did not depend on a functional 312 copy of *conE* in ICEBs1 (data not shown). Since ConE(K476E) localized properly but did not 313 support mating, these results indicate that positioning of ConE at the cell poles is not sufficient 314 for its function in mating. Furthermore, assuming that the ConE(K476E) mutant protein is 315 defective in nucleotide binding, as predicted, these results indicate that neither binding nor 316 hydrolysis of ATP by ConE is required for its proper subcellular positioning.

```
317
           Following induction, ICEBs1 DNA is found more frequently at the cell poles
318
           We determined the subcellular location of ICEBs1 DNA in live cells and compared this with
319
       the location of nearby chromosomal DNA (Fig. 4). These comparisons were done in cells with
       ICEBs1 integrated in the genome in its normal attachment site at 47° and in cells in which
320
321
       ICEBs1 was induced to excise (through overproduction of RapI). We inserted an array of lac
322
       operators (lacO) in the right end of ICEBs1, adjacent to yddM (47°), or outside of ICEBs1,
323
       adjacent to ydeD, at 48° in the chromosome (Materials and Methods). We visualized the
324
       location of the lacO array using a fusion of Lac repressor to the cyan fluorescent protein (LacI-
325
       CFP). The position of LacI-CFP is indicative of the subcellular position of either double
326
       stranded ICEBs1 DNA or chromosomal DNA, depending on the location of the lacO array.
327
           We examined cells growing slowly, when most cells were generally engaged in no more than
328
       one round of replication. Under these conditions, most cells contain one incompletely replicated
329
       chromosome, and therefore contain one or two copies of each chromosomal region. Without
330
       induction, ICEBs1 DNA is integrated into the chromosome near 47° (7, 38). As expected, we
331
       found that most uninduced cells (88% of 1535 cells) contained one or two foci of double-
332
       stranded ICEBs1 DNA (Fig. 4A). In cells with a single focus, the ICEBs1 DNA was generally
333
       located near midcell (Fig. 4A). Approximately 94% of these cells (of 246 cells with a single
334
       focus) had the focus in the middle 50% of cell length. Only 6% of cells (of 246) had the focus of
335
       ICEBs1 DNA in a polar quarter of the cell. These findings are consistent with expectations for
336
       this region of the chromosome based on previously published findings (11, 40, 50, 56).
337
           In contrast to the position of ICEBs1 when integrated in the chromosome, significantly more
338
       cells had a focus of ICEBs1 DNA in a polar quarter after induction and excision. Overproduction
339
       of RapI causes efficient induction of ICEBs1 gene expression, excision from the chromosome,
```

and formation of a double stranded circle (7, 38, 39). Under these conditions, most cells (87% of
1804 cells) contained one or two foci of double-stranded ICE*Bs1* DNA (Fig. 4B), similar to that
in uninduced cells (Fig. 4A). However, following induction, 41% of cells (of 489) with a single
focus of ICE*Bs1* DNA had the focus in a polar quarter, an ~7-fold increase compared to that in
uninduced cells (6%). These results indicate that ICE*Bs1* DNA is found more frequently near a
cell pole following excision than when integrated in the chromosome.

346 The subcellular position of the 48° region of the chromosome, near the ICE*Bs1* attachment

347 site, changed little, if at all, following induction of ICEBs1 gene expression and excision.

348 Following induction of ICEBs1 (by overproduction of RapI), only 10% of cells with a single

focus of the 48° region (of 195 cells) had the focus in a polar quarter of the cell (Fig. 4C),

350 compared with 41% of cells with a polar focus of ICEBs1 DNA (Fig. 4B). These results indicate

351 that after excision, ICEBs1 DNA is found more frequently near the cell poles than the previously

adjacent chromosomal region. Thus, the change in location of ICE*Bs1* DNA upon induction is

353 specific to ICEBs1 and not the region of the chromosome where it normally resides. In cells in

354 which ICE*Bs1* was not induced, the subcellular location of the 48° region of the chromosome

355 was indistinguishable from that of integrated ICEBs1 DNA (at 47°), as expected. Only 6% of

356 cells with a single focus had the focus in a polar quarter of the cell (data not shown).

357 Polar positioning of ICEBs1 following induction depends on excision

We found that excision of ICE*Bs1* from the chromosome was required for the increase in ICE*Bs1* foci that were in the polar quarters of the cell. We induced ICE*Bs1* gene expression in an *xis* null mutant incapable of excision. ICE*Bs1* gene expression is induced normally in excision-defective mutants (J. Auchtung, CAL, ADG, unpublished results). After induction of ICE*Bs1* gene expression in the *xis* mutant, we found that only 13% of cells (of 276 cells) with a 363 single focus of ICEBs1 had the focus in a polar quarter (Fig. 4D). This is in contrast to the 41% 364 of xis⁺ cells with ICEBs1 in a polar quarter (Fig. 4B). Thus, the change in position of ICEBs1 365 DNA upon induction likely requires its excision from the chromosome. This result is consistent 366 with either ICEBs1 DNA appearing at the poles due to direct association with the conjugation 367 machinery or due to its random positioning in the cell once it is released from the chromosome. 368 In contrast to the requirement for xis for the high frequency of ICEBs1 DNA found near the 369 cell poles, xis was not required for polar positioning of ConE-GFP. Following induction of 370 ICEBs1 carrying a xis deletion, ConE-GFP localization was indistinguishable from that of xis^+ 371 ICEBs1 (Fig. 3G). Together, these results indicate that excisionase is required for the change in 372 position of ICEBs1 DNA upon induction and that polar positioning of ConE-GFP is most likely 373 not due to association with ICEBs1 DNA at the poles.

374 The position of the replication machinery is altered following induction of ICEBs1

375 Excision of ICEBs1 generates an extrachromosomal circle, analogous to a circular plasmid. 376 Previous work indicated that the subcellular position of replisome proteins was altered in cells 377 containing a multi-copy plasmid (55). We therefore wished to determine if excision of ICEBs1 378 caused altered subcelluar positioning of the replisome. We visualized the location of one 379 component of the replication machinery using a functional fusion of the Tau subunit of DNA 380 polymerase to yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) (42). Components of the replisome (the complex 381 of replication proteins associated with a replication fork) normally form discrete foci at regular 382 positions (41, 43). During slow growth when most cells are engaged in no more than one round 383 of replication at a time, most cells have one focus or two closely spaced foci of the replisome 384 located near midcell along the length of the rod-shaped cell (10, 41).

385 Consistent with previous results, we found that during slow growth, only a small fraction of 386 cells with ICEBs1 integrated in the chromosome (uninduced) had a focus of Tau-YFP in a polar 387 quarter. Of 250 cells with a single focus of Tau-YFP, only 4% had the focus in a polar quarter 388 (Fig. 4E). In contrast, following excision of ICEBs1, induced by overproduction of RapI, the 389 replication machinery was much more frequently observed in the polar quarters. Of 212 cells 390 observed with a single focus of Tau-YFP, 32% had the focus in a polar quarter (Fig. 4F). We 391 suspect that the replisome foci were associated with ICEBs1 DNA, although we have not been 392 able to test this directly. Due to photo-bleaching, we were unable to capture high quality 393 micrographs of both Tau-YFP and ICEBs1 DNA (LacI-CFP) foci in the same cells to determine 394 if the foci co-localize. Nonetheless, our data indicate that the subcellular position of at least one 395 component of the replication machinery is altered following induction of ICEBs1. These results 396 might indicate that ICEBs1 DNA is replicated autonomously after excision. We are currently 397 investigating this possibility.

399 Discussion

400 We found that ConE (formerly YddE) and its ATPase motifs are required for conjugation of 401 the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 of B. subtilis. We found that a ConE-GFP fusion 402 was positioned predominantly at the cell poles, apparently associated with the membrane, and 403 that this positioning required at least one other ICEBs1 gene product. In addition, after excision from the chromosome, ICEBs1 DNA was found more frequently near the cell poles. Our results 404 405 indicate that ConE is most likely part of the ICEBs1 conjugation machinery. If its subcellular 406 location is indicative of where the protein is functioning, then mating of ICEBs1 from B. subtilis 407 likely occurs from a donor cell pole. Attempts to test this by directly visualizing mating pairs 408 have so far been unsuccessful.

409 VirB4-like proteins

410 ConE belongs to the VirB4 clade of the HerA/FtsK superfamily of ATPases (29).

411 Characterized members of this clade are required for substrate secretion, form membrane-

412 associated oligomers, and interact with several components of their cognate secretion

413 machineries (16, 48). Analysis of *virB4* Walker A box mutants indicates that ATP binding and/or

414 hydrolysis is required for DNA transfer through the secretion machinery but not for association

415 of VirB4 with itself or other machinery components (4, 57).

416 Results with the few Gram-positive VirB4 homologs that have been studied indicate that 417 these proteins likely operate analogously to *A. tumefaciens* VirB4. The VirB4-like TcpF protein 418 of the *Clostridium perfringens* plasmid pCW3 is required for DNA transfer and localizes to the 419 cell poles (9, 51). Another VirB4-homolog, Orf5_{pIP501} of the broad host-range plasmid pIP50, 420 interacts with itself and several putative components of its cognate conjugation machinery (1).

421 Subcellular location of conjugation proteins

422 ConE-GFP appears associated with the cell membrane and predominantly at both cell poles, 423 indicating that mating may occur at either end of a *B. subtilis* donor cell. Mating pairs of live *E*. 424 *coli* cells have been observed using fluorescence microscopy (8, 36). Transfer of the conjugative 425 plasmid R751 in E. coli can occur along any orientation between donors and recipients that are in 426 direct contact, suggesting that the conjugative machinery of R751 may assemble along both the 427 lateral and polar sides of the cell (36). This type of lateral and polar localization of the mating 428 machinery has been directly observed for the R27 conjugative plasmid in E. coli (22, 25). R27's 429 VirB4-like TrhC and coupling protein TraG were distributed at multiple sites along all sides of 430 the cell.

In other systems, the mating machinery is seen at one or both cell poles. For example, the conjugative pore of the Gram-positive *Clostridium perfringens* plasmid pCW3, likely localizes at both cell poles as evidenced by immunofluorescence microscopy of the VirB4-like TcpF protein (51). Components of the Gram-negative *Agrobacterium* pTi conjugative apparatus are typically located at a single cell pole (3, 5, 30, 33, 34).

436 For ConE, our results indicate that ATP-binding and hydrolysis are not required for targeting 437 but at least one other ICEBs1 gene is required. The R27 VirB4-like protein TrhC also does not 438 require a functional ATPase domain for localization but requires 12 of the other 18 R27 transfer 439 proteins (23). VirB4 also does not require a functional ATPase domain for localization, but 440 unlike TrhC or ConE, is able to target itself independently of other conjugation proteins (30). 441 It is not yet known where other ICEBs1 conjugation proteins are positioned in the cell or how 442 they interact. Recent studies indicate that the Gram-positive conjugation apparatus may be as 443 structurally complex as its Gram-negative counterpart (1, 9, 51). Since many ICEBs1 genes are

444 conserved between diverse conjugative elements found in a wide range of Gram-positive

bacteria, we suspect that an understanding of ICE*Bs1* will likely shed light on other conjugativesystems as well.

447

448 Acknowledgements

449 We are grateful to J.M. Auchtung for generous advice, strains, and protocols. This work was

450 supported primarily by Public Health Service grant GM50895 (ADG) and in part, by Suffolk

451 University Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and a Suffolk University Summer

452 Stipend Award (MBB).

454 **References**

- Abajy, M. Y., J. Kopec, K. Schiwon, M. Burzynski, M. Doring, C. Bohn, and E.
 Grohmann. 2007. A type IV-secretion-like system is required for conjugative DNA
 transport of broad-host-range plasmid pIP501 in gram-positive bacteria. J Bacteriol
 189:2487-2496.
- 459
 Allemand, J. F., and B. Maier. 2009. Bacterial translocation motors investigated by single
 460 molecule techniques. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33:593-610.
- Atmakuri, K., E. Cascales, O. T. Burton, L. M. Banta, and P. J. Christie. 2007.
 Agrobacterium ParA/MinD-like VirC1 spatially coordinates early conjugative DNA transfer
 reactions. EMBO J 26:2540-2551.
- 464
 4. Atmakuri, K., E. Cascales, and P. J. Christie. 2004. Energetic components VirD4, VirB11
 and VirB4 mediate early DNA transfer reactions required for bacterial type IV secretion. Mol
 466
 466 Microbiol 54:1199-1211.
- 467 5. Atmakuri, K., Z. Ding, and P. J. Christie. 2003. VirE2, a type IV secretion substrate,
 468 interacts with the VirD4 transfer protein at cell poles of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Mol
 469 Microbiol 49:1699-1713.
- 470 6. Auchtung, J. M., C. A. Lee, K. L. Garrison, and A. D. Grossman. 2007. Identification
 471 and characterization of the immunity repressor (ImmR) that controls the mobile genetic
 472 element ICEBs1 of Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 64:1515-1528.
- 473 7. Auchtung, J. M., C. A. Lee, R. E. Monson, A. P. Lehman, and A. D. Grossman. 2005.
 474 Regulation of a *Bacillus subtilis* mobile genetic element by intercellular signaling and the
 475 global DNA damage response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:12554-12559.
- 8. Babic, A., A. B. Lindner, M. Vulic, E. J. Stewart, and M. Radman. 2008. Direct
 visualization of horizontal gene transfer. Science 319:1533-1536.
- Bannam, T. L., W. L. Teng, D. Bulach, D. Lyras, and J. I. Rood. 2006. Functional
 identification of conjugation and replication regions of the tetracycline resistance plasmid
 pCW3 from *Clostridium perfringens*. J Bacteriol 188:4942-4951.
- 481 10. Berkmen, M. B., and A. D. Grossman. 2006. Spatial and temporal organization of the
 482 *Bacillus subtilis* replication cycle. Mol Microbiol 62:57-71.
- 483 11. Berkmen, M. B., and A. D. Grossman. 2007. Subcellular positioning of the origin region of
 484 the *Bacillus subtilis* chromosome is independent of sequences within *oriC*, the site of
 485 replication initiation, and the replication initiator DnaA. Mol Microbiol 63:150-165.
- 486
 12. Bose, B., J. M. Auchtung, C. A. Lee, and A. D. Grossman. 2008. A conserved anti 487 repressor controls horizontal gene transfer by proteolysis. Mol Microbiol 70:570-582.
- 488
 13. Bunai, K., M. Nozaki, M. Hamano, S. Ogane, T. Inoue, T. Nemoto, H. Nakanishi, and
 489
 489
 490
 490
 490
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491
 491</
- 492 14. Burrus, V., G. Pavlovic, B. Decaris, and G. Guedon. 2002. The ICESt1 element of
 493 Streptococcus thermophilus belongs to a large family of integrative and conjugative elements
 494 that exchange modules and change their specificity of integration. Plasmid 48:77-97.
- 495 15. Cascales, E., and P. J. Christie. 2003. The versatile bacterial type IV secretion systems. Nat
 496 Rev Microbiol 1:137-149.

- 497 16. Christie, P. J., K. Atmakuri, V. Krishnamoorthy, S. Jakubowski, and E. Cascales. 2005.
 498 Biogenesis, architecture, and function of bacterial type IV secretion systems. Annu Rev
 499 Microbiol 59:451-485.
- 500 17. Claros, M. G., and G. Von Heijne. 1994. TopPred II: an improved software for membrane
 501 protein structure predictions. Comput Appl Biosci 10:685-686.
- 502 18. Clewell, D. B., and M. V. Francia. 2004. Conjugation in Gram-positive bacteria., p. 227503 260. *In* B. Funnell and G. Phillips (ed.), The Biology of Plasmids. ASM Press, Washington,
 504 D.C.
- 505 19. Comella, N., and A. D. Grossman. 2005. Conservation of genes and processes controlled by
 506 the quorum response in bacteria: characterization of genes controlled by the quorum-sensing
 507 transcription factor ComA in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 57:1159-1174.
- 508 20. Cserzo, M., E. Wallin, I. Simon, G. Von Heijne, and A. Elofsson. 1997. Prediction of
 509 transmembrane alpha-helices in prokaryotic membrane proteins: the dense alignment surface
 510 method. Protein Eng 10:673-676.
- 511 21. Earl, A. M., R. Losick, and R. Kolter. 2007. *Bacillus subtilis* genome diversity. J Bacteriol
 512 189:1163-1170.
- 513 22. Gilmour, M. W., T. D. Lawley, M. M. Rooker, P. J. Newnham, and D. E. Taylor. 2001.
 514 Cellular location and temperature-dependent assembly of IncHI1 plasmid R27-encoded
 515 TrhC-associated conjugative transfer protein complexes. Mol Microbiol 42:705-715.
- 516 23. Gilmour, M. W., and D. E. Taylor. 2004. A subassembly of R27-encoded transfer proteins
 517 is dependent on TrhC nucleoside triphosphate-binding motifs for function but not formation.
 518 J Bacteriol 186:1606-1613.
- 519 24. Grohmann, E., G. Muth, and M. Espinosa. 2003. Conjugative plasmid transfer in gram 520 positive bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:277-301.
- 521 25. Gunton, J. E., M. W. Gilmour, G. Alonso, and D. E. Taylor. 2005. Subcellular
 522 localization and functional domains of the coupling protein, TraG, from IncHI1 plasmid R27.
 523 Microbiology 151:3549-3561.
- 524 26. Hanson, P. I., and S. W. Whiteheart. 2005. AAA+ proteins: have engine, will work. Nat
 525 Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:519-529.
- 526 27. Harwood, C. R., and S. M. Cutting. 1990. Molecular Biological Methods for *Bacillus*. John
 527 Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- 528 28. Horton, R. M., H. D. Hunt, S. N. Ho, J. K. Pullen, and L. R. Pease. 1989. Engineering
 hybrid genes without the use of restriction enzymes: gene splicing by overlap extension.
 530 Gene 77:61-68.
- 531 29. Iyer, L. M., K. S. Makarova, E. V. Koonin, and L. Aravind. 2004. Comparative genomics
 532 of the FtsK-HerA superfamily of pumping ATPases: implications for the origins of
 533 chromosome segregation, cell division and viral capsid packaging. Nucleic Acids Res
 534 32:5260-5279.
- 30. Judd, P. K., R. B. Kumar, and A. Das. 2005. Spatial location and requirements for the
 assembly of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* type IV secretion apparatus. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 U S A 102:11498-11503.
- 538 31. Kall, L., A. Krogh, and E. L. Sonnhammer. 2004. A combined transmembrane topology
 539 and signal peptide prediction method. J Mol Biol 338:1027-1036.
- 540 32. Kall, L., A. Krogh, and E. L. Sonnhammer. 2005. An HMM posterior decoder for
- sequence feature prediction that includes homology information. Bioinformatics 21 Suppl
 1:i251-257.

- 543 33. Kumar, R. B., and A. Das. 2002. Polar location and functional domains of the
- 544 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* DNA transfer protein VirD4. Mol Microbiol **43:**1523-1532.
- 34. Lai, E. M., O. Chesnokova, L. M. Banta, and C. I. Kado. 2000. Genetic and
 environmental factors affecting T-pilin export and T-pilus biogenesis in relation to
 flagellation of *Agrobacterium* tumefaciens. J Bacteriol 182:3705-3716.
- 548 35. Lau, I. F., S. R. Filipe, B. Soballe, O. A. Okstad, F. X. Barre, and D. J. Sherratt. 2003.
 549 Spatial and temporal organization of replicating *Escherichia coli* chromosomes. Mol 550 Microbiol 49:731-743.
- 36. Lawley, T. D., G. S. Gordon, A. Wright, and D. E. Taylor. 2002. Bacterial conjugative
 transfer: visualization of successful mating pairs and plasmid establishment in live
 Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 44:947-956.
- 37. Lawley, T. D., W. A. Klimke, M. J. Gubbins, and L. S. Frost. 2003. F factor conjugation
 is a true type IV secretion system. FEMS Microbiol Lett 224:1-15.
- 38. Lee, C. A., J. M. Auchtung, R. E. Monson, and A. D. Grossman. 2007. Identification and characterization of *int* (integrase), *xis* (excisionase) and chromosomal attachment sites of the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 of Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 66:1356-1369.
- 39. Lee, C. A., and A. D. Grossman. 2007. Identification of the origin of transfer (*oriT*) and
 DNA relaxase required for conjugation of the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 of
 Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 189:7254-7261.
- 40. Lee, P. S., D. C. Lin, S. Moriya, and A. D. Grossman. 2003. Effects of the chromosome
 partitioning protein Spo0J (ParB) on *oriC* positioning and replication initiation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 185:1326-1337.
- 41. Lemon, K. P., and A. D. Grossman. 1998. Localization of bacterial DNA polymerase:
 evidence for a factory model of replication. Science 282:1516-1519.
- Lemon, K. P., and A. D. Grossman. 2000. Movement of replicating DNA through a stationary replisome. Mol Cell 6:1321-1330.
- 43. Meile, J. C., L. J. Wu, S. D. Ehrlich, J. Errington, and P. Noirot. 2006. Systematic
 localisation of proteins fused to the green fluorescent protein in *Bacillus subtilis*:
 identification of new proteins at the DNA replication factory. Proteomics 6:2135-2146.
- 44. Parsons, J. A., T. L. Bannam, R. J. Devenish, and J. I. Rood. 2007. TcpA, an
 FtsK/SpoIIIE homolog, is essential for transfer of the conjugative plasmid pCW3 in *Clostridium perfringens*. J Bacteriol 189:7782-7790.
- 45. Perego, M., G. B. Spiegelman, and J. A. Hoch. 1988. Structure of the gene for the
 transition state regulator, *abrB*: regulator synthesis is controlled by the *spo0A* sporulation
 gene in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 2:689-699.
- 46. Rey, S., M. Acab, J. L. Gardy, M. R. Laird, K. Defays, C. Lambert, and F. S.
 Brinkman. 2005. PSORTdb: a protein subcellular localization database for bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 33:D164-168.
- 47. Rost, B., P. Fariselli, and R. Casadio. 1996. Topology prediction for helical transmembrane
 proteins at 86% accuracy. Protein Sci 5:1704-1718.
- 584 48. Schroder, G., and E. Lanka. 2005. The mating pair formation system of conjugative
 585 plasmids-A versatile secretion machinery for transfer of proteins and DNA. Plasmid 54:1-25.
- 586 49. Simmons, L. A., B. W. Davies, A. D. Grossman, and G. C. Walker. 2008. Beta clamp
- 587 directs localization of mismatch repair in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Cell **29:**291-301.

- 588 50. Teleman, A. A., P. L. Graumann, D. C. Lin, A. D. Grossman, and R. Losick. 1998.
 589 Chromosome arrangement within a bacterium. Curr Biol 8:1102-1109.
- 590 51. Teng, W. L., T. L. Bannam, J. A. Parsons, and J. I. Rood. 2008. Functional
 591 characterization and localization of the TcpH conjugation protein from *Clostridium* 592 *perfringens*. J Bacteriol 190:5075-5086.
- 593 52. **Tusnady, G. E., and I. Simon.** 1998. Principles governing amino acid composition of 594 integral membrane proteins: application to topology prediction. J Mol Biol **283:**489-506.
- 595 53. Tusnady, G. E., and I. Simon. 2001. The HMMTOP transmembrane topology prediction
 596 server. Bioinformatics 17:849-850.
- 597 54. Vasantha, N., and E. Freese. 1980. Enzyme changes during *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation
 598 caused by deprivation of guanine nucleotides. J Bacteriol 144:1119-1125.
- 599 55. Wang, J. D., M. E. Rokop, M. M. Barker, N. R. Hanson, and A. D. Grossman. 2004.
 Multicopy plasmids affect replisome positioning in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 186:7084 7090.
- 56. Webb, C. D., A. Teleman, S. Gordon, A. Straight, A. Belmont, D. C. Lin, A. D.
 Grossman, A. Wright, and R. Losick. 1997. Bipolar localization of the replication origin
 regions of chromosomes in vegetative and sporulating cells of *B. subtilis*. Cell 88:667-674.
- 57. Yuan, Q., A. Carle, C. Gao, D. Sivanesan, K. A. Aly, C. Hoppner, L. Krall, N. Domke,
- and C. Baron. 2005. Identification of the VirB4-VirB5-VirB5 pilus assembly
- sequence of type IV secretion systems. J Biol Chem **280**:26349-26359.
- 608

609 Table 1. *B. subtilis* strains used.

Strain	Relevant genotype or characteristics* (reference)
CAL85	ICEBs1 ⁰ str (39)
CAL419	ICEBs1 ⁰ str comK::cat (39)
CAL685	$yddM(47^{\circ})::(lacO\ cat)\ thr::(Ppen-lacI\Delta11-cfpw7\ mls)\ amyE::{(Pxyl-rapI)\ spc}$
CAL686	$yddM(47^{\circ})::(lacO\ cat)\ thr::(Ppen-lacI\Delta11-cfpw7\ mls)$
CAL688	$\Delta xis190$ (unmarked) $yddM(47^{\circ})$::(lacO cat) thr::(Ppen-lacI $\Delta 11$ -cfpw7 mls)
	<i>amyE</i> ::{(Pxyl-rapI) spc}
JMA168	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc} (7)$
MB892	$dnaX$ -yfpmut2 (tet) yddM(47°)::(lacO cat) thr::(Ppen-lacI Δ 11-cfpw7 mls)
MMB918	ICEBs1::kan lacA::{(Pxis-yddD conE-mgfpmut2) tet} amyE::{(Pxyl-rapI) spc}
MMB919	ICEBs1::kan ydeDE(48°)::(lacO cat) thr::(Ppen-lacI Δ 11-cfp w7 mls)
MMB920	$dnaX$ -yfpmut2 (tet) yddM(47°)::($lacO \ cat$) thr::($Ppen$ - $lacI\Delta11$ -cfp w7 mls)
	<i>amyE</i> ::{(Pxyl-rapI) spc}
MMB938	ICEBs1::kan ydeDE(48°):(lacO cat) thr::(Ppen-lacI Δ 11-cfpw7 mls) amyE::{(Pxyl-
	$rapI$ spc }
MMB948	ICEBs1 ⁰ cgeD::{(PimmR-immRimmA)kan} lacA::{(Pxis-yddD conE-mgfpmut2)
	<i>tet</i> } <i>amyE</i> ::{(Pxyl-rapI) spc}
MMB951	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> { <i>conE</i> Δ (88-808) (unmarked)} <i>amyE</i> ::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI)
	spc}
MMB961	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> { <i>conE</i> Δ (88-808) (unmarked)} <i>lacA</i> ::{(Pxis-yddD conE-
	mgfpmut2) tet } amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc}
MMB968	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan \ lacA::{(Pxis-yddD \ conE-mgfpmut2)tet} \ amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-mgfpmut2)tet} \ amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-mg$
	rapI) spc}
MMB973	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> { <i>conE</i> Δ (88-808) (unmarked)} <i>lac</i> A ::{(Pxis-yddD conE-
	mgfpmut2)tet } amyE:: {(Pxyl-rapI) spc }
MMB974	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan \ lacA::\{(Pxis-yddD \ conE-mgfpmut2) \ tet\} \ amyE:: \{(Pxyl-rapI) \ amyE:x \ begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
	spc}
MMB1118	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan \{conE(K476E) (unmarked)\} amyE::\{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc\}$
MMB1123	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan \{conE(K476E) (unmarked)\} thrC::\{(Pxis-(yddD conE-lacZ))\}$
	mls} amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc}
MMB1132	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan \{conE(K476E) (unmarked)\} thrC::\{(Pxis-(yddD-lacZ)) mls\}$
	amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI)spc}
MMB1135	ICEBs1::kan lacA::{(Pxis-yddD conE(K476E)-mgfpmut2)tet} amyE::{(Pxyl-rapI)
	spc}
MMB1137	ICEBs1::kan lacA::{($Pxis-yddD \ conE\Delta(88-808)-mgfpmut2$)tet} amyE::{($Pxyl-$
	rap1) spc}
MMB1160	$\Delta(rapIphrI)342::kan \{conE(K476E) (unmarked)\} thrC::\{(Pxis-(conE-lacZ)) mls\}$
	$amyE::\{(Pspank(hy)-rap1) spc\}$
MMB1194	$[CEBs1] str thrC::{(Pxis-(yddD conE-lacZ)) mls}$
MMB1195	ICEBs1 [°] str thrC::{(Pxis-(conE-lacZ)) mls}

MMB1206	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> Δ <i>xis190</i> (unmarked) <i>lac</i> A::{(Pxis-yddD conE-mgfpmut2) tet}
	amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc}
MMB1218	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> { <i>conE</i> (<i>K</i> 476 <i>E</i>) (unmarked)} <i>thrC</i> 325::{(ICEBs1-311)
	($\Delta attR::tet$)) mls} amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc}
MMB1220	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> { <i>conE</i> (K476E) (unmarked)} <i>thrC</i> ::{(Pxis-(yddD))
	conE(K476E)-lacZ)) mls} amyE::{(Pspank(hy)-rapI) spc}
MMB1245	Δ (<i>rapIphrI</i>)342:: <i>kan</i> { <i>conE</i> (<i>D</i> 703A/ <i>E</i> 703A) (unmarked)} <i>amyE</i> ::{(Pspank(hy)-
	rapI) spc}

612 * All strains are derived from JH642 (45) and contain *pheA1* and *trpC2*.

614 Figure Legends

615

616	Figure 1. Genetic map of ICEBs1. conE (black; formerly yddE), regulatory genes (gray),
617	and genes required for integration, excision, and nicking (hatched) are indicated. The number of
618	transmembrane (TM) segments for each protein predicted by cPSORTdb (46) is indicated below
619	each gene. Other topology programs yield similar but not identical predictions.
620	
621	Figure 2. conE is required for mating of ICEBs1. Cells were grown in minimal glucose
622	medium. Mating was performed 1 hour after induction of <i>rapI</i> with 1 mM IPTG from the
623	indicated donor cells into ICEBs l^0 recipient cells (CAL419). Percent mating is the (number of
624	transconjugant CFUs per donor CFU) x 100%. The frequency reported is the average from at
625	least 2 experiments. Error bars indicate one standard deviation.
626	The asterisk (*) indicates that no transconjugants were observed. Given our limit of
627	detection, we estimate that the percent mating for these strains is $<5 \times 10^{-6}$ %.
628	Donor strains used were: a) $conE^+$, JMA168; b) $conE\Delta(88-808)$, MMB951; c) $conE(K476E)$,
629	MMB1118; d) <i>conE(D703A/E704A)</i> , MMB1245; e) <i>conE(K476E) thrC::conE</i> , MMB1160; f)
630	<i>conE</i> (<i>K</i> 476 <i>E</i>) <i>thrC</i> ::(<i>yddD conE</i>), MMB1123; g) <i>conE</i> (<i>K</i> 476 <i>E</i>) <i>thrC</i> :: <i>yddD</i> , MMB1132; h)
631	<i>conE</i> (<i>K</i> 476 <i>E</i>) <i>thrC</i> ::{ <i>yddD conE</i> (<i>K</i> 476 <i>E</i>)}, MMB1220; and i) <i>conE</i> (<i>K</i> 476 <i>E</i>) <i>thrC</i> ::ICE <i>Bs1</i> ,
632	MMB1218.

635	Cells were grown in minimal medium and samples were taken for live cell fluorescence
636	microscopy. Cell membranes, visualized with the vital dye FM4-64, are shown in red. GFP
637	fluorescence is artificially shown in yellow. Except for panel A, All images shown are a merge
638	of the yellow and red. ICEBs1 was induced by using xylose-inducible Pxyl-rapI (A-F) or the
639	IPTG-inducible Pspank(hy)-rapI (G). Cells were grown in minimal succinate and 1% xylose (A-
640	F) was added for 2 hours prior to sampling. Cells were grown in minimal glucose with 1 mM
641	IPTG (G) for 1 hour prior to sampling.
642	ConE-GFP localization in other induced ICE $Bs1^+$ strain backgrounds (MMB968, control for
643	panel G; MMB974, control for panel D) was similar to that shown in panel A (data not shown).
644	We also observed similar localization patterns for all GFP fusions in either $conE(\Delta 88-808)$ or
645	conE(K476E) donors (data not shown).
646	A, B. ConE-GFP in ICEBs 1^+ donor cells (MMB918).
647	C. ConE-GFP in <i>conE</i> (<i>A</i> 88-808) cells (MMB973).
648	D. ConE(Δ 88-808)-GFP in ICE <i>Bs1</i> ⁺ donor cells (MMB1135).
649	E. ConE-GFP in ICEBs1 ⁰ cells (MMB948).
650	F. ConE(K476E)-GFP in ICEBs1 ⁺ donor cells (MMB1137).
651	G. ConE-GFP Δxis donor cells (MMB1206).
652	
653	

Figure 3. ConE-GFP localizes to the cell pole, in close association with the membrane.

654	Figure 4. ICEBs1 double-stranded DNA and the replisome component Tau are more
655	frequently near the poles following induction of ICEBs1. Cells were grown in minimal
656	succinate media and samples were taken for live cell fluorescence microscopy. FM4-64
657	fluorescence (membrane stain) is artificially shown in gray scale. The location of <i>lacO</i> arrays
658	was visualized using LacI-CFP (cyan). The replisome subunit tau was visualized with a DnaX-
659	YFP fusion. White arrowheads indicate polar foci. Cells were grown with 1% xylose for 2 hours
660	prior to sampling. Strains contained the xylose-inducible Pxyl-rapI (B, C, D, F).
661	A. ICEBs1 (yddM::lacO, lacI-cfp) in uninduced donor cells (CAL686).
662	B. ICEBs1 (yddM::lacO, lacI-cfp) in induced donor cells (CAL685).
663	C. 48° (ydeDE::lacO, lacI-cfp) in induced donor cells (MMB938).
664	D. ICEBs1 (yddM::lacO, lacI-cfp) in induced xis ⁻ donor cells (CAL688).
665	E. Replication protein tau (<i>dnaX-yfp</i>) in uninduced donor cells (MMB892).
666	F. Replication protein tau (<i>dnaX-yfp</i>) in induced donor cells (MMB920).



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4