THE STRUCTURES AND CRYSTAL CHEMISTRY OF BUSTAMITE AND RHODONITE

BY

DONALD RALPH PEACOR

S.B., Tufts University

(1958)

S.M., Massachusetts Institute of Technology

(1960)

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILIMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE

DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF

PHILOSOPHY

at the

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

August, 1962

Signature of Author. Department of Geology, August 20, 1962 Certified by ... Accepted by ... Chairman, Departmental Committee on Graduate Students

ì

LIBRITY LIBRITY

#### THE STRUCTURES AND CRYSTAL CHEMISTRY OF BUSTAMITE AND RHODONITE

35

#### Donald R. Peacor

Submitted to the Department of Geology and Geophysics on August 20, 1962, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

#### ABSTRACT

Bustamite (CaMnSi  $O_6$ ) has space group FI and unit cell parameters a = 15.412Å, b = 7.157Å, c = 13.824Å,  $\alpha$  = 89°29',  $\beta$  = 94°51',  $\gamma$  = 102°56', with Z = 12. Three-dimensional intensity data were gathered with the single-crystal, Geiger-counter diffractometer. Application of the minimum function to P(xz) and comparison of the unit cells of bustamite and wollastonite (CaSiO<sub>3</sub>) yielded a trial structure which was refined with least-squares.

Rhodonite (Mn<sub>4</sub>CaSi<sub>5</sub>O<sub>15</sub>) has space group  $P\bar{1}$  and unit cell parameters a  $\pm$  7.682Å, b = 11.818Å, c = 6.707Å,  $\alpha = 92^{\circ}21^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 93^{\circ}57^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 105^{\circ}40^{\circ}$ , with Z = 2. Interpretation of the three-dimensional Patterson function led to the identification of (Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca) inversion peaks which were used to form the minimum function. Interpretation of this function resulted in a trial structure which was refined by means of least-squares.

The structures of bustamite and rhodonite are similar to those of other metasilicates having chains of silica tetrahedra. Oxygen atoms are arranged approximately in close-packing. Planes of Mn and Ca ions occupying octahedral interstices alternate with planes of tetrahedrally coordinated Si ions, between oxygen sheets. Silica tetrahedra each share two vertices to form chains with a repeat unit of three tetrahedra in bustamite and five in rhodonite.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	viii
PART I	l
A. Determination and refinement of the crystal	2
structure of bustamite, CaMnSi <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>6</sub>	
Abstract	2
Introduction	4
Unit cell and space group	4
Intensity data	7
Structure determination	8
Determination of x and z coordinates	8
Determination of y coordinates	16
Refinement	20
Description of the bustamite structure	24
Acknowledgements	38
References	39
B. Comparison of the crystal structures of	41
bustamite and wollastonite	
Abstract	41
Introduction	42
Description of structures	44
Arrangement of SiO <sub>3</sub> chains	51
Substructure relations	61
Cation ordering	61
Interatomic distances	63
Pseudomonoclinic cells	67
Acknowledgements	68
References	69

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS cont.

# PART II

1. Review of literature on phase relations and crysta	1 <b>1</b> 72
structures in the system CaSiO <sub>2</sub> -MnSiO <sub>2</sub>	
Phase relations	72
X-ray crystallography	79
2. The determination and refinement of the crystal	84
structure of rhodonite	
Introduction	84
Unit cell and space group	86
Preliminary considerations	88
Comparison of wollastonite and rhodonite	92
Interpretation of the Patterson function P(xyz)	93
Refinement	103
Description of the rhodonite structure	128
Sheet of octabedrally coordinated cations	135
Coordination polyhedra and cation ordering	135
Interatomic distances	143
Conformity of rhodonite to Pauling's rules	145
Temperature factors	146
3. Crystal chemical relations among some pyroxenes and pyroxenoids	148
Other pyroxenes and pyroxenoids	159
Classification of pyroxenes and pyroxenoids	<b>1</b> 61
Phase transformations	161
APPENDIX I DCELL: IBM 709/7090	164
Program for the transformation of unit cell para-	

meters and coordinates

### TABLE OF CONTENTS cont.

Directions for operation of DCELL	165
FORTRAN listing of DCELL	168
FORTRAN listing of subroutine SYMTRY for PL space group	170
APPENDIX II Comparison of observed and calcu- lated structure factors of bustamite	171.
APPENDIX III Comparison of observed and calcu- lated structure factors of rhodonite	<b>19</b> 5
Acknowledgements	237
Biography	<b>2</b> 39
References	241

### LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Part I</u>		Page
A1	Projection along b of the structure of wollastonite	10
A2	Bustanite, M <sub>4</sub> (xz)	12
A3	Bustamite, p(xx)	14
<b>A</b> 4	Comparison of unit cells of bustamite and wollastonite	17
A5	Projection along b of the peaks of (xyz)	26
<b>A</b> 6	Interpretation of $\rho(xyz)$	28
A7	Structure of bustamite from $x = \frac{1}{4}$ to $-\frac{1}{4}$ projected onto a plane defined by the	34
	axes b and c $\sin\beta$	
<b>A</b> 8	Projection along c of the structure of bustamite	33
5 <b>1</b> A	Projection along b of the structure of wollastonite	47
B 18	Projection along b of the structure of bustamite	49
B 2A	Projection along a of the structure of wollastonite	52
B 2B	Projection along a of the structure of bustamite	54
B 3A	Projection along c of the structure of wollastonite	56

E 3B Projection along c of the structure of 58 bustamite

### Part II

1.1	Phase relations in the subsolidus region of the system CaSiO <sub>3</sub> - MnSiO <sub>3</sub> as a func- tion of temperature and composition	77
2.1	Selected peaks of P(xyz) projected onto (001)	94
2.2	Peaks of M <sub>4</sub> (xyz) projected onto (001)	99
2.3	Coordination polyhedra projected onto (001)	101
2.4	Peaks of $\rho$ (xyz) projected onto (001)	129
2.5	Projection on (001) of the structure of rhodonite	131
2.6	Projection along a of the structure of rhodenice	133
3.1	Projection along a of the structure of clinoenstatite	150
3.2	Projection along [ 101 ] of the structure of wollastonite	152
3.3	Projection along $\int 101_7$ of the structure of rhodonite	154

### LIST OF TABLES

<u>Part I</u>		Page
<b>A1</b>	Symmetry and unit cell data for bustamite and wollastonite	<b>\$</b>
A2	Interatomic distances and cation peak heights after cycle 3 of refinement	22
A3	Coordinates and isotropic temperature factors for atoms of bustamite	25
A4	Interatomic distances in bustamite	36
51	Symmetry and unit cell data for bustamite and wollastonite	43
B2	Coordinates and isotropic temperature factors of atoms in wollastonite and bustamite	45
B3	Cation-oxygen interatomic distances	64
Part II 1.1	Unit cells and space groups of Ca, Mn metasilicates	80
2.1	Lattice constants of rhodonite	85
2.2	Comparison of unit cells of some pyroxenes and pyroxenoids	90
2.3	Atom coordinates from the minimum function and after refinement	104
2.4	Outline of least-squares refinement	110

LIST OF TABLES cont.

~

.

2.5	Peak heights at cation positions of $\Delta \rho(xyz)$ and Mn-O interatomic distances after cycle 32	122
2.6	Comparison of coordinates of Liebau et al. and this refinement	125
2.7	Cation-oxygen interstomic distances	136
2.8	Oxygen-cation interstomic distances	138
2.9	Oxygen-oxygen interstomic distances	140

ix

# Part I

Papers which have been prepared for publication.

.

Determination and refinement of the crystal structure

of bustamite, CaMnSi<sub>2</sub>0<sub>6</sub>

By Donald R. Peacor and M.J. Buerger Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

#### Abstract

Bustamite is triclinic, space group  $\underline{FI}$ , with cell dimensions  $\underline{a} = 15.412 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\underline{b} = 7.157 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\underline{c} = 13.824 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\alpha = 89^{\circ}29^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 94^{\circ}51^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 102^{\circ}56^{\circ}$ . The unit cell ideally contains  $12(\text{CaMnSi}_{2}0_{6})$ . Three-dimensional intensity data were gathered with the single-crystal Geigercounter diffractometer. Application of the minimum function to  $\underline{P(xz)}$ , and comparison of the unit cells of bustamite and wollastonite yielded a trial structure which was refined with least-squares.

Planes of approximately close-packed oxygen atoms are oriented parallel to (101). Planes containing Ca and Mn atoms in octahedral coordination alternate with planes of Si atoms in tetrahedral coordination between oxygen planes. Ca and Mn are ordered. Si tetrahedra are linked to form chains parallel to  $\underline{b}$  with a repeat unit of three tetrahedra.

#### Introduction

Several authors have noted the similarity of the properties of bustamite,  $CaMnSi_2O_6$ , to that of wollastonite,  $CaSiO_3$ . On the basis of a similarity of optical properties of the two minerals, Sundius<sup>1</sup> and Schaller<sup>2,3</sup> proposed that bustamite is Mn-rich woglastonite. Berman and Gonyer<sup>4</sup> accepted this view when they determined the unit cell of bustamite and noted its correspondence to that of wollastonite (Table Al). Buerger<sup>5</sup> and Liebau <u>et al</u>.<sup>6</sup> found, however, that the unit cells are different although closely related (Table Al).

Because of the recent interest in the structures of the minerals of the wollastonite family, it is important to understand the relation of bustamite to other triclinic metasilicates. In this paper the results of an investigation of the structure are given.

#### Unit cell and space group

Specimens of bustamite from Franklin, N.J., were kindly provided by Professor C. Frondel of Harvard University. The unit cell obtained by Buerger was confirmed with zero, first, second, and third-level <u>b</u> axis Weissenberg photographs.

### IA SIdsT

Symmetry and unit cell data for bustamite and wollastonite.

פי נ) יפ	ل-Mn <sub>0.8</sub> Ca <sub>0.2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub> (.is te usdeil)	Bustamite ( <sup>5</sup> r9gr9uð)	Gonyer <sup>4</sup> ) (Bernan and Bustanite	Wollastonite (Buerger <sup>5</sup> )
1-20-1-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	Et or ET	Īg	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	Ĩ
[	Å £0.8x2	Å £7.7x2	¥ 79°L 	¥ 76°2
	11.7	81.7	91.7	26.7
Ľ	2×6.84	2×6.9x2	<b>78.</b> 8	70.7
3	63	<del>، 7</del> ٤ <b>، 6</b> 8	<b>65°08</b> ۴	<b>60,05</b> ,
5	<b>Q</b>	۶ <b>۶۰</b> %،	<b>ۥ</b> ₹ <b><b>७5 ₀७</b>6</b>	62 <b>.</b> 551
זנ	63	102°47"	10T.328	103.56

Final cell parameters (Table A1) were obtained by leastsquares refinement of data from <u>a</u>, <u>b</u>, and <u>c</u>-axis zerolevel back-reflection precision Weissenberg photographs. Refinement was carried out using an IBM 709 program written by C: W. Burnbam.<sup>7</sup> Although it is usual to choose a reduced, primitive triclinic unit cell, the facecentered cell was retained because of its close correspondence to that of wollastonite.<sup>5</sup>

Using the refined cell parameters and an analysis of Franklin, N.J., bustamite,<sup>8</sup> the unit-cell contents were found to correspond to the following formula:

$$\begin{array}{r}
^{Ca}13.37 \\
^{Mn}10.57 \\
^{Mg} 0.48 \\
^{Si}24.00 \\
^{O}72.68 \\
^{Zn} 0.20 \\
^{Fe} 0.11 \\
24.73 \\
\end{array}$$

Since a precise value for the specific gravity was not available, this distribution was obtained by normalizing the formula to 24.00 Si atoms per cell. The excess of Ca, Mn, etc., relative to Si (24.73 : 24.00) is attributed to a slight error in the analysis.

The above formula corresponds ideally to  $12(CaMnSi_2O_6)$  per face-centered cell, or  $3(CaMnSi_2O_6)$  per

6

primitive cell. Space group  $\underline{P1}$  contains only equipoints of rank 1 and 2. Three Ca and three Mn atoms can all occupy general equipoints of rank 2 only if there is disorder between at least one Ca and one Mn. Complete order of Ca and Mn requires that at least one Ca and one Mn be on inversion centers of rank 1. The grouping of analyses of natural material about the ideal composition suggested the latter. Since no Ca atoms occupy inversion centers in wollastonite, there was a strong possibility that this constituted a major difference between the structures.

#### Intensity data

The specimen used for the intensity measurement was a prism bounded by (100) and (001) cleavages, 0.233 mm long and 0.030 x 0.048 mm in cross section. The crystal was mounted on the single-crystal diffractometer with the <u>b</u>-axis as rotation axis. Intensities of the reflections with  $CuK_{\kappa}$  radiation were measured with an argon-filled Geiger counter. Care was taken not to exceed the linearity range of the Geiger counter; counting rates were maintained below

7

500 c.p.s. by using aluminum absorption foils. Intensities were measured by direct counting. Background was measured on each side of a peak and the average background subtracted from the value of background plus integrated intensity obtained by scamning through the peak. Of approximately 1650 non-equivalent reflections in the Cu sphere, 1212 were recorded. These were corrected for the Lorentz and polarization factors using an IBM 709 program written by C. T. Prewitt,<sup>9</sup> and for absorption using an IBM 709 program written by C. W. Burnham.<sup>10</sup>

#### Structure determination

<u>Determination of x and z coordinates</u>. Comparison of <u>h01</u> reflections of bustamite and wollastonite showed that the distribution and intensities of each were similar. This suggested that the projections of the structures along <u>b</u> are the same. The Patterson projection P(xz) of bustamite was therefore prepared. Its similarity to that of P(xz) of wollastonite confirmed that the structures are very probably the same in projection. The structure of the wollastonite<sup>11</sup> projected along <u>b</u> is shown in Fig. Al. Ca<sub>1</sub> and Ca<sub>2</sub> are superimposed in projection. On the assumption that Ca and Mn atoms in bustamite have a similar arrangement, a minimum function <u>M<sub>2</sub>(xz)</u> was prepared for bustamite using the equivalent of the superimposed Ca<sub>1</sub> + Ca<sub>2</sub> inversion peaks of wollastonite. In wollastonite, the Patterson projection <u>P(xz)</u> contains an image of the structure as seen from Ca<sub>3</sub>. The Patterson projection and <u>M<sub>2</sub>(xz)</u> were therefore superimposed to obtain the minimum function <u>M<sub>4</sub>(xz)</u> shown in Fig. A2.

Note that for each atom of  $\rho$  (xz) there is a corresponding peak of the correct relative weight in  $\underline{M}_{L}(\underline{x}\underline{z})$  of bustamite. There are four extra peaks, labelled  $\underline{A}$ ,  $\underline{B}$ ,  $\underline{C}$ , and <u>D</u>, in  $\underline{M}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{xz})$ . Three of these, <u>A</u>, <u>B</u> and <u>C</u>, are near the peaks corresponding to  $0_7$ ,  $0_8$  and  $Si_3$  of wollastonite. Structure factors  $\underline{F}_{h01}$ , calculated omitting these atoms, gave a discrepancy factor  $\underline{R} = 31\%$ . Using these structure factors the electron-density projections  $\rho(\underline{xz})$ , Fig. A3, and  $\Delta \rho(xz)$  were then calculated. As can be seen from a comparison of Figs. Al and A3, these confirmed that the projections  $\rho$  (xz) of bustamite and wollastonite are essentially the same. None of the false peaks, <u>A</u>, <u>B</u>, <u>C</u> and <u>D</u> of  $\underline{M}_4(\underline{xz})$ appeared in  $\rho(xz)$ , while peaks corresponding to  $0_7$ ,  $0_8$  and  $Si_3$  do occur. A second structure factor calculation based on coordinates from  $\rho$  (xz) and  $\Delta_{\rho}$  (xz) yielded an <u>R</u> of 21% for all  $\underline{F}_{h01}$ .

### Figure 1, Part IA

Projection along b of the structure of wollastonite. Oxygen atoms are represented by large circles, Ca atoms by circles of intermediate size, and Si atoms by small circles.



### Figure 2, Part IA

Bustamite,  $M_4(xz)$ . The high (Ca + Mn) peak is shaded in. Peaks which do not correspond to atoms are labelled A, B, C and D.



51

.

Figure 3, Part IA

Bustamite,  $\rho(x,z)$ . (Ca + Mn) peaks are shaded in.



ц

Determination of y coordinates. The lower part of Fig. A4 shows the primitive triclinic unit cell of wollastonite and the upper part shows the face-centered bustamite cell in corresponding orientation. Inversion centers coinciding with lattice points are shown as solid circles and all other inversion centers as open circles. All inversion centers lie on (101) planes which intersect <u>a</u> and <u>c</u> at 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  in wollastonite and at 0,  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{3}{4}$  in bustamite. The distribution of inversion centers is the same in both structures, except that those centers on planes parallel to (101) in bustamite intersecting <u>a</u> and <u>c</u> at  $\frac{1}{4}$  and <u>a</u> and <u>c</u> at  $\frac{3}{4}$  are shifted by  $\frac{b}{4}$  relative to those in wollastonite.

Figures Al and A3 show that Si and (Ca, Mn) atoms lie approximately on planes parallel to (101) which contain the inversion centers in both structures. Planes containing Si atoms alternate with those containing (Ca, Mn).

Figure Al shows that in wollastonite there are inversion centers in the (101) planes at  $\underline{y} = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Because the sub-structure has period  $\frac{b}{2}$ , there are also pseudocenters for the Ca atoms and their coordinating oxygen atoms, which project at the same  $\underline{xz}$  coordinate, at  $\underline{y} = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{3}{4}$ . Since the Si atoms are not in the substructure these pseudocenters do not apply to them. Figure 4, Part IA

Comparison of unit cells of bustamite (above) and wollastonite (below). Lattice points (which are coincident with inversion centers) are shown as solid circles. Other inversion centers are shown as open circles.



A reasonable model for the structure of bustamite results from combining the above information with the fact that wollastonite and bustamite are similiar in projection. Coordinates of Ca and Mn atoms and their coordinating oxygen atoms in bustamite differ from those in wollastonite in the following ways:

1. The pseudoinversion centers in wollastonite at  $y = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$  in (101) planes containing Ca become true inversion centers in bustamite.

2. The inversion centers at y = 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$  in wollastonite become pseudoinversion centers in bustamite. The relative arrangement of silica tetrahedra is the same in both structures except that tetrahedra in alternating sheets are displaced by  $\frac{b}{2}$  by the face-centering translation in bustamite.

The above arrangement of inversion centers requires that the (Ca, Mn) of bustamite, equivalent to  $Ca_3$  of wollastonite, be located on inversion centers; that is, four (Ca, Mn) atoms are still in two general positions of rank 2, and two (Ca, Mn) atoms are on centers with rank 1. This is just the distribution required for ordering of Ca and Mn atoms which was noted in the section on unit cell and space group. Structure factors were calculated for all 1212 observed reflections using <u>x</u> and <u>g</u> parameters derived from the projection, and <u>y</u> parameters of wollastonite adjusted as required above. Ca and Mn atoms were assumed to be completely disordered, since at this point there was still no direct evidence for ordering. The discrepancy factor, <u>R</u>, was only 31%, suggesting that the proposed structure was probably correct.

#### Refinement

Refinement was carried out using SFLSQ2, a leastsquares IBM 709 program using the full matrix<sup>12</sup>. For the initial refinement, form-factor curves were used for Ca and Mn assuming complete disorder, and a correction made for the average real component of anomalous dispersion. All atoms were assumed to be half ionized. All 1212 reflections were used as input to each cycle of refinement, but those reflections with  $(\underline{F}_{0}-\underline{F}_{C})/\underline{F}_{C} > 0.5$  were rejected by the program. The weighting scheme recommended by Hughes<sup>13</sup> was used throughout. Isotropic temperature factors for all atoms were initially set at 0.5, a value consistent with temperature factors of other silicate structures refined in this laboratory.

The discrepancy factor <u>R</u> decreased to 12.3% after only three cycles, confirming the correctness of the proposed structure. For these cycles, only the scale factor and coordinates were allowed to vary. Using structure factors calculated from coordinates of cycle 3, a three-dimensional difference Fourier synthesis  $\Delta \rho$  (xyz) was computed. (All three-dimensional Fourier syntheses were computed using the IBM 709/7090 program ERFR<sub>2</sub>.<sup>14</sup>) The only major discontinuities in the synthesis were negative or positive peaks at the positions of (Ca + Mn) atoms. The peak heights are listed in Table A2. Interatomic distances, also shown in Table A2, were calculated using ORXFE, an IBM 704 program.<sup>15</sup>

Mn has five more electrons than Ca, assuming equal ionization of Mn and Ca. Thus the positive peaks at the positions of cations 1 and 3 clearly indicate that Mn atoms are located there, while the negative peaks of cations 2 and 4 suggest that Ca occupies those positions. The radius of Mn<sup>+2</sup> (0.91 Å) is less than that of Ca<sup>+2</sup> (1.06 Å). The smaller average cation-oxygen distances of cations 1 and 3 and the larger distances of cations 2 and 4 therefore confirm the ordering indicated by the peaks of  $\Delta \rho(xyz)$ .

### Table A2

Interatomic distances and cation peak heights after cycle

Cation number	Equipoint rank	Average cation- oxygen inter- atomic distance	Peak height in Δρ( <u>xyz</u> )	Interpre- tation of ordering
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2	2.25 Å	377	Mn, excess Ca
07) 24	2	2.38	-1170	Ca
3	ĩ	2.21	642	Mn
d.ş.	1	2.39	-1250	Ca

3 of refinement.

It was noted above that there is an excess of Ca over Mn in the material used for this study. The peak at the position of cation 1 in  $\Delta \rho$  (xyz) is slightly lower than that for cation 3. In addition, the average cation-oxygen distance is slightly larger for cation 1 than for cation 3. This indicates that the excess Ca substitutes for Mn preferentially in the site labelled cation 1.

Three Structure-factor computations were made (taking account of both the real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion of Mn and Ca) for the following distributions of the excess Ca:

- 1. all excess Ca assigned to cation 1;
- 2.  $\frac{1}{3}$  excess Ca assigned to cation 1,  $\frac{2}{3}$  to cation 3;

3. all excess Ca assigned to cation 3.

The <u>R</u> value was essentially equal for all three distributions ( $\sim 9.5\%$ ) but the comparison of <u>F</u><sub>obs</sub> and <u>F</u><sub>cal</sub> was slightly better with the excess Ca substituting for the Mn of cation 1. Since this confirmed the conclusion reached on the basis of the peaks of  $\Delta \rho$  (<u>xyz</u>) and the interatomic distances, this distribution of the excess Ca was accepted as being correct.

Refinement was continued with the Ca and Mn distribution determined above, taking full account of anomalous dispersion. The Zn, Fe and Mg reported in the analysis were considered to substitute for Mn. since these atoms are smaller than Mn.

Two more cycles (4 and 5) were executed varying only coordinates and the scale factor. The coordinates were essentially unchanged in cycle 5. Individual isotropic temperature factors were varied in cycles 6 and 7. Refinement was concluded with two cycles in the first of which the coordinates and the scale factor were permitted to vary, and another cycle in which isotropic temperature factors were refined. Final parameters and their errors are listed in Table 3.

#### Description of the bustamite structure

The electron density  $\rho(\underline{xyz})$  was computed using the signs of the structure factors of the final cycle of refinement. The peaks of this three-dimensional function are shown projected parallel to <u>b</u> in Fig. A5. Figure A6 is an interpretation of the same projection. The similarity of the structures of bustamite and wollastonite (Fig. A1) has been noted above several times. The relations between these 16

# Table A3

Coordinates and isotropic temperature factors for atoms of

Collection of the states		ana ana amin'ny fanana amin'ny fanana amin'ny fanana amin'ny fanana amin'ny fanana amin'ny fanana amin'ny fana	bu <b>s</b> tam	<u>ite.</u>	an a		n afferen fil Den sin sin se statue at se se	
		J (X)	Y	<b>r</b> (y)	2	T (Z)	B	<u>с (в</u> )
Mnl	.1.009	.0001	.6725	.0003	.3733	.0001	.56	.03
Ca <sub>1</sub>	.0994	.0001	.1583	.0004	.3785	.0001	.69	.03
Mn <sub>2</sub>	$\frac{1}{4}$	Ò	0	0	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	.56	.04
<sup>Ca</sup> 2	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0		0	.73	.05
<sup>si</sup> 1	.0884	.0002	.2003	.0005	.1343	.0002	. 34	. 04
si <sub>2</sub>	.0888	.0002	.6454	.0005	.1325	.0002	. 32	.04
si <sub>3</sub>	.1975	.0002	.9805	.0005	.0218	.0002	.16	.04
0 <sub>1</sub>	.2158	.0005	.9758	.0014	.4027	.0005	.68	.12
0 <sub>2</sub>	.201.8	.0005	.4840	.0014	.4069	.0005	.57	.12
03	.1563	.0005	.1838	.0014	.2293	.0005	.48	.12
04	.1509	.0005	.7206	.0014	.2315	.0005	. 50	.12
0 <sub>5</sub>	.0131	.0005	. 3964	.0014	. 3549	.0005	.64	.12
0 <sub>6</sub>	.0140	.0005	.8513	.0014	.3717	.0005	.66	.12
07	.1287	.0005	.1240	.0014	.0393	.0005	.57	.12
0 <sub>8</sub>	.1364	.0005	.7625	.0013	.0411	.0005	. 29	.11
09	.0926	.0006	.4250	.0016	.1147	.0006	1.34	.14

Figure 5, Part IA

Projection along b of the peaks of  $\rho(xyz)$ .

١



-

~
# Figure 6, Part IA

Interpretation of  $\rho$  (xyz). Inversion centers at y = 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$  shown as solid circles and inversion centers at y =  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$  as open circles.



29

:

In Fig. A6 the arrangement of oxygen atoms crudely approximates close packing, all oxygen atoms except  $0_9$ lying in sheets which are parallel to (101). Ca and Mn atoms and Si atoms alternate in layers between sheets of oxygen atoms, with Ca and Mn in octahedral coordination and Si in tetrahedral coordination. SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra all share two oxygen atoms with other tetrahedra to form a chain whose repeat unit is three tetrahedra and which is oriented parallel to the <u>b</u> axis. The nature of the arrangement of tetrahedra is best shown in Figs. A7 and A8. Fig. A7 is a projection of the structure parallel to <u>a</u> while Fig. A8 is a projection along <u>c</u>.

The sheet of Ca and Mn octahedra can be compared to the sheet of Mg octahedra in brucite. Octahedra share edges to form a continuous two-dimensional sheet in brucite. The wollastonite arrangement may be interpreted in terms of ideal close packing of the oxygen atoms in sheets coordinating Ca atoms. As shown in Figs. A7 and A8, octahedra share edges to form a band three octahedra wide, extending infinitely parallel to <u>b</u>. Individual bands are separated by a column of unoccupied octahedrally coordinated voids. There is actually considerable distortion of the close-packed oxygen layers, however, particularly around the column of vacant octahedra.

Figure 7, Part IA

Structure of bustamite from  $x = \frac{1}{4}$  to  $\frac{1}{4}$ projected onto a plane defined by the axes b and c sin  $\beta$ .



32

f

•

Figure 8, Part IA

Projection along c of the structure of

bustamite.



Interatomic distances are listed in Table A4. These are discussed in detail elsewhere.<sup>16</sup> All distances are close to accepted values. The average Si-O distance is 1.623 Å. Smith and Bailey<sup>17</sup> state that the average Si-O distance of a silicate is a function of the extent of tetrahedral linkage. The distance 1.623 Å falls exactly at the value predicted by them for metasilicates.

There are three non-equivalent Si-O-Si angles in bustamite, which are:

```
si_1 - 0_9 - si_2, 161°,
si_1 - 0_7 - si_4, 135°,
si_2 - 0_8 - si_4, 137°.
```

Corresponding angles in wollastonite are 149°, 139° and 140° respectively.<sup>18</sup> In a survey of Si-O-Si angles, Liebau<sup>19</sup> found that the average for well-determined structures is about 140°. Angles greater than 150° are uncommon and, under normal conditions, represent a relatively unstable condition. The angle  $Si_1-0_9-Si_2$  is large in both bustamite and wollastonite, but unusually so in bustamite. In addition, the isotropic temperature factor for  $0_9$  of bustamite is considerably larger (1.34) than all other temperature factors of the structure (see Table A3). In wollastonite, this temperature factor (0.68) is only slightly above the average for the entire structure.

si <sub>1</sub> - 0 <sub>3</sub> 1.628 Å	$Ca_1 - O_1 2.437 Å$
0 <sub>5</sub> 1.587	02 2.531
0 <sub>7</sub> 1.645	0 <sub>3</sub> 2.298
0, 1.616	0, 2.382
Av.1.619	0 <sub>6</sub> 2.302
	08 2.358
si <sub>2</sub> - 0 <sub>4</sub> 1.626	09 2.899
06 1.585	Av.2.384 (excluding 09)
0 <sub>8</sub> 1.647	
0 <sub>9</sub> 1.613	$Mn_2 - 20_1 2.215$
Av. 1.618	20 <sub>3</sub> 2.154
	<b>2</b> 0 <sub>4</sub> <b>2.</b> 241
si <sub>3</sub> - 0 <sub>1</sub> 1.600	Av. 2.203
0 <sub>2</sub> 1.595	
0, 1.660	Ca <sub>2</sub> - 20 <sub>2</sub> 2.344
08 1.671	203 2.412
Av.1.632	204 2.421
	20, 2.891
	Av. 2.392 (excluding 0

# Table A4 (Conti.)

Interatomic distances in bustamite

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation. Calculations were performed in part on the IBM 7090 computer at the M.I.T. Computation Center.

#### References

- N. Sundius. On the triclinic manganiferous pyroxenes. Am. Mineral., 16(1931) 411-429, 488-518.
- Waldemar T. Schaller. Johannsenite, a new manganese pyroxene. Am. Mineral., 23(1938) 575-582.
- <sup>3</sup> Waldemar T. Schaller. The pectolite-schizolite-serandite series. Am. Mineral., 40 (1955) 1022-1031.
- <sup>4</sup> H. Berman and F. A. Gonyer. The structural lattice and classification of bustamite. Am. Mineral., 22 (1937) 215-216.
- <sup>5</sup> M. J. Buerger. The arrangement of atoms in crystals of the wollastonite group of metasilicates. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 42 (1956) 113-116.
- <sup>6</sup> F. Liebau, M. Sprung and E. Thilo. Uber das system MaSiO<sub>3</sub>-CaMn(SiO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Z.Anorg. Allg. Chem. 297 (1958) 213-225.
- <sup>7</sup> Charles W. Burnham. LCLSQ, crystallographic lattice constant least-squares refinement program. (unpublished)
- <sup>8</sup> Esper S. Larsen and Earl V. Shannon. Bustamite from Franklin Furnace, New Jersey. Am. Mineral., 7 (1922) 95-100.
- <sup>9</sup> C. T. Prewitt. The parameters  $\Upsilon$  and  $\varphi$  for equi-inclination, with application to the single-crystal counter diffractometer. Z. Krist., 114 (1961) 355-360.
- <sup>10</sup> C. W. Burnham. The structures and crystal chemistry of the aluminum-silicate minerals. Ph.D. Thesis, 1961, M.I.T.

- <sup>11</sup> M. J. Buerger and C. T. Prewitt. The crystal structures of wollastonite and pectolite. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 47 (1961) 1884-1888.
- 12 C. T. Prewitt. SFLSQ2, an IBM 7090 program for leastsquares refinement. (unpublished)
- <sup>13</sup> E. W. Hughes. The crystal structure of melamine. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 63 (1941) 1737-1752.
- <sup>14</sup> W. G. Sly, D. P. Shoemaker and J. H. Van der Hende. ERFR2, IBM 709/7090 Fourier program. (unpublished)
- <sup>15</sup> W. R. Busing and H. A. Levy. A crystallographic function and error program for the IBM 704. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report No. 59-12-3, 1959.
- <sup>16</sup> D. R. Peacor. Comparison of the crystal structures of bustamite and wollastonite. (in press)
- 17 J. V. Smith and S. W. Bailey. Second review of A1-O and Si-O tetrahedral distances. (in press)
- 18 C. T. Prewitt and M. J. Buerger. A comparison of the crystal structures of wollastonite and pectolite. (in press)
- <sup>19</sup> Friedrich Liebau. Untersuchungen uber die Grosse des Si-O-Si Valenzwinkels. Acta Cryst., 14 (1961) 1103-1109.

Comparison of the crystal structures of bustamite and wollastonite

Donald R. Peacor

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

### Abstract

The structures of bustamite and wollastonite differ principally only in arrangement of the chains of tetrahedra. Chains in alternating sheets may be described by the sequence AAA.... in wollastonite and ABAB.... in bustamite. Both structures have a pseudomonoclinic cell, this unit having space group  $P2_1/m$  in wollastonite and A2/m in bustamite.

#### Introduction

On the basis of a comparison of optical properties, Sundius (1931) postulated that bustamits (CaMnSi206) is Mnrich wollastonite (CaSiO<sub>3</sub>). Schaller (1938, 1955) also concluded that bustamite had the wollastonite structure because of a close relationship between the optical properties of the two minerals. Berman and Gonyer (1937), using rotatingcrystal photographs, found that their unit cells were similar (Table 1B) and concluded that they were related only by solid solution. Buerger, however (1956) found that the unit cell of bustamite (Table 1B) is closely related to , but different from the cell of wollastonite. He noted that there is a sort of superstructure relation between the two minerals. Liebau et al. (1958) confirmed Buerger's unit cell and guessed that the difference in structures is based only on a different ordering of chains and cations.

The structure of bustamite has recently been determined and refined (D.R. Peacor and M.J. Buerger, 1962). The structure of wollastonite was determined by Mamedov and Belov (1956) and refined by Buerger and Prewitt (1961). These structures are different but bear a very close relationship to one another.

Table	<b>1B</b>

Symmetry	and	unit-cell	data	for	bustamlte	and	wollastonite
----------	-----	-----------	------	-----	-----------	-----	--------------

	wollastonite Buerger	bustamite Berman and Gonyer	bustamite Buerger	-Mn <sub>0.8</sub> Ca <sub>0.2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub> Liebau et al.	bustamite Peacor and Buerger	bustamite Peacor
atelasteilanaanaa maa	7.94 Å	7.64 Å	2 x 7.73 Å	2 x 8.03 Å	15.412 Å	* 7.736 Å
Ь	7.32	7.16	7.18	7.11	7.157	7.157
с	7.07	6.87	2 x 6.92	2 x 6.84	13.824	13.824
ĸ	90°02'	92°08'	89°34°		89° <b>29</b> *	90°31'
ß	95°22'	94°54½'	94°53*	-	94°51'	94°35'
Ϋ́	103°26'	101*35'	102°47'	494) (494)	102°56'	103°52'
Space group	pī	Pl or Pl	fī	Flor Fl	FT TO COMPANY AND A COMPANY AN	

#### Description of structures

The face-centered unit cell of bustamite may be transformed to an A-centered cell with the following transformation matrix:

$$-\frac{1}{2}$$
  $-\frac{1}{2}$  0  
0 1 0  
0 0 -1

The A-centered cell bears a close relationship to the wollastonite cell, as does the face-centered cell (Table 1B). Since the relation between the structures of wollastonite and bustamite is clearer if bustamite is described in terms of the A-centered cell, all description of bustamite is referred to it in this paper.

Atomic coordinates for both bustamite and wollastonite are listed in Table 2B. The similarity shows that the asymmetric units of each structure are essentially the same. The structures are shown projected along b in Figs. 1A and (B). Four wollastonite unit cells and two bustamite unit cells are shown. Ca or Mn atoms are shown as large open circles, inversion centers  $a_{1}^{2} y = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$  as small open circles, and inversion centers at  $y = 0, \frac{1}{2}$  as small solid circles. The structures are the same in projection except for minor coordinates shifts. The arrangement of the oxygen

Coord	dinates and	isotropic	temperatu	ire factor	s of ato	)m8
in wo	ollastonite	(upper val	lues) and	bustamite	(lower	values).
For a	comparison ,	bustamit	e z coord:	inates are	multipl	l <b>ied</b> by
2. (	Coordinates	of atoms :	in bustam	lte are giv	ven rela	<b>tive</b> to
the A	A-centered u	nit cell.				

Atom	X	У	8	B
Ca <sub>1</sub>	.1985	.4228	.7608	.41
Mn1	.2018	.4284	.7466	. 56
Ca <sub>2</sub>	.2027	.9293	.7640	.45
Ca <sub>1</sub>	.1988	.9411	.7570	.69
Ca <sub>3</sub>	.4966	.2495	.4720	.37
Mn2	1/2	1/4	1/2	.56
Ca <sub>3</sub>	.5034	. 7505	. 5280	.37
Ca <sub>2</sub>	1/2	3/4	1/2	.73
si <sub>1</sub>	.1852	.3870	.2687	.24
	.1768	.3881	.2686	. 34
si <sub>2</sub>	.1849	.9545	.2692	.24
	.1775	.9434	.2650	.32
<sup>S1</sup> 3	.3970	.7235	.0560	.22
	. 3950	.7171	.0436	.16
0 <sub>1</sub>	.4291	.2314	.8019	.48
	.4316	.2400	.8054	.68

Atom	x	У	Z	В
0 <sub>2</sub>	.4008	.7259	.8302	.37
	.4036	.7178	.8138	.57
°3	.3037	.4635	.4641	.60
•	.3126	.4725	.4586	.48
04	.3017	.9374	.4655	.64
-	.3017	.9302	.4630	. 50
05	.9154	. 6254	.7343	. 63
•	.0261	.6167	.7098	. 64
° <sub>6</sub>	.0175	.1319	.7353	.71
-	.9280	.1627	. 7434	. 66
07	.2732	.5118	.0919	.37
	.2574	.5047	.0786	. 57
0,8	.2713	.8717	.0940	.51
	.2729	.8739	.0822	.29
09	.2188	.1784	.2228	.68
	.1851	.1676	.2294	1.34

## Figure 1A, Part IB

Projection along b of the structure of wollastonite (space group PI). Four unit cells are shown.

.



:

# Figure 1B, Part IB

Projection along b of the structure of bustamite (space group AI) Two unit cells are shown.

.

e





50

-

4

. .

.:

atoms of both structures approximates close packing in a crude way with an obvious layering parallel to (101). Layers consisting of Ca (wollastonite) or Ca and Mn (bustamite) atoms in octahedral coordination alternate with layers composed of Si atoms between the sheets of oxygen atoms. The  $SiO_4$  tetrahedra are arranged in chains whose repeat unit is three tetrahedra and which are oriented parallel to the b axis (Figs. Z and 3 ).

## Arrangement of SiO, chains

The primary difference in the structures of bustamite and wollastonite lies in the arrangement of the  $SiO_3$ chains. Note first that the arrangement of Mn and Ca atoms is approximately the same in both structures over all space. This is most easily seen in Figs. 2A and 2B. This relation also holds true for all oxygen atoms which coordinate Ca or Mn atoms. (This relation will be discussed in more detail in the section on substructure.) The difference in the structures then lies principally in the location of  $O_9$  and the silicon atoms. In successive layers in wollastonite these atoms are related by the translation 001, and in bustamite by the

## Figure 2A, Part IB

Projection along a of the structure of wollastonite. Only Ca atoms and translationrelated chains are shown.



.

ស្ដ

•

,

f

# Figure 2B, Part IB

Projection along a of the structure of bustamite. Only Ca and Mn atoms and translationrelated chains are shown.



•

.

•

,

## Figure 3A, Part IB

Projection along c of the structure of wollastonite. The primitive triclinic cell is outlined with a light line. The pseudomonoclinic cell (space group  $P2_1/m$ ) is partially outlined with a dOtted line, and mirror planes are indicated with a heavy line.



57

.

:

## Figure 38, Part 18

Projection along or of the structure of bustamite. The A-centered triclinic cell is outlined with a light line. The pseudomonoclinic cell (space group A2/m) is partially outlined with a dotted line, and mirror planes are indicated with a heavy line.



translation  $0\frac{1}{22}$ . This merely means that SiO<sub>3</sub> chains in successive layers are shifted by y/2 in bustamite but not in wollastonite. The arrangement is illustrated in Figs. <sup>2</sup>A and <sup>2</sup>B, where the related structures are shown projected along a. Only translation-related chains and Ca and Mn atoms are shown. The arrangement of the SiO<sub>3</sub> chains may be represented by the notation AAA...., in wollastonite, and by ABAB.... in bustamite. Here A symbolizes a shift of 0 and B a shift of  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the b direction for SiO<sub>3</sub> chains of successive layers.

It is interesting to compare this with the polymorphism of  $MgSiO_3$  described by Brown et al. (1961). Here the layers of Mg and Si atoms also alternate between layers of oxygen atoms arranged approximately in close packing.  $SiO_3$  chains in successive layers may be displaced by c/2(the axis c is parallel to the chains of tetrahedra) giving rise to stacking relations analogous to those between bustamite and wollastonite. In the polymorphs of  $MgSiO_3$ , however, the relative positions of the Mg polyhedra may differ from level to level.

#### Substructure relations

Both bustamite and wollastonite are characterized by a prominent substructure with period b/2 (Fig. B1). All atoms except Si<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>9</sub> are related to a second atom by a shift of about b/2. Note, for example, in Fig. BlA, that Ca<sub>1</sub> and Ca<sub>2</sub> fall almost exactly over each other, and differ in y by 0.51. The relation is imperfect in some cases, as with O<sub>1</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> of wollastonite, where the shift ( $\Delta x, \Delta y$ ,  $\Delta z$ ) is 0.03, -0.49, -0.03. Nevertheless, it is approximately true. The coordinates of the substructure atoms are very similar in the two structures. Thus, with the exception of Si<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>9</sub>, the structures of wollastonite and bustamite are approximately the same.

### Cation ordering

Schaller (1938) states that minerals in this group have been found with "MnO ranging from a few per cent...to a maximum of 33 per cent." No Mn was reported in the wollastonite refined by Buerger and Prewitt (1961). The bustamite (ideally CaMnSi<sub>2</sub>0<sub>6</sub>) whose structure was refined by Peacor and Buerger contained a slight excess of Ca relative to Mn.

In wollastonite the Ca atoms are distributed over three general positions. The Ca and Mn are ordered in bustamite, with one Ca and one Mn inversion centers and two Ca and two Mn in general positions. In addition, evidence strongly suggested that the "excess" Ca of bustamite replaces Mn at the position  $Mn_1$  rather than the special position  $Mn_2$ .

Although all Ca or Mn atoms of each structure have approximately the same coordinates, there is not complete equivalence of positions. The Ca, and Mn, atoms of bustamite on inversion centers are equivalent to the Ca<sub>3</sub> atom in a general position in wollastonite. The Mn, and Ca<sub>1</sub>atoms in general positions of bustamite, however, are not equivalent to Ca, and Ca2 of wollastonite. Half of the Mn, atoms of bustamite occupy positions in the structure similar to those of Ca, of wollastonite, and half occupy positions similar to Ca2. The same is true of Ca<sub>1</sub> of bustamite. This is reflected in the difference in distribution of inversion centers in (101) layers containing Ca and Mn atoms, as can be seen in Figs. BLA and B1B. Compounds whose compositions are intermediate between bustamite and wollastonite still must be investigated to determine the limits and mechanism of solid solution in each. It is possible, if not probably, that metastable intermediate compounds exist which have partial or complete disorder both in Ca and Mn and in SiO3 chain distributions.

### Interatomic distances

All average Ca-O distances are remarkably similar (2.388  $\pm$  .004 Å) in both structures as shown in Table 3B, except for that of Ca<sub>1</sub> of wollastonite. The comparison of Si-O distances is particularly interesting. The average of all Si-O distances is 1.623 Å in bustamite and 1.626 Å in wollastonite, the difference being well within the standard deviation. All average Si-O distances for Si<sub>1</sub> and Si<sub>2</sub> are almost exactly equal, and Si<sub>3</sub>-O distances are uniformly larger than Si<sub>1</sub>-O and Si<sub>2</sub>-O distances in both structures.

There is an excellent correlation of individual Si-O distances with coordination of oxygen. For instance, all Si-O<sub>7</sub> and Si-O<sub>8</sub> distances are greater than 1.64 Å, 1.673 Å being the largest. Both O<sub>7</sub> and O<sub>8</sub> are coordinated to two Si atoms, from which they receive a total bond strength of 2, and to one Ca or Mn atom, from which they receive a bond strength of  $\frac{1}{3}$ . The excess of bond strength ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) is thus compensated by unusually large Si-O distances. All Si-O<sub>5</sub> and Si-O<sub>6</sub> distances are less than 1.59 Å, 1.572 Å being the
Cation-oxygen interatomic distance
------------------------------------

	Bustam	ite		Woll	astonite
Mn <sub>1</sub> ~	0 <sub>1</sub>	2.499 Å	Ca <sub>l</sub> -	0 <sub>1</sub>	2.302 Å
	°2	2.286		0 <sub>2</sub>	2.272
	04	2.163		0 <sub>3</sub>	2.324
	0 <sub>5</sub>	2.144		05	2.302
	0 <sub>6</sub>	2.041		° <sub>6</sub>	2.272
	0 <sub>7</sub>	2.335		°7	2.412
	Av.	2.245		Av.	2.314
Ca <sub>1</sub> -	0 <sub>1</sub>	2.437	Ca <sub>2</sub> ~	0 <sub>1</sub>	2.316
	°2	2.531		°2	2.369
	°3	2.298		04	2.421
	0 <sub>5</sub>	2.382		0 <sub>5</sub>	2.501
	0 <sub>6</sub>	2.302		0 <sub>6</sub>	2.316
	0 <sub>8</sub>	2.358		0 <sub>8</sub>	2.406
	09	2.899		Av.	2.388
	Av.	2.384(excluding 0 <sub>9</sub> )			

Bustamite			Wollastonite					
Mn2	-201	2.215	Ca <sub>3</sub> -	0 <sub>1</sub>	2.439			
	20 <sub>3</sub>	2.154		02	2.349			
	204	2.241		03	2.429			
	Av.	2.203		°3'	2.335			
				04	2.441			
				04.	2.349			
				09	2.642			
				Av.	2.390(excluding 0 <sub>9</sub> )			
Ca <sub>2</sub>	-20 <sub>2</sub>	2.344	<sup>Ca</sup> 3 ~	0 <sub>1</sub>	2.439			
	203	2.412	-	02	2.349			
	204	2.421		03	2.429			
	209	2.891		03'	2.335			
	Av.	2.392(excluding O <sub>g</sub> )		0,	2.441			
				04,0	2.349			
				09	2.642			
				Av.	2.390			
Si <sub>1</sub>	- 03	1.628	si <sub>1</sub> -	03	1.618			
- <b>A</b>	05	1.587		05	1.572			
	07	1.645		07	1.659			
	0 <sub>0</sub>	1.616		09	1.647			
	Av.	1.619		Av.	1.624			

Bustamite				Wollastonite				
s12 ·	• 04	1.626	server and the second sec	04	1.617			
	o <sub>6</sub>	1.585		o <sub>6</sub>	1.581			
	og	1.647		08	1.650			
	0 <sub>9</sub> 0	1.613		0 <sub>9</sub>	1.637			
	Av.	1.618		Av.	1.621			
Si <sub>3</sub>	• 0 <sub>1</sub>	1.600	si <sub>3</sub> -	0 <sub>1</sub>	1.599			
_	0 <sub>2</sub>	1.595		02	1.599			
	0 <sub>7</sub>	1.660		0 <sub>7</sub>	1.665			
	0 <sub>3</sub>	1.671		°8	1.673			
	Av.	1.632		Av. ]	.634			

smallest. These oxygen atoms are coordinated to one Si atom from which they receive a bond of strength 1 and to two 6a or Mn atoms from which they receive a bond of strength  $\frac{2}{3}$ . Thus there is a bond deficiency  $\frac{1}{3}$ , which results in unusually short Si-O distances.

The only major differences in coordination between the two structures involve  $0_9$ . This is coordinated to Si<sub>1</sub> and Si<sub>2</sub> in both structures, but Si-O distances are larger in wollastonite. In addition,  $0_9$  is coordinated to both Ca atoms in bustamite, but with very large Ca-O distances, and to only one Ca in wollastonite, at a shorter distance.

#### Pseudomonoclinic cells

Ito (1950) noted that the angle  $\propto$  of the wollastonite unit cell is very nearly 90° and that (140), which is normal to (100), approximates a mirror plane. From these data he suggested that the triclinic wollastonite cell is made up of "twinned or otherwise juxtaposed" monoclinic cells. Individual monoclinic units are related by the glide b/4 or -b/4. He noted that the monoclinic unit, which he called protowollastonite, must have either of the space groups P2/m or P2<sub>1</sub>/m. Figures 3A and 3B are projections along c of the Structures of wollastonite and bustamite respectively. Prewitt and Buerger (in press) noted that wollastonite has a pseudomonoclinic cell with space group  $P2_1/m$ . This cell is partially outlined on the right side of Fig. B3A with a dotted line, while the mirror planes are indicated by doubly heavy lines. The triclinic cell is outlined on the left with a lighter line. Fig. B3E is an equivalent diagram of bustamite. The basic repeat unit is the same in bustamite as in wollastonite; i.e., two chains related by a  $2_1$  axis. The bustamite pseudomonoclinic cell is A-centered however, with space group A2/m. In wollastonite  $2_1$  axes are aligned along c. In bustamite there is a similar relation except that  $2_1$  and 2-fold axes alternate along c.

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to express my appreciation to C.T. Prewitt for many helpful discussions and for kindly making available unpublished data on wollastonite, and to Professor M.J. Buerger for suggesting this problem. This work was sponsored by a

grant from the National Science Foundation. Computations were performed in part on the IBM 7090 computer at the M.I.T. Computation Center.

#### References

- Berman, Harry and Forest A. Gonyer (1937), The structural lattice and classification of bustamite. Am. Mineral., 22, 215-216.
- Brown, W.L., N. Morimoto and J.V. Smith (1961), A structural explanation of the polymorphism and transitions of MgSiO<sub>3</sub>. J. Geol., 69, 609-616.
- Buerger, M.J. (1956), The arrangement of atoms in crystals of the wollastonite group of metasilicates. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 42, 113-116.
- Buerger, M.J. and C.T. Prewitt (1961), The crystal structures of wollastonite and pectolite. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 47, 1984-1888.
- Ito, T. (1950), X-ray studies on polymorphism. (Maruzen, Tokyo)

- Liebau, F., M. Sprung and E. Thilo (1958), Uber das System MnSiO<sub>3</sub>-CaMn(SiO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 297, 213-225.
- Mamedov, Kh. S. and N.V. Belov (1956), Crystal structures of wollastonite. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, 107, 463-466.
- Peacor, Donald R. and M.J. Buerger (1962), Determination and refinement of the crystal structure of bustamite, CaMnSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. Z. Krist.
- Prewitt, C.T. and M.J. Buerger (in press), A comparison of the crystal structures of wollastonite and pectolite. Am. Mineral.
- Schaller, Waldemar T. (1938), Johannsenite, a new manganese pyroxene. Am. Mineral., 23, 575-582.

Schaller, Waldemar T. (1955), The pectolite-schizolite-

serandite series. Am. Mineral., 40, 1022-1031.

Sundius, N. (1931), On the triclinic manganiferous pyroxenes.

Am. Mineral., 16, 411-429, 488-518.

Thesis content not specifically prepared

for publication.

,

#### Chapter 1

Review of Literature on Phase Relations and Crystal Structures in the System CaSiO<sub>3</sub> - MnSiO<sub>3</sub>

<u>Phase-relations</u>. The following naturally occurring and synthetic compounds have been described in that part of the system  $CaSiO_3 - MnSiO_3$  which may be considered to be binary: wollastonite ( $CaSiO_3$ ), parawollastonite ( $CaSiO_3$ ), pseudowollastonite ( $CaSiO_3$ ), johannsenite ( $CaMnSi_2O_6$ ), bustamite ( $CaMnSi_2O_6$ ), rhodonite ( $MnSiO_3$ ),  $\kappa$ -MnSiO<sub>3</sub>,  $\beta$ -MnSiO<sub>3</sub>. Solid solution limits are not given in the above formulas since they are imperfectly known. These relations are discussed in detail in the following sections.

Wollastonite is the stable low temperature polymorph of CaSiO<sub>3</sub> and is the form commonly found in contact metamorphosed, Ca-rich rocks. Wollastonite inverts at approximately 1125°C. (Glasser and Glasser, 1960) to pseudowollastonite, which is the form usually found in slags, but rarely in nature. Parawollastonite is known only from natural occurrences. Its stability range, if any, relative to those of pseudowollastonite and wollastonite is unknown.

Bustamite is the high-temperature form of CaMnSi<sub>2</sub>0<sub>6</sub>. Johannsenite, the low-temperature form, inverts to bustamite at approximately 830°C. (Schallter, 1938 and Schiavinato, 1953).

The relations between the polymorphs of MnSiO<sub>3</sub> are less well known. Liebau et al. (1958). in an investigation of the system  $CaMnSi_2O_6 - MnSiO_3$ , found three forms, which they named  $k - \beta - and \gamma - MnSiO_3$ .  $\sqrt[7]{-MnSiO_3}$  is equivalent to rhodonite and is stable at low temperatures. It inverts at approximately 650°C. to  $\beta$ -MnSiO<sub>3</sub>, which has the bustamite structure. The third form,  $\kappa$ -MnSiO<sub>3</sub> us metastable at all temperatures and is produced only as an intermediate form.

Phase relations in the subsolidus region of the system  $CaSiO_3 - MnSiO_3$  are poorly known. The most reliable information is derived in many cases from surveys of mineralogical compositions and relations. Sundius (1931) surveyed pyroxenoid analyses for those minerals in the ternary system  $Fe(Mg)SiO_3 - CaSiO_3 - MnSiO_3$ . Wollastonite, bustamite and rhodonite were included in this survey. The compositions of the natural wollastonites are all very close to  $CaSiO_3$ , with no more than 8 mol. per cent (Fe,Mg)SiO\_3 and less than about 3 mol. per cent  $MnSiO_3$  in solid solution. Bustamite compositions range from 32 to 57 mol. per cent  $CaSiO_3$  and rhodonite compositions 0 to 22 mol. per cent

CaSiO<sub>3</sub>. There is thus no mineral representative in the range 22-32 mol. per cent CaSiO<sub>3</sub> between bustamite and wollastonite. This indicates that the formulas for these minerals should be written as follows:

wollastonite CaSiO<sub>2</sub>

bustamite  $Ca_{1+x}Mn_{1-x}(SiO_3)_2$ , x=.07 to -.18 rhodonite  $Mn_{4+x}Ca_{1-x}(SiO_3)_5$ , x=0.0 to 1.0

One sample investigated by Sundius contained coexisting bustamite with 33 mol. per cent CaSiO<sub>3</sub> and rhodonite with 20 mol. per cent, strongly supporting other evidence for an immiscibility gap between rhodonite and bustamite. Because of the similarity in the optics and physical properties of bustamite and rhodonite, Sundius concluded that there is a complete series of solid solutions between them at 1000 temperatures, despite the wide range in intermediate compositions not represented.

Schaller (1938) reported that he had examined samples of bustamite or wollastonite "with percentages of MnO ranging from a few per cent to a maximum of 33 per cent MnO, or 61 per cent of MnO·SiO<sub>2</sub>". He concluded from this, and a similarity of optical properties of the two minerals, that they "are a homogeneous solid solution of CaO·SiO<sub>2</sub> (wollastonite) with MnO·SiO<sub>2</sub>".

Voos (1935) investigated the system CaSiO<sub>3</sub> - MnSiO<sub>3</sub> at temperatures above 1200°C. He recognized only two phases, pseudowollastonite and a material he called  $\beta$ -solid solution. The latter material has the wollastonite structure and is represented by a complete series of solid solutions between CaSiO3 and MnSiO3. Pseudowollastonite was found to be stable only at very high temperatures in the Ca-rich portion of the system. The results of Voos are seemingly at variance with those of Sundius, but on closer examination they are seen to be compatible. Voos used the powder x-ray photographic technique to identify phases. Buerger (1956) has shown that the diffraction patterns of bustamite and wollastonite are very similar, except in the case of weak super structure reflections. The powder technique would therefore not be adequate to show the minor differences in the diffraction patterns of these two minerals.

Liebau, Sprung and Thilo (1958) investigated phase relations in the system  $CaMnSi_2O_6 - MnSiO_3$ . As noted above they found, three  $MnSiO_3$  polymorphs:  $\chi$  -MnSiO\_3 (rhodonite),  $\beta$  -MnSiO\_3 (bustamite structure),  $\alpha$  -MnSiO\_3 (pseudowollastonite structure, metastable). They agreed with Sundius that rhodonite may contain only up to 20 mol. per cent CaSiO\_3. They found, further, that the temperature

of the rhodonite - bustamite inversion decreases with rising Ca content. The occurrence of the bustamite structure at high temperatures throughout this system confirms the work of Voos.

Glaser (1926) noted a single transition in MnSiO<sub>3</sub> and Jaeger and van Klooster (1916) noted two transitions at 1120° and 1208°C. This confirms the work of Liebau with respect to the rhodonite  $-\beta$  -MnSiO<sub>3</sub> transition. One of the three forms found by Jaeger and van Klooster may actually be the metastable  $\alpha$ -MnSiO<sub>3</sub>.

Glasser and Glasser (1960) and Glasser (1958)  $con \sim$ cluded that rhodonite is the only stable form of MnSiO<sub>3</sub> at all temperatures up to the melting point, in a study of synthetic phases in the system MnO-SiO<sub>2</sub>. Glasser noted that MnSiO<sub>3</sub> synthesized at high temperatures and quenched exhibits diffuse x-ray reflections similar to those of heated natural rhodonite. He suggested that there may be some disorder at high temperatures and/or a rapid unquenchable inversion.

Fig. 11 is a disgrammatic representation of the probable subsolidus phase relations, taken in part from several of the above references. It is intended to show only relative features.

# Figure 1.1

Phase relations in the subsolidus region of the system  $CaSiO_3$ -MnSiO\_3 as a function of temperature and composition.



JSS	=	Johannsenite	solid	solution
BSS	=	Bustamite	н	п
WSS	=	Wollastonite	ų	п
RSS	=	Rhodonite	ш	н
PSS	=	Pseudowollasto	onite "	н

X-ray crystallography. Wollastonite is triclinic, with space group Pī, and unit cell parameters (Buerger, 1956) as listed in table 1.1. Parawollastonite is monoclinic, with a unit cell (table 1.1) very closely related to that of wollastonite (Tolliday, 1958). Barnick (1935) proposed a structure for parawollastonite which contains three-membered rings of silica tetrahedra similar to those found in benitoite. Ito (1950) seeingly confirmed a similar structure for wollastonite. Buerger (1956) showed, however, that the structures of pectolite, bustamite and wollastonite are closely related, and that the structure of pectolite is based on a chain of silica tetrahedra with a repeat unit of three tetrahedra. Mamedov and Belov (1956) solved the structure of wollastonite and confirmed that it contains a chain of tetrahedra similar to that found in pectolite, Ca<sub>2</sub>NaH(SiO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. Buerger and Prewitt (1961) subsequently refined the structure of wollastonite. Tolliday (1958) has shown that the structure of parawollastonite is based on a similar chain, and is closely related to the structure of wollastonite. A structure containing three-membered rings of silica tetrahedra has been proposed by Boll-Dornberger (1961) for pseudowollastonite. Lattice constants are shown

# Table 1.1

# Unit cells and space groups of Ca, Mn metasilicates

Mineral	Author	Space group	(Å)	(A)	Ç (A)	ĸ	ß	ጸ
Wollastonite	Buerger (1956)	P.T	7.94	7.32	7.07	90 <b>°02</b> †	<b>95*2</b> 2*	103°26'
<b>Parawollastonite</b>	Tolliday (1958)	<b>P2</b> <sub>1</sub>	15.42	7.32	7.07	*	95 <b>*2</b> 4 '	<b>4</b> 00
Pseudowollastonite	Jeffrey and Heller (1953)	PI OR PT	6.82	6.82	19.65	90 <b>°2</b> 4°	9 <b>∂°2</b> 4∛	11 <b>9°</b> 18'
Bustamite	Bergman and Gonyer (1937)	Pi or Pi	7.64	7.16	6.37	92°08*	94 <b>*5</b> 4*	101°35'
Bustamite	Buerger (1956)	Fî	15.46	7.18	13.84	89 <b>°3</b> 4°	94°53°	102°47'
$\beta^{-Mn}0.8^{Ca}0.2^{Si}2^{O}6$	Liebau et al. (1958)	FI or FT	16 <b>.06</b>	7.11	13.68	-	ಳು	-
Johannsenite	Schievinato (1953)	$c \frac{2}{c}$	9.81	9.02	5.26	-	105°	-
Rhodonite	Hilmer et al. (\956)	Pî	6.68	7.66	1 <b>2.</b> 20	111.1°	86.0*	93.2°

in table 1.1. The relations between the structures of the polymorphs of CaSiO<sub>3</sub> have been discussed in detail by Prewitt (1962).

Berman and Gonyer (1937) investigated the unit cell of bustamite by means of rotating crystal photographs, and found it to be similar to that of wollastonite (table 1.1). Buerger (1956) found, however, that the a and c axes of bustamite are doubled relative to those of wollastonite (table 1.1). The unit cell, in an orientation similar to that of wollastonite, is face-centered. Buerger suggested that "Bustamite evidently bears a kind of superstructure relation to wollastonite, and it may be regarded as a double salt, CaSiO<sub>3</sub>. MnSiO<sub>3</sub>". Liebau et al. (1958) confirmed Buerger's unit cell determination with synthetic material with the composition Ca<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.8</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (table 1.1). Liebau et al. also concluded that the structures of bustamite and wollastonite are different, but closely related. They proposed that the difference is based on a mutual ordering of chains and/or cations. Layarev and Tenisheva (1960), in a study of the infrared absorption spectra of the pyroxenoids, concluded that the structure of bustamite is based on chains of silica tetrahedra with a repeat unit of three tetrahedra, as in wollastonite. They concluded, however, that there are

"substantial differences between the crystal structures of wollastonite and bustamite".

Schiavinato (1953) determined the unit cell and space group of johannsenite (tabla 1.1) and found that they tare equivalent to those of diopside, CaMgSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. He concluded that johannsenite is isotypic with diopside.

Two schools have proposed different, but very similar, structures for rhodonite. Hilmer, Liebau, Thilo and Dornberger-Schiff (1956) and Liebau, Hilmer and Lindemann (1958) proposed a structure based on chains of silica tetrahedra with a repest unit of five tetrahedra. This structure is very similar to those of other known pyroxenes and pyroxenoids. Oxygen stons are arranged approximately in close packing. Planes of cations in octabedral coordination alternate with planes of Si atoms in tetrahedral coordination. Silica tetrahedra all share two vertices with other tetrahedra to form infinite chains. This structure may be incorrectly determined, or at best atom coordinates are only very approximately known, as can be seen in the following; 1. The discrepancy factor, R, was reported for only one of the two projections, (b01), for which data was available. The R-factor is extremely high, being 37% for the 58 strongest reflections, and 51% for all observed reflections. 2. No y coordinates are

reported for the oxygen atoms. 3. The structure was solved only with two projections. The final Fourier projections show the undulating contours expected of data with a high R-factor. Some oxygen coordinates appear to have been completely guessed. 4. The ordering of the Ca relative to Mn was guessed merely by analogy with the structure of NaAsO<sub>3</sub>. Although the R-factor was higher with Ca in its assigned position, this position was still accepted as being correct.

Mamedov (1958) proposed a second structure for rhodonite. It may be described in the same general terms as the "Liebau-type". Only two projections were used for this structure alfor, despite the fact that the structure solution is one of at least moderate difficulty. The following points show that this structure is also subject to question. 1. Coordinates of Mn atoms were determined from two Patterson projections. Attempts to locate Si and O atoms with Harker-Kasper in equalities and Zachariarsen statistical methods failed. 2. All Si and O coordinates were guessed on the basis of the predetermined Mn positions. 3. No refinement was attempted. 4. No. R-factor is given. Mamedov merely states that there is "good" agreement between observed and calculated structure factors.

#### Chapter 2

### The Determination and Refinement of the Crystal Structure of Rhodonite

Introduction. Preparations were made to solve the crystal structure of rhodonite in the crystallographic laboratory several years ago. Specimens of rhodonite from Pajsberg, Sweden were kindly provided by Professor C. Frondel of Harvard University. Buerger determined that rhodonite is triclinic with the reduced unit cell whose dimensions are listed in table 2.1. Intensities were measured by Dr. N. Niizeki using a cleavage fragment mounted on the singlecrystal, Geiger-counter diffractometer. The diffractometer settings  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Psi$  were graphically determined. Intensities were obtained by integrating recorded peaks with a planimeter. All reflections were corrected for the Lorentz, and polarization factors. The corrected values of F<sup>2</sup> were then used to compute the three-dimensional Patterson function, P(xyz), in sections normal to c, and the Patterson projection P(x,y). These functions were computed using the Whirlwind computer in intervals of 1/50 along a and b, and 1/20 along c for the three-dimensional function.

All of the data described above, including all preliminary notes, were turned over to the author by M.J.Buerger.

### Table 2.1

Lattice constants of phodonite

Author	Specimen source	° a (A)	ک <b>(Å</b> )	c (Å)		ß	لا
Mamedo <sup>(7)</sup> (1958)	Switzerlend	7.77	1 <b>2.2</b> 0	6.70	85°15'	94°003	111°29'
Hilmer et %1. (1956)	Franklin, N.J.	6.68	7.66	12.20	111.1°	86.0"	93.2°
This thesis "Buerger call"	Pajeberg, Sweden	7.582	11.818	6.707	92.355°	<b>९३.948°</b> ्	305.665°
This thesis "Hilmer cell"	Sajsberg, Sweden	6.707	7.682	12.234	111.538°	85.247°	93.948°
Buerger personal communication	Pajsberg, Sweden	7.58	14 <b>.6</b> )	6.60	92° 25*	93°504	∴02°54'

Unit cell and space group. The unit cell obtained by Buerger (Table 2.1) was confirmed with a series of c-axis Weissenberg, photographs, except that minor changes were found in the magnitudes of the translations. This unit cell is the reduced cell, but is different from the cell obtained by Hilmer et al. (1956) and Mamedov (1958) (Table 2.1). The cells differ only in the choice of axis of length  $\sim 12$  Å. Buerger chose this axis in order to obtain the reduced cell. The other axis was probably chosen because the two most prominent cleavages are parallel to it. Since it had been proposed that rhodonite contains chains of silica tetrahedra, and since cleavages usually parallel the tetrahedral "unit", this was a natural choice. The matrix of the transformation from the Hilmer cell to the Buerger cell is

```
0 -1 0
0 1 1
-1 0 0
```

A specimen bounded by 100, 010, and 001 cleavages (relative to the Hilmer cell) was selected since it could be easily oriented with three principal axes as rotation axes with the optical goniometer. Back-reflection, 0-level precision-Weissenberg photographs were obtained with each of the three principal axes as rotation axes. Data obtained from these films was used in conjunction with the IBM 709/7090 program LCLSQ3 (Burnham, unpublished) to obtain refined lattice parameters (Table 2.1). An IBM 709/7090 program (described in Appendix 2) was then used to obtain lattice parameters corresponding to the Buerger cell (Table 2.1).

Five analyses of Pajsberg rhodonite are tabulated by Doelter (1897). An average of these five analyses follows.

\$10 <sub>2</sub>	46.34%
MnO	43.97
FeO	1.61
CaO	7.03
MgO	1.00
A1203	0.11
Total	100.06%

This data was used to compute the unit cell contents in the following way. Since a precise value of the specific gravity was not available, the cell contents were normalized to 10.00 S<sub>1</sub> + Al atoms per unit cell. From this a specific gravity of 3.84 g/cc was calculated. This value was used to compute the unit cell formula, which follows.

In Chapter 1 it was noted that Sundius (1931) concluded that the formula of rhodonite should be written  $Mn_{4+x} Ca_{1-x} Si_5 O_{15}$ ; x = 0 - 1. Liebau et al. (1958) came to a similar conclusion in another review of rhodonite analyses.

It is interesting to compare the unit cell contents listed above with the results of Sundius and Liebau et al. Assuming that the space group is Pl ( and not Pl) and that all cations occupy general positions. there are five cation positions of rank 2. The analysis is in good agreement with this, indicating that 8.01 Mn atoms may occupy four positions, and that Ca + Mg + Fe (2.23 per cell) occupy one position. The departure of the total cation content (10.24) from 10.00 is due to errors in chemical analyses or to an incorrect assumption in calculation of the specific gravity. This distribution assumes substitution of Mg and Fe for Ca. Since Mg and Fe ions are smaller than Mn ions, which are in turn smaller than Ca ions, this conclusion is questionable. The only conclusion that can be reached is that Ca may occupy only one position of the five positions which the large cations may occupy. The distribution of Mg and Fe cannot be ascertained.

Preliminary considerations. Liebau (1956) has shown that the unit cells of those metasilicates having structures

shown or proposed to be based on chains of silica tetrahedra are related. The chains have repeat units of two, three, five or seven tetrahedra (zwier-, drier-, funfer- and siebenketten). The translation parallel to the chains in pyroxenes (zwiverketten) is about 5.2 A and in bustamite (drierketten) 7.16 A. Rhodonite has a translation of 12.23 A and pyroxmangite a translation of 17.45 A (Liebau, 1957). Thus, if a period of approximately 5 Å is assumed to be associated with two linked tetrahedra, as indicated by pyroxenes, rhodonite and pyroxmangite may be assumed to have five and seven tetrahedra respectively as repeat units. Structures supposedly confirming this have been proposed by Hilmer et al. (1956) and Liebau et al. (1958), and Mamedov-(1958) for rhodomite and Liebau (1957, 1959) for pyroxmangite. There is a further relation among the unit-cell parameters of the metasilicates, however. All pyroxenes and pyroxenoids have two perfect cleavages parallel to the chains, as noted above. If two axes are chosen similarly with respect to these cleavages (other than the axes parallel to them) a close correspondence in their magnitudes is found. These relations are shown in Table 2.2, the two translations being chosen so that they are approximately normal to the cleavages. In those minerals whose structures are well known the cause of

### Table 2.2

## Comparison of unit cells of some pyroxenes and pyroxenoids

Mineral	Author	Translation a normal t	pproximately o chains	Translation along chain	
Protoenstatite MgSiO <sub>3</sub>	Smith (1959)	2 x 6.36 Å 2 x 6.36 Å		5.32 Å	
Johannsenite CaMnSi <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>6</sub>	Schiavinato (1953)	6.67	6.67	5.26	
Bustamite CaMnSi <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>6</sub>	This thesis	2 x 6.91	2 x 7.71	7.16	
Pectolite Ca <sub>2</sub> NaH(SiO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	Buerger (1956)	7.02	7.99	7.04	
Rhodonite (Mn,Ca)SiO <sub>3</sub>	This thesis	6.71	7.68	12.23	
Pyroxmangite (Fe,Mn,Ca,Mg)SiO <sub>3</sub>	<b>Liebau</b> (1957)	6.77	7.56	17.45	

the similarity is easily seen. Each structure contains sheets of approximately close-packed oxygen atoms with Si atoms and octahedrally coordinated cations alternating in planes between them. The two axes described above intersect the close-packed sheets at angles of about 45°.

From the above discussion it can be inferred that the structure of rhodonite may be described as follows: 1. It contains sheets of approximately close-packed oxygen atoms. 2. Silica tetrahedra are arranged in a chain parallel to  $/\overline{1107}$ . The chain has a repeat unit of five tetrahedra. 3. Mn atoms are arranged in sheets of edge-sharing octahedra.

Further evidence pointing to the above conclusions is found in a comparison of optical properties of rhodonite and bustamite. At the time of this investigation the structure of bustamite was not determined. As discussed in Chapter 1, Buerger (1956) and Liebau et al. (1958) had shown that it was closely related to that of wollastonite, and that it very probably was based on drier\_ketten. Hey (1929) showed that the optical properties of rhodonite and bustamite are very similar. On the basis of optical properties of intermediate solid solution members, he incorrectly concluded that there is an isomorphous series between rhodonite and bustamite. This indicates that the structures may be very closely related. The structures proposed by Hilmer et al. (1956) and Liebau et al. (1958) and Mamedov (1958) are both consistent with the above description. Since these are different, and both very poorly determined, it must be concluded that little more is definitely known about the structure of rhodonite than can be tentatively inferred from an elementary consideration of physical properties and unit cell relations. <u>Comparison of wolfastonite and rhodonite</u>. The discussion of the last section was based only on a comparison of the unit cell and physical properties of rhodonite with those of other pyroxenes and pyroxenoids. The conclusions reached can therefore be considered to be tentative at best. There are relations between the intensities of reflections of rhodonite and wollastonite which confirm these results, however.

Comparison of c-axis, o-level Weissenberg photographs of wollastonite and rhodonite shows that both have a substructure of approximately equal dimensions. This correspondence is of course also apparent in a comparison of the Patterson projections, P(x,y), of each. This sublattice, in projection at least, has the following translations relative to the rhodonite unit cell given above:

$$A^* = \frac{1}{5}a + \frac{2}{5}b$$
$$B^* = \frac{1}{5}a + \frac{3}{10}b$$

There are many substructure relations in wollastonite. The most important involves pairs of atoms related by the subperiod b/2. This is chiefly caused by chains of octahedra parallel to b sharing edges, the subperiod b/2corresponding to an octahedron edge. The magnitude of b/2in wollastonite is approximately equal to the magnitude of B in rhodonite. Thus there may also be a chain of edge-sharing octahedra in rhodonite, oriented parallel to B. <u>Interpretation of the Patterson function, P(xyz)</u>. Fig. 2.1

is a projection on (001) of some of the highest peaks of P(xyz). It is clear that this resembles a diagram of a chain of octahedra in which each octahedron shares an edge with each of two adjacent octahedra. For simplicity, peaks corresponding to the upper and lower vertices of each octahedra are not shown, although they are present. In each octahedron the relative peak heights correspond to weighting expected for an octahedron composed of oxygen atoms coordinating Mn; that is, the central peak is much higher than the four coordinating peaks. That these peaks correspond to a chain of Mn octahedra is further shown by distances between peaks, which correspond closely to values predicted from Mn and O radii. When all such peaks in the full cell are considered it is clear that the octahedra define a plane parallel to ( $\overline{111}$ ).

# Figure 2.1

Selected peaks of P(xyz) projected onto (001). Each peak is labelled with its approximate height and the level, in 20ths, on which it appears.



This is further evidence for the conclusions reached in the two preceeding sections.

The structures of other pyroxenes and pyroxenoids have a similar "octahedral sheet": as discussed above. In each of these the sheets are parallel to planes of inversion centers. The plane of central cations, in fact, coincides with the plane of inversion centers. If a brucite-like octahedral sheet is oriented similarly in rhodonite, such that chains of edge-sharing octahedra are oriented as in P(xyz), it is seen that the period between inversion centers is approximately the same as the period between centers in the "ideal" sheet. It was therefore assumed that such an arrangement exists in rhodonite.

Examination of a brucite-like sheet of edge-sharing octahedra shows that there are only two kinds of positions in the Mn plane where centers may be placed. These correspond to the center of a shared edge and to the center of an octahedron at a cation position. Examination of the structures proposed by Hilmer et al. and Liebau et al., and Mamedov shows that these structures differ principally in having each of these two arrangements respectively.

The Mamedov structure was thought most likely to be incorrect, since this required two Mn atoms to be on inversion centers. The structure is based on a chain of nine

edge-sharing octahedra with the central Mn atom on an inversion center at 000. The remaining Mn atom is on the center at  $00\frac{1}{2}$ . Peaks supposedly corresponding to Mn-Mn inversion peaks were easily located in the Patterson function. Peaks corresponding to nine of the ten Mn atoms in the unit cell were found in each minimum function formed. A suitable peak was not found at  $00\frac{1}{2}$ , or at any other center, which might correspond to the remaining Mn atom, however. This indicated that the structure was incorrect.

A second attempt was later made to verify this structure. Structure factors were calculated for all reflections, using only the Mn atoms in general positions and one Mn at 000 (after correcting two Mn coordinates for incorrectly published values) the R-factor was high (60%) but there was fair agreement between  $F_{o}$  and  $F_{c}$  for substructure reflections. An electron density function P(xyz) was then computed using only those reflections showing reasonable agreement between  $F_{o}$  and  $F_{c}$  and having large values of F. (The IBM 709/7090 program ERFR2 (Sly, Shoemaker and Van der Hende, 1962) was used to compute all Fourier syntheses in this investigation). Although peaks apparently corresponding to Si and O atoms were present, there was no peak representing the remaining Mn stom at  $OO_2^1$ , or on any other center. This was conclusive proof that the structure is incorrect.

The second possible arrangement of Mn octahedra was investigated in the following way. Inversion peaks corresponding to each of four Mn atoms were located in the Patterson function, P(xyz), and the minimum functions M2(xyz) contoured. Two of these were combined to form the function  $M_{\Delta}(xyz)$ , the peaks of which are shown projected onto (001) in Fig. 2.2. Each peak is labelled with the level, in 20ths, on which it appeared. Fig. 2.3 is an interpretation of this figure, showing coordination polyhedra. The peak heights and positions of the remaining two minimum functions closely resemble those shown in Fig. Six peaks labelled as Mn atoms are shown in Fig. 2.2. 2.2. This nomenclature is used merely because six peaks appeared in the Mn chain, although there are only five Mn atoms in the structure. One of these is obviously a false peak, caused by superposition in P(xyz) of substructure vectors. Since the peak labelled Mn<sub>6</sub> was the smallest of the six in all minimum functions, this was considered to be a false peak.

98

From a consideration of interpeak distances and peak heights, peaks of  $M_4(xyz)$  were correlated with all oxygen atoms with the exception of  $0_9$ ,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$ ,  $0_{15}$ . The resulting Mn coordination octahedra are shown in Fig. 2.3.

÷,

### Figure 2.2

Peaks of  $M_4$  (xyz) projected onto (001). Each peak is labelled with the name of the atom which it represents and with the heights, in 20ths, on which it appears. Peaks courseponding to  $0_9$ ,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$  and  $0_{15}$  are not present.


Coordination polyhedra (octahedra and tetrahedra) projected onto (001), obtained from an interpretation of Fig. 2.2. The positions of atoms  $0_9$ ,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$  and  $0_{15}$  were predicted from an interpretation of the minimum function. They are labelled with the level, in 20ths, on which they occur.



.

Note that the coordination of  $Mn_5$  is not completely octabedral. Peaks corresponding to  $Si_{2-5}$  were easily identified. The location of  $Si_1$  was uncertain, however. There are two peaks, labelled  $Si_1$  and  $Si_1^1$ , one of which corresponds to  $Si_1$ .

The positions of four oxygen atoms remained undetermined. Assuming that Si is tetrahedrally coordinated, and that these tetrahedra are linked in a chain, these positions (Fig. 2.3) were easily predicted. The validity of this proposed structure was checked by a consideration of Pauling bond strengths, all of which were reasonable. Coordinates are tabulated in Table 2.3. The peak labelled Si<sub>1</sub><sup>1</sup> was initially assigned to Si<sub>1</sub>.

<u>Refinement</u>. The intensity data were first corrected for absorption in the following way. The crystal used in the measurement of intensities was a triangular prism approximately 0.1 mm long with triangular face edges of lengths 0.12, 0.13 and 0.10 mm. The mass absorption coefficient,  $\mu$ , is 489 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the average value of  $\mu r$  2.5. All reflections were corrected for absorption using an IBM 709/7090 program written by C.W. Burnham (1962).

Structure factors calculated for hko reflections were in reasonable agreement with observed structure factors. Those reflections showing the best agreement were used in Atom coordinates obtained from the minimum function above, coordinates and isotropic temperature factors, and standard deviations after refinement below.

Atom	X	<b>r</b> (x)	У	<b>(</b> y)	Z***	4 (Z)	B	(B)
0,	.970		.680		.950			
Ŧ	.9544	.0011	.6772	.0007	.9628	.0014	.60	. 1.9
0,	.610		.720		.900			
2	.6011	.0011	.7312	, 0007	.8955	.0015	.49	, 19
0,	.761		.393		.887			
2	.7485	.0011	.3895	.0007	.8858	.0015	.74	.20
0,	.378		.434		.812			
**	.3981	.0011	.4375	.0007	.8074	.0015	.65	.19
05	.545		.097		.812			
2	.5485	.0011	.0975	.0007	.8053	.0015	.86	.20
06	.177		.150		.750			
0	.1970	.0010	.1318	.0007	.7374	.0014	. 36	.18
0,	. 340		. 802		.725			
,	.3218	.0011	.8149	.0007	.7438	.0015	.65	.19
0,	.960		.852		.700			_
U	.9337	.0010	.8524	.0006	.6591	.0014	.33	.19

Table 2.3 conz.

0 <sub>0</sub> *	. 320		<b>.9</b> 80		. 500			
3	.2560	.0010	<b>.9</b> 962	.0006	<b>.</b> 4459	.0013	.17	.17
0,0	.737		.572		.625			
LV	.7457	.0012	.5871	.0008	. 5846	.0016	1.16	.21
0,,	.820		.026		.950			
4.4	.8430	.0011	.0414	.0007	<b>.9</b> 433	.0015	.53	.19
0.0	.550		. 185		.550			
12	.4181	.0010	.2210	, 0006	.4846	.0014	.25	.13
0*	. 320		.600		.650			
13	.3191	.0010	.6142	.0006	.6300	.6014	.37	.18
0.,*	.020		.380		.750			
14	.0546	.0011	.4360	.0007	.7006	.0014	.45	.18
o. <b>*</b>	.810		.190		.750			
-15	.8607	.0010	.2221	.0007	.7024	.0014	.33	.18
Si.	.200		.117		. 500			
1	.2191	.0004	.1246	.0003	.4956	.0006	.24	.07
Si.	.248		.453		.625			
- 72	.2687	.0004	.4701	.0003	.6375	.0006	.29	.07

105

---

Table 2.3 cont.

si <sub>3</sub>	.481 .4610	.0004	.757 .7393	.0002	. 700 . 7092	.0005	.12	.07
si <sub>4</sub>	.683 .7446	.0004	.044 .0891	.0003	.775 .7538	.0006	. 32	.07
S15	.904 .9263	.0004	.355 .3466	.0002	.825 .8450	.0005	<b>. 19</b>	.07
s11 <sup>1***</sup>	.020		.163		.575			
Mn	.897 .8819	.0002	.853 .8517	.0002	•950 •9697	.0003	.68	.05
Mn <sub>2</sub>	.684 .6827	.0002	.563 .5548	.0002	.900 ₄8748	.0003	.75	.05
Mn <sub>3</sub>	.478 .4916	.0002	.267 .2700	.0002	.800 .8109	.0003	. 64	.05
Mn <sub>4</sub>	.262 .3018	.0003	.980 .9767	.0002	.737 .7967	.0004	.65	.05
Man <sub>5</sub>	.073 .0457	.0003	.692 .6938	.0002	.675 .6389	.0004	.93	.06



the computation of the Fourier syntheses  $\rho(xy)$  and  $\Delta \rho(xy)$ . These indicated that the structure was essentially correct; i.e. that the five Mn atoms had been correctly placed with respect to the six observed substructure peaks in the minimum functions.

Two cycles of three-dimensional refinement were therefore carried out. (The IBM 709/7090 program SFLSQ2 (Prewitt, 1962) was used for all structure factor calculations and least-squares refinement in this investigation.) Form factors were used assuming half-ionization of all atoms. Complete disorder of Mn, Ca, Mg and Fe was assumed and the average cation scattering function corrected for the average real component of anomalous dispersion. All reflections having  $(F_0-F_C)/F_0 > 0.5$  were rejected in the refinement. A weighting scheme recommended by Hughes (1941) was used in a slightly revised version. This weighted reflections in the following way.

 $F_{o} \leq 4F_{min}, \quad T = 4F_{min}$   $F_{o} > 4F_{min}, \quad T = F_{min}$   $F_{o} = 0$   $4F_{min} = 15.0$   $W = (1/T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

where

The scale factor was varied in cycla 1 and the scale factor and all coordinates in cycle 2. Table 3.4 is an outline of the least-squares refinement procedure.

The value of the discrepancy factor, R, was extremely high (76%). It was suspected that this was partially caused by wrongly guessed coordinates for the oxygen atoms  $0_9$ ,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$  and  $0_{15}$ . Therefore two cycles (cycles 3 and 4) were executed with these atoms omitted. The value of R decreased to only 69% however. It was then noted that agreement between  $F_0$  and  $F_c$  was good for hko reflections but bad for all others. Comparison was good between  $F_0$ (hkl) and  $F_c(\bar{h}k\bar{l})$ , however. This indicated that the axes a and b, or c, had somehow become reversed in the calculation of P(xyz). Therefore refinement was continued with all z coordinates replaced by 1-z.

Structure factors were computed for all reflections with  $F_0 \neq 0$ , for which R was only 51%. Approximately 500 reflections having good agreement between  $F_0$  and  $F_c$  were used to compute the Fourier synthesis  $\rho(xyz)$ . The atoms  $0_9$ ,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$  and  $0_{15}$  were not included in this computation. The electron density function indicated that most atom positions were correct although no peaks corresponding to the atoms  $0_9$ ,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$  and  $0_{15}$ , could be identified. Since

Cycle number	Number of reflec- tions	R	Para- W meters i varied	eight- ng scheme	Rejection test	Comments
1	2016	77%	<b>Scale fa</b> c- tor, K	Hughes	(F_F_) 7.5	Sign of
			,		Fo	all
2	\$\$	76	K, all coord.	£ 8	98	indices in-
3	88	73	**	5 Z	<b>\$</b> 8	correct in
4	<b>8 8</b>	69	8.0	9 B	¥ F	cycles 1-4
5	\$ <del>9</del>	62	38	£9	88	
6	38	49	5 <b>8</b>	\$ \$	4 <b>\$</b>	
7	920	55	Si and Mn coord.	* 3	7 F	K constant incr <b>ease</b> d to .67
8	5 B	59	<b>8</b> 7	8.5	*9	11
						Mn <sub>6</sub> replaced Mn <sub>5</sub>

,

Outline of least-squares refinement

(1179)	an a	uchan an a	Table 2.4	cont.	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	
9	920	54	Si and Mn coord.	Hughes	$\frac{(F_{o}-F_{c})}{F_{o}} > \cdot^{5}$	Mn <sub>5</sub> replaced Mn <sub>6</sub>
10	*4	49	K and O coord.	\$\$	¥≑	K decreased to .63
11	23	44	<b>All iso</b> tropic	**	<b>#</b> #	
12	98	56	K and Si are Mn coor	n cd.	**	All coord. changed ±.02
13	11	44	**	28	\$\$	Coord. returned to cycle 11 values
14	£ \$	44	7\$	17	¥ŧ	
15	1620	47	All coord. and K	\$¥	$\left \frac{F_{o}-F_{c}}{F_{0}}\right $ > .6	Rejection test corrected
16	82	46	**	r =1.0	none rejected	Change reject and weight
17	920	42	Si and Mn coord. and	" K.	\$ <b>\$</b>	

.

	an a the formation of the state of the stat		rable 2.4 c	cont.	nayay arang kang kang kang kang kang kang kang k	Angulas Bondina (Incurational Incuration) and an
18	1620	44	K and all ( coord,	<b>~=1.0</b>	none rejected	
19	21	42	**	9¥	\$ <b>\$</b>	
20	18	42	5 <b>8</b>	Hughes	88	0 position corrected
21	<b>F</b> †	43	9 <b>8</b>	81	58	0 <sub>12</sub> position corrected
22	920	41	Si and Mn coord. and l	" K	$\left  \frac{F_{o}-F_{c}}{F_{o}} \right  > 6$	$\Delta \rho$ (xyz) indicated change in z of Mn <sub>4-5</sub>
23	19	35	\$ 8 8	89	¢ \$	
24	1620	35	K and all coord.	\$\$	89	
25	920	27.9	K and O coord.	38	79	
26	89 89	25.2	K, and Si and Mn coor	n d.	88	
27	3\$	23.1	**	18	9 Q	

45.000000000000000000000000000000000000	naneze mananan kantan ar sera kana ar serakan da serakan da serakan da serakan da serakan da serakan da seraka		Table 2.4 cont		an a	
28	920	20.9	K and O Hug coord.	ghes For	<u>e</u> l >.6	
29	€ ¥	18.8	K and Si and Mn coord.	** **		
30	¢ ÿ	16.4	K, and O coord.	95 79 9		
	98	15.2	K, and Si and Mn coord.	33 88		
32	88	14.4	K and O coord.	59 <b>67</b>		
33	8 <b>9</b>	12.3	5 0 and Si coord, and K	99 QE	Cation	s ordered
34	9 <b>9</b>	12.1	K, and Si and Mn coord.	98 T¥		
35	89	11.9	K and O coord.	97 <b>1</b> 9		
36	8 <b>9</b>	11.7	Isotropic temp. factors.	99 79 0		
37	58	10.8	6 <del>8</del>	99 <b>9</b> 9		

GINADING CONTRACTOR STREET	nadauguun angeren andereganisana,	an a	Table 2.4 coc	<u>xd.</u>	anan an tao a	арананалагын такагандагы басыл саныл санын байландагын бай
38	1620	11.0	All coordin- H ates and K	lughes	$\frac{ F_{o}-F_{c} }{F_{o}} > 6$	
39	£9	10.5	**	8 <b>\$</b>	81	All coordinate variations < .0006
40	920	11.0	Isotropic temp. factors	f 9	8.8	

.

.

most of the reflections used in the calculation of  $\rho(xyz)$ were substructure reflections, and since these oxygen atoms are in the complement structure, this was not unexpected. In addition, peaks of  $\rho(xyz)$  indicated that Si was located at the peak labelled Si<sub>1</sub> in Fig. 2.2, not at Si<sub>1</sub><sup>1</sup> as previously concluded.

Cycles 5 and 6 were executed with coordinates derived from the minimum function. Coordinates of Si<sub>1</sub> were substituted for those of Si<sub>1</sub><sup>1</sup> and new coordinates for  $O_9$ ,  $O_{13}$ ,  $O_{14}$ , and  $O_{15}$  (Table 3.1) were obtained from a reinterpretation of the minimum function. The value of R decreased only to 49%. The scale factor, K, attained a value of .5. The value of K should adjust such that  $\sum F_0 = \sum F_c$ . The magnitude of K calculated by equating these terms was 0.67, which is considerably larger than the value obtained from least-squares refinement. The scale factor was therefore set at 0.67 and not varied in cycles 7-9.

The program SFLSQ2 required more than five minutes running time on the IBM 7090 computer at the M.I.T. Computation Center when all reflections were used and all coordinates varied. Such problems are assigned low priority and may require as much as one week for execution. Problems requiring

less than five minutes computation time are quickly expedited however. The number of reflections was therefore reduced to about 920 for some cycles, to reduce computation time to less than five minutes. Cycles in which the limited number of reflections were used are designated with subscript n. For these cycles only Mn and Si coordinates or all oxygen coordinates were varied in order to maintain an acceptable ratio of the number of reflections to the number of variables.

Cycle 7, was executed varying only Si and Mn coordinates. The value of R increased to 55%, probably because of the increase in K noted above. Since the decrease in R predicted for this cycle was negligible, the Bunn synthesis  $\Delta \rho(xyz)$  was computed using all reflections with  $F_{o} = 0$ . This function showed considerable variation, but little significance could be attributed to it. A peak at the Mn substructure position labelled Mn<sub>6</sub> in Fig. 2.2 indicated that it may be occupied by Mn. Since peaks in the minimum functions corresponding to Mn, were the smallest of the remaining five, it was assumed that this position was vacant. The value of R for cycle 8\_ increased to 59%, however, indicating that this Mn distribution was incorrect. Since no other reasonable distribution of Mn atoms could be formulated through interpretation of the Bunn error synthesis or the minimum functions, the original Mn distribution was assumed to be correct.

Only Si and Mn coordinates were varied in cycle  $9_n$ , the scale factor being held constant at 0.67. The values of  $\sum F_0$  and  $\sum F_c$  from this cycle indicated that K should be decreased to 0.63. The least-squares value of K had formerly been thought to be too low. There was then a possibility that refinement had been hindered by using an incorrect value of K, and holding it constant. In cycle  $10_n$ it was allowed to vary, as were all oxygen coordinates. The discrepancy factor, R, decreased to 49%.

Refinement was not progressing satisfactorily. The following tests were therefore made of the validity of the structure. If an atom is in an incorrect position, the value of its temperature factor may refine to an unusually large value. All isotropic temperature factors were therefore varied in cycle  $11_n$ . Although temperature factors varied in an unpredictable way, some attaining negative values, none refined to exceptionally large values. Cycle  $12_n$  was executed with all coordinates altered by  $\frac{1}{2}$ .02 from their refined values of cycle 10. Only Si and Mn coordinates were varied. If coordinates were refining into substructure positions such shifts might alter this trend. All coordinates shifted toward their original values, however, so the results were inconclusive.

All evidence indicated that the proposed structure was correct. Refinement was therefore continued. Cycles 13 (R-44%) and 14 (R-44%) were executed with only the scale factor, K, and Si and Mn coordinates varying. Examination of these results showed that the rejection scheme was not operating correctly. Only those reflections with  $(F_{o}-F_{c})/$  $F_o \ge 0.5$  were being rejected while those with  $(F_o - F_c)/F_o \ge 0.5$ should be rejected. The rejection test was there fore corrected, and the critical rejection value changed to 0.6 so that more reflections might be included in the refinement. All coordinates were varied in cycle 15. The R-factor increased slightly to 47%. Refinement may not converge properly if inappropriate weighting or rejection schemes are Therefore, in subsequent cycles the weighting, and used. the rejection routine changed so that all reflections were included in the refinement except those with  $F_{a} = 0$ . (Only a very few of these reflections were being included as input to each cycle in order to decrease computation Si and Mn coordinates and the scale factor were time.) varied in cycles  $16_n$  and  $17_n$ , in which R decreased to In two more cycles in which all coordinates were 42% varied R was 44% and 42%. The slight increase in R from cycle 17 to cycle 18 is caused by the inclusion of about 700 more reflections in cycle 18. Theres were chiefly

reflections of high  $\sin \Theta$ , for which discrepancies between F and F are usually greater than for reflections with low sin  $\Theta$ .

Since the refinement still was converging very slowly, an attempt was made to ascertain if there was a basic error in the structure. All evidence indicated that the atoms Mn<sub>1-4</sub> were correctly located. There was some evidence (see above) that Mn, might be in an incorrect position. The relatively heavy Mn atoms control many phases. Structure factors were therefore computed using only Mn<sub>1-4</sub>. Those structure factors of medium or large magnitude having good agreement between F and F were used to compute the Fourier synthesis  $\rho$  (xyz). This contained the same ambiguities as the minimum function however. This was probably caused by the use only of reflections of at least moderate intensity. These are chiefly substructure intensities and reproduce only the substructure in  $\rho(xyz)$ . Reflections with small magnitudes very probably have incorrect signs, if R is large as in this case, and should not be used in Fourier calculations. This problem is, of course, inherent in substructure problems.

Examination of the atom coordinates showed that  $0_{11}$  was not correctly located. In cycle 20, therefore, it

was shifted to a position consistent with the minimum function. In addition, the use of the Hughes weighting routine was reintroduced. This scheme gives a higher weight to small F's and a lower weight to large F's. This is particularly valuable in a substructure problem since it may prevent refinement into a substructure. Following cycle 20 reexamination of the set of coordinates showed that  $0_{12}$  had refined into a position inconsistent with accepted values of interatomic distances and coordination. This was corrected in cycle 21, in which all coordinates and the scale factor were varied.

Structure factors for all  $F_{hkl}$  with  $F_0 = 0$  were calculated using the refined coordinates of cycle 21. These were used to compute the Bunn error synthesis  $\Delta \rho(xyz)$ . This indicated that the z coordinates of Mn<sub>4</sub> and Mn<sub>5</sub> should be changed by .03 and -.07 respectively. This was confirmed with a difference Fourier synthesis,  $\Delta \rho(xyz)$ , calculated using all reflections except those with  $F_0 = 0$ .

The discrepancy factor, R, decreased to 35% after cycles  $22_n$  and  $23_n$ , in which only K, and Si and Mn coordinates were varied. After cycle 24, in which all coordinates were varied, R was still 35%. This was expected, however, since the number of reflections was much larger in cycle 24 (see above).

The refinement converged rapidly with further cycling, in which oxygen coordinates, and Si and Mn coordinates were varied in alternating cycles (see table 2.4 for details). Convergence was almost complete after cycle 32, for which R was 14.4%, so an attempt was made to determine the ordering, if any, present among the Ca, Mn, Fe and Mg atoms. Form factors computed assuming complete disorder of these atom had been used throughout the refinement. Structure factors were computed for 1620 reflections for which F was non-zero, and these were used in the computation of the difference Fourier synthesis,  $\Delta \rho$  (xyz). The only major features in this synthesis were peaks at the positions of Mn1-Mn5. The heights of these peaks are listed in table 2.5. The peak heights of Mn1, Mn2 and Mn3 are all positive and approximately equal. Since Mn ions have more electrons than the average atom used in the refinement, this suggests that only Mn, and not Mg, Fe or Ca, occupies these positions. The negative peaks at Mn<sub>4</sub> and Mn<sub>5</sub> suggest that Mg and Ca occupy these positions, since they contain fewer electrons than the average atom. It is also very likely that Fe occupies the same positions as Mg since their ionic radii are very similar, and smaller than either Ca or Mn, and they are known to substitute commonly for each other.

121

## Table 2.5

## Peak heights at cation positions of $\Delta \rho(xyz)$ and Mn-O interatomic distances after cycle 32

Cation position	Average cation- oxygen distance	Peak beight in $\Delta \rho(xyz)$	Interpretation of ordering
Canal and an and a sub-	2.27 Å	175	Mn
2004.040.040.040.040.040.040.040.040.040	.2.18	145	Mar
**************************************	2.24	193	Min
4	2.32	~65	Fe + Mg + Mn
5	2.43	-418	Ca + Mn

Assuming that Mn occupies the positions  $Mn_1$ ,  $Mn_2$  and  $Mn_3$ , all Mg and Fe the position  $Mn_4$ , all Ca the position  $Mn_5$ , and the remaining Mn the positions  $Mn_4$  and  $Mn_5$ , peak heights for  $\Delta \rho(xyz)$  of -100 and -400 were predicted for  $Mn_4$  and  $Mn_5$ respectively. This is in good agreement with the peaks actually observed and thus indicates that this ordering scheme is correct.

Interatomic distances were then calculated between  $Mn_{1-5}$  and oxygen atoms. The average for each polyhedron is shown in table 2.5. Average distances for  $Mn_1$ ,  $Mn_2$  and  $Mn_3$ are between 2.18 and 2.27 Å, in good agreement with known Mn-O distances. Average distances for  $Mn_A$  and  $Mn_5$  are greater than those for  $Mn_{1-3}$  that of  $Mn_5$  being the largest (2.43 Å). Since Ca is the largest cation of Ca, Mn, Fe and Mg, this indicates that most, if not all, of the Ca occupies the position  $Mn_5$ . The average distance for  $Mn_{l_1}$  is large only because one of the six distances for which the average was computed is very large. Two Mn4-0 distances are among the smallest of all Mn-O distances. This indicates that Fe and Mg occupy this position. Thus the interatomic distances verify the conclusions on ordering reached on a basis of the peaks in  $\Delta \rho(xyz)$ . Mn occupies the positions Mn<sub>1</sub>, Mn<sub>2</sub> and Mn<sub>3</sub>, Mg and Fe the position Mn<sub>4</sub>, Ca the position Mn<sub>5</sub>, with the remaining Mn at  $Mn_{4}$  and  $Mn_{5}$ .

Refinement was continued with form factors computed for Mn<sub>1-5</sub> assuming the ordering determined above, and taking account of anomalous dispersion of Ma, Fe, and Ca. Oxygen, and Si and Mn coordinates were varied in cycles  $33_n - 35_n$ . Since refinement of coordinates had almost completely converged at this point, isotropic temperature factors were refined in cycles  $36_n$  and  $37_n$ . Refinement was completed with cycles 38 and 39, in which all coordinates and the scale factor were varied, and cycle 40, in which all isotropic temperature factors were varied. The final parameter variations were all less than the standard deviations. Final coordinates and temperature factors, and their standard deviations, are listed in table 2.3 for comparison with values obtained from the minimum function. Final coordinates are also listed in table 2.5 where they are compared with coordinates obtained by Liebau et al (1958). The values obtained by Liebau at al. are reasonably close to the values of this investigation, except in the following instances:  $Mn_1$ ,  $\Delta x = .08$ ;  $Mn_3$ ,  $\Delta z = .08$ ;  $Mn_4$ ,  $\Delta z = .10$ ;  $0_3, \Delta z = .26; 0_{14}, \Delta y = .08; 0_{14}, \Delta z = .10; 0_{15}, \Delta z = .09.$ The coordinates given by Liebau et al. have been transformed, of course, to a unit cellof the Buarger type. In order to

Comparison of atom coordinates of Liebau et al. (1959) (below) and this refinement (above). Liebau coordinates transformed to the Buerger cell and values of z replaced by 1-z.

Atom	<u></u>	У	2000 - 2000	
alan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	ange av dest dat instantense såndiget, sedentensk der ogsånske sedente	an a	annan an a	gagti i dipanda anditi d
Mn 1	.8819	.8517	.9697	
Kat. 3	.963	.889	.998	
Mn 2	.6827	.5548	.8748	
Kat. 1	.710	.567	.875	
Mn 3	.4916	.2700	.8109	
Kat. 2	. 50.5	.309	<b>.</b> 734	
Mn 4	. 3018	.9767	.7967	
Rat. 4	.273	.998	.700	
M- 5	.0457	. 6938	.6389	
Kat. 5	.107	.691	.615	
e4 1	2191	. 1246	.4956	
Si 3	.145	.105	. 533	
c1 1	2627	4701	.6375	
SI Z SI 1	.281	.462	.611	
c 2 3	4610	. 7393	. 7092	
SI 3 SI 2	. 541	.778	.743	
C4 /.	7446	.0891	.7538	
SI 4	.728	.059	.794	
64 5	9763	. 3466	.8450	
Si 5	.943	,338	.864	
A 1	0544	6779	.9628	
01	• 7 34%	.643	.971	

.

Table 2.6 cont.

02	.6011	7319	90 E #
07	6 <b>m</b> 4 <b>m</b> 4	.734	• <b>○♥</b> ጋ∋ 019
-		\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b>	• <b>J L</b> G
03	.7485	.3895	. 8858
03		. 398	.626
04	. 3981	.4375	.8074
01		.453	.802
05	. 5485	.0975	8053
0 12		.129	.828
	,	9 - Ania 1899 <b>(F</b>	9 <b>5 4 6 6 6</b> 7
06	.1970	.1318	.7374
08		.141	.766
A	16. <b>3</b> 6. 8		
0 /	.3218	.8149	.7438
UO		.874	.749
08	.9337	.8524	.6591
09	·	.882	.627
0.9	2560	0064	1150
0 11	• 5a 343 V	.7702	·44)7
V 14	1.	. 770	.431
9 10	.7457	.5871	. 5846
02		. 576	.590
0 11	.8430	.0414	.9433
0 10	,	.000	.942
0 12	5219	7790	2321
0 5	5 48 M 4 M	.7720	· JIJ4 520
¥ 3	•	6 <i>1 J 7</i>	. 230
0 13	.3191	.6142	.6300
04		.641	.665
0.14	.73 <b>#</b> 2 .4	1010	
U 14 A 14	apcu.	.4360	.7006
V 14		. 304	. 599
0 15	.8607	.2221	. 7024
0 3		(209	.790
		5 mm m G	

.

obtain satisfactory comparison it was necessary to change all z coordinates of Liebau et al. to 1-z.

Liebau has noted that all z coordinates of Mn and Si atoms as published (Liebau et al. 1958) should be changed to 1-z, and z of oxygen atoms to  $\frac{1}{2}$ -z. This transformation was accordingly applied before transforming coordinates to the Buerger cell. A satisfactory correlation of coordinates of Liebau et al. and this investigation could not be found, however. This indicates that the change recommended by Liebau must also involve a change in the choice of unit cell axes, since a reasonable correlation of coordinates was found, as noted above. Such a change in the unit cell would result in a change in the matrix of the transformation of coordinates.

The staisfactory correlation of coordinates may be obtained if all x coordinates of Liebau et al. are replaced by values of 1-x, retaining the unit cell. The change recommended by Liebau is obviously incorrect, however, although the structure determined by him is basically correct.

Structure factors were calculated following cycle 40, for which the discrepancy factor, R, was 10.5% for all reflections with  $F_0 \neq 0$ , and 15.4% for all reflections. Structure factors are listed in Appendix 4.

#### Description of the Rhodonite Structure

Peaks of  $\rho(xyz)$ , computed with structure factors from cycle 37, are shown in Fig. 2.4 projected along c onto (001). Only those peaks from z = 0 - 0.5 are shown. Mn atoms are represented by shaded rather than contoured peaks. Fig. 2.5 is an interpretation of the corresponding projection  $\rho(xy)$ , and Fig. 2.6 is an interpretation of the projection  $\rho(xz)$  (relative to the Hilmer unit cell). The unusual latter projection has been chosen since it is parallel to the chains of silics tetrahedra.

The structure, at least in major features, is similar to the structure proposed by Hilmer et al. (1956) and Liebau et al. (1958), as can be seen from the comparison of coordinates in table 2.6. Planes of approximately closepacked oxygen atoms are arranged parallel to (111). Planes of Mn, Ca, Mg and Fe ions in octahedral coordination alternate between planes of oxygen atoms with planes of Si ions in tetrahedral coordination. The silica tetrahedra each share two vertices with other tetrahedra to form a chain extending parallel to  $\int 101_7$ . This chain has a repeat unit of five tetrahedra.

Peaks of  $\rho$  (xyz), calculated after cycle 38 of refinement, projected onto (001). Only peaks of the asymmetric unit, from Z = 0 to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , are shown. Peaks are labelled with the level, in 100ths, on which they appear.



Projection on (001) of the structure of rhodonite. Mn and Ca atoms, and Si coordination tetrahedra are shown.



.

Projection along a of the structure of rhodonite. Mn And Ca atoms, and Si coordination tetrahedra are shown.



Sheet of octahedrally coordinated cations. Fig. 3.3. Chapter 3, is a projection of part of the structure of rhodonite onto the plane parallel to sheets of oxygen atoms described above. One octahedral layer is shown below, with sections of the silica chains in the overlying sheet. The coordination polyhedra of Mn<sub>1.5</sub> approximate octahedra. These octabedra each share two edges with adjacent tetrahedra to form a chain ten octahedra long. The shared edge at the midpoint of this chain lies on an inversion center so that the two balves of the chain are centrosymmetrically related. These chains are bonded to similar chains through further edge sharing in a staggered manner to form a band of octahedra extending parallel to /101 /. One complete band is shown in Fig. 3.3, with the outer edge of a translation related band on the left. Note that these bonds are separated by a rift of unoccupied octahedrally coordinated sites. These relations are treated in more detail in Chapter 3.

<u>Coordination polybedra and cation ordering</u>. All silica tetrahedra are regular, as can be seen from the Si-O and O-O distances of tables 2.7 and 2.9 respectively. The average of all such O-O distances is 2.65 Å. Individual distances vary from this average by no more than 0.20 Å. All Si-O
# Cation-oxygen interatomic distances

Mn1-01	2.27 <sub>6</sub> Å	Mn2-01	2.21 <sub>9</sub> Å
02	2.24 <sub>9</sub>	0 <sub>2</sub>	2.335
°6	2.112	0 <sub>3</sub>	2.149
0 <sub>8</sub>	2.14 <sub>8</sub>	04	2.253
0 <sub>11</sub>	2.353	04	2.26
0 <sub>11</sub>	2.175	0 <sub>10</sub>	2.065
<u>Ave. 2</u>	.219	Ave. 2	.215

Mn3-02	2.139	Mn <sub>4</sub> ~0 <sub>5</sub>	2.037
°3	2.107	o <sub>6</sub>	2.23 <sub>1</sub>
04	2.282	0 <sub>7</sub>	1.978
0 <sub>5</sub>	2.196	0 <sub>8</sub>	2.878
°6	<b>2</b> .41 <sub>1</sub>	o <sub>9</sub>	2.38 <sub>6</sub>
0 <sub>12</sub>	2.333	°0 <sub>11</sub>	2.12
<u>Ave. 2</u>	.228	Ave. 2	.272

.

$Mn_5 - 0_1 2.32_9$ $0_7 2.26_0$ $0_8 2.26_7$	Ave. excluding 0 <sub>9</sub> , <u>2.150</u>
0 <sub>10</sub> 2.30 <sub>4</sub>	\$1 <sub>1</sub> -0 <sub>6</sub> 1.64 <sub>4</sub>
0 <sub>13</sub> 2.52 <sub>6</sub>	08 1.599
0 <sub>14</sub> 2.63 <sub>5</sub>	0 <sub>9</sub> 1.64 <sub>1</sub>
0 <sub>15</sub> 2.60 <sub>5</sub>	° <sub>12</sub> 1.65 <sub>0</sub>
<u>Ave. 2.418</u>	<u>Ave. 1.634</u>
Si <sub>2</sub> -0 <sub>4</sub> 1.58 <sub>9</sub>	S1 <sub>3</sub> -0 <sub>2</sub> 1.61 <sub>6</sub>
<sup>0</sup> 10 <sup>1.59</sup> 3	0 <sub>7</sub> 1.59 <sub>0</sub>
0 <sub>13</sub> <sup>1.64</sup> <sub>6</sub>	0 <sub>12</sub> 1.66 <sub>0</sub>
0 <sub>14</sub> 1.67 <sub>3</sub>	0 <sub>13</sub> 1.62 <sub>4</sub>
<u>Ave. 1.625</u>	<u>Ave. 1.62</u> <sub>3</sub>
si <sub>4</sub> -0 <sub>5</sub> 1.59 <sub>6</sub>	Si <sub>5</sub> -0 <sub>1</sub> 1.60 <sub>8</sub>
0 <sub>9</sub> 1.64 <sub>0</sub>	0 <sub>3</sub> 1.61 <sub>6</sub>
0 <sub>11</sub> 1.63 <sub>3</sub>	0 <sub>14</sub> 1.63 <sub>8</sub>
0 <sub>15</sub> 1.64 <sub>9</sub>	0 <sub>15</sub> 1.65 <sub>6</sub>
<u>Ave. 1.630</u>	Ave. 1.628

## Table 2.8

-

## Oxygen-cation interatomic distances

			0
0 <sub>1</sub> -Si <sub>5</sub>	1.60 <sub>8</sub> Å	0 <sub>2</sub> -S1 <sub>3</sub>	1.61 <sub>6</sub> A
Mn <sub>1</sub>	2.276	Mn <sub>1</sub>	2.249
Mn2	2.21 <sub>9</sub>	Mn <sub>2</sub>	2.33 <sub>5</sub>
Mn <sub>5</sub>	2.32 <sub>9</sub>	Mn <sub>3</sub>	2.13 <sub>9</sub>
0 <sub>3</sub> -S1 <sub>5</sub>	1.61	04-S12	1.58 <sub>9</sub>
Mnz	2.149	Mn <sub>2</sub>	2.25 <sub>3</sub>
Mn <sub>3</sub>	2.107	Mn <sub>2</sub>	2.266
		Mn <sub>3</sub>	2.282
0 <sub>5</sub> -S1 <sub>4</sub>	1.596	0 <sub>6</sub> -si <sub>1</sub>	1.64 <sub>4</sub>
Mn <sub>3</sub>	2.196	Mn <sub>1</sub>	2.112
Mn4	2.03 <sub>7</sub>	Mag	<sup>2.41</sup> 1
		Mn <sub>4</sub>	2.231
0 <sub>7</sub> -Si <sub>3</sub>	1.590	0 <sub>8</sub> -si <sub>1</sub>	1.59 <sub>9</sub>
Mn4	1.978	Mn <sub>1</sub>	2.148
Mn <sub>5</sub>	2.260	Mn <sub>4</sub>	2.878
		Mn <sub>5</sub>	2.267

## Table 2.8 cont.

Oxygen-oxygen interatomic distances of silica tetrahedra (in Angstroms). Distances of shared edges are underlined.

sł,	0 <sub>8</sub>	°9	$\mathfrak{d}_{12}$
	0 <sub>6</sub> 2.	81 <u>2.61</u>	2.54
	08	2.68	2.70
	09		2.61
Si2	0 <sub>1</sub>	0 <sup>0</sup> 13	0 <sub>14</sub>
	o <sub>4</sub> 2.	75 2.64	2.68
	0 <sub>10</sub>	2.63	2.58
	0 <sub>13</sub>		2.59
sı,	0 <sub>7</sub>	0 <sub>12</sub>	0 <sub>13</sub>
-	0 <sub>2</sub> 2.	75 2.64	2.72
	0 <sub>7</sub>	2.71	2.45
	0 <sub>12</sub>		2.59

## Table 2.9 cont.

S14		၀ <sub>ၐၟ</sub>	0,7	0 <sub>15</sub>
	0 <sub>5</sub>	2.72	2.65	2.61
	°9		2.65	2.62
	0 <sub>11</sub>			2.71

Si <sub>5</sub>	°3	0 <sub>14</sub>	0 <sub>1.5</sub>
	0 <sub>1</sub> 2.75	2.67	2.63
	0 <sub>3</sub>	2.67	2.65
	0 <sub>14</sub>		2.57

distances are also very close to values found in other silicate structures. The average of all Si-O distances (1.628 Å) is close to the value predicted by Smith and Bailey (in press) for metasilicates (1.623 Å) and to those in bustamite (1.623 Å) and wollastonite (1.626 Å, Prewitt, 1962).

The coordination polyhedra about Mn, Ca, Mg and Fe display some interesting features, however. First, note in table 2.7 that the Mn-O distances of  $Mn_1$ ,  $Mn_2$  and  $Mn_3$  (Mn occupies these positions) are all close to the average values for these cations, with no large deviations. This is an indication that these polyhedra are reasonably regular octahedrs.

Distances for  $Mn_4$  (Mg and Fe occupy this position with Mn) are irregular however. Three of the six distances are short (N 2.13 Å), indicating of course that Mg and Fe are distributed there. The  $Mn_4 - O_8$  distance (2.88 Å) is exceptionally long though. This indicates that the coordination of this cation actually approaches only five oxygen ions. The average  $Mn_4$ -O distances for these five oxygen ions is only 2.150 Å, at least 0.07 Å less than that for any of the Mn ions ( $Mn_{1-3}$ ).

The coordination polyhedron of Mn<sub>5</sub> (Ca occupies this position with some Mn) lies at the ends of the chain of ten edge-sharing octahedra. This coordination polyhedron is irregular, and consists of seven oxygen ions. The arrangement is unusual in that  $0_1$ ,  $0_7$ ,  $0_8$  and  $0_{10}$  (which are each coordinated to one Si ion and one or two other Mn ions) form four vertices approximating one side of an octahedron. However,  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$  and  $0_{15}$  (which are each also coordinated to two Si ions) form the other, irregular, side of the polyhedron. In Fig. 3.3 it can be seen that this polyhedron has vertices in two adjacent close-packed planes, of oxygen atoms, with four vertices in one plane and three in the other.

Interatomic distances. As noted above, the cation-oxygen interatomic distances (table 2.7) of the full refinement substantiate the ordering assumed at an earlier stage. The average cation-oxygen distances of  $Mn_1$ ,  $Mn_2$  and  $Mn_3$  are very similar, lying between 2.215 and 2.228 Å. Since these distances are almost equal, and since they agree well with other published average Mn-O distances (e.g. 2.203 and 2.245 Å in bustamite) it is very probable that Mn occupies these positions.

Although the average distance (2.27 Å) for  $Mn_4 = 0$ is higher than those of  $Mn_{1-3}$ , indicating that Ca (r = 1.06 Å) rather than Mg (r = 0.78 Å) or Fe (r = 0.78 Å) is distributed there, one distance of the six is extremely large. The average  $Mn_4 = 0$  distance excluding this is 2.150 Å, in good agreement with the assumption that Mg and Fe occupy this position.

The average distance for  $Mn_5$ -0 (2.42 Å) is greater than for  $Mn_{1-4}$ -0, indicating that Ca is distributed there. Note that the three distances involving  $0_{13}$ ,  $0_{14}$ ,  $0_{15}$  (each coordinated to two Si ions) are all much larger than distances involving  $0_1$ ,  $0_7$ ,  $0_8$  and  $0_{10}$  (each coordinated to only one Si ion and one or two other Mn ions). The long  $Mn_5$ -0 bond lengths and the unusual coordination of this cation clearly shows why Ca occupies this position, and explains why rhodonite may contain up to, but no more than 20 mol. per cent CaSiO<sub>3</sub>.

The analyses of Pajsberg rhodonite used in this investigation was actually an average of five analyses in which FeO, for instance, varied from 0.36 to 3.31%. There is therefore no guarantee that the specimen used actually contained cations in the ratios of the average analysis. Despite this, the conclusions on cation ordering are still valid and within the accuracy permitted by the data. Although this ordering is certainly not as complete or ideal as assumed from the peaks of the difference synthesis or interatomic distances, it is accurate in principle.

Conformity of rhodonite to Pauling's rules. Several of the Pauling's valency bonds show deviations from ideal values. All oxygen-cation distances are listed in table 2.8. The oxygen ions are of three types: A. Those coordinated by two Si ions and one "An" ion. B. Those coordinated by one Si ion and three "Mn" ions. C. Those coordinated by one Si ion and two "Mn" ions. If all Mn ions are considered to be octahedrally coordinated each Mn-O bond has strength  $\frac{1}{3}$ , while each Si-O bond has strength 1. Thus all type A oxygen atoms have an excess bond strength of  $\frac{1}{3}$ , those of type B are neutral, and those of type C have a deficiency of  $\frac{1}{3}$ . This is compensated, in general, by a lengthening of cationoxygen bonds of type A and a contraction of bonds of type C. For instance, the average Si-O distances for bonds of type A, B and C respectively are 1.648 A, 1.615 A and 1.599 A. A similar situation exists with Mn-O bond lengths, for which the averages are 2.477, 2.280 and 2.137 A respectively.

A feature of structures of pyroxenes and pyroxenoids is edge sharing between tetrahedra and octahedra. (Chapter 3). In rhodonite there are five such edges shared. In table 2.9 the distances along the tetrahedra edges are " underlined. The cation polyhedra and the shared oxygenoxygen edges are as follows:

This edge sharing results in a contraction of the length of the edge involved. The average length of all tetrahedra edges is 2.653 Å, while the longest shared edge is only 2.61 Å.

<u>Temperature factors</u>. The temperature factors in general (table 2.3) have values which are consistent with those of other silicate structures refined in the crystallographic laboratory. That is, those of Si are smallest ( $\sim 0.2$ ), the average of 0 are intermediate ( $\sim 0.5$ ) and those of the octahedrally coordinated cations largest ( $\sim 0.7$ ). Reliance cannot be placed on the absolute values of temperature factors, since they show a wide variation in structures refined in different laboratories with date gathered in different manners, but the relative values of this refinement seem to have some meaning. For instance the temperature factor of  $Mm_5(Ca)$  is 0.93. This is the largest of the Mn temperature factors, as expected from the unusual coordination of this cation.

The temperature factors of those four oxygen atoms with a deficiency of bond strength  $(0_3, 0_5, 0_7, \text{ and } 0_8;$ type C above) are the four largest oxygen temperature factors. Those for oxygen atoms with an excess of bond strength  $(0_9, 0_{12}, 0_{13}, 0_{14} \text{ and } 0_{15};$  type A above) are all smaller than the average, and include the smallest oxygen temperature factors. As noted above, bond distances may also be correlated with values of Pauling's valency bonds. Thus there is good correlation between deficiences in bond strength, small bond lengths and large temperature factors on one band, and an excess of bond strength, large bond lengths and small temperature factors on the other.

#### Chapter 3

#### Crystal Chemical Relations Among Some Pyroxenes and Pyroxenoids

Mineralogists have long recognized the close relationship of the minerals of the pyroxene and pyroxenoid groups. Structure determinations have shown that these minerals all contain chains of silica tetrahedra. Liebau has recently systematized these relations (see Chapter 2) and shown that all pyroxenes contain zwierketten, and pyroxenoids drierketten (e.g. wollastonite), funferketten (e.g. rhodonite) or siebenketten (pyroxmangite). Several of these structures have now been refined in detail and it is possible to find further relations among them.

It was noted in Chapter 2 that a unit cell with two axes of related magnitudes, and a third of length proportional to the tetrahedral chain, may be chosen for pyroxenes and pyroxenoids. This is an expression of the structural similarity of these minerals. All are based on an approximately close-packed array of oxygen atoms. Planes of octahedrally coordinated cations alternate with planes of tetrahedrally coordinated Si ions, between sheets of oxygen atoms. The tetrahedra each share two vertices to form a chain.

Figs. 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 are interpretations of the structures of clinoenstatite (zwierketten) (Morimoto et al., 1960) wollastonite (drierketten) (Buerger and Prewitt. 1961) and rhodonite (funferketten) respectively. Each is a projection onto the planes of oxygen atoms. Oxygen atoms are represented by vertices of polyhedra, all of which occur in only three planes parallel to the plane of the diagram. Tetrahedra are drawn with heavy lines, and two chains in one sheet are shown above the underlying sheet of octahedra. In each chain n + 1 tetrahedra are shown, where n is the number of tetrahedra in the repeat unit. In addition, the chains are not shown in the upper halves of the diagrams, in order to show the nature of the underlying sheet of octahedra more clearly. The magnitudes and directions of the unit translations are shown in the lower left of each figure.

The structures obviously differ in the number of tetrahedra in the repeat unit of the chain. In addition, the arrangement of cations in the octahedral layer is different in each, although there are basic similarities. Each octahedral sheet is defined by two layers of closepacked oxygen atoms. The cation occupancy of the octahedral sites is different in each. In the clinoenstatite structure,

## Figure 3.1

Projection along a of the structure of clinoenstatite. Coordination polyhedra are shown for one octahedral layer (below) and for part of the tetrahedral layer (above, heavy lines). Unit translations are shown in the lower left corner of the diagram.



## Figure 3.2

Projection along  $/\overline{1}0\overline{1}/$  of the structure of wollastonite. Coordination polyhedra are shown for one octahedral layer (below) and for part of the tetrahedral layer (above, heavy lines). Unit translations are shown in the lower left corner of the diagram.



## Figure 3.3

Projection along  $\sqrt{101/}$  of the structure of rhodonite. Coordination polyhedra are shown for one octahedral layer (below) and for part of the tetrahedral layer (above, heavy lines). Unit translations are shown in the lower left corner of the diagram.



 $\frac{1}{3}$  of the octahedrally coordinated sites are occupied, while in wollastonite and rhodonite, the fractions are  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{2}{7}$ respectively. In clinoenstatite octahedra share edges to form a chain four octahedra long. Such chains are bound together through octahedral edge sharing in a staggered manner to form a band whose axis parallels the chain of tetrahedra. Similar bands of octahedra are found in wollastonite and rhodonite. In wollastonite they are formed of three infinitely long chains of edge sharing octahedra which are bound together through further edge sharing. The chains of octahedra in rhodonite are ten octahedra long and they are bound together in a staggered manner to form the band.

All three structures are similar, then, in having a band of edge-sharing octahedra arranged parallel to the chain of tetrahedra, and separated from other bands by a rift of unoccupied octahedrally coordinated sites. In each diagram a single band is shown separated on the left from a portion of another band by the rift. These structures differ, however, in the arrangement of filled and vacant octahedrally coordinated sites.

Two chains are shown in each of Figs. 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3. In each, the chain on the right has vertices pointing down, bonded to the octahedral sheet. The chain on the left has vertices pointing up, with the triangular bases of the tetrahedra in the upper plane of oxygen atoms coordinating the cations. This chain lies above the rift in the octahedral sheet. There is, in fact, in all three structures, a second chain of tetrahedra arranged along the lower side of the rift. Tetrahedral chains are thus arranged "back-to-back" in each, separated by a column of vacant octahedral sites. The bands of octahedra are knit together by the chains of tetrahedra in this way.

As can be seen in the righthand chain of each figure, each chain fits over the octahedral sheet in a similar manner. That is, lower vertices of paired tetrahedra are bound to the octahedral sheet at either end of an octahedral edge. Thus, in each structure, the vertices of the tetrahedra follow, in zig-zag fasion, a continuous line of octahedral edges. Liebau (1956) proposed that the length of the repeat unit in the tetrahedral chain depends only on the size of the cations in the octahedral sheet. Thus clinoenstatite with a small cation  $(r_{Mg} = .78 \text{ Å})$  contains zwierketten and wollastonite with a large cation  $(r_{Ca} = 1.06 \text{ Å})$  contains drierketten. Rhodonite, with an average cation size less than that of wollastonite, contains funferketten and pyroxmangite with still smaller cations (but still larger than the average of pyroxenes) contains siebenketten. It therefore appears that clinoenstatite and wollastonite represent two extreme cases. If the average cation size is intermediate, the chain tends to have a longer length the smaller the cation. Belov's observations (1960) support this. He noted that in Mg metasilicates paired tetrahedra can "fit over" the smaller octahedron edges. (Fig. 3.1) In wollastonite three tetrahedra (Fig. 3.2) may correspond to a single edge. Rhodonite and pyroxmangite have chains which are combinations of these cases.

The three structures pictured in Figs. 1-3 have other features in common. None have Pauling's valency bonds exactly satisfied. This is caused principally by another unusual feature, the sharing of edges between octahedra and tetrahedra, shown in the lefthand chain of each figure. In clinoenstatite each tetrahedron shares one edge with an octahedron. In wollastonite, the tetrahedron projecting out from the chain shares two edges. Five edges are shared in rhodonite. Note that the top tetrahedron of the chain on the left shares two edges with Mn octahedra. The three tetrahedra immediately be-

low each share one edge with the polyhedron at the end of the chain of octahedra. This coordination polyhedron is unusual, however, in being an irregular polyhedron of seven oxygen atoms.

In each example of edge-sharing above, one of the two oxygen atoms is coordinated to two Si atoms and one other cation. It thus receives bonds of total strength two from the Si atoms, and  $N\frac{1}{3}$  from the other cation. This results in an excess of  $N\frac{1}{3}$  in each case. This is usually compensated by Si-O interatomic distances which are larger than average. Each structure also contains other examples of deviations of bond strengths from ideal values. These relations show that Pauling's rules should never be applied too rigidly, for considerable deviation from ideal cases is to be expected.

Other pyroxenes and pyroxenoids. The relations described above are generally applicable to all minerals of these groups. Protoenstatite and enstatite-type structures merely represent different stacking of units approximately similar to that shown in Fig. 3.1 (Morimoto et al., 1960). The diopside structure is merely a distortion of the clinoenstatite structure (Morimoto et al. 1960). The distortion causes coordinating of the cations (Ca) on the edge of the band to change from 6 to 8. The rift of vacant sites is much less well defined. This structure is unrefined, however, and detailed refinement may alter this interpretation.

Other pyroxenoids with drierketten include bustamite (CaMnSi<sub>2</sub>0<sub>6</sub>) and pectolite (Ca<sub>2</sub>NaHSi<sub>3</sub>0<sub>9</sub>), schizolite ((Ca,Mn)<sub>2</sub>NaHSi<sub>3</sub>0<sub>9</sub>) and serandite ((Mn,Ca)<sub>2</sub>NaHSi<sub>3</sub>0<sub>9</sub>), the latter three forming an isomorphous series. Bustamite is very similar to wollastonite as pictured in Fig. 3.2, except that chains of tetrahedra are displaced in alternating layers. Pectolite, etc., (Buerger and Prewitt, 1961) differ principally in the arrangement of cations in the octahedral layer.

Babingtonite  $(Ca_2Fe^{+i}Fe^{+i}HSi_5O_{15})$  is probably the only other known pyroxenoid with funferketten. Richmond (1937) found that the unit cell of babingtonite is similar to that of rhodonite. Liebau (1956) proposed that babingtonite contains double-chains of tetrahedra since it contains (OH), as opposed to the single chains in rhodonite. Weissenberg c-axis photographs of each show an identical arrangement of reflections and a similarity in intensities. It is thus highly likely that babingtonite contains funferketten. The presence of hydrogen probably results in a different distribution of cations in the octahedral sheet

as is the case in the wollastonite - pectolite relation. <u>Classification of pyroxenes and pyroxenoids</u>. It is obvious from the relations discussed above that the classification of these minerals into pyroxene and pyroxenoid groups is an artificial arrangement. Liebau has circumvented this with his classification of structures according to the repeat unit of the tetrahedral chain (zwier-, drier-, funfer-, and siebenketten). Such a classification is perfectly natural, as opposed to the old system which divided the minerals into groups with zwierketten and those with chains with a longer repeat unit. The terms used by Liebau have disadvantages, but are the best proposed until this time, and should be retained.

Phase transformations. Glasser and Glasser (1961) postulated a structural mechanism for the rhodonite-wollastonite transformation. They used crystals of Franklin, N.J. rhodonite, which upon heating transformed to "wollastonite". Using oscillating-crystal and optical goniometer studies of cleavage fragments before and after heating they noted that: 1. The external morphology of the crystals are preserved. 2. The two unit cell axes approximately normal to the silica chains (see Chapter 2) are retained. 3. There is about a 9<sup>\*</sup> difference in the orientation of the axis parallel to the

chains. They concluded that this proves that Si-O bonds are broken and reformed while (Ca,Mn)-O bonds remain intact. They further show that the planes of octahedra in both wollastonite and rhodonite are very similar and that one transforms into the other through simple distortion.

Both structures involved in the transformation have now been well refined and it is possible to review these conclusions in detail. First, it is obvious from the literature on the system CaSiO<sub>3</sub> - MnSiO<sub>3</sub> of Chapter 1 that rhodonite transforms to bustamite, not wollastonite. Since b-axis photographs of both are very similar, and since the transformed crystals were imperfect, this error is easily explained. Since bustamite and wollastonite are very similar this should not alter their conclusions however. Glasser and Glasser, postulate two possible mechanisms for the transformation: 1. Reorientation of silica chains and reconstruction of the (Mn,Ca) layers. 2. Reconstruction of the chains with simple distortion of the (Mn,Ca) layers. They conclude that the latter mechanism is correct. The silica chains almost certainly undergo reconstruction, based on the evidence presented. However, it was noted above that the arrangement of filled and vacant octahedrally coordinated sites is entirely different in these structures. A simple distortion is far from sufficient as a transformation mechanism. At least some of the (Mn,Ca)-O bonds must be broken and reformed, with migration of (Mn,Ca) ions. Glasser and Glasser present a detailed mechanism involving migration of only 7 of 15 Si ions and 4 O ions. It can only be concluded that although their conclusion on the reconstruction of the chains is probably correct, that the mechanism for the transformation is extremely complex and cannot be deduced from a simple comparison of the structure involved.

A similar situation exists in the johannsenitebustamite transformation. Here, too, the axes approximately normal to the chains are probably retained while the chain orientation changes. The arrangement of cations in the octahedral sheet is also different in each, as noted above. This transformation, then, must also involve reconstruction of both the sheets of octahedra and the silica chains.

#### Appendix I

DCELL: IBM 709/7090 program for the transformation of unit cell parameters and coordinates

When direct and reciprocal cell parameters and atom coordinates are known for one unit cell setting but desired for another, hand calculation or graphical determination may be time consuming under the following conditions: 1. The unit cell is triclinic. 2. Transformations of coordinates are required for many symmetry related atoms. An IBM 709/7090 program has therefore been written in FORTRAN to perform these calculations.

Calculations are performed in the following way. Transformed unit cell vectors a' b' c' are derived where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{3} \\ b^{1} \\ c^{4} \end{pmatrix} = (S) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

and a, b, c are unit vectors of the original cell and (S) is the transformation matrix. The magnitudes of a',b',c' are computed from relations of the type

and interaxial angles from relations of the type

$$\alpha' = \cos^{-1} \frac{b' \cdot c'}{b \times c}$$

Reciprocal transformed cell constants are then computed with relations of the type

$$\cos \alpha^{\pm 1} = (\cos \beta \cos \zeta - \cos \beta)/\cos \beta \cos \zeta$$
  
$$a^{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{a \sin \beta} \sin \zeta$$

The program then calls the subroutine SYMTRY which calculates the set of coordinates of all atoms in the original cell symmetry related to some atom I whose coordinates are supplied to the program. Transformed coordinates for this set of atoms are obtained with the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{i} \\ \mathbf{y}^{i} \\ \mathbf{z}^{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $S^{n-1}$  is the reciprocal of the transform of the matrix (S). This calculation is repeated for each atom, I, supplied as input data.

#### Directions for operation of DCELL

The following data cards must be supplied: 1. Title card. Format (12 A6) Cols. 1-72; Any identification information may be included. 2. Cell card. Format (3(F7.4, 3X), 3(F7.3, 3X))

cols. para		ameter
1-7	đ	Å
11-17	b	57
21-27	C	ie ie F
31-37	×	dagrees
41-47	β	<b>8</b> 8
51-57	۲	荣誉

3. Transformation matrix card. Format (9(F7.4))

(S) is the matrix of the cell transformation

cols.	parameter
1-7	s <sub>11</sub>
8-14	s <sub>12</sub>
15-21	<b>s</b> <sub>13</sub>
22-28	<sup>8</sup> 21
<b>29-3</b> 5	<sup>8</sup> 22
36-42	<sup>S</sup> 23
43-49	s <sub>31</sub>
50-56	<sup>\$</sup> 32
57-63	<sup>S</sup> 33

4. Coordinate cards. Format (3(F6.4, 4X), I1)

One card per atom

cols.	parameter	
1-6	18.	
11-16	У	
21-26	Z.	
31	Blank in all except th final card; integer 1 final card	e in the

### FORTRAN LISTING OF MAIN PROGRAM DCELL

	COMMON K
	DIMFNSION TITLE(12), DX(3), DY(3), DZ(3), AXNU(3), ZI(3,3), X(200), Y(200
	1),Z(200)
	READ INPUT TAPE 4,9,(TITLE(I),I=1,12)
9	FORMAT(12A6)
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,9,(TITLE(I),I=1,12)
	READ INPUT TAPE 4,10,A,B,C,ALPHA,BETA,GAMMA
10	FORMAT(3(F7.4.3X).3(F7.3.3X))
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,10,A,B,C,ALPHA,BETA,GAMMA
11	READ INPUT TAPE 4, 12,DX(1),DY(1),DZ(1),DX(2),DY(2),DZ(2),DX(3),D
	1Y(3),DZ(3)
12	FORMAT(9(F7•4))
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,121
121	FORMAT(24HOCOORDINATES OF NEW AXES)
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,12,DX(1),DY(1),DZ(1),DX(2),DY(2),DZ(2),DX(3),D
	1Y(3), DZ(3)
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,122
122	FORMAT(24HONEW CELL A B C AL BE GA)
	BSQ=B**2
	CSU=C**2
	$\Delta C = \Delta * C * C OSF (BETA)$
	BC = B * C * COSF(ALPHA)
	DO = 14  K = 1.3
	$\Delta X N U (K) = S O R T F (D X (K) * * 2 * A S Q + D Y (K) * * 2 * B S Q + D Z (K) * * 2 * C S Q + 2 • 0 * D X (K) * D Y (K) * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * $
	1K )* AB+2.0*DZ (K)*DX(K)*AC+2.0*DY(K)*DZ(K)*BC)
14	CONTINUE
	DO 22 K=1,2
	DO 21 J=2,3
	IF(K-J)19,21,19
19	ZI(K,J)=DX(K)*DX(J)*ASQ+DY(K)*DY(J)*BSQ+DZ(K)*DZ(J)*CSQ+(DX(K)*DY(
	J)+DY(K)*DX(J))*AB+(DZ(K)*DX(J)+DX(K)*DZ(J))*AC+(DY(K)*DZ(J)+DZ(K)
	2*DY(J))*BC
	ZI(K,J)=ACOSF(ZI(K,J)/(AXNU(K)*AXNU(J)))
	ZI(K,J)=ZI(K,J)/PI
21	CONTINUE
22	CONTINUE
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,23,AXNU(1),AXNU(2),AXNU(3),ZI(2,3),ZI(1,3),ZI(
	11,2)
23	FORMAT(4X,6(F7,3,2X))
С	ROUTINE TO TRANSFORM CELL AXES AND COORDINATES
	WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,25
25	FORMAT(35HONEW RECIPROCAL CELL A B C AL BE GA)
ŕ	T23=DX(1)*DY(3)-DX(3)*DY(1)

```
ZI(1,2) = ZI(1,2) * PI
                                                                  169
     ZI(1,3) = ZI(1,3) * PI
     ZI(2,3)=ZI(2,3)*PI
     COSA = COSF(ZI(2,3))
     COSB=COSF(ZI(1,3))
     COSC = COSF(ZI(1,2))
     SINA=SINF(ZI(2,3))
     SINB=SINF(ZI(1,3))
     SINC=SINF(ZI(1,2))
     ALS=ACOSF((COSB*COSC-COSA)/SINB*SINC)
     BES=ACOSF((COSA*COSC-COSB)/SINA*SINC)
     GAS=ACOSF((COSA*COSB-COSC)/SINA*SINB)
     AS=1.0/(AXNU(1)*SINF(BES)*SINC)
     BS=1.0/(AXNU(2)*SINF(ALS)*SINC)
     CS=1.0/(AXNU(3)*SINF(ALS)*SINB)
     ALS=ALS/PI
     BES=BES/PI
     GAS=GAS/PI
     WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,26,AS,BS,CS,ALS,BES,GAS
26
     FORMAT(4X, 3(F8, 5, 2X), 3(F7, 3, 3X))
     T11=DY(2)*DZ(3)-DY(3)*DZ(2)
     T12=DX(2)*DZ(3)-DX(3)*DZ(2)
     T13=DX(2)*DY(3)-DX(3)*DY(2)
     T21=DY(1)*DZ(3)-DY(3)*DZ(1)
     T22=DX(1)*DZ(3)-DX(3)*DZ(1)
     T31=DY(1)*DZ(2)-DY(2)*DZ(1)
     T32=DX(1)*DZ(2)-DX(2)*DZ(1)
     T33=DX(1)*DY(2)-DX(2)*DY(1)
     DELTA=DX(1)*DY(2)*DZ(3)+DY(1)*DZ(2)*DX(3)+DZ(1)*DX(2)*DY(3)-DX(3)*
    1DY(2)*DZ(1)-DY(3)*DZ(2)*DX(1)-DZ(3)*DX(2)*DY(1)
     WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,261
     FORMAT(41HONEW COORDINATES FRACTIONAL AND ANGSTROMS)
261
     READ INPUT TAPE 4,28,X(1),Y(1),Z(1),IEND
27
     FORMAT(3(F6 \cdot 4 \cdot 4X) \cdot I1)
28
     CALL SYMTRY(X,Y,Z)
     WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,28,X(1),Y(1),Z(1),IEND
     DO 31 I=1.K
     XX = T_{11} * X(I) - T_{12} * Y(I) + T_{13} * Z(I)
     YY = -T21 * X(I) + T22 * Y(I) - T23 * Z(I)
     ZZ = T31 * X(I) - T32 * Y(I) + T33 * Z(I)
     XX = XX / DELTA
     YY=YY/DELTA
     ZZ=ZZ/DELTA
     XXX = XX * AXNU(1)
     YYY=YY*AXNU(2)
     7ZZ = ZZ * AXNU(3)
     WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 2,30,XX,YY,ZZ,XXX,YYY,ZZZ
     FORMAT(5X,3(F8,4,2X),10X,3(F8,3,2X))
30
     CONTINUE
31
     IF(IEND)32,27,32
32
     CALL EXIT
     END
```

FORTRAN LISTING OF SUBROUTINE SYMTRY THIS EXAMPLE IS FOR SPACE GROUP P 1BAR

```
SUBROUTINE SYMTRY(X,Y,Z)
COMMON K
DIMFNSION X(200),Y(200),Z(200)
K=2
X(2)=1.0-X(1)
Y(2)=1.0-Y(1)
Z(2)=1.0-Z(1)
RETURN
END
```

Appendix II

Comparison of observed and calcu-

lated structure factors of bustamite.

.
				<u></u>			
	Н	к	L	FOBS	FCAL	AOBS	BOBS
	0	0		76.18	77.46	-76-09	-3.76
		0		40.49	37.30	40.24	4.48
	ñ	0	8	122.99	137.03	122.54	10.48
	0	0	10	42.47	38.78	42.26	4.24
	0	0	12	51.18	50.09	-51.06	-3.43
<u> </u>	0	0	14	17.05	14.11	16.48	4.38
	0	õ	16	38.05	37.12	36.68	10.12
	2	0	2	28.56	31.41	26.44	10.81
	2	õ	-4	97.99	106.45	97.80	6.09
	2	0	4	92.35	111.63	92.19	5.44
	2	Õ	-6	115.40	120.83	114.87	11.05
	2	0	6	16.09	· 13.56	-15.58	-4.0]
	2	0	8	37.13	35.21	-37.09	1.75
	2	0	8	20.09	19.73	20.02	1.73
	2	õ	-10	14.10	14.47	13.76	-3.06
	2	0	10	15.73	17.07	-12.09	10.06
	2	Õ	-12	61.80	63.65	61.53	5.78
	2	0	-12	61.80	63.65	61.53	5.78
	2	õ	12	33.88	32.68	33.33	6.10
	2	0	-14	53.89	53.35	52.92	10.17
	2	õ	14	12.76	12.26	12.41	-2.98
	2	0	-16	21.11	20.58	-21.06	1.52
	2	ŏ	16	7.58	7.23	-7.42	1.54
		0	0	26.10	60 - 16	-36.16	-1.42
	4	ŏ	2	30.78	32.17	30.78	-0.45
	4	0	-2	33.16	33.24	31.97	8.80
	4	õ	-4	67.25	68.41	66.44	10.40
	4	0	4	56.37	59.10	55.46	10.08
	4	0	· <del>-</del> 6	48.19	46.17	48.19	-0.47
	4	0	6	8.03	9.33	-3.23	7.35
	4	Ô.	-8	41.81	37.69	-41.74	-2.42
	4	0	8	12.00	9.32	-11.65	-2.86
	4	Õ_	-10	36.28	33.79	35.21	8.76
	4	0	10	26.75	24 80	-26.75	-0.42
	4	õ	-12	58.71	58.36	57.93	9.55
	4	<u>v</u>	12	75.96	74.61	75.35	9.61
	4	ñ	-14	20.66	19.57	20.66	-0.34
			7 /	12 10	10 57	0 (0	0 55

							173
	4	0	-16	6.05	7.37	-5.87	-1.46
	4	0	16	20.28	18.52	<u>20.18</u>	<u>-1.97</u>
	6	0	0	74.60	78.07	13.93	10.00
	<u> </u>	0		16.77	15.73	13.86	9.44
	6	0		58-81	56.42	-58.77	-2.27
	6	0	4	72.33	76.06	-72.30	-2.07
			6	6.61	1.96	6.33	-1.90
	6	0	6	39.66	36 • 75	38.56	9.27
	6	0	-8	66.29	63.27	65.46	10.43
	6	0	8	86.81	85.52	86.23	10.00
	6		<u>-10</u>	4/ 49	38.86	41.54	
	6	0	10	29.04	39.62	-39.00	-1.86
	6	0	12	12.66	11.24	-12.48	-2.13
	6	0	-14	3.63	2.74	-3.59	-0.56
	6	0	14	16.99	15.26	14.83	8.30
	6	<u> </u>	-16	40.79	39.96	39.87	8.62
	8	0	0	49.41	45.10	48.88	7.22
		0	2	55.84	52.03	54.50	12.17
	8	0	-2	17.58	16.52	17.23	-3.50
	88	0	-4	22.45	19.64	22.38	1.73
	8	0	4	0•	1.94	-0.	0.
	8	0		32.10	26 21	27 26	=2.32
•	8	0	0 0	2/040	12 22	-10.24	6.10
	8		<u> </u>	57.78	55.01	57.41	6.54
	8	0	<del>~</del> 10	25.89	26.42	25.73	-2.91
	8	0	10	40.42	38.73	38.95	10.82
	8	0	-12	6.93	5.88	6.73	1.67
	8	0	12	9.23	7.75	-9.10	1.57
	8	0	-14	23.64	20.64	20.87	11.10
	8	0	14	14.63	12.93	14.31	-3.06
	10	0	0	67.74	67.28	-67.64	-3.66
	10	0	2	11.21	19.10	11.29	3.60
	10	0	-2	07 11	98.43	96.46	11.26
	10	0	-4 4	105.44	115.05	104.93	10.39
	10	0	6	76.84	75.24	76.73	4.12
	10	Õ	6	5.43	3.81	1.76	5.14
	10	0	-8	52.78	50.64	-52.66	-3.54
	10	0	8	45.41	42.45	-45.26	-3.65
	10	0	-10	7.04	5.53	5.42	4.50
	10	0	10		37.17	39.21	<u> </u>
	10	0	-12	50.46	48.18	49.30	10.16
	10	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		23.09	3.64
	10 10	0	-14 0	45.79	44.69	45.39	<u> </u>
	12	0	2	13.60	14.44	13.26	-3.04
	12	0	2	82.43	84.63	81.75	10.60
	12	Ō	4	19.95	20.84	-19.88	1.68
	12	0	4	30.23	29.65	-30.18	1.77
	12	0	-6	14.46	12.24	-13.99	-3.67
	12	0	6	39.51	37.72	37.95	11.00
	12	0	-8	64•44	63•68	64•19	5.12

	A						174
1	.2	0	8	41.30	40.16	40.91	5.68
1	.2	<u> </u>	<del>-10</del>	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
L r	. 2	0	10	1.15	7.00	1.04	-3.23
	4	0	0	26.97	24.85	24.88	10.40
1	4	ŏ_	2	22.80		21.25	8 • 26
]	4	0	-2	17.06	18.18	17.06	-0.26
1	4	0	-4	4.36	5.16	3.97	-1.81
1	. 4	0	4	25.06	24.75	-24.96	-2.20
1	4	0	-6	5.63	7.64	-1.06	<u> </u>
]	4	0	6	20.97	21.088	20+97	-0.20
	4	0	8	19.14	17.99	16.58	9,57
r L	4	0	-10	1,28	0.89	10.95	-0.27
	4	0	10	20.53	19.22	19.14	7.42
1	4	<u>ŏ</u>	-12	13.39	15.73	13.30	-1.58
]	16	0	0	30.14	27.59	-30.07	-1.98
]	6	0	2	22.79	21.56	21.27	8.17
]	16	0	-2	23.05	25•44	-23.04	-0.60
]	6	0	-4	65.94	66.36	65.35	8.78
]	16	0	4	54.27	53.80	53.55	8.81
	6	0		10.13	14.00		-2 40
1	6	0	5 0	4.10	12.73	-12.95	-1.71
	LO	0		34.79	34.33	34.77	1.11
-	18	0	2	0.	8.69	-0.	-0.
	18	0	-2	15.83	13.36	11.15	11.24
	18	Ō	-4	6.63	6.93	3.85	5.40
	1	1	-3	28.55	25.70	28.42	-2.70
	1	1	3	30.75	32.10	-30-58	-3.24
-	-1	1	-5	18.07	16.09	-17.99	-1.67
	1	1	5	56.44	55,55	-56.30	-4.01
-	-1	1	5	43.91	43.09	-43.90	
				12 76	10 00	11.65	-5,21
-	•1 1	1	-7	14.43	11.60	14.40	-0.91
	- 1	1	7	25.32	22.91	25.32	-0.05
	1	1	7	25.64	24.65	25.64	0.26
	-1	1	<del>~</del> 9	32.66	30.84	-32.61	-1.77
	1	1	-9	6.48	4.68	6.19	1.90
-	-1	1	9	13.98	14.62	-13.60	-3.23
	<u> </u>	1	9	27.06	24.52	-27.05	
-	-1	1	-11	20.14	20.00	20.09	-1-82
	1	1	 11	9.40	8.48	8.78	-3.36
-	-1	1	11	9.75	7.30	9.07	
	- 1	1	-13	5.03	3.89	-4.95	-0.88
	<u>ī</u>	ī	-13	27.32	27.08	-26.99	-4.21
	-1	1	13	20.22	20.25	-20.21	0•49
	1	1	13	15.20	15.97	-14.82	-3.37
-	-1	1	-15	5.33	4.63	2.64	-4.63
	1	1	-15	3.07	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
-	<b>-</b> ⊥ 1	Ţ	15	9.32 19.00	4.75	20K	1.21
	3	1	12	21-60	19,88	21.69	0.25
	2	Ŧ	T	21007	T) • 00	~ . • • • / /	~~~

			<u></u>		175
3	1 -1	54.30	53.59	-54.20	-3.24
3	1 -3	25.59	25.70	-25.52	-1.84
3	1 -3	27.27	21.87	26.93	-4.30
	1 3	52.10	48.32	52.09	1.15
3	1 3	5.54	2•53	-5.38	1.34
3	1 -5	26.51	23.82	26.48	1.25
3	1 -5	8.01	4.27	8.01	0.23
	5	17.68	15.39	-1/.65	-1.05
3	1 5	17.66	15.74	1/•41	-2.91
	1 -/		10.40		
3		15 70	14 20	-15,13	-4.19
	1 7	29.73	29.79	-29.51	-3.61
2	1 ~0	23.17	19.75	-20.76	-4.13
3	1 -9	20.32	19.87	-20.14	-2.70
-3	1 9	24.41	24.79	-24.31	-2.24
3	1 9	0.	2.02	-0.	-0.
-3	1 -11	2.61	3.00	-1.36	-2.23
3	1 -11	7.51	4.00	1.02	-7.44
-3	1 11	11.57	12.11	11.53	0.94
3	1 11	20.37	20.57	20.34	1.04
-3	1 -13	9.65	8.92	-9.57	1.21
3	1 -13	5.86	1.95	5•80	-0.81
-3	1 13	2.54	1.40	2.54	-0.10
3	1 13	8.00	7.98	-7.80	-1.80
-3	1 -15	20.46	19.88	20.46	0.24
3	1 -15	4.69	4.07	4.36	$1 \cdot 74$
-3	1 15	7.99	8.01	<u> </u>	
3	1 15	5.25	3.11		-5.18
		32.13	10 05	22.22	-4.18
5 5		26.05	26.14	22.05	-0-54
		20.92	19.67	-20.73	-2.85
5		4.73	4.09	-2.05	-4.26
5	1 -3	11,91	10.23	-11.88	0.88
	1 3	9.24	7.49	8.95	-2.28
5	1 3	15.16	13.17	-15.15	-0.67
5	1 -5	3.95	2 • 80	-1.00	-3.82
5	1 -5	5.34	0.67	-4.79	2.36
5	5	6.71	4 • 80	-3.94	-5.43
5	1 5	10.72	9•00	10.66	1.17
	1 -7	29.61	26.43	-29.60	0.85
5	1 -7	6.85	7•42	6.09	-3.14
	17	1.12	1.89		<u> </u>
5	1 /	21.45	19.01	18.07	0.34
<u>= 5</u>	1	2 04	9.20	-7.50	-2,95
<b>5</b>	1 0	0 • U 0 2 _ R 2	7 • 4 7 7 • 4 7	<u>+2.70</u>	0.86
	1 9	14.30	13.83	13.76	-3.90
-5	1 -11	0	5.86	0.	-0.
5	1 -11	8.79	5.68	-8.75	0.84
	1 11	5.24	3.52	5.05	-1.41
5	1 11	14.20	14.17	-14.14	-1.35
-5	1 -13	13.38	13.02	-12.96	-3.34
5	1 -13	2.22	1•64	1.75	1.37

	<b>~</b> 5	1 13	6 • 25 2 - 88	6.94	-5.20	-3.46	
		1 <b>-</b> 15	4.59	0.55	0.53	4.56	
	5	1 -15	7.64	3.56	-4.21	-6.38	
	-5	1 15	9.77	10.44	-9.60	-1.84	
	5	1 15	3.93	4 • 84	3.86	-0.72	
	-7	1 1	0.	2.80	0•	-0.	
	7	1 1	14.19	12.90	-14.06		
	-7	1 -1	18.87	16.39	18.85	0.09	
		1	22.70	22.22	-23.70	0.30	
	-7	1 -3	21.28	20.63	21.27	-0.60	
	-7	1 3	5.92	4.03	-2.62	-5.31	
	7	1 3	24.75	24.25	-24.44	-3,91	
	-7	1 -5	7.60	6.64	6.72	-3.55	
		1 -5	24.33	22.92	-23.98	-4.10	
	-7	1 5	14.18	14.26	-14.17	-0.48	
	7	1 5	7,96	8.54	7.84	-1.36	
	-7	1 -7	16.81	13.48	-16.28	-4.19	
	7	1 -7	17.62	17.41	-17.43	-2.61	
	-7	1 7	14.07	13.08	14.02	1.13	
	7	1 7	6.62	1.61		4.21	
	-7	1 -9	16.73	15.34	10.73	1 49	
		1 -9	15.60	15.63	15.49	-1.88	
		1 9	12.79	12.28	12.76	-0.86	
	-7	1 -11	15.51	15.53	-15.48	0.95	
	7	1 -11	7.02	2.28	6.98	0.73	
	-7	1 11	18.01	16.48	-17.50	-4.25	
	7	1 11	23.57	22.81	-23.28	-3.69	
	-7	1 -13	0•	2•49	0•	-0.	
		1 -13	1.58	3.82	0.43	-1.52	
	-7	1 13	6•74	6•76	-6.65	-1.09	
<u>.</u>		1 13	5.48	2.85		-4.08	
	-7	1 -15	6.36	4.69	-3.98	-4.90	
	7	1 -15	7.26	4 34	6.98	2.34	
	- / 		14.64	12.07	-14.18	-3.66	
agaman da se te concentra de la terra de	<u>_</u>	1 1	6.47	1.75	<b>~</b> 5•12	3.96	
	-9	1 -1	23.34	21.23	-23.05	-3.66	
	9	1 -1	11.52	11.04	-11.41	-1.57	
	-9	1 -3	8.72	5.72	-8.72	-0.13	
	9	1 -3	6.40	4.77	3.55	-5.33	
	-9	13	20.71	18.65	20.71	0.31	
	9	1 3	3.09	1.72	2.72	-1.4/	
	-9	15	21.05	20.84	21.03		
	9		1.08	1		-1.07	
	9		<u> </u>	10.21	8.05	-3-04	
	<b>–</b> 0	⊥ 2 1 —7	26-36	10 • 4 I 24 - 36	=26-24	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	11.81	7.74	-11.62	2.09	
	- 9	1 7	25.11	23.00	-24.93	-2.97	
	9	1 7	22.07	20.80	-21.94	-2.40	
	-9	<u> </u>	0.	4.88	-0.	-0.	
	0	1 -9	23.94	21.58	23.93	-0.78	

.

-9	1	9	10.81	8 • 51	9.81	-4.54
9			7.27	1.73		-0 75
-9	1	-11	10.94	9 • / L 21 21	-10.62	-0.75
	<u>-</u>		1 00	2.11	=1.08	-0.12
	1	11	3,37	0.76	3.27	-0.06
- 9	1	-13	14.92	16.04	14.87	1.18
7	1	-13	2.31	2.20	0.38	-2.28
-9	1	13	17.81	17.04	17.77	1.21
9			11.65	11.97	11.26	-3.00
9	1	-15	9.14	9.42	-9.02	1.48
-9	1	15	11.49	12.50	-11.33	-1.90
-11	1	1	5.88	4.10	<del>~</del> 5•77	1.14
11	1	1	0.	3.39	0.	
-11	1	-1	14.98	13.83	-14.79	-2.39
11	]	-1	7.11	5.23	-5.02	-5.03
-11	1	-3	8.80	5.75	-6.79	
11	1	-3	18.33	19.03	-18.32	
-11	1	3	11.05	10.50	-11.05	-0.11
11	1	3	0.	0./3	(	2 22
-11	1	<b>⊷</b> 5	5.20	2.08	4.70	1 22
11	<b>İ</b>		24•11	7 21	5 78	-2.96
-11	1	<u>ち</u>	6.49	1 • 31	-0	<u>2</u> .90
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	11 65	11.00	-11.59	1,16
-11	1	-1	11000	11•00 8.97	-11•55	-1.56
<u></u>			19.09	18,29	-18-84	-3.08
-11	1	7	14.31	14.66	-13.99	-3.00
			9,24	8.97	9.13	-1.41
-11	1		6.63	6.90	5.34	-3.93
	1	9	10.57	9.33	10.56	0.54
11	1	9	9.56	10.66	9.21	-2.58
	1	-11	14.20	13.13	-13.62	-4.03
11	1	-11	25.91	26.51	-25.88	-1.17
-11	1	11	18.13	18.64	-18.12	0.62
11	1	11	0.80	2.71	-0.80	0.06
11	1	-13	20.93	21.51	20.87	1.60
-11	1	13	11.07	11.08	10.69	-2.86
-13	1	1	22.01	21.33	21.99	-0.96
13	1	11	23.64	24.43	-23.45	
-13	1	-1	5.47	4.66	÷5•34	
13	1	-1	27.44		2/.44	0.12
-13	1	-3	0.	1.30	-15 10	-0.85
13			20 40	<u> </u>	-28.24	-3.67
-13	1	3	20.40	20.02	-20+24	-3.23
	<u>↓</u>	<u>5</u>	12 67	11.74	-13.00	-4.24
-13	L 1		7-00 T2001	+ <b>1 = / 4</b> 2_25	3.75	-3.28
<u> </u>	<u>-</u> 1	5	5.11	6.42	-4.82	-1.70
-15	⊥ 1	5	0.	2.86		
	1	-7	0.	3.49	0.	-0.
	+ 1	7	17.78	19.01	-17.43	-3.50
	1	7	0.	8.33	-0.	0•
13	1	7	5.80	3.91	5.74	0.85
-13	1	-9	9.63	8 • 38	9•56	1.18

				<u></u>		178
13	1	-9	16.10	15.34	16.10	-0.28
<u>**_]3</u> 12	1	y	19+33		<u> </u>	
-13	1	-11	3.14	3.23	3.10	-0.47
-13	1	11	17.54	18.87	-17.25	-3.18
-13	1	13	7.78	8.28	-7.35	
-15	1	1	18.34	18.19	17.98	-3.62
15	1	1	22.27	23.75	-22.26	0.65
-15	1	-1	22.86	22.84	-22.79	-1.76
	<u>_</u>		<u> </u>	7 05	<u> </u>	0.86
-15 16	1	-3	12.29	12.28	-11-88	
-15	1	2	6.41	7.60	-6.33	-1.04
15	1	3	10.66	11.64	10•44	-2.14
-15	1	5	1.96	6.03	1.96	-0.14
15	1	-5	0.	3.33	-0.	
-15	1	5	5.82	6.09	5.73	1.03
15	1	5	11.69	10.69	-11.12	-3.61
-15	1	-7	10.33	10.90	9•85	-3.12
15		7	0	0.50	Q.	O•
-15	1	1	0.59	4.51	-0.58	-0.13
15	<u>/</u>		16 17	16.40	-16.00	-2.37
-15	1		3.78	4.62	-10.00	0.72
-15	1	9	5.88	3.77	-1.27	-5.74
-15	1		6.78	7.34	-6.56	-1.73
-17	1	1	4.67	5•91	4.66	-0.31
-17	1	1	6.93	8.30	-6.39	-2.69
17	1	-1	4.63	3.78	-1.87	-4.24
		-3	10.47		10.23	-2.21
17	1	-3	10.12	11.53		
	<u>↓</u> 1	<u> </u>	11.11	9.76	-11.10	0.56
	1	-5	6.45	6.22		1.02
-17	1	5	6.62	9.17	6.49	-1.30
-17	1	7	3.36	5.96	2.69	-2.02
0	2	-4	46.80	44.03	46.00	8.62
0	2	4	65.49	67.60	65.01	7.92
0	2	-6	4.90	5 • 45	4.33	-2.29
0	2	6	51.81	51.65	50.68	0_21
0	2	-8	10 52	2.83	(•12 -18,53	0.05
0	2	<u> </u>	9,79	10.18	<u>-1.56</u>	9.66
0	2	10	16.92	16.23	-16.72	-2.59
0	2	-12	30.31	27.34	29.23	8.03
0	2	12	66.01	78.46	65.72	6 • 20
0	2	-14	15.59	15.03	15•44	-2.15
0	2	14	36.51	42.09	35.59	8.15
0	2	-16	5.56	3.31	-5.55	0.36
0	2	16	17.51	24.20	<u></u>	0.27
2	2	0	131.24		130+91	7021 6.17
2	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u> </u>	72.48	71.70	<del></del> 71_97	9.42
- 2	2	+ 4	40-52	36.66		-3.86
	2	4	49.49	48.35	48.55	9.59
-						

						179
2	2	4	46.77	47.10	-46.64	-3.54
-2	2_	-6	46.74	42.81	45.50	10.68
2	2	-6	6.14	3.76	-4.80	3.83
-2	2	6	15.74	13.82	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
2	2	6	50.35	49.51	50.01	<b>5</b> .000
-2	2	-8	23.65		/3.63	
2	2	-8	65.52	66.21	64.58	-0.
	2	<u>8</u>	103.79	120.74	103.33	9.71
2	2	-10	11.94	10.20	-11.80	-1.80
2	2	-10	41.57	40.31	41.19	5.62
-2	2	10	3.02	9.50	-0.41	2.99
2	2	10	14.16	13.62	13.98	2.23
2	2	12	20.27	19.74	-20.00	-3.28
-2	2	-12	81.16	83.28	80.76	8.08
2	2	-12	43.38	42.84	-43.27	-3.02
-2	2	12	23.97	26.77	22.75	7.55
-2	2	-14	36.23	33.25	35.04	9.22
2	2	-14	2.14	2.17	0.60	2.05
-2	2	14	14.17	18.53	14.12	-1.18
2	2	14	18.16	17.49	17.38	5.27
	2	-16	23.70	23.09	-23.69	-0.73
2	. 2	-16	26.16	23.45	23.96	10.49
- 2	2	16	5.20	6.25	-5.15	-0.73
- 4	- 2	0	124.49	155.57	124.15	9.15
4	. 2	0	60.87	59.97	60.77	3.51
- 4	• 2	2	45.86	45.30	45.25	7.44
4	. 2	2	96.46	103.61	95.82	
4	• 2	-2	31.57	27.02	-31.28	-4.30
- 4	. 2	-4	42.18	40.78	-42.06	
4	+ 2	-4	60.67	57.48	60•47	4.98
-4	. 2	4	30.06	28.35		<u>-3.3U</u>
4	- 2	4	49.36	50 • 47	49.15	4 • 20
-4	<u> </u>	6	63.82	60.47	07.96	10.07
4	+ 2	-6	98.47	103.49	97.80	1 07
	<u> </u>	6		21 20		-3.80
4	+ 2	6	105 95	21039 112 70	105.27	10-05
		 8	26.31	22.56	-26.03	3.85
	r 2	-0	20•J1 54.14	56.62	53.13	10.43
	<u> </u>	8	55.01	54.48	54.91	3.32
-4	r 2	-10	8.58	8.23	8.53	0.94
4	. 2	-10	14.87	15.56	14.54	-3.11
-4	2	10	47.12	54.28	46.75	5.90
	+ 2	10	31.86	32.59	29.99	10.74
	+ 2	12	30.64	38.13	-30.56	-2.24
	+ 2	12	17.99	18.27	17.51	4•11
- 4	+ 2	-12	18.75	16.15	-18.51	-3.01
	+ 2	-12	29.59	27.62	29•24	4.55
	t 2	-14	24.09	21.72	23.10	6.82
4	÷ 2	-14	42.72	42.29	41.51	10.09
	+ 2	14	1.70	5.17	-1.68	0.28
L	4 2	14	0•	4.05	-0.	-0.
	+ 2	-16	22.44	21.83	-22.24	2.91
- 4	4 2	16	13.06	19.94	11.55	6.10

							180
	-6	2	0	69.77	72 • 7.7	69.61	4.74
	6	2	0	56.81	55.93		
	-6	2	2	30•24	25.53	-29.40	$-4 \cdot 42$
	6	2	2	90.75	07.74	89.09	10.89
	-0	2	-2	60.38	59.53	59.96	7.08
<u> </u>	6	2	-4	39.28	38.48	39.14	3.27
	-0	2		88.90	93.10	88.28	10.51
		2	4	39.89	38.17	39.75	3.39
	6	2	4	84.05	88.97	83.40	10.43
	-6	2	-6	19.73	17.80	-19.34	-3.92
	6	2	-6	62.00	60.60	61.99	1.12
	-6	2	6	87.85	108.65	87•34	9 • 42
	6	2	6	25.82	25.66	24.91	6.81
	6	2	8	64.44	62•94	64•26	4.19
	6	2	-8	47.35	45.88	-47.26	<u> </u>
	-6	2	8	13.36	12.75	-12-42	
	6	2	8	24.28			3.41
	-6	2	-10	30.90	28.53	28.60	
	6	2	-10	40.90		40.30	-2 67
	-6	2	10	10.44	13.46	10.09	1.03
	6	2		20.81	<u> </u>	1/ 52	2.62
	-6	2	12	14+10		56.50	9.72
	6		12		8.89	9.35	3.22
	-0	4	-12	9€09 51,81	53.37	50.92	9.54
	0	2	-14	5.93	4.56	4.53	-3.82
	-0	2	-14	24.80	25.12	24.78	1.06
		2	14	28.22	44.97	27.48	6.42
	6	2	14	18.51	18.66	17.56	5.84
<u></u>	-6	2	16	6.55	11.44	-6.13	2.32
	-8	2	0	62.21	65.56	-62.12	-3.37
	8	2	0	47.71	46.00	46.82	9.16
	-8	2	2	49.49	50.94	49.19	5.44
	8	2	2	31.78	30•42	31.72	-1.91
	-8	2	-2	45.21	46.70	45.15	2.40
	8	2	-2	57.35	55.74	56.48	9.91
	-8	2	-4	85.44	91.42	<u> </u>	
	8	2		51.09	48.19	78.30	9,95
			4	<u> </u>	54.58	-55.22	-0.93
	8	2	4	10.37	11.41	9.15	4.89
	<u> </u>	2		5.10	1.68	-0.35	-5.09
	Q	2	6	56.40	71.40	56.37	1.90
	<u> </u>	2	6	17.13	15.55	13.61	10.40
	-8	_ 2	-8	29.09	23.78	-28.81	-4.00
	8	2	-8	65.90	64•91	65.35	8.49
	-8	2	8	45.74	50.66	-45.64	-3.06
	8	2	8	52.13	48.93	51.37	8 • 88
	-8	2	-10	9.10	9.50	-8.83	2•20
	8	2	-10	62.35	59.44	61.65	9.35
	-8	2	10	26.18	29.84	25.71	<u> </u>
	8	2	10	10.47	8.77	10.21	7.84
	-8	2	12	44.29	<u>20.00</u>	42027	-0.95
	8	2	12	10.31	T0 00	-10.00	V # 2 #

	-8	2	-12	62.96	62.36	62.13	10.18	
	8	2	-14	10.55	9.24	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
	8	2	-14	19.48	18.24	-19.43	-1.45	
	-8	2	14	19.00	27.34	18.94	1.48	
	-10	2	0	37.64	36.12	36.78	7,99	
	10	2	0	7.68	8.59	-3.30	6.94	
	-10	2	2	59.68	68.52	58.97	9.20	
	10	2	2	38.99	38.32	37.63	10.21	
	-10	2	-2	24.99	25.82	24.86	-2.56	
	10	2	-2	10.63	10.69	10.37	-2.33	
	-10	2	-4	53.93	53.32	-53-93	0.25	
	10	2	-4	17.11	14.03	17.11	-0.02	
	-10	2	4	42.70	41.94	-42.70	0.22	
	10	2	4	17.32	16.69	-17.32	-0.09	
	-10	2	-6	30.42	27.62	28.29	11.18	
	10	2	-6	17.05	15.68	13.34	10.62	
	-10	2	6	14.82	12.96	-14.52	-2.97	
	10	2	6	19.89	18.69	19.73	-2.48	
	-10	2	-8	48.93	42.20	48.22	8.30	
	10	2	-8	7.04	8.33	3.30	6.22	
	-10	2	8	54.51	64.27	54.15	6.24	
	10	2	8	28.30	26.57	27.20	7.82	
<u></u>	-10	2	-10	5.30	4.22	4.41	-2.94	
	10	2	-10	0.	2.98	0•	-0.	
	-10	2	10	51.80	62.19	51.15	8.18	
	10	2	10	31.91	31.23	30.49	9.41	
	-10	2	12	8.83	14.31	-8.83	0.13	
	10	2	12	18./1	18.15	-18.71	-0.11	
	-10		-12	16.33	12.07		0.37	
	10	2	-12		20.91			
	-10	2			21.43	- <u>1/•11</u>	7 01	·
	-12	2	0	9•41 25 00	10.56	2.09	7.91	
	12	2		32.00	<u> </u>		-1 79	
	-12	2	2	7070 E0 22	0 • 1 0	9 • 0 U	-1.70	
	12	<u> </u>	2	26 20	24 70	25 00	0.62	
		2		30€38 6 35	24 • 1 2	50•09 -5 09	9.0Z	
	12	2	<u> </u>	22.73	22.85	-22.70	<u></u>	
	12	2		50.27	58.21	-22+10	10-68	
		2	<del></del>	16,19	15.76	16.14	-1.21	
	12	2	<del>ч</del> 4	61.43	62.72	60.57	10.24	
······································	-12	2		28.82	27.27	28.78	-1.55	
	12	2	-6	28.14	25.71	27.52	5.87	
·····	-12	2	6	12.28	11.93	7.98	9.34	
	12	2	6	11.22	9.34	11.00	2.22	
	-12	2	-8	29.85	24.89	28.15	9•94	
	12	2	-8	18.36	17.13	-18.10	-3.08	
	-12	2	8	12.82	15.44	10.70	7.06	
	12	2	8	36.41	35.40	-36.28	-3.11	
	-12	2	-10	33.99	31.37	32.77	9.03	
	12	2	-10	13.90	16.00	-13.80	1.63	
	-12	2	10	6.73	1.70	-3.49	-5.76	
	12	2	10	46.33	47.32	46.07	4.92	
	-12	2	12	10.78	18.44	10.76	-0.60	

							·
<u></u>	-12		-12	22.97	23.31	-22.95	-0.94
	-12	2	-12	33.43	34.03	32.14	9.21
	-14	2	0	25.09	23.83	-24.92	-2,90
	14	2	. Õ	33.68	32.41	33.43	4.13
<u> </u>	-14	2	2	16.30	17.46	-16.29	0.63
	14	2	2	Q	4.12	-0.	
	-14	2	-2	50.76	49.30	50.29	6.92
	14	2	-2	49.06		47.99	10.17
	-14	2	-4	60.56	62.32	59.78	9.69
	14	2	-4	12.45		<u>    11•88                              </u>	
	-14	2	4	51.40	56.07	50.55	2 12
	14		4	16.74			
	-14	2	-6	0.	1.0.60	-18-12	-2 • 87
	14			20 94	32.40	28.25	5.90
	-14	2	6	40.70	41.73	39.49	9.83
	14		0	35-51	33.28	-35.41	-2.70
	-14	2	-0	43.56	43.07	43.39	3.86
	<u> </u>	2	8	9.39	12.57	-9.18	-1.97
	-14	2	-10	52.95	53.01	52.60	6.10
	14	2	-10	31.51	32.50	30.11	9.28
	-14	2	10	12.03	18.19	-12.02	0.44
	-14	2	12	18.28	30.06	17.40	5.59
	-16	2	0	26.79	26.22	26.65	2.74
	16	2	0	49.84	52.72	49.02	9.03
	-16	2	2	45.47	49.90	44.47	9.49
	16	2	2	6.37	7.57	4.15	4.83
	-16	2	-2	7.78	6 6 88	-6.87	-3.65
	16	2	-2	24.29	22.39	24•26	1.21
<u></u>	-16	2	4	24.63	24.58	24.23	4.40
	16	2	-4	4.52	2.76	1.52	-4.20
	-16	2	4	14•11	15.16	24.20	10 23
	-16	2		35.81	5 64	94•29 •0-15	3.44
	16	2		14 12	17-65	=13.89	-2.55
		2	0	25.50	32.20	25.42	2.06
	<u> </u>	2	10	22.43	33.93	21.49	6.44
	-10	2	10	44.99	49.66	44.08	8.99
	-18	2	2	27.45	29.25	27.37	2.12
	-18	2	4	2.12	3.96	-1.36	-1.63
	-18	2	6	1.09	7.54	-0.87	0.65
	-1	3	-3	20.72	17.62	-20.40	-3.60
	1	3	3	16.63	15.75	16.59	-1.16
	-1	3	-5	11.52	9.73	11.48	0.97
	1	3	-5	4.45	3.84	-4.44.	-0.20
		3	5	13.51	11.79	16.02	1 15
	1	3	5	10.86	10 • 74		0.73
	-1	3	-7	10./5	25 57	26-27	-4.33
	1	3	-(	12 51	12.90	 	-3.66
		<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	0.54	0.20	-9.49	-1.19
	1	2	/ 	700 15,28	14.65	-14.95	-3.63
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	28.50	29.46	-28.37	-2.77
	⊥ 1	2	-7 Q	19-48	19.21	-19.45	-1.06
		2	<u>2</u>	0.	5.39	-0.	-0.
	T	2	2	<b>U</b> •		-	

							183
	-1	3	-11	0.	3.67	0•	-0.
	1	3	-11	16.59	15.29	16.52	1.07
	-1	3	11	16.81		10+70	-3.00
	<u>i</u>	3		8.46	10.38	-8.44	0.57
	-1	2 2	-13 -13	12.36	10.65	-12.35	0.56
		3	13	4.21	3.41	3.95	-1.47
	ī	3	13	15.66	13.74	+15.63	1.02
	-1	3	-15	24.95	23.38	24.91	1.35
	_1	3	-15	12.71	12.88	12.13	-3.80
	-1	3	15	0.72	3.60	0.13	-0.71
	1	3		7.85	6.27	<u>/.84</u>	1 92
	3	3	-1	13.70	$10 \cdot 14$	-13.50 10.77	1.02 
	-3	3		11.23	42 42	45-63	-1.29
	3	2		42.05	10.59	-11.31	-3.33
	- 3	2	3	32.29	33.86	-32.08	-3.72
	-3	3	<del>~</del> 5	10.33	8.30	-9.20	-4.69
·····	3	3	-5	54.55	55.31	-54.38	-4.24
	-3	3	5	. 0.	3.43	· 0•	-0.
	3	3	5	10.65	10.54	-10.46	-2.02
	-3	3	-7	15.01	14.16	-15.01	0.09
	3	3	-7	6.75	7.34	-6.52	-1.73
	-3	3	7	29.41	27.74	17 59	
	3	3	1	17.60	17.30	1/020	1.83
	-3	3	<u> </u>	5.74	1.70	-0.68	5.70
	د ح	כ ג	-9	11.67	10.19	-11.63	0.95
	3	 	9	10.23	8.77	-10.22	-0.40
	-3	3	-11	8.09	5.08	6.97	-4.10
	3	3	-11	29.93	29.78	29.93	-0.51
	-3	3	11	8.42	8.74	8.18	-2.00
	3	3	11	8.94	11.12	-8.54	-2.65
	-3	3	-13	12.61	11.75	-11.80	-4.45
	3	3	-13	10.97	11.39	<b>~</b> 10∙14	
	-3	3	13	10.74	12 00	-10.50	-2,28
	3	3	13	10074	12.00	-4.87	÷0.56
		3	-15	29.02	29.92	-28.94	-2.19
	-3	3	15	20.64	20.56	20.62	-0.87
	3	3	15	9.02	10.17	9.01	0 • 4 4
	5	3	1	24.08	21.37	-23.73	-4.08
	5	3	1	31.26	29.60	31.24	
	5	3		49.24	49.06		
	-5	3		0.	1.00	-0.63	-1-51
	2	2		18-62	16_21	18.34	-3.23
		ر د	2 2	29.85	20.18	-29.85	-0.38
		3	-5	33.09	30.70	-33.01	-2.35
	5	3	-5	0.	3.85	-0.	-0.
	-5	3	5	36.37	33.98	-36.37	0.53
	5	3	5	20.09	18.87	19.75	-3.69
	<b>-</b> 5	3	-7	12.98	11.27	-12.07	-4.18
	5	3	-7	15.20	12.40	19.00	0.36
	-5	3	7	18.01	16 • 75	TO•OT	0.50

 5	3	7	20,95	19.91	-20.75	-2.88	
-5	3	-9	7.97	7.39	7.92	-0.88	
 5	3	9	0.	3.92	-0.	-0.	
 -5	3	9	6.99	8.50	-6.58	-2.36	
5	3	9	5.03	5.38	-5.01	0.40	
 5	3	-11	4.91	4.68	4.59	1.74	
5	3	-11	34.38	35.80	-34.11	<b>−</b> 4•30	
 	3		21.07		20•78	<u>-3.50</u>	
5	3	11	4.07	4 • 43	4.00	-1.64	
<u></u> 5		-13	15.82	14.85	15.76	-1.32	
2	2	-12	13.66	13.75	-13.66	-0.10	
 <u></u>	 	12	7.13	6.05	6.41	-3.13	
-5	2	-15	9.98	10.95	-9.19	-3.89	
 5	3	-15	6.20	5.49	5.77	2.26	
-5	3	15	6.83	5.17	-6.74	1.13	
 -7	3	1	51.78	51.03	-51.74	-2.00	
7	3		15.48	14.38	15.14	-3,21	
 -7	3	-1	11.30	11.07	-10.56	-4.02	
7	3	-1	9.33	8.65	-8.47	-3.91	
 -7	3	-3	24.52	24.54	-24.49	-1.18	
 7	3	-3	11.02	11.05	-11.02	0.07	
-7	3	3	42.03	39•70	42.02	1.01	
 7	3	3	16.17	12.28	-16.16	0.67	
-7	3	-5	30.27	28.40	30.23	1.40	
 7	3	-5	11.47	10.45	11.39		
-7	3	5	15.90	15.54	-12.89	-0.43	
 	3	<u> </u>	17.48	18.07			
-7	3		2090	2.01	-18-91		
 		7	17.45	17.65	<u>=17.11</u>	-3.41	
	2	1	22.62	33.18	-32.51	-2.72	
 7		<u> </u>	25.86	23.80	-25.46	-4.53	
7	2	9	17.88	15.11	17.17	-4.98	
 -7	3	9	17.96	15.39	-17.69	-3.10	
7	3	9	25.55	24.38	25.33	-3.38	
 -7	3	-11	13.21	13.29	-13.09	-1.75	
7	3	-11	19.26	19.00	-19.26	-0.23	
-7	3	11	0•	1.44	-0.	0.	
 7	3	11	6.08	1.15	-5,95	-1.27	
-7	3	-13	14.19	13.33	14.08	1.13	
 	3	-13	21.52	10 00	21.52	0.36	•
- (	3	13		19 47	-18-26	-2.68	
 - /			15 15	14.94	-15.11	1.11	
-9	2	1	22.67	22.34	-22.49	-2.89	
 	2	<u>+</u>	28.63	25.79	28.61	-1.14	
~ 7	ر ۲		23-88	24.00	23.87	0.76	
 -9	3	-3	25.20	22.70	-24.83	-4.33	
9	2		0.	3.69			
 9	3	3	13.33	11.06	-13.24	-1.53	
9	3	3	8.71	7.00	-7.56	-4.33	
 -9	3	-5	11.78	9.95	11.52	-2.48	
9	3	-5	7.51	5.87	6.16	-4.30	
-9	3	5	7.31	6•08	-5.77	-4.48	

						185
 9	3	5	8 • 42	9.09	8•42	-0.23
 -9	3	-7	31.32	23.83	-31.28	1.62
9	3	-7	25.46	25.40	-25.21	-3.59
 -9	3	7	14.23	14.27	-14.11	-1.85
9	3	7	6.36	1.93	-5.70	2.83
 -9	3	-9	28.48	27.80	28.48	-0.22
9	3	-9	16.53	14.02	16.51	0.76
 -9	3	9	18.61	15.62	18.58	1.10
9	3	9	14.77	16.14	14.67	-1. (5
 -9	3		0.		<b></b>	<b></b>
9	3	-11	8.15	5•41	1.93	1.00/
 	3		33.18	<u> </u>		-2 22
9	3	11	27.60	28.18	<i>₩21</i> •40	-2 $77$
 9		<u> </u>	0 54	<u> </u>	7 27	-4.48
-9	3	13	0 • 24 10 07	0 • 40 19 72	10-8/	-4.40
 		1	23 34	20.55	-23.30	1.28
11	2		25+54	2.92	-25.50	1.20
 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	13.20	13.05	13.20	-0.30
1	2		5.82	4.00	5.82	-0.20
 11			6.96	7.03	-5.84	-3.79
	2	3	15.52	15.38	-15.07	-3.69
 11	3	3	8.42	9.69	8.25	-1.66
-11	3	<del>~</del> 5	12.67	12.49	12.16	-3.56
 11	3	-5	0.	2.70	0•	-0.
-11	3	5	5.99	4.28	5.83	-1.37
 11	3	5	8.94	5.96	-7.10	-5.43
-11	3	-7	24.96	23.14	-24.77	-3.10
11	3	-7	7.83	3.77	-7.39	2.60
 -11	3	7	16.95	16.98	-16.92	1.01
11	3	7	0.	2.03	0•	-0.
 -11	3	-9	24.55	22.85	24.54	0.85
11	3	-9	3.58	3.86	3.56	0.40
 -11	3	9	32.80	29.90		<u> </u>
11	3	9	11.57	9.93	-11+52	
 -11	3	-11	(.52	6.11	-1.48	-2.02
11	3	-11	6•44 10 FF	8.04		-30UZ
 -11	3	12	15 85	15.80	-15.76	-1.66
-11	ر د	10		21 70	21-46	-3.24
 -13	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	3.24	<u> </u>	3,13	-0.83
-13	2	_1	31.54	30.25	-31.40	-2.99
 13	2	<u> </u>	3.90	4.33	-1.68	-3.52
-13	2		4.26	7.65	4.25	0.24
 13	3	-3	25.08	23.98	-25.02	-1.78
-13	à	3	22.00	3.07	0	
 13	3	3	10.52	10.44	10.46	1.16
-13	3	-5	7.12	5.96	7.09	0.70
 13	3	5	0.	4.78	0.	0.
-13	3	5	15.60	14.10	15.58	0.86
 13	3	5	18.07	16.62	-18.04	-1.07
-13	3	-7	3.25	4.96	2.67	-1.86
 13	3	-7	16.53	14.90	16.52	-0.59
 -13	3	7	13.18	11.80	-12.97	-2.32
			4 70	2 0 2	-2.12	-4.29

 						•
 _13	2		8-83	6.69	-7.59	-4.51
-13	a	9	5,58	5.93	4.00	-3.89
 -13	3	9	4.19	3.54	-0.62	-4.14
-13	3	11	2.80	2.35	2.62	-1.00
 -15	3	1	1.52	2•40	1.49	0.31
15	3	1	14.63	14.85	-14.19	-3.58
 -15	3	-1	16.81	14.71	-16.55	-2.96
15	3	-1	13.29	12.62	13.27	-0.77
 -15	3	-3	12.12	12.08	11.64	-3.36
15	3	-3	2.02	1.85	1.29	1.55
 -15	3	3	14.41	12.26	-14.41	0.33
 15	3	3	7.82	7.29	-7.52	-2,16
-15	3	-5	9.29	10.42	-9.29	-0.23
 15	3	-5	0.	3.30	0.	-0.
-15	3	5	5.99	5.57	5.20	-2.98
-15	3	-7	1.48	1.46	-1.04	1.05
-15	3	7	8.08	8.84	7.57	-2.83
 -15	3	9	4.12	1.48	4.12	-0.20
-15	3	11	11.98	11.62	-11.95	0.82
 -17	3	11	16.72	13.89	16.71	
-17	3	-1	0.	2•41	-0.	0.
 -17	3	-3	10.72	10.82	-10.58	-1.(5
-17	3	3	18.33	17.71	-18.02	-3.33
 -17	3	-5	21,90	18.74	-21.51	4_13
-17	3	5	15.30	15.23	-15.10	-2.45
 -17	3	7	3.60	1.44	-3.36	1.28
0	4	-4	87.69	88.31	87.37	/ • 48 7 15
 0	4	4	62.75	66.55	62.34	
0	4	-6	58.19	55.39	57.18	10.80
 0	4	6	10.13	7.79	9.51	
0	4	-8	14.57	13.42	-14.50	0.50
 0	4	88	0.	0.38	()	
0	4	-10	7.28	9.39	1.01	$-1 \cdot 14$
 0	4	10	9.69		-0.50	7 1/1
0	4	12	34.16	32 • 12	55•41 52 07	I ● ⊥ <del>*</del> 6 66
 0	4	-12	54.38		<u> </u>	9.28
0	4	-14	42.21	40.51	41.10	
 0	4		12.02	0.24	-12,82	-1.71
2	4	0	12093 504	9.20	-12.02	-1.47
 	4	2	7,22	15.97	5.92	4.30
2	4	-2	51.49	47.41	-51.36	-3.63
 	4	<u> </u>	62.50	60.52	61.80	9.33
	4 /i	-4	54.69	52.48	-54.57	-3.65
 	<del></del>	<del></del>	53.47	54.02	52.70	9.04
-2	4		37.79	35.75	37.37	5.59
 	<del>4</del>		25,55	23.09	25.51	-1.46
2	-+	6	11,22	10.65	10.90	2.66
 <u> </u>	<del>4</del>	6	15.41	13.66	11.38	10.39
ے 	<del>ч</del> А		96.91	101.51	96.36	10.27
 <u> </u>	<del></del>	-8	29.67	27.03	-29.65	-1.13
	4	8	94.14	99.66	93,56	10.46
 2	4	8	7.43	5.23	-7.21	-1.79
	۰. ۲	-10	9.27	6.16	8.51	3.67
 2	4	-10	40.12	38.38	39.11	8.93
۲.	т	~ V				

			10	34.58	32.86	34.17	5.31
	2	4	10	18.61	16.84	-18.54	-1.57
	-2	4	12	29.83	29.07	-29.65	-3.29
	2	4	12	48.19	46.37	47.42	8.59
	-2	4	-12	27.07	24.73	-26.90	-3.07
	2	4	-12	39.19	36.02		8.74
	-2	4	-14	17.39	15.96	16.67	4.96
	2	4	-14	21.95	21.05	21.93	
	-2	4	14	3.67	2.24	0•25	3.66
	2	4	14	23.76		22.05	8.84
	4	4	0	70.45	69.25	69.62	
	_4			19.98	16.8/	14.05	7 95
	4	4	-2	10.14	14.00	14.05	4.95
	-4	_4		50.26	<u>    49  24   </u>	-56.44	
	4	4		50+51 (7 30	22070		4.89
		4	<u>4</u>	20 50	26 71	-39.39	-2.98
	4	4	4	5 00	50.71	-5,06	-3,21
	<u>-4</u>	<u>4</u>		25.69	23.16	25.68	0.80
	4 	4	-0	76.05	76.35	75.20	11.32
	<u>-4</u>		6	9.85	9.70	6.79	7.13
	-4	4	8	20.74	19.03	20.49	3.22
<u></u>	4	4	8	44.01	38.20	42.49	11.46
	-4	4	8	5.40	7.24	-4.94	2.18
······································	4	4	8	76.49	78.74	75.85	9.84
	-4	4	-10	9.97	10.44	0.55	9.95
	4	4	-10	38.18	35.89	37.53	7.00
	-4	4	10	1.54	4.82	-1.10	-1.08
	4	4	10	2.10	2.73	-2.06	0.41
	-4	4	12	41.10	40.10	40.85	4.56
	4	4	12	12.95	9•53	-12.48	-3.45
	-4	4	-12	24.38	22.75	23.93	4.61
	4	4	-12	35.81	36.22	-35.75	-2+13
	-4	4	-14	3.40	2.70	0.34	<u></u>
	4	4	-14	3.50	2.99	3.40	
	-4	4	14	53.18	27 02	-21 47	-3.31
	-6	4	0	31.64	21.03	-21+41	5.96
		<u>4</u>	2	<u> </u>	10.39	6.70	6.34
	-0	4	2	55.69	56 • 50	54.61	10.90
	-6	 	-2	19.65	18.60	19.63	0.89
	-0	4	-2	22.32	21.27	22.05	-3.46
		4	-4	61.23	60.70	60.28	10.73
	6	4	-4	9.27	7.94	8.68	3.26
	-6	4	4	65.00	63.21	64•04	11.12
	6	4	4	5.56	4.14	4.17	3.68
	-6	4	-6	7.35	7.66	-3.29	6.57
	6	4	6	40.28	36.25	38.50	
	-6	4	6	39.86	35.49	39.85	0.85
	6	4	6	8.65	6.47	/•41	
	-6	4	8	17.05	14.80	-16.79	-2.99
	6	4	-8	12.88	13.85	-12.12	4.30
	-6	4	8	39.63	36.41	-39•51 50 04	
	_6	4	8	51.0/	49.30	-6 27	0.86
	-6	4	-10	0.43	0.20	-0.51	0.00

						188
 6		-10	12.49	13.19	12.20	-2,69
 -6	4	10	36.02	34.75	35.35	6.93
6	4	10	30.78	29.51	28.86	10.70
 -6	4	12	43.37	41.64	42.15	10.22
6	4	12	8.33	8.36	7.97	2.42
 -6	4	-12	59.66	57.73	<u> </u>	9.66
6	4	-12	16.34	13.91	16.07	2.98
 	4	-14	12.00	9.04	9.04	
-6	4	14	22.31	21.22	22+30	
 	4	0	58.21		5/ 62	-2 20
8	4	0	56.11	27.447 27.10		-5.20
 8	4		<u> </u>	59.27	58.89	2.59
8	4		10 02	7 50	9.80	-2.11
 _ <del>8</del>	4		26.66	25.76	26.17	5.08
8 	4	-2	40.42	37.22	-40.40	-1.13
 <u> </u>	<del></del>	-4	79.87	78.68	79.14	10.75
-8	4	4	47.23	43.20	-47.21	-1.25
 8	<del></del>	4	71.21	75.05	70.49	10.13
-8	4	-6	33.60	29.45	31.97	10.34
 8	4	-6	40.49	36.82	40.39	2.85
<del>-</del> 8	4	6	8.98	8.34	8.81	-1.73
 8	4	6	23.95	23.19	23.44	4.91
-8	4	-8	72.11	67.26	71.55	8.95
8		8	33.74	31.25	-33.60	-3.12
 -8	4	8	38.46	33.56	37.16	9.90
8	4	8	38.16	37•46	-28.02	-3.24
 -8	4	-10	8.08	7.07	-7.94	-1.50
8	4	-10	13.77	11.18	12.59	5.51
 -8	4	10	48.58	45.96	47.67	9.34
8	4	10	23.10	22.06	22.97	2.49
 -8	4	12	26.88		-26.86	
	4	-12	9.14	7.51	-9.09	-1.00 0.59
 8	4	-12	44.53	43.70	42047	
-8	4	14	6.84	5•20	-0.58	- <u>1</u> 00
 -10	<u>     4                               </u>	0	<u> </u>	46.30	//6-38	6.88
10	4	0	40.07	25.52	26.20	-2.62
 <u>-10</u>	4	2	12.49	12.11	12.22	-2.58
-10	4	2	50.33	46.75	49.13	10.92
 10	<u> </u>	2	53.49	52.77	52.57	9.89
-10	4		8.36	7.14	-8.36	0.25
 10	4	-4	12.66	10.56	-12.64	0.69
-10	4	4	5.42	1.58	-5.39	0.58
 10	4	4	27.29	27.17	-27.29	0•49
-10	4	-6	20.57	19.15	20.42	-2.51
 10	4	-6	6.85	7•59	-6.53	-2.06
 -10	4	6	35.03	30.32	33.05	11.62
 10	4	6	36.26	35.70	34.94	9.69
 -10	4		51.61	46.18	51.01	7.82
10	4	-8	55.70	55.21	55•32	6.46
 -10	4	8	8.17	7.60	2.54	7.76
10	4	8	30.14	29.76	29.43	6.51
					27 00	
 -10	4	-10	28.93	26.04	2/00	

						•
 -10	4	10	14.21	15.15	14.03	-2.26
-10	4	12	9.24	6.16	9.24	0.24
 -10	4	-12	4.15	2.63	-4.12	0.47
 -12	4	00	49.38	49.25	-49.27	-3.27
12	4	0	23.86	22.24	22.15	8.86
 -12	4	2	0_	3.03	17 00	0 / 0
12	4	2	19.19	19.08	11.00	5.10
 -12	4	-2	10.80	9.54	10.73	-1.25
-12	4 4	- <u>-</u> 4	68.78	69.65	67.99	10.39
 12	<del>_</del>		6.29	2.63	5.70	-2.67
-12	4	4	72.58	71.04	71.75	10.97
 12	4	4	8.52	8.33	-8.43	-1.26
-12	4	-6	4.99	3.13	3.58	3.48
 12	4	-6	15.54	14.24	12.91	8.65
 -12	4	6	57.84	55.17	57.59	5.41
12	4	6	3.32	4.00	3.17	-0.97
 -12	4	8	37.06	32.71		-3.32
12	4	-8	37.01	34•41	36.04	8.40
 -12	4	8	29.70	20.00	<u></u>	5.14
-12	4	-10	42.22	20.90	41091	1.44
 -12	4	10	<u> </u>	43.96	43.14	9.87
-12	4	12	21.68	29.98	31.35	4.56
 <u> </u>	4 	0	31.79	31.31	-31.71	-2.29
-14 -14	4 4	2	62.04	61.68	61.12	10.67
 -14	4	-2	4.11	4.25	2.64	-3.15
14	4	-2	2.30	3.88	-2.28	0.27
 -14	4	-4	13.30	13.76	-13.00	2.82
 14	4	-4	48.20	47.36	47.34	9.05
 -14	4	4	0•	3.26	0•	0.
 14	4	4	13.50	46.50	13.25	2.59
-14	4	-6	42.63	40.08	41.20	10.14
 -14	4	6	22.82	21.14	21 0/	<u> </u>
-14	4	-8	21•52 43 04	19010	42.73	4.22
 -14	4	10	42.94	47.30	47.45	10.23
-14	4	10	32.49	31.86	30.94	9.91
 <u>-16</u>	<del></del>	2	16.63	13.25	16.60	1.06
-16	4	-2	11.82	10.85	9.75	6.68
 -16	4	-4	18.31	16.72	-18.09	-2.83
 -16	4	4	0.71	2.72	-0.10	-0.70
-16	4	-6	18.53	18.72	18.51	0.84
 -16	4	6	3.05	6.33	0.83	2.93
-16	4	8	46.82	47.10	40.88	9.55
 -18	4	0	18.41	21 70		-1.48
-18	4	2	21.07	410	20.41	-4.55
 <u>+</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	20.91	37.89	-35.47	-3.57
- L 1	יב ג	د د	6.32	7.31	6.31	0.35
 <b></b> 1	5	<u> </u>	61.06	60.34	-60.89	-4.49
- <u>1</u>	5	-5	16.95	14.76	16.95	0.16
 - 1	5	5	4.48	2.67	-1.35	-4.27
1	5	5	28.87	28.99	28.70	-3.14
-1	5	-7	3.26	3•71	-3.17	-0.75

	1	5	-7	5.57	2.02	3.30	4.49	F
	-1	5	'	35.76	37.86	35.75	0.68	
	1	5	7	17.69	18.69	-17.43	-3.01	
	1	5	-9	10.69	9.70	10.46	2.19	
	1	5	-9	29.76	30.20	-29.65	-2.60	
	1	5	9	24.37		24.37		
	1	5	9	14.65	13.69	-14.65	0.08	
	1	<u> </u>		0 17		0.60	-( 12	
_	1	כ ה	-11	0.11	0 • / 0 2 0 2	-7.00	-4.12	
	1	5	11	20.24	22.56	20.23	0.59	
-	1	5	-13	28.04	28.25	#27.66	-4-60	
	]	5	-13	11.64	12.29	11.64	-0.04	
	-1	5	13	10.54	11.78	-10.22	-2.56	
	1	5	13	6.23	5.12	5.63	-2.66	
	3	5	11	43.16	41.14	42.99	-3.80	
	3	5	-1	14.28	12.64	-13.81	-3.65	
	3	5	-3	23.68	20.85	-23.66	1.05	
	3	5	3	0•	0•90	0•	0.	
<b>**</b>	3	5	-5	20.03	20.48	-20.01	-0.99	
	3	5	-5	5.63	3.77	5.46	1.39	
<b></b>	3	5	5	44.80	43.73	-44.78	1.16	<u></u>
	3	5	5	9.04	8.57		0.98	
	3	5	<u> </u>	18.19	26 50	27 77	-2 75	
	2	С С		20.02	20.00	21011	-3.15	
<b></b>	2	2	(7	24 76	22.50	-24.64	-0.03	
_	2	5		24070	23.59	-19.05	-2045	
	2	5	<u> </u>	6.25	5.25	-4.26	-4.58	
_	.a	5	q	7.46	7.99	-6.71	-3.25	
	3	5	9	28.44	26.56	28.19	-3.73	
	•3	5	-11	12.79	14.15	12.67	1.78	
	3	5	-11	12.21	11.97	-12.18	0.91	
	.3	5	11	13.11	12.63	12.89	-2.38	
	3	5	11	1.75	3.03	1.70	-0.40	
<u></u>	.3	5	-13	10.91	9.84	-10.90	-0.49	
	•3	5	13	23.64	21.68	-23.63	0.67	
	5	5	<u> </u>	20.27	18.96	-20.12	-2.43	
	5	5	-1	18.93	19.37	18.88		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		20.00	22 61	22 77		
_	5	5	- 2	51.88	51.20	51.87	1.24	
	5	5	3	35.25	35.65	-35.04	-3.86	
	.5	5	-5	44.66	41.58	44.63	1.58	
	5	5	-5	27.03	25.41	-26.67	-4.40	
	.5	5	5	14.11	13.12	-14.01	-1.66	
	5	5	5	16.61	16.21	16.59	-0.80	
	.5	5	-7	15.92	15.44	15.92	0.03	
	5	5	-7	21.15	21.40	-20.95	-2.92	
	•5	5	7	29.37	31.04	-29.16	-3.53	
	5	5	7	11.40	11.70	11.35	1.09	
	· <u>5</u>	5	-9	28.32	25.30	-27.94	1 71	
	<b>&gt;</b>	כ ר	9	12.32	14.08	10.24		
۵۵۵ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ -	<u>5</u>	2	<u> </u>		1 - 41		-0-	
	مىد	2	,	V •	▲ ♥ T ▲	~ •	~ *	
						-		

	12	 		6 62	6 . 40		-2.97
	9 5	י ב	-11 -11	22.51	23.50	22.50	0.60
		5	11	14.31	14.17	14.28	0.94
		5	11	31.50	33.00	-31.33	-3.30
and the second s	-5	5	-13	5.18	5.52	4.96	1•48
	-5	5	13	4.90	6.34	4.87	-0.52
	-7	5	1	32.01	29.86	-31.99	1.04
	7	5	11	6.43	4.14	-6.00	2.32
	-7	5	-1	47.98	47.88	47.98	0.18
	7	5	1	18.80	18.73	-18.77	<u></u>
	-7	5	-3	12.59	14.22	12•17	-3.23
	7	5	-3	6.33	4.42	10.74	-2 42
	-7	5	3	18.90	19.07	-18.74	
	7	5	3	4.11	2.53	-3.50	-1 54
	-7	5	-5	2.23	4.68	-0.59	
	7		<u></u>		16.94	10 26	-/- 07
	-7	5	5	18.81	10.02	10.30	
		<u> </u>		9.10	38 40	-40.46	1.00
	- (	5	-1	40.47	2 42	-40.40	3,23
		<u> </u>		10.42	18.25	19.42	-0.70
	- 1	2 5	7	20.81	19.54	-20.74	-1.75
<u></u>	7	5		20.01	33.48	33.98	0.92
	- 1	5		5.95	7.16	5.94	-0.35
	<u> </u>		9	4.52	5.17	-4.44	0.84
	7	5	?	10.88	8.70	-10.81	1.23
	-7	5	-11	14.30	14.10	13.87	-3.50
	7	5	-11	15.59	18.16	-15.10	-3.86
	-7	5	11	18.20	19.16	-18.14	-1.43
	-7	5	13	1.35	4.58	-0.90	-1.01
	-9	5	1	21.46	21.98	21.23	-3.14
	9	5	1	15.92	14.16	15.76	-2.22
	-9	5	-1	8.54	6•75	8.52	0.52
	9	5	1	16.08	17.71	-15.63	-3.76
	-9	5	-3	21.66	18.74	-21.63	1.07
	9	5	-3	30.15	29.82	-30.14	-0.83
	-9	5	3	4•28	5.17	3.35	-2.00
	9	5	3	7.75	1.93	1.66	-2 20
	-9	5	5	3.15	2007 21 07	-1•80 21 05	1.93
	9	<u>&gt;</u>	<u></u> 5	17 24	16.84	-17,24	0.14
		ງ -	2	10 27	10.55	-17-24	-0.34
<u></u>		<u>5</u>		7.02	5.73	-5.20	-4.72
	~9	5	-7	3.28	2.10	2.28	-2.36
	<u> </u>	5	7	1.34	3.28	1.31	0.27
	9	5	7	20.72	22.35	-20-48	-3.15
	-9	5	-9	25.07	23.09	25.07	0.20
	9	5	-9	3.63	4.63	-0.65	-3.57
	-9	5	9	25.78	24.68	25.67	-2.43
	-9	5	-11	24.22	23.18	-24.17	1.59
	-9	5	11	6.10	4•47	4.10	-4.52
	-11	5	1	12.93	11.60	-12.63	-2.79
	11	5	1	16.44	18.62	-16.13	-3.1/
	-11	5	-1	36.37	34.00	-36.15	<u>-3.99</u>
	11	5	-1	33.49	34.81	33047	U • I I

							192
			······································				
		E	2	2.36	1.91		+0.46
	-11	5	-3	2.04	5.15	-1.96	0.57
	-11	5	3	28.22	28.45	28.21	0.76
	11	5	3	12.59	14.82	-12.37	-2.32
	-11	5	-5	24.23	21.44	24.18	1.58
	11	5	-5	8.86	8.85	<u>8.50</u>	-2.50
	-11	5	5	2.02	0.69	1.99	U•32
	-11	5	-7	15.55	12.61		-2 26
	-11	5	1	24.13	22 • 31	-20091	- 4 - 62
		<u>5</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4.09	4.80	-4.83
	-11	5	9 1 1	0001 6.99	7.01	6.99	0.11
<u></u>	- 12	<u> </u>	<u></u>	15,65	16.51	-15.61	1.07
	-13	5	1	0.	2.44		
		5	-3	6.22	3.97	-0.94	-6.15
	-13	5	3	17.02	17.07	-17.01	-0.64
	-13	5	-5	6.57	4.44	-6.30	-1.85
	-13	5	5	10.52	8.84	9.68	-4.11
	-13	5	-7	14.35	15.55	-14.28	1.41
	-13	5	7	6.81	7.23	-6.48	-2.11
	-13	5	9	3.18	5.56	3.16	0.37
	-15	5	11	25.04	24.78	25.00	49
	-15	5	-1	9.92	6.86	9.77	
	-15	5	-3	4.71	<u> </u>		-3.37
	-15	5	3	32.18	34 • 88 14 45	↔ 22 • 01 == 16 • 56	-4.46
	-15			10.44	0 22	=10.32	-1.60
	-15	י ב	シマ	10.44	8.38	-10.52	0.75
	-17	5	1	21.19	23.34	20.96	-3.08
	-17	5	3	9.30	8.87	9.28	-0.62
	0	6	-4	34.67	31.24	-34.50	-3.43
	Õ	6	4	13.94	14.47	-13.57	-3.19
	0	6	-6	24.60	22.62	24.32	3.69
	<u> </u>	6	6	4.38	7.39	3.70	2.34
	0	6	-8	40.36	37.90	38.92	10.67
water and the state of the stat	0	6	8	76.09	83.27	75.49	<u> </u>
	0	6	-10	19.18	16.73	18.68	4.32
	0	6		4.27	3.29	9.70	1.86
	2	6	0	9008 63.08	10•07 64-87	62.26	10.16
	2	0		7,97	7.09	-7.27	-3.26
	-2	6		30.55	27.61	29.80	6.75
<u></u>	2	6	-4	40.35	37.02	39.91	5.97
	2	6	4	28.69	27.84	28.12	5.69
	-2	6	-6	7.63	3.04	-3.97	-6.51
	2	6	-6	55.02	53.85	54.07	10.20
	-2	6	6	33.07	31.51	31.26	10.79
	2	6	6	13.47	14.36	-13.17	-2.82
	-2	6	-8	3.34	1.45	1.14	3.14
	2	66	-8	14.02	9.87	-13.76	<u> </u>
	-2	6	8	3.91	2•43	3.31	1.92
	2	6	8	<u> </u>	22.04	23.41	10.42
	-2	6	-10	2,002	2_66	0.78	-1.80
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10	16.40	14.73	-16.10	-3.13
	- 4	D	10	10040		~~ <b>~</b> ~	

 2	6	10	24.30	24.11	22.21	9.86
<u>د</u> د	6	10	19.89	18.23	-19.76	-2.23
 <del></del>	6	2	10.50	9.48	10.50	-0.08
4	6	-2	35.44	33.23	34.56	7.84
 -4	6	-4	66.86	62.66	66.14	9.79
4	6	-4	58.39	56.52	57.61	9.50
 -4	6	4	39.82	35.73	38•43	10.44
4	6	4	44.07	41.56	42.94	9,90
-4	6	-6	4.52	14.16	3.77	2.49
 4	6	6	20.48	17.84	20.48	0.09
	6	6	17.36	16.23	17.35	-0.66
 4	6	6	35.65	33.77	34.82	7.64
-4	6	8	6.80	3.63	-6.18	-2.84
 4	6	-8	17.13	17.55	-17.05	-1.70
-4	6	8	14.30	15.26	-14.20	-1.73
 4	6	8	12.38	11.42	-12.17	-2.26
-4	6	-10	7.70	6.25	-7.69	-0.43
 4	6	-10	34.97	36.09	34.37	6.45
-4	6	10	21.88	22.17	20.54	7.55
 6	6	0	40.36	37.72	39.26	9.38
6	6	2	12.65	11.10	12.63	-0.74
 6	6	-2	31.27	27.89	30.03	8.12
-6	6	-4	9.39	8.17	-9.12	-2.22
 6	6	-4	24.33	24.15	-24.29	-1.45
-6	6	4	26.57	24.62	-26.47	-2.21
 6	6	4	23.79	22.01	-23.13	-1.75
-6	6	-6	30.49	26.26	29.25	8.02
 6	6	-6	11.02	10.27		
-6	6	6	0.	3.88	0•	-0.
 6	6	6		10.62	72 66	0.05
-6	6	-8	13.21	12.10	1200	0 08
 6	6	<u>8</u>	<u>34.04</u>	22 66	25.23	10.80
-6	6	8	27.094	22.00		±0.03
 	6		42 67	/1 97	42.02	7.43
-0	6	10	42.001	41 • 0 7 26 - 97	28.74	6•2 <b>7</b>
 <u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	8.20	=5.72	5.78
0	6	2	25.84	36.53	34.60	9.35
 <u>0</u> 8	6		63.62	64.59	62.80	10.18
-0	6	-2	9.27	8.67	8.89	-2.63
 	6	-4	0.	2.06	0•	0•
8	6	-4	2.50	1.36	-1.33	2.12
 -8	6	4	9.23	6.66	8•94	2.30
8	6	. 4	2.59	1.14	-0.96	2.41
8	6	-6	6.21	4.65	-5.03	-3.64
8	6	6	31.67	30.29	30.20	9.55
 -8	6	6	61.24	60.70	60.35	10.38
 	6		45.98	42.49	45.62	5.78
 -8	6	8	12.97	13.43	11.82	5.34
 -8	6	-10	19.87	17.91	16.99	10.30
-8	6	10	6.47	5.13	-5.32	-3.69
 -10	6	00	27.70	30.87	-27.55	-2.91
 -10	6	2	14•44	15.54	14.01	3.50
 -10	6	2	38.50	36.60	38.32	3.72
-10	6	-4	48.18	43•98	40.84	11041

							194
	-10	6	4	60.76	58.98	59.78	10.87
	-10	6	6	13.15	12.52	12.58	3.83
	-10	6	6	37.92	34.51	31.13	3.81
	-10	<u>6</u>	8	26.67	26.72	-26-47	-3.22
	-10 -10	6	10	18.04	17.86	17.68	3.57
	-12	6	0	23.74	22.00	22.92	6.20
	-12	6	2	48.20	46.56	47.07	10.37
	-12	6	-2	6.30	2.088	-1.75	-6.05
	-12	6	-4	13.80	12.41	-13.71	1.58
	-12	6	4	12.86	11.33	-12.76	1.57
		6		12 25			
	-12	6	6 8	12020	9 • 2 5	-11.00	
	-14	6	<u>0</u>	16.33	14.75	13.11	9.73
	-14	6	2	12.43	11.67	12.42	-0.48
	-14	6	-2	21.67	27.80	20.90	5.71
	-14	6	-4	13.62	13.16	-13.50	-1.78
	-14	6	4	2.62	6•02	-2.49	-0.81
	-14	6	6	23.97	22.37	22.66	7.83
				. <u></u>			
							······································
					. <u></u>		
						· ·	
							<u></u>
<u></u>					<u> </u>		

Appendix III

Comparison of observed and calcu-

lated structure factors of rhodonite.

						10PC	DODE	
	Н	K	L	FOBS	FCAL	AUBS	8083	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	0	1	0	6.19	3.45	10.63	1.99	
	1	0	0	6.14	4.93	-10.34	-2.84	
	2	0	0	23.43	18.89	-40.91	1.64	······································
	3	0	0	17.43	12.79	30.24	3.64	
	4	0	0	39.79	35.42	69.49	2.22	
	5	0	0	63.53	57.06	-108.93	-21.36	
	6	0	0	22.33	13.34	-35.72	15.71	
	7	0	0	9.10	1.96	-15.61	3.04	
	8	0	0	10.82	7.06	-18.84	-1.59	
	9	0	0	10.39	8.57	-18.15	-0.13	
	1	-1	0	22.35	19.77	38.33	7.46	
	1	1	0	43.59	2 • 42	-74.52	-15.74	
	2	-1	0	22.30	20.87	-38.96	-0.55	
	2	1	0	28.79	25.77	-50.19	-3.46	
	3	-1	0	36.25	35.46	-63.33	-1.24	
	3	1	0	46.85	44.28	-81.68	-5.53	
	4	-1	Ō	27.59	24.40	-47.92	-5.23	
	4	1	0	12.94	12.63	22.60	-0.54	
	5	-1	Ō	14.83	11.85	-24.16	-9.38	
	5	1	0	23.26	19.06	40.55	2.68	
	6	-1	0	9.98	7.63	4.89	-16.74	
	6	1	0	5.15	5•40	-7.44	5.06	
	7	-1	0	10.91	8.93	-18.91	2.44	
	7	1	0	31.69	26.67	54.57	9.37	
	8	-1	0	8.08	6.42	13.78	-3.09	
	8	1	0	18.61	12.99	29.59	13.48	
	9	-1	0	6.30	4.56	10.63	2.85	
	0	2	0	8.20	7.02	-14.31	-0.72	
	1	-2	0	3.52	1.24	-2.45	-5.64	
	1	2	0	0.	0.87	0•	-0.	
	2	-2	0	40.83	43.76	-71.23	-4.01	
	2	2	0	138.28	159.67	-240.61	-22.13	
	3	-2	0	76.55	83.40	132.35	19.35	
	3	2	0	22.44	20.57	38.77	5.88	
	4	-2	0	7.45	5.13	9.85	-8.52	
	4	2	0	12.08	10.20	20.93	-2.72	
	5	-2	0	5.06	5.68	-8.76	-1.17	
	5	2	0	13.42	11.86	-23.25	-3.05	
	-		-					

 6	-2	0	13.03	11.48	22.71	1.64	
6	-2	0	25.22	23.05	-44.07	0.26	
 7	-2	0	19.99	18.11	34.87	1.99	
7	2	0	40.23	31.33	67.32	20.24	
8	-2	0	27.53	25.19	-46•48	-12.39	
 8	2	0	12.72	11.93	18.50	-12.32	
9	-2	0	7.55	8.15	-7.88	10.58	
 0	3	0	3.26	1.30	4.68	3.25	
1	-3	0	20.31	21.68	35.49	0.47	
 1	3	0	17.62	19.45	<u> </u>		
2	-3	0	47.41	51.31	82•18	2 • 2 0 0	
 2	3	0	0.	20.14	1.0 57	5 40	
3	3	0	27.97	20 • 14 12 00	40.07	2.57	
 3	<u> </u>	0	42 76	12.00	72.18	15.06	
4		0	44470	12.42	25.40	5.06	
 <u>4</u> 5	2		8.70	9.28	-15.05	-2.17	
יב ה	- 2	0	6.91	4.74	-10.69	5.62	
 <u>ر</u> ۲		<u> </u>	9.70	9,99	16.75	2.62	
6	3	0	0.	0.92	0.	0.	
 7		0	4.25	3.84	7.25	-1.60	
7	3	õ	25.07	19.54	-43.60	-4.24	
 8	-3	0	23.02	22.46	-39.91	-5.00	
8	3	Ō	9.05	6.30	-13.80	-7.72	
9	-3	0	29.42	23.99	-49.24	-14.76	
 0	4	0	9.74	7.82	15.16	7.73	
 1	-4	0	100.20	135.88	-174.40	-15.50	
1	4	0	6.85	5.11	11.91	1.15	
2	-4	0	18.00	18.73	31.41	1.57	
2	4	0	28.13	22.85	49.15	0.4/	
3	-4	0	8.26	8.52	-14.37	1.37	
 3	4	0	13.32	12.12	22.88	4.20	
4	-4	0	17.89	1/•/0	-31.22		
 _4	4	0	54.84	<u> </u>	71 94	-2 22	
5	-4	0	41.1	43.89		-9.54	
 2	4	0	27 12	38.75	63.79	11.86	
6		0	18.31	13.82	31.70	4.31	
 -0		0	14.43	15.54	23.74	-8.49	
7	4	Ő	22.44	18.72	39.13	2.50	
 8	-4	0	9.98	10.41	-16.33	-6.13	
8	4	Õ	9.07	8.47	15.72	-2.04	
9	-4	0	17.12	15.84	-29.69	-3.65	
0	5	0	14.18	14.04	-24.66	-2.44	
 1	-5	0	15.10	14.30	-26.19	-3.21	
 1	5	0	6.78	5.88	-11.85	-0.11	
2	-5	0	78.03	96•96	-135.58	-14.42	
 _2	5	0	4.49	4.30	7.67	-1.67	
3	-5	0 .	24.44	26.62	42.66	1.91	
 3	5	0	24.25		41.44	<u> </u>	
4	-5	0	3.06		2.09	-4.72	
 4	<u> </u>	0	24.95	40.02	<u> </u>	0	
5		U	2048 17 00	2 • 0 2 15 - 14	-0.00 	-2.67	
 2	<u> </u>		21.02	22.47	26.57	3,39	
o	-9	U	21002	LJ • 41		<ul><li></li></ul>	

 6	5	0	5.04	3.86	-6.49	-5.95
7	-5	0	25.49	28.43	42.08	14.59
 7	5	0	13.72	13.86	23.82	-2.67
8	-5	Õ	3.50	3.93	-0.31	-6.11
 9	-5	0	3.35	4•04	-5.06	2.94
Ó	6	0	8.10	6.46	-13.82	
1	-6	0	18.16	15.71	-31.72	-1.02
1	6	Ō	119.33	130.60	207.78	17.42
2	6	0	10.26	9.96	-17.87	1.38
2	6	0	9.25	7.31	-13.27	-9.23
 3	-6	0	40.51	43.89	70.64	4.52
 3	6		5.94	1.93	10.31	1.20
4	-6	0	42.75	50.18	-73.98	-10.37
4	66	0	6.28	0.36	2.19	-10.75
5	-6	0	4•44	5.10	5.81	5.14
 5	6	00	21.70	17.96	-37.21	-7.30
6	-6	0	9.62	10.62	15.58	6.31
 6	6	0	30.61	24.76	-49.00	-21.44
7	6	0	5.66	4•90	-0.62	9.87
 7	-6	0	0.	2.11	0.	Q.
8	-6	0	0•	7.27	-0.	0.
 9	-6	00	12.38	12.24	19.96	8.33
0	7	0	57.39	63.30	98.86	16.81
 1	-7	0	3.32	0.84	-0.66	
1	7	0	9•46	8.59	-16.53	-0.36
 2	-7	0	8.10	7.57	-14.02	1.91
2	7	0	18.96	16.21	33.08	1.82
 3		0	4.41	6.29	-1.70	-0.29
3	7	0	4.47	1.53	7.81	-0.28
 4	-7	0	5.52		12 41	1 20
4	7	0	/•14	1 • 16	14 23	
 5	-7		11.23	16.50	<u>→14•32</u>	<u> </u>
5	7	0	20.66	19.22		
 6	-(	0	6.70	20 72	<u> </u>	7.18
6	<u> </u>	0	32.21	28.12	25 04	
 7	-(	0	14.93	2 54		-0.
8		0	15.07	2.00	27.90	0.24
 <u> </u>			14.91	12.02	25.84	-1.33
1	-8	0	28.17	24.84	-48.82	-6.29
 <u>1</u>	<u> </u>	0	13.57	14.01	-23.71	-0.12
⊥ 2	-8	0	30.10	29.73	51.45	10.93
 2	<u> </u>	0	37.58	36.84	-65.42	-5.72
2		0	13.64	14.22	-23.73	-2.25
 3	8	0	59.59	57.04	-102.83	-16.37
ر 4		n n	6.00	4.27	5.05	-9,19
 4	8	0	22.06	19.64	37.64	8.30
۰ ۲	8	0	3.33	3.31	-5.65	-1.39
 5	-8	0	0.	2.16	-0.	-0.
6	8	0	6.81	8.19	-11.86	0.95
 6	-8	0	0.	1.89	-0.	-0.
7	-8	0	24.53	29.03	-42.34	-6.64
 8	8	0	0.	1.93	-0.	0.
9	-8	<u>    0                                </u>	17.79	20.67	30.56	5.67
0	9	0	15.75	15.12	27.50	-1.06

17•1	6E•T+	56•6T	50•42	0	εI-	E	
	• ()	76 2	•0	0	EL-	2	
8#*6T	60•81	06• 57	£0•97	Õ	εī	ī	
	67.11-	66•9	90°01	0	E1-	Ť	
•0	•0	80•ĭ	•0	Õ	εī	Ō	
87*8	<del></del>	12.11	29.11	0	-15	<u> </u>	
05.4	85•71	6.33	٤٢•8	0	-15	9	
	67*18-	95.91	54•8I	0	-15	G	
07*8-	97•5	£8•0	89•E	0	-15	<del>†</del> 7	
07*7-	18*/	2.2.7	ET•5	0	15	E	
II • 2-	96°II-	19•5	96 • L	0	-15	ε	
04*9	26.92	51.15	22.81	0	15	2	<u></u>
-+*55	ZI•1I	<u>9</u> 9•9	60°01	0	-15	2	
<u> </u>	52.23	64.11	12.88	0	15	ī	n <u></u> n <del></del> _n <del>_</del> _
-3*10	99• 6 7-	82•71	50.25	0	-15	τ	
	01.01-	10•9	6•12	0	15	0	
-5*10	-15.90	90•8	87°L	0	τι-	8	
+75 ° L	16•81-	00*71	59•II	0	11-	7	
14•21	L9•6Z	05•8T	69•6I	0	<b>II-</b>	9	
18*7-	66-81-	02.6	11.21	0	11-	ç	<b> </b>
14•31	07•19	9 <b>I•</b> 8E	80°9E	0	ΙI	<del>†</del> 7	
£0*7-	+E•II-	4•25	68•9	0	11-	7	
•0-	• 0	76•I	• 0	0	ττ-	ε	
06•0	53•56	11-43	13•35	0	τι	ε	
0 • 62	<u> 18•9-</u>	85•2	\$6°E	0	ΤT	2	
<del></del>	61-61	92•4	65 L	0	11-	2	
£6°0-	16•14-	51.61	59*62	0	ττ	τ	
	76°511-	54•25	16-29	0	11-	ĩ	
90•7	56.12	13.21	EI•5I	0	ττ	0	
86 * 7	<del>7</del> 6•51-	10.22	EI • 6	0	01-	8	
17.05-	19.4	71 ° G	66°E	0	0 <b>I-</b>	L	
	87.1	16-1	65 9	0	01-	9	
5 E • 9	72.02	55.05	86.82	0	01-	ς	
	19•99	<u>69•67</u>	EI • 7 E	0	01	<b>b</b>	
5.11	91.61	10.42	80°TT	0	01-	4	
	92.02	E8+01	16.11	0	10	E	
1/ •2	52•73	11•34	13•18	0	01-	ε	
<u> </u>	19•22-	<u>26°11</u>	56°71	0	01	2	
5 C • 9	-51.61	13*42	52•9I	Ō	01-	Z	
	02.8-	01.1	12.2	0	01	τ	
±0•I-	LL·IT	IG•G	92 • 9	0	01-	T	
86•8~	02.54-	53*22	69•72	0	10	0	
-12.23	61.05-	51.70	79•8T	0	6-	8	
<u></u>	98.05-	52-51	26-11	0	6	2	
• 0	• 0	E0•5	• 0	0	6-	9	
	18-1-	18•0	4.23	0	6	G	
5•33	35•99	20°01	E6•8I	0	6-	ç	
•0	•0	<u>92•E</u>	•0	0	6-	7	
50 <b>•</b> 5-	IO•5-	06•0	L0•4	0	6	4	
<u>59*5</u>	82•97	56+33	26.52	0	6	E	
Z2•37	¢9•13	82 <b>•</b> 82	76 <b>•</b> 3¢	0	6-	ε	
88.71-	999.99-	71 <b>.</b> 86	<u> 68.85</u>	0	6	2	
£0•I-	55•8~	4•50	£6•7	0	6-	2	
<del></del>	25.0-	3* 47	3•11	0	6	T	
67•0	8 <b>0 •</b> E 9	98•IE	96•10	0	6-	T	

4	-13	0	18.01	15.36	-24.41	-19.87
5	-13	Ŏ	7.54	6.91	-11.61	6.23
6	-13	Ō	0.	2.22	-0.	0•
0	14	0	0.	2.30	0.	0.
1	-14	0	4.66	2.76	-6.06	5.44
2	-14	0	11.53	8.86	19.97	2.69
3	-14	0	15.98	14.04	27.31	-5.80
4	-14	00	14.95	12.59	-25.70	
5	-14	0	19.58	17.49	-32.41	-10.96
	0	1	0.	1.76		
-1	0	1	0•	2.87	-0.	-0.
2	0		12.06			
-2	0	1	44.19	42.63	(1+1)	
3	0		8.34	6.56		-2 64
-3	0	1	9.10	8 • 83		-2.04
4	0		35.14	31.18	72 15	
-4	0	1	42.02	40.30	-12.12	-0.27
5	0		72.07	// /9	- 70 29	
-5	0	1	40.70	42.09	- 19.20	-19-57 4-63
6	0	<u>_</u>	12.28	22 40	20.26	4.00
-6	0	1 7	22.09	22.40	59050 EQ.54	6-83
/		1	24.25	22.34	-42.36	-1.01
-/	0	1	24025	6.85	14.96	8.37
<u>8</u>		1	3.86	0.71	-6.72	0.58
-0	0	1	0.	4.72	0.	0
7	0	<u>+</u>	0.	3.79	0.	0.
- ,	1	1	3.64	2.85	6.10	1.81
0	<b>_</b> _1	1	0.	0.98	-0.	0.
0		1	39.69	44.87	65.73	22.11
	 1	1	9,95	9.73	17.39	0.01
-1	1	1	0.	8.34	0.	-0.
	1	1	. 0.	3.20	-0.	-0.
2	-1	1	5.01	5.34	-7.40	-4.67
2	1	1	22.29	20.35	-38.60	-5.19
-2	-1	1	14.36	11.87	25.09	-0.33
-2	1	1	33.77	38•58	56.75	16.17
3	-1	1	43.69	39.37	76.17	5.07
3	1	1	96.73	97.18	-167.56	-22.17
-3	-1	1	22.25	19.45	38.56	4.99
-3	1	1	2.95	4.62		-1.00
4_	-1		14.34	12.88	10 (2	5.28
4	1	1	6.79	8.67	10.02	-17-87
			59.95	1 00		
-4	1	1	U• 45 11	20 04	-U.	-1-49
5_	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	25-88	-49.22	-6.12
5	1	1	20044	26-64	48.55	5.74
		<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	4.51	-0.	-0.
		1	23.14	20.57	-35.58	-19.21
C	1	<u>+</u> 1	21.99	20.78	38.36	2.15
-0 4		1	0	3.09	0.	-0.
6	1	1	0	3.53	-0.	0.
-0 7	-1	1	50.69	51.92	87.82	11.50
	1	1	13.98	15.15	24.27	2.77
1		-				

-7	1	1	7.31	7.27	-9.09	-8.98	
-7	-1	1	0.	0.92	0.	0.	
8	-1	1	13.98	13.23	-24.31	-2.40	
8	ī	ī	17.38	17.05	27.45	12.99	
-8	-1	1	8.01	7.15	-13.77	-2.50	
	1	11	41.85	38.31	72.58	8,92	
9	-1	1	6.38	5.51	-11.09	-1.16	
9	11	1	15.08	14.42	25.60	6.25	
0	-2	1	10.07	2.67	-15.89	7.56	
0	2	1	9.13	11.72	15.77	2•41	
1	-2	1	7.16	5.97	12.49	0.64	
1	2	1	3.21	4.89	5.31	1.81	
-1	2	1	23.58	25•98	-41.16	1.80	
-1	2	1	•	6 • 86		-0.	
2	-2	1	54.13	52.83	94.54	2.95	
2	2	1	37.04	39.36	-63.68	-11.57	
-2	-2	1	17.01	16.27	-27.91	-10.23	
-2	2		<u> </u>	3.06	0.	0.	
3	-2	1 2	19.06	18.27	32.99	4.58	
3			3+43	4.15	4.54	3.92	
- 3		1	12.24	11+46	21.00	30/1	
	- 2	1	12 12	10 12	-22 79	-2.55	
4	-2	1	12 04	10 72	-22.10		
<u> </u>		1	38.83	34.86	-67.77	3,22	
-4	2	1	16.86	15.71	-29.16	-4.16	
 ຽ	2	1	30.97	27.78	-53.56	-7.71	
5	2	1	9.89	9.01	17.14	2.19	
-5	-2	1	13.40	11.61	23.16	3.43	
-5	2	1	24.38	22.41	42.60	0.15	
6	-2	1	17.81	14.40	-30.14	-7.76	
6	2	1	37.25	37.28	65.03	2.79	
-6	-2	1	26.03	22.20	44.55	9.16	
6	2	1	0.	0.74	-0.	0.	
7	-2	1	52.21	45.52	-90.62	-10.53	
7	2	1	19.08	18.00	32.76	6.20	
-7	-2	1	47.01	39.26	80.51	16.31	
-7	2	1	9.86	8.54	16.85	-3.58	
8	2	1	4.30	3•76	-3.26	-6.77	
	-2	<u>l</u>	33.86	33.56		-4.84	
-8	2	1	43.40	39.40	-13.60	-18.28	
<u> </u>	-2	1	9 52	9 09	<u> </u>	-1.50	
<del>ر</del> ۹ –	-2	<u>ר</u> ז	24.82	25.94	-14.65	-1.00	
	-3	1	11.21	10.79	-19.42	2.55	
0	3	1	22.11	20.95	34.09	-18,17	
01	-3	1	26.56	27.34	-46.30	-3.15	
1	ר ב מי	1	26.84	26.39	46.50	6.09	
1	-3	1	15.84	20.81	-19.69	-19.45	
-1	3	<u>ī</u>	17.72	17.94	-30.89	-2.11	
2	-3	1	41.84	35.49	-72.97	-4.58	
2	3	<u> </u>	10.66	10.09	18.45	-2.53	
-2	-3	1	16.41	14.82	28.60	2.12	
-2	3	1	6.01	5.63	-10.48	0.60	
3	-3	1	14.56	13.24	25.41	-1.25	

2	2	1	5,17	4.71	<b>#8.9</b> 2	1.46	
-3	-3	1	18.62	15.63	-32.54	-0.10	
-3	3	1	0.	2.19	-0.	0.	
4	-3	ī	48.75	44.15	82.15	22.54	
	3	1	27.48	27.91	47•54	6.73	
-4	-3	1	16.23	14.93	-28.35	-0.75	
-4	3	1	21.17	18.63	36.76	-4.16	
5	-3	1	51.30	46.70	-89.03	-10.45	
õ	3	1	79.80	55•55	137.02	25.87	
	-3	1	10.99	12.18	19.05	-2.44	
-5	3	1	20.06	21.01	34.04	8.34	
6	3	1	37.70	38.79	-65.4/	-/./6	
-6	-3	1	54.09	47.83	92.12	18.33	
	3	1	46.02	41.35	-80.15	-6.49	
6	-3	1	0.	3.09	0.	0.	
			8.05	6.99	13.19	<u> </u>	
7	3	1	12.34	10.68	20+42	-0 -99	
<u> </u>			34.96	22 00	-40.76		
<b>~ /</b>	2	1	22002	22.00	-40 • 70	0.65	
O	2	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	7.74	-11.40	-1.47	
-0 		1	12.29	11.31	-20.52	-6.34	
-0-0		1		4.87	-0.	-0.	
0 Q	-3	1	19.13	19.91	-31.72	-10.55	
-9	3	1	25.10	24.28	-43.76	-2.98	
0	-4	1	14.46	14.65	-25.07	-3.14	
0	4	1	0.	1.53	-0.	0.	
1	-4	ī	14.76	13.49	25.60	-3.13	
1	4	1	5.51	4•45	-9.34	-2.32	
-1	-4	1	8.52	9.12	-14.87	0.71	
-1	4	1	60.79	81.19	-104.93	-16.50	
2	-4	1	7.17	4.18	-12.53	-0.06	
2	4	1	25•68	25.45	-44.77	-2.95	
-2	-4	1	9.38	7.61	16.38	-0.51	<u></u>
-2	4	1	15.37	15.83	-26.77	2.20	
3_	-4	1	18.99	18_16	32.55	6.41	
-3	-4	1	10.98	9.53	18.40	5.42	
-3	4		5.27	4.68	-9.17	0.84	
3	4	1			-0.	6.60	
4	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	28.47	29.63	48.43	11.36	
4	4 	1	20.41	4,27	0.98	8.10	
		<u>1</u>	<u>_</u>	1.87	-0.	0.	
	-4	1	102.44	101.25	178.63	11.40	
	4	1	34.29	31.84	-59.84	-3.11	
-5	4	1	12.80	12.06	-22.34	0.97	
5	4	1	0•	4•52	-0•	-0.	
6	-4	1	8.33	8.71	14.47	1.53	
6	4	1	12.49	13.52	-21.60	-3.15	
6	-4	1	10.41	10.38	17.97	-2.84	
-6	4	1	74.97	72.72	129.26	21.29	
7_	4	11	15.17	14.89	-26.41	-2.29	
-7	-4	1	30.04	7.49	-50.66	-13./5	
-7	4	11	28.70	29.47	-49.70	-6.12	
7	-4	1	0•	2.93	-U•	U.	

							-
	-4	1	8.34	7.92	-14.04	-3.92	
-8	-4	1	17.72	14.70	-29.33	-9.91	
8	4	1	11.42	10.35	19.92	1.09	
8	4	1	0.	17.69	-0.	-0.	
9	-4	1	6.56	7.38	10.82	-3.79	
-9	4	1	12.41	11.68	21.58	2.18	
0	-5	1	4.73	4.12	-7.18	4.10	
0	5	1	<b>Q</b> .	3.17	0.		
1	-5	1	14.51	14.17	25.06	3.87	
1	5	1	27.32	32.92	47.54	4.29	
-1	-5	1	10.26	1.26	-7.91	16.09	
]	5	1	12.37	11.56	21.59	-1.05	
2	-5	1	83.58	85.43	-144.44	-21.54	
2	5		7.50	11.31	8.78	9.73	
-2	-5	1	19.19	15.73	33.53	0.39	
	<u> </u>		9.26	10.16	12.86	<u> </u>	
3	-5	1	/•84	1.92	12.32	<b>5.92</b>	
3	<u> </u>		45.20	12.55	74 44	26 71	
- 3	- 2	L T	42028	40.49	-20 22	-9 21	
	<u> </u>	Å	11.20	10.91	10.00	-0.26	
4		1	11039	10.24	19.90	-0.50	
4	-5	<u>_</u>	9.34	9.00	-15.43	-5.33	
-4		1	0.	2.43	0.	0	
	5	1	2.50	6.98	-4.29	-0.82	
-5	5	1	24.73	21.57	42.70	6.61	
5		1	0.	2.26	0.	-0.	
-5	-5	1	0.	0.35	-0.	0.	
6	-5	1	8.28	9.26	14.43	-0.99	
6	5	1	21.96	21.46	-37.57	-7.79	
-6	-5	1	6.23	3.70	-10.69	2.05	
-6	5	1	25.11	22.75	43.38	6.56	
7	-5	1	13.49	12.87	18.96	14.00	
7	5	1	22.99	20.57	-37.41	-14.64	··································
-7	-5	1	9.19	6.76	-16.00	1.32	
7	5	1	6.13	7.79	10.26	3.09	
8	-5	1	51.02	45.13	-88.01	-14.18	
	5	1	8.27	7.59	13.67	4.70	
9	-5	1	6.64	6.36	-10.76	<del>~</del> 4•33	
	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	13.89	12.24		<u> </u>	
0	-6	1	14.09	13.26	24.50		
	0	<u>↓</u>	<u> </u>	2 94		=3.70	
1	-0	1	2010 67 43	2004 95.02	116.63	16.72	
	0	1	20.68	20.34	-36.11	1.28	
-1	-0	1	9.06	7.92	15.75	=1.62	
		1	29.99	27.42	-52.17	-4.89	
-2	-6	1	11.38	7.63	19.16	5.30	
	6	1	32.95	35.35	57.57	-0.79	
2	6	ī	0	4.99	0	-0.	
	-6	1	83.09	80.62	-144.57	-13.37	
3	6	1	8.42	9.68	-14.60	1.85	
-3	-6	1	52.11	45•95	91.02	-2.61	
-3	6	1	0.	3.40	0.	0.	
4	-6	1	0.	1.32	0•	-0.	

.

20%	
204	

	6	1 5	•08	6.14	-8.65	2.00
	6	61	•11 6	9.18	-104.80	-20.46
-4	-6	1 0	•	3.05	0.	-0.
2			·•/2J	3.29		-4.40
5	6	1 6	• / 1	8.60	-11.12	0.14
	-6	17	12]	4.40	_=29.05	-7.14
5	6	1 11	•76 ]	13.02	20•20	3.76
6	-6		• 94	6.44	9.98	2.86
6	6	1 21	• 18 2	21.42	-35.60	-10.12
		2	•90	5.85	-13.61	-7.52
-6	6	1 6	• 73	6.16	11.76	-0.30
7	-6	8	• 75	5.87	-13.87	6.44
7	6	1 5	•14	5.89	2.17	8.72
	6	1 15	.45	4.63	26.92	2.04
-7	6	1 (		2.46	-0.	-0.
8	-6	1 22	68 ]	16.52	36.35	15.78
	6	1 31	.12 7	31.48	-54.31	-2.65
- 8	-6			3.48	5.69	-5-70
	6	1 19		10.82	30.11	13,21
- 9	7		×2 /		20.11	
0		4		<u>+4 • 0 Z</u>	09.90	20.08
U	<u> </u>	1 10		18 • 19	-29+39	-2.02
			• 6 6	1.95		0.35
1	7	1 39	• 19 3	3/ •86	-69.23	-6.38
	7	116	. 19	18.15	-27.74	5.56
1	-7	1 0	•	1.08	0•	-0.
2	-7	1 10	.66	0.44	18.62	-0.29
2	7	1 9	•08 1	11.37	15•74	-1.99
-2	-7	1 22	•46 2	20 • 28	-39.14	-2.89
-2	7	1 8	.84	7.37	15.43	-0.70
3	-7	1 25	• 59 2	22.44	44.50	4.32
3	-7	1 3	.09	2.02	-5.16	-1.60
- 3	7	1 26	• 60	28.41	-46.29	-4.16
3	7	1 (		4.40.	-0.	-0.
<del>د.</del> ۱۱	-7	1 12	. 03 .	11.27	-22.26	3.88
		1 22	24		-55.45	-10.89
4	1			0 10		
				9.60		
	1	1 28	3.97	30 • 48	-50.38	-4.95
5_			•33	10.55		
5	7	1 10	•28	10.15	1/•34	4.67
5	7	1 54	• 96 4	+9.55		
-5	7	1 15	<b>0∙49</b>	14.17	-26.49	
6_	-7	1 48	3.41 4	44.14	83.91	10.72
6	7	1 10	) <b>∙</b> 49	10•48	-18.11	-2.81
-6	-7_		.67	9.84	15.25	7.27
-6	7	1 10	)•65	9.13	18.17	-4.04
7	-7	1 20	3.17	23.78	48.76	6.73
-7	7	1 10	0.42	14•58	18.16	1.25
	7_	1	7.89	6.90	12.37	6.08
8	-7	1 (		1.14	0.	0.
Q	-7	1 14	+ 14	11.79	24.30	4.46
2	7	1	5.73	6.56	9.29	6.37
	_ 0	1 ) 1	2.80	27.04	50.27	2.24
0	<u>–                                    </u>			L = 74	-72 (0	1 72
0	ð	1 4	100 <sup>(</sup>	40 13	-1200U	13-49
	<u>8</u>	<u> </u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u>+U • 22</u>	11 0/	<u> </u>
-1	-8	1 (	0.040	4•90	11.20	4.01

-1	8	1	6•43	6.46	-10.29	-4.51	
	8	<u>_</u>	U•	<u> </u>	-12 07	0.24	
2	-0	1	13.12	4•04 13.84	-12.01	-1.69	
2		1	10.70	10.42	18,53	-2.47	
-2	-0	1	57.85	45.52	98,92	20.82	
2		1	21.30	17.81	36.85	5.23	
2	0	1	45.07	48.25	-76.78	-17.50	
	-8	]	9.06	8.10	15.83	0.06	
-3	8	1	16.24	15.94	28.37	-0.81	
	-8	1	13.70	13.46	-23-83	-2.25	
4	8	1	10.49	12.39	-17.21	6.30	
		1	5,51	5.08	8.68	-4.17	
	8	1	4.66	3.33	-8.14	-0.27	
		1	21.84	17.91	-37.92	-4.26	
5	8	1	5.09	4.04	-8.27	-3.28	
	-8	1	16.78	15.69	-29.03	4.10	
-5	8	1	22.80	21.76	39.79	1.93	
6		1	66.90	60.79	-115.76	-16.24	
-6	8	1	29.63	36.30	51.61	4.06	
6	8	1	0.	4.91	0.	+0.	
-6	-8	1	0.	1.64	0.	0.	
7	-8	1	21.29	17.50	36.85	5.08	
-7	8	1	41.92	39.89	-71.24	-17.02	
8	-8	1	19.30	15.25	-33.07	-6.59	
-8	8	1	25.85	25.08	44.10	9,78	
9	-8	1	0.	13.02	-0.	0.	
-9	8	1	0.	3.43	-0.	0	
0	9	1	3.53	5.97	-6.16	0.24	
Õ	9	1	0.	13.77	-0.	-0.	
1	-9	1	34.98	34.46	-61.01	-3.65	
1	9	1	10.65	11.35	18.11	-4.28	
	-9	1	2.73	1.79	3.65	-3.07	
-1	9	1	15.80	17.34	27.50	2.49	
2	9	1	14.47	16.87	25.24	1.51	
-2	9	1	16.62	15.54	-20.65	-20.41	
2	-9	1	0.	3.45	-0.	-0.	
-2	9	1	0.	4.30	0.	0.	
3	-9	1	42.91	35.72	72.99	17.16	
3	9	1	8.08	8.11	14.11	0.58	
-3	9	1	18.26	17.24	30.90	7.96	
-3	9	1	31.69	33.98	55.08	5.71	
	-9	1	25.35	22.31	-43.76	<del>~</del> 6•86	
-4	-9	1	10.42	8.70	18.14	1.57	
-4	9	1	7.55	6.78	-12.91	2.73	
4	9	1	0.	3.68	Q.	0.	
5	-9	1	37.92	33.75	-65.86	-7.26	
5	9	1	6.05	6.75	8.20	6.67	
-5	9	1	16.75	16.61	-29.26	-0.68	
-5	-9	1	0.	1.92	0.		
-6	9	1	17.67	20.58	-30.59	-4.22	
6	-9	1	0.	4.67	0.	-0.	
7	-9	1	14.73	11.98	-25.53	-3.29	
-7	91	1	3.55	11.83	-5.94	-1.79	
8	-9	1	20.13	16•79	-32.61	-13.18	

.

	06.07-	тсест	╈╈●┎Ҭ	т	1-	τ
98 0			11.6	- <u>T</u> 7	1	0
91-0				T 7	. т. 'Т	õ
78-0-	86.11	00177		- T T	T	8
•0-	• 0	89°22	•0	т 7	. с • Т	1-
• 0	•0	69*0	-0		T_	<u></u>
66*2-	£8•7l⊷	61.8	08-8		. L	2
EE•II	88•07	57.40	86 76	T	. L 	<del>9</del> -
<u>+1+21</u>	76 6		86-8		. L 	9
19•7	86•62	08•21	96•71	L I	. L 	9-
•0	•0	96.0	•0		. L — · •	ч т
• 0	• 0 -	29•5	•0	L	. L	17
•0	•0	3*06	•0		. L <del></del>	17 1-
84•E	88•85	26•83	72•34	L	. L	17
	99.01	76.01	SE•[[	1		<del>17</del> -
• 0	• 0	06•6	• 0	L		٤ <del>*</del>
11.0-	<u>95•91-</u>	26° L	L7*6	L		£ <b>-</b>
54 <b>.</b> 5	-IO•56	55°L	£0∙9	t		٤
<u> </u>	71-32	<u>56•85</u>	66*07	T		E
• 0	• 0 -	26•0	• 0	t	[[	2-
•0	•0	5 46	•0	1		2-
• 0	• 0 -	29°4	• 0	I .	נו	2
5.09	23.43	18.81	94•61	1	[[-	2
24.64	-25.18	86•IE	60°0E	τ.	Ι	τ-
3*54	62•27	85.55	54.56	1	- 11	[-
06•0-	88•6-	76•9	89 ° <u>9</u>	T '	ττ	T
88*51-	12.52-		31 53	Ţ	[[-	τ
•0-	• 0	10.4	• 0	τ	ΙI	0
	61.12	E9•11	18•56	ī	11-	0
• 0	•0-	96.07	•0	τ	στ	8-
	£8•0 <del>1</del>	+T•8T	73.37	Ţ	-10	8
₩6° <b>€</b>	¢0•¢7-	//•9T	0G • 7 T	τ	σī	L-
			86•61		01-	$\overline{L}$
		68•±T	₩Z•0T	T (	οī	9-
		<u> </u>	8 13		01-	9
	06000	11.00	<b>#9</b> •TG	T	οī	ς <b>-</b>
	73 00		16.07		01-	Ğ
12-14-	•0	67.4	•0	T .	0 T	47 <b></b>
-0	-0-	91 7 4007	•0		nT	+7
		70 C	17.06	T	0 <b>t</b>	+7
	27-29-	08 18				
96-61	89°611	18-78 <b>57 7</b>	•0 28°89	ι T	0 L	ç
-0-	•0			T	01 07	
88.0-	69-86-	00-22	55°00	L T	01-	с С <b>—</b>
-5•00		62-8	01.00	<u> </u>		
2.08	81.95	<u>96.81</u>		L T		と フ
52.0	18.51	26 9	22°L	L		с- -
96+0	+2 · 52+	58.01	20.02	L -		ζ <b>-</b>
-5*90	69•63	99• <b>†</b> I	99•81	L		<u> </u>
2*03	10.62	80•7	61 9	L	01	د •
-2•28	89•8I-	16•11	LLOI	L		L
<u></u>	51.62-	5.81	74.81	L T		L
<b>76°</b> 5	<b>70°</b> 6	E6•9	61*9	L		L
<u> </u>	15.44	28.25	74.65	Ţ	01-	L
₩ <b>८</b> •6 <b>ा</b> <del>-</del>	26• <i>LL</i> -	58•05	00•97	T	01	0
15+0	<del>-</del> 20.86	13*14	76 11	l	01-	0
55°01-	76∙L9 <del>-</del>	78•8E	55•95	L	6	8-

<u> </u>	12		6.89	8.02	11.21	4.39	
- - 1	<u>–</u> 12	1	5.97	5.11	9.69		
-1	12	1	27.87	29.93	48.70	-0.24	
2	-12	1	56.33	57.16	-96.77	-17.99	
2	12	1	39.50	43 • 47	66•98	16.66	
-2	12	1	16.55	17.46	27.83	7_88	
-2	-12	1	0.	0.17			
3	-12		<u> </u>	14.54	19.01	11,54	
-3	-12	1	37.75	38.29	-64.00	-15.97	
3	12	1	0.	8 • 46	0.	-0.	
4	-12	<u> </u>	20.61	20.61	-35.70	<del>+4.76</del>	
	12	1	5.79	5.50	9.85	2.30	
5	-12	1	13.13	7.37	-22.65	-3.67	
-5	12	1	24.48	24.54	42.55	4.34	
6	-12	1	6.39	5.70	-11.10	<u> </u>	
-6	12	1	4.21	$10 \cdot / 1$		14.50	
(	-12	<u>_</u>	29.35	22.42	-68.65	-3.82	
-7	12	1	4.75	4.55	-8.18	1.43	
0	13	1	8.68	9.17	-15.14	0.86	
1	-13	1	15.84	11.97	-26.79	-6.97	
1	13	1	7.90	7.96	11.95	6.91	
-1	-13	1	16.39	13.85	26.11	11.76	
-1	13	1	0•	2.87	0•	0.	
2	-13	1	12.15	10.10	21.21	-1.00	
-2	13	1	17.86	18.20	-31.03	<del>~</del> 3.30	
3	-13		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	= 9,12	-2.49	
- 3	-13	1	14.42	13.12	-23.09	-10.08	
	13	1	23.29	23.64	-38.20	-14.04	
5	-13	1	16.70	11.03	29.14	1.44	
5	13	1	0.	6.23	0•	-0.	
6	-13	1	35.79	36.66	62.12	7.21	
-6	13	1	2.45	7.17	-4.21	-0.78	
0	-14		0.	22.12	Q.	0.	
0	14	1	0.	10.92	-0.		
1	<u>-14</u> 14	<u>_</u>	28.20	26.86	47.04	14.69	
-1	-14	1	12.60	14.33	21.75	3.42	
-2	14	1	5.61	6.78	9•74	1.13	
3	-14	1	5.12	2.62	7.42	5.00	
-3	14	1	12.05	14.87	-20.88	-2.75	
4	-14	1	5.30	4.37		3.62	
-4	14	1	7•91	11.97	13.11	-1.15	
	-14	<u>1</u>	0.	14.33		0.	
	14	⊥ 2	83.57	87.50	145.41	13.36	
	0	2	0.	2.75	-0.	0.	
2	0	2	21.74	18,99	-37.87	-2.98	
-2	0	2	10.85	10.34	-18.93	0.98	
3	0	2	33.96	31.05	-58.93	-6.99	
-3	0	2	11.05	9 • 88	19.30	0.47	
4	0	2	68.71	62.59	<u> </u>	<u>-0</u>	
-4	0	2	0.	∠ • ⊥ ∠	0•	•••••	
5	0	2	12.16	12.24	20.63	5.08	
------------	----------	-----	----------------	------------------	---------------------	------------	
<u> </u>	0	2	46.59	40.93	81.38		
6	0	2	13.77	14.16	-26 51	-1.20	
	0		10.96	<u> </u>	-18.98	0.17	
1	0	2	10.00	16.70	-10.90	7.32	
<b></b>		2	8.14	10.18	13.87	3.14	
-8	0	2	15.51	14.04	26.58	5.31	
Q	0	2	17.95	16.33	30.36	7.89	
0	-1	2	33.80	36.65	-58.98	-3.07	
0	ī	2	37.64	42.30	65.72	2.58	
	-1	2	100.40	108.70	174.86	14.18	
1	1	2	22.84	23.58	39.52	5.53	
	-1	2	65.31	68.74	-113.98	-5.59	
-1	1	2	10.88	10.46	18•94	-1.61	
2	-1	2	27.48	26.71	-47.68		
2	1	2	33.37	28.89	58.29	1.53	
-2	1	2	8.18	7.10	-14.08	-2.48	
-2	1	2	78.15	81.95	134.69	22.50	
3	-1	2	14.84	14.24	-25.71		
3	1	2	91.04	59.14	-15/•4/	+22.58 	
-3		2	16.67	14.04		-2 49	
-3	1	2	4.99	5 • 17	0 • 3 0 1 2 2 7	1.07	
4			<u> </u>	55 15	05.59	9.17	
4	L 2	2 .	24.90 07 70	99 • 19 05 14	-169.26		
			<u> </u>	27.09	<u>71.82</u>	-3,11	
	1	2	41014	42,13	83.01	-3.48	
5	<u> </u>	2	9.73	6.53	-15.43	7.15	
-5	-1	2	6.24	4.29	-10.87	-0.82	
5	<u>1</u>	2	0.	2.69	0.	0.	
5	-1	2	23.75	22.80	-40.40	-9.49	
-6	-1	2	20.19	17.02	35.21	2.25	
-6	1	2	16.51	14.18	-28.85	0.41	
6	1	2	0.	1.81	-0.	-0.	
7	-1	2	20.40	18.63	34.64	8.40	
7	1	2	10.72	10.10	-18.23	4.33	
-7	1	2	55.92	49.27	-95.10	-22.42	
-7	-1	2	0.	2 • 4 4	0•	-0.	
88	-1	22	12.67	13.84	21.44	5.51	
8	1	2	10.40	12.18	16.79	6.95	
		2	15.59	14.11			
-8	1	2	10.89		-10 • 30 11 • 64	9 7 2	
		2	24 80	25.04	43.47	1.29	
0	-2	2	2409	20.12	+J++1 +33+45	-3.35	
		2	37.93	34.62	66.10	4.86	
± ۲	~2	2	58.46	64 91	-101-52	-11.34	
<b></b> _1	-2	2	26.54	25.31	-46.22	-3.81	
-1	2	2	14.00	14.05	24.37	-2.17	
2	2	2	28.53	29.94	45.83	19.61	
2	2	2	20.33	18.88	35.40	2.95	
-2	-2	2	24.04	22.93	41.79	4.27	
-2	2	2	10.58	10.01	18.27	2.80	
3	-2	2	23.34	23.56	-40.50	-4.78	

3	2	2	4.06	4.04	-5.83	-4.04	
<u> </u>		2	<u> </u>	<u>54.51</u>	<u>-103.94</u>	-15.95	
- j	2	2	22014 14.99	21.22		-U+05 8,27	
4	<u>~~</u>	2	15.51	15.27	26.82	3.88	
4	-2	2	17.47	15.02	20.44	2.32	
-4		2	7.24	8.12	12.10	3.69	
-4	2	2	6.78	4.80	11-63	2.25	
5	2	2	12.59	10.71	20.33	8.40	
-5	-2	2	27.02	24.75	-47.20	1.10	
		2	18.14	14.42			
-5	-2	2	6.78	6.14	11.27	-3.65	
6	2	2	49.55	48.15	85.47	13.79	
	-2	2	7,10	8.20	12.04	3.01	
-6	2	2	5.40	4.50	-7.93	-5.11	
		2	40.70	38.02	-69-75	-13.88	
7	2	2	8.07	8.49	13.36	-4.50	
-7		2	5,92	3.86	-9.74	-3-47	
<u>_</u> 7	2	2	26.28	22.79	-45.14	-8.45	
8	-2	2	9.79	11.06	13.82	10.08	
<u> </u>	2	2	10.91	10.22	17.56	7.43	
-8	2	2	11.99	7.04	-18-87	-9.11	
	2	2		12,50	0.	0.	
-9	~2	2	10.68	10.18	18.65	-0.76	
	3	2	35.36	42.35	-58.64	-19.46	
0		2	0.	2.75	0.	0.	
	-3	2	18.53	17.11	32.19	3.53	
1	3	2	15.57	16.42	26.75	4.98	
		2	31.62	33.04	-53.52	-13.71	
-1	3	2	7.81	7.74	13.65	-0.12	
2	-3	2	17.04	15.12	-29.71	-1.98	
2	3	2	35.41	40.74	-61.78	-3.37	
-2	-3	2	34.70	30.86	60.39	5.41	
-2	3	2	10.57	11.90	18.23	2.98	
3	-3	2	55.67	51.24	-97.25	2.07	
3	3	2	5.60	5.92	-8.67	-4.54	
-3	-3	2	80.26	77.30	140.13	5.61	
-3	3	2	10.00	9.79	17.36	2.02	
4	-3	2	32.40	31.01	55.82	9.44	
4	3	2	22.38	20.96	-39.10	0.78	
-4	-3	2	18.19	15.42	31.72	2.07	
-4	3	2	3.82	2.97	-5.59	-3.65	
5	-3	2	16.57	15.22	-28.40	-5.61	
5	3	2	22.23	21.65	36.54	13.19	
-5	-3	2	7.58	3.01	2.93	12.92	
-5	3	2	77.53	71.40	123.22	24.58	
6	-3	2	17.08	15.03	-28.66	-8.33	
6	3	2	36.50	36.56		-11.72	
-6	-3	2	56.72	50.07	96•98	20.41	
-6	3	2	8.06	7.39	13.23	-4.84	
7	-3	2	12.83	21.82	-22.31	-2.16	
7	3	22	8.08	7.99	14.06	-1.25	
-7	-3	2	14.69	13.76	24.83	-6.52	
-7_	3	2	0.	3.57	-0.	-0.	
8	-3	2	18.09	15.96	-30.30	-9.01	

-	-8	-3	2	0•	1•57 3•20	-0.	0. 0.	
	• <u>8</u> 9	-3	2	7.98	8.38	-12.74	-5.66	
	• 9	3			4.97	<b>(</b>	_10.09	
	0		2	13.25	01.11	17.94	-19•77 -1•21	
	<u> </u>	4	2	<u> </u>	10.38	15.07	3.24	
	1	-4 4	2	21.78	25.04	37.91	3.31	<u> </u>
	- 1		2	28.11	24.17	-49.08	-1.82	
-	-1	4	2	13.53	15.17	23.64	-0.46	
	2	-4	2	25.43	23.45	-43.81	-7.44	
	2	4	2	20.47	20.75	35.40	5.12	
	-2	-4	2	17.87	15.50	-31.22	-0.31	
	-2	4	2	10.83	11.63	-18.65	-3.20	
	3	4	2	8.95	8.79	15.31	-3.17	
	3	4		30.56	29.10	5/ 23	2.8/	
-	-3	-4	2	15.32	14•20	20.45	2.63	
	-3	4	<u> </u>	10.83	10.96	18.85	1.72	
	4		2	4-16	3.20	-6.99	-1.98	
	<u>4</u>	<u> </u>	2	34.08	31.02	-59.40	-4.19	
_	-4		2	7.24	6.41	12.14	3.57	
	5		2	73.69	70.66	127.55	17.61	
	5	4	2	23.91	22.44	-41.64	3.40	
•	-5	-4	2	26.32	23.48	43.31	15.47	
-	-5	4	2	35.90	34.27	62.37	6.66	
	6	-4	2	23.03	21.96	-38.64	-11.23	
	6	4	22	19.20	19.74	-33.25	-4.48	
	-6	-4	2	22.72	21.86	-39.35	-5.23	
· •	-6	4	2	15.08	14.03	<u>25:06</u>	5 66	
	7		2	15.00	11.96	20.59	-7.14	
	_7	4	2	1.33	2 06	0.	=0.	
•	-7	-4	2	0.	2.00	0.	0	
	<del>- (</del>	<u> </u>	2	4.71	0.92	6.33	5.26	
	0	-4	2	6.90	4.69	-11.32	-4.14	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	0.	2.92	0.	<del>~</del> 0.	
	9	-4	2	8.95	10.76	-15.41	-2.66	
	-9	4	2	12.24	11.30	-21.06	-3.72	
	0	5	2	38.22	37.99	66.74	2.41	
	0	5	2	17.85	20.40	-31.07	-2.14	
	1	5		9.23	8.68	<u> </u>	2.99	
	1	5	2	5.22	4 • / 4	-21.86	-2.79	
	<u>-</u> ]	<u></u> 5		49 21	54.10	-84.35	-3.28	
	-1	5	2	40.51	42.42	-82.62	-8.83	
	<u>~</u>	<u> </u>	2	59.29	64.57	101.81	19.19	
	<u> </u>	-5	2	9.48	9.07	16.37	2.52	
	-2	5	2	7.24	6.93	11.42	5.45	
	3		2	21.53	20.17	37.55	2.35	
	3	5	2	34.39	34.77	-59.54	-8.10	
	-3	-5	2	37.29	33.65	63.79	13.30	
	-3	5	2	81.18	95 • 63	-140.43	-20.00	
	4	-5	2	23.39	21.25	39.97	<u> </u>	
	4	5	2	30.85	29.15	53.66	5.10	

	-4	-5	2	10.86	12.52	18.37	-4.74	
		5	2	5.83	4.25	10.13	1.10	
	5	-5	2	13.87	12.13	23.86	4.23	
	5	5	2	23.39	22.05	40.72	3.47	
	-5	-5	2	10.72	35.66	-18.68	-1.36	
	-5	5	2	<b>0.</b>	2 • 46	<del>0•</del>	<u>0.</u>	
	6	-5	2	24.58	2 <b>3</b> •89	-41.98	9.06	
	6	5	2	9.48	8.25	16.34	-2.72	
	-6	-5	2	17.91	12.42	-31.20	-2.41	
	-6	5	2	11.13			-0.29	
	7	-5	2	15.41	13.52	26.05	6.81	
		<u> </u>	2	9.36	11.13	-14.07	-8.33	
	-7	-5	2	34.89	10.26	-56.26	-23.49	
	-7	5	2	9.17	10.47	15.22	-5.01	
	8	-5	2	10.32	8.63	18.00	1.00	
	-8	5	2	31.68	28.86	53.06	15.79	
	9	5	2	8.92	10.75	-15.06	-4.03	
	-9	5	2	11.38	11.71	-17.76	-8.95	
	0	-6	2	18.05	18.22	31.09	5.32	
	0	6	2	37.89	41.12	66.16	2.61	
	1	-6	2	5.85	5.12	9.13	4.60	
	-1	-6	2	11.37	9.69	-19.70	-2.61	
	-1	6	2	8.20	8.35	-14.26	-1.36	
	1	6	2	0.	1.81	-0.	0.	
	2	-6	2	9•48	8 • 52	16.55	0.62	
	2	6	2	20.60	19.95	-35.99	-0.72	
	-2	-6	2	96.35	90•41	166•69	23.63	
<u></u>	-2	6	2	10.69	11.35	-18.60	-1.75	
	3	-6	2	61.75	58.80	-105.89	-20.70	
	3	6	2	23.18	21.75	-40.17	-5.19	
	-3	-6	2	27.73	25.94	-48.42	-1.75	
	-3	6	2	0.	2.63	-0.	-0.	
	4	-6	2	33.02	31•45	56.97	9.11	
	4	6	2	15.75	13.76	-26.70	-6.69	
	-4	-6	2	11.05	8.14	19•30	-0.35	
	-4	6	2	16.27	15.95	26.71	-9.73	49.00 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	5	-6	2	12.96	12.12	-22.31	-3.89	
	5	6	2	19.31	16.48	-32.28	-9.81	
	-5	-6	2	11.06	8.15	19.22	-2.06	
	-5	6	2	12.30	13.22	-21.49	0.13	
	6	-6	2	10.49	13.27	-18.18	-2.36	
	-6	-6		15.67	12.01	2/•10	3.92	
	-6	6	2	4•66	4.11	-1.51	3.00	
	6	6	2	0.	<u> </u>			
	(	-6	2	9.14	9.49	16.93	1.70	
	-7	-6		22.06		-41.13	-13.20	
	-7	6	2	10.32	9.60	1/•67	3.59	
	8	6	2	32.40	30.18	<u> </u>	12.40	
	-8	6	2	34.18	32.42	59.38	6.42	
	9	-6	2	21.67	23.44		-13.07	
	-9	6	2	8.35	7.30	5.37	13.56	
	0	7	2	24.93	25.53	-43.02		
	0	-7	2	0.	36 • 76	0•	0•	
		<u>-7</u>	2	9.83	9.51	-17-17	0.61	
	1	7	Z	48.53	54.15	84•61	4.69	

		-7	2	5.45	0.68	-9.36	1.73
	-1	7	2	48.13	53.87	81.70	19.95
	2	-7	2	56.89	50.02	-99.07	-8.14
	-2	-7	22	47.32	45.75	-82.63	-3.05
	2	7	2	0•	1.46	0•	0.
	-2	7	2		1.63	0	
	3	-7	2	5.98	5.63	-9.86	-3.46
<u></u>	3	7	22	20.27	19.48	-35.08	-4.85
	-3	-7	2	5.23	3.13	8.83	2.37
	-3		2	O	0.97	70.44	10.06
	4	-7	2	40.73	38 • 14	10.40	-17.96
	_4			<u>49.33</u>	40.70	-20.45	-5.84
	-4		2	12011	10.00	-20-49	-1.38
	<u>-4</u>		2	22 60	30.83	-56.69	-5.57
	2 5		2	37.77	39.12	65.31	9.51
	 5	7	2	25.64	22.64	-43.65	-10.08
		- (	2	20.04	5.08	-+9•09 -+0•	0.
	<u></u>	7	2	12.58	10.47	-21.69	-3.56
	6		2	17.65	16.81	-30.30	-5.72
		-7	2	7.27	6.57	-11.30	5.80
		7	2	32.96	29.68	-55.03	-17.00
······································	7	-7	2	14.96	14.53	25.61	5.22
	-7	7	2	15.15	14.21	25.64	6.58
	8	-7	2	26.86	21.24	46.82	3.32
	-8	7	2	23.23	22.98	40.25	5.28
	-9	7	2	2.55	1.86	-2•49	3.69
	0	-8	2	12.29	11.78	-21.23	-3.24
	0	8	2	18.05	18.42	31.53	0.69
	_1	8	2	38.22	37.91	63.40	20.98
	1	8	2	12.26	11.19	21.42	0.20
	-1	-8	2	9.34	9.25	15.18	1 26
	-1	8	2	3.76	4.09	-10 15	1.20
	_2	-8	2	11.52	10.42	-20 27	-2.57
	2	8	2	10.30	12 + 44	-20+51	-2.93
·	<u>+2</u>	<u> </u>	2	2/ 20	26.64	-41.46	9.85
	-2	-8	2	12.06	12.83	20.94	2.37
	3	8	2	4.17	3.19	6•31	-3.64
	-3	-8	2	8.67	8.48	15.14	0.39
	-3	8	2	0.	4.92	0•	-0.
	4	-8	2	11.40	9.87	19.24	5.14
	4	8	2	25.05	23.63	43.68	2.84
	-4	-8	2	55.75	52.78	-95.06	-21.29
	-4	8	• 2	0•	2•73	0•	-0.
	5	-8	2	8.08	6.65	14•11	<del>0•2/</del>
	5	8	2	16.57	16.06	28•47	
		-8	2	20.91	14 25		<u> </u>
	-5	8	2	10.01 27 70	14040	-23057	-15.08
	6	-0	2	12 21	11.60	-21.51	0.26
	-0	-0 0	2	140JI 26.85	25.12	-46.66	-4.90
	-07	<u> </u>	····· ć	22-53	18.64	36.27	15.30
	-7	—о я	2	26.47	22.73	-42.76	-17.64
	<u></u>	<u> </u>	2	3.75	1.87	4.33	4.91
	U	0	۲.		,		

.

						213
					17 (2	2.04
-8	8	2	10.23	1.13	17.65	6.72
0	<del>y</del>		14.70	14.52	-25.01	-5.87
1	9	2	28.01	28.78	-45.20	-18.77
1	-9	2	11.37	9.52	-19.68	2.71
-1	-9	2	20.25	18.29	-35.25	-3.02
-1	9	2	14.94	16.28	-26.11	0.04
2	-9	2	9.27	8.16	<u></u>	
2	9	2	20.09	19.42	34•10 	8 • 0 7 <del>−</del> 4 • 02
		2	27.78	28.95	48.50	2.03
-2	-0	2	22.63	19.29	39.26	4.70
3	9	2	32.58	31.42	-56.55	-6.51
-3	9	2	7.77	8.28	-11.14	-7.76
-3	-9	2	0.	0.82	0 <b>•</b> .	-0.
4	-9	2	12.62	11.38	21.45	5.13
4	9	2	4.33	4.50	-7.52	-0.81
-4	-9	2	35.95	35.42	62.72	3.48
- 4	9	2	35.50	36.75	60.32	
5	9	2	12.33	0.69	20.29	-0.19
	-9	2	11.01	7 • 00 2 • 45	20•29	-0.
=	9	2	14.75	15.02	-25.63	-2.70
-6		2	0.	3.05	0.	-0.
	-9	2	14.80	12.10	-22.45	-12.83
-7	9	2	13.00	11.45	-22.39	-3.81
- 8	9	2	18.78	19.82	-32.63	3.45
8	9	2	0.	2.30	0.	
0	-10	2	7.18	5.29	12.22	2.83
0	10	2	13.45	14.06	-21.03	
1	-10	2	5 • 0 3 5 · 7 4	3 • 1 9 4 55	0.01	1.52
1	10	2	<u> </u>	47.24	-82.93	-20.64
-1	-10	2	6.52	5.67	-11.39	-0.37
2		2	17.07	15.59	29.29	-5.64
2	10	2	4.66	2.76	8.14	-0.02
-2	-10	2	14.53	14.52	24.82	5.36
-2	10	2	12.04	11.13	20.88	2.53
3	-10	2	11.38	11.44	-19.67	-2.89
3	10	2	6.19	5.43	10.80	<u> </u>
-3	-10	2	3.81	2 • 71	4.90	4•JJ
<u> </u>	10	2	36.97	30.50	61.96	18.27
4	10	2	6.89	6.01	11.76	2.56
	-10	2	3.75	4.09	6.24	2.00
	10	2	0.	5.60	0.	Q.
5	-10	2	21.24	18.46	-35.37	-11.24
-5	10	2	36.20	33.48	60.40	18.78
-6	10	2	7.55	5 • 55	-13.11	-1.43
6	-10	2	0.	2.64	0.	
7	-10	2	17.91	15.62	-31•27	
-7	10	2	21.92	18 48		-5.22
8	-10	2	21000	1.66		0.
	<u> </u>	2	34.51	31.45	-59.37	-10.57
U		<u>~</u>				

\_\_\_\_

0	11	2	34.36	34•43		-3.03
	-11	2	9.89	9.23	17.26	-0.88
-1	11	2	27.51	30.35	47•49	7•44
	-11	2	Q.	<u>1•60</u>		
1	11	2	0.	1.42	-0.06	U •
			<u> </u>	17 24	21 12	<del></del>
2	11	2	10039	11.20	51•12 	
	11	2	26.65	27.90	-44.85	=12.52
3	11	2	28.49	26.50	47.06	16.24
-3	-11	2	12.19	10.83	20.84	4•42
3	-11	2	Q.	2.13	0.	
-3	11	2	0.	3.20	0•	-0.
4	-11	2	6.74	5.69	-11.47	2.68
4	11	2	8.77	7.12	-14.70	4.34
5	-11	2	8.97	9.82	10.77	11.39
-5	11	2	19.94	17.49	34•58	4.24
6		2		10.07	19.39	
-6		2	12.11	13.95	22.00	-3.70
		<u> </u>	12 26	10.02	10 22	0.95
- 1	11 12	2	9.50	10.52	17•22 m15.83	5.01
0	12	2	12.59	12.41	-21.91	-2.00
1	-12	2	29.82	28.02	51.83	5.38
	12	2	6.90	6.59	12.01	1.01
-1	-12	2	3.96	4.24	-6.89	0.65
-1	12	2	5.98	4.81	<b>~</b> 9•64	-4.03
2	-12	2	35.75	31.17	-60.16	-16.82
2	12	2	22.83	21.03	38.35	10.98
-2	-12	2	8.35	8.37	14.54	1.17
-2	12	2	0•	3.80	0•	0.
3	-12	2	8.60	6.88	13.01	7.51
-3	12	2	24.62	25.21	-39.25	-17.60
4	12	2	0.	3.85		0.
	-12	2	6.36	7.79	11.09	-0.64
	12	2	0.	1.09	-0.	0.
6	-12	2	7.24	5.91	12.19	3.40
-6	12	2	0.	1.41	-0.	0.
00	-13	2	11.45	11.68	19.25	5.44
0	13	2	19.54	17.93	32.15	11.50
<u>1</u>	-13	2	6.71	5.21	-11.27	-3.23
-1	-13	2	5.05	4.88	-8.74	1.21
	13	2	4.55	5.19	10 00	<u> </u>
2	-13	2	10.89	10.59	18.99	-2.06
		2	6.95	8.35	4.05	-11,45
ر 2	-12	2	21.00	20.50	-36.51	-3.70
<u> </u>	13	2	10.61	9.83	18.07	4.15
	-12	2		1.23	-0.	0.
	-13	2	8.31	8.47	-12.94	-6.59
-5	13	2	9.97	9.27	-15.61	-7.74
1	-14	2	0.	1.54	-0.	-0.
2	-14	2	12.16	10.39	-20.40	-5.94
3	-14	2	11.92	13.80	-20.80	1.02.

4	-14	2	4•54 18•73	3.11 18.37	7•81 32•13	-1.39 6.20
-1	0	3	107.70	115•48 28-55	186.95	21.56
-2	0	3	8.29	7.21	14.48	0.44
3	0	33	13.18	7 34	12.66	<u>-1.67</u>
	0	3	27.32	<u> </u>	-45.25	<u>-4.50</u>
	0	3	15.66	14.09	-27.08	-3.95
<u>5</u> 5	0	3	15.20	12.89	<u>     22•00    </u>	14.87
6	0	3	4.86	6.59	8•47	
-6	0	3	27.38	24.45	-42.24	-22.47
-7	0	<u> </u>	4.06	27.36	53.77	<u>0.83</u> 4.93
	ŏ	3	12.34	13.70	20.83	5.58
-8	0	3	0.	2•43	-0.	0.
<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	43.51	47.80	-75.90	-4.37
1		3	6.08	6.25	10.60	0.67
-1	-1	3	31.40	28.04	54.86	0.93
	<u>_</u>	<u>3</u> 3	<u> </u>	3.43	-9.42	
2		3	38.63	36.32	67.34	4.66
2	1	3	28.59	27.32	-48.98	-9.82
-2	<u> </u>	3	<u> </u>	49-28	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
3	-1	3	12.56	9.97	-20.91	-6.67
3	1	3	10.97	10.64	-19.04	-2.18
-3	<u> </u>	3	8.47	5.87	-33.32	-3./5
4	-1	3	12.74	12.63	-21.60	-5.38
4	1	3	44.85	44.82	-78.32	-2.83
	<u>1</u> 1	33	<u> </u>	49.83	<u></u>	<u>-2.27</u>
5	1	3	12.96	11.67	21.68	6.53
-5	-1	3	10.27	9.24	-17.93	-0.83
<u></u>	-1			8.29		-0.
6	<u> </u>	3	19.46	17.70	23.53	5.65
6	1	3	5•52	4.49	-5.60	-7.86
<u> </u>	-1	3	0.	3.91	0.	0.
77	-1	3	27.44	27.28	-47.35	-7.54
7	1	3	15.50	12.75	24.03	12.50
-7	1	3	23.79	18.69	-39.75	-12.16
	-1	3	3.15	6.17	5.32	1.42
-8	-1	3	16.39	14.12	27.27	8.76
<u>8</u> 0	<u>-</u> -2	<u>\$</u>	24.06	24.26	-41.36	-7.52
Ŏ	2	3	18.65	19.41	-32.44	-3.05
1	-2	3	12.34	11.24	<b>-</b> 21•54	<del>-</del> 1.02
	-2	<u> </u>	4.50	4.29	-6.32	-4.68
-		-				

-1	2	3	0.	2.28	-0.	0.	
2	2	3	23.79	25.34	40.88	7.54	
-2	-2	3	23.40	21.62	40.81	2.44	
-2	2	3	19.18	19.16	33.03	5.66	
3_	-2	3	25.23	24.63	-42.90	-10.17	
-3	-2	3	85.39	83•49	-147.36	-23.41	
-3	2	3	9.36	8.80	15•36	-5.63	
3	2	3	0.	7.50	-0.	<b>~</b> 0•	
4		3	5.14	4.15	8.92	-4 29	
4	2	3	22.04	22.00		-4.20	
=4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u> </u>	50.40	45.62	24.84	23.63	
		2	5.12	7.19	8.82	1.52	
5	2	3	22.79	24.63	39.70	3.10	
-5	-2	3	10.40	10.30	17.70	4.12	
-5	2	3	27.32	25.12	-47.73	-1.07	
6	-2	3	47.36	42.70	-82.55	-5.75	
6	2	3	27.85	27.34	47.35	11.24	
-6	2	3	7.20	3.68	-11.97	-3.87	
-6	-2	3	0•	0.95	0•	0.	
7_	-2	3	10.20	10.85	-15.13	-9.42	
7	2	3	26.32	27.45	-44.07	-13.15	
	2	3	0	9.66			
-1	2	3	0.	<b>3.54</b>	0.	-0.	
<u> </u>			20.32	25.02	65.22	18.53	
-0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2	20.0U 15.39	14.13	26.12	6.4]	
-0	<u> </u>	3	38.29	40.85	-66.71	-5.14	
0	-3	3	0.	7.74	-0.	-0.	
0	-3	3	10.19	9.13	-17.21	4.56	
1	3	3	10.84	11.97	-18.90	1.25	
-1	-3	3	19.27	18.24	-33.66	0.87	
-1	3	3	9.14	8.88	15.92	-1.30	
2	-3	3	7.40	9•16	12.37	3.77	
2	3	3	17.45	18.90	30.06	5.11	
-2	-3	3	29.55	26.18	-50.36	-11.41	
	3	3	28.06	<u> </u>	48.79	4.82	
3	- 3	2	00.09	7.34	102011	3.23	
			25,19	21.76	-44.00	1.15	
-3	3	2	11.70	_ 11.15	20.41	1.24	
	-3	3	14.57	12.80	25.37	-2.11	
4	3	3	9.38	7.10	11.83	11.35	
	-3	3	10.08	9.60	17.52	-1.76	
-4	3	3	6.98	6.24	-11.35	4.46	
5	-3	3	43.54	40•69	75.49	9•48	
	3	3	82.14	79.32	142.96	12.68	
5	3	3	0•	2.87	0•	0•	
-5	-3	3	0.	16.06	<u> </u>	0.02	
6	3	3	28.19	27.496	47 • ZD	U•02	
-6	33	3	11.36	1.44	-19.92	<u> </u>	
6 4	-2 -2	2 2	0.	12.37		0	
		2	5.11	4.19	-5.64	-6.92	
1	2	2	~ * * *	· T ■ A 2		v e e ca	

		- 3	2	11.70	11.40	-20.42	1.00	
	7	-3	3	11.67	10.27	-19.68	-5.33	
	7	-3	3	0.	3.38	0•	-0.	
	8	-3	3	21.32	19.56	-35.96	-9.72	
	- 8	-3	3	12.66	10.74	-20.89	-7.29	
	-8	3	3	5.02	6.13	8.63	-1.58	·
	-9	3	3	8.50	10.47	14.24	-4.22	
<u></u>	0	4	3	27.89		-7.03		
	0	4	3	2.€90 17.14	16.34	29.26	6.41	
		4/	<b></b>	25.18	27.58	43.61	5.84	
		4	2	23010	3.05	-0.		
	-1	-4	3	0.	10.28	0•	0.	
	2	4	3	32.45	34.48	56.48	4.96	
	-2	4	3	67.88	81.18	-117.32	-17.45	
	2	-4	3	0.	3.59	0.	0.	
	-2	-4	3	0•	4 • 57	-0.	0.	
	3	-4	3	11.12		-19.02	22 60	
	3	4	3	14.31	11.04	10.00	-2.47	
	-3	4	3	14.40	2 00			
	-3	-4	3	37.34	35.37	65.14	3.63	
	4	4		16.01	12.48	-25.20	-12.14	
	-4		3	24.27	21.85	42.39	1.08	
<u></u>		4	3	7.57	5.00	-13.13	1.60	
	5	-4	3	15.44	14.06	23.92	12.47	
	5	4	3	17.40	16.09	29.13	8.71	
	-5	4	3	52.40	48.55	88.83	22.21	
	-5	4	3	10.20	8.34	17.47	3.0.52	
	6		3	26.69	23.09	12 01	1.83	
	6	4	3	1.91	1.82	13.01 -71.29	-10.96	
	-6	4	<u> </u>	21.38	18.19	-36.86	-6.09	
	7	4 /4	2 R	10.53	12.29	-18.02	-3.70	
	-7	4	3	23.40	18.91	38.01	15.07	
	-8	4	3	19.07	18.35	=32.69	-6.45	
	8	-4	3	0.	1•49	0•	-0.	
	-9	4	3	6.03	5.34	-9.02	-5.44	
	0	-5	3	15.36	14.07	<del>~</del> 26.63		
	0	5	3	10.02	<u> </u>	/•UY		
	1	-5	3	11.13	12.04	-1230U/ -19_08	1.79	
	1	<u>5</u>	<u> </u>	16.30	14.40	28.33	-2.91	
	-1		2 2	17.81	17.57	31.11	-0.80	
	2	5	3	20.36	20.78	35.04	6.14	
		-5	3	11.34	10.26	18•96	5.76	
	-2	5	3	13.38	15.28	-23.37	-0.59	
	2	5	3	0.	2.78	Q	0.	
	3	-5	3	37.28	36.33	-64.45		
	3	5	3	20.15	20.18	34.91	<u> </u>	
	-3	-5	3	8008	Y•31	15005 	-11.37	
	-3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		10-20	-36.17	-0.36	
	4		<b>5</b> 2	20.10	16.64	₩26.66	-5.04	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	17.75	17.61	29.39	9.92	
	+	- <u> </u>	ر	÷ · • · >				

	-4	5	3	13.03	12.92	22.73	1.34
	5	-5	3	12.98	10.52	-22.46	3.17
	5	5	3	17.79	17.01	-30.88	-3.59
	-5	-5	3	16.42	14.60	28.50	-3.34
	-5	5	3	27.24	26 • 27	47.29	5•40
	6	-5	3	35.27	30.50	59.95	14.27
	6	5	3	4.37	4.35	-0.06	-7.64
	-6	5	3	18.23	17.54	31.75	2.58
	-6	-5	3	0•	5 • 87	-0.	0.
	7	-5	3	17.37	17.80	-29.06	
	-7	-5	3	21.79	21.20	-37.73	-5.13
	-7	5	3	14.35	14.26	-24.82	3.56
	8	-5	3	10.39	10.54	17.02	6.33
······································	-8	5	3	33.35		5.51	11.12
	0	6	3	53.29	61.04	91.68	10.29
	0	-6	3	0.	19.77	<u> </u>	
	1	<del>-</del> 6	3	10.15	8 • 48	-16.85	5.55
····	1	6	3	19.76	20.65	-33.93	6.40
	-1	-6	3	6.85	6•45	-11.79	-2.04
	-1	6	3	21.30	22.69	36.8/	
	2	-6	3	7.62	8.52	-13.20	-1.24
		6	3	8.33	<u> </u>	77 05	37 42
	-2	-6	3	42•21	40.02	11.05	17.442
	2	6	3	17 15	16.50	-27.51	-11.89
	2	-0	3	16 66	15 42	27.36	0.46
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	15.00	15 92	-27.43	-5-33
	- 3		3	16 61	18.16	-28-80	-3.62
	-3	<u> </u>		14 21	14.96	-24.10	-5,96
	4	0	2	14021	21.42	37.26	7.31
	<u> </u>	0			2 67		0.
	4	-0	3	0.	5 • U 7 / 1 2	0.	-0.
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	25 09	22.21	43.23	7.29
	5	-0	3	17,15	16.51	-26.64	-13.73
	-5		3	7.88	7.06	-13.64	-1.91
	-5	-0	2	9.77	8.72	-10.43	-13.51
	<u> </u>	-6	3	15.30	13.50	26.70	1.35
	6	0	3	3.61	6.16	2.82	5.64
and the second sec		-6	3	18.79	16.43	-32.58	-4.09
	-6	6	3	16.50	16.93	28.64	3.29
·······	7	-6	3	27.82	29.38	47•90	8.30
	-7	6	3	6.74	3.91	9.26	7.28
	8	-6	3	10.79	10.28	16.46	9.20
	8	6	3	0.	3.32	-0.	0.
	0	-7	3	16.81	14.99	-29.28	-2.28
	<u>0</u>	7	3	13.99	13.86	24•27	-2.92
	1	-7	3	18.36	28.80	31.61	5.48
	1	7	3	22.75	22.98	-39.74	0.80
	-1	-7	3	57.84	57.44	99.39	18.30
	-1	77	3	63.73	76.50	110.72	11.94
	2	-7	3	55.01	53.51	96.10	2.04
	2	7	3	7.31	8.02	12.57	2.29
	-2	-7	3	43.21	40 • 57	75.50	0.88
	-2	7	3	5.52	0.87	-9.64	
	3	7	3	8•98	10.00	15•48	-2021

- 3	-	7 3	3 1	5.80	16.09	-27.12	-5.18
3		73		0.	-2.83		
- 3		7 3	5	0•	20.70		
4		7 3	32		11 27		
4		1 3				-19020	
-4		3	2	7.00	19.58	-12 48	-2.77
-4		13	}	1.99	9 e 0 L	-12000	7,23
5		<u>ر</u> ع	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	14 00	-26-02	5,98
5		1 3 7 0		2020	10 622	16.19	-0-93
		·	<u>}</u>	<del>9 • ∠ 0</del>	2.51	-0.	0
- 5	,		<b>)</b>	U • 4 12	16 40	-24.04	-5.61
6			<u> </u>	9 05	10 27	-32.06	-8-95
-6	, +			9.00	17041		-18-83
	<u>.</u>		32	<u> </u>	<u>4</u> 27	-11.75	-0.46
( 		1 2	3	0 • 1 5	2.55	-11.12	0.
		<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>	2 02	10 41	-22-47	2,17
t	<u>,</u>		3 1	2 • 7 <u>2</u>	10 • 41	→22•41 m1/1.08	1_88
	<u>}</u>	<u> </u>	3	6 12	17 62	<u></u>	-0.46
(	)	8 <u>-</u>	5 L		4 54	-20-10	-4.66
	)	82	<u>,</u>	5 20	5 94	=0.25	9-40
ار -		8 1 0 2	3 , 7	2020 4 21	26.43	-0-22	-6.63
		<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	$4 \bullet 21$	10.82	-32,22	-8.83
		0 1	<b>)</b> 1	9 6 6	10.16	-14.64	3.83
		8	<u> </u>	7 00	26.55	-45.99	-16.06
4		8 2	2 Z	/ 10	12 62	24.51	2.46
		<u>8</u>	31	4.10	10.09	-14.71	
-	2	8	3	9.09	1.90	-14•71	-0.
	~	. <u>ک</u>	3	7 70	8.80	-11.75	-6.55
	<b>j</b> —	ν <b>ο</b> .	<i>)</i>	0.02	9.80	16.18	6.26
	<u>)</u>	0 .	2 <u> </u>	1.20	11.95	16.75	10.42
		0	2	0.	1.12	0.	-0.
	<u> </u>	0 4	2	6.87	10.59	-11.99	-0.50
4	+	ο. Ω '	2	5.72	5.92	-5.78	-8.16
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>†</b>	<u> </u>	2 2	6.60	37.12	-62.70	-12.60
,	+ <del>-</del>	• <b>o</b> •	2 S	20.16	23.41	-35.22	-0.44
·····	<u>+</u>	0	3 /	0.47	46.09	-70.33	-7.34
	5 -	•0 •8	3 2	93.99	24.87	41.48	6.05
	<u> </u>	8	2 1	6.58	14.66	-28.79	-3.24
	5	о 	3	0.	2.70	-0.	0.
	<u> </u>	8	3	5.62	3.12	-1.63	-9.68
-	0 6	•8	3	0.	8.24	-0.	-0.
	7	8	3	1.22	12.18	19.48	2.24
	, 7 -	-8	3	0.	5.11	0.	0.
	8 -	-8	3	6.57	10.84	11.06	3.08
-	8	8	3	7.55	7.58	-11.98	-5.52
	0 -	-9	3	35.62	43.01	-62.19	-2.61
	0	9	- · 3	0	2.03	-0.	-0.
	1 -	-9	3	1.43	13.16	19.97	-0.43
	-	9	3	22.34	22.74	-36.80	-13.02
	1 -	-9	3	15.40	15.46	<b>→</b> 25•96	-7.09
	ĩ	9	3	13.60	15.11	23.38	4.27
	2 -	•9	3	36.42	43.82	61•98	14.43
	- 2	9	3	0.	4.17	0.	
****	2 -	-9	3	0.	3.06	0•	0•

2	9	3	0.	11.87	0.	0.	
3_	-9	3	9.12	10.57	-14.78	-5,95	
3	9	3	5.77	2.80	-9.78	-2.45	
	-9	3	28.16	31.45	-46.66	-15.61	
-3	9	3	0•	1.13	0•	-0.	
4	-9	3	7.80	7.22	12.06	6.35	
4	9	3	9.72	11.52	-16.95	-1.01	
		3	18.88	2030		14 95	
<del>~~</del> 4	9 0	3	0/0/0	01029	17.53	2.01	
	9 9	2		1.48		-0.	
		2	0.	3.89		Q •	
6	-9	3	0.	9.33	0.	-0.	
-6	9	3	0.	1.40	0.	-0.	
7	-9	3	9.70	27.07	-16.48	-3.95	
-7_	9	3	6.36	5.79	11.10	-0.48	
-8	9	3	26.53	28.39	46.25	3.10	
0	-10	3	0.	5.68	0	-0.	
0	10	3	0•	3.86	-0.	0.	
1	-10	3	10.84	18.29	18.45	4.27	
1	10	3	14.83	15.02	25.56	-4.28	
-1	-10	3	0.	4.61	0.	-0.	
-1	10	3	<b>U</b> •	28.01		-0.	
2	10		5.97	7.06	10.14	1.52	
-2	-10	2	J•01 7 22	7.00	-12-37	-2.57	
2	10			6.11			
2	-10	3	15.49	42.74	26.93	2.76	
3	10	3	16.97	18.25	28.77	7.20	
-3	10	3	14.23	14.81	24.84	1.02	
-3	-10	3	0.	6.04	-0.	0•	
-4	-10	3	12.64	10.63	-21.98	-2.18	
- 4	10	3	9.11	9 • 95	15.28	4•46	
4	-10	3	0.	16.59	Q.	0.	
-5	10	3	10.58	10.57	-18.42	-1.61	
5	-10	3	0	5.53	<u>0.</u>		
-6	10	3	6.96	6.92	11.21	4.12	
6_	<u>-10</u>	3	<del>0•</del>	<u> </u>		-3 90	
-/	10	3	0 • 48	0 • 2 L 2 2 . 4 7	-10.03	-7.70	
0	<del></del>		9,21	10.14	-15.36	-4.81	
1	11	2	4.75	4.12	-7.17	-4.17	
	-11	3	8.91	10.89	-15.42	2.11	
		3	7.33	9.42	-12-34	3.44	
1	-11	3	0•	2.98	0•	0.	
2	-11	3	5.85	16.64	-10.09	-1.66	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	11	3	7.21	7•94	12.33	2.59	
	-11	3	8.25	15.98	14.30	1.86	
-2	11	3	59.29	71.21	-102-33	-16.1/	
3	-11	3	6.83	38.25			
-3	-11	3	11.75	20.17	20.30	3.04	
-3		3	0.	<u> </u>	12 70	2 00	
-4	11	3	7.52	2 • 1 1	12019		
<u>4</u>	<u> </u>	3	Q.54	31-04	14.52	3.61	
5	-11	د	0.00	91+04	エサモノム	J O T	

						221
an an tha an						· · ·
	11	3	0.	1.15	-0.	0.
-6		3	31.36	31.47	-54.54	-5.29
0	12	3	8.12	7.66	13.72	3.62
	-12	3	12 11	12 00	() • 21 45	<u> </u>
_1	-12	2 2	- <u> </u>	16.80	-10-26	-2.17
	-12	3	0.0	18.62	-0.	~0.
-1	12	3	0	4.53	-0.	Ŏ.
2	-12	3	0.	7.23	-0.	-0.
-2	12	3	0.	4.14		
-3	12	3	7.21	6.78	12.59	0.56
33	-12	3	<u>0</u> .	9.66		
-4	12	3	4.62	5.65	7•48	-3.04
4E		3	7 52	10.97	12.03	1.73
- 5	12	2	15.56	15.75	26.81	4.53
0	-13	3	0.	14.44	0.	0.
1	-13	3	3.69	43.17	6.43	0.46
-1	13	3	13.37	14.84	22.97	4.25
2	13	3	0.	3.15	0.	
- 3	13	3	10.46	10.11	-17.92	-3.59
-4	13	3	5.25	6.36	7.22	5.66
1	0	4	15.70	16.33	-26.95	-5.12
<b>-</b>	0	4	12.38	11.59	=21.63	0.28
-2	0	4	12.50	1.44	-21.05	0.
3	0	4	44.27	42.48	-76.82	-9.10
-3	Õ	4	33.18	35.22	-57.52	-7.24
4	0	4	8.51	7.47	-13.01	-7.20
	0	4	0.	3.38	0.	-0.
5	0	4	37.41	38.38	65.36	0.64
5	0	4	17.85	16.94	-31.04	<u>3.08</u>
6	0	4	9.48	10.19	12.30	D●29 
0	0	<u>4</u>	7,21	6.56	12.34	2,55
-7	0	4		2.06	0.	0
	0	4	23.89	23.05	41.23	6.52
0		4	91.93	113.70	159.84	15.97
0	1	4	30.02	29.68	52.43	1.52
1	-1	4	25.12	25.99	-43.39	
1	1	4	17.45	15.69	-30.02	
	1	<u> </u>	3/•28	<u> </u>	0.	8.0.0
-1	-1	4	16.92	15.14	27.49	10.88
2	1	4	108.50	119.22	-188.95	-15.52
-2	-1	4	20.10	19.04	-34.96	-3.33
-2	ī	4	40.63	40.09	-70.82	
3	-1	4	16.22	14.72	27.93	4.81
3	1	4	11.85	13.64	19.56	6.80
-3	-1	4	14.99	14.29		
-3	1	4	51.63	52•59	88•51 10 /2	1/040 
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	43.71	42-50	76.23	4.75
	-1 1	4 4	36.32	33.72	63.45	1.49
4	1	4	0.	20.66	-0.	-0.
4	*	- <b>I</b> .	~ -			

c	 ī	-1	<u> </u>	27.70	27.58	-47.08	-11.22
	, 5.	1	4	7.01	5.12	-12.07	-2.09
	õ	-1	4	52.78	51.28	-90.43	-18.11
	5		4	0.	5.05	0.	
ć	5	-1	4	20.53	23.59	33.95	11.60
6	5		4	14.57	13.55	-25.01	4.78
-(	5	-1	4	34•21	32.10		1.09
	<u>כ</u> ז		<u> </u>	12.13	14.26	-20-88	-3.64
	( 7	-1	4	14.16	13.56	23.38	8.11
	7	1	4	24.15	23.63	41.80	5.82
	7	-1	4	O	2.33	-0.	Q_
	8	-1	4	7.27	6.99	12.24	3.41
	8		4	0.	4.94	-0.	-0.
(	0	-2	4	16.14	16.76	-28.18	-1.21
	0	2	4	9.59	8.96	-16.64	-1.98
	1	-2	4	27.41	28.02	47.24	7.92
······································	1		4		<u> </u>	20 41	<u> </u>
****	1	-2	4	22.00	24.86	39.65	5 - 4 4
	1 <u> </u>		<u> </u>	22.19	21.60	38.36	5.66
	2	-2	4 4	31.55	30.19	54.80	5.98
	2	2	4	5.59	5.82	-9.74	-0.75
	2	2	4	6.95	6.43	12.04	1.61
	3	-2	4	13.96	12.93	-24.32	1.90
	3	2	4	13.01	12.56	22.20	4.87
	3	-2	4	9.84	8.59	15.34	-7.76
	3	2	4	0.	1.28	-0.	-0.
	4	-2	4	27.77	27.72	-48.09	-6.47
	4		4	20.02	20.02	34.91	
	4	-2	4	22.00	21.00	29•92 	10.64
·	<u>4</u> б	2	4	8.72	8.5/	=15.02	=2.63
	5	-2	4 4	32.06	31.81	55.29	9.03
	5	-2	4	23.81	24.12	40.90	7.61
	5	2	4	0.	5.43	-0.	-0.
	6	-2	4	21.31	17.82	-34.79	-13.28
	6	2	4	9.94	10.36	16.32	5.93
-	6	<del>-</del> 2	4	10.01	10.12	-17.47	0.84
	6		4	17.16	15.39	-28.85	-2.20
	1	-2	4	17.32	19.12	-22.17	$-2 \cdot 50$
	7	2	4	15.55	14.42	26.54	5.83
-	ו ד	~2	4	8-86	8.02	-15.28	=2.49
	8	2	4	17.47	16.97	-30.46	-2.00
	0	-3	4	46.28	50.24	-20.51	-7.57
	0	3	4	0.	4.44	0•	0.
	1	-3	4	20.24	18.96	-34.63	-7.18
	1	3	4	29.70	31.72	-51.06	-9.29
	1	-3	4	8.04	7.22	12.90	5,55
-	1	3	4	43.95	4/•95	-15.25	
	2	-3	4	12.56	<u>13.36</u>	12.04	0.61
	2	3	4	0 • YU 56, 51	ン・フラ 57 - 41	12004 ++	-20.55
<b></b>	2	<u></u> 22	<del>4</del>	12.36	12.78	-21.27	-3.77
-	4	2	-	1 C V J V		ar on - La 1	

3	-3	/1	52.61	53.78	90-89	13.78	
3	- 2	4 4	10.34	11.71	17.35	5.04	
-3	-3	4	12.64	10.84	-22.08	-0.33	
-3	3	4	6.68	4.04	-11.41	-2.47	
4	-3	4	38.85	37.91	-66.71	-12.55	
4	3	4	52.92	53.29	91.51	13.31	
-4	3	4	14.09	14.62	24.09	5.09	
-4	-3	4	0.	5.40	0.	0.	
5	3	4	11.00	12.00	-17.82	-7.20	
-5	-3	4	10.08	9.20	16.70	5.59	
-5	3	4	32.98	32.74	-57.38	-5.32	
5	-3	4	0	1.83	0.	<u>0.</u>	
6	-3	4	1.66	6.63	13.34	1.10	
6	3	4	15.88	16.18	26.00	9.00	/
-6		4	14.41			-4.20	
	<u> </u>	4	7 80	7.50	11.6/	-7.39	
-7		4	1:07	34.00	56.43	15.83	
	- 5	<del>4</del>	16.01	16.01	27.60	-4.54	
-1	2	4	6.74	6.38	-11-37	<b>→</b> 3•06	
0	<u>5</u>	<del>_</del>	25.71	25.73	-44.86	-2.30	
0		4	0.	3.01	0.	-0.	
1	-4	4	8.10	6.58	-13.32	-4.78	
1	4	4	24.17	25.24	-41.90	-5.27	
-1	-4	4	10.88	13.87	-18.14	-5.70	
-1	4	4	7.80	7.78	12.99	4.11	
2	-4	4	42.60	44.77	74•20	5.95	
2	4	4	9.58	8.36	16.23	4.11	
-2	-4	4	33.59	32.64	58.65	2.31	
-2	4	4	12.67	15.18	-17.46	-13.61	
3	-4	4	16.20	13.25	28.14	3.09	
3	4	4	15.68	15.17	25.93	8.85	
-3	-4	4	6.52	6.54	-10.62	-4.13	
-3	4	4	4.90	10.01	<u> </u>	-0.00	
4	-4	4	43.90	40.29	15.48	13.00	
4	4	4	46.5/	0 75	-80.90	2 1/1	
		4	0.00	14.73	-19-19	7,57	
<u> </u>		<del>4</del>	17.45	18.27	-30.18	4.32	
	-4	4	6.70	8.66	-11.31	3.04	
5	-4	4	0.	2.32	-0.	0.	
5	4	4	0	6.26	-0.	-0.	
6	-4	4	7.57	8.49	11.61	6.33	
-6	4	4	18.99	18.81	33.18	-0.40	
6	4	4	0•	1•44	0•	-0.	
-6	-4	4	<b>.</b>	3.89	-0.	-0.	
-7	-4	4	16.05	19.98	-27.44	-5.79	
7_	4	4	46.85	44.74	79.40	19.91	
7	-4	4	0.	2.18	0.	-0.	
	4	4	8.27		-14-18		
0	-5	4	16.11	16.65	$-28 \cdot 14$	0.02	
0_	<u></u>	4	13.83			-14.58	
		4	62.03	72 • 70 77 _ 04	-140041	12.73	
1		<u> </u>	23-24	21.56	39.65	8.76	
T		4	67864				

			44-2-14-2-14-2-14-2-14-2-14-2-14-2-14-2				
	1	5	4	9.16	9.96	15•71	3.05
	2	-5	4	37.01	36.61	63.91	9.85
- 2	2	-5	4	38.72	36.17	67.34	6.58
	2	5	4		8.22	0.	
- ;	2	5	4	0•	3.95	-0•	-0.
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	3	5	4	20.74	21.32	35.02	9.32
	3	-5	4	11.47	8.52	-19.73	-3.50
	3	5	4	33.60	37.58	58.59	3.74
	3	-5	4	0.	1.83	0•	-0.
	4			10.78	<u> </u>	-18.40	-4.02
	4	5	4	14.85	14.99	-25.84	
	4		4	29.52		46.63	
	4	5	4	41.63	43.50	-12+31	-1.80
	<u>م</u>	<u>5</u>	4	15 (0	12 47	27 25	
445. ·	5 r	5 E	4	12.69	12.47	21.20	-2+71
	2	<u>&gt;</u>	4	23.20		-43.93	
	5		4	0.	4 • 10 22 94	58.20	10.75
····	<u>6</u>	<u></u>	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-13.02	-5.64
	6		4	0 • 1 2	0.00	-15.02	
	0 7	5	<u>4</u>	12.16	13.10	-18.24	-10-89
_	י ד	-5	4	22.30	22,27	38.46	6.25
	<u>г</u>	5	4	10.13	9.04	-17.69	-0.44
	0		4	38.70	37.85	-67.41	-5,39
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	6	<del>4</del>	28.45	31.37	47.76	13.79
	1	6	4	25.37	25.80	-43.75	-7.15
	1	-6	<del></del>	9.46	9.49	15.66	5.29
	1		4	0.	2.28	0.	-0.
	±	6	4	0.	3.43	-0.	0.
	2	-6	4	53.86	52.57	-93.09	-13.86
·····	2	6	4	23.24	22.62	40.47	3.37
-	2	6	4	25.17	30.27	-43.60	-5.75
	2	-6	4	0.	3.28	0•	0•
-	3	-6	4	24.81	23.60	42.83	6.72
	3	6	4	8.29	8.63	-13.37	-5.56
	3	-6	4	0.	5.78	0.	-0.
	3	6	4	0•	2.82	-0.	0.
	4	-6	4	9.06	9.83	-13.55	-8.19
	4	6	4	22.72	22.76	-39.40	-4.89
-	4	-6	4	24.58	21.37	-42.79	-3.67
	4	6	4	0•	4•36	-0.	0.
Age	5	-6_	4	10.00	7.08	17.09	3.66
	5	6	4	15.47	17.23	-26.27	-6.37
	5	-6	4	9.89	8.85	1/•01	3.08
	5	6	4	20.53	20.30	-29.25	-20.11
	.6		4	1.24	8.42		
	6	-6	4	0•	3.68	0.	U •
	<u>.</u>	6	4	10.02	10 91	<u> </u>	8-89
	1	-6	<b>4</b> 7.	17 54	18.24	-10.07	3.74
	<u> </u>	6	<u>4</u>	7 17	5 50	10.42	1.60
	Ö	5	4	1411	16 24	_27_28	-6.13
	0	-/	4	16.57	17.62	28.64	4.27
	U v	1	4	11 14	Q.14	19.50	0.32
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	62.89	62.42	108.67	16.21
	τ	-1	4	0200	02 • 72	TOOTO	

-1	7	4	24.33	27.35	-42.45	-2.37	
2	-7	<b>4</b>	15.65	15.43	26.79	5.50	
2	7	4	12.51	12.29	-20.93	-6.32	
-2	-7	4	20.10	18.98	-34.97	-3.25	
-2	7	4	14.45	17.39	24.44	6.35	
3	7	4	52.92	56.57	<b>→</b> 91•80	-11.14	
-3	-7	4	25.58	23.28	-43.74	-9.18	
-3	7	4	10.11	12.98	17.65	-0.62	
3_	-7	4	Q.	3.64	0.	-0.	
4	-7	4	31.10	31.27	-52.98	-12.07	
4	7	4	4.68	5.48	7.88	2.20	
-4	-7	4	4.77	3•48	-6.30	-5.46	
	7	4	9.62	9.75	16.81	-0.12	
5	-7	4	28.84	21.83	49.35	$10 \cdot 18$	
		4	11.30	8.47	-18.52		
-5	- (	4	0.	2.99	-0.	0.05	
		4	9.14	2 17	13.94	0.	
6	- <i>1</i>	4	0.40	2 • 1 /	-16.42	0.16	
/	<del>_</del> _/	4	8.34	8.93	-14.44	-1.94	
- 1	7	4	4.06	5.04	5.42	4.58	
0	-8	<del></del>	31.44	32.15	53.23	13.58	
0	8	4	13.78	15.56	23.61	4.74	
1	8	4	11.26	12.60	19.66	0.69	
-1	-8	4	18.97	18.80	-33.13	0.96	
	8	4	24.62	27.89	42.51	6.60	
- 1	8	4	0.	6.02	-0.	-0.	
2	-8	4	15.57	15.17	25•33	9.92	
2	8	4	25.94	27.16	-43.67	-12.15	
-2	-8	4	13.93	13.71	24•03	3.88	
-2	8	4	11.15	10.92	-18.73	-5.36	
3	-8	4	43.54	41.14	-76.07	-1.21	
3	8	4	27.67	29.04	41.52	8.91	
-3	8	4	9.61	11.78	3•45	10.43	
	-8	4	0	4.39		-/ 22	
4	8	4	10.39	9.94		-4.55	
	<u>–8</u>	4	20.70	2/1 06	36-24	2.46	
	0 8	4	20.19	24090	=0.	-0.	
	-8	4 /L	7,52	6.91	-5.02	-12.14	
5	8	4	0	2.21	0.	-0.	
	8	4	8.20	10.60	-14.11	-2.49	
6	-8	4	0.	3.24	0.	0.	
-7	8	4	10.13	9.92	-16.49	6.42	
00_	9	4	7.70	5.19	-8.78	-10.20	
0	-9	4	0•	5•41	0•	-0.	
1	-9	4	13.33	13.00	23.26	1.13	
-1	-9	4	10.99	12.71	-19.19	0.76	
1	9	4	0.	2 • 06		<u>0</u> .	
-1	9	4	0.	0.65	0.	-0.	
2	-9	4	35.18	37.52	60.03		,
2	9	4	19.80	21.081	-11 52	-2.00	
-2	<u> </u>	4	17.04	21 42		-1,09	
-2	У	4	T1020	<b>∠⊥</b> ●4∠	-91090	1.002	

					1		
2			28.03	26.50	-48.44	-7.24	
2		4	15.57	18.33	26.79	4.73	
		<del>_</del>	20.46	19.75	-32.35	-15.22	
-3	9	4	0	2.54	-0.	0.	
-4	-9	4	10.07	12.10	17.10	4.15	
4	-9	4	0.	4.09			
-4	9	4	0•	1.50	0•	0.	
5	-9	4	12.32	11.02	21.50	1.10	
-5	9	4	9.52	9.68	16.53	1.88	
6	-9	4	17.92	16.08	-29.57	-10.29	
-6	9	4	5.68	8.37	-9.30		
-7	9	4		2.59		-0.17	
0	-10	4	18.69	18.97	-31+34	-9.11	
0	10	4	22.56	25.16	<u> </u>	-0 /1	
1	-10	4	30.17	29.49	-47.26	-0+1	
	10	4	14 49	14 07	=25.30	0.01	
-1	-10	4	14+48	20 19	-23.71	-16.99	
<u> </u>	10	4	9.69	9,22	=16.93	0.16	
2	-10	4	9.50	9.42	-16.29	-3.19	
2	10	<u> </u>	26.34	26.09	-43.96	-13.62	
-2	-10	4 4	11.30	11.59	-19.05	-5.21	
	-10	4	27.05	25.40	45.19	13.84	
	-10	1.	17,22	20.24	30.09	0.39	
	10	<u>4</u>	0.	1.42	0.	0.	
4	-10	4	16.48	16.29	-28.28	-5.42	
-4	10	4	6.87	7.34	10.98	4.85	
5	-10	4	23.74	22.38	40.66	8.23	
	10	4	7.13	4.87	5.93	-10.95	
6	-10	4	0.	7.58	-0.	-0.	
-6	10	4	25.17	25.84	41.11	15.62	
0	-11	4	33.41	33.88	-57.36	-10.88	
0	11	4	11.79	13.63	20.51	1.94	
1	-11	4	13.80	15.27	23.92		
-1	-11	4	7.48	7.95	-13.02	1.13	
-1	11	4	9.58	8.68	-16.69		
1	11	4	0.	1.90	0.		
2	11	4			11.22	6.42	
-2	-11	4	1.45	1079	-19.95	-3.88	
-2	<u>_</u>	4 /	<u> </u>	6.35	14.10	-2.34	
3		4	0.	9.32		-0-	
	<u>_</u>	<del></del> _/_	12.72	13.08	20.89	7.60	
-4	-11	4	0.	3.49	0.	0.	
5		4	19.28	19.85	32.02	10.46	
-5	11	4	9.61	10.66	-16.54	2.87	
0	-12	4	13.56	15.60	23•68	0.89	
11	-12	4	33.56	36.35	-57.20	-12.92	
-1	12	4	6.75	6.14	-11.77	-0.80	
2	-12	4	15.12	16.97	26.11	4.02	
-2	12	4	8.10	7•48	-12.14	-7.28	
3	-12	4	9.22	9.81	-14.52	-6.98	
-3	12	4	17.72	24.07	30.42	5.19	
4_	-12	4	7.34	6.80	12.69		
-4	12	4	12.37	11.70	-14.00	-17001	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•
·							
1	0	5	20.60	19.48	-35-95	-1.81	
-1	0	5	16.01	13.37	27.89	-2.16	
2	0	5	4.68	6.15	-7.63	-2.94	
-2	õ	5	28.25	26.03	46.99	15.12	
3	0	5	8.36	10.89	10.69	-9.95	
-3	Õ	5	0.	4.22	-0.	0	
4	0	5	10.18	10.04	17.04	5.12	
-4	0	5	5.77	4.93	9.57	3.19	
-5	0	5	12.66	12.17	-21.30	-5.96	
5	0		0.	37.15	-0.	-0.	
6	0	5	5.97	8.04	10.34	1.40	
	0	5	0.	1.89	0.	0.	
-7	0	5	12.34	14.24	-21.13	-4.28	
0	-1	5	20.90	22.75	35.21	9.69	
0	1	5	0.	5.84	0•	0•	
1	-1	5	26.59	25.87	-45.90	-7.21	
1	1	5	8.14	7.09	-13.42	-4.72	
	-1	5	6.20	6.93	10.71	1.62	
-1	1	5	9.70	9.71	16.41	4.25	
2	-1	5	0.	4.37	0.	-0.	
-2	-1	5	0.	5.69	0•	-0.	
2	11	5	0.	3.01	-0.	-0.	
3	-1	5	7.64	8.13	13.23	1.78	
3	1	5	18.42	20.41	30.97	8.75	
-3	-1	5	5.90	4.99	-9.81	-3.16	
-3	1	5	39.11	38.93	66.30	16.58	
4	-1	5	24.51	21.32	-41.25	-11.53	
4_	1	5	9.01	8.88	15.67	1.50	
-4	-1	5	0•	2.19	-0.	0•	
	1	5	0.	4.13	-0.	0	
5	-1	5	26.70	27.94	-46.00	-7.76	
5	-1	5	42.43	38.60	-/2.10	-1/.29	
-5	1	5	17.50	16.98	-29.24	-8.94	
<u>5</u>		5	0.	2.14			
6	-1	5	0.31	1 • 4 5	-10.42	3.92	
6	<u></u>	<u>&gt;</u>	31.14	<u> </u>	20.26	2 17	
<b>~</b> 0	-1	5		1( 02	29.30	Z • 1 1 	
	<u>_</u>	<u>&gt;</u>	7 64	<u> </u>	7.76	10.86	
- 1	1	5	1.04	5.07	1.10	1,27	
		<u>5</u>	7.90	7.53	13.40	3.30	
0	-2	5	10.81	13.45	15.59	-10-66	
01		5	30.95	30.16	-51.72	15.79	
1	-2	5	6.06	7,93	-10.58	0.46	
	2	<u>5</u>	6.01	6.89	-10.23	-2.37	
-1	<u> </u>	5	0.	2.01	10-25	-0	
<b></b>		5	25.46	25.46	-43.43	-9.64	
<b>~</b>	د 	ノ に	20-81	21.52	=35.09	-9.52	
<b></b>	<u>-</u>	 ĸ	12.22	12.67	-21-12	-4.26	
-2	ے س	5		3.64	-0-	-0-	
2	<u> </u>	5	44.08	45.31	76.18	11.39	
		5	19.53	18.87	-34.00	2.91	
2	2	5	0.	2.06	-0.	0.	
ر. ۲. سه	2	ר ה	0	3.30	0.	0.	
	-2	5	46.99	47.57	-80.95	-13.71	
	<b>6</b>	2					

,, <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>							
	-4	2	5	22.56	22.04	29.40	-1.39
	4	-2	5	0.			
	4	2	5	0.	2•49	0•	0.
	5	-2	5	21.84	22.46	-38.02	-3.33
	5	2	5	21.85	19.43	36•42	11.44
	-5	-2	5	22.03	24.10		0.36
	-5	2	5	5.64	4.36	6•98	6.95
	6	2	5	13.35	14.77	-22.97	-4.04
	-6	-2	5	6.62	4.94	11.49	-1.37
	-6	2	5	41.72	43.28	-72.74	-4.85
	6	-2	5	0.	5.03	0•	-0.
	7	-2	5	10.93	10.52	18.27	5,57
	-7	2	5	0.	2.61	0•	-0.
	0	-3	5	8.97	11.12	15.31	3.37
	0	3	5	30.21	35.55	52.37	6.65
	1	3	5	2.81	5.59	-3.97	-2.89
	-1	-3	5	25.54	26.21	44.49	3.54
	-1	3	5	31.25	34.96	-52.79	-13.95
	1	-3	5	0.	2.09	-0.	-0.
	2	-3	5	14.76	14.32	24.17	8.99
	2	3	5	10.68	11.18	-18.00	-4.92
	-2	-3	5	13.97	13.52	-22.11	-10.35
	-2	3	5	18.05	19.58	-31.46	-2.25
	3	-3	5	17.52	18.68	29.53	8.06
	3	3	5	11.74	11.75	19.66	5.87
	-3		5	5.69	6.74	9.93	0.41
	-3	3	5	12.17	13.05	19.93	7.42
	4	à	5	13.57	14.43	22.47	7.58
	-4		5	14.45	14.53	23.31	9.71
		2	5	22.77	22.38	39.08	7 48
	<del></del>		5	0.	1.58	-0.	-0.
	5		5	7.55	7.49	-12.19	-5.04
	<b>_</b>		<u>5</u>	7.07	8.57	-8.24	-9,20
	2 5	2	5	17 10	17,55	-29.69	-3.64
			<u>5</u>		4.05	0.	0
	- 5		ງ -	U • 5 4 1	4 4 7 2	-0.90	-0.18
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>&gt;</u>	<u> </u>	40.90	91.90	18,20
	-6	2	5	40.01	49.50	01.03	10.20
			?	0 6 9	10 10	-16.01	
	- /	<u>د</u>	2 E	900 5 40	10019	-10-91	3-78
		<u> </u>	<u>5</u>	14.69	17.57	25.23	4.63
	0	4	5	14.00	12.00	2/ 23	3.75
	<u>_</u>	4		14.09	0.04		-16,98
	-1		2	9.99	70707 7707	-4.07	
	<u>k</u>	4			2 22		-0-
	-1	4	<b>)</b>	U• 10 11	<b>3023</b> 16 25	-0.	
			7		22 52	-32.97	10.57
	2	4	2	170/0 22 70	26 VD DE VD		-0.33
		4	<u> </u>		1 20	<u> </u>	
	-2		2	0	1 • 2 U	0 -	0.
	3		<u> </u>				<b>U</b>
	3	4	5	0.	2.60	-0.	0
	-3	-4	5	0	3.88	Ų•	U•
	-3	4	5	0.	3.36		-V• 10 22
	4	-4	5			29.53	7 61
	4	4	5	23.40	24.37	40•17	(•01

	4	-4	5	19.68	20.55	33•45	7.96
	4	4	5	30.58	33.38	53.31	3.65
	5	-4	5	25.93	23.29	-43.45	-12.84
	5	4	5	10.67	11.28	18.63	-0.67
	5	4	5	0.	7 • 45	-0.	-0.
•••	5	-4	5	0.	4.16	0.	
	6	-4	5	10.93	10.11	17.91	6.64
	<u>6</u>	-4	5	25.12	23.49	41.62	3.94
	0 7	4 4	5	11.38	9 • 1 2 11 • 87	11.92	3.37
	0	<del>-</del> 5	5	17.36	17.62	-29.56	-6.81
	õ	5	5	11.41	11.36	19.44	4 • 4 ]
	1	-5	5	14.77	14.80	-24.57	-7.91
	1	5	5	29.25	30.94	49.55	12.54
	1	-5	5	21.29	21.23	-36.93	4.49
	1	5	5	4.92	5.09	-6.22	-5,94
	2	-5	5	8.22	8.35	-14.36	-0.25
	2	5		22.53	22.92	-38.20	-9.53
-	2	-5	5	22.58	22.36	-39.19	-4.60
	2	5	5	20.53	23.03	-34.84	-8.54
	3	5	5	7.00	7.90	10.63	6.05
	3	-5	5	8.85	8.02	15.46	-0.24
-	3	5	5	14.34	15.15	24.53	5.12
	3	5	5		<u>3.56</u>		0
	4	-5	5	8 • 76	6.62	15.30	
	4	2	<u> </u>	13.58	12.30	23.05	
-	4		5 5	22031	24•23 61•67	42•40 	0 • 14 16 • 77
	5	-5	5	46.95	43.62	81.06	12.62
	5	-5	5	11.41	12.04	-19.82	-2.15
	5	5	5	6.02	6.51	-10.22	-2.48
	6	-5	5	9.68	9.38	15.95	5.64
	6	-5	5	10.93	10.76	+16.66	-9.34
	6	5	5	0.	2.38	0.	0.
	7	5	5	0•	3.19	0•	0.
	0		5	25.73	24.50	44.53	6.23
	0	6	5	10.20	12.89	17.76	1•44
	1	-6	5	19.85	18.25	34.66	-1.36
	1	-6	5	11.73	11.67	18.02	9.10
	1	6	2	10.32	1.83	13.99	0.33
	1	6	5	6 70	2020	-0.	-10 13
	2	<u> </u>	5	16.66	18.35	-28.61	-5.40
	2	6	5	6.51	7.58	-10.89	-3.28
	.2	6	5	0.	2.58	0.	-0.
	3	-6	5	17.27	17.32	28.26	10.59
	3	6	5	4.27	4•48	-6.62	-3.44
	3	-6	5	40.96	37.23	69.03	18.91
	3	6	5	9.03	9•06	-15.71	1.49
	4	-6	5	8.20	9.32	-13.52	-4.74
	4	6	5	8.35	7.31	-8.33	-11.98
<b></b>	4	-6	5	4.41	4.00	-7.70	-0.31
	4	6	5	12.79	12.27	-22.18	-2.19
***	5	-6	55	9.90	16 20	-16.84	<u></u>
-	0	6	2	14001	14037	-20.01	

5	-6	5	0.	1.46	0.	-0.	
5	-6	5	20.12	18.92	34.37	7.41	
-6	6	5	11.09	9.30	19•37	-0.51	
7	6	5	24.58	25.72	42.33	7.29	
0	-7	5	11.64	10.72	20.12	2.94	
0	7	5_	6.28	6.88	4.39	10.06	
1	7	5	2.86	2.74	3.34	3.11	
1	<u> </u>	5_	4.26	4.5/	4.60	-5 99	
-1	(	5	11.22	12.23	-10.70	-9.00	
1		5	17.04	16.50	-29.77	0.64	
2		ン 5	2.60	4.24	-23+05	-3.37	
	(	5	6.75	4.85	9.50	6.99	
-2	- 1	5	43.43	47.76	74.20	15.89	
2		5	27.08	23.21	-45.30	-13.66	
2	7	5	24.92	24.83	-42.36	-10.08	
	-7	5	14.78	14.21	25.29	-5.22	
- 3	7	5	5.63	4.88	7.89	5.88	
4	-7	5	20.89	20.44	-36.08	-5.52	
	-7	5	13.74	13.62	23.63	4.23	
	. 7	5	12.80	12.92	-22.25	-2.27	
5	-7	5	22.41	22.48	-38.72	-5.86	
-5	7	5	9.18	8.23	-14.18	-7.49	
-6	7	5	30.58	31.96	52.98	6.95	
6	-7	5	0.	5.89	0•	0•	
7	7	5	17.17	19.53	-27.48	-12.03	
C	-8	5	7.01	8.26	-3.03	11.87	
C	8	5	0.	0.91	0.	0	
]	8	5	20.77	20.14	-35.20	-8.85	
		5	6.43	5.16	(•58		
-1	8	5	6.43	5.14	÷11•20	-1 72	
	. 8	5	10.21	10.70	12 22	-1.12	
Č.	2 -8	5	8.07	1.00	13.32	4.02	
	8	5	10.12	21.60	-38.38	-7.59	
-2		5 5	22.39	21.09		-1.55	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	24.01	22 80	43.33	4.14	
-	<b>o - o</b>	) ) ) 5	16 43	17.35	-27-83	-7.04	
	<u>,o</u>	<u> </u>	17.49	19.23	30.01	5.75	
	,	, , , 5	20.47	20.90	-35.35	-5.47	
	. 8	3 5	12.57	13.81	-21.93	1.26	
4	. – A	3 5	0.	10.41	Q.	0.	
f	5 -8	3 5	9.73	9.18	-13.07	-10.87	
	5 <u>8</u>	3 5	24.48	25.18	-42.17	-7.16	
	5 -8	3 5	31.96	31.04	54.62	11.63	
	5 8	5 5	11.26	12.01	<u> </u>		
(	) -9	<del>)</del> 5	10.97	9.30	19.13	-1.14	
(	)9	5	32.51	35.67	-55.21	-13.38	
2	-9	95	8.93	8 • 96	10.91	11.10	
	9	) 5	4.53	4.75	4.83		
- :	-9	) 5	12.15	11.42	20.32	6.10	
	L9	) 5	18.09	21.16			
	2 -9	<del>y</del> 5	15.45	14•11	20.52	2 • U 2 	
•••• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2.05	-12:00	Q	
-	2 9	1 5	0.	2 • 75	0•	0.	

							·
		E	20 (0	20 90			
د _ 3		り ち	30.00 13.62	20.00 13.68	-23-45	-4.03	
	9	5	14.33	14.15	23.59	8.38	
4	-9	5	9.52	7.93	16.15	-4.00	
-4	9	5	22.72	26.38	-39.22	-6.16	
5	-9	5	8,97	8.17	-15-60	1,52	
<del>-</del> 5	9	5	36.96	39.51	63.38	12.40	
-6	9		0.	0.79	0.		
0	10	5	12.31	13.81	21.36	2.51	
0	-10	5		2.08		-0.	
1	-10	5	30.73	29.21	-53.33	-6.28	
	-10	5	23.89	22.49	41.29	6.14	
-1	10	5	14.48	14.54	-24.44	-6.53	
2_	-10		9.47	9.48	16.20	3.38	
-2	-10	5	10.68	10.67	-12•44	-13.91	
<u> </u>	10	<u>5</u>	22.44	21 20	20.20	12 72	
2	-10	י ב	22004	21 + 29 15 07	27 05	5 44	
		<u>2</u>	10.80	19 97	-22,25	-10.06	
4	-10	5	23.27	25.72	-55.25	-10.00	
<del>4</del> 5	-10	<u>5</u>	28.29	28.86	-49.42	-1.26	
-5	10	5	20.25	0.52	0.	0.	
	-11	5	5.05	4.65	-8.08	-3.55	
1	-11	5	25.28	26.06	-43.31	-8.68	
2	-11	5	7.03	6.29	-12.00	2.64	
. 3	-11	5	6.11	7.02	10.61	-1.17	
1	0	6	33.61	35.27	58.46	5.59	
-1	Õ	6	19.87	21.17	-34.01	-7.00	
-2	0	6	47.40	49.85	81.67	13.75	
2	<u>0</u>	6	0.	3.75	-0.	-0.	
3	0	6	26.16	26.14	-44.99	-8.07	
-3	0	6	14.21	15.36	24.75	1.99	
4	0	6	24.82	27.44	42.12	10.32	
-4	0	6	28.88	29.69	-49.91	-7.48	
-5	0	6	16.73	15.28	-27.70	-9.36	
<u>&gt;</u>	0	6	0	5.06	•••· () •	<del>*</del> 0	
-6	0	6	0.	3.53	<b>~</b> 0•	0.	
0	1	6		<u> </u>		0.05	
0	-1	0 6	31.91	33.29	55.15	8.22	
<b>_</b>	1	6	59.16	66 • 46	-102.82	-10.67	
-1	1	6	15.58	18.24	-26.80	-4.78	
1	-1	6	0.	47.89	0•	0.	
2	-1	6	8.48	9.33	-14.39	-3.54	
2	1	6	6.46	8.16	10•96	-2.68	
-2	-1	6	0.	0.45	0.	-0.	·······
-2	1	6	0.	4.71	0•	0.	
3	-1	6	7.65	6.52	-13.31	-1.26	
3	1	6	11.74	12.67	-19.56	-6.19	
-3	1	6	20.53	20.82	35.54	4.87	
-3	1	6	18.67	20.33	+32.51	2.72	
4	1	6	30.41	28.97	-51.93	-11.25	
4	1	6	11.32	12.43	19.37	3.98	
	-1	6	(•92	8.74	-13.29	<u>=3.81</u> E (E	
4	T	6	9.02	0.00/	14•/1	2002	

	5	-1	6	6.43	6 • 45 5 • 27	11.14	1.44	
	-5	-1	6	0.	0.79	-0.	-0.	
	-5	<u> </u>		0	4.35	Q.	-0.	
	-6	-1	6	6.55	4.34	-10.53	-4.47	
	-6	1	6	0.	<u> </u>	<u>0</u> •		
	0	-2	6	6.23	7.35	-10·30	3.51	
		2	6	20 22	21.90	<del>**2020</del>	9.35	
	1	-2	6	10.26	8.85	14.66	10.32	
	-1	-2	6	52.11	54.06	-90.67	-8.31	
	-1	2	6	Q.	3.28	0.	-0.	
	2	-2	6	28.75	27.85	-49.14	-10.42	
	2	2	6	29.73	32.11	-51.24		
	-2	-2	6	16.06	13.71	-27.11	-7.23	
	-2	2	6	29.01	12 05	-20.07	0.90	
	د ح	-2	6	22.23	21.80	38.48	5.27	
	-3	2	6	34.99	36 • 45	60.21	10.62	
	3	2	6	0.	3.77	-0.	-0.	
	4	2	6	6.35	6 • 80	8.99	6.51	
	-4	-2	6	40.25	41.02	-68.78	-14.70	
	4	-2	6	0•	4.13	0•	0.	
······································	-4	2	6	0	2.73	<u>0.</u>	<u>~0.</u>	
	5	-2	6	9∙46 10 00	8.91	-24-93	-12 • 14	
	<u></u>		<u> </u>	31.45	30.19	52.22	17.10	
	-6	-2	6	22.92	23.95	39.23	8.06	
	-6	2	6	18.93	20.96	33.04	1.60	
	0	3	6	6.36	7.95	-11.11	-0.19	
	0	-3	6	0•	7.75	0•	0.	
	1	-3	6	11.77	11.19	20.53	1.16	
	1	3	6	10.53	11.97	1/•/0	4.81	
			6	12.30	<u> </u>	21.23	-3.36	
	-1	-3	6	44.98	44.21	77.62	12.36	
	-2	-3	6	10.76	10.12	18.78	-0.99	
	-2	3	6	10.08	11.67	-16.88	-5.04	
	2	3	6	0•	0.53	0•	0.	
	3	3	6	34.12		58.64	10.76	
	-3	-3	6	13.44	11.02/			
	3	<u></u>	Q	Q.	5.87		0.	
	-5 4	-3	6	21.72	23.60		997	<del></del>
	4	3	6	5.28	6.30	8.68	3.12	
	-4	3	66	15.89	17.68	-27.75	-1.02	
	<del>~</del> 4	-3	6	0•	5.39	<b>~</b> 0•	0.	
		3	6	0.	4.54		<u> </u>	
	-5	-3	6	0.	23.08			
		<b>ว</b>	0	15.25	15.48	25.88	7.03	
	-0	-4	6	13.44	12.98	22.12	7.90	
	0	4	6	13.40	13.94	-23.21	-3.06	
	<u>-1</u>	-4	6	31.83	29.75	-54.46	-11.28	
	-1	4	6	34•70	39.37	-59.60	-11.15	

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
2 4 6 12.01 13.31 18.98 8.95	
-2 -4 6 12.68 13.34 -22.10 -1.62	
-2 4 6 6.07 5.92 9.22 5.24	
3 -4 6 9.88 12.85 15.28 8.03	
3 4 6 14.00 16.05 -23.08 -8.10	L
-3 -4 6 47.90 48.98 83.10 9.98	
-3 4 6 41.58 47.48 -71.34 -13.76	L
4 -4 6 20.23 19.18 34.08 9.38	•
4 4 6 22.86 24.84 38.98 8.72	·····
-4 -4 6 19.09 18.03 33.08 4.26	
-4 4 6 13.16 15.28 -22.76 -3.30	l
5 -4 6 14.05 13.97 -23.84 -5.87	
-5 -4 6 0. 3.33 -00.	
-5 4 6 0. 0.87 -0. 0.	
-6 4 6 15.95 15.71 26.77 7.74	-
0 -5 6 49.71 52.41 -85.96 -12.46	•
0 5 6 25.87 29.79 44.76 6.29	•
1 -5 6 17.73 17.05 30.90 2.24	-
-1 -5 6 6.92 5.98 -12.06 -0.82	
-1 5 6 17.59 21.46 -30.49 -3.90	)
	<u></u>
$2 -5 6 23 \cdot 64 25 \cdot 64 - 39 \cdot 84 - 10 \cdot 92$	<u>,</u>
-2 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> <u>11.83</u> <u>13.54</u> <u>20.66</u> <u>0.50</u>	
2 5 6 0. 4.00 -00.	
-2 -5 6 0. 2.65 -00.	)
3 -5 6 9.63 8.07 10.83 0.23	
35610.6213.00 $-18.17$ $-3.72$	·
	)
-3 5 6 0 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	)
$4 -5 6 7 \cdot 38 6 \cdot 78 -12 \cdot 81 1 \cdot 52$	
	2
	7
-5 5 6 0 $0$ 0 $0$ 0 $0$ 0 $0$	5
	7
$0 - 6^{\circ} - 6 - 8 - 40 - 8 - 33 - 13 - 844 - 88$	3
1 -6 6 23.61 20.80 -40.14 -9.52	2
1 6 6 41.82 49.55 72.25 10.92	3
-1 6 6 27.52 32.39 46.61 11.84	ł
-1 -6 6 0. 2.63 -00.	
2 -6 6 34.33 35.58 -59.28 -9.15	5
2 6 6 9.43 10.04 16.48 0.28	3
-2 -6 6 15.38 13.87 -26.41 -4.96	5
-2 6 6 5.53 9.67 9.20 2.97	7
3 -6 6 17.37 15.91 30.07 4.12	2
-3 -6 6 15.39 15.47 24.96 10.01	
-3 6 6 7.15 8.45 12.48 0.47	7
4 -6 6 13.61 13.27 23.52 3.49	<u>}</u>
-4 -6 6 12.88 14.10 22.50 -0.65	ō
-4 6 6 16.45 18.41 -27.59 -8.06	<u>.</u>
5 -6 6 9.07 8.86 -15.73 -1.94	+

.

							·
<b>–</b> 5	6	6	11.41	12.30	-19.25	5.19	
	6	6	19.48	21.90		-9.50	
0	-7	6	25.88	25.86	43.71	11.61	
0	7	6	0.	2.74		0.	
1	-7	6	0.	1.73	-0.	0.	
	7	6	Q	<del>7.86</del>	Q	0	
-1	-7	6	0.	2.682		-0.	
		6		46 09	74.00	12.52	
-2	-7	6	9.38	11.52	-14.99	6.63	
2	-7	6	0.	0.71	0.	-0.	
3	-7	6	21.73	22.79	-36.95	-8.74	
-3	7	6	14.35	17.88	24.97	2.30	
3	-7	6	0.	0.75	0.		
4	-7	6	21.22	22.56	36.16	8.19	
	7	6	10.03	9.75	14.93	-9.18	
5	-7	6	16.72	1/.06	-28.34	-2 99	
5_	7	6	11•47	24 10	9_64		
0	<del></del> 8	6	0.	24.10	-0.	-0.	
0	<u>8</u>	6	9.76	6.28	-16.95	-1.92	
-1	-8	6	9.13	9.23	14.87	5.78	
-1	8	6	9.72	12.61	→16•87	-1.97	
2	8	6	14.52	13.91	-24.86	-5.09	
-2	-8	6	36.83	40.81	-64.07	-6.07	
-2	8	6	22.08	25.99	37.75	7.98	
3	-8	6	16.92	17.39	29.43	2.77	
-3	8	6	7.48	6.00	11.88	-5,45	
4	-8	6	9.36	9.58	11•48	-11.05	
4	8	6	10•_16	10.46		0	
0	-9	6	23 20	2.00	39.33	10.44	
	<u> </u>	0	8-89	7.55	-14.68	-5.09	
-1		6	11.98	11.44	-19.16	-8.44	
3	-9	6	12.34	12.47	20.46	6.80	
1	0	7	0.	3.02	0.		
-1	0	7	0.	1.25	0•	-0.	
2	0	7	18.43	18.05	29.49	-12.94	
-2	0	7	14.25	33.30	24.78	2.43	
3	0	7	18.32		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
-3	0	1	11.22	15 33	10.95	-8-22	
	1		13.73	16,98	-23.30	-5.73	
0	-1	7	9.68	11.80	-16.70	-2.69	
1		7	17.83	17.36	-29.66	-9.52	
			26.13	26•11	44.29	11.10	
1	1	7	5.73	10.07	-9.93	1.27	
1		7	<b>0.</b>	7.69	0.	0.	
2	ī	7	12.16	12.86	20.12	6.84	
-2	1	7	19.56	21.88	32.12	11.69	
2	-1	7	0.	6.34	-0.	0.	
-2	-1			3.79	-27 00	U	
3	-1	7	16.31	10.23	-2/ • YO -0. 51		
3				6.12		-0-	
-3	1	(	U e	0.12		~ •	

<del>1</del> ∕6•9 <del></del>	70•9Z <del>~</del>	ZG•6T	17•41	L	ç	£	
	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	96 17	71•9		<u> </u>	E	
•0	•0	<b>5</b> 6•5	•0	Ĺ	ŝ	z <b>-</b> -	
•0	•0		•0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	
٤+ • 9	-11.72	87.EI	62°01	L	<b>G</b> -	2-	
	•0	5.67	•0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1-	
2.44	56.11	E8•81	10.21	L	ç	τ-	
	16-82	89.91	67 71	L	<u> </u>	T	
92.06	50•8 <del>7</del> ·	87 • SE	58•06	Ĺ	ς	0	
-T0*03-	-13*53	8.21	05*6	L	5-	0	
TE*E-	+12.12	LG•9	61•2	Ĺ	7	<del>7</del> -	
	<del></del>	58.54	11-98	<u> </u>	+7	E-	
75.4	EI•6-	25.22	82.05	Ĺ	+-	E-3	
	30.17	10 72	23*60	<u> </u>	+	E	<u></u>
2•50	87.02	52°EI	12.26	Z	4	-5	
TE*6-	+4-16-	15.07	28-81		+7	-5	
20.1-	77•8 <del>-</del>	5L•8	18.44	ī	<b>†-</b>	Z	
	•0	<u></u>	•0	L	+7	Ι	
• 0	• 0	61.6	• 0	Ž	<del>7</del> -	T	
		<b>T</b>	97 • 7 1		+7		
4°C • 8	91.•2	04•4	E0•4	Ĺ	+ <del>7</del>	ī	
+0	•0-	12+5	•0	<u> </u>	+7	0	
87.49-	17•61-	91.•1	82.68	Ī	, t7	Ő	
	•0	+)T+Z	•0	<del>,</del>		- +7	
• 0	• 0	4Z•L	•0	ī	Ē	£ -	
<u> </u>	67.17-	51•53	95*72	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	E	
±6•6-	07.77-	09•71	76•ET	)	£	ε	
• <b>0</b>	•0	<del>76 • 17</del>	•0	<del>_</del>		7-	
T9•TT-	T6•27-	87.91	TZOGT	,	Ĕ	2- Z-	
		07*81					
	CO 1 T-	07 • CT	ZQ • 0 T	1	č	7	
	67 LL-			<u> </u>			
•0	•0	97•0	•0	1	ç	T	
			 CO*6T				
90 0-	GH•77		∃0 0L TT•⊊T	1	£-	T	
	99*61-	77.91	0/*71				
	₩ <b>₩</b> ₩	+T•8	18•6	1	ç_	0	
	<del>77 0</del>						
77 C-	CQ • C7+		10+61	1	2 <b></b>	+7 <b></b>	
	<u> </u>		•				
00-	+7•0T		6 <b>+</b> •0T		7	C	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
•0	•0	LC 8 6694	•O		2- Z-	د 7_	
				<u> </u>			
	90 CC 61 86 T	20 91 CC • CT	09 81 TH#TT		7	2 - 7	
				<u> </u>			
•0-	•0	198 97 <b>*</b> #	•0	L. 1	2	с Т-	
				·····		T	
ст • с	07 CC-	00 • CT			7	T	
сь с 		<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
±0 7 C0 € tr <u></u>	7 <b>○●/☆</b> ━	50 00 50 • 60	65 • 17	1	7	ò	
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	00 20 	<u>j</u>		ŏ	
	70+77	01.01	97 • <del>6</del> T	2	T	+-	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		+7	
•0	•0	98•T	•0	1	T	8-	
	×		× ·		-		

 						236	
 0	-6 -6	7	7.28 25.68	6 • 4 9 27 • 24	-12.53 -43.62	2.17 -10.51	
-1	-6	7	0.	4•47	-0.	-0.	
 			······································	<u> </u>		-0.	
 				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
 	. ,						
 <u></u>			<u>., </u>				
 	4.++ + - <u></u>						
 			<u></u>			••	
 			,				
 			•				
 					<u></u>		

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Professor M. J. Buerger who brought this problem to my attention and supervised the investigation. All intensity data for rhodonite, which were collected by Dr. N. Niizeki, was turned over to me by Professor Buerger. In addition, he made available his notes on preliminary investigations of both rhodonite and bustamite.

Discussions with Mr. Charles Prewitt, who worked on the related minerals pectolete and wollastonite, resulted in many valuable ideas. Mr. Prewitt kindly made available his program for least-squares refinement of crystal structures and many times helped in revisions of this program. In addition, he kindly made available unpublished data on wollastonite. All other members of the crystallographic laboratory, including Mr. Wayne Dollase, Mr. Bernhardt Wuensch, and Mrs. Hilda Cid-Dresdner, have been encouraging and helpful at all times,

Specimens for this investigation were kindly provided by Dr. Clifford Frondel of Harvard University. Dr. Waldemar Schaller of the U.S.G.S. made available many other specimens of Ca, Mn metasilicates. IBM 709/7090 programs,

as well as advice on their use, were contributed by Dr. C. W. Burnham of the Geophysical Laboratory and Dr. Donald Wright of the M.I.T. Chemistry Department.

My wife, Dorothy, helped in countless ways toward the completion of this project. She contributed her time and effort as well as providing constant encouragement.

Miss Deborah Jope typed the final draft of this thesis, and Mrs. Shirley Veale and Miss Gay Lorraine the final drafts of the published papers.

Fellowship support provided by Standard Oil of California is gratefully acknowledged.

## BIOGRAPHY

The author was born February 15, 1937 at Somerville, Massachusetts to Carroll and Leona Peacor. He has two brothers, C. Norman, and Robert W.

He attended grade school and high school in Stoneham, Massachusetts from 1942 to 1954. Institutions attended include Tufts University (1954-1958), where he received the degree of Bachelor of Science, Magna Cum Laude, and M.I.T. (1958-1962) where he received the degree of Master of Science in 1960. At Tufts University he was a laboratory assistant for three years in the Department of Geology, and both a teaching and research assistant in the Department of Geology & Geophysics at M.I.T.

Professional experience includes the following: Summer of 1955 as a geological field assistant; Summer of 1957 as a field assistant in Greenland involved in permafrost research; Summers of 1959 and 1960 research assistant in crystallography in the Department of Geology of M.I.T.; Summer of 1961, staff member at Lincoln Laboratory.

The author received the Standard Oil of California fellowship at M.I.T., 1961-1962, and is a member of Sigma Xi.

He is a co-author of the following publications.

1. M. J. Buerger, C. W. Burnham and D. R. Peacor (1962) Assessment of the several structures proposed for tourmaline. Acta Cryst., 15, 583-590.

2. D. R. Peacor and M. J. Buerger (1962) The determination and refinement of the structure of narsarsukite, Na<sub>2</sub>Trosr<sub>4</sub>0<sub>10</sub>. Am. Mineral.

3. D. R. Peacor and C. T. Prewitt (1962) Drilling coordinates for crystal structure models. Rev. Sci. Instr., 33, 550-551.

He was married to Miss Dorothy Coniaris of Milford, Massachusetts on February 1, 1959. They have a son, Scott David, born February 1, 1962. The author has accepted a position as instructor in the Department of Geology and Mineralogy, University of Michigan, beginning September, 1962.

## References

- Barnick, Max A. (1935) Strukturuntersuchung des natürlichen Wollastonite. Mitt. Kaiser - Wilhelm -Inst. Silikatforsch., No. 172, 1-36.
- 2 Belov, N.V. (1960) A second chapter in the chemical crystallography of the silicates. J. Struct. Chem., 1, 35-43, Consultants' Bureau translation.
- 3 Berman, Harry and Forest A. Gonyer (1937) The structural lattice and classification of bustamite. Am Mineral. 22, 215-216.
- 4 Boll-Dornberger, Kate (1961) Zur Structur einiger Kalziumsilikate. Silikattechn., 12, 327-329.
- 5 Brown, W.L., N. Morimoto, and J.V. Smith (1961) A structural explanation of the polymorphism and transitions of MgSiO<sub>2</sub>. J. Geol., 69, 609-616.
- 6 Buerger, M.J. (1956) The arrangement of atoms in crystals of the wollastonite group of metasilicates. Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. 42, 113-116.
- 7 Buerger, M.J. and C.T. Prewitt (1961) The crystal structures of wollastonite and pectolite. Proc. Nat'1. Acad. Sci., 47, 1884-1888.
- 8 Burnham, C.W. (unpublished) LCLSQ3, IBM 709/7090 program for the least-squares refinement of lattice constants.
- 9 Burnham, C.W. (1962) Absorption corrections for prismatic crystals, and evaluation of end effect. Abstract. A.C.A. program.

- 10 Busing, W.R. and H.A. Levy, (1959) A crystallographic function and error program for the IBM 704. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report No. 59-12-3.
- 11 Doelter, C. (1912) Handbuch der Mineralchemie. (Steinkopff, Dresden and Leipzig).
- 12 Glaser, O. (1926) Thermische und Mikroskopische Untersuchungen an den fur die Kupologenschlacke Bedeutsamen Systemen: MnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>, MnS-MnSiO<sub>3</sub>; CaS-CaSiO<sub>3</sub>, Cent. F. Miner., ASt. A., Min. und Pet., 81-96.
- 13 Glasser, F.F. (1958) The system MnO-SiO<sub>2</sub>. Am Journal Sci. 256, 398-412.
- 14 Glasser, L.S. Dent and F.P. Glasser (1960) Feste Losung und Kristallstrukturen un System CaSiO<sub>3</sub>-MuSiO<sub>3</sub>. Silikattechn., 11, 363.
- 15 Glasser, L. S. Dent, and F. P. Glasser (1961) Silicate transformations: rhodonite - wollastonite. Acta Cryst., 14, 818-822.
- 16 Hey, Max H. (1929) The variation of optical properties with chemical composition in the rhodonite-bustamite series. Min. Mag., 22, 193-205.
- Hilmer, W., F. Liebau, E. thilo and K. Dornberger Schiff (1956) Ein neuer Kettentyp in der Kristall struktur des Rhodonits /(Mn,Ca) SiO<sub>3</sub>/<sub>X</sub>. Die Naturwissen.
   43, 177-178.
- 18 Hughes, E.W. (1941) The crystal structure of melamine. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 63, 1737-1752.

- 19 Ito, T. (1950) X-ray studies on polymorphism. (Maruzen, Tokyo) 93-110.
- 20 Jaeger, F. and H. van Klooster (1916) Investigations in the field of silicate chemistry, IV. Some data on the meta and orthosilicates of the bivalent metals. Proc. Kon. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam, 8, 896.
- 21 Jeffrey, J. W. and L. Heller (1953) Preliminary x-ray investigation of pseudo-wollastonite. Acta Cryst. 6, 807-808.
- 22 Larsen, Esper S., and Earl V. Shannon (1922) Bustamite from Franklin Furnace, New Jersey. Am. Mineral, 7, 95-100.
- 23 Lazarev, A. N. and T. F. Tenisheva (1960) Vibrational spectra of silicates III. Infrared spectra of the pyroxenoids and other chain metasilicates. Optics and Spect., 10, 584-587.
- 24 Liebau, Friedrich (1956) Bemerkungen zur Systematik der Kristellstrukturen von Silikaten mit hochkondensierten Anionen. Z. Physik. Chem., 206, 73-92.
- 25 Liebau, Friedrich (1957) Ein weiterer neuer Kettentyp in der Kristallstruktur des Pyroxmangits /(Fe,Mn,Ca,Mg) Si0<sub>3</sub>7. Naturiwissen., 6, 178-179.
- 26 Liebau, Friedrich (1958) Uber die Kristallstruktur des Pyroxmangits (Mn, Fe,Ca,Mg)SiO<sub>3</sub>. Acte Cryst., 12, 177-181.
- 27 Liebau, F., M. Sprung and E. Thilo (1958) Uber das System MnSiO. - CaMn(SiO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Z. anorg. allg. Chem., 297, 213-225.
- 29 Liebau, Friedrich (1961) Untersuchungen uber die Grosse des Si-O-Si Valenzqinkels. Acta Cryst., 14, 1103-1109.
- 30 Mamedov, Kh.S. and N.V. Belov (1956) Crystal structure of wollastonite. Doklady Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R., 107, 463-466.
- 31 Mamedov, Kh. S. (1958) The crystal structure of rhodonite. Doklady Akad. Nauk Azerb. S.S.R., 16, 445-450.
- 32 Morimoto, Nobuo, Daniel E. Appleman, and Howard T. Evans, Jr. (1960) The crystal structures of clinoenstatite and pigeonite. Z. Krist., 114, 120-147.
- 33 Prewitt, C. T. (1961) The parameters  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Psi$  for equi-inclination with application to the single-crystal counter diffractometer. Z. Krist., 114, 355-360.
- 34 Prewitt, C. T. (1962) Structures and crystal chemistry of wollastonite and pectolite. Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T.
- 35 Prewitt, C. T. and M. J. Buerger (in press) A comparison of the crystal structures of wollastonite and pectolite. Am. Mineral.
- 36 Richmond, Wallace E. (1937) On babingtonite. Am. Mineral, 22, 630-642.
- 37 Schaller, Waldemar T. (1938) Johannsenite, a new manganese pyroxene. Am. Mineral., 23, 575-582.

- 38 Schaller, Waldemar T. (1955) The pectolite-schizoliteserandite series. Am. Mineral., 40, 1022-1031.
- 39 Schiavinato, G. (1953) Sulla johannsenite dei giacimenti a silicati manganesiferi del Monte Civillina presso Recoaro (Vicinza). Rend. Soc. Min. Lt., 9, 210-218.
- 40 Sly, W. G., D. P. Shoemaker, and J. H. Van Den H£nde (1962) Two- and three- dimensional crystallographic Fourier summation program for the IBM 7090 computer. ESSO report CBRL-22M-62.
- 41 Smith, J.V. (1959) The crystal structure of protoenstatite, MgSiO<sub>2</sub>. Acta Cryst., 12, 515-519.
- 42 Smith, J. V., and S. W. Bailey (in press) Second review of Al-O and Si-O distances.
- 43 Sundius, N. (1931) On the triclinic manganiferous pyroxenes. Am. Mineral., 16, 411-429, 488-518.
- 44 Tolliday, Joan (1958) Crystal structure of β-wollastonite Nature, 182, 1012-1013.
- 45 Voos, E. (1935) Untersuchung des Schmitts
  Ca0'Si0\_-MnO'Si0\_ in ternaren System Si0\_-Ca0-MnO.
  Z. anorg. allg. Chem., 222, 201-224.