




Top quark physics at ATLAS and CMS




Richard Hawkings (CERN)

DIS 2008, UCL London 9/4/08


- Introduction: top physics environment at LHC
 - What can we expect in the first years..?
- Top-pair cross-section measurements
 - Using top to commission the detectors - in particular b-tagging
- Single top cross-section measurements
- Top properties: spin correlations
- Rare top decays: FCNC
- Conclusions
 - Showing some representative expectations/results - but only a 'snapshot' of activities in ATLAS and CMS ... many unknowns at this stage!
 - Top mass covered in talk of Nathalie Besson




Why top quark physics?




- Top quark within Standard Model:
 - It exists! Measure its fundamental parameters (production cross-section, mass, couplings, etc.)
 - Electroweak corrections typically $\propto m_t^2$ – interesting for model builders
 - Heaviest known quark, least studied, some peculiar properties
 - Decays involve real rather than virtual W
 - Decays before hadronises – spin/polarisation information is preserved
- Top quark beyond the Standard Model:
 - Top may be produced in new particle decays (t-tbar resonances, heavy H ...)
 - Top quarks may decay in peculiar ways, e.g. $t \rightarrow H^+ b$
- Top is a ‘template’ for many new physics topologies
 - Complex decay signatures involving leptons, missing energy, multi-jets, b-jets
 - Understand the detectors, develop the tools needed for hunting for exotic things
 - Top production will be a **background** to many new physics processes
 - $H \rightarrow$ leptons, SUSY, more exotic things
 - \Rightarrow Understanding top physics is essential in many searches



strong t-tbar pair production



electroweak single top production




$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(\text{th}) = 830 \pm 100 \text{ pb @ } 14 \text{ TeV}$$

$$\sigma_t(\text{th}) \approx 320 \text{ pb @ } 14 \text{ TeV}$$


- At $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ('nominal' low luminosity), get 1 top pair/second, or 8M/year
 - 30% of these decay to $\{e/\mu\}vb \text{ jjb}$ or $\{e/\mu\}vb\{e/\mu\}vb$ final states, good trigger efficiency
 - Initial data samples in 2008: $10\text{-}100 \text{ pb}^{-1} \equiv$ few 1000 or 10000s of such events not including experimental acceptance and reconstruction efficiencies
 - Note will start LHC in summer 2008 with reduced beam energy ($\sqrt{s} \approx 10 \text{ TeV}$) - top pair cross-section reduced by factor ~ 2



Accessing top physics at LHC




- Typical analysis - semileptonic top decay
 - Hard ($E_T > \sim 20$ GeV) isolated lepton
 - Electron and/or muon identification
 - Missing energy $E_T > \sim 20$ GeV
 - Both lepton and E_T miss similar to W production
 - Hadronic W-decay:
 - 2 hard ($E_T > 20-40$ GeV) light quark jets
 - Known $m_{jj} = m_w$ – calibration of jet energy scale
 - 2 hard ($E_T > 20-40$ GeV) b quark jets
 - b-tagging **essential tool** in top identification
 - Some opportunities for b-tag **calibration**
 - Explore/commission full range of detector capabilities (leptons, E_T miss, jets, b-tagging)
- Main background is W+multijet production
 - Multijet with fake lepton will also be important
 - Both difficult to simulate, need data normalisation
 - In dilepton channel, Z+jets and diboson prodⁿ
- Single top: similar ingredients, typically study semileptonic decay ($\{e/\mu\}v b$)







Top-pair cross-section: semileptonic channel




- Select events with high E_T lepton, missing E_T and 4 jets ($E_T > 20-40$ GeV)
 - Backgrounds from W +jets events, QCD multi-jet with fake lepton, single top
 - W +jet and multijet events can be reduced by requiring 1 or 2 b-tagged jets ($\epsilon_b \sim 50-70\%$)
 - But also interesting to look at 'commissioning' analyses **without** b-tagging
 - Find combination of 3 jets which represents the hadronic top decay
 - Criteria such as largest sum p_T , good W mass between 2 of the jets
 - ... can extract a reasonable hadronic top mass peak without b-tagging information







Top-pair cross-section: semileptonic channel



Extract signal using event counting or fit to M_{jj} distribution


Can establish signal for 100 pb^{-1} even with pessimistic background



With 100 pb^{-1} , expect to commission b-tagging and understand efficiency to $\sim 5\%$ - use in selection

Require 1 or 2 b-tagged jets, reduces non-tt b/g and helps select correct combination


For $O(\text{fb}^{-1})$, b-tagging, PDFs & luminosity become important





Expt	Int.L	Method	Stat (%)	Syst (%)	Lumi (%)
ATLAS	100 pb^{-1}	count ($W \rightarrow e$)	2.5	14	5
ATLAS	100 pb^{-1}	likelihood	7.4	15	5
CMS	1 fb^{-1}	count	1.2	9.2	10
CMS	10 fb^{-1}	count	0.4	9.2	3



Top-pair cross-section: dileptonic channel




- Require two isolated leptons (e/μ)...
 - Then ≥ 2 high E_T (b) jets, missing E_T
 - Main backgrounds from W/Z +jets, WW/ZZ +jets and semileptonic tt
 - Remove background around Z peak for ee and $\mu\mu$ channels
 - Possible to reconstruct an approximate top mass by imposing m_W (CMS)




- Simple kinematic selections ... establish signal early with small int L
 - Methods based on simple counting, N_{jet} vs missing E_T , likelihood fit to event distribⁿs
 - Then measure cross-section, limited by jet energy scale, b-tagging, PDFs, lumi

Expt	Int.L	Method	Stat(%)	Syst(%)	Lumi (%)
ATLAS	100 pb ⁻¹	count	3.6	3.6	5
ATLAS	100 pb ⁻¹	template	3.8	4.2	5
ATLAS	100 pb ⁻¹	likelihood	5.2	6.7	5
CMS	10 fb ⁻¹	count	0.9	11	3




Number of jets








Top-pair cross-section: other channels




- Dilepton channel with tau: $tt \rightarrow bb \nu_l \tau \nu_\tau, \tau \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
 - Sensitive to non-SM physics in top decays
 - Important background for SUSY/H searches
 - Identify 1/3 prong hadronic tau candidates, analysis otherwise similar to dilepton channel
 - S:B ~ 1:1, main background from semileptonic tt decays
 - CMS: $\Delta\sigma/\sigma = 16\%$ (syst) $\pm 1.3\%$ (stat) $\pm 3\%$ (lumi) / 10 fb^{-1}
- Fully hadronic channel: $tt \rightarrow bbqqqq$ (CMS)
 - 46% of tt decays, complex final state, huge QCD b/g
 - Need multi-jet triggers including b-tagging information
 - S/B only 1/300 after trigger selection (23 Hz)
 - Neural net selection based on jet E_T and event shape variables, applied to events with 6-8 jets
 - Few % signal efficiency, samples of $\sim 10\text{k}$ tt events with S/B ~ 0.1 in both 1- and 2-b tag selections
 - CMS: $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 20\%$ (syst) $\pm 2\%$ (stat) $\pm 5\%$ (lumi) / 1 fb^{-1}
 - Systematics: jet energy scale, ISR/FSR, pileup







Calibration of b-tagging using top-pair events




- Top-pair events offer source of b-jets for use in b-tagging efficiency calibration
 - Method I: Count number of events with 0,1,2,3 b-tagged jets in cross-section selⁿ
 - Can determine ϵ_b and ϵ_c along with σ_{tt}
 - ATLAS: get ϵ_b to ~5% (incl. syst) in 100 pb⁻¹
 - Method II: Exploit the topology / kinematics of the top-pair event to select the leptonic to b-jet, **without** using its b-tag info
 - Selection methods exploiting mass info, kinematic fit or jet/lepton kinematics
 - Jet samples ~70-90% pure in b flavour, have to estimate and subtract background
- Can then study distributions of b-tagging input and output variables, calculate ϵ_b
 - Get ϵ_b to 5-10% in 200 pb⁻¹, can also look at distributions (e.g. ϵ_b vs jet E_T and η)
 - ϵ_b determined in-situ, complementary to dijet-based methods also used at Tevatron






Single top production at LHC




- Electroweak top quark production - contrast to pair production
 - Sensitive to new particles (e.g. H^+ , W') and flavour changing neutral currents
 - Important background to many new physics searches (lepton, missing energy)
- Overall cross section is large (c.f Tevatron), can distinguish contributions:

t-channel:
 $\sigma_t = 247 \pm 12 \text{ pb}$



s-channel:
 $\sigma_t = 11 \pm 1 \text{ pb}$

Wt-channel:
 $\sigma_t = 66 \pm 2 \text{ pb}$




(c.f. top-pair
 $\sigma_{tt} = 830 \pm 50 \text{ pb}$)





- Large backgrounds from top pair production, also $W+$ multijet and QCD jet events
- At LHC - attempt to measure all production modes (s-chan & Wt challenging)
 - Can then extract $|V_{tb}|$ and study polarisation, charge asymmetries, searches ...
 - Basic event signatures: high E_T lepton, missing E_T , restricted number of jets
 - Fighting large backgrounds which will have to be understood from data



Single top production: t-channel cross-section




- Require lepton, missing E_T and one b-jet from the top quark decay
 - Infer neutrino to get top-quark mass
- Jet from light quark is **forward**, can require this jet and/or veto additional central jets
 - Second b-jet is usually soft - below E_T cut
- $O(1k)$ events per fb^{-1} , similar size $t\bar{t}$ background \Rightarrow large systematics (jet E scale, ϵ_b)
- Can be reduced by multivariate techniques - e.g. Boosted Decision Tree with event shape variables
- Measurement to $\sim 10\%$ precision possible with 10 fb^{-1}
 - Then get $|V_{tb}|$ to $\sim 5\%$




Expt	Int.L	Method	Stat(%)	Syst(%)	Lumi (%)
CMS	10 fb^{-1}	count	2.7	8	5



Single top production: W-t and s-channel



- Much smaller signal cross-sections, very large background
 - Especially from top-pair events where some particles are missed
 - W-t: channel: Single b-jet; look for two light jets consistent with W decay (l-j channel), or second lepton from leptonic W decay
 - Can use control region with similar kinematics but rich in top-pairs (e.g. require extra b-jet) to estimate background, cancel systematics
 - s-channel: Two b-jets, lepton + missing E, no other high E jets
- In both cases, multivariate techniques can be used to enhance signal significance
- Some representative analysis results - note small S/B and large systematics
 - Mainly from background - b-tagging/vetos, jet energy scales, PDFs, ..




Expt	Channel	Int.L	Nsignal	S/B	Stat(%)	Syst(%)	Lumi (%)
CMS	W-t (l-j)	10 fb ⁻¹	1700	0.18	7.5	17	8
CMS	W-t (l-l)	10 fb ⁻¹	570	0.37	8.8	24	5
CMS	s-chan	10 fb ⁻¹	270	0.13	18	31	5

- Need O(10) fb⁻¹ of data and careful background studies to establish 5σ signals






Top-pair spin correlations



- Top decays before hadronisation or depolarisation
 - Decay products give info on top quark spin
 - Look for correlations between top/anti-top ($\uparrow\uparrow$ vs $\uparrow\downarrow$)
 - Different for qq and gg production, in SM $A \approx 0.32$
- Measure decay angle distribution in semileptonic events


$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{d^2 N}{d \cos \theta_l d \cos \theta_q} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - A \kappa_l \kappa_q \cos \theta_l \cos \theta_q) ; A = (N_{\uparrow\uparrow} - N_{\uparrow\downarrow}) / (N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\uparrow\downarrow})$$

- $\theta_l(\theta_q)$ angle between lepton (quark) in top quark frame and top momentum in top pair rest frame
 - Can use b-quark or lower energy light quark
- Fully reconstruct events - distribution distorted by resⁿ
- ATLAS/CMS expect 5σ observation of spin correlation with $O(10 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ data, in both semileptonic and dileptonic decays
 - Systematics dominate (jet energies, b-tagging, PDFs, ...)
- Various related observables - e.g. W polarisation
 - Also look for anomalies in $t \rightarrow Wb$ vertex structure
 - Can give hints for new physics in top decay







Rare top decays - flavour changing neutral currents



- FCNC decays $t \rightarrow \{Z, \gamma, g\}q$, suppressed in SM (10^{-10})
 - Allowed at tree-level in SUSY, multi-H, exotic quarks
 - Could conceivably get BR $10^{-3} - 10^{-6}$...
 - Typical search strategies in top-pair production
 - Assume one quark decays $t \rightarrow Wb$ with leptonic W
 - Look for leptonic Z decay, photon, high E_T gluon jet
 - Backgrounds typically dominated by mis-ID top-pair
 - Remove some contributions using likelihood selection with event shape and top mass reconstruction (ATLAS)




Example 95% CL limits:



Decay	Expt	Method	BR (5σ sens @ 100fb^{-1})
$t \rightarrow Zq$	ATLAS	Cut ($Z \rightarrow qq$)	5×10^{-4}
	ATLAS	Likelihood ($Z \rightarrow ll$)	1.4×10^{-4}
	CMS	Cut ($Z \rightarrow ll$)	3×10^{-4}
$t \rightarrow \gamma q$	ATLAS	Likelihood	3×10^{-5}
	CMS	Cut	2.5×10^{-4}
$t \rightarrow gq$	ATLAS	Likelihood (3-jet)	1.4×10^{-3}
	ATLAS	Likelihood (>3 jet)	2.2×10^{-3}



Conclusions



- ATLAS and CMS are eagerly awaiting the first data ...
 - Detectors/software/analysis strategies are 'almost' ready ...
- Useful measurements can already be performed with $\sim 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
 - ... that we might hope to get in 2008 or soon after
 - Top-pair cross-section: 10-20% with $O(100 \text{ pb}^{-1})$, then work on systematics ...
 - E.g. detailed understanding of b-tagging algorithm performance
- Single top: need $O(\text{fb}^{-1})$ to make 10-20% measurement of t-channel
 - And $O(10 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ to unambiguously establish the s-channel and W-t contributions
- Top properties: need $O(10 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ to establish the top-pair spin correlations
 - Many other top-properties can be measured (e.g. top charge)
 - Some can start with much lower integrated luminosity
- Searches for non-SM physics in top prodⁿ/decay can start immediately...
 - Consistency of cross-section in different channels; top-pair vs single top
 - Searches for rare decays can use 100s fb^{-1} , continue to high luminosity LHC phase
- The era of 'top-factory' physics is approaching!