



Mass measurements of 22 Mg and 26 Si via (p,t) reactions and Penning traps

J. A. Clark^{1,2,3}, A. Parikh¹, F. Buchinger⁴, J. A. Caggiano¹, J. E. Crawford⁴, C. Deibel¹, J. P. Greene³, S. Gulick⁴, J. C. Hardy⁵, A. A. Hecht^{3,6}, J. K. P. Lee⁴, A. F. Levand³, R. Lewis¹, B. F. Lundgren³, P. D. Parker¹, G. Savard³, N. D. Scielzo³, K. S. Sharma², I. Tanihata³, W. Trimble³, J. C. Wang^{2,3}, Y. Wang^{2,3}, C. Wrede¹, and Z. Zhou³

¹Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada

³*Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA*

⁴ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, PQ H3A 2T8, Canada

⁵Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3366, USA

⁶Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Models of astronomical phenomena require a number of nuclear physics inputs to characterize the contribution of these events to the production of the observed elements. Masses and the excitation energy levels, spins, and partial and total decay widths of states are particularly important. Reported here are the mass measurements of ²²Mg and ²⁶Si which are required to understand the contribution of novae to the observed (or unobserved) galactic abundances of ²⁶Al and ²²Na. A description of the Canadian Penning trap mass spectrometer and Yale spectrograph which were used to determine these masses is presented and is followed by a brief description of the astrophysical consequences.

International Symposium on Nuclear Astrophysics — Nuclei in the Cosmos — IX June 25-30 2006 CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

1. Introduction

The success of models used to describe astronomical phenomena such as novae, x-ray bursts, and supernovae is determined by their ability to replicate the observational signatures of these events. Two examples of such observables are the abundances of the isotopes ²⁶Al and ²²Na which are detected by the β -delayed 1.809 MeV (²⁶Al, t_{1/2}=7.2×10⁵ yr) and 1.275 MeV (²²Na, t_{1/2}=2.6 yr) γ rays from the decay of these isotopes [1]. The dominant nuclear uncertainties in the contribution of novae to the abundances of these nuclides stem from uncertainties in the ²⁵Al(p, γ)²⁶Si and ²¹Na(p, γ)²²Mg reaction rates [2, 3, 4]. Since the resonant components of the reaction rates depend exponentially on the resonance energy, $E_R = E_x - Q_o$, either the direct determination of the resonance energy, E_x , and ground-state Q_o values is necessary. We report here the measurements made of the masses of ²²Mg and ²⁶Si which are used to improve the precision of the required Q_o values.

The current mass evaluation (AME) [5], used two measurements published in 1974 [6, 7] to arrive at -397.0(13) keV for the mass excess of ²²Mg. Since the AME was published, a direct measurement [8] of the resonance energy of the 2⁺ state had suggested that the mass excess of ²²Mg was 6 keV less than the value published in the AME. In addition, one of the measurements used in the evaluation [6] had been revised to account for the change in the *Q* value of the ¹⁶O(*p*,*t*)¹⁴O reaction [9] which was used as a calibration *Q* value during the original measurement [10]. The net effect was a decrease in the mass excess of ²²Mg by 3 keV which was insufficient to resolve the 6 keV difference noted in Ref. [8] and prompted independent measurements of the ²²Mg mass and the energy of the 2⁺ state. As for ²⁶Si, only one measurement of its mass [6] was considered in the latest AME. Since recent studies of the structure of ²⁶Si above the ²⁵Al + *p* threshold have been made [11, 12, 13] and a measurement has been proposed at the ISAC facility at TRIUMF to directly study the ²⁵Al(*p*, γ)²⁶Si reaction [14], a remeasurement of the ²⁶Si mass is warranted.

2. The Canadian Penning trap mass spectrometer

The Canadian Penning Trap (CPT) mass spectrometer [15, 16] makes precise mass measurements of nuclides created through fusion-evaporation reactions using beams from the ATLAS facility at Argonne National Laboratory. Ions of ²²Mg were created with a 3.5 MeV/nucleon ²⁰Ne beam incident upon a cryogenic ³He target held at 700 mbar with entrance and exit windows of 1.3 mg cm⁻² Ti. The ²²Mg ions produced in the reaction were focused and separated from the primary beam with a combination of a magnetic triplet, a velocity filter, and an Enge split-pole magnetic spectrograph [17]. A tuneable degrader, positioned at the focal plane of the spectrograph, reduced the energy of the ions before they entered a gas catcher [18] where the ions were thermalized in 200 mbar of purified helium gas. The ions were extracted from the gas catcher via a combination of gas flow and electric fields and were guided through an ion cooler towards an isotope separator as the helium was pumped away. Before they entered the isotope separator, the ions were accumulated within a small trapping potential and were periodically ejected. In this way the continuous ion beam was converted into a pulsed ion beam. The ion bunches were then captured in the isotope separator, a gas-filled Penning trap (B ~ 1 T), where they were subjected to a mass selective cooling process [19] before they were transferred efficiently to a linear RF quadrupole (RFQ) ion trap with standard



Figure 1: TOF spectrum of $^{22}Mg^+$ obtained with the Canadian Penning trap mass spectrometer.

ion optics. During transport, a fast voltage pulse, applied to one of the beam transport elements, efficiently suppressed isotopes outside a restricted range of masses before they were transmitted to the RFQ. The RFQ was used to accumulate several bunches of ions and cool the ions prior to their injection into the precision Penning trap (B ~ 5.9 T) for the mass measurement. The mass, *m*, of the ions in the Penning trap was determined by measuring their cyclotron frequency, $\omega_c = qB/m$, where *q* is the charge of the trapped ion. The magnetic field strength, *B*, was periodically calibrated by measuring the cyclotron frequencies of ²⁰Ne⁺, ²¹Ne⁺, ²²Ne⁺ and H₃O⁺, whose masses are well known. The cyclotron frequency itself is measured by using the time of flight (TOF) method [20].

The mass excess of ²²Mg, as determined with measurements using the CPT [21], is -399.73(67) keV. A spectrum consisting of a subset of the data is shown in Fig. 1. Although this precise mass measurement agrees well with the recent results from an independent Penning trap apparatus [22], only 3 keV of the 6 keV discrepancy suggested by Ref. [8] is accounted for. The remaining 3 keV was removed following a more accurate measurement of the 2⁺ energy level [23]. All the recent measurements now yield consistent results, including those conducted with the Yale spectrograph [$\Delta M = -400.5(1.0)$ keV] [24] discussed below.

3. The Yale spectrograph

The Yale spectrograph sits at the end of a beamline in the tandem Van de Graaff accelerator facility of the Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory at Yale University. Located at the focal plane of the Enge split-pole spectrograph [17] is a position-sensitive gas ionization drift chamber followed by a scintillator. The drift chamber is filled with typically 200 mbar of isobutane which is contained with two 0.25-mil aluminized-mylar windows. A uniform electric field is provided by a series of 10 equally spaced wires which form a voltage divider between a 0.25-inch aluminum plate (the cathode) held at negative potential and a grounded Frisch grid. High voltage (about 1500 V) is

applied to two sets of three wires each which lie above the Frisch grid to draw the electrons from the detector volume. The position of the ions passing through the detector volume is determined with 0.09"-wide by 1.4"-long lead-coated copper pick-up pads which lie above the high-voltage wires. A total of 220 pads are in series along the length of the wires and are separated by delay chips providing a delay of 5 ns between adjacent pads. As ions pass through the detector volume, the energy loss is detected by the cathode and the position of the ion along the focal plane detector is determined by the relative delay between the signals detected at opposite ends of the series of pick-up pads. These signals, in addition to the residual energy deposited in the scintillator, provide a means to select the particles of interest. Further detail is provided in Ref. [25].

Measurements of the mass of ²²Mg and ²⁶Si were made by studying (p,t) reactions between a 33 MeV proton beam and targets of ²⁸SiO (65 ug/cm² with a gold flash) and ²⁴MgO (67 ug/cm² on a 15 ug/cm² carbon backing). The energies of the tritons corresponding to the ground states of ²⁶Si, ²²Mg, and ¹⁴O were used in conjunction with the beam energy and scattering angle to obtain Q_o values of the reactions after performing a momentum calibration of the focal plane with (p,d)reactions from both a 360 ug/cm² ²⁵MgO and a 140 ug/cm² Al target.

The top left spectrum in Fig. 2 shows the triton momenta corresponding to different states of 22 Mg from the 24 Mg(p,t) reaction with the Enge spectrograph set at 25°. For the same spectrograph angle, the states of 26 Si are seen in the bottom left of the same figure. The data shown represent 20 hours (top) and 13 hours (bottom) of collection with a proton beam intensity averaging 20 nA. In the case of the ground state of 22 Mg, a resolution of 10 keV was achieved.

Agreement between the ²²Mg results obtained with the Yale spectrograph and the compilation of recent measurements provides confidence in the ²⁶Si mass determined by the Yale apparatus. The result [$\Delta M = -7139.5(1.0)$ keV], however, is in disagreement with the only measurement used in the AME [$\Delta M = -7145(3)$ keV] [6], even after it was corrected for changes in the calibration reaction resulting in a mass excess $\Delta M = -7145.5(30)$ keV [26].

With the mass of ²⁶Si as determined using the Yale spectrograph, the contributions to the total reaction rate of ²⁵Al(p, γ)²⁶Si at nova temperatures is shown in top right panel of Fig. 2 and is dominated by the 1⁺ and 3⁺ states. The bottom right panel shows the ratio of the resonant rate contributions determined with the ²⁶Si mass presented here to the rates calculated with the mass reported in the AME. More details are given in Ref. [24]. A reduction in the rate by as much as 30% for T > 0.2 GK is possible if the ²⁶Si mass reported in the AME is replaced by the results obtained with the Yale spectrograph. These results would be strengthened with additional measurements of ²⁶Si states above the ²⁵Al + p threshold and experimental determinations for the γ and proton partial decay widths of these states. An independent confirmation of the ²⁶Si mass is also desirable.

This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Nuclear Physics Division, under Contract W-31-109-ENG-38, and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] M. Hernanz and J. José, New Astronomy Reviews 48, 35 (2004).
- [2] J. José et al., Astrophys. J. 520, 347 (1999).
- [3] C. Iliadis et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 134, 151 (2001).



Figure 2: The left figure shows the triton momentum spectra at the focal plane of the Yale spectrograph for the ${}^{24}Mg(p,t){}^{22}Mg$ reaction (top) and the ${}^{28}Si(p,t){}^{26}Si$ reaction (bottom). Also note the peaks resulting from (p,t) reactions on the carbon and oxygen present in the targets. The top right figure shows the contributions to the total reaction rate of ${}^{25}Al(p,\gamma){}^{26}Si$ with the ${}^{26}Si$ mass determined by the Yale spectrograph. The bottom right figure is a comparison, in terms of a ratio, between the total contribution to the resonant rate as calculated with the ${}^{26}Si$ mass determined with the ${}^{26}Si$ mass from the AME.

- [4] C. Iliadis et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 142, 105 (2002).
- [5] G. Audi et al., Nucl. Phys. A729, 337 (2003).
- [6] J. C. Hardy et al., Phys. Rev. C 9, 252 (1974).
- [7] J. A. Nolen et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 115, 189 (1974).
- [8] S. Bishop et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 162501 (2003).
- [9] G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. A595, 409 (1995).
- [10] J. C. Hardy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 082501 (2003).
- [11] J. A. Caggiano et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 055801 (2002).
- [12] D. W. Bardayan et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 032801 (2002).
- [13] Y. Parpottas et al., Phys. Rev. C 70, 065805 (2004).
- [14] A. A. Chen et al. (E922, proposal accepted by TRIUMF EEC).
- [15] G. Savard et al., Nucl. Phys. A626, 353 (1997).
- [16] J. Clark et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 204, 487 (2003).

- [17] J. E. Spencer and H. A. Enge, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 49, 181 (1967).
- [18] G. Savard et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 204, 582 (2003).
- [19] G. Savard et al., Phys. Lett. A 158, 247 (1991).
- [20] G. Gräff et al., Z. Phys. A 297, 35 (1980).
- [21] G. Savard et al., Phys. Rev. C 70, 042501 (2004).
- [22] M. Mukherjee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 150801 (2004).
- [23] D. Seweryniak et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 032501 (2005).
- [24] A. Parikh et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 055804 (2005).
- [25] A. Parikh, Ph.D. thesis, Yale University (2006).
- [26] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C 71, 055501 (2005).