

# ANALYTICAL APPROXIMATION IN MARK V SCALLOPED ORBITS AND TO RADIAL BETATRON OSCILLATIONS ABOUT THEM

David L. Judd

University of California Radiation Laboratory and Midwestern Universities Research Association\* LBL, Berkeley

August 10, 1955

As a check on the work of Laslett, and because of apparent discrepancies between (1) Ridge Runner (2) Feckless Five, and (3) Laslett's analytical values obtained with the aid of his tables, in determining frequencies of radial betatron oscillations, it was decided to compare analytically these various approaches, using an independent method of procedure, for motion in the median plane. At the conclusion of this work, correction of errors in Laslett's analysis produced satisfactory agreement, and all treatments of this problem now agree except for some discrepancies in the coefficients in x<sub>s</sub> below. The present work is believed to be more accurate at this point.

I. Comparison of RR and FF.

The Ridge Runner (rigorous) equations are

 $X' = (1+X) \frac{P_X}{\sqrt{1-P_X T}}$  $P_{\mathbf{x}}' = \sqrt{I - P_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}} - (I + \mathbf{x})^{\mathbf{k}} \begin{bmatrix} I + f \sin\left\{\frac{J}{\omega} \ln\left(1 + \mathbf{x}\right) - \mathbf{x} \right\} \end{bmatrix}$ 

for motion of a particle of mechanical momentum \*Supported by the National Science Foundation

 $P = e^{\beta_{o}} (r_{i}/r_{o}) \stackrel{\text{de}}{r_{i}} \qquad \text{in the median plane of the field} \\ \beta = \beta_{o} \left( \frac{r}{r_{o}} \right) \stackrel{\text{de}}{[i + f \sin i} \left\{ \frac{i}{\omega} \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_{o}} \right) - N \phi \right\} \right]. \qquad \text{Here} \\ r = r_{i} \left( 1 + x \right); N \phi^{*} = N \phi + \frac{\ln \frac{n}{r_{o}}}{\omega}$ 

The Feckless Five equations, which are approximate, have been supplied by Laslett, and, when specialized to motion in the median plane, assume the form

$$x' = (1+x) P_{x}$$

$$P'_{x} = -(k+1)x - k(k+1)x'_{2} - k(k+1)(k-1)x'_{6}$$

$$- f \cos S e^{(k+1)} [\sin (\frac{x}{w} - N\theta) - (k+1)w \cos (\frac{x}{w} - N\theta)]$$

$$+ f x^{2} \cos (N\theta + 2S) \sec S/(2w) - \frac{i}{2} P x^{2}.$$

Here  $\int = \tan^{-1}(k+1)w$ ,  $N \not = No+\int_{\infty}^{*} Straightforward expansion of the Ridge-Runner equations yields$ 

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= (1+x) P_{x} + O(p_{x}^{3}) \\ P_{x}' &= -(k+1)x - k(k+1)x_{2}^{2} - k(k+1)(k-1)x_{6}^{3} \\ -f \cos S e^{(k+1)x} \\ &= \sin(\frac{x}{w} - N 0) - (k+1)w \cos(\frac{x}{w} - N 0) \\ + f x^{2} (\cos N 0 + S) / (2w) - \frac{1}{2} P_{x}^{2} \\ + O(p_{x}^{4}, x_{3}^{3}), \end{aligned}$$

where one term in  $x^3$  has been explicitly kept to compare with Feckless Five, and where  $(1+x)^{k+1}$  and  $e^{(k+1)x}$ have been used interchangably since  $x \ll 1$  and k >> 1. Thus a discrepancy to this order in x exists in the terms

# in x<sup>2</sup>, since

 $\operatorname{Cos}(NO+2S)\operatorname{sec} S = \operatorname{Cos}(NO+S) - \operatorname{Tarv} S\operatorname{sin}(NO+S)$ , with the second term being erroneously present in FF and missing in the rigorous RR. The error is no doubt comparable with the neglect of terms of order  $x^3$  for the small values of S appropriate to the range of parameters of current interest. Validity of the neglect of  $x^3$  terms is certainly open to question in the study of non-linear effects in betatron oscillations but does not appear to be significant in locating the closed orbits or in determining the betatron frequency in linear approximation, for the range of parameters of present interest.

### II. Analytical Determination of Closed Orbits.

The rigorous (RR) equations may be expanded without the somewhat artificial introduction of the quantity S. The result, to the order indicated, is

 $\begin{aligned} x' &= (1+x) \not = x + \not = \frac{3}{2} + & \sigma(x \not = \frac{3}{2}) \\ & \mathcal{F}_{x}' &= - \not = \frac{4x}{2} - f \sin \psi - (k+1) \mathcal{E}[1+f \sin \psi] x \\ & - \left\{ \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \left[ 1+f \sin \psi \right] - \frac{f}{2\pi \omega} \cos \psi \right\} x^{2} \\ & - \left\{ \frac{k(k+1)(k-1)}{6} \left[ 1+f \sin \psi \right] - \frac{f}{2\pi \omega} (k+1) \cos \psi \right\} x^{3} \\ & + & \sigma\left(\frac{k^{4}}{x} x, \frac{x^{4}}{x}\right) \\ & + & \sigma\left(\frac{k^{4}}{x} x, \frac{x^{4}}{x}\right) \end{aligned}$ 

Here  $\Psi \equiv \frac{x}{\omega} - N \phi^*$ . Neglected terms in the above equations are small with respect to the leading terms. In the first equation,

 $\frac{\times P_{X}^{3}}{P_{X}} \sim N_{X}^{23} \sim \frac{N_{f}^{2}}{V^{6}} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ for } f \sim \frac{1}{4}, N \gtrsim 25$ In the second,

 $\frac{k \sqrt{x}}{f} \lesssim 10^{-5} \quad \frac{x^{4}}{\omega^{2} f} \lesssim 10^{-6} \text{ for } f \sim \frac{1}{4}, N \gtrsim 25, \frac{1}{\omega} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ for } \lesssim 10^{-6}.$ One may proceed to convert these highly accurate equations to a single second order differential equation:

$$x'' + (1+k) x = - f sin \Psi - [(k+2) f sin \Psi] x - [(k+1)/(k+2)(1+fsin \Psi) - \frac{f}{2} cos \Psi] x^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2}(1-3 fsin \Psi) x^{12} - \left[ \frac{fk(k+1)/(k-1)}{6} + \frac{k((k+1))}{2} (1+fsin \Psi) - \frac{k+2}{2w} f cos \Psi \right] x^{3}$$

$$- \left[ \frac{i}{2} + \frac{3}{2}((k+1))(1+fsin \Psi) \right] x x^{12} + O\left( x^{14}, x^{13}, k^{4}, \frac{x^{4}}{2}, \frac{x^{4}}{2w^{2}} \right).$$

In order to proceed further analytically, it is necessary to expand in powers of  $\frac{X}{\omega}$ , even though considerable inaccuracy may result for some values of x/w. The terms neglected in the degree of approximation used below are of order  $\frac{1}{4!} \frac{X^4}{f\omega^4} \simeq \frac{f^3}{24\pi^8\omega^4}$  relative to the largest terms in the differential equation; this quantity is less than 2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> for f  $\leq$   $\frac{1}{4}$ , N  $\gtrsim$  50, 1/w  $\lesssim$  10<sup>3</sup> but may be as large as  $\frac{1}{2}$  for N  $\gtrsim$  25, 1/w  $\lesssim$  3 x 10<sup>3</sup> ! !

This expansion, when carried out, using the abbreviation  $\leq = N \phi^4$ , yields x"+ (k+1)x = Jsin & - [ + cos & - (k+2) fsin & ]x + [-(k+1)(k+2) + f { (k+1)(k+2) - w2 ] sin E - 2k+3 cos E ] ] x2 +[1+3 fain ] x " + [-k(k+1)(k+2) + f [k(k+1)(k+2)-3(k+1)] sing - [3k+6k+2)-w2] cus = ] x3 + (-1-3(k+1)+3 f (2+3) sin = - to cas 5] xx12 + o (x4 k'x4, x14)

The largest neglect is that of the terms in  $\frac{\chi}{\gamma/\omega^{\varphi}}$ . This equation may be solved by iteration for the closed orbit, which is that solution having period  $2\pi/N$  in 0.  $x = x_{o} + x_{i} + x_{2} + x_{3} + \cdots + \cdots$ .  $x_{o}^{*} + (\ell + i) x_{o} = fain N d^{*}$ .  $x_{o}^{*} = -(f/D_{i}) ain \xi, \quad D_{i} \equiv N^{2} - (\ell + i).$   $x_{i}^{"} + (\ell + i) x_{i} = -f[\frac{1}{\omega} con \xi - (\ell + 2) ain \xi] x_{o} = \frac{f^{2}}{xD_{i}} \left[\frac{1}{\omega} ain 2N \phi^{*} - (\ell + 2)(1 - con 2N \phi^{*})\right]$   $x_{i} = -\frac{\ell + 2}{2(\ell + i)} \frac{f^{2}}{D_{i}} - \frac{f^{2}}{2D_{i}D_{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\omega} ain AN \phi^{*} + (\ell + 2) con 2N \phi^{*}\right]$  $x_{a}^{"} + (\ell + i) x_{2} = -f[\frac{1}{\omega} con \xi - (-\ell + 2) ain \xi] x_{i} + [-(\ell + i)(\ell + 2) + f[\frac{1}{2}(\ell + i)(\ell + 2) - \frac{1}{\omega}] ain \xi - \frac{2\ell + 3}{\omega} con \xi ] \frac{\chi_{o}^{2}}{2}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & + \left[ 1+3 f \sin \frac{\pi}{3} \right] \frac{\pi_{0}^{1/2}}{2}; \quad D_{\pm} = 4N^{3} - (\frac{\pi}{4}+1) \\ & = \chi_{0} + \chi_{1} \operatorname{cm} N \phi^{\#} + \chi_{3} \operatorname{cm} 2N \phi^{\#} + \chi_{3} \operatorname{cm} 3N \phi^{\#} + \beta; \sin N \phi^{\#} \\ & + \beta_{3} \sin 3N \phi^{\#} \\ & \chi_{0} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{2} \left[ N^{2} - (\frac{\pi}{4}+1)(\frac{\pi}{4}+2) \right] \\ & \chi_{1} = \frac{f^{2}}{J_{w} D_{1}} \left[ \frac{\frac{\pi}{4}+2}{\frac{\pi}{4}+1} - \frac{2\pi}{4} \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{\pi}{2}} \right] \\ & \chi_{2} = \frac{f^{2}}{4D_{1}} 2 \left[ N^{2} + (\frac{\pi}{4}+1)(\frac{\pi}{4}+2) \right] \\ & \chi_{3} = \frac{f^{2}}{2w D_{1}} \left[ -\frac{\frac{\pi}{2}+2}{\frac{\pi}{4}+1} + \frac{2\pi}{4} \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{\pi}{2}} \right] \\ & \beta_{1} = \frac{f^{2}}{2w} \frac{\pi}{D_{1}} \left[ -\frac{(\frac{\pi}{4}+2)^{2}}{\frac{\pi}{4}+1} + \frac{(\frac{\pi}{4}+2)^{2}}{2D_{2}} + \frac{1}{2w^{2}} \frac{1}{D_{1}} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{D_{1}} \right] \\ & \beta_{3} = \frac{\rho}{2D_{1}} \left[ -\frac{(\frac{\pi}{4}+2)^{3}}{\frac{\pi}{4}+1} + \frac{1}{2w^{2}} D_{2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\frac{\pi}{4}+1)(\frac{\pi}{4}+2)}{D_{1}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{w^{2}} D_{1} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{N^{2}}{D_{1}} \right] \\ & \chi_{2} = \frac{d_{0}}{\pi} - \frac{\chi_{1}}{D_{1}} \operatorname{cm} N\phi^{\#} - \frac{\beta}{D_{1}} \tan N\phi^{\#} - \frac{\chi_{2}}{2} \operatorname{cm} 2N\phi^{\#} - \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{cm} 2N\phi^{\#} - \frac{\beta}{D_{2}} \sin 3N\phi^{\#}; \\ & D_{3} = 9N^{2} - (\pi+1) \\ & \chi_{3}^{''} + (\pi+1)\chi_{3}^{''} = -\pi\chi_{2} + 8\chi_{0}\chi_{1} + C\chi_{0}'\chi_{1}' + D\frac{\chi_{0}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}}^{''}. \end{aligned}$$

Here A, B,....E are the square brackets on the right side of the differential equation being solved.

To minimize the labor from here on, we note that, according to Symon's smooth approximation,

$$\left(\frac{r}{N^{2}\omega}\right)^{2} \simeq \frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\pi}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{z}}{\pi}\right)^{2}\right] - \frac{1}{N^{2}}, \quad k \simeq \left(\frac{N\sigma_{r}}{2\pi}\right)^{2};$$

MURA DLJ - 1

7

so that for reasonable values  $\mathcal{T}_{p} \sim \pi/2$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{g} \sim \pi/4$ , we have, approximately,  $\frac{\chi}{\omega} \simeq \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{\chi}{4} + 1 \simeq \frac{N^{2}}{16}$ , since  $\gamma \sim f/D_{1}$ , and  $D_{1} \sim N^{2}$ ,  $D_{2} \sim 4N^{2}$ . Thus the first terms in  $\mathcal{I}_{0} \,\mathcal{I}_{1}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_{2}$  are the largest,  $1/\omega$  is an order of magnitude larger than k, and the largest terms in  $\beta_{1}$  and  $\beta_{3}$  are those in  $f^{3}/(w^{2} D_{1}^{2})$  and  $f^{3} / D_{1}$ .

The largest terms on the right side are those coming from the large part of A  $\left(-\frac{f}{\omega}e^{rx}\xi\right)$  times the largest terms in  $x_2\left(-\frac{f}{D_1}e^{rx}N\varphi^*-\frac{f}{D_1}i^*H^rN\varphi^*\right)$ , from the largest term in B  $\left(-\frac{f}{\omega}4i\pi\xi\right)'$  times  $x_0$  times the two largest parts of  $x_1\left(-\frac{f+2}{2(f+1)}\frac{f^2}{D_1}-\frac{f^2}{2(D_1D_2\omega}Ai\pi\xi\right)$ , and from the largest term in D  $\left(\frac{f}{\omega}i^*Er\xi\right)$  times  $1/6x_0^3$ . We thus obtain the approximate equation

where only the largest term in  $\beta_i$  (  $\ll \frac{1}{\omega^2}$  ) has been kept, and  $D_1/D_2 \sim 1/4$ . We thus obtain

$$\frac{f'}{3} = \frac{f'}{16\omega^2 D_1^3} \frac{2\pi + 3}{k+1} \frac{f'}{2\omega^2 D_1^2 D_2} \frac{k+2}{k+1} e_{eee} \frac{2N\phi^{\#}}{24\omega^3 D_1^3 D_2} \frac{7f^{\#}}{24\omega^3 D_1^3 D_2} a_{m} \frac{2N\phi^{\#}}{24\omega^3 D_1^3 D_2} - \frac{f'^{\#}}{26\omega^3 D_1^3 D_2} a_{m} \frac{4}{5}$$

MURA DLJ-1

Finally we may collect all our terms below and evaluate the orders of magnitude of the various terms, expressing all quantities in terms of N through use of Symon's smooth approximation expressions with the choices of  $\sigma's$  made above,

$$\begin{split} \chi &= - \left[ \frac{k+2}{2\pi^{2}+1} - \frac{f^{2}}{D_{1}} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{f^{2}}{D_{2}} \int \left( \frac{k+1}{k+1} - \frac{k+2}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{k\omega^{2}} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac$$

+ ----

To get orders of magnitude: take  $k \simeq k + 1 \simeq k + 2 \simeq \frac{N^2}{16}$ X= 1/2 [- sin NP\*[1+(-,024 + 1072 + 10016 + 0,004 + 0,0003 -0.002) + - --- ] - [0.125 + 0.004 (1- 256 + 0.002+ ---7 - coz N \$\* [0.03 + ---7 - sin 211 \$ [0.03 - . 001 + --7 - cra 2 11 pt [0.002+ --- 7 - sin 3N p\* [ (+ 0,01 + ,0026 - 0,001 + 0,005 - 0,0005)+ ---] - coz 3N \$\* [ 0.0002 + ---7 - sin HN \$ [ 0.00005 + -- ] --- |

It is felt unlikely that for any reasonable design the numerical relationships used above will differ by more than about a factor of 2, or that the orders of magnitude of the various terms arrived at will be changed by more than a factor of 10.

10

#### III. Linearized Equations for Radial Betatron Oscillations.

The periodic solution just obtained will henceforth be denoted by xs. If we set  $\pi \in X_{S} \in \mathcal{O}$ , insert this in the differential equation, and retain only linear terms in  $(^{\circ}$ , we obtain

$$C'' + (\mathcal{A}_{+1})C = AC + B_{x_s}C + C_{x_s}C' + \frac{D_{x_s}^2}{2}C + E(\frac{\mathcal{A}_s}{2}C + \mathcal{A}_s\mathcal{A}_s'C') + O(C^2C'^2).$$

Rearranging,

$$C'' - (C + E_{x_s}) \chi'_s C' + [(k+1) + A - B_{x_s} - D_{x_s}^2 - E_{x_s}^2] C = O(C^2 - C'^2)$$

Transforming to eliminate the first derivative term, set  $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \int (c + E \pi_s) \chi'_s d \phi^*}$ , obtaining

$$U'' + \left[ (4+i) + A - B_{x_{s}} - \frac{D_{x_{s}}}{2} - \frac{E_{s}}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (c + E_{x_{s}}) x'_{s} \right\}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (c + E_{x_{s}}) x'_{s} \right\}^{2} = O(v_{g}^{2} v'^{2}),$$
We will now the to evolute the general breaket is

We will now try to evaluate the square bracket in this equation. By use of the same interrelationships based on the smooth approximation as were applied above to pick out large terms and reject small ones, we may verify that the terms to be kept are

$$\begin{array}{c} k+1 + \frac{f}{\omega} \cos \xi - (k+2) f \sin \xi + \int (k+1)(k+2) + \frac{f}{\omega^2} \sin \xi \\ + \frac{f(3 + +3)}{\omega} \cos \xi \int T_3 - \frac{f}{\omega^3} \cos \xi \frac{K_3^2}{2} \end{array}$$

After inserting  $x_s$  as obtained earlier and dropping small terms, we obtain the square bracket as

 $(1+1)_{i} - \frac{f^{2}}{2\omega^{2}D_{i}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{f}{\omega D_{i}} \right)^{2} \right\} + - -$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{NURA} \quad \text{DLJ-1} \\ \text{Internal} \\ + \frac{\beta}{\omega} & \text{for } \overline{\xi} \left[ 1 - \frac{\beta^2}{g_{\omega} \cdot z_D} \right] \left( 1 - \overline{g} \cdot 4 \cdot 2\omega^2 \right) \left\{ 1 - \frac{\beta}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot D_I} \right)^{\omega} \right\} - \frac{\left( \frac{g}{g} + z \right) \left( 2 \cdot 4 + 3 \right) f}{g \cdot \left( \frac{g}{g} + 1 \right) D_I} + \cdots - \right] \\ - \left( \frac{g}{g} + z \right) f \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{f^2}{g \cdot \left( \frac{g}{g} + 1 \right) \omega^2 + D_I} + \frac{\frac{g}{g} + 1}{D_I} + \cdots - \right] \\ + \frac{f^2}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left\{ 1 - \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot D_I} \right)^2 + \cdots - \right\} \\ - \frac{\left( 2 \cdot \frac{g}{g} + 2 \right) f^2}{g_{\omega} \cdot D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{\left( \frac{g}{g} + 2 \right)}{\left( \frac{g}{g} + 1 \right) \left( 2 \cdot \frac{g}{g} + 2 \right)} + \frac{f^2}{\omega^2 \cdot D_I} + \cdots - \right] \\ + \frac{f^2}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{\omega \cdot Q_I} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_{\omega} \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \cdots - \\ + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{for } \overline{g} = \int \left[ 1 + \frac{g}{g_U} \cdot \left( \frac{f}{g_U} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \frac{g}{g_U \cdot 2D_I} \quad \text{$$

magnitude are:

 $A \sim \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{---}{8}$   $B_{c} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{128} - \frac{1}{256} + \frac{---}{18}$   $B_{s} \sim \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{256} + \frac{---}{2}$   $C_{s} \sim \frac{1}{8} + \frac{---}{164}$   $D_{c} \sim \frac{1}{128} + \frac{---}{12000}$ 

The largest terms calculated from the original equation accurate through third order terms in x, but not written above, are of order 1/1000 or of order 1/N<sup>2</sup> when compared with the orders of magnitude given immediately above. Neglect of all of the terms of order 1/N<sup>2</sup> is not justified for model parameters with small N; this point is investigated separately below. We finally then obtain:  $A \doteq \frac{4'}{N^{*}} \left[ (\frac{4}{4}+i) - \frac{f^{2}}{2\omega^{*}D_{i}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{f'}{\omega D_{i}} \right)^{2} \right\} \right]$  $B \doteq \frac{4'}{N^{2}} \frac{f'}{\omega} \left[ \left\{ 1 - \frac{f^{2}}{8\omega^{*}D_{i}^{2}} \left( (1 - 3 *^{2}\omega^{*}) \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{f}{\omega D_{i}} \right)^{2} \right] - \frac{(\frac{4}{2} + i)(2 * + 3)}{2((\frac{4}{2} + i) D_{i}} \right]^{4} \right]$  $+ ((\frac{4}{2} + 2)^{4} \omega^{*} \left\{ 1 + \frac{f^{2}}{2(\frac{4}{2} + i)\omega^{*}D_{i}} + \frac{4^{4}}{D_{i}} \right\}^{2} + (\frac{4}{2} + 3)^{2} \omega^{*} \left\{ 1 + \frac{f^{2}}{2(\frac{4}{2} + i)\omega^{*}D_{i}} + \frac{(\frac{4}{2} + 2)}{(\frac{4}{2} + i)(2 * + 3)} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{f}{\omega D_{i}} \right)^{2} \right]^{2} + (2 * 4 + 3)^{2} \omega^{*} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\frac{4}{2} + 2)}{(\frac{4}{2} + i)(2 * + 3)} \frac{f^{2}}{\omega^{*}D_{i}} \right\}^{2} \right]^{1/2}$  $D \doteq \frac{4}{N^{*}} \frac{f^{2}}{8\omega^{*}D_{i}^{2}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{f}{\omega D_{i}} \right)^{2} \right]^{2}$ 

One way shift the origin of t an amount  $S_{i}$  so as to make the new  $S_{i} = 0$ ; then  $S_{2}$  can be obtained from

the equation

$$\tan S_{a}' = \frac{\tan S_{a} - \tan S_{i}}{1 + \tan S_{a} \tan S_{i}}$$

There appears to be little point in evaluating this expression further at present since tables do not exist which cover values of  $\int_{2}^{1}$  other than 0 or  $\mathcal{M}$ . For the cases studied this far numerically,  $\int_{1}^{1}$  is less than about 0.15 radian, and  $\int_{2}^{1}$  is less than twice  $\int_{1}^{1}$ , so that  $\int_{2}^{1}$  does not exceed 0.1 radian.

For model parameters use the following formulas:

a = same as for large machines

$$B = \sqrt{B_s^2 + B_c^2}$$
$$C = \sqrt{C_s^2 + C_c^2}$$

where

$$B_{g} = \frac{2(2k+3)f^{2}}{N^{2}\omega D_{i}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k+2}{(k+i)(2k+3)} \frac{f^{2}}{\omega^{2}D_{i}} \right\} + \frac{3f}{D_{i}}$$

$$B_{c} = \frac{4f}{N^{k}w} \left\{ 1 - \frac{f^{2}}{8w^{2}D_{i}^{2}} \left( 1 - 3 + 2w^{2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{k+2}{wD_{i}} \right)^{2} \right) - \frac{(k+2)(2k+3)f^{2}}{2(k+i)D_{i}} - \frac{f^{3}}{wD_{i}^{2}} \left( \frac{k+2}{k+i} \right) - \frac{f^{3}}{2(k+i)D_{i}} \left( \frac{k+2}{k+i} \right) - \frac{f^{3}}{wD_{i}^{2}} \left( \frac{k+2}{k+i} \right) - \frac{f^{3}}{wD_{i}^{2$$

(The underlined terms may be omitted in large scale machines)

## IV. <u>Numerical Comparisons.</u>

The closed orbit results may be put in the form  $\chi_s = -\mathcal{L} - \beta \, \min N \, \not\!\!\!/ \, \mathcal{P}^* - \beta \, \operatorname{Con} \, N \, \not\!\!\!/ \, \mathcal{P}^* - \beta \, \operatorname{Con} \, 2N \, \not\!\!\!/ \, \mathcal{P}^* + \operatorname{terms} \, \boldsymbol{\leq} 1\% \, \text{of} \, \beta \, \text{.}$ The results of Laslett may be written as:

$$\varkappa = \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{k+2}}{\frac{1}{k+1}} \right) \left( \frac{f}{N} \right)^2$$

$$\beta = \frac{T}{N^{2} - (\pi + 1) + \frac{3}{8}(\frac{f}{\omega N})^{2}}$$

$$8 = -\frac{\pi \omega}{4} \left[ \frac{P}{N^{2} - (\pi + 1) + \frac{3}{8}(\frac{f}{\omega N})^{2}} \right]^{3}$$

The results of the present investigation have been quoted earlier. A numerical comparison has been made for the representative parameters f = .25, N = 50, k = 100, w<sup>-1</sup> = 3 x 10<sup>3</sup>. Laslett 1.25 x 10<sup>-5</sup> 1.01 x 10<sup>-4</sup> ~ 10<sup>-14</sup> 0 Judd 1.33 x 10<sup>-5</sup> 1.00 x 10<sup>-4</sup> 4.0 x 10<sup>-6</sup> 3.9 x 10<sup>-6</sup>

The discrepancies indicate that the values calculated here may more accurately predict fixed points.

Results for A, B, and C in the linearlized radial betatron oscillation equation have been computed for six cases, and one tabulated below, together with values

of  $\mathcal{T}_{\chi}/\mathcal{T}$  obtained from the Laslett tables and from the Illiac. Earlier discrepancies, largely due to errors in Laslett's earlier work, have disappeared upon introduction of his corrected values, which are essentially the same as those obtained here. Results for  $\mathcal{T}_{\chi}/\mathcal{T}$ using his corrected values are also tabulated for completeness; good agreement is again obtained.

							U.	Ux/7		~ /T	
k	f	1/w	N	AL	A <sub>J</sub>	<sup>B</sup> L∼BJ	CTCCT	Tab- les	Ill- iac	Tab- les	Ill- iac
150	1/4	2094	37	.131	.135	1.50	.309	.87*	.86	°41	•39
75	1/4	1047	27	<b>.</b> 144	.146	1.41	.272	.80	.79	•33	•32
150	1/4	2094	40	.151	.153	1.29	.227	.7 <sub>2</sub>	.71	°23	.22
150	1/4	2200	42	.138	.139	1.23	.206	.67 .	2/3	.2 <sub>1</sub>	.21
150	1/4	3142	60	.070	.070	0.868	.0975	°42	.43	.15	.15
150	1/4	2620	50	۰099	.100	1.04	.143	• 52	• 53	.20	.18

\* This value obtained by linear interpolation in C from plots of levies of constant B on A vs cos  $\mathcal{T}$  graphs. Linear interpolation is not too well justified here, and is especially bad from plots of lines of constant  $\mathcal{T}$  on A vs B graphs in this case lying near a stability boundary; the value obtained in this way is .92.

In the table above it should be noted that the reading of values of  $\int from$  graphs made from the Laslett tables is uncertain to at least one and probably two digits in the second decimal place in many places.

V. Conclusions.

It is concluded (1) that the Ridge Runner and Feckless Five equations agree in the median plane through terms of second order in x and x except for a small discrepancy which will be unimportant for small kev; (2 ) that a reliable expression, accurate to better than 1% of the largest term, for the closed orbits has been obtained; (3) that the coefficients A, B, and C for the radial linearized betatron oscillation as obtained here and independently by Laslett are now in satisfactory agreement; and (4) that the frequencies obtained from inserting these into the Laslett tables are in satisfactory agreement with the frequencies denied from Illiac computations. The principal recommendation arising from this work is that the section of the tables from C = -0.5 to C = +0.5 be extended at intervals of 0.1 if it is anticipiated that extensive use of these tables is expected in the future. It may also be repeated that the coefficients of xs obtained here are believed to be more accurate than those of Laslett and may have some utility in more precise location of fixed points in the machine calculations.