EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH Laboratory for Particle Physics

Departmental Report

CERN/AT 2007-20 (MCS) CARE-Pub-07-003

### LOW-FIELD INSTABILITIES IN Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn MULTIFILAMENTARY WIRES: THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF UNREACTED Nb

P. Fabbricatore<sup>1</sup>, M. Greco<sup>1</sup>, C. Ferdeghini<sup>2</sup>, C. Bernini<sup>2</sup>, U. Gambardella<sup>3</sup>, G. Celentano<sup>4</sup> and A. Devred<sup>5,6</sup>

We report an experimental study aiming to demonstrate the not negligible role of unreacted Nb on the magnetic instabilities in superconducting Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn multifilamentary wires, observable through partial flux jumps at magnetic field values below 0.5 T. The analysed wires were recently developed for use as dipoles required in future high-energy proton accelerators and are based on powder-in-tube technology. We studied both unreacted (only involving Nb filaments) and reacted wires, finding flux jump instabilities in both cases when performing magnetic measurements. The results can be interpreted on the basis of the critical state model and are coherent with the intrinsic stability criterion.

1 INFN-Sezione di Genova via Dodecaneso, Genova, Italy

2 CNR-INFM LAMIA, Genova, Genova, Italy

- 3 INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- 4 ENEA C.R.-Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- 5 CEA/DSM/DAPNIA/SACM, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 6 CERN, Accelerator Technology Department, Geneva, Switzerland

Published in Superconductor Science and Technology 20 (2007) L34-L37

CERN, Accelerator Technology Department CH - 1211 Geneva 23 Switzerland 03 August 2007

Supercond. Sci. Technol. 20 (2007) L34-L37

## **RAPID COMMUNICATION**

# Low-field instabilities in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn multifilamentary wires: the possible role of unreacted Nb

## P Fabbricatore<sup>1</sup>, M Greco<sup>1</sup>, C Ferdeghini<sup>2</sup>, C Bernini<sup>2</sup>, U Gambardella<sup>3</sup>, G Celentano<sup>4</sup> and A Devred<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INFN-Sezione di Genova via Dodecaneso, 33 16146 Genova, Italy

 $^2$  CNR-INFM LAMIAGenova, via Dodecaneso 33 16146 Genova, Italy

<sup>3</sup> INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati via E Fermi 40, 00044 Frascati, Italy

<sup>4</sup> ENEA C.R.-Frascati, via E Fermi 45, 0044 Frascati, Italy

<sup>5</sup> CEA/DSM/DAPNIA/SACM, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette CEDEX, France

<sup>6</sup> CERN/AT/MCS, CH 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received 5 March 2007, in final form 19 April 2007 Published 9 May 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/SUST/20/L34

### Abstract

We report an experimental study aiming to demonstrate the not negligible role of unreacted Nb on the magnetic instabilities in superconducting Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn multifilamentary wires, observable through partial flux jumps at magnetic field values below 0.5 T. The analysed wires were recently developed for use as dipoles required in future high-energy proton accelerators and are based on powder-in-tube technology. We studied both unreacted (only involving Nb filaments) and reacted wires, finding flux jump instabilities in both cases when performing magnetic measurements. The results can be interpreted on the basis of the critical state model and are coherent with the intrinsic stability criterion.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

In recent years we have observed renewed interest in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconductors. In spite of the progress with high- $T_{\rm c}$ superconductors optimized for applications (e.g. YBCOcoated conductors) and of very promising developments of magnesium diboride wires, large projects involving highfield superconducting magnets are still looking for conductors based on Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. The ITER project, aiming to develop a Tokamak fusion reactor, envisages a cable in a conduit Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn conductor for toroidal and poloidal coils and for the central solenoid. American and European teams are developing highfield-gradient quadrupole and high-field dipole magnets (15 T) for the interaction regions of the Large Hadron Collider at CERN, based on a multistrand conductor, made of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn multifilamentary wires [1, 2]. The basic feature making Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn so appealing is its ability to carry very high current density in practical wires, typically 2400 A mm<sup>-2</sup> at a temperature of 4.5 K and applied magnetic field of 12 T. Unfortunately, recent developments in high-field accelerator magnets showed the Achilles' heel of wires carrying high current density [3, 4]. It is a well-known problem since the early developments of superconducting wires in the 1960s: a local temperature increase, due to a disturbance, causes a sudden magnetic flux penetration into the superconductor, generating a further heat dissipation [5, 6]. This avalanche process can be controlled (no transition to normal state occurs) if the wire is thin enough according to the formula

$$b < \sqrt{\frac{3\gamma C_p (T_c(B) - T_{op})}{\mu_0 J_c^2 (B, T_{op})}},$$
 (1)

where *b* is the wire diameter,  $\gamma$  is the mass density,  $C_p$  is the specific heat,  $T_c$  is the critical temperature at a given magnetic field *B*,  $T_{op}$  is the operating temperature and  $J_c$  is the critical current density at the operating temperature. On the basis of this simple formula (the adiabatic stability criterion) the need to develop multifilamentary wires was understood with



**Figure 1.** SEM image showing the cross section of a single filament of a PIT wire developed in the framework of the NED project. The approximate dimensions of each filament phase (Sn, Nb and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn) immersed in a Cu matrix are reported too.

filament size of the order of 100  $\mu$ m for NbTi (at 4.2 K and 5 T magnetic field) and 30  $\mu$ m for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn (at 4.2 K and 12 T magnetic field), the most used superconductors in practical applications. One can see in equation (1) that the higher the current density the lower the filament diameter. At a low field the current density of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn wire is so high as to require filaments of 6–10  $\mu$ m in diameter. The most advanced technological developments of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn wires are based on three main processes [7]: powder in tube (PIT), internal tin (IT) and modified jelly roll (MJR), all leading to filament dimensions ranging from a minimum of 50  $\mu$ m to 100  $\mu$ m. We have focused our attention on wires produced through the PIT process because, apart from the very promising developments, their response to an applied dc magnetic field can be easily analysed. Figure 1 shows a cross section of a PIT wire developed for the Next European Dipole (NED) project [2] with a detail of a single filament, obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrography. The unreacted filament has a Sn core diameter of 25  $\mu$ m, immersed in a Nb hexagon having a short diameter of about 61  $\mu$ m. When curing the wire, the Sn diffusion into the Nb creates a 46  $\mu$ m wide annular region of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn.

With respect to the adiabatic stability criterion, this wire would be unstable at a low field (below 1 T), the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn size being about four times the critical size expressed by equation (1). In fact partial flux jumps are observed in the dc magnetic moment measurements, as shown in figure 2.

Indeed the measurements in figure 2 highlight not only the presence of partial flux jumps at a low field for a reacted wire in the range  $\pm 0.6$  T as expected (panel (a)), but also for an unreacted wire in the range  $\pm 0.15$  T (panel (b)). We can see that most of the partial flux jumps in the reacted wire are confined in a field range lower than 1 T, where the unreacted niobium can have a role (at least up to 0.5 T). We have quantified this role of niobium on the basis of the Bean critical state model [8] applied to a simple multi-shell model. The single filament can be schematized with a slab model with a cross section in the x-y plane as shown in figure 3(a), representing the case with only the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn in the superconducting state. Following the classical approach, a disturbance causing a temperature increase produces in a time interval  $\Delta t$  a field penetration from a field profile defined by



**Figure 2.** The dc magnetic moment as a function of the magnetic field (transverse to the wire) measured in 5 mm long samples of NED wire at 4.5 K. In (a) the measurement on a reacted wire is shown. In (b) one can see the measurements on an unreacted wire (so only Nb properties affect the magnetic moment).

 $B(x) = \mu_0 J_c x$  to a new one  $B'(x) = \mu_0 (J_c - \Delta J_c) x$ . The flux penetration generates an energy dissipation per unit volume in the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn region  $e = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b E_z(x) J_c \Delta t \, dx$ , where  $E_z(x)$  is the electrical field in the *z*-direction normal to the *xy* plane. Using Faraday's law,  $E_z(x)$  can be simply calculated at a location *x* of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn region defined by  $a \leq x \leq b$ (figure 3(a)) as

$$E_z(x) = \mu_0 \frac{|\Delta J_c|}{\Delta t} \left( \int_0^a (b-a) dx + \int_a^x (b-x') dx' \right)$$
$$= \mu_0 \frac{|\Delta J_c|}{\Delta t} \left( bx - \frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2} \right).$$

In this formula the magnetic flux is calculated as the sum of the component in the core (where the magnetic field is spatially constant) and the component in the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. It is worth mentioning that we have an electrical field at x = a



**Figure 3.** Simple shell model of a PIT filament, with a Sn core, a Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn region and an outer Nb shell. In (a) only Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn shields the field, in (b) Nb also contributes to the magnetic shielding. The continuous lines show the unperturbed field profiles (according to the Bean critical model), while the dotted lines show the field penetration after a temperature increase of  $\Delta T$ , causing a critical current variation of  $-\Delta J_{c1}$  in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and  $-\Delta J_{c2}$  in Nb.

due to the flux variation in the Sn core. The dissipated energy density is then given by the integration  $e = \frac{\mu_0 |\Delta J_c| J_c}{b-a} \int_a^b (bx - \frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2}) dx = \frac{1}{3} \mu_0 |\Delta J_c| J_c (b^2 + ab - 2a^2)$ . This dissipation can be balanced by the heat capacity of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, if the size b of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn phase is restricted to values lower than a critical dimension  $b < \sqrt{\frac{3\gamma C_p(T_c(B) - T_{op})}{\mu_0 J_c^2(B, T_{op})(1 + \alpha - 2\alpha^2)}}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the ratio between the normal core diameter and the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn diameter ( $\alpha = a/b$ ). This formula is a generalization of equation (1) in the presence of a normal core and it is based on the consideration that the thermal diffusivity of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn in the superconducting state is much lower than those of niobium in the normal state and of tin (so the power generated in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn is adiabatically dissipated within it). Below 9.2 K, the niobium shell also contributes to the magnetic shielding, as shown in figure 3(b). Then we can consider a more general situation where a disturbance causes a temperature variation  $\Delta T$  in both Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and Nb. In this case the field penetration in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn is coupled with a field penetration in Nb as shown in figure 3(b).

Setting  $\chi = c/b$  (i.e. the ratio between the Nb and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn diameters) and assuming again that the heat dissipated in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn is adiabatically dissipated only inside itself, we find a new limitation on the maximum Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn dimension. Let us call it *b'* to distinguish it with respect to the previous case:

$$b' < \{\{6\gamma C_p(T_{c1}(B) - T_{op})(T_{c2}(B) - T_{op})\} \\ \times \{\mu_0 J_{c1}(B, T_{op})[2J_{c1}(1 + \alpha - 2\alpha^2)(T_{c2}(B) - T_{op})] \\ + 3J_{c2}(1 + \alpha)(\chi - 1)(T_{c1}(B) - T_{op})]\}^{-1}\}^{1/2},$$

where index 1 is referred to the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn shell and index 2 to the Nb shell. The maximum Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn dimension is reduced, as can be seen by writing b' as a function of b:

$$\frac{b'}{b} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{(T_{c1}(B) - T_{op})}{(T_{c2}(B) - T_{op})} \frac{J_{c2}}{J_{c1}} \frac{3(1+\alpha)(\chi-1)}{2(1+\alpha-2\alpha^2)}}}.$$
(2)

This is the central formula of the paper. The maximum allowed size of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn is in any case reduced by the presence of the external niobium shell. The reducing factor depends on the ratios between the critical current densities and the temperature margins of the two superconducting materials, as well as on the geometrical factors  $\alpha$  and  $\chi$ . There is a significant role of the geometrical factor  $g(\alpha, \chi) = \frac{3(1+\alpha)(\chi-1)}{2(1+\alpha-2\alpha^2)}$  (0.79 for the case in figure 1, with  $\alpha = 0.54$  and  $\beta = 1.33$ ). In order to quantify the reduction of the filament size, we needed information on the critical currents and critical temperatures of niobium and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, which we obtained from magnetic moment measurements on both reacted and unreacted wires. Figures 2(a) and (b) show typical measurements of magnetic moment versus field at 4.5 K. The critical currents at field B are calculated from the  $\Delta m$  of the magnetization loop between lower and upper branches. In order to avoid including the niobium contribution to the current, for the reacted wire, we evaluate the critical current of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn at a magnetic field greater than 0.7 T. Incidentally, the measurements in figure 2 highlight the presence of partial flux jumps at low fields for both the reacted wire (in the range  $\pm 0.6$  T) and the unreacted wire (in the range  $\pm 0.15$  T). This latter is an important additional piece of information, proving that the Nb shell can be affected by partial flux jumps alone, supporting experimentally the model schematized in figure 3(b), showing a sudden field penetration for both Nb and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn.

We are interested in the field range 0 to 0.5 T, i.e. up to Nb contributing to the transport properties. Though all properties of our interest depend on the field  $(T_{c1}, T_{c2}, J_{c1} \text{ and } J_{c2})$ , we evaluate  $\frac{J_{c2}}{J_{c1}} \approx 0.02$  and  $\frac{(T_{c1}(B)-T_{op})}{(T_{c2}(B)-T_{op})} \approx 10$ . Considering that, in our case, the geometrical factor is  $g(\alpha, \chi) = 0.79$ , we have  $\frac{b'}{b} \approx 0.93$ . The presence of niobium depresses the maximum filament size by 7%. Its effect is enhanced as the unreacted region increases. As an example, figure 4 shows the magnetic



**Figure 4.** The dc magnetic moment as a function of the magnetic field (transverse to the wire) measured in a sample of a PIT wire composed of 192 filaments at 4.5 K. With respect to the case of figure 1, the unreacted region is larger ( $\chi = 1.48$ ).

moment cycles for a different PIT wire having 192 filaments, for which  $\alpha = 0.48$  and  $\chi = 1.48$ , resulting in a geometrical factor of  $g(\alpha, \chi) = 1.05$  and  $\frac{b'}{b} \approx 0.90$ . For this wire the flux jumps at low fields are present in larger numbers.

In conclusion, this study shows that, though the magnetic instabilities in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn wires are basically due to the high current

density in this material, the unreacted Nb can play a significant role in enhancing the instabilities at low field (up to 0.5 T). There are two ways to reduce the effect of niobium: (1) to involve very pure Nb in the wire manufacture so as to limit the unwanted electrical transport properties of the Nb shell (i.e. reduce  $J_{c2}$  in equation (2)); (2) to react all the Nb phase (i.e.  $\chi \rightarrow 1$  in equation (2), though this latter solution seems to produce tin infiltration in the external copper with a severe worsening of the dynamic stability of the wire [4].

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the European Community Research Infrastructure Activity under the FP6 'Structuring the European Research Area' programme (CARE, contract number RII3-CT-2003-506395).

### References

- [1] Gourlay S A 2004 IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 14 345-8
- [2] Devred A et al 2006 Supercond. Sci. Technol. 19 S67-83
- [3] Barzi E, Limon P J, Yamada R and Zlobin A V 2001 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **11** 3595–8
- [4] Ghosh A K, Cooley L D and Moodenbaugh A R 2005 IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 15 3360–3
- [5] Wipf S L and Lubell M S 1965 Phys. Lett. 16 103-5
- [6] Wilson M N, Walters C R, Lewin J D and Smith P F 1970 J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 3 1518–85
- [7] Scanlan R M 2001 IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 11 2150-5
- [8] Bean C P 1964 Rev. Mod. Phys. 36 31–9