



Results from ATLAS Calorimeter Combined Test Beam

The 2007 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics Manchester, England, 19-25 July

Fabien Tarrade Brookhaven National Laboratory, New York



tarrade@bnl.gov

(on behalf of the Calorimeter Combined Test Beam Team)



2004 Combined Test Beam

Combined Test Beam :

- Full central **slice** of ATLAS
- Configuration very close to ATLAS
- 90 millions of events : e, π , μ , γ ,p
- Energy between 1 and 350 GeV

Goals:

- Study of individual **detector performance** (efficiency, resolutions, noise)
- **Combined** performance (material effects, particle ID, photon conversions)
- Validate the modelisation of the Monte Carlo
- Common ATLAS software used to analyze the data





ATLAS Calorimetry



LAr Energy Reconstruction

strips

Еď

LAr Energy reconstruction :

- Energy : E =F Ž ai(ADC i-P)
- Electronic calibration : ٥
 - a = Optimal Filtering Coefficients
 - F = ADC→MeV
 - P = pedestal

Strips cross talk corrections :

- Signal in **one strip** gets distributed into **neighboring strips** due to **cross talk**
- During **calibration runs** the signal of the ۰. neighboring cells needs to be added to the pulsed cell
- Electronic calibration constant corrected ٠ for the cross-talk

These corrections have been developed for the test beam, implemented into our common software and will be used in ATLAS



s data :5 samples

Monte Carlo/Data (High Energies)

Electrons at 100 GeV, good agreement for all energies :



Monte Carlo/Data (Low Energies)

Electrons at 3 GeV, similar good agreement for other very low energies :



Calibration

Based on calibration hits (simulation):

 $E_{\text{particle}} = \text{offset} + W_0 E_0 + W_{01} \sqrt{E_0 E_1} + \lambda E_{acc} + W_3 E_3$

ps

- Offset: electrons (not reaching the calorimeter) energy lost by ionization
- W₀: an equivalent sampling fraction factor for the presampler
- W₀₁: "sqrt term" factor to correct for the energy lost between ps-calorimeter
- λ : accordion factor = out of cluster and sampling fraction correction
- W₃: back weight

Weights depend on η and energy



Corrections

With High Voltage correction

Cell level :

- HV corrections (lower HV, dead HV sectors)
- Correction applied event by event using the ۰ shower shape

Cluster level :

- **S-shape** in the middle layer (η) Q
- **S-shape** in the strips (η) Q.
- **Out of cone** (including sampling fraction) 0
- **Energy** modulation along : η , ϕ ۰

All these **corrections** have been implemented into our common software and have been tested with combined test beam data



LAr Standalone High Energy Results

0.04

0.035

0.03

0.025

0.02

0.015

0.005

0

50

100

J/<E> (%)

0 1011

250

σ(E)/E = 10.1%/√E ⊕0.41%-

200

150

Energy resolution :

- Substracted electronics noise (~200 MeV)
- Local constant term : 0.41 %
- Sampling term : 10.1 %

Uniformity response :

- Electron at 180 GeV : eta scan η=[0.03,0.6]
- Non-uniformity : 0.53 %

Global constant term: ~0.7% Linearity better than 0.2%



Very Low Energy Electrons MC Study

The accordion factor :

- corrects for the sampling fraction and compensates for out of cluster energy (lateral leakage)
- Parametrization as function of energy/ shower depth

MC study shows that a pure energy parametrization of the calibration constants leads to an over-estimation of energies below 10 GeV

Sampling fraction corrections taking into account the shower depth (and using 5x5 clusters) perform better

Next steps: Apply this modified calibration scheme to combined test beam data



10

Very Low Energy Pions Data Analysis

Very Low Energy Pions :

- Systematic pion analysis being done, determination of purity of pion sample (few percents electron contamination)
- The electrons were rejected using TRT and Cherenkov counter
- Pions were rejected requiring a small signal in the third sample of TileCal ED < 150 MeV
- Pions response fitted using a double gaussian function one for the e and the other for π
- Fully constrained gaussian for e (shape and integral)
- Muon contamination negligible (around 0.5% muon contamination)
- The errors include systematic errors due to pedestal subtraction, electron contamination and beam uncertainties. The statistical errors dominate



htot

Entries

236

Conclusions & Outlook

- For the first time, all ATLAS sub-detectors integrated and ran together with common DAQ, "final" electronics, slow-control, etc
- Gained lot of global operation experience during ~ 6 month run
- Common ATLAS software used to analyze the data
- The LAr calorimeter data is very well described by the MC. Results from the combined test beam meet the ATLAS requirements and are in agreement with previous test beam results.
- New interesting results from Very Low Energy analyses
- Ongoing work on the Very Low Energy electrons and pions analyses and on combined studies together with the Inner Detector and TileCal (E/p, photon conversions, pions)

The Road to Physics



Back-up Slides

ATLAS layout



Inner Detector

Impulsion resolution : $\sigma(p)/p = 0.05 \% p (GeV) \oplus 1\%$ for $|\eta| < 2.5$ inner detector : pixels detector **Central Solenoid 2T** SCT (Semi-Conductor Tracker) **TRT (Transition Radiation Tracker)**

Calorimeters

• Energy resolution (GeV) :

electromagnetic : $\sigma(E)/E = 10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.3/E \oplus 0.7\%$ for $|\eta| < 3.2$ hadronic : $\sigma(E)/E = 50\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 3\%$ for $|\eta| < 3$: $\sigma(E)/E = 100\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 5\%$ for $3 < |\eta| < 5$







Calorimeters :

hadronic

electromagnetic

Muon Spectrometer

Impulsion resolution :

 $\sigma(p_T)/p_T < 3\%$ for 10< p_T <250 GeV and for $|\eta|<2.7$ $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = 10\%$ at1 TeV







barrel toroid: 8 separate coils and 2 end-cap toroids

Muon spectrometer : MDT (Monitored Drift Tubes) CSC (Cathode Strips Chambers) RPC (Resistive Plate Chambers) TGC (Thin Gap Chambers)

Electromagnetic Calorimeter (LArg)



caracteristics :

- sampling calorimeter lead/LArg
- liquid argon (90 K) : stable
- acceptance : |η|<1.475 for the barrel 1.375<|η|<3.2 for the end-caps
- accordeon-shaped' geometry

barrel:

- depth (fonctions of r) :
 25 to 34 X₀ lenghts of radiation
- 2 half barrels
 z<0 and z>0
- 1 hafl barrel :
 16 modules

Principle and Segmentation

principle :

- development of the shower : lead absorbers : $X_0=0.56$ cm
- ionisation of LAr : electrons of ionisation
- signal : collected by the centrale layer of the electrod

Back layer Middle segmentation : layer depth layer granularity ($\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi$) ۹ 0 0.025 x 0.1 1-2 X₀ pre-sampler 3-5 X₀ strip 0.003 x 0.1 15-18 X₀ middle 0.025 x 0.025 1-8 X₀ back 0.05 x 0.025 **Strip layer** presampler n=0.8 η **Back laver** cells η=1.4 Middle layer Strip layer r **Pre-sampler** η

particlws

Reconstruction of the energy

reconstruction of the energy for a cell :

• Use of Optimal Filtering Coefficients :



2004 Combined Test Beam

2004 Combined Test Beam :

- Configuration very close to ATLAS
- Full central **slice** of ATLAS:
 - MBPS magnet with horizontal field (1.4T)
 - 3x2 pixel and 4 SCT planes
 - TRT
 - LAr barrel module
 - 3 TILE Calo. Modules
 - Muon chambers
- Read-out/DAQ/software as in ATLAS
- Beams: e,γ, π, p, μ (from 1 to 350 GeV)





Converted photons

Converted photons :

- Back tracking γ to conversion point
- Needs more efficiency studies (on going)



Response to Muons (EM)

Response to Muons (EM) :

- Noise goes like $\approx \Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi$,
- Signal goes like sampling depth \Rightarrow Most favourable S/N : Middle layer

