Musculoskeletal Brucellosis in Adults in the United Arab Emirates: A Retrospective Study

Authors:	*Shamma Al Nokhatha,1 Fatima AlKindi,2 Shaima Al Yassi,2 Rayhan Hashmey³			
	 Division of Rheumatology, Tawam Hospital, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates Division of General Internal Medicine, Tawam Hospital, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates Division of Infectious Diseases, Tawam Hospital, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates *Correspondence to shamma.alnokhatha@gmail.com 			
Disclosure:	The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.			
Received:	22.11.20			
Accepted:	15.01.21			
Keywords:	Arthritis, brucellosis, musculoskeletal (MSK) involvement, sacroiliac joint (SIJ), United Arab Emirates (UAE).			
Citation:	EMJ. 2021; DOI/10.33590/emj/20-00271			

Abstract

Introduction: Brucellosis is a zoonotic infection caused by the aerobic Gram-negative bacteria coccobacilli, and is considered a public health problem in the Mediterranean region and Arabian Peninsula. This paper studied the clinical characteristics of musculoskeletal brucellosis and the outcomes of treatment in Al Ain City, United Arab Emirates.

Method: A retrospective chart review study was conducted at Tawam Hospital over seven years: January 2009–January 2016. Risk factors for brucellosis, musculoskeletal (MSK) manifestations, duration of Brucella infection (acute, subacute, chronic), and treatment were studied.

Results: A total of 99 patients were diagnosed with brucellosis during the study period; the mean age was 44 years, the majority were males (71%), and the male to female ratio was 3:1. The most common risk factor for Brucella infection in the cohort was drinking raw milk (43.4%). Fever was the most common presenting symptoms (93%), followed by arthralgia, fatigue, and loss of appetite in 35, 21, and 14%, respectively. The clinical manifestations of brucellosis in the cohort were MSK involvement (30%), hepatitis (17%), epididymo-orchitis (2%), and endocarditis (1%). Thirty percent of patients (n=30) had MSK-specific symptoms and only one-third (n=10) had confirmatory positive radiographic findings. The majority of patients had lumbar and sacroiliac joint involvement. Most of the patients received antibiotics for a 4–8-week duration and the overall relapse rate of Brucella infection was 10%.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that MSK involvement is a common manifestation in brucellosis, occurring in one-third of the cases. The index of suspicion should be high in brucellosis-endemic countries for early recognition and treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is a zoonotic infection, historically known as Maltese fever, caused by aerobic Gram-

negative coccobacilli, which was first identified by Sir David Bruce in 1887.¹ Worldwide, the true prevalence of brucellosis is unknown; however, it is considered a public health problem in the Mediterranean region, Arabian Peninsula, India, Mexico, and part of the USA. Pappas et al.² estimated the global incidence of brucellosis to be more than half a million cases annually, and the incidence in endemic disease areas to be from <0.01->200 cases per 100,000 of the population. In the United Arab Emirates (UAE), the total brucellosis notification rate between 2010 and 2015 was estimated to be 3.3 per 100,000 people per year in Abu Dhabi city, the capital of the UAE.³

Brucella is a facultative intracellular bacterium that infects animals and has various different species: Brucella melitensis (goats, sheep, camels), B. abortus (bovine, cattle, camels), B. suis (swine, cattle), B. canis (dogs), B. ovis (ram, sheep), and *B. neotomae* (desert rats).¹ An epidemiological study measuring the prevalence of Brucella in 6,126 livestock in Abu Dhabi reported higher prevalence in sheep and goats (8.4%) compared to camels (4.4%).⁴ Risk factors of Brucella infection include drinking unpasteurised animal milk products, direct contact with infected animals, inhalation of aerosolised particles, and occupational and work-related diseases (shepherds, abattoir workers, veterinarians, dairy-industry professionals, and microbiologic laboratory workers).^{1,5,6} Clinical manifestations of Brucella infection vary depending on the organ involved. Common presenting symptoms are fever (78%), chills (45%), sweats (54%), fatigue (39%), weight loss (26%), arthralgia (65%), and abdominal pain (19%) due to hepatomegaly or splenomegaly.⁷ Brucella infection is classified based on the time of clinical presentation as acute brucellosis (0-2 months), subacute brucellosis (2-12 months), and chronic brucellosis (>12 months). The laboratory findings might reveal leukocytosis (9%), leukopenia (10%), anaemia (40%), thrombocytopenia (10%), elevated liver enzymes (24%), and inflammatory markers (>50%; C-reactive protein [CRP], and erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR]). The majority of patients (94%) will have a positive Brucella titre (tube agglutination test) and bacteraemia may be encountered in approximately 11% of cases.⁵

Musculoskeletal (MSK) involvement of brucellosis represents 10–85% of reported clinical manifestations such as peripheral arthritis, bursitis, sacroiliitis, osteomyelitis, spondylitis, and paraspinal abscess.^{8,9} Spinal brucellosis is common in the elderly and predominately affects the L4–L5 spinal segment of the lumbar spine, followed by thoracic and cervical spine.^{9,10} Diagnosis of osteoarticular brucellosis requires laboratory tests to confirm Brucella infection and imaging studies. MRI produces a high yield of images that detect radiological features of spinal brucellosis. There is no prior study, to the authors knowledge, describing the clinical manifestations of MSK brucellosis in the UAE; therefore, a retrospective study was conducted, with the aim of identifying the clinical characteristics of MSK brucellosis and outcomes of treatment in Al Ain, UAE.

METHODS

A retrospective chart review study was conducted at Tawam hospital (tertiary hospital, Al Ain, UAE) over seven years (January 2009-January 2016). Ethical approval was obtained from Tawam Human Research Ethics Committee (T-HREC). Adult patients (>16 years of age) diagnosed with brucellosis during the study period were involved. The diagnosis of brucellosis was confirmed by either a positive Brucella titre of >1:160 and/or positive blood culture. Citizens were described as 'nationals' and non-citizens as 'non-nationals'.

Demographic, clinical, and laboratory data were collected and analyzed using descriptive analysis. Risk factors for Brucella infection and clinical presenting symptoms were studied. Patients were classified into subgroups according to arthritis types, either peripheral or axial. Brucella infection was classified based on the time of clinical presentation as acute brucellosis (0-2 months), subacute brucellosis (2-12 months), and chronic brucellosis (>12 months).⁵ Relevant blood tests were included, and confirmatory radiographic imaging was obtained in all patients with MSK complaints (plain radiograph/X-ray, CT scan, or MRI). Treatment of MSK brucellosis and outcomes were identified.

RESULTS

A total of 99 patients were diagnosed with brucellosis during the study period. The mean age was 44 years, the majority were males (71%), and the male to female ratio was nearly 3:1. Twothirds of patients were nationals (63 out of 99; 63.3%). The most common factor for Brucella infection in the cohort was drinking raw milk (43 out of 99; 43.4%); the remaining are summarised in Table 1.

Fever was the most common symptom and accounted for 93% of all patients, followed by arthralgia, fatigue, and loss of appetite in 35, 21, and 14%, respectively. Brucella infection was confirmed in all patients based on elevated Brucella titres (*B. melitensis* and *B. abortus*) of >1:160. Brucella bacteraemia (positive blood culture) was identified in only 57 patients. Other laboratory investigations revealed leukocytosis (white blood cell count >11x10⁹/L in 10 out of 99;

10.1%), anaemia (30 out of 99; 30.3%), abnormal liver enzymes (47 out of 99; 47.5%), and elevated CRP (83 out of 99; 83.8%). Systemic complications of brucellosis in the cohort were MSK involvement (30%), hepatitis (17%), epididymo-orchitis (2%), and endocarditis (1%).

Of the patients with MSK involvement (n=30), only one-third (n=10) had confirmatory positive radiographic findings. The most common joint involved was the lumbar spine, accounting for 34.5% (n=10) of the cases; followed by sacroiliac and shoulder joints, each accounting for 23.3% (n=7); and then the hip and knee joints, accounting for 13.3% (n=4).

Table 1: Demographic of patients (n=99), risk factors for Brucella infection and the presenting symptoms.

	N=99				
Demographics					
Male	71				
Female	28				
Risk factors					
Farm contact with animals	18				
Raw milk drinking	43				
Boiled milk drinking	3				
Fresh cheese ingestion	1				
Ingestion of raw meatballs	1				
Laboratory worker	0				
Unknown transmission	33				
Symptoms					
Arthralgia	35				
Fatigue	21				
Back pain	23				
Fever	94				
Sweating	15				
Headache	10				
Weight loss	10				
Loss of appetite	15				
Nausea	3				
Vomiting, abdominal pain	10				
Scrotal pain and swelling	3				

The radiographic imaging using X-ray and MRI were positive in 10 patients with MSK brucellosis (9 males, 1 female). Five patients had Brucella bacteraemia and all had high Brucella titres, ranging from 1:320 to 1:10,240. The majority of patients had lumbar and sacroiliac joint involvement, with radiographic imaging findings such as disc involvement, vertebral body destruction or abscess, unilateral sacroiliitis, and osteomyelitis. Two patients had septic arthritis related to Brucella infection involving the hip and knee joints, with positive radiological findings of joint effusion. The majority of patients with MSK brucellosis who had positive radiographic changes were diagnosed during the acute phase (90%; n=9) (Table 2, Figure 1).

Details of brucellosis treatment were available in 88 out of 99 patients. All patients received combination therapy with at least two antibiotics. A third agent, mostly an aminoglycoside, was added to treatment in 27 patients (30.6%) for MSK involvement. The most common antibiotics used were doxycycline (87 out of 88; 98.8%), rifampin (76 out of 88; 86.3%), gentamicin (20 out of 88; 22.7%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (14 out of 88; 15.9%), and ciprofloxacin (5 out of 88; 5.6%). The duration of therapy varied depending on severity and organ involvement. A majority of patients received 4-6 weeks of antibiotics (64 out of 88), followed by 8 weeks of therapy in 15 patients; only two patients required prolonged antibiotic treatment of more than 8 weeks. Surgical therapy was provided for patients (n=2) with MSK brucellosis for draining vertebral abscess, septic arthritis, and discitis. The overall relapse rate of Brucella infection in the cohort (n=99) was 10%.

DISCUSSION

The burden of Brucella infection and its multisystem involvement is under-reported in some endemic areas. In a meta-analysis of the clinical manifestations of human brucellosis, adult males were affected in 56% of studies, and MSK complaints were common presenting symptoms (65% arthralgia, 47% myalgia, and 45% back pain). The potential risk factors identified for brucellosis were consumption of unpasteurised dairy products in 64% of the studies, followed by contact with livestock in 42%, occupational exposure in 6%, and positive family history of

brucellosis in 20% (ranging from 17% to 46%). Interestingly, some studies advocated for Brucella screening among families where one member had been diagnosed, due to the possibility of sharing the same risk factors.^{5,7,11}

This study reports 99 cases of confirmed brucellosis; the mean age of patients was 44 years, and men were three times more affected than women. This demonstrates an increased risk to those men involved in animal husbandry. The most significant risk factor was consumption of unpasteurised milk. These findings are consistent with a study conducted in the same region, in which the disease affected mostly men with a mean age of 40 years and where the risk factors constituted of consumption of unpasteurised milk and dairy products.³ This may explain the importance of cultural and environmental impact.

The presenting symptoms of Brucella infection nonspecific, and many cases are were identified during work-up for fever of unknown origin. Differential diagnosis often includes other infectious and non-infectious chronic inflammatory conditions. Pourbagher et al.¹⁰ noted that fever, fatigue, loss of appetite, nausea, and diarrhoea were the main reported symptoms in the acute phase, while weight loss and palpitation were observed during the subacute phase and the osteoarticular manifestation during the chronic stage.¹⁰ Similarly, in this study, fever was the most common presenting symptom in 93% of patients, followed by arthralgia, fatigue, and loss of appetite in 35, 21, and 14%, respectively. In contrast, osteoarticular involvements in this cohort were diagnosed during the acute phase. Physician education, a higher level of suspicion, and easy availability of serological testing and positive blood cultures may account for this finding.

In this cohort, 30 adult patients were identified with MSK-specific symptoms; one-third had confirmatory positive radiographic findings as described earlier. The reported MSK involvement in different studies varied; along with variation at the affected site, rheumatic complaints were reported in 20-85% of brucellosis cases.¹²⁻¹⁴ In a study conducted in Turkey with 251 patients, 45.4% (n=114) of cases reported osteoarticular involvement, with the most common site being the sacroiliac joint (28.3%) followed by lumbar spine (10.4%).¹⁰

Table 2: Clinical characteristics of patients with musculoskeletal brucellosis and positive radiological findings.

	Age	Gender	Stage	Blood culture	Brucella titre*	Complications	Radiographic changes
Case 1	33	Male	Acute	Negative	1:640	Right sacroiliitis	X-ray SIJ: no abnormalities MRI SIJ: unilateral right sacroiliitis
Case 2	89	Male	Acute	Positive	1:10,240	Lumbar abscess collection	X-ray lumbar spine: intervertebral space narrowing L1-L2, L3-L4, and L5-S1
						Hepatitis	MRI lumbar spine: collection in the lumbar spine
Case 3	80	Male	Acute	Negative	1:1,280	Lumbar discitis and osteomyelitis	X-ray lumbar spine: vertebral body destruction L4/5 MRI lumbar spine: L4/L5 disc and endplates signal abnormality, highly suspicious of discitis and osteomyelitis
Case 4	35	Male ⁺	Acute	Negative	1:2,560	Left knee monoarthritis (septic)	X-ray left knee: no abnormalities MRI left knee: effusion
Case 5	20	Male	Acute	Positive	1:2,560	Septic right-sided sacroiliitis	X-ray SIJ: no abnormalities MRI SIJ: mild right-sided septic arthritis with small collection underneath the anterior sacroiliac ligament
Case 6	35	Male	Acute	Positive	1:2,560	Lumbar disc involvement Hepatitis	X-ray lumbar spine: disc involvement MRI lumbar spine: disc involvement L5/S1, nerve compression
Case 7	28	Female	Acute	Negative	1:640	Left hip monoarthritis (septic) Osteomyelitis	X-ray hip: no abnormalities MRI left hip: joint effusion
Case 8	18	Male	Acute	Positive	1:10,240	Septic left-sided sacroiliitis	X-ray SIJ: no abnormalities MRI SIJ: left sacroiliitis
Case 9	71	Male	Subacute	Negative	1:640	Lumbar discitis Hepatitis	X-ray lumbar spine: no abnormalities MRI lumbar spine: disc involvement, discitis D1/L1 and L3/L4
Case 10	31	Male	Acute	Positive	1:320	Sacroiliac sclerosis	X-ray SIJ: left SIJ sclerosis

*both (*Brucella abortus* and *B. melitensis*). †expatriate. SIJ: sacroiliac joint.



Figure 1: Positive MRI findings in selected cases as described in Table 2.

In another study from Saudi Arabia, with 84 cases of brucellosis, MSK complication was the most common symptom and 64% were found to have either peripheral arthritis, sacroiliitis, or spondylitis.¹⁵ In this study, almost 80% (n=8) of patients had axial involvement affecting lumbar and sacroiliac joints with positive radiographic imaging (disc involvement, discitis, vertebral body destruction. unilateral sacroiliitis). Peripheral involvement is less common compared with axial involvement. Furthermore, Buzgan et al.⁵ reported osteoarticular Brucella involvement in 260 cases out of 1,028 patients (25.3%), involving peripheral arthritis (56.5%), sacroiliitis (24.6%) (unilateral in 51 cases), spondylitis (12.3%), and paraspinal abscess (3.5%). They received various therapeutic regimens for a duration of approximately 6-12 weeks and the overall relapse rate was 4.7% (in osteoarticular involvement: 8.5%).5

The imaging modalities used to diagnose MSK Brucella involvement varies in published literature. For peripheral arthritis, ultrasound can detect effusion. In one study, 5.2% of patients presenting with arthralgia, diagnosed with bursitis (13 out of 251 Brucella-infected cases) using ultrasound, had negative synovial fluid culture.¹⁰ Brucellosis with sacroiliac joint involvement can be diagnosed using a plain radiograph (late findings), radionuclide bone scintigraphy (bone scan), or MRI. It is estimated that two-thirds of cases will have unilateral sacroiliitis and only one-third will be bilateral. A bone scan showed increased uptake in the sacroiliac joints.^{5, 9, 10} Radiographs in vertebral brucellosis may reveal abnormalities in vertebral endplates, associated with irregularities and narrowing intervertebral disk spaces in subacute and chronic Brucella infection. MRI is very sensitive for detecting spondylodiscitis, vertebral destruction, spinal stenosis/abscess,

and osteomyelitis. It is fundamental to rule out tuberculosis infection, which has predilection to same articular involvement (Pott's Disease) with chest radiographs, QuantiFERON tests, and acidfast bacilli stain and culture on biopsy.^{9,10}

The aim of medical therapy in cases of brucellosis is to prevent complications and relapse and to control the acute illness. Monotherapy and short duration of <4 weeks are not recommended in the treatment of brucellosis due to high risk of treatment failure and relapse. In a metaanalysis, the combination of streptomycin for 2-3 weeks plus doxycycline for 6 weeks had a lower failure rate than doxycycline plus rifampicin for 6 weeks.^{16,17} Other antibiotics, such as quinolones (e.g. ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin), aminoglycosides (e.g. gentamicin), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, have been used along with other combinations and have shown variable effects.^{8,18} The optimal duration of therapy for MSK brucellosis is not well established, but prolonged therapy is required for complicated

cases. In the current cohort, all patients were on a combination of two antibiotics (doxycycline plus rifampicin regimen being the most common) and patients with MSK involvement required the addition of aminoglycosides (gentamicin). The majority of the patients received antibiotics for a duration of 4–8 weeks. The overall relapse rate in our cohort was 10%, of which three cases were related to noncompliance to medication, and of which one case had an earlier diagnosis of MSK brucellosis. Treatment-related complications were not reported in the study.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that MSK manifestation in brucellosis accounts for onethird of the cases. The index of suspicion should be high in brucellosis-endemic countries. Clinical symptoms, risk factors, specific laboratory findings, and clinical images are important for early recognition and treatment.

References

- Godfroid J et al. From the discovery of the Malta fever's agent to the discovery of a marine mammal reservoir, brucellosis has continuously been a re-emerging zoonosis. Vet Res. 2005;36(3):313-26.
- 2. Pappas G et al. The new global map of human brucellosis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006;6(2):91-9.
- Al Shehhi N et al. Human brucellosis in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, 2010–2015. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16(1):558.
- Mohammed MA et al. Sero-prevalence and epidemiology of brucellosis in camels, sheep and goats in Abu Dhabi Emirate. Int J Anim Vet Adv. 2013;5(2):82-6.
- Buzgan T et al. Clinical manifestations and complications in 1028 cases of brucellosis: a retrospective evaluation and review of the literature. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14(6):e469-78.
- 6. Musallam II et al. Systematic review of brucellosis in the Middle East: disease frequency in ruminants and humans and risk factors for human infection.

Epidemiol Infect. 2016;144(4):671-85.

- Dean AS et al. Clinical manifestations of human brucellosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(12):e1929.
- Alp E, Doganay M. Current therapeutic strategy in spinal brucellosis. Int J Infect Dis. 2008;12(6):573-7.
- Chelli Bouaziz M et al. Spinal brucellosis: a review. Skeletal Radiol. 2008;37(9):785-90.
- Pourbagher A et al. Epidemiologic, clinical, and imaging findings in Brucellosis patients with osteoarticular involvement. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006;187(4):873-80.
- Sofian M et al. Screening of family members of patients with acute brucellosis in an endemic area of Iran. Iran J Microbiol. 2013;5(3):215-9.
- Ulu-Kilic A et al. Update on treatment options for spinal brucellosis. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014;20(2):075-82.
- 13. Geyik MF et al. Musculoskeletal

involvement of brucellosis in different age groups: a study of 195 cases. Swiss Med Wkly. 2002;132(7-8):98-105.

- Esmaeilnejad-Ganji SM, Esmaeilnejad-Ganji SMR. Osteoarticular manifestations of human brucellosis: a review. World J Orthop. 2019;10(2):54-62.
- Bukharie HA. Clinical features, complications and treatment outcome of brucella infection: ten years' experience in an endemic area. Trop J Pharm Res. 2009;8(4):303-10.
- 16. Franco MP et al. Human brucellosis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7(12):775-86.
- Yousefi-Nooraie R et al. Antibiotics for treating human brucellosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;10(10):CD007179.
- Pappas G et al. Treatment of brucella spondylitis: lessons from an impossible meta-analysis and initial report of efficacy of a fluoroquinolone-containing regimen. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2004;24(5):502-7.