The Formularies of Unity and the Dutch Reformed Church: A preliminary survey

R.M. Britz
Department of Ecclesiology
University of the Orange Free State
BLOEMFONTEIN

Abstract

This article undertakes a survey of the application of the Formularies of Unity by the Dutch Reformed Church, covering its history from the 18th century to approximately 1935. Although these Formularies were the accepted confessional basis of the church, it did not prevent additional theological accommodation during the 18th century. During the first part of the 19th century an institutional concept of the church put forward a subscribing formula. The confession became important. In principle the way was opened for an institutional and contractual enforcement of the Formularies. This happened when the church was involved in the wide-ranging liberal struggle during the sixth decade. Even before the 20th century a new approach in which the role of the Formularies was seen more dogmatically and confessionalistically came to the fore. And, when the church was once again entangled in a struggle (viz. the well-known 'Du Plessis case' of the 1930's) the dogmatic point of departure played into the hands of a confessional fundamentalism. In the history of the Dutch Reformed Church, the Formularies thus gained in ecclesiological emphasis and value and its application was conditioned by the context and theological influence. Most importantly, the underlying problem of its historicity on the one hand, and its scriptural context and intent on the other hand, remained an unpaid account.

1. Introduction

There has never been a time in the history of the Dutch Reformed Church that the Three Formularies of Unity have not been its confessional basis. Ecclesiologically as well as theologically, its history shows a typical association with these Formularies. From a church history point of view this phenomenon can be distinguished and described, and a line of development can be pointed out. This tendency can be traced back to the 18th century, although the Formularies only came into real prominence in the 19th century. Its application was determined and qualified by the historical context and foreign theological influence. At the same time

the church was confronted with the characteristic question or problem peculiar to an authoritative confession. As a formal document the confession was implemented to safeguard the teachings of the church. Assuming positions and in terms of minority and majority convictions the basic problem was, however, sidestepped. The aim of this article, therefore, is to survey the application of and the reflection on the Formularies of Unity by the Dutch Reformed Church, covering its history from the 18th century to approximately 1935.

2. The 18th century: additional influences not prevented

For the sake of the historic positioning and link, a few remarks are necessary regarding the 18th century. The generally accepted perception exists that the Cape Dutch Church adhered during the 17th and 18th centuries in a strict sense to the Formularies of Unity in the way it was stipulated and understood by the famous Synod of Dordrecht (1618/19) (Du Toit, 1911, Vorster, 1981, Van der Watt, 1976). One should, however, be very wary of describing the first 150 years of South African church and theology history as reformed and 'Dordrecht reformed' in terms of the Three Formularies of Unity. Granted, the ministers, sick-comforters and teachers did indeed in a formal sense by signature adhere to the Three Formularies. And, as an institution and in societal context the church did - in a confessional sense - hold its own, not leaving room for other churches and associations. That is why the church was instrumental in discouraging and even averting the initial Moravian mission (Müller, 1923; Krüger, 1966:11 ff), and why it prevented the Lutherans who avowed the Augsburg Confession of Faith to institute ecclesiastically (cf. Hoge, 1939:17-19 ff; cf. also Hoge, 1938). In this respect it can indeed be argued that the reformed confession formally protected and maintained the public faith at the Cape.

However, this aspect should not be misunderstood. During the 18th century the accepted and underlying government-centric consciousness dealt with a theocratic concept of the unitary culture, which linked the public religion to the Cape Church (vide Brown & Britz, 1991:420 ff). In this concept and practice, the Formularies were inculcated in a functional and traditional way rather than confessionally. This phenomenon is underlined by the fact that during the 18th century the theology of the Cape Church followed the contemporary Dutch tendencies and positions. In a broad sense it therefore displayed a characteristic rational element, which was typical of the way in which theology was scholarly debated and practised at the accepted Dutch faculties (cf. Knappert, 1912:68 ff; Bronsveld, 1873:69 ff; De Jong, 1972:258 ff; Vos, 1888:100 ff). This conclusion is based on the study of published ecclesiastic theological literature of Cape origin (cf. Britz, 1990:23-40; Britz, 1992:110-126).

Although the published sermons of Cape origin were therefore not so much in accord with De prediking van de Nadere Reformatie (cf. Brienen, 1974), the

"Oude Schrijvers" were read as well (cf. Van Zijl, 1991). It is a well known fact that the Nadere Reformatie applied the Formularies in its own characteristic way, determined by a contextualization in consequence of a particular understanding of the gospel (cf. Brienen, 1986; Graafland, 1961). Its influence, emphasis and orientation among members of the Cape Church should not be underestimated (cf. Bosman, 1855; Spoelstra, 1963:5 ff; Moorrees, 1937:267 ff). Keeping this in mind it is – in another respect – quite clear why one should be very careful not to draw a line directly and one-sidedly between the Cape Church of the 18th century and the Dordt confessions.

This is also indicated by two further developments – the one more historical, the other more theological – during the last quarter of the 18th century. After 1770, when the Cape Patriots (cf. Beyers, 1929; Schutte, 1974) shifted the emphasis to society and democracy, the confession did not stand in the way of a shift with regard to the old theocratic concept and vision (Pont, 1969/70) which, however, did not mean that religion was sacrificed. Society was viewed in terms of the law of nature and God. Religion, however, was no longer linked to one church. Even before 1780 the Lutheran Church organized itself (cf. Hoge, 1938; Hoge, 1939). A new order proclaimed itself and was initiated.

Secondly, and during the same period of time, the Formularies did not prevent a further theological accommodation. In the Reverend Van Lier an approach from the viewpoint of faith and the individual verdicts of faith found a theologian and spokesman of stature (cf. Hanekom, 1959). In his method of work and the activation of mission work the convictions of evangelical assimilation of the Puritan tradition of England were clearly visible. Together with Michiel Vos (vide Du Plessis, 1911b) he introduced this evangelical accent which bound working communities together transconfessionally, especially with regard to mission work during the 1780's. Attitudes, suppositions and deductions were, however, not accounted for scripturally and tested confessionally (cf. Brown, undated: 90 ff).

In conclusion, it is therefore clear that during the 18th century the Cape society and its public church was open for theological influencing – the origin of which should not be sought in the immediate proximity of the kind of scriptural synthesizing found in the Three Formularies of Unity. As directive ecclesiastic writings the confessions of Dordrecht remained in the background.

Ecclesiastically the confessions of Dordrecht became prominent in the 19th century.

3. The 19th century until 1862: an institutional concept of the church put forward a subscribing formula

Historically and from a church history point of view the situation at the Cape changed at the turn of the century. The political structure of the Dutch East India Company was replaced by a Batavian interpretation. In 1806 the Cape became a British colony. In a common context many churches (and mission societies – cf. Du Plessis, 1911a:61 ff) from different confessional convictions and traditions joined in the process of furthering the ministry and mission work of the Christian church in a plural society (cf. Giliomee & Elphic, 1986). The various churches had to adapt mutually and come to an agreement. The Cape Dutch church thus did not only have to cope with a new situation and its challenges, but had to identify and position itself ecclesiologically and theologically as well (cf. Claassen, 1986). In that respect it was no longer possible to take into consideration only its own tradition and Dutch orientation. Some of its leading ministers such as Serrurier, Fleck, Borcherds (vide Veltkamp, 1977), Berrangé, Spijker and Heyns were theologically quite satisfied with a moderate supranaturalism according to which the supranatural aspect of the Christian faith was reconciled with the insight and claims of the Enlightenment. In addition the arrival of the Scottish ministers (cf. Moorrees, 1937:537 ff; Sass, 1956; Claassen, 1990; Beukes, 1985) introduced a Presbyterianism as conceived ecclesiologically and evangelically in terms of the Westminster Confession.

Against this background the Cape Church met in 1824 as a synod. The 1804 "Kerken-Ordre" (cf. Kleynhans, 1974:24 ff, 109 ff, 294 ff; Pont, 1991:170 ff) of De Mist, which protected its position in the new dispensation by law, was followed. In terms of an institutional church concept the synod regulated the church's government and ministry. In this concept, as expressed in the "Algemeen Reglement" of that year (Pont, 1991:204 ff), the Scottish Presbyterianism was reconciled with the Dutch supranaturalism (cf. Brown, 1992:692). In the process an ecclesiological legal concept was coined according to which the doctrine of the church could also be endorsed. In fact, according to Section 35 office-bearers (teachers of religion) were expected to subscribe to the confessions of the church "welke overeenkomstig Gods Heilig Woord, vervat is in de Formulieren van Enigheid" (Pont, 1991:222). Ecclesiologically, the Formularies of Unity gained decisive importance.

At the synod of 1837 this "Acte van Onderteekening" was amended particularly by demand of the Scottish ministers. The proposal by the Reverend W. Robert-

In addition to the Dutch Reformed and Lutheran traditions, churches of British descent (the Anglican, Methodist, Presbyterian, Congregational and Baptist churches) were also established at the Cape during this period.

son that the "Acte" should read: "dat ik de Leer, welke in de aangenoomene Formulieren van Eenigheid der Hervormde Kerk is vervat, hartelijk geloof overeenkomstig Gods Heilig Woord te zijn ..." (Pont, 1991:186), was barely accepted.² It was, however, an important amendment in that the scriptural context of the Formularies had been accentuated, thereby taking a different direction in subscribing to the doctrine of the church than the Nederlands Hervormde Kerk did (cf. Rasker, 1974:41 ff). This amendment at the same time linked the subscription to the doctrine only to the spirit and purport of the confession (Pont, 1991:98 ff).

This formula obviously did not say everything. The Formularies were not mentioned by name. The question can thus be asked whether the formularies had been regarded as superfluous. Furthermore, was the point at issue a functional canonical act and entrenchment in terms of a confessional accord, rather than the intrinsic 'confessionality'³ of the Three Formularies of Unity? Or did an explication not really matter? Would that be the reason why Ordinance 7 of 1843 mentioned only the "confession of the Synod of Dordt and ... the Heidelberg Catechism"? Or was the *Confessio Belgica* – in the context of a British colony and judicial system – dropped deliberately in this case? (cf. Pont, 1991:251 ff, 260 ff).

When the Cape Dutch Church therefore had to identify itself among other churches, and an influential theological orientation was added, an institutional church concept put forward a subscribing formula which indicated the confessional basis "als overeenkomstig Gods Heilig Woord". The confession became important, although it was not defined in detail. However, when the confession became involved in the institutional enforcement of the doctrine after 1860, certainty became necessary, especially in view of the wide-ranging church struggle (cf. Moorrees, 1937:881 ff; Hanekom, 1951:308 ff) during the period 1860 to 1870. This struggle led to a further development in which the Formularies functioned in the Dutch Reformed Church.

This proposal was accepted with 21-19 votes See Handelingen eener buitengewone Synodale Vergadering der Nederduitsch-Gereformeerde Kerk van Zuid-Afrika, 1858;141.

By 'confessionality' is meant the inherent reliability and scriptural intent with which the Confessions synthetise Scripture and revelation

Wetten en bepalingen voor het bestuur der Nederduitsch Gereformeerde Kerk in Zuid-Afrika, 1844: Art 63, 35. This subscribing formula remained unchanged until 1870.

4. An institutional and contractual enforcement during the liberal struggle (1862-1875)

Obviously the course of the so-called liberal trend and the liberal struggle should be studied historically. This trend should also be understood theologically. The community and more than one church were involved. Theological influencing from abroad created a situation that demanded a solution. For the Dutch Reformed Church this struggle involved dogmatic as well as canonical consequences. The confessions, and their authority, suddenly became the centre and focus of attention. Unswerving and definite application could no longer be avoided.

With the theological training at Stellenbosch in its own hands (cf. Ferreira, 1979), the church was applying the biblical-apologetic approach of the Utrecht School (cf. Rasker, 1974:134 ff) evangelically and contextually. Methods and a ministry pattern for the sake of the revival of the Dutch Reformed Church and society bound the Evangelicals together. However, the Groningen (cf. Rasker, 1974:45 ff) and Leyden (cf. Rasker, 1974:113 ff) adaptation and dismantling of the idealism of the former supranaturalism at the same time provided, under the influence of the critical German theology, competent preachers on the Cape pulpits. Their viewpoints and diction were, however, too drastically different. Their viewpoints were at variance with the strong convictions within the Dutch Reformed Church – convictions regarding sin, atonement in and by Christ, the supranatural nature of the revelation and the infallibility of the Bible (cf. Hofmeyr, 1860; Hofmeyr, 1868).

At the Synod of 1862 controversialists threw down the gauntlet. As an institution the Synod acted in its own right and in a general sense, in that way, on the one hand asserting its authority ecclesiocratically in order to bind the church to its ministry and, on the other hand, dissenting ministers to the confession. This approach, however, could not keep the church out of the civil court. Its own *Wetten en bepalingen* were the cause.

The church, or rather the synod, wanted to retain and apply the confession in its historic sense. Many members of the church were convinced that liberalism necessitated the enforcement "van de zuivere leer" (Handelingen, 1863:xii). And, "eene verdediging derzelfe" was not excluded as well (Handelingen, 1863:xii). Geene leervryheid (vide Lid der Synode, 1863) became an ecclesiastical point of departure. The synod stood by the Acte van onderteekening, and controlled the situation. The synod vested it with church jurisdiction to take action (against the Revs. Kotzé and Burgers) (cf. Moorrees, 1937:889 ff). In this sense the Formularies were viewed contractually and linked to legitimation (or admission), thereby driving back the function of the confession within the framework of "reglementen" and "wetsbepalingen". Within this framework the confession was enforced.

Were they, within the outline of an ecclesiological legal concept, formally the watchmen on the walls without sharing the public square? Would that be the reason why the subscribing formula was amended and extended in 1870? From then onwards ministers had to confirm that they believed wholeheartedly that all the clauses and parts of the doctrine in the formulary and Catechism, being the Formularies of Unity of the Reformed Churches of the Netherlands, were in accordance with the Word of God. In addition they had to undertake to be willing to reject, repudiate and exclude from the church all false doctrines. Therefore they also undertook not to teach against the confession directly or indirectly.

Ecclesiologically the Formularies were therefore enforced. At the same time, many of those who endorsed this conduct, were theologically bound to the conviction that neither rules nor stipulations would be able to stop the liberal trend. For that purpose they believed "dat geene reglementen noch wetsbepalingen in staat zijn dien stroom af te keeren. Dat daartoe hooger, sterker, levender kracht, de banier van 's Heeren Geest, noodig is" (cf. Handelingen, 1863:xii). These words echo a serious conviction in dealing with this matter. Examined closely, this theological motive threatened the inherent confessionality and competence of the Formularies in a subtle manner. The orthodox ministers wanted to act biblically, and to remain faithful to the Bible. With almost no reference to the Formularies, they favoured an evangelical concept or objectifying of the Christian faith (cf. Brown, 1990; Brown, 1991; Brown, W., 1987:91 ff). Their apologetic method, according to which a case for faith, the revelation, the Christology, etc., has been made out in the literature⁶ in which *De Moderne Theologie*⁷ had been rejected, is a striking proof of this approach.

Vide Wetten en bepalingen voor het bestuur der Nederduitsche Gereformeerde Kerk in Zuid-Afrika, 1870: Art 62, 57.

As Clericus dr. Kotzé, the local minister of Richmond, immediatedly drafted Eene beoordeling naar aanleiding van de dertien toespraken gehouden in de Mutual Hall, Kaapstad door D.P. Faure, SSMC (Kotzé, 1868). Andrew Murray (jr.) also reflected on Het moderne ongeloof in dertien leerredenen (Murray, 1868).

According to Faure, the modern reformation and trends boiled down to *De Moderne Theologie* (vide Faure, 1868) He argues that the liberal conviction synthetises revelation in terms of reason. Accordingly, reason is the only agent "waardoor de mensch de openbaring Gods, zijne uitwendige openbaring in natuur en geschiedenis, zijn inwendige openbaring daar binnen in u leert ken" (Faure, 1868:57). Appealing to Article 7 of the Belgic Confession, viz. that Protestants do not adhere to the infallibility of the church or its doctrine, Faure stays with his conviction "om ons verstands, ons rede, ons geweten ... te ... raadplegen, tegenover de letter der Schrift en de Kerkleer" (Faure, 1868:27). Cf. further XYZ and Herder, 1871.

Even when J.J. Kotzé⁸ published *De Belijdenisschriften der Nederduitsch-Gere-*formeerde Kerk en derzelver geschiedkundige toeligting in 1865, he evaded the problem. To him the ecclesiastic use of the Formularies meant "de vasstelling van de voorwaarden der kerkelijke gemeenschap ..." (Kotzé, 1865:1). According to Kotzé the formularies were the church's "geloofsovertuiging aangaande de "christelijke waarheden" and "zijn de waarborg van haar bestaan" (Kotzé, 1865: 27, 28, 30 ff). The relationship between Scriptures and Formularies was thus hierarchically understood. Kotzé (1865:30) claimed that the Formularies are subordinate to Scripture, but the problem of its confessionality was neither touched nor solved.

Would that, and an ecclesiastic theological conviction, be the reasons why Hofmeyr described the Formularies as the imperfect work of men? Hofmeyr said that the Formularies are intended to ward off false doctrines that the church encountered and fought – false doctrines which had been overcome in the power of the Holy Spirit. The aim of the Formularies, however is not to limit the truth to which the Holy Spirit leads the church until it will reach full growth and completion in Jesus Christ (Hofmeyr, 1865:153; cf. Murray, 1888:373-377). Along these lines he thus qualified a wider and more current insight. In fact, Andrew Murray was probably in trouble at the 1870 Synod for the same reason when the Reverend J.J. Kotzé convincingly indicated that Murray was not in complete agreement with the Canons of Dordrecht regarding predestination (cf. Pont, 1959; Anon., 1871).

On the other hand, the problem of the historicity of the Formularies was also overlooked – a problem particularly raised by the liberal theologians. These liberal theologians indeed wished to be free from church or confessional prescription and ties – even the scriptural prescription was adapted to the norm of reasonable viability. According to that, the magisterium of a church document out of the past was confronted with the authentic Protestant approach.⁹ In their defence of the true teachings of the church, the orthodox group did not pay any attention to this admission and a far-reaching hermeneutical problem remained unsolved.

To conclude: an evangelistical motive and a theological method evidently prevented a thorough exposition of the question of the historicity, as well as the scriptural connections and meaning of the Formularies. In the apologetic approach room was made for a supranaturalistic adherence to the Bible and association with faith (cf. Brown, 1991:575). E. Brown pointed out that the first two

⁸ Not to be confused with J.J. Kotzé of Darling. Cf. Beyers, 1981:304ff.

This was perhaps one of the main reasons why Faure deserted the Dutch Reformed Church to form a Free Protestant Church in Cape Town

professors at the Theological Seminary in Stellenbosch believed that nature and revelation, reason and faith were not in discord (Brown, 1991:575,574-590; Thom, 1989). This conviction was coupled with an evangelistic approach. In this way the ministry and preaching saved the church from a rationalised theology as it was accommodated in Europe and particularly in Germany. The same road as that of the Free Church of Scotland was followed increasingly.

Since 1870 then, and due to the liberal controversy in the Cape Church, the Formularies of Unity were inscribed ecclesiastically. In terms of an ecclesiastical jurisdiction, it was maintained by the synod. In this way the Dutch Reformed Church drew the contours within which the Formularies would function, thereby accompanying its theology and its ministry.

5. Since c. 1880: a new confessionalistic input and approach towards the function of the Formularies of Unity

Even before the 20th century, a new approach regarding the Formularies in the Dutch Reformed Church came to the fore in which the role of the Formularies was seen more confessionalistically. Yet another theological trend and method announced itself in the Dutch Reformed Church. Once again links were found overseas – this time with the confessional movement as initiated in the Netherlands by the Secession of 1834 (cf. Rasker, 1974:55 ff) – a movement which was taken further by Abraham Kuyper and others (cf. Rasker, 1974:153 ff,171 ff). In Paarl the Reverend S.J. du Toit (cf. Scholtz, 1975) put this impetus into words¹⁰ and complied with it in his own way (cf. Scholtz, 1975:233 ff). He found supporters in the Reverends W.P. de Villiers (cf. de Kock, 1981) P. Huet (cf. Hough, 1962) and Frans Lion-Cachet (cf. Kriel, 1955; Engelbrecht, 1953). The last-mentioned ministered in the Transvaal and ensured that the Formularies were accepted ecclesiastically as the *norma normata*¹¹ (vide Lion-Cachet, 1866:18 ff, 26 ff).

S.J. du Toit did not hesitate to polemise in this regard. He was indeed in more than one respect at loggerheads with the Dutch Reformed Church. In the papers of which he was the editor (*De Afrikaanse Patriot* and *De Getuige*) he accused his church of neglecting the confessions, especially as far as the doctrine of election was concerned. At the same time he opted for supplementing the Formularies in an eschatological-millemalistic way. What the Cape Synod did not succeed in doing, he did. He published the Formularies of Unity, added his comments "om leden onzer kerk een zoo duidelijk mogelijk begrip te geven van wat eigenlijk de betekenis is van onze Gereformeerde Leer en wat dezelfe onderscheidt van de leer van andere kerkgenootschappen die van ons verschillen" (Handelingen, 1881:82; vide further S.J. du Toit, 1895a; S.J. du Toit, 1895b, S.J. du Toit, 1901; Oberholster, 1956:50 ff).

Vide also Pretorius, 1986:203ff. For a contemporary standpoint and emphasis, vide Bosman, 1889;5 ff.9,12,15,21 ff. Goddefroy, 1890.

Without going into the matter further, it can be stated that the Dutch Reformed Church did gain a confessional consciousness. Theologically this would function more strongly. This confessional consciousness had a conservative character, and gained ground in the church after the South African War of 1899-1902. Links were found with the Vrije Universiteit (Amsterdam) (cf. Pont, 1987:28 ff; Brown, 1987:33 ff) and with orthodox and reformed churches in the United States of America. Princeton (cf. Noll, 1983) and its theological stand, inter alia, became known and attracted South African students.

In the meantime, while J.I. Marais and C.F.J. Muller in their Gereformeerd Maandblad¹² wanted to take the hands of young clergymen in their ministry, the theological problems of the time were touched upon at a regular basis. Scholarly theology was to be regarded seriously. Under the influence of the Scottish and Utrecht biblical-apologetic movement, higher criticism (vide Gereformeerd Maandblad, 1892:4 ff; Ibid. I(2), 1892:15 ff; I(12), 1892:8 ff; IX(1), 1904:6 ff; XII(2), 1907:29 and the complete XV, 1910) scriptural inspiration (vide Gereformeerd Maandblad, 1892:4 ff; 1(2), 1892:8 ff), evolution (cf. Gereformeerd Maandblad IX(1), 1904:5) and the humanisation of Christ (cf. Gereformeerd Maandblad I(1), 1892:4 ff; IX(1), 1904:6 ff) were discussed. And yet, as Brown points out, it did not prevent the ministry and training of the church from being led to the fundamental aspects of salvation in which conversion, sanctification, prayer and mission work were most important. These aspects, he says, cultivated a conservative trend in a fundamentalistic sense (Brown, 1979:133 ff). Incidentally, the Gereformeerd Maandblad paid little attention to the confessions of the church. When it was discussed - in 1892, 1898, 1912-13 and 1915 - the emphasis was placed on the historic context in which they were founded, and which accentuates their value.

At the beginning of the 20th century the Dutch Reformed Church undoubtedly accommodated a different and more dogmatic method parallel to the traditional biblical-apologetical and evangelistic approach. The church did not, however, take into account the fact that ecclesiological and theological presuppositions were at work within this method. Another aspect, namely that an ecclesiastical and confessional problem could arise in this regard, was also not foreseen. This aspect had indeed consequences regarding the authority, application and significance of the Formularies of Unity as the confessional base of the church. And, in the meantime, the new dogmatic and confessionalistic impetus slowly but surely found its adherents which grew in numbers.

During the twenties the historicity of the Formularies as a theological and ecclesiological problem could no longer be avoided. What became known as the 'Du

The journal existed from 1892 until 1920.

Plessis case' made the avoidance of this problem impossible. The balance between two divergent orientations and theological convictions could no longer be maintained and opponents, with contrasting viewpoints, took up positions. The church found itself in a difficult situation, which could not be solved easily (cf. Olivier, 1988; Olivier & Brown, 1992; Erasmus, 1986). The doctrine of the church, as expressed in the Formularies of Unity, was again addressed to reach a decisive answer.

Diverging points of view were taken with conviction and motivation. The essence of these lines of thought can be read in the theological journal *Het Zoeklicht*, which wished to cast "een gekoncentreerd, onderzoekend, krities licht op de dingen die in de duisternis verscholen lichen" (*Het Zoeklicht*, 1923:1(1)2.) This journal wanted to break new ground, and wanted to help the Dutch Reformed Church to re-orientate meaningfully in terms of modern insights and theological claims (cf. Erasmus, 1986:258 ff, 274 ff). The insistence to re-orientate and its consequences, gave rise to opposition from within the church. In 1926 Dr. D.R. Snyman established *Die Ou Paaie*. With reference to Jeremiah 6:16, he and his supporters wanted to keep the Dutch Reformed Church dogmatically to its confession.

The subscribing formula again involved the doctrinal base of the churh in the situation. However, groups, and unattached individuals actualized it contextually. The one side regarded the confession as consisting of historic formulas of the Christian faith which had to assist in reaching better formulations. Consequently the confession suffers from essential insufficiency to a greater or lesser extent. The doctrine in a confessing church is always open to reform, reformulation, investigation and supplementation. Consequently, it should become serviceable to the life of the church – as God and the Spirit carry it into effect. The confession acts as the guide, and leads to the Protestant principle of freedom within the limits of the confession. 13

For this approach, cf. the titles of the multi-faceted discussions published in *Het Zoeklicht* during this period in time: "In welke richting vloeit de stroom?" (Van der Merwe, 1926: 118); "Hoe gaat sich onze kerk jegens de nieuwe geest gedragen?" (Du Plessis, 1926:163 ff); "Exegese en confessie" (Van der Merwe, 1926:207 ff); "Confessie-herziening" (Anon., 1927:1 ff); "Prof. Doedes en de Geloofsbelydenis" (Anon., 1929:9 ff); "Reformata quia Reformanda"; "Die leerbesluite van die Vrystaatse kerk juriedies en teologies getoets (Anon., 1931a:144 ff; Anon., 1931b:181 ff); "Konfessie-hersiening" (Anon., 1931c:225-259); "Die toekoms van ons belydenis" (Du Plessis, 1931:231 ff); "Ons Formuliere van Enigheid" (Anon., 1932a:270-278); "Die P Neethling-Trustakte" (Anon., 1932b:32 ff). Vide further the editorials (Anon., 1926a:25 & 1926b:257), as well as the minority rapport at the Cape Synod of 1928 (Handelinge, 1932:252-257).

The other side regarded the Formularies as the expression of faith as proclaimed in Scripture, and therefore being more than historic formulations. As such the Formularies are regarded as essentially sufficient, and therefore authorative enough to dispel the critical questioning of the time, to correct the fallacy and to apply doctrinal discipline. Accordingly, the life of the church becomes serviceable to the doctrine: the confession is the criterion. This reformed principle, they argued, determined the limits of freedom of thought and research in the confession. ¹⁴

The church acted within its meeting and took a specific stand in terms of its confession. For the majority, the Formularies helped to refute false doctrines. Some did not hesitate, without denying the sufficiency of the confession, to draw up supplementary dogmatic decisions to ensure absolute clarity. At the Free State Synod of 1931 it was even entrenched in an additional formulary (Dertigste vergadering van die Hoog Eerw. Sinode van die Ned. Gereformeerde Kerk in die Oranje Vrystaat, s.a.:171-173.) The matter was therefore concluded.

The historicity of the Formularies as an ecclesiological and theological problem was, however, side-stepped. At the same time their scriptural context and the reference of the Formularies were not considered. It was not realised that a dogmatic point of departure had played into the hands of a confessional fundamentalism. It was also not recognised that a historically critical and inductive method – particularly if applied apologetically – cannot be without presuppositions.

Du Plessis fell victim to the situation. In a certain sense the church, and the problems regarding the authority and application of the Formularies, also fell victim

¹⁴ Illustrations of this side of the argument can be found in various articles published during this time: "Waar dryf ons heen?" (Malan, 1926a:16 ff; Malan, 1926b:51); "Waarheen voer Modernisme?" (Malan, 1926c:360 ff); "Sommige besware teen die Modernisme" (Malan, 1927); "Die dwaling wat ons kerk hierdie eeu bedreig" (Anon., 1928); "Die verweerskrif van prof. Du Plessis" (Van Rooven, 1928:164 ff), "Die GKN en die uitbou van die belydenis" (Van Rooyen, 1928:238 ff); "Terug na die belydenis" (Anon., 1928:271 ff); "Die hersiening van ons gereformeerde belydenis" (Lategan, 1931a:367 ff; Lategan, 1931b:437 ff). Vide also the majority report on doctrine at the 1928 synod of the Dutch Reformed Church held in Cape Town (Handelinge, 1928:243 ff,275 ff,293-299,305-309. Cf. further Nege-en-twintigste vergadering van die Hoog Eerw. Sinode van die Ned. Gereformeerde Kerk in die Oranje-Vrystaat, s.a. 22, 40, 41ff; Dertigste vergadering van die Hoog Eerw Sinode van die Ned. Gereformeerde Kerk in die Oranje-Vrystaat, s.a. 109-129, 142-143, 171.) The rise of new student organisations parallel to the Christen Studente Vereniging, also indicated a new approach. Die Kalvinistiese Konfessionele Vereniging, Konfessionele Christen Studente Vereniging, Die Kalvinistiese Bond, Die Federasie van Kalvinistiese Studente Verenigings and their influential publication Koers in die krisis (Stoker & Potgieter, 1935). See also Kriel, 1924, Kotze, 1932, Snyman, 1965.

to it. Could this be the reason why the Dutch Reformed Church continued to employ its Formularies in both a dogmatic and evangelistic sense? Could this be the reason why the confession did not function sufficiently in theological reflection in subsequent years? To discuss this, one would immediately engage a new and contemporary development, which is beyond the scope of this article.

6. Concluding remarks

When one considers the history (until c.1935) of the Dutch Reformed Church, it is clear that its Formularies played an important role. Conditioned by the context and theological influence, they gained in ecclesiological emphasis and value. The Formularies were involved for the sake of the church itself, and were therefore used and understood as an ecclesiastical confession. This emphasis and practice pushed their Christocratic notion and service unnoticed into the background. Indeed, the confession of the church is more than an ecclesiastic matter. The confession is open to the King of the church, and therefore also to His service as He effectuates it by the Word and the Spirit. By upholding the Formularies ecclesiastically, this aspect was neglected. The Dutch Reformed Church did not steer clear of a confessional fundamentalism. 15 And, as far as the Formularies served an evangelistic-theological purpose, the church played into the hands of a confessional particularism 16 Perhaps that is why the underlying problem of the historicity of the Formularies on the one hand, and the Scriptural context and intent on the other hand, have remained an unpaid account. The Formularies were, after all, not ministered completely for the sake of and in the name of the Lord of the Church, as it remains He who cares for, protects, guides and serves His flock.

Bibliography

ANON. 1871. Ds. Murray en de predestinatie. Kort begrip van den pennestrijd tusschen di Kotzé en Murray voor eenvoudigen, die er het regt van weten willen. Cape Town: Van de Sandt de Villiers & Co.

ANON 1926a Editorial Het Zoeklicht, 4(8) 254 ff.

ANON. 1926b. Editorial. Het Zoeklicht, 4(9):257 ff

ANON. 1927a. Confessie-herziening. Het Zoeklicht, 5(1):1 ff.

ANON: 1927b Editorial: Die dwaling wat ons kerk hierdie eeu bedreig. *Ou Paaie*, 2(5): 130 ff.

ANON. 1928. Terug na die belydenis. Ou Paaie, 3(6):271 ff.

ANON 1929. Editorial: Prof Doedes en de Geloofsbelydenis. Het Zoeklicht, 7(1):114 ff.

ANON. 1931a. Editorial: Wat ons in ons blad wil nastreef. Het Zoeklicht, 9(4):98 ff.

¹⁵ As inter alia during the 'Du Plessis case'

¹⁶ As inter alia during the liberal struggle of the 19th century.

- ANON. 1931b. Editorial: Die leerbesluite van die Vrystaatse kerk juriedies en teologies getoets. Het Zoeklicht, 9(4):144 ff.
- ANON. 1931c. Editorial: Die leerbesluite van die Vrystaatse kerk juriedies en teologies getoets. Het Zoeklicht, 9(6):181 ff.
- ANON. 1931d. Editorial: Konfessie-hersiening. Het Zoeklicht, 9(8):225-229.
- ANON. 1932a. Editorial: Ons formuliere van Enigheid. Het Zoeklicht, 10(9):270-278.
- ANON. 1932b. Editorial: Die P. Neethling Trustakte. Het Zoeklicht, 9(11):32 ff.
- BEUKES, B. 1985. Die invloed van die Skotse leraars op die N.G. Kerk met besondere verwysing na die kerkregering. University of Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch (Unpublished BTh paper.)
- BEYERS, C. 1929. Die Kaapse Patriotte 1779-1791. Cape Town, Johannesburg : Juta.
- BEYERS, C.J., ed. 1981. Suid-Afrikaanse Biografiese Woordeboek IV. Durban, Pretoria: Human Sciences Research Council.
- BOSMAN, H.P. 1855. De laatste woorden van wijlen den Heer Hermanus Lambertus Bosman, stamvader van al de Bosmans in Z. Afrika geboren, beschreven door zyn Eds. dochter Elizabeth Bosman, zuster van Mejuffr. Susanna Bosman, weduwee De Vries, wier brieven aan den Wel. Eerw. Theodorus van der Groe, in leven dienaar der gemeente van Jezus Christus te Kralingen, als aanhangsel bijgevoegd zijn. Uitgegeven op verzoek van den Heer Frans Petrus Bosman uit stukken door zyn Ed. bezorg, by H.N. Marais. Cape Town: H.N. Marais.
- BOSMAN, H.S. 1889. De leerkwestie. Pretoria: W. Jonker.
- BRIENEN, T. 1974. De prediking van de Nadere Reformatie. Een onderzoek naar het gebruik van de klassificatiemethode binnen de prediking van de Nadere Reformatie. Amsterdam: Ton Bolland.
- BRIENEN, T., ed. 1986. De Nadere Reformatie, beschrijving van haar voornaamste vertegenwoordigers. 's Gravenhage: Boekencentrum.
- BRITZ, R.M. 1990. Die Kerkhistoriese belang van die Korte Schets der Goddelijke Waerheden, 'n katkisasiehandboek vir die Kaaps-Hollandse Kerk uit die 18de eeu. Acta Theologica, 10(1) 23-40, Jun.
- BRITZ, R.M. 1992. Die kerklike eeufeesgedenkrede van 1752. 'n Kritiese waardering. Acta Academica, 24(1):110-126, Maart
- BRONSVELD, A.W. 1873. Schetsen uit het godsdienstig en kerkelijke leven in de vorige eeuw. Amsterdam: Van der Land.
- BROWN, E. & BRITZ, R.M. 1991. Enkele aantekeninge oor die historiese bewuswording en teologiese singewing eie aan die Kaapse literatuur uit die 18de eeu. Nederduitse Gereformeerde Teologiese Tydskrif, 32(3):420-438, Sept.
- BROWN, E. 1979. Het prof. J. du Plessis se beoefening van die Hoër Kritiek die bestudering van die Ou Testament in die Nederduitse Gereformeerde Kerk bevorder? (In Odendaal, D.H., ed. Die Ou Testament vandag. Cape Town: NG Kerk-Uitgewers. p. 133-149).
- BROWN, E. 1987. Respons op die voordrag van A.D. Pont. (In Kleynhans, E.P.J., ed. Abraham Kuyper na 150 jaar. UV Teologiese Studies 2. Bloemfontein: Pro Christo-Publikasies. p. 32-37.)
- BROWN, E. 1990. Kantaantekeninge by 'n boekeversameling van 'n 19de-eeuse gemeente. Nederduitse Gereformeerde Teologiese Tydskrif, 31(4): 533-554, Des.
- BROWN, E. 1991. Die eerste twee hoogleraars van die Teologiese Seminarium in Stellenbosch. Nederduitse Gereformeerde Teologiese Tydskrif, 32(4): 574-590, Des.
- BROWN, E. 1992. Die hervertolking van die paradigma in verband met die kollegialisme om die Afrikaanse Kerke kerkregtelik te verstaan. Hervormde Teologiese Studies, 48(3/4): 691-715, Sept./Nov.

- BROWN, E. s.a. Nederduits-Gereformeerd Suid-Afrika, toe 'n Nederlandse verversingstasie in 'n kolonie ontwikkel het. Unpublished manuscript in my possession.
- BROWN, W. 1987 Dominee Johannes Henoch Neethling Hzn. 1851-1904 'n Yweraar vir Godsvrug. Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch. (Unpublished DTh thesis.)
- CLAASSEN, J.P. 1986. Die Kaapse Kerk in oorgangstyd. Pretoria: Unisa. (Unpublished DLitt et DPhil thesis.)
- CLAASSEN, J.W. 1990. Die kerklik-godsdienstige agtergrond van die eerste Skotse leraars in Suid-Afrika. Pretoria: University of Pretoria. (Unpublished DD thesis.)
- DE JONG, O. 1972. Nederlandse Kerkgeschiedenis. Nijkerk: Callenbach.
- DE KOCK, M.G W. 1981. Ds. Willem Petrus de Villiers, woordbedienaar vir 'n voorpossamelewing. Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch. (Unpublished DTh thesis.)
- Dertigste vergadering van die Hoog Eerw. Sinode van die Ned. Gereformeerde Kerk in die Oranje-Vrystaat gehou te Bloemfontein op Donderdag, 16 April 1931 en die volgende dae, s.a. Bloemfontein: Nasionale Pers.
- DU PLESSIS, J. 1911a. A History of Christian Missions in South Africa London: Longmans Green.
- DU PLESSIS, J., red. 1911b. Merkwaardig verhaal aangaande het leven en de lotgevallen van Michiel Christiaan Vos. Cape Town: Hollandsch-Afrikaansche Uitgevers-Maatschappij.
- DU PLESSIS, J. 1926. Hoe gaat sich onze kerk jegens de nieuwe geest gedragen? Het Zoeklicht, 4(6):163 ff.
- DU PLESSIS, J.C. 1931. Die toekoms van ons belydenis. Het Zoeklicht, 9(8):231 ff.
- DU TOIT, S.J. 1901. De Nederlandsche Geloofsbelijdenis, kortelijks toegelicht. Paarl: D.F. du Toit & Co.
- DU TOIT, J.D. 1911. Geschiedenis van de Hollandse Kerken in Zuid-Afrika, in taferelen geschetst. (In Hamersma, T., Los, S.O., Du Toit, J.D. De geschiedenis der Christelijke Kerk. Potchefstroom: "Het Westen"-Drukkerij. p. 276-375.)
- DU TOIT, S.J. 1895a. De drie Formulieren van Eenigheid met de Kerkorde. Paarl : D.F. du Toit & Co.
- DU TOIT, S.J. 1895b. De predestinatie, volgens Bijbelleer en kerkleer, opnieuw in 't licht gesteld. Paarl: D.F. du Toit & Co.
- ENGELBRECHT, S.P. 1953. Ds. Frans Lion Cachet. Hervormde Teologiese Studies, 9 (3/4):181-231, Sept./Nov.
- ERASMUS, A.S. 1986. Die bediening van Johannes du Plessis (1865-1935) in die Nederduitse Gereformeerde Kerk met besondere verwysing na sy teologiese denke. 'n Kerkhistoriese studie Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch. (Unpublished DTh thesis.)
- FAURE, D.P. 1868. De Moderne Theologie. Dertien toespraken gehouden in de Mutual Hall, Kaapstad. Cape Town: Juta.
- FERREIRA, 1.L. 1979. Die Teologiese Seminarium van Stellenbosch 1858-1963. Pretoria : Makro Boeke.
- GEREFORMEERD MAANDBLAD 1892 1(1):4, 1(2):15; 1(12):8
- GEREFORMEERD MAANDBLAD. 1904. 9(1):6.
- GEREFORMEERD MAANDBLAD 1907. 12(2):29.
- GEREFORMEERD MAANDBLAD. 1910. 15.
- GILIOMEE, H. & ELPHIC, R., eds. 1986. 'n Samelewing in wording. Suid-Afrika 1652-1820. Cape Town: Maskew Miller Longman.
- GODDEFROY, M.J. 1890. De Kerkkwestie, niet een leer- maar een levenskwestie. Pretoria: "Volkstem"-Drukkerij

- GRAAFLAND, C. 1961. De zekerheid van het geloof Een onderzoek naar de geloofsbeschouwing van enige vertegenwoordigers van reformatie en nadere reformatie. Wageningen: Veenman & Zonen.
- Handelinge van die ses-en-twintigste vergadering van die Sinode van die Ned. Geref. Kerk in Suid-Afrika, gehou te Kaapstad, op Donderdag, 18 Oktober 1928, en volgende dae. 1928. Cape Town: Nasionale Pers.
- Handelinge van die sewe-en-twintigste vergadering van die Sinode van die Ned. Geref. Kerk in Suid-Afrika, gehou te Kaapstad, op Donderdag, 20 Oktober 1932, en volgende dae. 1932. Cape Town: Nasionale Pers.
- Handelingen der tiende Vergadering van de Synode der Gereformeerde Kerk van Zuid-Afrika, gehouden in de Kaapstad, op Dinsdag den 14den October 1862, en volgende dagen. 1863. Cape Town: H.N. Marais.
- Handelingen der veertiende Vergadering van de Synode der Nederd. Gereformeerde Kerk van Zuid-Afrika, gehouden in de Kaapstad, op Dinsdag den 12den October 1880, en volgende dagen. 1881. Cape Town: J.H. Rose.
- Handelingen eener buitengewone Synodale Vergadering der Nederduitsch-Gereformeerde Kerk van Zuid-Afrika, gehouden in de Kaapstad, op den 17den October, 1837, en volgende dagen. 1858. Cape Town: Van de Sandt de Villiers & Co. (In De handelingen der zeven eerste vergaderingen van de Algemeene Synode der Nederduitsch Gereformeerde Kerk van Zuid-Afrika. 1857. Cape Town: G.J. Pike.)
- HANEKOM, T.N. 1951. Die liberale rigting in Suid-Afrika. Stellenbosch Die Christen-Studentevereniging van Suid-Afrika.
- HANEKOM, T.N. 1959. Helperus Ritzema van Lier. Die lewensbeeld van 'n Kaapse predikant uit die 18de eeu. Cape Town: NG Kerk-Uitgewers.
- HOFMEYR, N.J. 1860. Een getuigenis tegen de hedendaagse dwaling: eene preek over Lukas X:21, benevens eene beknopte ontleding van het godgeleerd stelsel van den hoogleeraar J.H. Scholten. Cape Town: H.N. Marais.
- HOFMEYR, N.J. 1865. De Kerk en de rechtbank, beschouwingen en onderzoekingen naar aanleiding van de jongste bemoeijingen der regtbank met de zaken der Gereformeerde Kerk. Cape Town: H.N. Marais.
- HOFMEYR, N.J. 1868. Vier leerredenen tegen de hedendaagse dwaling of de zoogenaamde Moderne Theologie. Cape Town: H.N. Marais.
- HOGE, J. 1938. Die geskiedenis van die Lutherse Kerk aan die Kaap. (In Argief Jaarboek vir Suid-Afrikaanse geskiedenis 1(2). Cape Town: Cape Times.)
- HOGE, J. 1939. Die Geschichte der altesten evangelisch-Lutherischen Gemeinde in Kapstadt. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des Deutschtums in Südafrika. München: Ernst Reinhardt.
- HOUGH, J.A. 1962. Ds. D.P.M. Huet 'n Historiese oorsig van sy dienstyd in Suid-Afrika. 1854-1867. Pretoria: University of Pretoria. (Unpublished MA thesis.)
- KLEYNHANS, E.P.J. 1974. Die kerkregtelike ontwikkeling van die Nederduitse Gereformeerde Kerk in Suid-Afrika 1795-1962. Bloemfontein: NG Sendingpers.
- KNAPPERT, L. 1912. Geschiedenis der Hervormde Kerk onder de Republiek en het Koninkrijk der Nederlanden II: Geschiedenis der Nederlandsche Hervormde Kerk gedurende de 18de en 19de eeuw Amsterdam: Meulenhof.
- KOTZÉ, C.R. 1932. Die dwaling in ons kerk. Bloemfontein: Nasionale Pers.
- KOTZE, J.J. 1865. De belijdenisschriften der Nederduitsch-Gereformeerde Kerk en derzelver geschiedkundig toelichting. Cape Town: Van de Sandt de Villiers & Co.
- KOTZE, J.J. 1868. De Moderne Theologie. Eene beoordeling naar aanleiding van de dertien toespraken gehouden in de Mutual Hall, Kaapstad door D.P. Faure, SSMC. Cape Town: J.H. Hofmeijr & Co.

- KRÜGER, B. 1966. The Pear Tree Blossoms. The History of the Moravian Church in South Africa 1737-1869. Genadendal Genadendal Printing Works.
- KRIEL, L.M. 1924. Het oud-modernisme in de Nederduitsche Gereformeerde Kerk van Zuid-Afrika. No Place : No Publisher.
- KRJEL, F.H.J. 1955. Die lewe van Frans Lion Cachet met besondere toespitsing op sy betekenis vir die sending. Pretoria: University of Pretoria. (Unpublished DD thesis.)
- LATEGAN, D. 1931a. Die hersiening van ons gereformeerde belydenis. Ou Paaie, 6(3):376.
- LATEGAN, D. 1931b. Die hersiening van ons gereformeerde belydenis. *Ou Paaie*, 6(4):437 ff.
- LID DER SYNODE, 1863 Geene leervryheid De zaak van ds. J.J. Kotzé Pz voor de Synode van 1862 en 1863. Cape Town: Van de Sandt de Villiers & Co.
- LION CACHET, F. 1866. Aan de leden der Nederduitsch Gereformeerde Kerk in de ZA Republiek. Pietermaritzburg: P. Davis & Zonen.
- MALAN, D.G. 1926a. Waar dryf ons heen? Het Zoeklicht, 4(1):16 ff.
- MALAN, D.G. 1926b. Waar dryf ons heen? Het Zoeklicht, 4(2):51 ff.
- MALAN, D.G. 1926c. Waarheen voer Modernisme? Het Zoeklicht, 4(12):360 ff.
- MALAN, D.G., 1927 Sommige besware teen die Modernisme. Het Zoeklicht, 5(10):297 ff.
- MALAN, D.J. 1930. Reformata quia Reformanda. Het Zoeklicht, 8(4):114.
- MOORREES, A. 1937. Die Nederduitse Gereformeerde Kerk in Suid-Afrika 1652-1873. Cape Town: SA Bybelvereniging...
- MÜLLER, K. 1923. Georg Schmidt. Die Geschichte der ersten Hottentoten-Mission. Hernnhut: Verlag der Missionsbuchhandlung.
- MURRAY, A. 1868. Het Moderne Ongeloof. Dertien Leerredenen. Cape Town: J.H. Hof-meijr & Co.
- MURRAY, A. 1888. The Spirit of Christ. Thoughts on the Indwelling of the Holy Spirit in the Believer and the Church. London: Nisbet.
- Nege-en-twintigste vergadering van die Hoog Eerw. Sinode van die Ned. Gereformeerde Kerk in die Oranje-Vrystaat gehou te Bloemfontein op Donderdag, 19 April 1928 en die volgende dae. s.a. Bloemfontein: Nasionale Pers.
- NOLL, M.A., ed. 1983. The Princeton Theology 1812-1921. Phillipsburg: Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Co.
- OBERHOLSTER, J.A.S. 1956. Die Gereformeerde Kerke onder die Kruis in Suid-Afrika. Hul ontstaan en ontwikkeling. Cape Town, Pretoria: HAUM.
- ODENDAAL, D.H., ed. 1979. Die Ou Testament vandag. Cape Town: NG Kerk-Uitgewers.
- OLIVIER, A.R. 1988. Die Nederduitse Gereformeerde Kerk en die Du Plessis-saak. Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch. (Unpublished DTh thesis.)
- OLIVIER, A.R. & BROWN, E. 1992. Die kerk en die Du Plessis-saak met besondere verwysing na die ekklesiologiese situasie. *Nederduitse Gereformeerde Teologiese Tydskrif*, 33(1):29-36, Jan.
- PONT, A.D. 1959. Enkele aantekeninge by die debat oor die uitleg van die Dordtse Leerreels wat in 1871 deur ds. J.J. Kotzé van Darling en ds. Andrew Murray jnr. gevoer is. *Hervormde Teologiese Studies*, 1959(2):29-40, April.
- PONT, A.D. 1969-70. Kerk en volk. Hervormde Teologiese Studies, 25(3/4):202-218, Sept / Nov.
- PONT, A.D. 1987. Die betekenis van Abraham Kuyper op kerkhistoriese en kerkregtelike gebied. (In Kleynhans, E.P.J., ed. Abraham Kuyper na 150 jaar. UV Teologiese Studies 2. Bloemfontein: Pro Christo-Publikasies)
- PONT, A.D. 1991. Die historiese agtergronde van ons kerklike reg. II. Pretoria: Kital.
- PRETORIUS, S.P. 1986. Die kerkvereniging van 1885. Sy aanloop en mislukking. Pretoria: University of Pretoria. (Unpublished DD thesis.)

- RASKER, A.J. 1974. De Nederlandse Hervormde Kerk vanaf 1795. Haar geschiedenis en theologie in de negentiende en twintigste eeuw. Kampen: Kok
- SASS, F.W. 1956. The Influence of the Church of Scotland on the Dutch Reformed Church of South Africa. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh. (Unpublished DPhil thesis.)
- SCHOLTZ, D.A. 1975. Ds. S.J. du Toit as kerkman en kultuurleier. Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch. (Unpublished DTh thesis)
- SCHUTTE, G.J. 1974. De Nederlandse Patriotten en de koloniën. Een onderzoek naar hun denkbeelden en optreden 1770-1800. Groningen: H.D. Tjeenk Willink.
- SNYMAN, D.R. 1965. Pasop dat niemand julle mislei nie East London: The author.
- SPOELSTRA, B. 1963. Die "Doppers" in Suid-Afrika 1760-1899. Cape Town, Johannesburg, Pretoria: Nasionale Boekhandel Beperk.
- STOKER, H.G. & POTGIETER, F.J.M., ed. 1935 Koers in die krisis I. Stellenbosch: Pro-Ecclesia-Drukkery.
- THOM, G. 1989. The Development of Theology at Stellenbosch from 1859-1919. Grahamstown: Rhodes University. (Unpublished DPhil thesis.)
- VAN DER MERWE, H.P. 1926a. In welke richting vloeit de stroom? Het Zoeklicht, 4(4): 118.
- VAN DER MERWE, H.P. 1926b. Exegese en confessie. Het Zoeklicht, 4(7):207 ff.
- VAN DER WATT, P.B. 1976. Die Nederduitse Gereformeerde Kerk 1652-1824. Pretoria: NG Kerkboekhandel.
- VAN ROOYEN, E.E. 1928a. Die verweerskrif van prof. Du Plessis. Ou Paaie, 3(3):164 ff.
- VAN ROOYEN, E.E. 1928b. Die GKN en die uitbou van die belydenis. *Ou Paaie*, 3(3): 238 ff.
- VAN ZIJL, W.J. 1991. 'n Kerkhistories bibliografiese studie van die boek as draer van die Nederduitse Gereformeerde belydenis in Suid-Afrika 1652-c 1814. Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch. (Unpublished DTh thesis.)
- VELTKAMP, S B I. 1977. Meent Borcherds. Predikant in overgangstijd. (Jemgum 1762 Stellenbosch 1832.) Pretoria: Unisa. (Unpublished DLitt et DPhil thesis.)
- VORSTER, J.D. 1981 Die kerkregtelike ontwikkeling van die Kaapse Kerk onder die Kompanjie 1652-1795. Cape Town: NG Kerk-Uitgewers.
- VOS, G.J. 1888. Geschiedenis der Vaderlandsche Kerk II. Van 1651-1842. Cape Town:
 Dusseau.
- Wetten en bepalingen voor het bestuur der Nederduitsch Gereformeerde Kerk in Zuid-Afrika 1844-1870. Cape Town: H.N. Marais.
- XYZ and HERDER, 1871. Wederlegging van ds. A. Murray's Boek "Het Moderne Ongeloof." Cape Town: Van de Sandt de Villiers & Co