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#### Abstract

Damage to DNA can occur by means of endogenous biochemical processes or exogenous chemicals such as alkylating agents. If left unrepaired, alkylated bases, most notably, $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine ( $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ ) can be mutagenic and cytotoxic to the cell. Luckily, DNA methyltransferase (encoded by the gene MGT1 in yeast), repairs this damage. By using transcriptional profiling as a tool, an attempt to elucidate the role of MGT1 has been made. First, the basal expression profile of the mot1 was established. Then, the response of wild-type (WT) yeast and yeast lacking $M G T 1$ ( $m g t 1$ ) to the alkylating agent, MNNG was studied using exponentially growing WT and $m g t 1$ cultures which were exposed to $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of MNNG for 10 to 60 minutes.

Basal expression profile of yeast lacking MGT1 showed up-regulation of REV7, a gene implicated in spontaneous mutagenesis. Response to MNNG was invoked immediately and was dramatic and widespread involving $30 \%$ of the genome in both WT and $m g t 1$. Cell-cycle checkpoints, damage signal amplifiers, DNA repair genes (nucleotide excision repair, photoreactive repair, mismatch repair) and chromatin remodeling genes were induced. Genes involved in maintaining mitochondrial structure and mitochondrial genome were also induced. Intriguingly, RPN4, a key regulator of proteasomal system was found to be repressed. Environmental stress response genes were culled out to examine the effects of MNNG on WT and mot1, more carefully.

Temporal gene expression profiles in WT and $m g t 1$ were informative in delineating differences in the distinct responses mounted by WT and mgt1. The magnitude of response in $m g t 1$ is more profound than in WT. The differences in the dynamic trends between the two suggest that mgt1 initiates a coordinated response involving repression of transcription factors and subsequently, induction of RNA processing ( $35 \%$ of genes incrementally induced) and kinases involved in protein phosphorylation. In the WT, the response was restricted to a transient repression of fundamental biochemical processes. Interestingly, a gene whose repression is known to mimic apoptosis was found to be repressed in the WT. The overwhelming induction of ribosomal protein synthesis genes in both WT and mgt1 in response to MNNG is an unexpected result that could signify a successful recovery following wide-spread cellular damage.
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

## BACKGROUND

Genetic information in any cell is chemically stored in the form of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and it essentially comprises a sequence of repeating nucleotides. Nucleotides in turn consist of a pentose sugar, a nitrogenous base and a variable number of phosphate groups stacked and aligned in an orderly fashion. Maintaining the physical and chemical integrity of the DNA structure is vital for its function. Unfortunately, however, errors can be introduced during replication, recombination and even repair. They can also be introduced via damage due to physical and chemical agents. Eventually, if errors remain uncorrected, it may lead to instability of the chemical structure and modification of the molecular structure. Such an alteration classifies as DNA damage and this may sometimes preclude the semiconservative replication of DNA, lead to cell cycle arrest and often, cell death. Another ominous outcome of unchecked DNA damage is the accumulation of mutations that can lead to cancer. (Figure 1)

APOPTOSIS


Figure 1: Unchecked DNA damage may lead to cancer.

## The mechanism of damage to DNA

## Spontaneous alterations

The nitrogenous bases, purines and pyrimidines, occasionally undergo a spontaneous alteration in their chemistry. The bases can lose their exocyclic amino group and undergo deamination. This modification increases the propensity for anomalous pairing of bases and an inappropriately incorporated base can introduce a transition or transversion mutation. In addition, instability of base pairing may also lead to replication arrest. Purines and pyrimidines can also be spontaneously hydrolyzed and lost. (Lindahl, 1993). Abasic sites that are produced can also lead to mutations during replication. (Loeb, 1986)

Unlike the fleeting deamination and hydrolysis reactions, oxidative damage to DNA is more elaborate and once initiated, results in a chain reaction. The cause of damage can be exogenous or endogenous. Exogenous sources of oxidative DNA damage include radiation, near UV light at 320 to 380 nm and several drugs (Friedberg, 1995). Endogenously, redox reactions which ubiquitously occur in cells, are the major source of reactive oxygen species. Notable among them are the by-products of aerobic mitochondrial respiration. Singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide inflict damage via formation of hydroxyl radicals through metal catalyzed reactions. The intermediates and by-products of such reaction are independently capable of inflicting more damage on intracellular macromolecules. Fortunately, there are several cellular defense mechanisms help to mitigate the effect of these reactive oxygen species (ROS). These include antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase and other scavengers, Vitamin $C$ and $\alpha$ tocopherol. Potentially unfavorable cellular responses triggered by the presence of ROS may include the inhibition of cell cycle progression, the initiation of apoptosis and the activation of a degradative process to replace macromolecules. Oxygen radicals are also known to induce chromosome breaks. In general, irrespective of the source and mechanism of alteration or damage, the most ominous outcome is typically a mismatch of base pairs during DNA synthesis. The most important oxidative base adduct, 8 -oxoguanine can mispair and be mutagenic (Lindahl., 1993, Friedberg, 2002).
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Figure 2: The nitrogenous bases; Purines (A,G) and Pyrimidines (C,T,U)

## Environmental damage

Pbysical agents - Ioniring Radiation: Apart from spontaneous alterations and damage to DNA, physical and chemical agents in the environment inflict a substantial amount of damage. Exposure to ionizing radiation as a therapeutic, diagnostic or occupational hazard induces a variety of lesions by direct damage. Radiolysis of water generates reactive oxygen species that damage cellular macromolecules. Glutathione, a radioprotector, can counteract the damage at several tiers of radical production. Damage to bases, sugar moieties and direct induction of strand breaks can occur. Strand breaks are a special problem since mere DNA ligation may not be sufficient to repair the lesion. (Burrows, 1998). Ultraviolet (UV) radiation induces covalent linkage of adjacent pyrimidines producing cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD). These lesions distort the helix and lead to extensive bending of DNA, albeit variably. A less frequent lesion is the pyrimidine-pyrimidone (6-4) photoproduct that, like CPD's, distorts the helix (Ravanat, 2001). These physical distortions may result in an obligatory arrest of replication.

Chemical agents: Environmental exposure to chemicals included as food agents (Sugimura., 2002), inhalation of polluted air and ingestion of contaminated water are by far
the most common modes of encountering DNA damaging agents. Occupational hazards and therapeutic intervention, most notably by anti-cancer agents are responsible for most of the DNA damage in humans. The diverse class of chemicals known to cause DNA damage includes psoralens, benzo[a]pyrene, aflatoxins and nitroquinolones and alkylating agents. Historically, there has been an interest in examining the potential for food additives to be potent carcinogens. Most of the evidence has relied on demonstrating that electrophilic metabolites of the parent compound can forms DNA or protein adducts. Their metabolism is dependent on an inducible system of membrane proteins called the cytochrome P-450 system. Apart from this P-450 system, there are other enzymes that conjugate compounds to make them more water soluble and permit easy elimination from the system. They include acetyltransferases, glucoronyl transferases, adenosylating enzymes and methylating enzymes. In principle, the cell has several mechanisms of dealing with DNA damage but it is unfortunate, however, that metabolites can themselves be more harmful than the parent compounds.

## Means of response to DNA damage

In essence, physical and chemical damage to DNA is a universal phenomenon across living systems. Damage by physical and chemical agents can lead to arrest of replication and transcription. Fortunately, there are sub-cellular systems that operate in a coordinated way to sense and respond to damage. Broadly, they can be classified as DNA repair mechanisms (specific set of events to eliminate the primary lesion) and DNA damage checkpoint mechanisms - accessory events that stall the cell cycle and permit the specific DNA repair mechanisms to act.


Figure 3: Causes and consequences of DNA damage.

An elaborate, overlapping set of enzymes, proteins and mechanisms deal with these deleterious lesions. Cells respond to DNA damage by delaying cell cycle progression and by increasing the expression of a few genes involved in the repair and tolerance of DNA damage (Friedberg et al,1995). Surveillance mechanisms in eukaryotic cells monitor and regulate the cell cycle and its progress. The cell-cycle checkpoints are activated by one or more signals and ultimately results in the inhibition of cell cycle progression. The checkpoint mechanism first detects damaged DNA and then generates a signal that arrests cells in the $G_{1} / S$ or $G_{2} / M$ phase of the cell cycle. It also slows down S phase (DNA synthesis). This mechanism is thought to prevent the replication of damaged templates and the segregation of broken chromosomes.

## Consequences of unrepaired lesions

Despite the orchestrated response, however, the damage may not be mitigated. If lesions are not repaired, they would pose a problem by being mutagenic or lethal. In response to DNA damage the cell has four major routes of responses. Cell-cycle arrest provides the crucial time for repairing the damage. If the damage load is too profound for the cell to handle, it may undergo apoptosis (programmed cell death) to avoid the propagation of highly defective cells. The lesions may be fixed by DNA repair pathways or alternatively, the
unrepaired lesions may generate sequence changes in the genome to be passed on as a mutation.


Figure 4: Outcomes of DNA damage

## Overview of repair mechanisms

## Photoreactivation by photolyases

UV light exposure generates 2 major classes of stable DNA lesions - cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) and 6-4 photoproducts (6-4 PD). Unless repaired, these lesions may lead to blockage of transcription, mutations, cell death and cancer. CPD's and 6-4 PD's are removed by two pathways: (i) nucleotide excision repair (NER); and (ii) photoreactivation. Some plants, bacteria, and yeast possess a photolyase that preferentially reverses the CPD's in the non-transcribed strand of active genes. DNA photolyases catalyze the light-dependent repair of pyrimidine dimers in DNA. (Carell, 2001). Photolyases bind tightly to CPDs and, on excitation by $340-400-\mathrm{nm}$ light, catalyse the cleavage of the cyclobutane linkage between the adjacent pyrimidines and restore the monomeric bases without cutting the phosphodiester backbone of DNA.

In yeast, the Phr1 gene that codes for photolyase has been shown to be upregulated by several DNA-damaging agents such as UV radiation, 4NQO, MMS and MNNG (Sebastian et al, 1990). If Phr1 is unable to resolve cyclobutane linkages then it will also try to enhance the NER of CPDs. The photolyase enzyme functions as a model for proteins that interact with sites of DNA damage and have the potential to facilitate DNA-damage recognition by repair pathways. (Sebastian and Sancar, 1991)

## Methylguanine methyltransferase

The methylguanine methyltransferase reverts $O^{6}$-methylguanine to guanine by transferring the methyl group from DNA to a reactive cysteine group of the protein in an irreversible reaction. This covalent attachment of the alkyl group to the cysteine residue
inactivates the enzyme. Alkylguanine methyl transferase is a suicide enzyme. The mechanism for this reaction is indicated in Figure 7.


Figure 5: Alkylating agent, MNNG


Figure 6: $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Mehyltguanine methyltransferase accepts the methyl group at its cysteine residue


Figure 7: Overview of DNA repair mechanisms

## Excision Repair

In contrast to direct repair there is cleavage of the sugar phosphate backbone in excision repair.

## Base Excision Repair

Damage to DNA from deamination, oxidation and alkylation is mainly repaired by BER. In base excision repair, the DNA bases that are altered by small chemical modifications are replaced through the excision of only the damaged nucleotide (short patch BER) or through the removal of 2-13 nucleotides containing the damaged nucleotide (long-patch BER). DNA glycosylases initiate BER by excising damaged bases from DNA and generating abasic sites.

## Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER)

In cases where the alteration involves the addition of large chemical additions or cross-links, the DNA bases are excised using the nucleotide excision repair where a short, single-stranded segment containing the damage is removed. NER helps in repair of bulky base adducts formed by UV radiation, various environmental mutagens, and certain chemotherapeutic agents. In NER. (Wood, 1997)

## Mismatch Repair (MMR).

An important replication-associated correction function is provided by the postreplicative mismatch repair system. Base-base mismatches or loops of extra bases if left unrepaired, will generate point or frameshift mutations respectively. Misincorporation of noncomplementary bases by DNA polymerases is a major source of the occurrence of promutagenic base-pairing errors during DNA replication or repair. MMR is conserved from bacteria to humans. It identifies and corrects mispaired bases and 1-3-nucleotide loops that result from DNA polymerase errors during replication.

## Double strand break (DSB) repair

Double strand breaks are rare and two independent pathways handle them; homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ). Homologous recombination uses extensive homology to code DNA and maintain accuracy. Nonhomologous end joining involves a coordinated rejoining of the broken ends and uses no or extremely limited regions of homology as a template for repair. Consequently, this process is inaccurate and the deletions of a few nucleotides are introduced at the site of the DSB. HR
and NHEJ are important in all eukaryotes and HR is more important in rapidly dividing cells and NHEJ is more important in quiescent or terminally differentiated cells. HR is important for meiosis or the repair of inter-strand cross-links, while NHEJ is required for joining of DNA fragments while generating the diversity of the immune system.

## Mechanism of damage by alkylation

Alkylating agents are electrophilic compounds with affinity for nucleophilic centers in organic macromolecules. They are probably the broadest class of chemicals that have the potential to cause profound damage to DNA. Alkylating agents are classified as monofunctional or bifunctional depending on the number of reactive groups, and therefore, the ability to react with multiple sites within DNA. Alkylating agents attack nitrogen and oxygen at various sites within nitrogenous bases with different reactivity's. Apart from these veritable hot spots within nitrogenous bases, alkylating agents can react with oxygen in the phosphodiester linkage to form a phosphotriester.

cytosine guanine


Figure 8: Sites within the nitrogenous bases susceptible to alkylation damage.

By virtue of its ability to reach several nucleophilic sites within the nitrogenous bases, inter and intra-strand cross links can occur as a consequence of exposure to bifunctional alkylating agents. The covalent link sustains this anomaly and prevents strand separation (if there is an inter-strand crosslink) leading to a complete block of replication and transcription.

## Damage to DNA bases

Alkylating agents are structurally diverse group of chemicals that cause a wide range of biological effects including cell death, mutation and cancer. DNA damaged by these agents contains widely different amounts of 12 alkylated purines/pyrimidines and two
phosphotriester isomers. They are used in anticancer therapy and are also found in cigarette smoke.

## Lesions caused by alkylation

Attack in $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ position of guanine leads to the formation of the adduct, $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine ( $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ ). This is a relatively minor lesion compared to $\mathrm{O}^{4}$ Methylthymine ( $\mathrm{O}^{4} \mathrm{MeT}$ ), but potentially the most deleterious lesion if left unrepaired in the system. Other potentially harmful lesions include 3 Methyl Adenine ( 3 MeA ). If the $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ lesion remains unrepaired, then it permits $G \rightarrow A$ transition mutation following 2 rounds of replication. This happens in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Recombination and cell death that may ensue but both need a functional MMR system.

In E.coli, it has been shown that the miscoding alkylation adducts on the template strand would lead to anomalous base pairs upon replication. Provocation of mismatch repair by such lesions would result in a futile turnover of the newly synthesized strand because the offending adduct is not removed from the template DNA, a process that could lead to cell death. Luckily, the $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ methylguanine MTase protein is able to counter this effect by irreversibly and covalently binding to the methyl group and plucking it off the base. Since the cysteine which is methylated is not regenerated at all, the capacity for repair of $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ methylguanine is limited by the number of molecules of the MTase available within the cell.

## Alkyltransferases across systems

Methyltransferase belongs to a class of proteins, the alkyltransferases. There are close to a 100 alkyltransferases but the structure of only 3 three family members: the Ada-C protein from Escherichia coli (Moore et al 1994), the human alkyltransferase (hAGT) (Daniels, 2000), and Pyrococcus kodakaraensis (Hashimoto 1999) are known. The protein is non-enzymatic in nature and therefore the protection by alkyltransferase depends on the regulation of its synthesis and degradation.

## Structure and function of Yeast methyltransferase (MGT1)

Repair of $\mathrm{O}^{6}-\mathrm{MeG}$ in yeast extracts was shown to be performed by a 25 -kilodalton protein Methyl transfer was accompanied by the formation of S-methylcysteine. The $S$. cerevisiae MGT1 codes for a 188 amino acid protein. About half of the MGT1 protein has
homology with four bacterial MTases and also the human DNA MTase. (Xiaoand Samson 1992)

Exponentially growing yeast cultures have about 150 molecules of MTase in each cell. The yeast MTase has a half-life of about 4 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Synthesis of the yeast DNA MTase is not inducible by sublethal exposures to alkylating agent. The substrates for yeast MTase include $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{4} \mathrm{MeT}$. Unlike this, the human MTase is very specific for $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$.

## Spontaneous mutations

Mutations are defined as spontaneous when they arise in cells that are not actively exposed to exogenous, xenobiotic mutagens. Spontaneous mutations occur due to either uncorrected DNA replication errors, or endogenous metabolites that cause lesions on DNA. Oxidative damage and alkylation damage are the 2 major sources of endogenous DNA damage. It results as a consequence of cellular metabolism and failure to correct this damage due to genetic defects results in significantly increased spontaneous mutation rates. Spontaneous mutations have been studied earlier using several systems including MGT1 deletions in yeast. MGT1 deleted mutants were shown to have an increased spontaneous mutation rate suggesting an endogenous source of DNA methylation damage. (Xiao and Samson, 1993).

## Alkylation due to endogenous processes

$S$-adenosyl methionine (SAM) a cellular methylase co-factor has a reactive methyl group and is responsible for enzymatic methylation of DNA , RNA and proteins. Under physiological conditions, SAM has been shown to non-enzymatically methylate DNA to form 3-Methyl adenine and $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ (Rydberg and Lindahl 1982). Endogenous processes might include the 'aberrant' methylation of guanine by $S$-adenosylmethionine and the endogenous nitrosation of compounds containing primary amino groups and their subsequent breakdown to methylating species (Sedgwick, 1997). $n$ nitrosoglycocholic acid has been shown to be able to methylate DNA in vitro and in vivo (Shuker and Margison, 1997).

## Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a model system to study genome-wide expression.

The baker's yeast $S$. cerevisiae is an informative model organism in traditional genetic studies. It also presents an ideal model genome for large-scale functional analysis. Relative to other eukaryotes, $S$. cerevisiae has a compact genome. Approximately, $70 \%$ of its total (nonribosomal DNA) genetic complement is protein-coding sequence. Encompassing 16 chromosomes, the 12 -megabase $(\mathrm{Mb})$ yeast genome is predicted to encode about 6,200 genes, with 1 gene per 2 kb of genomic sequence. (Goffeau, 1996).S. cerevisiae is an informative predictor of human gene function; nearly $50 \%$ of human genes implicated in heritable diseases have yeast homologues. (Bassett, 1996, 1997. Venter. 2001).

Since its development in the mid-1990s (Schena, 1995, Chee, et al 1996), the DNA microarray has emerged as the pre-eminent tool for functional genomics. The ability to analyse thousands of DNA samples simultaneously by hybridization-based assay has provided a popular method for analysing the relative levels of mRNA transcripts on a genome-wide scale. Typically, DNA microarrays have been used to identify genes, the expression of which is either induced or repressed during specific cellular responses. For example, DeRisi (DeRisi et al. 1997) used DNA microarrays to monitor relative changes in mRNA levels during the shift from anaerobic fermentation to aerobic respiration in yeast. Microarrays have also been used to identify genes differentially expressed during sporulation (Chu et al 1998), as well as genes periodically expressed during the cell cycle (Cho. et al 1998, Spellman, 1998). Jelinsky and Samson (1999) used oligonucleotide arrays to identify over 400 genes that are either induced or repressed in response to the DNA-damaging, alkylating agent methyl methanesulphonate (MMS). These and other microarray-based studies have identified genes that putatively function in common regulatory pathways; such pathways are also being delineated by transcriptional profiling of strains mutated for key regulatory components. Affymetrix has used the genomic sequence of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae to design and synthesize high-density oligonucleotide arrays for monitoring the expression levels of nearly all yeast genes. This direct and highly parallel approach involves the hybridization of total mRNA populations to a set of four arrays that contain a total of more than 260,000 specifically chosen oligonucleotides synthesized in situ using light-directed combinatorial chemistry.

## Chapter 2: Expression Profiling

## Transcriptional response of Saccharomyces cerevisiae wild type and DNA methyltransferase mutants.

## Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and growth conditions
To study the genome-wide transcriptional response of Saccharomyces cerevisiae upon exposure to MNNG two strains were obtained from Research Genetics, Carlsbad, CA. The wild-type (WT) BY4741 (MAT a bis $3 \Delta 1$ leu $2 \Delta 0$ met15 50 ura3 30 ) and the methylguanine methyltransferase lacking strain, BY4741 mgt1 $\Delta$ (mgt1). The mgt1 $\Delta$ strain was originally created using a PCR based gene deletion strategy (Baudin et al., 1993 and Wach et al., 1994). This gene deletion is viable since MGT1 is a non-essential gene. The cells were grown and maintained on YPD ( 10 g yeast extract, 20 g peptone, 20 g dextrose, 20 g agar/liter) containing $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of G418 (Geneticin, Sigma Chemicals).

## N-Methyl- $N^{\prime}$-Nitro-N-Nitrosoguanidine

A $1 \%$ stock solution of DNA damaging agent, N-Methyl-N'-Nitro-N-Nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) was prepared and stored in amber tubes away from light. This stock was used for all the experiments. First, the phenotypes of the strains were established using a gradient plate assay. Briefly, equal number of BY4741 and BY4741 mgt1 $\Delta$ cells were plated in YPD+G418 plates which had MNNG in concentrations of $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 20$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The agar was allowed to settle at an angle in the plates. This permitted variable exposure of the cells to a fixed concentration of MNNG. Experiments were performed in duplicate. Colony formation was observed after 3 days of growth at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Growth Curve

Single colonies of yeast were picked from YPD + G418 plates to inoculate 5 ml of YPD culture in a test-tube that was rotated overnight at 250 rpm at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each strain was inoculated in 150 ml of YPD +G 418 rotating at 300 rpm , at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. OD and cell counts were taken over time to follow growth in cell number. Growth curves were plotted for BY4741 and BY4741 mgt1 $\Delta$.

## MNNG-induced cell killing

The difference in the MNNG-induced cell killing between BY4741 and BY4741 mgt1 was studied. One colony of wild-type (WT) and MTase lacking mutant (mgt1), was picked and grown in 2 separate test-tubes with 5 ml YPD (with G418), overnight. Then, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was transferred into 150 ml of media (with G418) in a 250 ml flask. This was grown for 12 hours at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which 10 ml of culture was transferred into four 15 ml tubes. To this $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \%$ MNNG stock was added to establish the final concentration of MNNG at $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. After incubating them for $0,20,40$ and 60 minutes, the test-tubes were centrifuged. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were re-suspended in 10 ml of distilled water. Over a series of dilutions, the re-suspended cells were plated onto YPD agar plates with G418. Colonies were counted after 3 days of incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Cell preparation for Microarray Analysis

Single colonies of WT and mgt1 were picked from YPD plates to inoculate 5 ml of YPD culture. They were incubated at 250 rpm at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight. $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each strain was inoculated in 150 ml of YPD +G 418 media in a flask rotating at 300 rpm maintained at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were grown to mid-log phase ( $\mathrm{OD}=0.8$ ). The cultures were split into 3 volumes of

50 ml before being exposed to MNNG ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for a variable length of time as shown in Table 1. The control samples were mock-treated with same amount of double distilled water. (DDW). After exposure for the appropriate length of time, the cells were spun down in 50 ml tubes centrifuged at 8000 rpm . The cells were snap-frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1 : Experimental design of oligonucleotide expression study.

The number of BY4741 and BY4741 mgt1 $\Delta$ samples that were treated with double distilled water (DDW) or MNNG.

|  | Control <br> (DDW) | Treated with $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ MNNG |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10 min | 20 min | 30 min | 40 min | 50 min | 60 min |
|  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| WT | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| mgt1 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |

## Total RNA preparation

Total RNA was extracted from the frozen cells using the enzymatic lysis protocol (Qiagen RNEasy Mini Protocol - Standard Version) as detailed in the Appendix of Protocols. Briefly, the cells were incubated for $20-30$ minutes (with gentle shaking, every 5 minutes) with 2 ml of buffer made from Sorbitol (1M), EDTA ( 0.1 M ) $\beta$ mercaptoethanol ( $0.1 \%$ ) and 50 U of lyticase (Sigma) per $1 \times 10^{7}$ cells. The cells were then centrifuged to pellet the spheroplasts. In a series of steps the cell wall was lysed and the lysate was made to pass across a silica-gel membrane to trap the RNA. Finally, RNAse free water was used to elute the RNA out before estimating the concentration using a spectrophotometer. 260/280 absorbance readings were measured for total RNA. A ratio of $260 / 280$ ratios between 1.8 to 2.1 was considered acceptable. If the ratio was below 1.8 (indicates possible contamination) or above 2.1
(indicates presence of degraded RNA truncated cRNA transcripts, and/or excess free nucleotides), the total-RNA process was repeated.

## Pre-bybridization quality control

Forty-two samples of total RNA from the different experimental groups (Table 1) was isolated and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were also tested using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser system. This permits rapid visualization of RNA sample quality and quantity. A rRNA ratio of $28 \mathrm{~S} / 18 \mathrm{~S}$ close to 2 implies minimal degradation of RNA, a prerequisite for efficient reverse transcription, cDNA synthesis and in-vitro transcription and to ensure the highest quality RNA hybridization to the gene expression microarrays. The steps for cRNA synthesis from total RNA is illustrated in Figure 9 and details are included in the Appendix.


Figure 9: Steps in cRNA preparation and hybridization to GeneChip.

## GeneChip ${ }^{\circledR}$ hybridizations and Image analysis.

Fragmented cRNA samples were hybridized to GeneChip ${ }^{\circledR}$ arrays containing the complete yeast genome for a total of 42 arrays (YG-S98 arrays, Affymetrix, CA). The GeneChip® Yeast Genome S98 Array contains probe sets for approximately 6,400 S. cerevisiae (S288C strain) genes identified in the Saccharomyces Genome Database (December 1998). This array also contains approximately 600 additional probe sets representing putative open reading frames (ORFs) identified by SAGE analysis, mitochondrial proteins, TY proteins, plasmids, and a small number of ORFs for strains other than S288C.

Scanning was carried out at the MIT Biopolymers Laboratory after hybridizing fragmented cRNA at a concentration of $0.05 \mathrm{ug} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ to GeneChip ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{s}$ in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Affy buffer ( 100 mM MES, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.01 \%$ Tween 20 ) with GeneChip ${ }^{\circledR}$ eukaryotic hybridization controls (GeneChip ${ }^{\circledR}$ Eukaryotic Hybridization Controls Kit, Affymetrix, CA) in the presence of $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ herring sperm DNA and $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ acetylated BSA at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h with constant rotation. Arrays were rinsed after hybridization with $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of stringent wash buffer ( 100 mM MES, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.01 \%$ Tween 20) followed by a non-stringent wash (6XSSPE, $0.01 \%$ Tween 20). 20XSSPE had the following composition ( $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ EDTA). Staining was done with $2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptavidin-phycoerytherin and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ acetylated BSA in $6 \times$ SSPE-T. Arrays were scanned by a HP G2500A GeneArray scanner.

## Data analysis

A total of 42 hybridizations were performed and the scanned images from Micorarray Suite 5.0 were stored for computational analysis that was performed using Spotfire, MS Excel and S-plus Array analyzer.

## Data Normalization

The .cel files generated after scanning using the Affymetrix suite were used for this analysis. All the 42 .cel files from the control and the experimental groups were analyzed simultaneously.

The variation between high-density oligonucleotide arrays was reduced by normalizing the data. The Robust Multichip Average (RMA) algorithm was used to adjust the background and perform quantile normalization. The expression results for each ORF/gene were represented as logarithm (to base 2) of the expression value. The software package RMAExpress 0.2 alpha 1 version for Windows was used for this purpose. RMAExpress combines the $16-20$ probe pair intensities for a given gene to define a measure of expression that represents the amount of the corresponding mRNA species. The normalization takes only perfect matches into account and the mismatch probe cells are not used for calculation the signal intensity/measure of expression.

## Analysis of RMA output files

The output of the RMAExpress, in the form of $\log _{2}$ values of expression, was exported to MS Excel. For further analysis, average expression value from 3 biological replicates (Table 1), for each time point was computed. Average expression values derived from all the arrays were compared with the average expression of untreated WT to get an expression ratio (ER). This
blanket comparison to compute ER ensures that all the data are compared to an unambiguous baseline. The comparisons were represented as $\log _{2}$ of expression ratio's $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}\right)$.

## Post-normalization cut-off

A $\log _{2}$ ER for a gene/ORF $>1$ indicates average fold change induction factor of 2 for that particular gene/ORF. Analogously, a $\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}<-1$ indicates an average fold change repression factor of 2 . If $\log _{2}$ ER's were between 1 and -1 , they were classified as not significant (NS). For the purpose of visualization, the $\log _{2}$ ER's across all treatment time-points for both WT and mot1 were exported and visualized in Spotfire's functional genomics module.

## RESULTS

## MNNG gradient plate

The phenotype of the WT and mot1 strains, in response to MNNG, was ascertained using gradient plates (Figure 10). Upon exposure to increasing concentrations of MNNG, fewer colonies of mgt1 survived when compared to WT.


Figure 10: MNNG gradient plate assay for Wild-type BY4741 (WT) and BY4741 mgt1 $\Delta$.

The agar was allowed to settle at an angle in the plates. This permitted variable exposure of the cells to a fixed concentration of MNNG. The same numbers of cells were inoculated on the surface of agar plates with increasing concentrations of MNNG (5-
$25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$. The cells were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days before being observed and photographed. The increased sensitivity of $m g t 1$ to killing by MNNG can be attributed to the lack of MTase.

## MNNG-induced cell killing

MNNG-induced cell killing in cultures was compared between WT and mgt1. Exposure to MNNG at $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ cause significantly more killing in $m g t 1$ than in the WT. The lack of MTase and the inability to repair alkylation induced repair in the mgt1 imparts this difference.


Figure 11: MNNG-induced killing in wild-type (WT) and MTase deficient yeast (mgt).

WT and mgt1 cells were picked and grown separately in 5 ml YPD (with G418), overnight. Then, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was transferred into 150 ml of media (with G418) in a 250 ml flask. After growth
for 12 hours at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{ml}$ of culture was transferred to four 15 ml tubes. To each, $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \%$ MNNG stock was added to establish the final concentration of MNNG at $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. After incubating them for $0,20,40$ and 60 minutes, the test-tubes were centrifuged. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were re-suspended in 10 ml of distilled water. Over a series of dilutions, the re-suspended cells were plated onto YPD agar plates with G418. Colonies were counted after 3 days of incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The colony count, for each duration of exposure $(0,20,40$ or 60 minutes), was compared to the colony count at time point 0 . This was expressed as the percent survival (\% survival) for that duration. The $\%$ survival plot for increasing length of exposure to MNNG, for WT and mgt1, is shown in Figure 11. mgt1 was more sensitive to MNNG than WT. In addition, increasing the length of exposure to MNNG killed more mot1 cells than WT.

## Total RNA extraction from exponentially growing cells.

WT or mgt1 cells were grown to mid-log phase $(\mathrm{OD}=0.8)$ as described earlier. The cultures were split into 3 volumes of 50 ml before being exposed to MNNG ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for a variable length of time as shown in Table 1. The control samples were mock-treated with same amount of double distilled water. After exposure for the appropriate length of time, the cells were spun down in 50 ml tubes centrifuged at 8000 rpm . The cells were snap-frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before total RNA extraction using the Qiagen RNEasy protocol.

## Pre hybridization quality control of total RNA samples

A few of the total RNA samples were tested for their quality using the Agilent Bioanalyzer system. Lane 1 in figure 12 shows the ladder. Lane 2 in Figure 12 depicts a sample that had a good 28 s :18s ratio (1.84).

Figure 12: Pre-hybridization quality control gel of total RNA sample. This sample was from exponentially growing wild-type (WT) cells treated with $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of MNNG for 40 minutes.

Ladder Sample RNA

The total RNA samples from the different experimental groups were tested using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system. This system permits rapid visualization and quality control of the RNA sample. The rRNA ratio (28S/18S) was between 1.61-1.87 in the tested samples. Quality of RNA is a prerequisite for efficient in vitro-transcription reaction.

## Post-hybridization quality control.

After hybridization to the target Affymetrix YGS98 array, the quality of the arrays was judged by the following factors; percent present calls, presence of spiked control cRNA, background values and noise. All these quality control standards were met satisfactorily (summarized in Table 2). The hybridization efficiency was judged by the percentage of absent and present calls. On an average, the present calls were $>74 \%$ before normalization. Hybridization controls, BioB, bioC, and bioD represent genes in the biotin synthesis pathway of E. coli. Cre is the recombinase gene from P1 bacteriophage. The GeneChip Eukaryotic Hybridization Control Kit contains 20x Eukaryotic Hybridization controls composed of a mixture of biotin-labeled cRNA transcripts of $b i o B, b i o C, b i o D$, and $c r e$, prepared in staggered concentrations ( $1.5 \mathrm{pM}, 5 \mathrm{pM}, 25 \mathrm{pM}$, and 100 pM for bioB, bio C, bioD, and cre, respectively).

The 20x Eukaryotic hybridization controls are spiked into the hybridization cocktail, independent of RNA sample preparation, and are thus used to evaluate sample hybridization efficiency to gene expression arrays. $B i o B$ is at the level of assay sensitivity and should be called "Present" at least $50 \%$ of the time. BioC, bioD, and cre should always be called "Present" with increasing Signal values, reflecting their relative concentrations. The 20x Eukaryotic Hybridization Controls can be used to indirectly assess RNA sample quality among replicates. The overall intensity for a degraded RNA sample, or a sample that has not been properly amplified and labeled, will be lower when compared to a normal replicate sample.

Controls that were spiked-in were detected as expected (BioB-90\%, BioC, D, Cre - $100 \%$ ). Their relative intensities were also in accordance with expectations (BioB $<\mathrm{BioC}<\mathrm{BioD}<$ Cre). Ideally, the BioB control cRNA is spiked in at the detection threshold ( 1.5 pM ) and should receive 'present' detection call in approximately 50 percent of all samples. BioB was present in $90 \%$ of the samples. Average background values ideally range from 20 to 100 for arrays scanned with GeneArray ${ }^{\circledR}$ Arrays. In our data, the average background value was about 60 . Noise is a measure of the pixel-to-pixel variation of probe cells on a GeneChip array. The two main factors that contribute to noise - electrical noise of the GeneArray Scanner and sample quality. The datasets had an average noise of 1.4.

| Parameters | Outcome |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percent present calls | $>74 \%$ |
| Spiked controls | As expected, BioB $<$ BioC $<$ BioD $<$ Cre |
| Background value | 60 (Normal range 20-100) |
| Noise $(\mathrm{Q})$ | 1.4 |

Table 2: Parameters of post-hybridization quality control.

Four parameters; percent present calls, presence of spike-in controls in the appropriate order, low background value and the presence of noise were assessed and found to be favorable.

## RMA normalization

The data from 42 arrays were normalized using RMA method of normalization (Bolstad, 2003). The benefit of RMA normalization is depicted in Figure 13. Probe-set intensities from six arrays (three replicates each, from 2 experimental groups) are shown before (Figure 13A) and after (Figure 13B) normalization. After normalization, the variation between the arrays was minimized dramatically. S-plus's Array analyzer module was used to create and compare these plots directly from the Affymetrix cel files obtained upon scanning.

Expression Summaries


Figure 13: Box plots of intensities before (A) and after (B) RMA quantile normalization.

The intensities for six sample Affymetrix .cel files (3WT and $3 \mathrm{mgt1}$ ) are plotted on $\log _{2}$ scale before (A) and after RMA quantile normalization. The Affymetrix .cel file intensities were imported to S-plus Arrayanalyzer module and RMA quantile normalization was performed. The normalization of the intensities reduces the variation between the samples and thus helps in making comparisons between disparate sets of oligonucleotide arrays.

## Variability between the replicates

The variability between the replicates was assessed by computing the $R^{2}$ value between the $\log _{2}$ of RMA-normalized intensities (Table 3).

Table 3A

|  | WT1 | WT2 | WT3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WT1 | 1 | 0.94 | 0.96 |
| WT2 | 0.94 | 1 | 0.95 |
| WT3 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 1 |

Table 3B

|  | mgt1 | mgt2 | mgt3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mgt1 | 1 | 0.97 | 0.94 |
| mgt2 | 0.97 | 1 | 0.93 |
| mgt3 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 1 |

Table 3: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values of WT (3A) and mgtl (3B) replicates
$R^{2}$ value for the intensity plots for comparing the replicate arrays from the wild-type (WT) (3A) and the methylguanine methyltransferase mutant (mgtl) (3B). The samples used here included triplicate untreated WT and untreated $m g t l$ arrays.

## Computation of $\log _{2}$ Expression Ratio

Mean gene expression profiles from all experimental groups were compared to mean expression from untreated WT. This uniform denominator allows comparisons to be made between the experimental groups. The numerator was either mean expression from triplicate arrays at a given time point or mean expression across all the arrays in WT or mot1 (18 each, representing 3 for each of the 6 time-points). To delineate genes of importance, the $\log _{2}$ expression ratio $\left(\log _{2} E R\right)$ was used to classify genes. A $\log _{2}$ ER $>1$ indicates average fold change induction factor of 2 for that particular gene. Analogously, a $\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}<-1$ indicates an average fold change repression factor of 2 . If $\log _{2}$ ER's were between 1 and -1 , they were classified as not significant (NS). The $\log _{2}$ ER's across all treatment time-points for both WT and mgt1 were exported and visualized in Spotfire's functional genomics module. The expression ratios were the basis of making comparisons between the experimental groups in this study.

## Comparison with the phenotypic database

We also studied the expression profile in the context of the phenotypic database (http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu) which includes information on sensitivity of 4800 yeast gene deletion strains to MMS. These deletions strains are of those genes that are nonessential. It was shown earlier that several of these genes are important for cellular recovery after mutagen exposure. In addition, it was observed that transcriptional responsiveness to these mutagens was not predictive of contribution of a gene to the recovery from the damage.

## Basal gene expression profile in methylguanine methyltransferase deficient mutant (mgtl).

The basal gene expression profile of a gene in the $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ methylguanine methyltransferase deficient mutant (mgt1) was assessed by computing a ratio of mean expression in mgt1 (for that gene
from triplicate arrays) to mean expression in WT for the same gene (from triplicate arrays). If the expression ratio (ER) for any gene/ORF was $>2$, then that gene was classified as being up-regulated and if the ratio was $<0.5$, then it was classified as down-regulated. A plot of the gene expression ratio's for mgt1 versus WT for the entire gene population is shown in Fig 14. In the examination of mot1 expression profile, 148/9275 (1.6\%) genes were found to be up-regulated (maximum fold change was 14.8 x ) and 92 genes ( $<1 \%$ ) were found to be down-regulated (maximum fold change was 95 x ).

## Genes up-regulated in basal mgt1

A subset of these genes that were up-regulated in basal mot1 expression, listed by function, is shown in Table 4A (Appendix of Tables). Genes that were up-regulated but can otherwise be a part of the environmental stress response are indicated in table 4B. Notable among the genes upregulated in the basal mgt1 are those involved in detoxification and drug transport (YER185W, YOR378W, YHLO47C, YELO65W), amino-acid biosynthesis (BAT1, LEU1) and transport (BAP3, $A L P 1$ ). Other important genes included metabolism ( $C H A 1$ ) genes, genes involved in cell cycle control (BAT1, BUB1, CKA1), and transcription (CKA1, TFG1, GAL80, GCN5, CBP2, RNA15). Cell cytoskeleton and mitochondrial biogenesis (SMP2, DCG1, GIC1, Q0183) and REV7, a subunit of DNA polymerase-zeta (Pol-zeta) were also induced.

## Genes down-regulated in basal mgt1

A subset of these genes that were down-regulated in basal mgt has been ordered by function and is shown in Table 5A. Sixty-five of them had no known function and have not been shown. Genes that were down-regulated but is otherwise a part of the environmental stress response are indicated in table 5B. Among the genes that are repressed were those involved in amino acid
(MET14, CYS3, and BAS1) and carbohydrate metabolism (SUC2, MIG2, HXT1, HXT3 and HXT4) and transcription (NFS1, CPR6 and MIG2).


Figure 14: Expression ratio plot for yeast methylguanine methyltransferase mutant (mgt).

Expression values (triplicate) of mgt1 were compared to wild-type (W'T) yeast expression and $\log _{2}$ transformed. Points above the $y$-axis grid line 1 indicate genes that are up-regulated in mgt1. Points below the $y$-axis grid line of -1 indicate genes that are down-regulated in mgt1.

## Principal Component Analysis of experimental groups

The data from different experimental groups were also analyzed using Principal component analysis (PCA) in Matlab v6.0. Three untreated WT arrays 3 untreated mot1 datasets were compared with 6 datasets each from WT and $m g t 1$ treated with MNNG. Each WT and mgt1 dataset included the mean expression from triplicate arrays. The PCA plot (Figure 15) shows 4 distinct clusters of data representing the untreated WT, untreated $m g t 1$, treated WT and treated $m g t 1$.


Figure 15: Principal component analysis of the experimental groups.

Three wild-type (WT) arrays (a) and 3 methylguanine methyltransferase mutants (mgt1) (b) were compared with 6 datasets (each representing the mean from triplicate arrays) from WT treated with alkylating agent, MNNG (c) and 6 datasets (each representing the mean from triplicate arrays) from mgt1 treated with alkylating agent, MNNG.

## Effect of MNNG on transcriptional profile - Cluster Analysis

The $\log _{2}$ expression ratio's (ER's) were calculated for different experimental groups and exported to Spotfire. Hierarchical clustering (using Wards Method) of $\log _{2}$ ER's was used to generate a qualitative picture of the effect of MNNG on yeast. This heat map was generated using the data from 39 arrays
(3 arrays were used as baseline for comparison).


Duration of exposure (in minutes) to MNNG


Figure 16: Heat map of the expression ratio from wild-type (WT) and Mtase mutant ( $m$ ot1 $). \log _{2}$ expression ratio ( $\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}$ ) was calculated for 9335 probe-sets by dividing the mean expression (from triplicates) for each probe-set by the mean expression for the same probe-set in the untreated WT (WT0). The first column of data represents the basal expression in mot1. The other columns of data represent the mean expression value of triplicate arrays where yeast strains, either W'T or mgt1, that was exposed to MNNG for variable length of time ( $10-60$ minutes). This indicates that the genome is very responsive to the treatment with MNNG.

## Temporal effects of gene categories

The temporal effects of MNNG on the yeast strains on a genome-wide scale were examined using the average expression profile for the treated WT (Figure 17A) and mgt1 (Figure 17B). About 1200-1400 genes are induced or repressed upon treatment with MNNG in both WT and mgt1.

Figure 17A


Figure 17B


Figure 17A: Genes responsive to treatment with MNNG in the wild-type (WT) yeast.

The number of genes that are either induced or repressed in the WT yeast upon exposure to MNNG for varying lengths of time (10-60 minutes, WT10 through WT60) is shown. The untreated mgt1 ( $m g t 0$ ) serves as a comparison.

Figure 17B: Genes responsive to treatment with MNNG in the methylguanine methyltransferase (mgti) yeast.

The number of genes that are either induced or repressed in the methylguanine methyltransferase mutant ( $m g t 1$ ) yeast, upon exposure to MNNG for varying lengths of time ( $10-60$ minutes, mgt10 through mgt60) is shown. The untreated $m g t 1$ ( $m g t 0$ ) category serves as a comparison.


Figure 18: Gene expression responsiveness for some functional categories.

Average gene expression ratios for each gene within a particular functional category were compared across the experimental groups. The percentages of genes within a particular category, that are induced or repressed upon treatment with MNNG are indicated.

The expression profiles from entire categories of genes were examined to study the effect of MNNG on them and in particular if the gene expression was different between WT and mgt1. Mean fold induction and fold repression for each ORF/gene, across all the WT and mgt1 arrays was calculated. In each category, the percentage of genes that were responsive upon MNNG treatment is shown in Figure 18. To calculate this, data from 18 WT and $18 \mathrm{mgt1}$ arrays was used.


Figure 19: Venn-diagram of gene expression responsiveness in wild-type (WT) and methylguanine methyltransferase deficient yeast (mgt1) upon treatment with MNNG.

Genes that had a fold change $(\mathrm{FC})>2$ that were either induced or repressed in WT and/or mgt1 are represented using the Venn-diagram. This representation allows examination of effects that are unique to WT or mgt1 or common both, upon exposure to MNNG. Gene expression response, induction or repression, that is specific to WT and mgt1 can therefore be distinguished from a response that is found in both WT and mgt1. The upper-middle panel in the Venn diagram represents genes that are induced in both WT and mgt1 ( 977 genes) as a common response to MNNG exposure. Alternatively, genes that are induced only in MNNG treated WT (225), or only in MNNG treated mgt1 (274) are represented by the non-overlapping segments of the 2 circles. The lower-middle panel in the Venn diagram represents genes that are repressed in both WT and mgt1 ( 1039 genes) as a common response to MNNG exposure. Alternatively, genes that are repressed only in MNNG treated WT (145), or only in MNNG treated mgt1 (191) are represented by the nonoverlapping segments of the 2 circles in the lower panel.

## Genes induced specifically in WT upon treatment with MNNG

The upper-left panel in the Venn diagram (Figure 19) illustrates the response that can be attributed to WT strain upon exposure to MNNG. Upon MNNG-treatment, a total of 225 ORF's were specifically induced only in the WT. Since the only difference between WT and mgt1 is the lack of MTase because of the deletion, it could be postulated that these genes are induced in the WT because of MNNG's effect in the presence of MTase. A total of 127 genes had a known function (listed in Tables 6A and 6B). Table 6B includes genes that are a part of the ESR. Table 6A includes
$17(17 \%)$ genes that are essential (highlighted in red). Interestingly 12 ( $12 \%$ ) of the induced genes were involved in protein biosynthesis. Three DNA repair genes UNG1, SIR2 and RAD52 were also induced. Two mitochondrial genes, RIM1 and ERV1, were found to be induced. Table 7B includes 30 genes that are a part of the ESR. This included 12 genes ( $40 \%$ ) that are otherwise essential in yeast (highlighted in red).

## Genes that are induced specifically in mgt1 upon treatment with MNNG

There were 274 genes in this category. 103 of them had a known function and are listed in Table 7A and 7B. Table 7A includes 87 known genes that are induced in the mgt1 upon MNNG treatment. Of them, $20(22 \%)$ were essential genes. Notable among them were DNA repair genes PRI2 and CCE1 and several mitochondrial associated genes (MRS11 TIM8, CCE1, COQ3, IDH2 and $D I C 1$ ). In contrast to WT , only 3 protein synthesis genes were found to be specifically induced in mgt1. Interestingly, SWI6, a substrate of Rad53 in the $G(1) / S$ DNA damage checkpoint was activated. The homothallic switching ( HO ) endonuclease, which creates a site-specific double-strand break (DSB) in the genome at the mating-type (MAT) locus, was also induced. Table 7B includes 16 genes with known function that are induced in mgt1 and are a part of the ESR. Nine ( $56 \%$ ) were found to be essential.

## Genes repressed in WT upon MNNG treatment

A total of 145 genes were repressed exclusively in WT. Table 8A lists 70 genes with known function that are repressed exclusively in WT upon MNNG treatment. This included 7 essential genes (10\%). Six genes (SUM1, DOT6, ESC1, ISW2, NGG1 and SET3) involved in chromatin silencing and histone modification were repressed. Two DNA repair genes (RAD16 and RAD28)
were repressed. Table 8B lists 10 ESR genes that are repressed in WT. Only 1 gene was found to be essential.

## Genes repressed in mgt1 upon MNNG treatment

A total of 191 genes were repressed exclusively in mgt1. Table 9A lists 70 genes with known function that are repressed exclusively in mgt1 upon MNNG treatment. This includes 14 essential genes ( $20 \%$ ). Three genes (SNF11, SPT10, TBF1) involved in chromatin remodeling were repressed. CKS1, a cyclin-dependent kinase regulatory subunit, was also repressed. Table 9B lists 3 ESR genes that are repressed in mgt1.

## Genes induced upon treatment with MNNG in both WT and mgt1

In contrast to a damage induced response exclusive to WT or mgt1, there were several genes that were induced upon treatment with MNNG in both WT and mgt1. This overlapping response indicated in the upper-middle panel of Figure 19 included 977 genes that were induced in both WT and mot1. Of them, 127 genes were included as ESR genes. The function of 282 genes was not known. The remaining 568 genes, whose function was known and those that were not a part of the ESR are listed by function in Table 10A. 121 ( $21 \%$ ) of these are essential genes. Briefly, the genes that were induced upon treatment with MNNG, and were not a part of ESR, included those involved in maintaining cellular structure and function. Primarily, these included genes involved in cell wall organization, ergosterol biosynthesis, amino acid metabolism, mitochondrial organization and biogenesis. A few other genes of interest included chromatin silencing genes (APC5, ISW1, ORC3, MRC1, ORC5, NNT1, SWD1, SWD3) genes involved in DNA damage response (HUG1, DUN1, PCL2), DNA recombination (CDC9), DNA repair (HAM1, MSH1, RAD18, RHC18, POL1), DNA replication (POL5, RNR3, RNR4, RNR1), DNA topological change (TOF1) and

DNA unwinding (CDC46, HFM1, MCM2). Specific DNA repair genes included those involved in nucleotide excision repair (RFA 1, RFA2, RFA3, CDC2, POL30 and DPB2). Interestingly, 68 genes (11\%) of the genes induced in both WT and mot1 were involved in protein synthesis. Table 10B lists 127 ESR genes that are induced upon treatment with MNNG in both WT and mgt1. Interestingly, 57 $(44 \%)$ of these are essential genes. Eleven genes are involved in ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolism.

## Genes repressed upon treatment with MNNG in both WT and mgt1

A total of 1039 genes were repressed in both WT and mgt1. Of them, 545 had a known function and are shown in Tables 11A and 11B. Table 11A includes genes that were repressed in both WT and mgt1 and are not a part of the ESR. These included 75 genes ( $13 \%$ ) that were essential. Notable among them were genes involved in cell wall organization, fatty acid metabolism, G1/S cell cycle transition genes, mRNA splicing, methionine biosynthesis and mitochondrial organization. The largest category of genes that were affected was involved in transcription and its regulation. About 43 genes (7\%) of the genes belonged to this category. Interestingly several DNA repair genes were repressed. These included genes involved in DNA recombination and repair (HEX3, SLX8, IXR31, NSE) DNA replication (RRM3, TAH11,RIM4) DSB repair (YKU80, SIR4, LRP1, FYV6) nucleotide excision repair (TFB3, DPB11, RAD4). Table 11B includes 60 ESR genes with known function that are repressed in both WT and mgt1 upon treatment with MNNG.

## DNA damage response and repair genes

The DNA damage response and repair genes were of additional interest and were therefore examined as a separate class. The mean expression profile of the DNA damage response and repair genes is indicative of DNA repair pathways are likely to be activated in WT and mgt1 in response to

MNNG. A total of 133 genes were pooled into this category based on Affymetrix annotations that are derived from the SGD annotations. Twenty five genes were induced (Table 12A) and 20 genes were repressed (Table 12B). More than half the induced genes are essential or are sensitive upon deletion (Table 12A). 80 genes did not have an appreciable fold change to be classified as induction or repression. A total of 8 of the DNA repair and replication genes were classified as ESR genes (Table 12C).

## Temporal effects of individual genes

To further elucidate the differences between WT and $m g t, 1$ the temporal profiles of individual genes were examined. Mean expression profiles at 6 time points for WT ( 3 arrays per time point) and 6 time points for mgt1 ( 3 arrays per time point) were used. The temporal profile of a gene indicates a change in its mean expression upon increasing length of exposure to MNNG. The change was judged by 2 methods; a) the slope of the expression and b) the net fold change. The net fold-change can be defined as the ratio of expression at the $60^{\mathrm{dh}}$ minute $\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{60}\right)$ to expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute $\left(\operatorname{Exp}_{10}\right)$ after exposure to MNNG. All genes that had an $\operatorname{Exp}_{60} / \operatorname{Exp}_{10}$ ratio $>2$ were classified as induced and $\operatorname{Exp}_{60} / \operatorname{Exp}_{10}$ ratio $<0.5$ were classified as repressed. The Venn diagram in Figure 20 summarizes the differences and similarities in the responses between WT and mgt1. The upper panel represents genes that are incrementally induced starting at the first time of exposure ( $10^{\text {th }}$ minute). The lower panel represents genes that are repressed over time, starting at the first time point. There are unique and shared responses by the WT and mgt1 to MNNG.


Figure 20: Venn-diagram of genes that are incrementally induced or repressed upon increasing length of exposure to MNNG.

The net fold-change (FC) over time, was calculated from ratio of mean expression at the $60^{\text {th }}$ minute to mean expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute. A cut-off of $\mathrm{FC}>2$ (for induction) and $\mathrm{FC}<0.5$ (for repression) was used to select genes that have been included in this representation.

## Genes induced over time

When all such profiles were examined, the expression of 60 genes was found to have an incremental induction over time in the WT. Similarly, 210 genes in $m g t 1$ were found to increase upon increasing the length of exposure to MNNG. The incremental response of 39 genes was common to WT and mot1. Induced genes that were known to have a function are represented in Table 13. In the WT, only 2 (out of 24 genes with known function, $8 \%$ ) were essential. In contrast, 34 (out of 79 genes with known function, $43 \%$ ) were found to be essential genes.In the WT, DNA damage effectors HUG1 and RNR3 were incrementally induced with increasing length of exposure to MNNG. In contrast to WT (61), there were more genes in mgt1 (210) that were responsive. These
genes are involved in ribosomal RNA processing, mRNA processing and transcription were found to be incrementally induced. Several other ESR genes were also found to be a part of pre-rRNA processing and ribosomal protein synthesis. Overall, there were about 28 genes (35\%) involved in mRNA, rRNA, tRNA and ribosomal function, that were incrementally induced in mgt1 upon exposure to MNNG over time. 20 (out of 52 genes) fitted a profile of a dramatic initial repression (after 10 minutes of exposure to MNNG) followed by a steady increment towards the basal levels. In contrast, 7 genes were consistently induced. The reflex response by 20 genes is likely to represent a response that follows the perturbation. The 7 genes that are incrementally induced over and above basal levels are likely to represent processes that are integrated with damage response and recovery.

## Genes repressed over time

Ninety genes were repressed exclusively in WT. In contrast 118 genes were repressed in $m g t 1$. Only 35 genes were seemed to be repressed in both WT and mgt1. Repressed genes that were known to have a function are represented in Table 14. The corresponding sets of genes that belong to ESR are also listed.

In the WT, only 1 gene (out of 18) was found to be essential. Notable among them was the homothallic switching (HO) endonuclease, which creates a site-specific double-strand break (DSB) in the genome at the mating-type (MAT) locus. This gene was listed earlier as a gene that was induced in mgt1 but not in WT. Closer examination of the profile revealed that there is an instantaneous induction of HO after the first exposure to MNNG in both WT and mgt1. While this induction is sustained in the mgt1 (hence the mean $\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}>2$ ), there is a decline in the induction over time in the WT (therefore, explaining its classification as "repression").

Genes that were repressed in mgt1 includes 6 genes (out of 47) that are essential. Notable among them are genes involved in protein folding, methionine metabolism and translation. 18 (out of 47
genes) fitted a profile of a dramatic initial induction (after 10 minutes of exposure to MNNG) followed by a decline. In contrast, 13 genes were consistently repressed beyond the basal levels. The reflex response by 18 genes is likely to represent a response that follows the perturbation. The 13 genes that are incrementally repressed below basal levels are likely to represent processes that are integrated with damage response and recovery. The differential response between WT and mgt1 can provide valuable insight into the differences in the mechanism of response to MNNG in the presence and absence of MTase.

## DISCUSSION

Several authors have studied the genome-wide transcriptional effects of chemical and physical agents on yeast using microarrays. The yeast transcriptome response to MMS (Jelinsky and Samson 1999) and MNNG (Jelinsky et al 2000) have yielded valuable data on how the yeast adapts to these alkylating agents. Specifically, these studies explored the transcriptional response of $S$. cerevisiae to a wide range of chemical and physical damaging agents in an attempt to delineate the response of each ORF to these agents. Agarwal et al 2003, studied the genome-wide effects of antifungal agents on yeast in an attempt to characterize their mechanism of action. Several other microarray-based studies have examined the effect of single gene deletions on yeast transcriptome. For example, Ohkuni et al 2003, studied the genome-wide expression in the Deltanap1 cells in order to study the transcriptional control of NAP1, a nucleosomal assembly protein. Fry et al 2003, studied the effect of SGS1 deletion on transcriptional profile in yeast because of its homology with human genes involved in Werner and Blooms syndrome. Gasch et al 2001, studied basal expression profile in MEC1, DUN1 and CRT1 deficient yeast and their transcriptional changes in response to MMS. The study uncovered the role of MEC1, the human ATR homolog in yeast. It was also concluded that MEC1 was an integral part of controlling the environmental stress response. A literature survey yielded no genome-wide expression profile studies where deletion strains of DNA repair genes were used. The current study is the first report of the transcriptional responsiveness where a known DNA repair deficient $\left(\mathrm{O}^{6}\right.$ methylguanine methyltransferase deficient strain, mgt1) has been studied. While undertaking this study, the goals were two-fold. The first was to study the effects of deletion of $m g t 1$ on the yeast transcriptome. The second was to study the effect of alkylation induced transcriptional changes over time in the WT and mgt1 and to examine the differences between them.

MNNG specific response genes enriched by culling out genes involved in environmental stress response (ESR).

In response to environmental perturbations, S. cerevisiae cells elicit rapid transcriptional reprogramming involving both activation and repression of gene expression. Some of these transcriptional changes represent responses that are common to chemical and physical stresses. Removing these ESR genes from the observed response, will help to enrich the set of genes that are specific to MNNG treatment and/or presence or absence of MTase. This was achieved by comparing the expression profile in the current study with ESR dataset from Gasch et al 2000 to identify ESR genes that might confound interpretation of the data. In the second tier of comparison, phenotypic sensitivity information of yeast deletion strains from (http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu) was incorporated along with expression.

Deletion of MGT1 induces dramatic basal transcription changes, activates cell cycle checkpoints, transcription factors and a gene involved in spontaneous mutagenesis.

MGT1 is involved in direct repair of $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine and $\mathrm{O}^{4}$ Methylthymine lesions. Genes that are induced upon deletion of MGT1 are likely to be involved in a) a direct interaction with MGT1 or b) a downstream effect of a lack of MGT1. A direct interaction can follow from the argument that MGT1 normally, represses these genes and removing MGT1 induces them. Alternatively, lack of MGT1 can lead to increased spontaneous mutations and DNA damage and genes induced might be a part of the downstream cellular processes that are involved in handling this damage. It was interesting to note the up-regulation of 148 genes upon the deletion of one single gene (MGT1). The deletion appears to up-regulate a gene involved in spontaneous mutagenesis and several genes that are transcription factors and 2 others that are involved in cell cycle control. The 7-fold up-regulation of REV7, a subunit of DNA polymerase-zeta (Pol-zeta, Pol
$\zeta$ ) is important in the context of spontaneous mutations. The other subunit of pol-zeta, is REV3 (which was not up-regulated). REV7 is the processivity factor for REV3 and complex together, to get involved in translesion (TLS) synthesis, a mechanism that probably helps cells cope with DNA lesions that have escaped the efficient DNA repair systems. TLS is invoked when there is a replication blocking lesion that the normal polymerases are not able to copy past. $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine ( $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ ) is a mutagenic lesion and is not considered as a replication blocking lesion. It is likely, therefore, that the endogenous lesion leading to the up-regulation of $R E V 7$, is probably not because of $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$. At the same time that TLS helps to copy past the lesion, it has potentially mutagenic consequences making it responsible for the majority of spontaneous mutations (Friedberg 1995). Increase in spontaneous mutations in $m g t 1$ has been observed earlier (Xiao and Samson 1992). The same study found that wild-type mutation rate was restored when the mgt1 mutant was transformed with a functional MGT1. The seven-fold induction of REV7 in this context may suggest a downstream effect of the deletion of MGT1 rather than via $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$. Cell cycle control genes, BUB1 and CKA1 were up-regulated. BUB1 is a protein kinase and serves as a mitotic spindle checkpoint. CKA1 is the alpha unit of protein kinase CK2 and is known to be involved in DNA damage response and cell-cycle control. Among the transcription factors that were up-regulated GCN5 has two tiers of significance. First, Gcn5p plays a role in controlling the expression of $5 \%$ of the yeast genome (Holstege et al, 1998). Secondly, GCN5, a histone acetyl transferase allows efficient access of the repair machinery to chromosomal DNA damage either indirectly via influencing transcription or directly via modifying chromatin structure. Gcn5 functions before or during the DNA repair process. An earlier report suggested that Gcn5 is recruited upstream of the damaged area by a hitherto unknown DNA damage sensor (Teng et al, 2002). Overall, it appears as though the deletion of MGT1 is leads to increased DNA damage good reason why cell cycle checkpoints are upregulated. Simultaneous up-regulation of a key component of the translesion synthesis suggests the
role of error-prone damage tolerance mechanisms in response to possible replication blocking lesions. Up-regulation of GCN5, a gene that aids DNA repair and controls expression of $5 \%$ of the yeast genome is indeed remarkable.

## Cellular response to MNNG in WT and mgt1

About $30 \%$ of the yeast genome (as represented on the Affymetrix GeneChip Array YGS98) was responsive to treatment with MNNG (Figure 16). As the heat map in Figure 16 indicates, response to most of the damage that was inflicted by MNNG was initiated in the first 10 minutes of exposure. Thereafter, the total number of responsive genes did not change dramatically. Evaluation of the genome-wide response across the length of time might lead us to miss changes in a subset of genes that might be instrumental in understanding the response to MNNG. Therefore, in order to dissect the transcriptional response further, genes belonging to several functional sub-categories were examined. This, however, did not yield any substantial change in the responsiveness in gene expression over time, to MNNG (Figure 17A and 17B).

## MNNG induced damage activates cell-cycle checkpoint cascade, DNA damage signal amplifiers and downstream effectors

As the cells respond to an adverse condition such as exposure to MNNG, several cellular responses are mounted by WT and mgt1. The Venn diagram (Figure 19) indicates that a majority ( 977 genes, $66 \%$ ) of this response was common to both WT and mgt1. The response that is shared by WT and the mgt1 is indicative of cellular processes that are common to both strains in response to MNNG. Among the shared response are genes that serve as a part of S-phase checkpoint. The checkpoint regulatory mechanism has an important role in maintaining the integrity of the genome and results in a temporary cessation of DNA replication. Eukaryotic cells activate checkpoint
pathways that arrest cell cycle progression and induce the expression of genes that are required for DNA repair. This checkpoint machinery consists of proteins that recognize DNA damage and initiate the signaling response. The identification of the damage also needs to be amplified in order to recruit other mediators of DNA damage response. MRC1 and TOF1 are DNA damage signal amplifiers. TOF1 and MRC1 were induced in both WT and mgt1. Upon damage to DNA, TOF1 gets activated and forms a part of a replication-pausing complex. TOF1, located at the arrested forks activates checkpoint cascades, leading to repair of the damaged DNA. Recently, it was demonstrated that Tof1 and Mrc1 interact directly with the damaged DNA (Katou et al 2003). It has also been postulated that Tof1p links Mec1p with Rad53p (Foss, 2001). This is an interesting finding in the context that MEC1 and RAD53 is an indispensable component of DNA damage response. Rad53 and Mec1 are protein kinases required for DNA replication and recovery from DNA damage in $S$. cerevisiae. DNA damage during $S$ phase slows down the rates of replication fork elongation (Tercero and Diffley, 2001) and triggers a Rad53/Mec1-dependent block. As a result, DNA damage leads to an abrupt decrease in DNA synthesis (Paulovich and Hartwell, 1995). In addition, Mec1 and Rad53 are required to prevent DNA damage-induced collapse of replication forks (Tercero and Diffley 2001), via their ability to phosphorylate replication and repair proteins at stalled replication forks. The essential function of Mec1 and Rad53 in $S$. cerevisiae is to promote deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) production during S phase to coincide with DNA replication. This is achieved via phosphorylation and subsequent degradation of Sml (Zhao et al., 2001), an inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase ( $R N R$ ). Ribonucleotide reductase $(R N R)$ catalyzes the rate limiting step in the production of deoxyribonucleotides needed for DNA synthesis. Its synthesis is tightly regulated at the level of transcription. It is cell-cycle regulated and provides a metabolic state that facilitates DNA replicational repair processes. Dun1, a protein kinase, controls inducibility of RNR1, 2 and 3
in response to DNA damage and replication blocks. RNR genes in yeast form a regulon that is coordinately regulated by protein phosphorylation in response to DNA damage.

In our dataset, the $\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}$ for $M E C 1$ and RAD 53 was not induced more than 2 fold. It is likely that this is because they are kinases and hence present transiently. DUN1 and HUG1 are DNA damage response genes down-stream of MEC1 and RAD53 and were induced. HUG1 (hydroxyurea and UV and gamma radiation induced) is a component of the MEC1-mediated checkpoint response to DNA damage and leads to replication arrest. The HUG1 gene was identified as a component of the DNA-damage checkpoint response using deletion and overexpression mutants of $S$. cerevisiae (Kaplun 2000). DNA damage-specific induction of HUG1 is independent of the cell cycle stage. HUG1 induction also increased with increasing exposure to MNNG in both WT and mgt1. Its induction response to MNNG is therefore consistent with its role in DNA damage response.

## MNNG induced damage activates chromatin silencing.

Mec1 is the central transducer of these stress-response signals (Zhou and Elledge 2000). Both Rad53 and Mec1 are key proteins involved in the response to replication blocks and they act together with a novel regulator of Rad53, Mrc1. The DNA damage response pathway has been linked to the control of chromatin organization. In response to DNA damage, certain proteins that are normally relocalize to silence telomeric chromatin (Martin et al. 1999, Mills et al. 1999). This relocation is dependent on Mec1 (Craven and Petes 2000). In the current data, 6 genes involved in chromatin silencing are induced and 3 of them are also known to be essential. This chromatinmediated maintenance of transcriptional inactivation is in accordance with expectations.

## MNNG induced damage activates genes involved in DNA replication and repair.

DNA repair mechanisms are by far the most important components of the cellular response that gets induced upon damage to DNA by MNNG. DNA polymerase alpha (POL1) is an essential gene required for initiation of replication and lagging-strand synthesis. It was also found to be a part of the ESR. The MCM2 is a part of the Mcm2-Mcm7 protein complex that forms a DNA helicase that unwinds the DNA ahead of the replication fork (Labib and Diffley, 2001). Additionally, all the essential subunits of replication protein $A(R P A)$ were induced. $R P A$ is a single-stranded DNA binding protein (SSB) involved in DNA replication, recombination and repair (Kim C et al 1992). It has been recently shown that RPA facilitates telomerase action (Schramke, 2004). Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), encoded by the POL30 gene, is essential for DNA replication (in association with $R F C$ ) and DNA repair. PCNA is a ring-shaped DNA polymerase accessory protein that can encircle duplex DNA. PCNA interacts with Pol eta to permit efficient lesion bypass. Another notable gene that was induced in response to MNNG was HAM1. It is known that overexpression of the yeast HAM1 gene prevents 6-N-hydroxylaminopurine mutagenesis in E. coli (Kozmin et al 1998) suggesting that it might play a protective role in MNNG induced damage. HAM1 controls 6-N-hydroxylaminopurine (HAP) sensitivity and mutagenesis in S. cerevisiae. The HAM1 protein protects the cell from HAP, either on the level of deoxynucleoside triphosphate or the DNA level by a yet unidentified set of reactions (Noskov, 1996). It was intriguing to note that HAM1 deletion phenotype was not sensitive to MMS

## Repair of MNNG induced mitochondrial DNA damage

CDC9 gene encodes a DNA ligase protein that is targeted to both the nucleus and the mitochondria and this yeast rely upon a single DNA ligase, $C d c 9 p$, to carry out mitochondrial DNA replication and recovery from both spontaneous and induced mitochondrial DNA damage
(Donahue 2001). MSH1 is a DNA-binding protein in yeast mitochondria that recognizes nucleotide mismatches in DNA and plays a role in mitochondrial mutation avoidance. MSH1 protein is targeted to the mitochondria where its mitochondrial-targeting sequence is removed (Chi and Kolodner, 1994). Taken together, the induction of CDC9 and MSH1 appears to be a part of a program to repair damage to mitochondrial DNA.

## MNNG induced damage activates ubiquitin mediated protein catabolism

Twenty genes involved in protein ubiquitination and ubiquitin mediated protein catabolism were induced (RPT1, DOA1, RPN2, RPN1, PRE9, SCL1, RPN9, UFD2, RPN7, RPT5, PRE8). Among them, $D O A 1$ is thought to encode a regulatory component of the proteasome pathway, which involves ubiquitin (Ub)-dependent protein degradation (Ghislain, 1996).

## MNNG induced damage activates protein synthesis genes

The yeast ribosomal proteins ( RPs ) of are encoded by more than 100 genes. These are among the most transcriptionally active genes in the yeast genome. It consumes a prodigious amount of the cell's resources and, consequently, is tightly regulated. Interestingly, 68 genes (11\%) of the genes induced in both WT and mgt1 were involved in ribosomal protein synthesis. This is in contrast to earlier observations where protein synthesis genes were found to be repressed upon exposure to MMS (Jelinsky et al 1999) and osmotic stress (Rep, 2000). Notable among these include RAP1, a multifunctional transcription factor that has a BRCT domain. The BRCT domain is found predominantly in proteins involved in cell cycle checkpoint functions responsive to DNA damage. RAP1 is essential for cell viability and can function as either an activator or a repressor of transcription, depending upon the context of its binding site. RAP1 was incidentally found to be
repressed and it is likely that repression of RAP1 is responsible for induction of the 68 ribosomal protein synthesis genes.

In an earlier study MAG1, 3-methyladenine DNA glycosylase, an integral component of the base excision repair pathway, was shown to be induced upon damage to DNA by MMS. It was also shown that MAG1 and MGT1 have a common upstream regulatory sequence. In this dataset, MAG1 was not found to be induced more than 2-fold.

## Ubiquitin-proteasome regulator, RPN4, is repressed upon MNNG treatment.

Intracellular proteolysis in yeast occurs mainly via the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Expression of this system is under the control of the transcription factor, Rpn4p (Mannhaupt G et al, 1999). It has been shown earlier that alkylating agent MMS resulted in activation of genes that are involved in ubiquitin and 26 S proteasome-dependent protein degradation. Rpn4p is a major transcription regulator that acts by binding to proteasome-associated control element (PACE) with a unique upstream activating sequence ( $5^{\prime}$-GGTGGCAAA-3'). This binding either stimulated or inhibited transcription. In this dataset, however, $R P N \not+$ was found to be repressed 4.5 times.

Genes involved in non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), recombination and some elements of nucleotide excision repair are repressed in MNNG exposed yeast cells.

Among the genes repressed in both WT and mgt1 are those involved in DSB repair via NHEJ. (YKU80, SIR4, LRP1, FYV $)$. The components of the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair pathway were repressed 2-3.5 fold. Additionally, few other genes involved in recombination and replication were also repressed. HEX3 and SLX8 have DNA binding activity and are implicated in recombination repair. SLX8 is required to resolve recombination intermediates that arise in response to DNA damage. The RAD\& gene of yeast is required DNA binding and for
the incision of damaged DNA during nucleotide excision repair (NER) (Owsianik 2002). TFB2 is a transcription/repair factor (TFIIH) subunit that is a transcription initiation factor required for NER.

Finally, two discrete set of genes, the "WT-specific MNNG-damage signature" and "mgt1specific MNNG-damage signature" was created. These include uniquely up-regulated in the WT or $m g t 1$ upon exposure to MNNG. This signature may help define new candidates for involvement in cellular responses to MNNG in a wild-type and upon $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ methylguanine methyltransferase deletion.

## MNNG induces genes involved in mRNA turnover and protein synthesis in WT

Since the only difference between WT and mgt1 is the lack of MTase, it could be postulated that the set of transcripts are specifically induced in WT are because of MNNG's effect in the WT MTase background. Expression of 7 genes involved in mRNA splicing (MSL1), cleavage (PTI1) and catabolism (NMD4, PUB1), specifically in the WT is indicative of more mRNA turnover. There were 3 others that were classified as a part of ESR. Accordingly, there were 18 protein synthesis genes ( 6 were ESR genes) that were induced. It is likely that the overwhelming induction of protein synthesis genes is representative of a recovery response after the initial insult. More protein synthesis genes are induced in the WT as opposed to mg , probably because it is able to recover faster (than the $m g t 1$ ). Mitochondrial DNA damage response was exemplified by induction of RIM1. This has single stranded binding (SSB) activity and is involved in mitochondrial genome maintenance. RIM1 forms an essential component of the yeast mtDNA replication apparatus (Van Dyck, 1992). ERV1 gene is essential for cell viability and for the biogenesis of functional mitochondria.

## Repression of chromatin remodeling genes following MNNG induced damage

While the genes that are specifically induced in the WT upon MNNG damage are involved in fundamental metabolic processes, the genes that are repressed include 5 chromatin remodeling
and histone modification genes (of 18). In addition, RPI1, a repressor of the ras-cAMP pathway and UBP10, a deubiquitinating enzyme are repressed. Loss of UBP10 function is known to lead to partial impairment of silencing at telomeres. A study of ubp10 deletion revealed that it mimicked oxidative damage by intracellular accumulation of reactive oxygen species and eventually leading to DNA fragmentation and phosphatidylserine externalization, which happen to be the 2 markers of apoptosis (Orlandi, 2003).

## MNNG induces mitochondrial damage in mgt1

It appears as though the lack of MTase in mgt1 results in increased damage to mitochondria. This was reflected in the responsiveness of several mitochondrial proteins that were induced specifically in mgt1. These genes are involved in fundamental biochemical processes in the mitochondria. MRS11 and TIM8 are protein transporters in the mitochondria. CCE1 is involved in DNA recombination and is also present in the inner-mitochondrial membrane. $C O Q^{3}$ is involved in ubiquinone biosynthesis, and is a component of the inner mitochondrial membrane. IDH2 is involved in the TCA cycle and also localizes to the inner mitochondrial membrane. DIC1 is involved in dicarboxylic transport across the mitochondrial membrane. There were only 3 protein synthesis genes

## MNNG induced damage activates multifunctional transcription factor $\operatorname{SWI6}$, in mgt1

SWI6 is a transcription factor involved in controlling genes involved in cell wall biogenesis and architecture. It is also a key component of G1/S checkpoint. When a cell detects damaged DNA, Rad53 checkpoint kinase activity is dramatically increased, which ultimately leads to changes in DNA replication, repair, and cell division. SWI6, a substrate of Rad53 in the G(1)/S DNA damage checkpoint was activated in mgt1 indicating that there is more DNA damage in mgt1. SWI6
enhances the expression level of the recombination genes in meiosis in a dosage-dependent manner, which results in an effect on the frequency of meiotic recombination (Leem 1998). Another gene involved in recombination is CCE1.

Ho endonuclease introduces a site-specific double strand break (DSB) in the mating type (MAT) gene of yeast and its expression is tightly regulated. This endonuclease is known to be induced for a short duration and quickly degraded via the ubiquitin- 26 S proteasome system (Kaplun, L et al 2000). Taken together, it appears as though $m g t 1$ has a propensity to undergo more mitochondrial damage and DNA recombination repair.

An exaggerated response of mot1-specific MNNG-damage was limited to genes that seem to be involved in remodeling of the cell cytoskeleton, translation and signal transduction activity.

## Role of environmental stress response genes

The environmental stress response, ESR is a stereotypical pattern of changes in the expression of approximately 900 genes evoked by a large variety of environmental stresses, including heat shock, osmotic shock, DTT, nitrogen starvation, and peroxide. Many of the genes in this program are induced in response to stressful environments and therefore may play a critical role of maintaining internal homeostasis. As expected, the ESR was rapidly initiated in wild-type and mgt1 cells responding to MNNG, and it was sustained through the entire course of the experiment. From Gasch et al 2000, a total of 95 microarray hybridization experiments were used to deduce the environmental stress response genes that were found to be responsive in this study. Approximately $48 \%$ of the genes found in the ESR were also induced/repressed upon treatment with MNNG. Approximately $78 \%$ of these ESR genes were common to the response by WT and mgt1. This overlap is very suggestive of cellular responses that are common to processes that help the cell survive and achieve internal homeostasis.

In an earlier study Gasch et al 2000 reported the presence of a DNA damage signature cluster comprising nine genes including the ribonucleotide reductase subunits RNR2 and RNR4, the DNA-damage repair genes RAD51 and RAD54, the DNA-damage activated kinase DUN1, the DNA-damage-inducible mitochondrial nuclease DINT, PLM2, which has homology to the forkhead associated-domain found in several transcription factors and kinases, and two uncharacterized ORFs (YER004W and YBR070C). A total of 4 genes from the 9 from their cluster (DUN1, PLM2 RNR4, and the ORF YBR070C) were found to be induced in the current study.

## Temporal response to MNNG.

A comparison of temporal expression profiles of genes incrementally induced or repressed in WT and mgt1 revealed interesting trends. Overall, there were more genes that showed induction or repression in the mgt1 ( 210 induced, 118 repressed) than WT ( 61 induced, 90 repressed). There were a few genes that showed trends ( 39 induced, 35 repressed) that were shared between WT and $m g t 1$. This result indicates that the perturbation in the mgt1 is more profound than in the WT.

In the WT there is a reflex repression of several fundamental biochemical processes when the cells are first exposed to MNNG. These processes include glucose metabolism, lipid signaling pathways, fatty acid metabolism, electron transport system and the glyoxylate cycle. The repression is transient and is eased as the cell tries to recover from the perturbation. Almost simultaneously, there is a reflex induction of processes involved in maintaining the cell wall structure and function. Their induction wanes over time. Other genes that follow this pattern are involved in phosphatidylethanolamine and serine biosynthesis and threonine catabolism. Other interesting genes in this category included, TLC1 which encodes the RNA subunit of telomerase (Singer, 1994) and HO endonuclease. The components of the DNA damage response pathway are known to degrade HO endonuclease via the ubiquitin 26s proteasome system (Kaplun L). This could explain the
waning of "induction" over time. These responses are likely to be related to processes that are involved in damage recovery. A few genes were consistently induced after the initial exposure to MNNG. These responses need to be distinguished from the ones that are observed above. These responses are sustained as long as the cells are exposed to MNNG. Therefore, these are likely to be critical and related to processes that are directly related to the damage caused by MNNG or its downstream processes. To exemplify, GTT2 codes for a glutathione transferase and its deletion strain is also found to be very sensitive to MMS. In addition to its role as an antioxidant, glutathione has several physiological functions, such as detoxification of various cytotoxic compounds, acting as a co-factor for enzymes, protection of proteins' SH groups. Upon invasion by xenobiotics, glutathione-S-conjugates are formed by glutathione S-transferase and the conjugates or their degraded compounds are exported from the cytoplasm by some transporters. In $S$. cerevisiae, two glutathione S-transferase genes (GTT1 and GTT2) have been identified (Choi 1998). and glutathione-S-conjugates are transported into the vacuole by the YCF1 gene product, which is an ATP-binding-cassette transporter on the vacuolar membrane (Li, 1996). Induction of GSH synthesis in yeast has been shown to protect the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) from oxidative damage (Keiichi, 2001).

The damage by MNNG is more profound in mgt1 than WT. Unlike the WT, the initial exposure to MNNG represses genes that are more likely to be transcriptional factors. After the initial repression these genes were incrementally induced and tended to approach WT levels. By virtue of affecting transcription factors, their influence on the expression profile is more pronounced than what is apparent. Other genes in this category included ones involved in RNA processing and kinases involved in protein phosphorylation. It was very intriguing to find RAD28 in this category of genes. It is a homolog of the Cockayne syndrome A (CSA) gene. CSA patients exhibit severe developmental and neurological abnormalities. In contrast genes that were induced as
a reflex response and waned over time included those involved in copper and lipid transport, glucan, sulfur and methionine metabolism. An interesting class of genes here were 5 genes involved in translation initiation, elongation and regulation. The temporal responsiveness in mgt1 was striking on several other counts. About $35 \%$ of the induced genes were involved in ribosomal function and $43 \%$ of all the genes induced were found to be essential.

Overall, the propensity for damage to macromolecules and cellular processes is much more in the mgt1 than the WT. Given that mgt1 is not able to repair $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine and $\mathrm{O}^{4}$ Methylthymine, it can be postulated that the profound damage in mgt1 could be because of these lesions itself or due to downstream processes. The repression of transcription factors followed by the induction of ribosomal and RNA components is an interesting finding that suggests that the recovery after damage is coordinated at the transcriptional level. This is unlike the WT that can repair the $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{MeG}$ lesion to a greater extent than the $m g t 1$. In the WT, the reprogramming involves a transient repression of genes restricted to fundamental metabolic processes indicating that the damage is limited compared to mgt1.

## Summary

In conclusion, the transcriptional changes precipitated by deleting MTase in yeast are indicative of DNA damage induction, cell cycle checkpoint activation and eventually, damage tolerance via REV7. This finding of error-prone translesion bypass polymerase activity correlates well with an earlier result where increased spontaneous mutagenesis was demonstrated in MTase deficient yeast. The effect of alkylating agent MNNG on yeast is dramatic and about $30 \%$ of the genome is instantly responsive. The initial insult with MNNG is rapidly followed by a repression of major metabolic processes but an induction of genes involved in maintaining cell wall structure and function. Other processes that try to maintain homeostasis after the initial insult with MNNG set in early and are sustained. A reflex response orchestrated by cell-cycle checkpoints serve to stall the cell-cycle and provide sufficient time to repair the DNA. This was evidenced by induction of DNA damage sensors, signal amplifiers and effectors. Nucleotide excision repair genes were the predominant class of repair proteins induced.

While most of the response to DNA damage is shared by the wild-type and mgt1, a fraction of the genes respond differentially and they include individual components of DNA repair systems. It appears as though the lack of MTase in mgt1 leads to increased damage in mitochondria and a program that increases the transcription of genes pre-mRNA processing, mRNA splicing and ribosomal biogenesis. Damage due to alkylation does not limit itself to genetic material in the nucleus. It affects organelles such as mitochondria which appear to be very sensitive.

In the WT treated with MNNG, double-strand break repair was induced along with uracil DNA glycosylase (UNG1). There was more protein synthesis and transport across the subcellular organelles. In contrast, in mgt1, there was more mismatch repair (MSH2), and mitochondrial repair genes. The induction, over increasing length of exposure to MNNG, of 30 genes involved in pre-

RNA processing, mRNA splicing and ribosome maintenance could be attributed to the induction of SWI6, a transcriptional co-activator.

Culling out environmental stress responsive genes (ESR genes) from the current study permitted a study of gene expression attributes specific to WT or mgt1. Five out of the 9 genes identified as the DNA damage cluster by Gasch et al 2000 were found to be induced upon MNNG exposure. These genes included DUN1, PLM2, RNR4 and the ORF of yet unknown function, YBR070C.

It appears that the MNNG induced damage is not limited to the DNA alone. Other macromolecular processes are affected considerably. An equal dose of MNNG imparts more damage in mgt1 than the WT. While the $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine lesion is successfully repaired by the MTase in WT, lack of MTase in $m g t 1$ is not able to do so. With more $\mathrm{O}^{6}$ Methylguanine in the genome, the $m g t 1$ cells are killed faster than WT. The transcriptional changes that accompany imply that the cellular processes in mgt1 sustain more damage. Hence the transcriptional responsiveness is more elaborate than in the WT. As a rule, the fundamental metabolic processes (glucose metabolism, amino acid metabolism and fatty acid metabolism) are transiently repressed in order to cope up with this stress and cell wall synthesis genes are induced in both WT and mgt1.
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Appendix of Tables
Table 4: A subset of the genes that are up-regulated in basal mgtl.
A total of 148 genes upregulated $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}>2\right)$ in basal $m g t 1$. The function for 57 of them was known and is shown in Table 4 A . Table 4 B shows genes that upregulated in basal mgt $I$ but are a part of the environmental stress response (ESR). The corresponding fold changes upon treatment in WT and mgtl are shown for reference. The information on phenotypic sensitivity of deletion strains of yeast to MMS was obtained from http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu. Sensitivity score can range for $0-30$. A score of $>2$ implies sensitivity to MMS. Essential genes are highlighted in red.

| ORF | Gene | Function | Basal mgt1 | Sensitivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YMR165C | SMP2 | aerobic resprration | 2.35 | E |
| YIR031C | DAL7 | allantoin catabolism | 3.55 | 0 |
| YHR061C | GIC1 | axial budding | 2.15 | 0 |
| YGR286C | BlO 2 | biotin biosynthesis | 3.04 | 4 |
| YHR208W | BAT? | branched cham familv AA biosynthesis | 2.18 | E |
| YFR013W | 10C3 | chromatin modeling | 3.03 | 0 |
| YER058W | PET117 | cytochrome coxidase biogenesis | 2.26 | 0 |
| YILO04C_ex2 | BET1 | ER to Golgi transport | 2.64 | 0 |
| YGR087C | PDC6 | ethanol metabolism | 2.46 | 0 |
| YOR388C | FDH1 | formate catabolism | 2.32 | E |
| YML051W | GAL80 | galactose metabolism | 2.43 | 0 |
| YGR252W | GCN5 | histone acetylation | 3.08 | 7 |
| YHL047C | ARN2 | iron-siderochrome transport | 2.48 | 0 |
| YEL065W | SIT1 | iron-siderochrome transport | 2.38 | 4 |
| YIL138C | TPM2 | isotropic bud growth | 2.80 | 0 |
| YGL009C | LEU1 | leucine biosynthesis | 2.13 | E |
| YGL144C | ROG1 | lipid metabolism | 3.05 | 0 |
| YGL044C | RNA15 | MRNA cleavage | 2.13 | E |
| YIL139C | REV7 | mutagenesis | 6.93 | 18 |
| YIR030C | DCG1 | nitrogen metabolism | 2.01 | 2 |
| YPR194C | OPT2 | oligopeptide transport | 3.57 | 0 |
| YHR123W_ex1 | EPT1 | phosphatidylethanolamine biosynthesis | 2.80 | 0 |


| ORF | Gene | Function | Basal mgt1 | Sensitivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YHR123W_ex2 | EPT1 | phosphatidylethanolamine biosynthesis | 2.96 | 0 |
| YIL035C | CKA1 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 2.13 | 12 |
| YGR188C | BUB1 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 2.38 | 15 |
| YMR225C_ex2 | MRPL44 | protein biosynthesis | 2.44 | 0 |
| YER153C | PET122 | protein biosynthesis | 2.08 | 0 |
| YHR189W | PTH1 | protein biosynthesis | 2.61 | 4 |
| YHR060W | VMA22 | protein complex assembly | 2.63 | 13 |
| YIL071C | PC18 | protein deneddylation | 3.14 | 0 |
| YGR218Vi | CRM1 | protein-nucleus export | 2.05 | E |
| YHL038C | CBP2 | RNA splicing | 6.50 | 6 |
| YOR382W | FIT2 | siderochrome transport | 4.56 | 0 |
| YOR383C | FiT3 | siderochrome transport | 2.02 | 0 |
| YNL334C | SNO2 | thiamin biosynthesis | 2.42 | 0 |
| YNL333W | SNZ2 | thiamin biosynthesis | 2.08 | 0 |
| YCL064C | CHA1 | threonine catabolism | 2.36 | 0 |
| YHR124W | NDT80 | transcription | 2.89 | 0 |
| YGR186W | TFG1 | transcription initation trom Pol 11 promoter | 2.03 | E |
| YNL270C | ALP1 | transport | 2.30 | 0 |
| YDR046C | BAP3 | transport | 2.50 | 0 |
| YEL003W | GIM4 | tubulin folding | 2.68 | 6 |
| YPL276W | FDH2 | NA | 2.15 | E |
| YGR090W | UTP22 | NA | 2.11 | E |


| ORF | Gene | Function | Basal <br> mgt1 | Sensitivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| YMR195W | ICY1 | NA | 2.21 | 0 |
| YHR156C | LIN1 | NA | 2.32 | 0 |
| YELO356 | UTR5 | NA | 4.89 | 0 |
| YGR089W | NNF2 | NA | 10.02 | 4 |


| ORF | Gene | Function | Basal <br> mgt1 | Sensitivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| YHR093W | AHT1 | NA | 3.97 | 0 |
| CEN8 | CEN8 | NA | 12.70 | 0 |
| YGL110C | CUE3 | NA | 2.30 | 0 |
| YHR059W | FYV4 | NA | 2.42 | 0 |

Table 4B: ESR subset of genes that are up-regulated in mgt1

| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Basal } \\ \text { mgt1 }\end{array}$ | Sensitivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| YLR267W | BOP2 | NA | 2.27 | 0 |
| YGR187C | HGH1 | NA | 3.26 | 4 |
| YPL263C | KEL3 | NA | 2.92 | 0 |
| YLR134W | PDC5 | ethanol fermentation | 5.60 | 5 |
| YIL104C | SHQ1 | SnoRNA metabolism | 5.08 | E |

Table 5: A subset of the genes that are down-regulated in basal mgt1.
A total of 89 genes down regulated $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}<0.5\right)$ in basal mgt1.The function for 24 of them was known and is shown in Table 5 A . Table 5 B shows genes that down-regulated in basal mgt1 but are a part of the environmental stress response (ESR). The corresponding fold changes upon treatment in WT and mgt1 are shown for reference. The information on phenotypic sensitivity of deletion strains of yeast to MMS was obtained from http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu. Sensitivity score can range for 0-30. A score of $>2$ implies sensitivity to MMS. Essential genes are highlighted in red.
Table 5A

| ORF | Gene | Function | Basal <br> mgt1 | Sensitivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| YDL200C | MGT1 | DNA dealkylation | -26.49 | 12 |
| YGL209W | MIG2 | glucose metabolism | -2.97 | 0 |
| YHR094C | HXT1 | hexose transport | -2.88 | 0 |
| YDR345C | HXT3 | hexose transport | -2.31 | 0 |
| YKR099W | BAS1 | histidine biosynthesis | -2.32 | 0 |
| YGR142W | BTN2 | intracellular protein transport | -3.17 | 0 |
| YCL017C | NFS1 | rron-sulfur cluster assembly | -5.60 | $E$ |
| YCL018W | LEU2 | leucine biosynthesis | -126.12 | $E$ |
| YCL050C | APA1 | nucleotide metabolism | -2.10 | 6 |
| YGL158W | RCK1 | protein AA phosphorylation | -2.24 | 4 |
| YLR216C | CPR6 | protein folding | -2.19 | 4 |
| YMR238W | DFG5 | pseudohyphal growth | -2.02 | 0 |
| YGR211W | ZPR1 | regulation of cell cycle | -2.60 | $E$ |

Table 6: Subset of genes that are specifically induced in WT upon MNNG treatment
A total of 225 genes were induced ( $\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}>2$ ) specifically in WT. The function for 127 of them was known and is shown in Table 6 A . Table 6 B shows genes that induced in WT but are a part of the environmental stress response (ESR). The corresponding fold changes upon treatment in other categories are shown for reference. The information on phenotypic sensitivity of deletion strains of yeast to MMS was obtained from http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu. Sensitivity score can range for $0-30$. A score of $>2$ implies sensitivity to MMS. Essential genes are highlighted in red.

| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | Sens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YORO44W | HEM13 | heme dosynthesis | 2.67 | E |
| YER145C | FTR1 | high affinity iron ion transport | 2.15 | 0 |
| YLR214W | FRE1 | iron ion transport | 2.03 | 0 |
| YDL066W | 1DP1 | isocitrate metabolism | 2.11 | 7 |
| YCR086W | CSM1 | meiotic chromosome segregation | 2.02 | 0 |
| YKL104C | GFA! | metabolsm | 2.04 | E |
| YLR180W | SAM1 | methionine metabolism | 2.98 | 0 |
| YPL124W | SPC29 | microtubuie nucleation | 2.11 | E |
| YDR488C | PAC11 | microtubule-based process | 2.40 | 0 |
| YCR028C-A ex2 | RIM1 | mitochondrial genome maintenance | 2.34 | 0 |
| YGR029W_ex2_alt | ERV1 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | 2.53 | 0 |
| YCL055W | KAR4 | mitosis | 2.28 | 0 |
| YDL008W | APC17 | mitotic metaphase anaphase transilioft | 2.16 | E |
| VDR180W | SCCz | intotic sister chromatid cohesion | 2.24 | E |
| YLR363C | NMD4 | mRNA catabolism, nonsense-mediated | 2.35 | 0 |
| YNL016W | PUB1 | mRNA catabolism. nonsense-mediated | 2.21 | 5 |
| VGR156W | PT11 | mRNA cleavage | 239 | E |
| YIR009W | MSL1 | mRNA splicing | 2.95 | 0 |
| YOL103W | ITR2 | myo-inositol transport | 2.18 | 0 |
| YBR218C | PYC2 | NADPH regeneration | 2.03 | 5 |
| YCL026C-A | FRM2 | negative regulation of fatty acid metabolism | 4.33 | 0 |
| YDR451C | YHP1 | negative regulation of transcription from Poill promoter | 2.80 | 2 |
| YDL232W | OST4 | N -linked glycosylation | 2.48 | 0 |
| YMR246W | FAA4 | N -terminal protein myristoylation | 2.15 | 0 |
| YIL016W | SNL1 | nuclear pore organization and biogenesis | 2.02 | 2 |
| YCR073C | SSK22 | osmosensory signaling pathway | 2.06 | 0 |
| YOLO28C | YAP7 | positive regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter | 2.25 | 0 |
| YGR109C | CLB6 | premeiotic DNA synthesis | 2.03 | 0 |


| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | Sens | ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | Sens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YMR142C_ex2 | RPL13B | protein biosynthesis | 2.50 | 0 | YGR136W | LSB1 | NA | 2.18 | 11 |
| YJL177W_ex1 | RPL178 | protein biosynthesis | 2.03 | 0 | YGR136W | LSB1 | NA | 2.06 |  |
| YBL027W_ex2 | RPL19B | protein biosynthesis | 2.11 | 0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| YBR191W_ex2 | RPL21A | protein biosynthesis | 2.71 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YOL127W_ex2 | RPL25 | protein biosynthesis | 2.76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YMR194W_ex2 | RPL36A | protein biosynthesis | 2.21 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPR043W_ex2 | RPL43A | protein biosynthesis | 2.03 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPR043W_ex2 | RPL43A | protein biosynthesis | 2.02 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YMR143W_ex2 | RPS16A | protein biosynthesis | 2.15 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YOR096W_ex1 | RPS7A | protein biosynthesis | 2.28 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YNL096C_ex1 | RPS7B | protein biosynthesis | 2.62 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YNL096C_ex2 | RPS7B | protein biosynthesis | 2.75 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YML019W | OST6 | protein complex assembly | 2.47 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YDL141W | BPLi | vroten modification | 2.18 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| YMR300C | ADE4 | purine base metabolism | 2.09 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YGR061C | ADE6 | purine nucleotide biosynthesis | 2.04 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YCR059C | YIH1 | regulation of amino acid metabolism | 2.72 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YML028W | TSA1 | regulation of redox homeostasis | 2.00 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPR052C | NHP6A | regulation of transcription from Pol ll promoter | 2.14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YMR179W | SPT21 | regulation of transcription from Poill promoter | 2.01 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YOR018W | ROD1 | response to drug | 2.22 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YBR008C | FLR1 | response to toxin | 3.32 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YCR106W | RDS1 | response to xenobiotic stimulus | 2.88 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YNL287W | SEC21 | retrograde (Goly to ER) transport | 2.20 | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| YHR114W | BZZ1 | salinity response | 2.03 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YML049C | RSE 1 | spiceosome assembly | 2.38 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPL145C | KES1 | steroid biosynthesis | 2.42 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPR167C | MET16 | sulfate assimilation | 2.36 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YMR260C | TIF11 | translational initiation | 2.00 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| YBR170C | NPL4 | tRNA-nucleus export | 2.20 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YOL038W | PRE6 | ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolism | 2.48 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| YER031C | YPT31 | vesicle-mediated transport | 2.03 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YER143W | DDI1 | vesicle-mediated transport | 2.01 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YGL263W | COS12 | NA | 3.40 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YKL219W | Cos9 | NA | 2.35 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YDL178W | DLD2 | NA | 2.01 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YGL083W | SCY1 | NA | 2.04 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YGL259W | YPS5 | NA | 2.51 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YLR466W_0 | YRF1-4 | NA | 2.51 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPL171C | OYE3 | NA | 2.43 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: A subset of the genes that are induced specifically in mgt1 A total of 274 genes were induced $\left(\log _{2} E R>2\right)$ specifically in $m g t 1$. The function for 87 of them was known and is shown in Table 7 A . Table 7 B shows genes that induced in $m g t 1$ but are a part of the environmental stress response (ESR). The corresponding fold changes upon treatment in other categories are shown for reference. The information on phenotypic sensitivity of deletion strains of yeast to MMS was obtained from http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu. Sensitivity score can range for $0-30$. A score of $>2$ implies sensitivity to MMS. Essential genes are highlighted in red. There were 29 essential genes induced in $m g t 19$ of which were induced in the ESR subset (Table 7B)

| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & m g t 1 \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | Sensitivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YBR058c-a | TSC3 | 3-keto-sphinganine metabolism | 2.13 | 0 |
| YDL029W_ex2 | ARP2 | actin filament organization | 2.08 | 0 |
| YLR139C | SLS1 | aerobic respiration | 2.09 | 0 |
| YHRO11W | diA4 | aerobic respiration | 2.12 | 15 |
| YNR044W | AGA | aggutination aumiac conjugation with celluar mision | 2.05 | E |
| YKLOOBC | LAC1 | aging | 2.31 | 0 |
| YLLO48C | YBT1 | bile acid transport | 2.08 | 6 |
| YIL003W | DRE3 | cell growth and | 2.02 | 0 |
| YHR142W | CHS7 | cell wall chitin biosynthesis | 2.03 | 0 |
| YCROB9W | FIG2 | cellular morphogenesis during conjugation with cellular fusion | 2.12 | 2 |
| YBR093C | PHO5 | cellular response to phosphate starvation | 3.21 | 0 |
| YLR381W | CTF3 | chromosome segregation | 2.46 | 0 |
| YGL028C | ScW11 | cytokinesis, completion of separation | 2.31 | 0 |
| YLR348C | DIC1 | dicarboxylic acid transport | 3.43 | 0 |
| YKL014C | CCE1 | DNA recombination | 2.14 | 10 |
| YKLOa5W | PR12 | DNA repair syntesis | 2.00 | E |
| YER073W | ALD5 | electron transport | 3.16 | 0 |
| YNL272C | SEC'2 | exocytosis | 2.28 | E |
| YJt167W | ERG20 | tamesyid diposponate blosynthesis | 2.06 | E |
| YDL132W | CDC53 | Gi S transtion oi mitotic cell cycle | 2.21 | E |
| YLR182W | SWI6 | G1 S-specific transcription in mitotic cell cycle | 2.12 | 20 |
| YDL227C | HO | gene conversion at MAT locus | 2.24 | 0 |
| YPR160W | GP\%1 | giycogen catabolism | 2.40 | 23 |
| YHR092C | HXT4 | hexose transport | 2.62 | 0 |
| YMR319C | FET4 | intracellular copper ion transport | 2.92 |  |
| YNL188W | KAR1 | Karyogamy during conjugation with celtuiar fusion | 2.24 | E |

## Table 8: A subset of the genes that are repressed specifically in WT

A total of 145 genes were induced $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}<0.5\right)$ specifically in WT. The function for 70 of them was known and is shown in Table 8 A . Table 8 B shows genes highlighted in red. There were 8 essential genes repressed in WT, 1 of which were induced in the ESR subset (Table 8B)

| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | Sensi tivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YML129C | COX14 | aerobic respiration | -2.01 | 0 |
| YPR100W | MRPL51 | aerobic respiration | -2.52 | 0 |
| YPL132W | COX11 | aerobic respiration | -2.33 | 2 |
| YBR128C | APG14 | autophagy | -2.07 | 0 |
| YKR063C | LAS | nua growth | 2.200 | E |
| YNL.192W | CHS1 | budding | -2.24 | 0 |
| YDL.179W | PCL9 | cell cycle | -2.10 | 0 |
| YOR304W | ISW2 | chromatin modeling | -2.21 | 0 |
| YDR310C | SUM1 | chromatin silencing at HML and HMR (sensu Saccharomyces) | -2.24 | 5 |
| YER088C | DOT6 | chromatin silencing at ribosomal DNA (rDNA) | -2.35 | 7 |
| YMR219W | ESC1 | chromatin silencing at telomere | -2.14 | 0 |
| YBR095C | RXT2 | conjugation with cellular fusion | -2.03 | 6 |
| YDR030C | RAD28 | DNA repair | -2.80 | 4 |
| YMRO20W | FMS1 | electron transport | -2.03 | 0 |
| YOR375C | GDH1 | glutamate biosynthesis, using glutamate dehydrogenase | -2.17 | 0 |
| YDR176W | NGG1 | histone acetylation | -2.04 | 4 |
| YKR029C | SET3 | histone deacetylation | -2.12 | 8 |
| YER075C | PTP3 | inactivation of MAPK (osmolarity sensing) | -2.27 | 0 |
| YBR066C | NRG2 | invasive growth | -2.02 | 0 |
| YOR354C | MSC6 | meiotic recombination | -2.20 | 0 |
| YIL144W | TID3 | microfubule nucleation | -2.20 | E |
| YPR141C | KAR3 | mitosis | -2.02 | 18 |
| LSR1_1 | LSR1 | mRNA splicing | -2.65 | 0 |
| YCR033W | SNT1 | negative regulation of meiosis | -2.09 | 10 |
| YDR397C_ex2 | NCB2 | negative regulation of transcription from Polll promoter | -2.11 | 0 |
| YDR028C | REG1 | negative regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter | -2.14 | 5 |
| YLR231C | BNA5 | nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide biosynthesis | -2.32 | 0 |
| YDR075W | PPH3 | nitrogen metabolism | -2.12 | 19 |
| YBR114W | RAD16 | nucleotide-excision repair, DNA damage recognition | -2.58 | 0 |
| YKR093W | PTR2 | peptide transport | -2.24 | 6 |
| YGR138C | TPO2 | polyamine transport | -6.30 | 0 |


| ORF | Gene | Function |  | WT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sensi } \\ & \text { tivity } \end{aligned}$ | ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sensi } \\ & \text { tivity } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YGR196C | FYV8 | NA |  | -2.60 | 0 | YJR122W | CAF17 | NA | -2.03 | 6 |
| YDL001W | RMD1 | NA |  | -2.18 | 0 | YNL215W | IES2 | NA | -2.29 | 7 |
| YMR156C | TPP1 | NA |  | -2.04 | 0 | YDL115C | IWR1 | NA | -2.12 | 15 |
| YJR127C | ZMS1 | NA |  | -2.11 | 2 | YAL011W | SWC1 | NA | -2.13 | 25 |
| YBR033W | EDS1 | NA |  | -2.01 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 8B: ESR Subset of genes that are repressed only in WT upon MNNG treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Basal } \\ & \text { mgt1 } \end{aligned}$ | WT FC | $\begin{gathered} \text { mgt1 } \\ \text { FC } \end{gathered}$ | Sensitivity |  |  |  |  |
| YOR173W | DCS2 | NA | 1.01 | -3.23 | - 1.89 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| YLR080W | EMP46 | ER to Golgi transport | -1.17 | -2.26 | -1.50 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| YML128C | MSC1 | meiotic recombination | -1.08 | -2.35 | - -1.52 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| YMR304W | UBP15 | protein deubiquitination | -1.22 | -2.12 | - -1.44 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| YNL 186 W | UBP10 | protein deubiquitination | ${ }^{-1.08}$ | -2.08 | - -1.96 | E |  |  |  |  |
| YPR149W | NCE102 | protein secretion | -1.18 | -2.10 | -1.76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| YMR271C | URA10 | pyrimidine base biosynthesis | -1.12 | -2.17 | -1.84 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| YFL014W | HSP12 | response to dessication | -1.00 | -2.32 | -1.73 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| YBR072W | HSP26 | response to stress | 1.01 | -2.66 | -1.77 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| YNL.015W | PB12 | vacuole fusion (non-autophagic) | -1.08 | -2.29 | -1.74 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 9: A subset of the genes that are repressed specifically in mgt1
A total of 191 genes were induced $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{ER}<0.5\right)$ specifically in $m g t 1$. The function for 70 of them was known and is shown in Table 9 A . Table 9 B shows genes that induced in $m g t 1$ but are a part of the environmental stress response (ESR). The corresponding fold changes upon treatment in other categories are shown for reference. The information on phenotypic sensitivity of deletion strains of yeast to MMS was obtained from http://genomicphenotyping.mit.edu. Sensitivity score can range for $0-30$. A score of $>2$ implies sensitivity to MMS. Essential genes are highlighted in red. There were 14 essential genes repressed in $m g t 1$.

| ORF | Gene | Function | $\begin{gathered} m g t 1 \\ \text { FC } \end{gathered}$ | Sensitivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YLR395C | COX8 | aerobic respiration | -2.11 | 0 |
| YDL067C | COX9 | aerobic respiration | -2.54 | 0 |
| YJR055W | HIT1 | aerobic respiration | -2.18 | 0 |
| YKL055C | OAR1 | aerobic respiration | -2.20 | 28 |
| YDR538W | PAD1 | aromatic compound catabolism | -2.26 | 0 |
| YKL106W | AAT1 | asparagine biosynthesis from oxaloacetate | -2.29 | 4 |
| YLR295C | ATP14 | ATP synthesis coupled proton transport | -2.05 | 0 |
| YBR0396 | ATP3 | ATP synthess coupleatmoion transpont | 2.05 | E |
| YCR068W | CVT17 | autophagy | -2.19 | 0 |
| YCR068W | CVT17 | autophagy | -2.45 | 0 |
| YIL155C | GUT2 | carbohydrate metabolism | -2.10 | 0 |
| YBR297W | MAL33 | carbohydrate metabolism | -2.27 | 0 |
| YBL101C | ECM21 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | -2.61 | 0 |
| YDR073W | SNF11 | chromatin modeling | -2.54 | 0 |
| YJL127C | SPT10 | chromatin modeling | -2.01 | 26 |
| YJR060W | CBF1 | DNA replication and chromosome cycle | -2.26 | 9 |
| YNL044W | YIP3 | ER to Golgi transport | -2.01 | 0 |
| YDR143C | SAN1 | establishment and or maintenance of chromatin architecture | -2.25 | 11 |
| YNL161W | CBK | exit from mitosis | -2.04 | E |
| YDL168W | SFA1 | formaldehyde assimilation | -2.05 | 0 |
| YIL135C | NA | G1 S transition of mitotic cell cycle | -2.14 | 0 |
| YJL219W | HXTE | nexose transpor: | -2.71 | E |
| YFL041W | FET5 | iron ion transport | -2.49 | 0 |
| YER013W | DRP22 | Ianat formation. $5^{\text {- }}$-spice sue cleavage | 2.11 | E |
| YPL128C | TBF1 | ioss of cnromatin silencing | -2.35 | $E$ |
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| Table 10A |  |  |  |  |  | Table 10A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF |  |  |  |  |  | ORF |  |  | FC | FC |  |
| YHR143W | Drene | cell wall organizfiumetiongenesis | W.40 | mgtth | Sens | YEL055C | POL5 | DNA aependent DNA replication | 3.18 | 3.41 | E |
| YBR078W_ex1 | ECM33 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | $\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{278}$ | 0 | YDL 164C | CDC3 | DNA recombination | 3.70 | 3.95 | E |
| YBR078W_ex2 | ECM33 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 2.46 | 2.80 | 0 | YLR383W | RHC18 | DNA repar | 2.29 | 3.54 | E |
| YMR062C | ECM40 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 5.76 | 5.70 | 0 | YCR066W | RAD18 | DNA repair | 2.55 | 2.61 | 30 |
| YLR342W | FKS1 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 3.41 | 3.78 | 0 | YJR069C | HAM1 | DNA repair | 9.17 | 8.81 | 0 |
| YOR109W | INP53 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 2.38 | 2.16 | 0 | YHR120W | MSH1 | DNA repair | 2.18 | 2.20 | 0 |
| YGL178W_ex2 | MPT5 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 2.07 | 2.40 | 0 | YGR180C | RNR4 | DNA replication | 3.14 | 3.06 | 9 |
| YNL.283C | WSC2 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 6.04 | 7.23 | 0 | YIL066C | RNR3 | DNA replication | 4.18 | 6.16 | 0 |
| YOR249C | APC5 | chromaun assembly disassembiy | 2.15 | 2.62 | E | YNL273W | TOF1 | DNA topological change | 4.95 | 6.19 | 15 |
| YBR245C | ISW1 | chromatin modeling | 2.10 | 2.24 | 12 | YLR274W | CDC46 | DNA unwindino | 4.83 | 5.30 | E |
|  |  | chromain silencing at HML amm HMR isensu |  |  |  | YBL023C | MCM2 | DNA unwinding | 2.88 | 2.77 | E |
| YLL004W | ORC3 | Saccharomyces) | 2.10 | 2.12 | E | YGL251C | HFM1 | DNA unwinding | 2.58 | 2.27 | 0 |
|  |  | chronatur silericing at HML and HMR isensu |  |  |  | YOR067C | ALG8 | dolichol-linked oligosaccharide biosynthesis | 2.65 | 2.57 | 0 |
| YNL261W | ORC5 | Saccharomyces) | 2.80 | 2.53 | E | YNR030W | ECM39 | dolichol-linked oligosaccharide biosynthesis | 4.31 | 4.29 | 0 |
|  |  | chromatin silencing at HML and HMR (sensu |  |  |  | YBL082C | RHK1 | dolichol-linked oligosaccharide biosynthesis | 3.81 | 3.13 | 0 |
| YCL061C | MRC1 | Saccharomyces) | 2.61 | 2.76 | 9 | YOR074C ex1 | CDC21 | dTMP biosynthesis | 2.50 | 2.45 | 0 |
| YLR285W | NNT1 | chromatin silencing at ribosomal DNA (rDNA) | 3.18 | 2.91 | 0 | YOR074C_ex2 | CDC21 | dTMP biosynthesis | 6.45 | 6.30 | 0 |
| YAR003W | SWD: | chromatin stitencing at eiomere | 2.80 | 2.20 | E | YNL111C | CYB5 | electron transport | 2.69 | 2.33 | 4 |
| YBR175W | SWD3 | chromatin silencing at telomere | 5.88 | 4.46 | 7 | YJL204C | RCY1 | endocytosis | 2.16 | 2.18 | 15 |
| YAL034W-A | MTW1 | chromosome segregation | 2.53 | 2.74 | E | YNR075W_0 | $\cos 10$ | endocytosis | 3.33 | 2.53 | 0 |
| YPR046W | MCM16 | chromosome segregation | 2.68 | 2.01 | 2 | YNR075W_1 | $\cos 10$ | endocytosis | 5.25 | 3.79 | 0 |
| YOL058W | ARG1 | citrulline metabolism | 9.98 | 11.09 | 5 | YBR080C | SEC18 | ER to Golqut transport | 2.55 | 258 | E |
| YAR031W | PRM9 | conjugation with cellular fusion | 4.23 | 3.14 | 0 | YDL. 195 W | SEC3? | ER to Goigit transport | 2.12 | 2.08 | E |
| YMR305C | SCW10 | conjugation with celiular fusion | 3.53 | 3.65 | 0 | VOR4076 | TRS12: | ER to Golgi transport | 2.31 | 2.27 | F |
| YNL259C | ATX1 | copper ion transport | 3.30 | 3.44 | 5 | YBR254C | -RS20 | ER to Golgi transport | 2.46 | 2.59 | E |
| YCR075C | ERS1 | cystine transport | 2.04 | 2.39 | 0 | YNL263C | VIF: | ER tn Gotgit transpori | 2.40 | 2.00 | E |
| YHR107C | Cnc1? | ytokiness | 2.47 | 2.04 | F | YCL001W | RER1 | ER to Golgi transport | 2.18 | 2.02 | 4 |
| YLR314C | CDC3 | cytokinesis | 712 | 7.76 | E | YAL007C | ERP2 | ER to Golgi transport | 6.14 | 7.08 | 0 |
| YNL327W | EGT2 | cytokinesis | 2.18 | 2.63 | 12 | YOR216C | RUD3 | ER to Golgi transport | 3.45 | 3.89 | 0 |
| YNL233W | BNI4 | cytokinesis | 2.28 | 2.22 | 0 | YCR067C | SED4 | ER to Goigi transport | 5.04 | 4.16 | 0 |
| YDL.117W | CYK3 | cytokinesis | 2.19 | 2.55 | 0 | YOR115C | TRS33 | ER to Golgi transport | 2.93 | 3.08 | 0 |
| VIL142W | CCT2 | evtoskereton organization and broqenesis | 2.36 | 2.42 | E | YNR026C | SEC12 | ER-associated protein catabolism | 3.75 | 3.37 | 0 |
| YJL008C | CCT8 | cyiosketeton organization and brogenesis | 2.20 | 213 | E | YGR175C | ERGT | ergosterof biosynthesis | 2.17 | 2.04 | E |
| YDR212W | TCP1 | Eytoskeleton urganization and brogenesis | 274 | 2.30 | E | YPL028W | ERG10 | ergosterol biosynthesis | 2.59 | 2.00 | E |
| YNL138W | SRV2 | cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis | 2.12 | 2.29 | 0 | VMLI 126 C | ERG13 | ergosterol biosynthesis | 5.72 | 3.82 | E |
| YDL219W_ex1 | DTD1 | D-amino acid catabolism | 11.36 | 9.61 | 0 | YGR060W | ERG25 | ergosierol biosynthesis | 5.44 | 3.76 | E |
| YDL219W_ex2 | DTD1 | D-amino acid catabolism | 7.55 | 7.00 | 0 | YGL001C | ERG26 | ergosteroi biosynthesis | 3.94 | 3.30 | E |
| YKL212W | SAC1 | dephosphorylation | 5.03 | 5.07 | 4 | YLR100W | ERG27 | ergosteroi biosynthesis | 3.10 | 3.07 | E |
| YDR489W | NA | DNA dependent DNA replication | 3.01 | 2.67 | $E$ | YHR190W | ERG9 | ergosterot biosvnthesis | 2.47 | 2.57 | E |



| Table 10A |  |  |  |  |  | Table 10A | Gene | Function | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mgt1 } \\ \text { FC } \end{gathered}$ | Sens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF |  |  |  |  |  | ORF |  |  |  |  |  |
| YCR028C- | Gene | Function |  |  |  | YPL227C | ALG5 | N -linked glycosylation | 3.72 | 3.90 | 0 |
| A_ex1 | RIM1 | mitochondrial genome maintenance | $F^{5^{1}}$ | $F C^{12}$ | 0 | YGR227W | DIE2 | N -linked glycosylation | 2.40 | 2.27 | 0 |
| YHR024C | MAS2 | mitocnonaral processing | 5.14 | 5.84 | E | YBR205W | KTR3 | N -linked glycosylation | 2.45 | 2.37 | 0 |
| YNL070W | TOM7 | mitochondrial translocation | 2.60 | 2.09 | 4 | YBR205W | KTR3 | N-linked glycosylation | 2.22 | 2.14 | 0 |
| YIL134W | FLX1 | mitochondrial transport | 2.54 | 2.44 | 17 | YGL226C- |  |  |  |  |  |
| YOR222W | ODC2 | mitochondrial transport | 2.29 | 2.33 | 0 | A ex2 | OST5 | N-linked glycosylation | 3.19 | 3.47 | 0 |
| YMR012W | CLU1 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | 6.55 | 6.11 | 0 | YIL076W | SEC28 | nonselective vesicle coating | 4.22 | 4.25 | 2 |
| YGR029W | ERV1 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | 2.14 | 2.02 | 0 | YDR164C | SEC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | nonselecilive vesicle tusion | 2.37 | 2.21 | E |
| YGL020C | MDM39 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | 3.88 | 4.44 | 0 | YLR195C | NMT1 | N -terminal peptidyl-glycine N -myristoylation | 2.45 | 2.88 | 0 |
| YGL021W | ALK1 | mitosis | 2.37 | 2.03 | 0 | YOR130C | ORT1 | nuclear migration (sensu Saccharomyces) | 5.02 | 5.96 | 0 |
| YHR129C | ARP1 | mitotic anaphase B | 2.23 | 2.08 | 7 |  |  | nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and |  |  |  |
| YDL003W | MCD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | mitotic chromosome condensation | 3.52 | 2.89 | E | YKR091W | SRL3 | nucleic acid metabolism | 3.14 | 2.47 | 2 |
| YFR031C | SMC2 | mitotic chromosome condensation | 3.22 | 4.58 | + |  |  | nucleobase. nucleoside, nucleotide and |  |  |  |
| YFL008W | SMC | mitoilc chromusone segregation | 2.67 | 2.94 | E | YOR247W | SRL1 | nucleic acid metabolism | 3.71 | 3.95 | 0 |
| YIL026C | IRR1 | mitotic sister chromatua conesion | 2.78 | 3.55 | E |  |  | nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and |  |  |  |
| YJL074C | SMC3 | mitotic sister chromatid conesion | 2.08 | 2.58 | E | YOR247W | SRL1 | nucleic acid metabolism | 3.54 | 4.02 | 0 |
|  |  | intotic spindle assembly isensu |  |  |  | YAL022C | FUN26 | nucleoside transport | 2.76 | 2.75 | 0 |
| YLR?12C | TUB4 | Saccharonyces) | 4.09 | 398 | E. | YDL102W | CDC2 | nucieotide-excision repan | 2.32 | 2.44 | E |
| YOR250C | CLP? | mRNA cleavage | 2.34 | 2.45 | E | YPR175W | DPB2 | nucleotide-excision repar | 2.11 | 2.28 | E |
| YBR130C | SHE3 | mRNA localization, intracellular | 2.85 | 2.73 | 0 | YRR088C | POL30 | nucleotude-excision repar | 4.05 | 3.42 | $E$ |
| YFR005C | SAD1 | mRNA splicing | 3.48 | 3.74 | E | YAR007C | RFA1 | nucleotide-excision repan | 3.96 | 4.13 | E |
| YLR147C | SMD3 | InRNA splicing | 2.54 | 2.54 | E | YJLI73C | RFA3 | nucleoulde-excision revair | 2.12 | 2.34 | E |
| YMR125W | STO 1 | mRNA splicing | 2.63 | 2.61 | 8 | YNL312W_ex2 | RFA2 | nucleotide-excision repair | 4.69 | 4.27 | 0 |
| YIR021W | MRS1 | mRNA splicing | 4.82 | 6.19 | 0 | YLR188W | MDL1 | oligopeptide transport | 2.29 | 2.13 | 3 |
| YOR046C | DBP5 | mRNA-nuileus exijuri | 222 | 219 | P | YDL095W | PMT1 | O-linked glycosylation | 2.80 | 3.00 | 0 |
| YML103C | NUP188 | mRNA-nucleus export | 2.13 | 2.85 | 5 | YAL023C | PMT2 | O-linked glycosylation | 3.14 | 3.29 | 0 |
| YNL253W | NA | mRNA-nucleus export | 2.17 | 2.21 | 0 | YOR321W | PMT3 | O-linked glycosylation | 2.32 | 2.29 | 0 |
| YDR395W | SXM1 | mRNA-nucleus export | 2.55 | 2.62 | 0 | YDL093W | PMT5 | O-linked glycosylation | 2.87 | 2.79 | 0 |
| YHR046C | INM1 | myo-inositol metabolism | 2.29 | 2.42 | 0 | YOR241W | MET7 | one-carbon compound metabolism | 2.12 | 2.01 | 2 |
| YGL067W | NPY1 | NADH metabolism | 2.69 | 2.66 | 0 | YIL145C | PAN6 | pantothenate biosynthesis | 11.57 | 11.26 | 2 |
| YDR252W | BTT1 | nascent polypeptide association | 2.36 | 2.11 | 2 | YBR176W | ECM31 | pantothenate biosynthesis | 3.92 | 4.24 | 0 |
| YLL002W | RTT109 | negative regulation of DNA transposition | 2.82 | 3.24 | 30 | YLR354C | TAL1 | pentose-phosphate shunt | 4.17 | 4.24 | 0 |
| YJR001W | AVT1 | neutral amino acid transport | 5.63 | 5.70 | 0 | YDR410C | STE14 | peptide pheromone maturation | 4.18 | 3.31 | 0 |
| YER001W | MNN1 | N -glycan processing | 2.56 | 3.44 | 0 | YGL115W | SNF4 | peroxisome organization and biogenesis | 2.77 | 3.37 | 0 |
| YBR243C | ALG7 | N-linked glycosylation | 4.85 | 5.48 | E |  |  | phenylalanine biosynthesis, prephenate |  |  |  |
| YPR183W | DPM1 | N-linkea glycosylation | 2.96 | 2.65 | E | YNL316C | PHA2 | pathway | 2.75 | 2.49 | 0 |
| YOR103C | OST2. | N-linked glycosylation: | 2.26 | 2.32 | $E$ | YAR071W | PHO11 | phosphate metabolism | 4.80 | 3.50 | E |
| YGL.022W | STT3 | $N$-linked giycosylation | 3.08 | 290 | E | YML123C | PHO84 | phosphate transport | 2.27 | 2.13 | 0 |
| YGR036C | CAX4 | N-linked glycosylation | 3.37 | 3.23 | 3 | YLR133W | CKI1 | phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis | 2.24 | 2.01 | 8 |


| Table 10A |  |  |  |  |  | Table 10A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF |  |  |  |  |  | ORF |  |  | FC | FC |  |
| YPR113W | PGene | Dnosphatidylinoframotiomesis | V. ${ }^{\text {co }}$ | mgtr | Sens | YPL079W_ex2 | RPL21B | protein biosynthesis | 3.54 | 2.51 | 0 |
| YDR284C | DPP1 | phospholipid metabolism | $\mathrm{P}^{364}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{72}$ | 7 | YLR061W_ex2 | RPL22A | protein biosynthesis | 5.03 | 4.64 | 0 |
| YOR386W | PHR1 | photoreactive repair | 2.25 | 2.53 | 2 | YFL034C- |  |  |  |  |  |
| YHR201C | PDX? | polyphosphare metacolism | 3.78 | 4.23 | E | A_ex2 | RPL22B | protein biosynthesis | 2.54 | 3.49 | 0 |
|  |  | positive reguation of transcription from Pot II |  |  |  | YBL087C_ex2 | RPL23A | protein biosynthesis | 2.48 | 2.25 | 0 |
| YOR281C | PLP2 | promoter by pheromones | 4.59 | 4.65 | $E$ | YHR010W_ex2 | RPL27A | protein biosynthesis | 3.07 | 2.71 | 0 |
|  |  | positive regulation of transcription from Pol II |  |  |  | YDR471W_ex2 | RPL27B | protein biosynthesis | 2.64 | 2.18 | 0 |
| YDR183W | PLP1 | promoter by pheromones | 2.34 | 2.32 | 0 | YFR032C-A | RPL29 | protein biosynthesis | 3.63 | 3.64 | 0 |
| YOR265W | RBL2 | post-chaperonin tubulin folding pathway | 2.43 | 2.33 | 2 | YFR031C- |  |  |  |  |  |
| YGL246C | RA11 | processing of 27S pre-rRNA | 3.67 | 4.10 | 0 | A_ex2 | RPL2A | protein biosynthesis | 3.09 | 2.69 | 0 |
| YER023W | PRO3 | proline blosynthesis. | 4.27 | 409 | E | YDL075W_ex1 | RPL31A | protein biosynthesis | 2.90 | 2.54 | 0 |
| YOR323C | PRO2 | proline biosynthesis | 3.18 | 3.15 | 4 | YDL075W_ex2 | RPL31A | protein biosynthesis | 2.17 | 2.04 | 0 |
| YDL040C | NAT1 | protein amino acid acetylation | 4.39 | 4.69 | 0 | YLR406C_ex1 | RPL31B | protein biosynthesis | 2.43 | 2.23 | 0 |
| YPR073C | LTP1 | protein amino acid dephosphorylation | 4.06 | 3.47 | 0 | YLR406C_ex2 | RPL318 | protein biosynthesis | 2.43 | 2.21 | 0 |
| YJL031C | BET4 | protem amino acid geranyigeranyiation | 3.99 | 4.99 | E | YPL143W_ex2 | RPL33A | protein biosynthesis | 3.13 | 2.86 | 0 |
| YOL113W | SKM1 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 2.76 | 2.83 | 5 | YER056C- |  |  |  |  |  |
| YNL 154C | YCK2 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 2.36 | 2.24 | 2 | A ex2 | RPL34A | protein biosynthesis | 3.38 | 3.02 | 0 |
| YDR507C | GIN4 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 3.35 | 3.48 | 0 | YLR185W_ex2 | RPL37A | protein biosynthesis | 2.43 | 2.38 | 0 |
| YLL018C: | DPS1 | protern biosvnthesis | 235 | 23.2 | E | YIL.148W_ex2 | RPL40A | protein biosynthesis | 2.25 | 2.45 | 0 |
| YBL076C | LLS 1 | proten biosynthesis | - 3.35 | 377 | \% | YKR094C_ex2 | RPL40B | protein biosynthesis | 3.16 | 2.49 | 0 |
| YHL015W | RPS20 | protein blosynthesis | 3.49 | 2.82 | E | YKR094C_ex2 | RPL40B | protein biosynthesis | 2.23 | 2.56 | 0 |
| YKR084C | HBS1 | protein biosynthesis | 2.24 | 2.08 | 0 | YNL162W_ex2 | RPL42A | protein biosynthesis | 2.44 | 2.06 | 0 |
| YLR192C | HCR1 | protein biosynthesis | 2.78 | 2.65 | 0 | YJR094W- |  |  |  |  |  |
| YGR076C | MRPL25 | protein biosynthesis | 2.37 | 2.56 | 0 | A_ex2 | RPL43B | protein biosynthesis | 2.44 | 2.32 | 0 |
| YCR003W | MRPL32 | protein biosynthesis | 2.75 | 3.27 | 0 | YLR448W_ex2 | RPL6B | protein biosynthesis | 4.59 | 3.20 | 0 |
| YPR047W | MSF1 | protein biosynthesis | 2.99 | 4.18 | 0 | YGL076C_ex2 | RPL7A | protein biosynthesis | 4.64 | 4.44 | 0 |
| YDL082W_ex2 | RPL13A | protein biosynthesis | 4.10 | 3.01 | 0 | YGL076C_ex2 | RPL7A | protein biosynthesis | 4.11 | 3.18 | 0 |
| YKL006W_ex1 | RPL14A | protein biosynthesis | 3.91 | 3.49 | 0 | YPL198W_ex2 | RPL7B | protein biosynthesis | 3.47 | 3.17 | 0 |
| YKL006W_ex1 | RPL14A | protein biosynthesis | 3.76 | 3.44 | 0 | YPL198W_ex2 | RPL7B | protein biosynthesis | 4.38 | 4.43 | 0 |
| YIL133C_ex2 | RPL.16A | protein biosynthesis | 2.75 | 2.44 | 0 | YPL198W_ex 3 | RPL7B | protein biosynthesis | 2.32 | 2.11 | 0 |
| YNL069C_ex2 | RPL16B | protein biosynthesis | 3.97 | 2.88 | 0 | YGR214W_ex1 | RPSOA | protein biosynthesis | 3.89 | 2.96 | 0 |
| YKL180W_ex1 | RPL17A | protein biosynthesis | 2.22 | 2.08 | 0 | YGR214W_ex2 | RPSOA | protein biosynthesis | 3.27 | 2.99 | 0 |
| YKL180W_ex2 | RPL17A | protein biosynthesis | 2.14 | 2.33 | 0 | YLR048W_ex1 | RPSOB | protein biosynthesis | 4.19 | 3.07 | 0 |
| YJL177W_ex1 | RPL17B | protein biosynthesis | 3.20 | 2.34 | 0 | YLR048W_ex2 | RPSOB | protein biosynthesis | 3.86 | 3.41 | 0 |
| YJL177W_ex2 | RPL17B | protein biosynthesis | 2.85 | 2.70 | 0 | YLR048W_ex2 | RPSOB | protein biosynthesis | 2.97 | 2.51 | 0 |
| YJL177W_ex2 | RPL17B | protein biosynthesis | 2.43 | 2.13 | 0 | YOR293W_ex1 | RPS10A | protein biosynthesis | 2.57 | 2.03 | 0 |
| YOL120C_ex1 | RPL18A | protein biosynthesis | 3.68 | 3.34 | 0 | YDR064W_ex2 | RPS13 | protein biosynthesis | 2.90 | 2.44 | 0 |
| YNL301C_ex1 | RPL18B | protein biosynthesis | 5.76 | 4.85 | 0 | YCR031C_ex2 | RPS14A | protein biosynthesis | 2.28 | 2.19 | 0 |
| YNL301C_ex2 | RPL18B | protein biosynthesis | 3.84 | 3.38 | 0 | YMR143W_ex2 | RPS16A | protein biosynthesis | 2.61 | 3.11 | 0 |



|  | ¢ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | u | $\infty$ | N | － | 0 | 4 | 山 | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | 0 | H | － | 1 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | N | N | $\checkmark$ | － | $\checkmark$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \＃ |  | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{\sigma}}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\right\|_{\infty} ^{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | p | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | No | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{M}}$ | \|o |  | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\because$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { g̛ } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}\right.$ | No | N্লি | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \substack{9 \\ \\ \hline} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\circ}}{\underset{\circ}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\frac{\square}{\sigma}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  | $\frac{5}{3}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{M}{N}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\stackrel{\circ}{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Perp \\ & \text { Ni } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\widehat{\infty}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\left.\right\|_{i} ^{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ®ে }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\frac{0}{m}$ | $\left.\right\|_{0} ^{d}$ | $\mid$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{i o}{\stackrel{y}{i}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{i}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{i n}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{0} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | － | $\frac{\overline{\bar{x}}}{\underline{\bar{x}}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{8}}{4}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{1}{J}}$ | $\underset{\underset{F}{\underset{F}{2}}}{ }$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{O} \\ & \underline{I} \\ & \underset{F}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{0}^{\infty}$ | $\sum_{\text {O}}^{\text {N }}$ | ${ }^{E}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 等 } \\ & \alpha \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\left.\right\|_{\Sigma} ^{\infty}$ | $\frac{z}{2}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & w \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \alpha \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\sum_{0}^{5}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mu \\ & \mu \\ & H \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{\infty}{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ & \frac{2}{\boxed{2}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{\frac{\infty}{\alpha}}{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}$ | $\mid \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 免 }}{ }$ | 亦 | L | $\sum_{\overline{2}}^{\substack{2}}$ | z | 考 | $\sum_{\substack{N}}^{N}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{n}{k}}{\frac{2}{2}}$ | 答 | $\sum_{\substack{\sim}}^{\sim}$ | \％ | 答 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{\delta} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{u}{\alpha}}{\stackrel{\alpha}{0}}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{E}}}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\underset{F}{1}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{a}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{x} \\ & 0 \\ & z_{1} \\ & \sum_{0} \\ & \bar{y} \\ & \bar{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{y}{0} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \frac{0}{y} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 号 |



| Table 10B | KRS1 | lysyl-tRNA aminoacylatior: | 4.74 | 5.21 | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YDRO37W |  |  |  |  |  |
| YPR145W | ASN1 | metabolism | 8.31 | 7.62 | 0 |
| YER043C | SAH1 | methonine metabolism: | 2.76 | 2.23 | 5 |
| YNL066W | SUN4 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | 4.04 | 3.29 | 0 |
| YGL213C | SK18 | mRNA catabolism | 2.14 | 2.68 | 0 |
| YJL080C | SCP160 | mRNA localization, intracellular | 8.14 | 7.26 | 10 |
| YMR308C | PSE1 | mRNA-nucieus export | 2.26 | 2.53 | E |
| YJR025C | BNA1 | nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide biosynthesis | 3.79 | 4.79 | 2 |
| YGR019W | UGA1 | nitrogen utilization | 2.49 | 2.86 | 0 |
| YGL225W | VRG4 | N-inked glycosylation | 3.10 | 2.7 ? | E |
| YBR084W | MIS1 | nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid metabolism | 6.28 | 6.61 | 7 |
| YJR143C | PMT4 | O-linked glycosviation | 5.56 | 5.32 | E |
| YPR074C | TKL1 | pentose-phosphate shunt | 5.55 | 4.13 | 7 |
| VL.R060W | FRS! |  | 7.34 | 6.62 | E |
| YFL.022C | FRS2 | phenviaiaryh-tRNA aminoacviation | 2.87 | 3.16 | E |
| YDR324C | UTPS | frocessing of 205 bre-rRNA | 2.16 | 2.41 | E |
| YGR128C | UTP8 | processing of 205 pre-rRNA | 2.22 | 2.25 | E. |
| YDR300C | PRO1 | proline biosynthesis | 2.27 | 2.22 | 0 |
| YHR013C | ARD1 | protein amino acid acetylation | 4.93 | 5.44 | 22 |
| YEL042W | GDA1 | protein amino acid glycosylation | 2.37 | 2.22 | 0 |
| YGR123C | PPT1 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 3.39 | 2.78 | 9 |
| VPR102C | RPL.11A. | proten brosynthesis | 220 | 2.03 | $E$ |
| YLR029C | RPL:5A | urotem Diosynthesis | 2.49 | 2.02 | E |
| YBL092W | RPI 32 | proten biosynthesis | 2.59 | 2.30 | E |
| V01.040C | RPS15 | proten biosynthesis | 286 | 2.26 | E |
| YGL 139C | RPS26A | protein bosvnthesis | 2.23 | 2.09 | $E$ |
| YJR123W | RPS 5 | broten oiosyntesis | 3.06 | 2.33 | E |
| YLL078W | THS? | priem biosvnthesis | 6.15 | 6.39 | E |
| YGR085C | RPL11B | protein biosynthesis | 2.16 | 2.49 | 0 |
| YEL054C | RPL12A | protein biosynthesis | 2.99 | 2.02 | 0 |
| YMR242C | RPL20A | protein biosynthesis | 2.71 | 2.32 | 0 |
| YGL031C | RPL24A | protein biosynthesis | 2.34 | 2.25 | 0 |
| YHL033C | RPL8A | protein biosynthesis | 3.17 | 2.64 | 0 |
| YLL045C | RPL8B | protein biosynthesis | 2.37 | 2.14 | 0 |
| YLL.045C | RPL8B | protein biosynthesis | 3.62 | 3.37 | 0 |

Table 10B: ESR subset of genes that are induced in both WT and
mgtl. 127 ESR genes that are induced upon treatment with
MNNG in both WT and $m g t 1$. Interestingly, $57(44 \%)$ of these
are essential genes.

| $\qquad$ ORF | Gene | Function | 4 4 5 | $\begin{aligned} & U \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | 产 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YGR264C | MES | amino acid activation | 2.82 | 248 | E |
| YDR023W | SES 1 | amino acid activation | 3.11 | 3.23 | E |
| YGR185C | TYS1 | amino acid activaton | 9.65 | 9.35 | E |
| YDR321W | ASP1 | amino acid metabolism | 3.06 | 2.74 | 2 |
| YML022W | APT1 | AMP biosynthesis | 4.92 | 4.10 | 15 |
| YLR150W | STM1 | anti-apoptosis | 2.93 | 2.76 | 11 |
| YGL148W | ARO2 | aromatic amino acid family biosynthesis | 6.87 | 6.59 | 2 |
| YBR249C | ARO4 | aromatic amino acid family biosynthesis | 11.26 | 9.64 | 4 |
| YGR124W | ASN2 | asparagine biosynthesis | 3.65 | 3.21 | 5 |
| YHR019C | DED81 | diparagini-tRNA ammoacylation | 5.99 | 5.86 | E |
| YML060W | OGG1 | base-excision repair, AP site formation | 4.85 | 4.95 | 0 |
| YEL040W | UTR2 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 11.35 | 8.29 | 0 |
| YMR307W | GAS1 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 5.71 | 6.11 | 4 |
| YMR212C | EFR3 | :eliniar moronogenesis | 3.52 | 3.89 | E |
| YDL 1433 Wi | COT4 | cyioskeletor organizatuon and broyeriesis | 3.74 | 3.36 | E |
| YNL.102W | POL 1 | DNa repair synthesis | 275 | 3.26 | 5 |
| YER070W | RNR1 | DNA replication | 23.03 | 22.30 | 5 |
| YHR042W | NCP1 | ergosterol biosynthesis | 3.01 | 2.49 | 0 |
| YLR372W | SUR4 | fatty acid biosynthesis | 12.68 | 11.59 | 0 |
| YDR454C | GUK1 | GMP metabolism | 3.15 | 3.19 | 0 |
| YHR216W | IMD2 | GTP brosynthesis | 3.20 | 2.97 | F |
| YBL068W | PRS4 | histidine biosynthesis | 2.69 | 2.77 | 0 |
| YPR033C | HTS | nistuylttina aminoacylation | 4.99 | 4.96 | E |
| YHR068W | DYSi | hypusine biosynthesis from peotidyi-lvsine | 5.46 | 4.39 | E |
| YNR043W | MVD1 | Isoprenoid biosynthesis | 5.10 | 3.46 | E |
| YGLi20C | PRP43 | larrat tormation. 5 'spuce site cleavage | 2.23 | 2.30 | $E$ |
| YPL160W | CDC60 | ieucyl-tRNA aminoacylation | 3.17 | 3.34 | $E$ |



| Table 10B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YGL147C | RPL9A | protein biosynthesis | 2.90 | 3.37 | 0 |
| YLR441C | RPS1A | protein biosynthesis | 3.79 | 3.06 | 0 |
| YLR441C | RPS1A | protein biosynthesis | 2.73 | 2.54 | 0 |
| YML063W | RPS1B | protein biosynthesis | 3.23 | 3.08 | 0 |
| YER131W | RPS26B | protein biosynthesis | ${ }^{3.48}$ | ${ }^{3.43}$ | 0 |
| YOR167C | RPS28A | protein biosynthesis | 2.88 | 2.67 | 0 |
| YLR388W | RPS29A | protein biosynthesis | 2.23 | 2.35 | 0 |
| YDR418W | RPL12B | protein biosynthesis | 4.77 | 3.87 | 5 |
| YDR418W | RPL 12 B | protein biosynthesis | 4.35 | 3.84 | 5 |
| YJL190C | RPS22A | protein biosynthesis | 5.95 | 5.15 | 7 |
| YGR148C | RPL24B | protein biosynthesis | 2.67 | 2.33 | 8 |
| VHR052W | $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {\% }}$ | proten calabolism | 2.45 | 2.4 | E |
| YGR285C | ZUO1 | protein folding | 4.50 | 4.47 | 2 |
| YKL. 154 MW | SRP102 | protern-ER targeting | 2.50 | 2.60 | E |
| YERODOW | NTF? | oroten-micieus imoort | 2.65 | 231 | E |
| YER118C | SHO1 | pseudohyphal growth | 2.39 | 2.68 | 0 |
| YOR243C | PUS7 | pseudouridine synthesis | 3.95 | 3.85 | 0 |
| YBR252W | DUT1 | byimime deoxyribonucieoside triphosphate catabolism, | 3.33 | 3.34 | E |
| VHR144C | DCDi | jyyminidine nucieolide metaboism | +93: | ${ }^{2} .62$ | E |
| YHR128W | FUR1 | pyriminime savage | 3.32 | 2.55 | E |
| YGLOOBC | PMA1 | : equiation of pH | 2.53 | 215 | \% |
| YDR453C | TSA2 | regulation of redox homeostasis | 5.84 | 9.75 | 0 |
| YGi123W | RPS2 | requation of transtational fidelity | 2.14 | 2.05 | F |
| YLR276C | DBP9 | ribosonia: large subum! assembly and mamienance | 2.48 | 2.38 | $E$ |
| YIRO12W | SOT1 | ribosomal large subunit assembly and maintenance | 2.36 | 2.09 | $E$ |
| YGL111W | NSA: | inosumal lagge subuint biogenesis | 2.09 | 2.26 | ${ }^{5}$ |
| YLR186W | EMGi | ribosome biogenests | 3.12 | 3.14 | E |
| YMR131C | RRB: | :bosome brogenesis | 2.50 | 2.61 | E |
| YHR089C | GAR1 | RRNA modification | ${ }^{4.93}$ | 436 | $\varepsilon$ |
| YOR310C | NOP58 | rRNA modificauon | 3.01 | 2.53 | E |
| YLR19\%M | Sik ${ }^{1}$ | RRNA modification | 3.40 | 4.06 | ? |
| YPL211W | NIP7 | tRNA processing | 235 | 2.05 | ¢ |





| Table 11A | $\begin{array}{ll} \frac{c}{\Phi} & \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{array}$ |  | $5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OU } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF |  |  |  |  |  |
| YKL217W | JEN1 | lactate transport | -23.93 | -26.18 | 2 |
| YMR313C | NA | lipid metabolism | -2.10 | -2.03 | 2 |
| YDR072C | IPT1 | mannosyl diphosphorylinositol ceramide metabolism | -3.63 | -2.78 | 0 |
| YDL214C | PRR2 | MAPKKK cascade | -38.22 | -40.91 | 0 |
| YJR094C | IME1 | meiosis | -2.97 | -2.93 | 0 |
| YPL200W | CSM4 | meiotic chromosome segregation | -11.14 | -11.33 | 0 |
| YJR021C_ex1 | REC107 | meiotic recombination | -3.24 | -3.13 | 0 |
| YJR021C_ex2 | REC107 | meiotic recombination | -2.32 | -2.07 | 0 |
| YLR219W | MSC3 | meiotic recombination | -2.78 | -2.82 | 0 |
| YLL018C-A | COX19 | metal ion transport | -2.56 | -2.50 | 2 |
| YBR290W | BSD2 | metal ion transport | -3.90 | -3.49 | 0 |
| YGL184C | STR3 | methonine brosynthesis | -2.51 | -4.22 | E |
| YNL277W | MET2 | methionine biosynthesis | -11.46 | -24.01 | 0 |
| YOR2576 | CDC31 | microunte mucleation: | -2.61 | -2.51 | E |
| YPL060W | LPE10 | mitochondrial magnesium ion transport | -2.66 | -2.39 | 0 |
| YPL134C | ODC1 | mitochondrial transport | -2.92 | -3.84 | 0 |
| YML091C | RPM2 | mutocnundrion organization and biogenesis | -2.33 | $-2.61$ | $E$ |
| YGL219C | MDM34 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | $-2.71$ | $-2.27$ | 0 |
| YOR147W | MDM32 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | -3.41 | $-2.68$ | 0 |
| YOL027C | MDM38 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | -2.18 | -2.07 | 0 |
| YPR083W | MDM36 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | -2.50 | -2.24 | 0 |
| YBR179C | FZO1 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | -3.46 | $-2.50$ | 0 |
| YJL116C | NCA3 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | -27.10 | -19.36 | 0 |
| YOR058C | ASE1 | mitotic anaphase B | -3.60 | -4.07 | 4 |
| YBL084C | CDC27 | mitotic metaphase | -2.95 | -3.70 | $\varepsilon$ |
| YKL022C | CDC16 | mitotic: metaphase anaphase transition | -2.64 | -2.99 | E |
| YFR036W | CDC26 | mitotic metaphase anaphase | $-2.19$ | -2.38 | 14 |



| Table 11A | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{9} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underline{1}}{\underline{1}} \stackrel{0}{\tilde{U}}=$ | $\xi 4$ | EU | $\geq$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF |  |  |  |  |  |
| YHL027W | RIM101 | negative regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter | -2.51 | -2.70 | 23 |
| YOR140W | SFL1 | negative regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter | -3.21 | -3.09 | 7 |
| YPR065W | ROX1 | negative regulation of transcription from Pol Il promoter | -10.00 | -11.75 | 6 |
| YER161C | SPT2 | negative regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter | -2.20 | -2.48 | 6 |
| YER169W | RPH1 | negative regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter | -4.81 | -4.13 | 0 |
| YML113W | DAT1 | negative regulation of transcription from Pof Il promoter | -3.05 | -2.70 | 0 |
| YOR348C | PUT4 | neutral amino acid transport | -64.15 | $-84.46$ | 0 |
| YJR078W | BNA2 | nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide biosynthesis | -19.89 | -24.67 | 0 |
| YKL201C | MNN4 | N -linked glycosylation | -9.46 | -8.40 | 0 |
| YMR017W | SPO20 | nonselective vesicle fusion | -9.75 | -12.08 | 0 |
| YER015W | FAA2 | N-terminal proten mynstoylation | -5.28 | -7.06 | E |
| YDR150W | NUM1 | nuclear migration (sensu Saccharomyces) | -3.43 | -3.08 | 4 |
| YJL019W | MPS3 | nucear mugraton curng confugation with cellular fusion | $-2.59$ | -2.79 | E |
| ruturan | MPS; | nuclear migration during conjugation whth cetular tusior: | 2.15 | 2.47 | F |
| YOR023C | AHC1 | nucleosome disassembly | -3.86 | -3.64 | 6 |
| YDR460W | TFB? | nucreotide-excision revair | -2.31 | 2.04 | $E$ |
| YJL090C | DPB11 | nucleotide-excision repair | $-2.30$ | 2.14 | E |
| YER162C | RAD4 | nucleotide-excision repair, DNA damage recognition | -3.39 | -3.15 | 11 |
| YOR065W | CYT1 | oxidative phosphorylation | -3.52 | -4.07 | 4 |
| YHR001W-A_ex2 | QCR10 | oxidative phosphorylation, ubiquinone to cytochrome c | -3.49 | $-3.58$ | 0 |
| YDR256C | CTA1 | oxygen and reactive oxygen species metabolism | -58.65 | -66.50 | 4 |
| YBR177C | TKL2 | pentose-phosphate striunt | -75.32 | -87.13 | E |
| YPR049C | CVT9 | peroxisome degradation | -4.16 | -3.88 | 0 |
| YDR244W | PEX5 | peroxisome organization and | -3.26 | -3.14 | 9 |


| Table 11A ORF | ※ |  | 4 4 5 | U 4 0 E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | transition |  |  |  |
| YGL003C | CDH1 | mitotic metaphase anaphase transition | -2.89 | -2.48 | 8 |
| YML034W | SRC1 | mitotic sister chromatid separation | -2.30 | -2.27 | 9 |
| YKL052C | ASK1 | mitotic spindie assembly (sensu Saccharomyces) | -2.13 | -2.06 | E. |
| YNL164C | IBD2 | mitotic spindle checkpoint | -2.44 | -2.44 | 2 |
| YOR178C | GAC1 | mitotic spindle checkpoint | -8.86 | -4.88 | 0 |
| YNL065W | AQR1 | monocarboxylic acid transport | -6.47 | -5.30 | 0 |
| YLR070C | XYL2 | monosaccharide metabolism | -2.27 | -2.93 | 0 |
| YJL094C | KHA1 | monovalent inorganic cation transport | -5.13 | -5.02 | 0 |
| YNL232W | CSLA | miRNA cataboilsm | 2.15 | 2.28 | 5 |
| YOL.142W | RRP4i; | mRNA Catabolisir: | -2.43 | 2.62 | \% |
| YKL.059C | MPE ${ }^{1}$ | mRNA cleavage | . 3.46 | -3.79 | E |
| YOR035C | SHE4 | mRNA localization, intracellular | -2.22 | -2.59 | 13 |
| YPL.119C | DBP1 | mRNA processing | -7.89 | -10.71 | 0 |
| YPL151C | PRP46 | mRNA sulticing | 2.54 | 3. 18 | E |
| YOR319W | HSH49 | mRNA splicing | -4.7 | -5.17 | $E$ |
| YOR088C | Stu7 | mRNA spicing | -2.58 | 2.50 | E |
| YDL209C | CWC2 | mRNA splicing | 2.95 | -3.27 | E |
| YLR298C | YHC, | mRNA splicing | 2.22 | 4.46 | E |
| YPR182W | SMX3 | inRNA splicing | -2.03 | -2.32 | E |
| YPL213W | LEA1 | mRNA splicing | -2.58 | -3.13 | 0 |
| YBR119W_ex2 | MUD1 | mRNA splicing | -2.41 | -2.24 | 0 |
| YOR098C | NUP1 | mRNA-nucleus export | -3.23 | - 3.2 | $E$ |
| YMR255W | GFD1 | mRNA-nucleus export | -2.27 | -2.63 | 0 |
| YOR328W | PDR10 | multidrug transport | -8.39 | -9.94 | 0 |
| YPL167C | REV3 | mutagenesis | -3.33 | -3.21 | 19 |
| YJL153C | INO1 | myo-inositol metabolism | -9.24 | -11.56 | 0 |
| YDR497C | ITR1 | myo-inositol transport | $-2.00$ | -2.28 | 0 |
| YGL062W | PYC1 | NADPH regeneration | ${ }^{-3.49}$ | -3.75 | 0 |
| YIL112W | HOS4 | negative regulation of meiosis | $-2.28$ | -2.29 | 0 |
| YER159C | BUR6 | negative regulation of wanscripuon from Pol Il promoter | -4.00 | -4.26 | E |
| YDR464W | SPP41 | negative regulation of transcribtion: from Pol il promoter | $-5.66$ | -4.35 | E |



| $\begin{gathered} K!! \\ 1!\geq!s \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\infty$ | $\cdots$ | － | $\sim$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | － | w | 山 | u | 山 | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | ～ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | － | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oy } \\ & 16 u \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{O}{\vdots}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{c}{2}}{\stackrel{m}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | \|o | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | \|e | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underset{\gamma}{\gamma}}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{8}{7}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{i}{\circ}}$ | $\underset{\varphi}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{ल}{\stackrel{M}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{N}}}{ }$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{m}{\frac{m}{i}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & I \mathrm{H} \\ & \perp \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | N | or | ! | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{V}}}{\substack{ \\\hline}}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \square \\ & \ddagger \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{5}{c}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\underset{N}{4} \\ \underset{y}{c} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{7}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { q}}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varphi \\ & \varphi \\ & \varphi \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Nָ }}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | No | $\underset{\stackrel{y}{c}}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{+}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \cdots \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ¢ |
| $\begin{array}{r} u \\ \text { o!p } \\ \text { un_ } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \frac{2}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | O |
| $\begin{array}{r} ə \\ \text { uə๖ } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & m \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\alpha} \\ & \frac{\alpha}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underline{\Sigma}}{\underset{\Sigma}{\mathbb{W}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{E} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & N \\ & N \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\sum_{2}^{\frac{y}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\ddot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\ddot{\rightharpoonup}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u}}$ | $\overline{\bar{S}}$ | $\sum_{\Sigma}^{\Gamma}$ | $\stackrel{F}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\infty}{5}}{\underset{\Sigma}{\mid n}}$ | $\overline{\overline{2}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{\frac{1}{3}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஜ\% } \\ & \stackrel{8}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{\sum}^{N}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{u}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \frac{\infty}{\frac{\infty}{\omega}}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \bar{y} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{n}{0}$ | $\text { \|en } \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \\ & \mathbb{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | ๗ | － |
| $\frac{0}{0}$ | $O$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{7} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ü } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { üs } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 0 $\underset{\sim}{0}$ $\underset{y}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \tilde{N} \\ & \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{v} \\ & \stackrel{N}{v} \\ & \stackrel{N}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{y} \\ & \tilde{y} \\ & \underset{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |


| Table 11A ORF | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{\overleftarrow{心}} \end{aligned}$ |  | 4 4 5 | U U E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YLR417W | VPS36 | protein－Golgi retention | －2．72 | －2．15 | 30 |
| YNR006W | VPS27 | protein－Golgi retention | －2．01 | －2．32 | 7 |
| YELO30W | ECM10 | protein－mitochondrial targeting | －3．33 | －4．32 | 30 |
| YBR165W | UBS1 | protein－nucleus export | －2．32 | －2．09 | 0 |
| YJR074W | MOG1 | protein－nucleus import | －2．27 | －3．12 | 7 |
| YAL055W | PEX22 | protein－peroxisome targeting | －2．51 | －2．43 | 21 |
| YOL044W | PEX15 | protein－peroxisome targeting | －2．42 | －2．42 | 20 |
| YHR160C | PEX18 | protein－peroxisome targeting | －10．05 | －9．60 | 11 |
| YDL065C | PEX19 | protein－peroxisome targeting | －2．64 | －2．59 | 7 |
| YDR142C | PEX7 | protein－peroxisome targeting | －2．49 | －2．64 | 4 |
| YDR329C | PEX3 | protein－peroxisome targeting | －2．96 | －2．60 | 4 |
| YML041C | VPS71 | protein－vacuolar targeting | －2．06 | －2．41 | 15 |
| YFL016C | MDJ1 | proteolysis and peptidolysis | －4．29 | －5．96 | 0 |
| YJL172W | CPS1 | proteolysis and peptidolysis | －3．22 | －2．71 | 0 |
| YNL142W | MEP2 | pseudohyphal growth | －6．62 | －7．83 | 4 |
| YMR316W | DIA1 | pseudohyphal growth | －3．87 | －3．29 | 0 |
| YDL024C | DIA3 | pseudohyphal growth | －10．02 | －13．61 | 0 |
| YOR032C | HMS1 | pseudohyphal growth | －6．77 | －6．02 | 0 |
| YER020W | GPA2 | pseudohyphal growth | $-3.78$ | －3．44 | 0 |
| YKL166C | TPK3 | pseudohyphal growth | －2．65 | －2．68 | 0 |
| YKL043W | PHD1 | pseudohyphal growth | －4．41 | －4．64 | 0 |
| YMR016C | SOK2 | pseudohyphal growth | －7．85 | －5．94 | 0 |
| YKL．216W | URA1 | pyrimidine base biosynthesis | －3．28 | －3．13 | 2 |
| YOL081W | IRA2 | RAS protein signal transduction | －2．62 | －2．01 | 23 |
| YLL016W | SDC25 | RAS protein signal transduction | －2．40 | $-2.26$ | 0 |
| YIL122W | POG1 | re－entry into mitotic cell cycle after pheromone arrest | －3．01 | $-2.43$ | 0 |
| YDR216W | ADR1 | regulation of carbohydrate metabolism | －4．82 | $-3.68$ | 18 |
| YGL237C | HAP2 | regulation of carbohydrate metabolism | $-2.43$ | －2．49 | 0 |
| YKL109W | HAP4 | regulation of carbohydrate metabolism | －3．83 | －2．52 | 0 |
| YMR036C | MIH1 | regulation of CDK activity | －7．31 | －6．85 | 0 |
| YOL078W | AVO1 | reguiation of cell growth | －2．33 | －2．05 | E |
| YMR068W | AVO2 | regulation of cell growth | $-2.83$ | －2．95 | 0 |
| YLR403W | SFP1 | regulation of cell size | $-4.76$ | －4．83 | 15 |


| Table 11A | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ <br> $\omega$ | 들 은 | $\xi$ | 苗 | 京 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF |  |  |  |  |  |
| VDR082W | STN1 | relornere capping | -2.14 | -2.12 | E |
| YGR144W | TH14 | thiamin biosynthesis | -6.73 | -9.62 | 10 |
| YPL258C | THI21 | thiamin biosynthesis | -3.15 | -4.00 | 4 |
| YMR096W | SNZ1 | thiamin biosynthesis | -5.31 | -4.81 | 0 |
| YPR006C | ICL2 | threonine catabolism | -2.65 | -2.85 | 0 |
| YHR206W | SKN7 | transcription | -3.47 | $-3.66$ | 7 |
| YMR070W | MOT3 | transcription | -2.45 | -2.50 | 0 |
| YKL.125W | RRN3 | rranscriotion from Poll promoter | -2.12 | -2.32 | E |
| YOLI35C | MED 7 | iranscription from Poill promoter | . 2.27 | -2.71 | E |
| YBL093C | ROX3 | transcription from Pol II promoter | -3.11 | $-2.89$ | 19 |
| YGL.127C | $\mathrm{SOH1}$ | transcription from Pol II promoter | -4.25 | -4.46 | 7 |
| YPR104C | FHLT | transcription from Pol Ill promoter | -2.58 | 2.76 | E |
| VKR062W | TFAZ | ranscnption initiation from Pol Il promotet | -2.51 | $-2.21$ | $E$ |
| YPR025C | CCL 1 | rranscription initiation from Poi il promoter | 2.94 | -3.76 | E |
| YPR005C | HAL1 | transcription initiation from Pol II promoter | -4.81 | -6.23 | 0 |
| YGL166W | CUP2 | transcription initiation from Pol II promoter | -8.34 | -7.97 | 0 |
| YOL089C | HAL9 | transcription initiation from Pol II promoter | $-3.68$ | -3.98 | 0 |
| YPR008W | HAA1 | transcription initiation from Pol II promoter | -2.56 | -2.29 | 0 |
| YOL068C | HST1 | transcriptional gene silencing | -2.98 | -3.06 | 7 |
| YNL014W | HEF3 | translational elongation | -6.91 | -7.08 | 0 |
| YOR204W | DED | rranslationat mitiation | $-3.74$ | -5.08 | E |
| YNiL006W | LSTE | transpor: | -2.01 | -2.55 | E |
| YDR384C | ATO3 | transport | -3.54 | -4.04 | 7 |
| YNL125C | ESBP6 | transport | -2.28 | -2.22 | 4 |
| YKR039W | GAP1 | transport | -4.94 | -6.45 | 4 |
| YKL221W | MCH2 | transport | -2.14 | -2.45 | 2 |
| YDR536W | STL1 | transport | -91.20 | -110.14 | 0 |
| YDR406W | PDR15 | transport | -2.72 | -2.76 | 0 |
| YNR070W | PDR18 | transport | -3.70 | -4.71 | 0 |
| YCL025C | AGP1 | transport | -4.50 | -2.57 | 0 |
| YBR001C | NTH2 | trehalose catabolism | -2.33 | -2.04 | 0 |





## Table 11B: ESR subset of genes that are repressed in both WT and mgtl.

There were 60 genes in this category. Genes which had a known function are included here. The essential genes are highlighted in red.

Table 12: Genes involved in DNA replication and repair.
Table 12A lists several genes that are induced in WT and $m g t l$ (except CCE, PRI2, UNG1, SIR2). Table 12C lists 20 genes that are repressed in WT and mgtl .
Table 12C includes genes that are a part of the ESR subset of the same category. A total of 133 genes were selected from the Affymetrix annotation file (which
is derived from SGD). While most genes did not have an appreciable fold change (induction $>2$ or repression $<0.5$ ), there were 25 genes that were induced
(Table 12A) and 20 genes that were repressed.

| ORF | Gene | Function | Sensit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Basal } \\ \text { mgt1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WT } \\ & \text { FC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mgt1 } \\ \text { FC } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YDR489W | NA | DNA dependent DNA repication | E | 1.05 | 3.01 | 2.67 |
| YEL055C | POL5 | DNA dependent DNA repicicaton | E | 1.19 | 3.18 | 3.47 |
| YJL072C | NA | DNA Gependent DNA I Pepication | E | -1.13 | 2.24 | 2.00 |
| YDL164C | CDC9 | DNA recombinator | E | 1.28 | 3.70 | 3.95 |
| YKL011C | CCE1 | DNA recombination | 10 | -1.37 | 1.77 | 2.14 |
| YCR066W | RAD18 | DNA repair | 30 | 1.16 | 2.55 | 2.61 |
| YHR 120 W | MSH1 | DNA repair | 0 | 1.31 | 2.18 | 2.20 |
| YJR069C | HAM1 | DNA repair | 0 | 1.16 | 9.17 | 8.81 |
| YLR383W | RHC18 | DNA repar | E | 1.18 | 2.29 | 3.54 |
| YML021C | UNG1 | DNA repair | 0 | 1.27 | 2.02 | 1.84 |
| YKL045W | PRI2 | DNA P epar synthesis | E | ${ }^{-1.34}$ | 1.96 | 2.00 |
| YGR180C | RNR4 | DNA repication | 9 | 1.05 | 3.14 | 3.06 |
| Y1L066C | RNR3 | DNA replication | 0 | 1.29 | 4.18 | 6.16 |
| YNL273W | TOF1 | DNA topological change | 15 | 1.62 | 4.95 | 6.19 |
| YGL251C | HFM1 | DNA unwinding | 0 | -1.18 | 2.58 | 2.27 |
| YBLO23C | MCM2 | DNA unwinding | E | 1.18 | 2.88 | 2.77 |
| YLR274W | CDC46 | DNA Unwinding, | E | 1.35 | 4.83 | 5.30 |
| YDL042C | SIR2 | DSB repair via NHEJ | 4 | 1.20 | 2.07 | 1.79 |
| YML032C | RAD52 | DSB repair via synthesisdependent strand annealing | 23 | -1.00 | 2.32 | 2.00 |
| YNL312W_ex2 | RFA2 | nucleotide-excision repair | 0 | 1.14 | 4.69 | 4.27 |
| YAR007C | RFA1 | nucleotide-excision repair | E | 1.21 | 3.96 | 4.13 |
| YBR088C | POL30 | nucleotide-excision repair | E | 1.09 | 4.05 | 3.42 |
| YDL102W | CDC2 | nucleotide-excision repair | E | 1.09 | 2.32 | 2.44 |
| YJL173C | RFA3 | nucleotide-excision repar | E | 1.05 | 2.12 | 2.31 |
| प्रR175W | DPB2 | nucleotide-excision repair | E | ${ }^{1.44}$ | 2.1 | 2.28 |

Table 13: Genes that are incrementally induced in both WT and $m g t 1$
The net fold-change (FC) over time, was calculated from ratio of mean expression at the $60^{\text {th }}$ minute to mean expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute. A cut-off of $\mathrm{FC}>2$ (for induction) was used to select genes that have been included in this representation. There were 39 genes induced incrementally. Only 2 (out of 24 genes with known function, $8 \%$ ) were essential.

| Yname | Gene | Functional group |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \hline \mathbf{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 온 } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \frac{9}{4} \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | O 世 ㅌ O O | 8 $\stackrel{8}{0}$ E 0 8 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YDL243C | AAD4 | aldehyde metabolism | 10 | 423.5 | 729.3 | 792.4 | 937.6 | 644.3 | 1195.9 | 2.82 | 273.83 | 463.21 | 511.48 | 542.11 | 420.49 | 600.34 | 2.19 |
| YFL056C | AAD6 | aldehyde metabolism | 3 | 160.7 | 250.2 | 229.8 | 339.1 | 384.5 | 398.6 | 2.48 | 50.03 | 100.60 | 91.68 | 109.99 | 98.94 | 180.67 | 3.61 |
| YFL057C | NA | aldehyde metabolism | -5 | 7650.0 | 11532.1 | 9717.4 | 14634.7 | 14098.1 | 16622.5 | 2.17 | $\begin{array}{r} 2983.0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 6985.08 | 10176.58 | 8394.84 | 7827.92 | 10050.09 | 3.32 |
| YHR033W | NA | amino acid biosynthesis | 0 | 111.9 | 126.7 | 136.3 | 205.4 | 243.5 | 234.7 | 2.10 | 83.79 | 159.57 | 198.48 | 301.26 | 288.55 | 340.60 | 4.06 |
| YMR042W | ARG80 | arginine metabolism | 0 | 121.4 | 197.8 | 173.7 | 246.7 | 267.8 | 414.4 | 3.41 | 81.64 | 161.68 | 246.65 | 234.30 | 214.98 | 221.79 | 2.72 |
| YML058w-a | HUG1 | cell cycle arrest | 0 | 830.7 | 2239.6 | 3511.9 | 5185.8 | 5718.7 | 7118.5 | 8.57 | 470.02 | 1346.56 | 3375.48 | 3790.19 | 5247.58 | 5847.35 | 12.44 |
| YER096W | SHC1 | chitin biosynthesis | 0 | 81.5 | 117.9 | 145.3 | 170.8 | 175.2 | 209.5 | 2.57 | 40.23 | 83.82 | 135.31 | 126.85 | 133.90 | 141.61 | 3.52 |
| YIL066C | RNR3 | DNA replication | 0 | 1440.2 | 2484.8 | 2417.8 | 3218.4 | 3591.1 | 3032.7 | 2.11 | $\begin{array}{r} 1308.1 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 2807.29 | 3752.06 | 4698.10 | 5072.30 | 6225.60 | 4.76 |
| YGL209W | MIG2 | glucose metabolism | 0 | 398.4 | 324.8 | 267.4 | 676.0 | 734.8 | 846.6 | 2.13 | 205.33 | 590.58 | 614.32 | 604.96 | 535.09 | 431.35 | 2.10 |
| YCR005C | CIT2 | glutamate biosynthesis | 0 | 342.4 | 517.8 | 483.8 | 756.4 | 662.2 | 852.9 | 2.49 | 212.22 | 864.02 | 1743.23 | 1305.01 | 1773.16 | 1649.19 | 7.77 |
| YJL116C | NCA3 | mitochondrion organization and biogenesis | 0 | 90.3 | 109.8 | 113.0 | 148.6 | 185.7 | 232.1 | 2.57 | 97.00 | 118.93 | 192.07 | 314.79 | 303.04 | 204.88 | 2.11 |
| YJL088W | ARG3 | ornithine metabolism | 0 | 54.0 | 83.6 | 96.6 | 142.2 | 160.6 | 164.5 | 3.05 | 72.86 | 85.00 | 211.63 | 175.24 | 133.42 | 248.12 | 3.41 |
| YBR296C | PHO89 | phosphate transport | 0 | 655.0 | 945.9 | 1313.1 | 2044.6 | 1776.4 | 1703.6 | 2.60 | 251.08 | 369.45 | 763.52 | 361.38 | 1081.11 | 1075.80 | 4.28 |
| YOR120W | GCY1 | salinity response | 0 | 1552.6 | 2195.4 | 2533.5 | 3871.6 | 4050.6 | 3727.5 | 2.40 | $\begin{array}{r} 2850.6 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 3689.45 | 4011.68 | 4208.10 | 4389.40 | 5949.84 | 2.09 |
| YMR095C | SNO1 | thiamin biosynthesis | 0 | 99.4 | 211.4 | 280.2 | 432.2 | 397.4 | 469.9 | 4.73 | 76.46 | 154.61 | 344.48 | 236.06 | 253.88 | 488.60 | 6.39 |
| YMR096W | SNZ1 | thiamin biosynthesis | 0 | 301.4 | 764.1 | 976.3 | 1305.0 | 1158.6 | 1244.5 | 4.13 | 262.80 | 569.14 | 1438.37 | 913.24 | 1115.98 | 2048.97 | 7.80 |

Table 13B: ESR subset of Genes that are incrementally induced in both WT and mgt1

| Yname | Gene | Functional group | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{n} \\ & \frac{\lambda}{n} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\omega} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 옹․ 5 8 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{8} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{e}{3} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | wt60/wt10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 士 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { 芴 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ | 016ul0916u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YLR267W | BOP2 | NA | 0 | 107.6 | 169.1 | 231.9 | 307.1 | 374.8 | 407.5 | 3.79 | 64.27 | 274.66 | 625.14 | 357.24 | 575.23 | 499.22 | 7.77 |
| YGR161C | RTS3 | NA | 5 | 843.6 | 1080.3 | 1152.4 | 1460.6 | 1853.3 | 2083.2 | 2.47 | 549.72 | 1064.81 | 1714.70 | 1755.88 | 1678.78 | 2134.98 | 3.88 |
| YBL054W | NA | NA | 8 | 84.8 | 144.1 | 101.7 | 176.3 | 209.3 | 216.4 | 2.55 | 52.30 | 154.91 | 288.23 | 247.98 | 252.49 | 253.60 | 4.85 |
| YDL222C | NA | NA | 0 | 141.1 | 215.4 | 269.8 | 394.6 | 308.1 | 333.2 | 2.36 | 301.14 | 559.44 | 493.51 | 720.33 | 936.17 | 1056.03 | 3.51 |

Table 14 Genes that are incrementally induced specifically in WT
The net fold-change (FC) over time, was calculated from ratio of mean expression at the $60^{\text {th }}$ minute to mean expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute. $A$ Ged .

| Yname | Gene <br> Symbol | Functional group | Av WT/WTO | MMS, <br> viability | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg } \\ & \text { WT10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT20 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT30 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT } 40 \end{gathered}$ | Avg WT 50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT } 60 \end{gathered}$ | WT60/WT10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YML054C | CYB2 | electron transport | 0.07 | 0 | 99.13 | 203.26 | 238.41 | 238.78 | 256.01 | 220.98 | 2.23 |
| YDL085W | NDE2 | ethanol fermentation | 0.04 | 0 | 144.10 | 184.81 | 238.17 | 265.54 | 269.26 | 325.05 | 2.26 |
| YOR100C | CRC1 | fatty acid metabolism | 0.09 | 0 | 161.05 | 238.13 | 252.13 | 339.38 | 311.16 | 394.92 | 2.45 |
| YGL035C | MIG1 | glucose metabolism | 0.21 | 7 | 126.07 | 133.19 | 156.80 | 218.41 | 251.11 | 298.64 | 2.37 |
| YAL062W | GDH3 | glutamate biosynthesis | 0.04 | 0 | 281.74 | 428.32 | 460.85 | 472.53 | 535.90 | 573.41 | 2.04 |
| YLL060C | GTT2 | glutathione metabolism | 4.01 | 7 | 1771.32 | 2721.67 | 2488.43 | 2979.29 | 2854.34 | 4535.04 | 2.56 |
| YFL030W | NA | glyoxylate cycle | 0.22 | 2 | 615.69 | 791.77 | 742.85 | 1090.96 | 1076.14 | 1343.21 | 2.18 |
| YLR205C | HMX1 | iron ion homeostasis | 0.98 | 0 | 206.71 | 257.03 | 254.06 | 372.90 | 324.28 | 455.81 | 2.21 |
| YMR177W | MMT1 | iron ion homeostasis | 4.24 | 0 | 271.52 | 328.19 | 298.04 | 300.36 | 341.23 | 581.03 | 2.14 |
| YOR226C | ISU2 | iron-sulfur cluster assembly | 0.90 | 0 | 1022.65 | 1197.71 | 1083.34 | 1132.19 | 1165.73 | 2268.71 | 2.22 |
| YOR058C | ASE1 | mitotic anaphase B | 0.28 | 4 | 175.89 | 217.26 | 210.68 | 202.42 | 194.98 | 381.23 | 2.17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YCL026C- } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | FRM2 | negative regulation of fatty acid metabolism | 4.33 | 0 | 259.66 | 377.95 | 310.93 | 349.26 | 287.34 | 568.32 | 2.19 |
| YKL029C | MAE1 | pyruvate metabolism | 1.76 | 4 | 951.71 | 1629.09 | 1822.08 | 1584.07 | 1886.66 | 2290.81 | 2.41 |
| YBR008C | FLR1 | response to toxin | 3.32 | 2 | 1860.31 | 2924.27 | 2831.04 | 4072.04 | 3361.60 | 6093.18 | 3.28 |
| YOR119C | RIO1 | S phase of mitotic cell cycle | 1.03 | 5 | 79.87 | 135.66 | 126.31 | 166.09 | 132.27 | ${ }^{168.18}$ | 2.11 |
| YIL168W | SDL1 | serine family amino acid metabolism | 1.23 | 0 | 86.10 | 105.89 | 102.16 | 138.24 | 120.22 | 219.88 | 2.55 |
| YPL093W | NOG: | NA | 1.25 | -5 | 231.33 | 333.06 | 335.78 | 359.10 | 399.49 | 486.67 | 2.10 |
| YLI 164 C | NIT1 | NA | 3.13 | 0 | 751.69 | 1311.47 | 1385.40 | 1584.14 | 1600.41 | 1617.29 | 2.15 |
| YPL171C | OYE3 | NA | 2.43 | 4 | 2957.80 | 4349.72 | 4090.99 | 6722.06 | 6444.98 | 7782.61 | 2.63 |
| YKR046C | PET10 | NA | 0.34 | 4 | 960.20 | 1303.97 | 1703.99 | 1782.36 | 1939.97 | 2113.00 | 2.20 |

Table 14B ESR subset of genes that are induced specifically in WT

| Yname | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gene } \\ \text { Symbol } \end{gathered}$ | Functional group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av } \\ \text { WT/WTO } \end{gathered}$ | MMS, viability | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT10 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg } \\ & \text { WT20 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg WT30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT } 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { WT } 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg } \\ & \text { WT } 60 \end{aligned}$ | WT60/WT10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YLR074C | BUD20 | bud site selection | 0.41 | 11 | 268.72 | 441.26 | 400.32 | 416.72 | 458.51 | 591.42 | 2.20 |
| YKR076W | ECM4 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0.65 | 2 | 740.90 | 850.44 | 1087.85 | 1278.07 | 1313.60 | 1881.47 | 2.54 |
| YNR002C | FUN34 | transport | 0.16 | 0 | 391.12 | 457.98 | 594.61 | 935.55 | 839.85 | 993.69 | 2.54 |
| YFL014W | HSP12 | response to dessication | 0.43 | 4 | 3372.85 | 6607.11 | 7848.80 | 8372.66 | 8140.08 | 8758.40 | 2.60 |

Table 15: Genes that are incrementally induced specifically in mgt1
The net fold-change (FC) over time, was calculated from ratio of mean expression at the $60^{\text {th }}$ minute to mean expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute. A cut-off of $\mathrm{FC}>2$ (for induction) was used to select genes that have been included in this representation. There were 210 genes in this category. Genes that are not a part of the ESR are included in Table 15A. The genes that are incrementally induced specifically in mgtl but are a part of the ESR are included in table 15B

## Table 15A

| Yname | Gene Symbol | Functional group | Sensit | Avg <br> mgt <br> 10 | Avg <br> mgt <br> 20 | Avg <br> mgt 30 | Avg <br> mgt <br> 40 | Avg <br> mgt 50 | Avg <br> mgt <br> 60 | mgt60/mgt10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YLR452C | SST2 | adaptation to pheromone during conjugation with cellular fusion | 0 | 535.84 | 1189.53 | 1061.18 | 1368.06 | 898.91 | 1108.25 | 2.07 |
| YGL032C | AGA2 | agglutination during conjugation with cellular fusion | 0 | 1156.57 | 1572.32 | 1600.66 | 2038.59 | 1719.50 | 2442.50 | 2.11 |
| YER024W | YAT2 | alcohol metabolism | 0 | 176.02 | 321.47 | 508.51 | 304.97 | 405.29 | 422.34 | 2.40 |
| YDR309C | GIC2 | axial budding | 2 | 447.75 | 473.49 | 703.90 | 839.48 | 710.11 | 1012.98 | 2.26 |
| YKR063C | LAS! | bud growth | 5 | 108.40 | 165.29 | 239.29 | 199.82 | 227.87 | 226.67 | 2.09 |
| YDR006C | SOK1 | cAMP-mediated signaling | 0 | 223.62 | 276.11 | 430.32 | 437.23 | 367.69 | 478.14 | 2.14 |
| YIL(146W | MET30 | cell cycle | -5 | 135.63 | 181.78 | 206.90 | 239.95 | 225.18 | 283.71 | 2.09 |
| YGR032W | GSC2 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0 | 705.35 | 958.25 | 1177.00 | 1324.83 | 1623.21 | 1697.65 | 2.41 |
| YGL178W_ex2 | MPT5 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0 | 949.12 | 1710.98 | 1678.09 | 1835.80 | 1947.02 | 2006.64 | 2.11 |
| YDL223C | HBT1 | celluar morphogenesis during conjugation with cellular fusion | 0 | 222.27 | 415.99 | 402.08 | 373.65 | 476.12 | 503.29 | 2.26 |
| YPL156C | PRM4 | conjugation with cellular fusion | 0 | 273.84 | 450.16 | 567.68 | 554.08 | 516.00 | 554.65 | 2.03 |
| YIL117C | PRM5 | conjugation with cellular fusion | 0 | 1644.14 | 3106.99 | 3972.19 | 2667.34 | 2895.99 | 3509.93 | 2.13 |
| YDR030C | RAD28 | DNA repair | 4 | 172.86 | 286.26 | 412.05 | 371.45 | 413.01 | 527.46 | 3.05 |
| YFR028C | CDC14 | exit from mitosis | -5 | : 86.25 | 3017.10 | 282.50 | 358.03 | 339.11 | 381.43 | 2.05 |
| YJL098W | SAP185 | G1S transition of mitotic cell cycle | 0 | 95.92 | 198.27 | 270.67 | 195.22 | 205.64 | 218.20 | 2.27 |
| YKR099W | BAS1 | histidine biosynthesis | 0 | 61.87 | 123.49 | 228.52 | 154.09 | 148.25 | 152.60 | 2.47 |
| YHLO40C | ARN1 | iron-siderochrome transport | 0 | 173.35 | 442.71 | 559.06 | 394.39 | 356.55 | 362.35 | 2.09 |
| YPL135W | ISU1 | iron-sulfur cluster assembly | 7 | 811.84 | 1207.80 | 1567.37 | 1583.01 | 1343.19 | 1657.97 | 2.04 |
| YIL026C | IRR1 | mitotic sister chromatuc conesion | -5 | 77.52 | ${ }^{158.40}$ | $178.6{ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{380.67}$ | 173.4* | 188.15 | 2.43 |
| YDR021W | FAL1 | mRNA splicing | -5 | 26.46 | 34.08 | 58.12 | 61.11 | 49.26 | 60.75 | 2.30 |
| LSR1_0 | LSR1 | mRNA splicing | 0 | 293.43 | 568.81 | 494.57 | 551.71 | 547.21 | 639.08 | 2.18 |
| YNR053C_ex1 | NOG2 | mRNA splicing | 0 | 38.26 | 48.71 | 78.62 | 101.24 | 79.94 | 84.24 | 2.20 |
| YNR053C_ex2 | NOG2 | mRNA splicing | 0 | 355.63 | 422.71 | 886.09 | 906.71 | 662.12 | 752.72 | 2.12 |


Table 15B: ESR subset of genes that are incrementally $\boldsymbol{m g t 1}$

| Yname | Gene <br> Symbol | Functional group | Sensit | Avg mgt 10 | Avg mgt 20 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } \\ \mathrm{mgt} 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } \\ \text { mgt } 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } \\ \text { mgt } 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } \\ \mathrm{mgt} 60 \end{array}$ | mgt60/mgt10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YHR169W | DBP8 | $35 \$$ primary transcript processing | -5 | 38.86 | 110.14 | 168.25 | 174.38 | 143.42 | 139.09 | 2.02 |
| YGR158C | MTR3 | mRNA catabolism | -5 | ${ }^{121.55}$ | 275.23 | 279.80 | 280.19 | 265.57 | 260.10 | 2.14 |
| YLR129W | DIP2 | processing of 20 S pre-rRNA | -5 | 233.64 | 464.57 | 633.84 | 626.48 | 553.49 | 515.36 | 2.21 |
| YLR222C | UTP13 | processing of 20 S pre-rRNA | -5 | ${ }^{139.65}$ | 258.53 | 430.32 | 384.16 | 345.47 | 377.06 | 2.70 |
| YML093W | UTP14 | processing of 205 pre-rRNA | -5 | 127.02 | 219.35 | . 356.51 | 298.95 | 267.95 | 276.32 | 2.18 |
| YMR093W | UTP15 | :rocessing of 20 S pre-rRNA | -5 | 83.62 | 144.76 | 275.57 | 246.58 | ${ }^{150.70}$ | ${ }^{182.60}$ | 2.18 |
| YDR398W | UTP5 | processing of 205 pre-rRNA | -5 | 231.09 | 276.21 | 420.64 | 389.90 | 371.88 | 466.21 | 2.02 |
| YDR449C | UTP6 | processing of 20 S pre-rRNA | - 5 | 41.81 | 64.58 | 120.76 | 104.82 | 36.62 | 103.70 | 2.48 |
| YER082C | UTP7 | processing of 20 Spre-rRNA | -5 | 87.69 | 116.60 | ${ }^{176.64}$ | ${ }^{140.72}$ | 155.32 | 176.84 | 2.02 |
| YHR196W | UTP9 | processing of 20 pre-rRNA | -5 | 47.43 | 84.42 | 137.08 | 148.50 | 96.77 | 122.52 | 2.58 |
| YOR145C | PNO1 | protein complex assembly | -5 | 274.3? | 352.01 | 624.30 | 300.34 | 632.53 | 647.92 | 2.36 |
| YHR088W | RPF 1 | ribosomal large subunit assembly and maintenance | -5 | 73.38 | 153.45 | 235.87 | 189.68 | 240.99 | 293.72 | 4.00 |
| YNL308C | KRII | ribosome biogenesis | -5 | 242.25 | '150.99 | 555.37 | 527.63 | 497.87 | 571.39 | 2.36 |
| YDL060W | TSR1 | ribosome biogenesis anc assembly | -5 | 425.12 | 621.10 | 959.29 | 937.47 | 884.10 | 937.44 | 2.21 |
| YHR148W | IMP3 | rRNA modification | -5 | 81.45 | 11742 | 149.50 | 137.99 | 168.08 | 166.53 | 2.04 |
| YLL011W | SOF1 | rRNA modification | 5 | 84.65 | $171.2^{7}$ | 34976 | 329.96 | 213.21 | 250.61 | 2.96 |
| YHR197W | \|P12 | NA | - 5 | 208.42 | 302.67 | 431.26 | 404.92 | 445.96 | 438.12 | 2.10 |
| YNL 182C | $\mathrm{IPI}^{3}$ | NA | 5 | 46.32 | 89.30 | 110.25 | 110.04 | 92.08 | 93.80 | 2.03 |
| YGL248W | PDE1 | cAMP-mediated signaling | 0 | 857.73 | 1515.46 | 1984.02 | 1475.29 | 1777.83 | 1938.20 | 2.26 |
| YGL156W | AMS1 | carbohydrate metabolism | 0 | 267.04 | 363.48 | 458.83 | 396.14 | 487.11 | 593.61 | 2.22 |
| YHR096C | HXT5 | hexose transport | 0 | 128.78 | 224.38 | 273.32 | 255.55 | 278.39 | 296.70 | 2.30 |
| YGL078C | DBP3 | ribosomal large subunit assembly and maintenance | 0 | 177.58 | 277.67 | 375.11 | 321.56 | 350.27 | 358.39 | 2.02 |
| YKR056W | TRM2 | IRNA modification | 0 | 153.85 | 219.86 | 375.37 | 299.41 | 345.23 | 367.08 | 2.39 |
| YDR496C | PUF6 | NA | 0 | 101.08 | 150.90 | 240.93 | 207.74 | 219.70 | 249.32 | 2.47 |
| YGL180W | APG1 | autophagy | 6 | 219.66 | 365.16 | 347.27 | 310.44 | 334.26 | 443.67 | 2.02 |
| YKR024C | DBP7 | ribosomal large subunit assembly and maintenance | 6 | 48.51 | 85.74 | 138.75 | 143.84 | 99.38 | 117.27 | 2.42 |
| YHR066W | SSF1 | ribosomal large subunit assembly and maintenance | 6 | 47.26 | 124.74 | 244.82 | 242.50 | 148.78 | 190.84 | 4.04 |

Table 16：Genes that incrementally repressed in both WT and mgt1
The net fold－change（FC）over time，was calculated from ratio of mean expression at the $60^{\text {th }}$ minute to mean expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute．A cut－off of $\mathrm{FC}<0.5$（for repression）was used to select genes that have been included in this representation．There are 35 genes in this category．Genes included in Table 16B are the ones that are in this category but are a part of the ESR．

| $\stackrel{\text { u }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $$ |  |  | $\sum_{k}^{\circ}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ì } \\ \stackrel{y}{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 운 } \\ & \frac{5}{k} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{i}{6}}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 융 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{<} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { § } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { ¿ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\text { g }}{E} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { z} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \sum_{0}^{\circ} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{O}{0} \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YBR158W | AMN1 | null | 0 | 177.6 | 467.3 | 429.7 | 371.1 | 253.5 | 213.4 | 206.9 | 185.3 | 473.4 | 416.2 | 346.6 | 303.3 | 236.6 | 231.3 | 0.44 | 0.49 |
| YNR067C | DSE4 | cytokinesis，completion of separation | 0 | 52.4 | 123.5 | 93.0 | 77.5 | 30.2 | 28.1 | 30.1 | 66.4 | 133.2 | 84.7 | 79.9 | 50.6 | 28.5 | 32.0 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| YJL157C | FAR1 | cell cycle arrest | 0 | 87.4 | 87.7 | 72.4 | 57.3 | 42.5 | 42.8 | 35.0 | 88.4 | 149.2 | 126.2 | 69.6 | 110.2 | 71.3 | 54.9 | 0.40 | 0.37 |
| YBL002W | HTB2 | chromatin assembly disassembly | 0 | 175.2 | 233.9 | 191.4 | 162.5 | 104.3 | 108.1 | 109.0 | 188.1 | 255.0 | 150.3 | 136.3 | 150.9 | 152.8 | 113.3 | 0.47 | 0.44 |
| YDL179W | PCL9 | cell cycle | 0 | 54.3 | 45.3 | 30.3 | 27.0 | 17.8 | 16.8 | 17.9 | 71.7 | 40.7 | 40.1 | 31.7 | 24.5 | 19.4 | 15.0 | 0.40 | 0.37 |
| YKL164C | PIR1 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0 | 1310.6 | 1740.8 | 1266.2 | 1280.5 | 626.8 | 631.9 | 421.9 | 875.7 | 1840.0 | \＃\＃\＃ | 915.9 | 514.0 | 481.7 | 284.9 | 0.24 | 0.15 |
| YJL078C | PRY3 | NA | 0 | 423.6 | 1064.6 | 1032.4 | 930.7 | 506.4 | 453.6 | 473.1 | 376.5 | 1094.5 | 852.4 | 768.7 | 511.1 | 462.3 | 328.0 | 0.44 | 0.30 |
| YDR055W | PST1 | NA | 0 | 645.3 | 600.6 | 555.4 | 558.6 | 360.2 | 302.5 | 267.3 | 566.5 | 949.0 | 939.4 | 873.9 | 481.8 | 449.0 | 354.7 | 0.45 | 0.37 |
| YLR367W＿ex1 | RPS22B | protein biosynthesis | 0 | 242.9 | 626.5 | 510.7 | 726.1 | 386.2 | 336.2 | 297.0 | 271.4 | 717.7 | 389.5 | 418.5 | 354.8 | 319.0 | 221.2 | 0.47 | 0.31 |
| YDR502C | SAM2 | methionine metaboism | 0 | 381.7 | 518.5 | 369.5 | 376.1 | 220.7 | 288.9 | 253.5 | 371.9 | 357.5 | 153.7 | 123.5 | 144.8 | 129.7 | 123.8 | 0.49 | 0.35 |
| YGLO28C | SCW11 | cytokinesis，completion of separation | 0 | 39.7 | 94.7 | 81.8 | 73.0 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 28.0 | 48.6 | 136.9 | 134.8 | 93.6 | 74.6 | 56.2 | 54.0 | 0.30 | 0.39 |
| TLC1＿0 | TLC1 | telomerase－dependent telomere maintenance | 0 | 34.7 | 134.8 | 122.4 | 112.4 | 88.6 | 79.7 | 59.0 | 35.9 | 271.1 | 194.0 | 118.0 | 154.1 | 172.6 | 111.6 | 0.44 | 0.41 |

Table 16B：ESR subset of genes that are repressed in both W＇T and mgt1

| 0и\％ $6 \mathrm{~m} / 0916$ \％ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: |
| OLINO91M | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\stackrel{\sim}{N}}$ |
| 0 S ＋ $6 \mathrm{~m} \wedge$＾ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| 0 trbu $\wedge \forall$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| 0 ¢ $16 \mathrm{ur} \wedge \forall$ | 5 |
| 0 O ı ¢ | ¢ |
| Ob 26 m A $\forall$ | N |
| $0 \downarrow 6 \mathrm{~m}$ 6л ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varphi \\ & \dot{\theta} \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ |
| 091M A ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | O－ |
| OGLMAV | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |
| OtLMA | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{3}{\top}}$ |
| 0عLMA | O ¢ N |
| OZ LMA ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{N}$ |
| OLIMA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| OLMA | $\stackrel{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| K！！n！！ | 0 |
| uolpoun」 |  |
| ə๐ә๐ | $\underset{\sim}{ \pm}$ |
| $\pm 80$ | 3 <br> 0 <br> 0 |

The net fold－change（FC）over time，was calculated from ratio of mean expression at the $60^{\text {th }}$ minute to mean expression at the $10^{\text {th }}$ minute．A cut－off of $\mathrm{FC}<0.5$（for repression）was used to select genes that have been included in this representation．There are 118 genes in this category． The genes that are in this category and are a part of ESR are included in table 17B．

| 0ı6m／0976u | \％ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{18}{\square}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 导 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{刃}{\dot{\sigma}}}{ }$ | $10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0}$ | og | $\underset{\substack{\infty}}{\infty}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\substack{0 \\ \dot{O}}}$ | $\mathscr{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 岕 | へ－ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{\delta}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0916u 6＾v | $$ | \|ol | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\dot{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{8}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ \text { N} \end{gathered}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{M}} \\ & \stackrel{9}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Bo }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{2}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \|~م | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{\underset{~}{\dot{J}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 08 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{e} \\ & \hline \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$ | 仓ٍ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{Y}{i}$ | on | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | o | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \stackrel{n}{m} \\ \stackrel{\mu}{m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \infty \\ \dot{J} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{ }{*}}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{*} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\frac{9}{}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{N}} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{J} \end{aligned}$ | 命 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{8} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 产 | 忘 | $\hat{i}$ | O. | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\frac{\square}{N}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\text { N }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { No } \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 은 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\stackrel{\sigma}{F}}$ | $\stackrel{セ}{\sim}$ |
| 0 ¢ $\downarrow$ ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\otimes} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{\circ}$ | ஜீ | $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{?}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\underset{m}{c}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\otimes} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{0}{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{n}}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 10 \\ \vdots \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}$ | \％ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { O} \\ \text { Nㅜ } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \dot{5} \end{aligned}$ | 웅 | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \stackrel{\ominus}{\oplus} \\ \stackrel{\oplus}{4} \end{array}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\stackrel{\circ}{寸}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{8}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{ \pm}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{*} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{\infty}}{\underset{\sim}{x}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \bullet \\ \stackrel{\bullet}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{array}$ | へ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { W⿵内人 } \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{\tilde{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\oplus}{\infty}$ | 둥 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { O. } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{y} \\ & \underset{\infty}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \bar{\circ} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  | 동 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| 076u 6＾＊ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { j}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { NeN } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\Sigma}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\hat{\otimes}}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \bar{\circ} \\ \text { O-p } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\mu}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{8}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{8}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\tilde{0}}{\stackrel{0}{0}}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | N |
| K！！n！！！sues | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ＋ | － | $\infty$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| uolpound |  |  |  | ATP ADP exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ขนขง | ${\underset{U}{\mathrm{Z}}}_{\overline{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \\ & \mathbb{¿} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{m}{\frac{1}{\Sigma}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \$ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underline{I}}{\bar{Z}}$ | $\frac{\frac{\pi}{a}}{\underline{a}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{y} \\ & \underset{\sim}{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{I} \\ & \underset{O}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & a \\ & a \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ | $\frac{\square}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\infty}}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{\alpha}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\alpha}}$ | － |
| J80 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{~}{2} \\ & \underset{>}{z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\grave{x}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{7}{\mathbf{N}} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{0}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{3}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \frac{x}{\lambda} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{y}}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline \mathbf{O} \\ & \underset{\nu}{\mathrm{Y}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{O}{0} \\ & \frac{\underset{H}{2}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\tilde{r}} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{\partial} \\ & \frac{y}{さ} \\ & \underset{y}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{z}{\infty} \\ & \frac{0}{x} \\ & \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 0 $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ $\underset{y}{\text { ¢ }}$ $>$ |

Table 17：Genes that are incrementally repressed specifically in mgt1

| 0Lł6m／09＋6u | \％ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \substack{0 \\ 0} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{0}{\ddagger}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\bar{J}$ | o | $\begin{aligned} & \ddagger \\ & \delta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{0}{G}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{G} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 品 | $\stackrel{寸}{8}$ | 兴 | $1 \begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { gis } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{10}{4}$ | İ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09łбu 6＾ヲ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\underset{y}{z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 寸 } \\ & \underset{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{j} \\ & \stackrel{y}{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { じ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{H}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{6}{5}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \dot{心} \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\text { ® }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\circ\\}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{\dot{G}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{7}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { مٌ } \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| OS $76 \mathrm{~m} \wedge \boldsymbol{\forall}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\infty}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\ \vdots \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | N゙N | $\overline{\bar{\omega}}$ | $8$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & H \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\sigma}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{G}}}$ |  | oi | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | 崔 | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 区- | $\hat{\underset{O}{\theta}}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{m}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | 응 |
| $07+6 \mathrm{~m} \wedge$ ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | 侖 | $\underset{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{j}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R } \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 交 | N゙ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{0}{\circ} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{9}{9} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{\underset{e}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{4}{\stackrel{0}{6}}$ | $\underset{8}{8}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & i 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\Omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\circ}}$ | 잉 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\infty}$ |
| $0 ¢\rceil$ ¢ | 肏 | 鉍 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{0}{i n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{N}}{\underset{N}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { Ñ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eq } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & + \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{\text { M }}{\substack{\text { m }}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{2}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{m}{m} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\widehat{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{9}{9} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{9}{\sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{6} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{G} \\ & \dot{Z} \end{aligned}$ | oㅇ | $\hat{\varrho}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \end{gathered}$ | － |
| 0z $16 \mathrm{~L} \wedge$＾ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { ( } \\ & \hline \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & c \\ & i n \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\dot{N}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{8} \\ & \stackrel{8}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{\prime}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | \|ir | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{i} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | Oi | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & \hat{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ソ } \\ & \text { ® } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { è } \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{\square}}$ | $\stackrel{O}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & - \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mathrm{~b}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | O | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{心} \\ & \text { 夺 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ | 율 |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{~}{~}}{\underset{\tau}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\underset{\sim}{m}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & i n \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\Phi} \\ & \hline \mathbf{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 80 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | N్ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\infty}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\because}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 0才6u 6＾＊ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ | $10$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} a \\ \text { 寺 } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\text { u}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{q}{q}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { di}}{i}$ | $\underset{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \underline{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \text { Nè } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{y}{\omega}$ | $\frac{\infty}{\vdots}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{N}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\varphi}{\dot{\infty}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\dot{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 응 |
| K！！ı！！！sues | $\bullet$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\ldots$ | ＊ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| uo！pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{4}{2}$ | $\frac{4}{z}$ | $\underset{z}{z}$ | z | z | z |
| ขuəง | $\frac{\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{N}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{2}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{-}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\tau} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \frac{\mu}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\mathbb{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & > \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{Y} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{F}{a}$ | $\mid E$ | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{\stackrel{H}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{H}{4}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mu}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \bar{\infty} \\ & z_{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{\bar{i}}{\underline{i}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{u}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{\bar{I}}{\underline{D}}$ | $\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}$ | $\frac{\overline{\bar{N}}}{\bar{\alpha}}$ | $\sum_{ \pm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${\underset{\sim}{\aleph}}_{\substack{2}}$ | N O ¢ ¢ |
| $\pm 80$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \underset{\gtrless}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{y}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \stackrel{8}{2} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{y} \\ & \frac{y}{y} \end{aligned}$ | 3 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{U}{\partial} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial} \\ & \frac{y}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \frac{3}{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \\ \underset{y}{x} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} \\ & \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \frac{\underset{r}{\gamma}}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{1}^{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \mathbf{N}_{0}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{N} \\ & \sum_{0}^{2} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

17B：ESR subset of genes that are specifically repressed in mgt1

| 0L76m／0976u | $\underset{0}{2}$ | 夺 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \dot{O} \\ \dot{O} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $0$ | $10$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { g } \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{O}{\dot{G}}$ | $\stackrel{5}{8}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0916u 6＾＊ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ল } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{9}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\mathrm{n}} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{e}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{2}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\overbrace{}}{\stackrel{ }{\wedge}}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \vdots \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | O. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \circ \\ \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |
| $07 \%$ ¢ 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \substack{\infty \\ \text { 守 }} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | ז্ত্শ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { م } \\ & \dot{O} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 18 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \infty \\ \text { i } \\ \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{array}$ |  | $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| $0 \varepsilon 16 \mathrm{~m} \wedge \forall$ | $\stackrel{i}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { ei } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{e} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{A}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |
|  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & 8 \\ & 80 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\dot{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \end{aligned}$ | 等 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| OL 3 ¢u $\wedge \forall$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & \stackrel{x}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\overline{6}}{\bar{\tau}}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \underset{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\theta}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\underset{\infty}{\underset{~}{\infty}}}{ }$ |
| 076u 6＾＊ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \text { 鹈 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{i o g} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | 弚 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{B}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{8}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \substack{4 \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | $\cong$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| K！！ı！！！sues |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 은 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| uolyouns |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  | § |
| ขuәง | \|⿺辶 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \bar{a} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\sum_{\Sigma} \sum_{\Sigma}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{\infty}{x} \\ \frac{\mu}{3} \end{array}$ | $\underset{y}{\text { N }}$ |
| $\pm 80$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \stackrel{3}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \underset{\lambda}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{n}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{x}} \\ & \underset{\lambda}{\lambda} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{y}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{J} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{J} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{y}{3} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \\ & \gg \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 交 |

Table 18 Genes that are incrementally repressed specifically in WT
There are 90 genes in this category. Genes that have a known function are included in Table 18A. 2 of the genes that had a known function and are also a part of the ESR are included in table 18B. The genes that are essential are included in red.

| $\stackrel{u}{\underline{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{5} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\underset{<}{3}}{\stackrel{\circ}{4}}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{5}{3} \\ & \stackrel{3}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 윤 } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 안 } \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | 오 <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YGR041W | BUD9 | bud site selection | 0 | 23.0 | 74.4 | 56.5 | 53.1 | 37.0 | 32.9 | 25.9 | 0.35 |
| YER124C | DSE1 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0 | 57.3 | 122.5 | 89.0 | 113.7 | 50.5 | 56.5 | 46.5 | 0.38 |
| YHR143W | DSE2 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0 | 612.7 | 2665.5 | 2536.6 | 2417.3 | 1867.6 | 1771.1 | 1247.9 | 0.47 |
| YMR032W | HOF1 | cytokinesis | 28 | 37.1 | 80.7 | 59.6 | 46.4 | 42.5 | 33.4 | 36.8 | 0.46 |
| YNR075W_1 | COS10 | endocytosis | 0 | 45.3 | 195.4 | 136.6 | 96.5 | 91.3 | 68.5 | 80.3 | 0.41 |
| YGR249W | MGA1 | filamentous growth | 0 | 34.3 | 73.0 | 56.0 | 56.9 | 44.1 | 41.9 | 36.1 | 0.49 |
| YDL227C | HO | gene conversion at MAT locus | 0 | 12.5 | 30.0 | 27.2 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 0.49 |
| YDR432W | NPL3 | mRNA-nucleus export | 18 | 799.7 | 926.2 | 734.5 | 703.8 | 720.8 | 519.2 | 362.8 | 0.39 |
| YHR123W_ex1 | EPT1 | phosphatidylethanolamine biosynthesis | 0 | 7.3 | 92.7 | 51.1 | 48.6 | 53.1 | 40.7 | 36.1 | 0.39 |
| YIR033W | MGA2 | positive regulation of transcription from Pol il promoter | 0 | 36.6 | 83.3 | 50.2 | 53.2 | 38.5 | 45.7 | 36.6 | 0.44 |
| YKR080W | MTD1 | purine base biosynthesis | 2 | 913.9 | 1136.0 | 1138.6 | 1594.5 | 719.1 | 1096.1 | 472.6 | 0.42 |
| YGFi16w | SPT6 | RNA erongation from Pol 11 yromoter |  | 28.5 | 83.6 | 42.4 | 50.4 | 34.4 | 471 | 37.6 | 0.45 |
| YIL.074C | SER33 | serine family amino acid biosynthesis | 2 | 105.9 | 524.0 | 455.8 | 459.9 | 397.8 | 417.1 | 261.7 | 0.50 |
| TLC1_1 | TLC1 | telomerase-dependent telomere maintenance | 0 | 41.5 | 183.7 | 153.9 | 147.5 | 128.8 | 119.3 | 82.6 | 0.45 |
| YIL119C | RPI1 | thiamin biosynthesis | 0 | 174.4 | 89.9 | 61.3 | 67.0 | 58.5 | 79.9 | 44.5 | 0.49 |
| YCL.064C | CHA1 | threonine catabolism | 0 | 23.8 | 166.3 | 154.4 | 138.9 | 94.8 | 72.0 | 70.9 | 0.43 |
| YGL077C | HNM1 | transport | 0 | 87.0 | 185.6 | 130.8 | 132.4 | 119.0 | 127.9 | 91.6 | 0.49 |
| Yeloo3w | GIM4 | tubulin folding | 6 | 37.4 | 93.6 | 72.4 | 70.9 | 64.5 | 79.7 | 46.5 | 0.50 |

Table 18B: ESR subset of genes that are incrementally repressed specifically in WT

| $\stackrel{\text { 品 }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 읓 或 L | ? $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ 0 0 | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\underset{\frac{0}{2}}{\stackrel{\circ}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{y y}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 연 } \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \frac{6}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEL040W | UTR2 | cell wall organization and biogenesis | 0 | 22.6 | 359.0 | 318.2 | 251.2 | 205.0 | 230.2 | 178.2 | 0.50 |
| YGL147C | RPL9A | protein biosynthesis | 0 | 105.8 | 561.1 | 171.1 | 232.5 | 271.6 | 382.2 | 222.3 | 0.40 |

## Total RNA synthesis

RNeasy Mini Protocol for Isolation of Total RNA from Yeast<br>Enzymatic Lysis Protocol - standard version

Use an appropriate number of yeast cells

## Important notes before starting

- For RNA isolation from yeast, cells should be harvested in log-phase growth. Use only freshly harvested cells for the enzymatic lysis protocol.


## - Prepare Buffer Y1

Buffer Y1 1 M sorbitol
0.1 M EDTA, pH 7.4

Just before use, add:
0.1\% -mercaptoethanol

50 U lyticase/zymolase per $1 \times 10^{7}$ cells
Depending on the yeast strain and enzyme used, the incubation time, enzyme concentration, and composition of Buffer Y1 may vary. Please adhere to the guidelines of the enzyme supplier.

Important

- $\beta$-Mercaptoethanol ( $\beta$-ME) must be added to Buffer RLT before use. $\beta$-ME is toxic; dispense in a fume hood and wear appropriate protective clothing. Add $10 \mu \mathrm{l} \beta$-ME per 1 ml Buffer RLT. Buffer RLT is stable for 1 month after addition of -ME.
- Buffer RPE is supplied as a concentrate. Before using for the first time, add 4 volumes of ethanol $(96-100 \%)$, as indicated on the bottle, to obtain a working solution.
- Buffer RLT may form a precipitate upon storage. If necessary, redissolve by warming, and then place at room temperature. Buffer RLT and Buffer RW1 contain a guanidine salt and are therefore not compatible with disinfecting reagents containing bleach. Guanidine is an irritant. Take appropriate safety measures and wear gloves when handling.
- After enzymatic lysis, all steps of the RNeasy protocol should be performed at room temperature. During the procedure, work quickly.
- After harvesting the cells, all centrifugation steps should be performed at $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a standard microcentrifuge. Ensure that the centrifuge does not cool below $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

1. Harvest yeast cells in a 12 ml or 15 ml centrifuge tube by centrifuging at $1000 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Do not use more than $5 \times 107$ yeast cells.) Decant supernatant, and carefully remove remaining media by aspiration. After centrifuging, heat the centrifuge to $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ if the same centrifuge is to be used in the following centrifugation steps of the protocol.
Incomplete removal of the supernatant will affect digestion of the cell wall in step 2.
Note: Freshly harvested cells must be used.
2. Resuspend cells in 2 ml freshly prepared Buffer Y1 containing lyticase or zymolase. Incubate for $10-30 \mathrm{~min}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with gentle shaking to generate spheroplasts. Spheroplasts must be handled gently.
Depending on the yeast strain used, the incubation time, amount of enzyme and composition of Buffer Y1 may vary. For best results, follow the guidelines of lyticase/zymolase supplier.

Complete spheroplasting is essential for efficient lysis. Note: Freshly harvested cells must be used for preparation of spheroplasts.
3. Centrifuge for 5 min at $300 \times g$ to pellet spheroplasts. Carefully remove and discard the supernatant.
Note: Incomplete removal of the supernatant will inhibit lysis and dilute the lysate, affecting the conditions for binding of RNA to the RNeasy silica-gel membrane. Both effects may reduce RNA yield.
4. Add $350 \mu$ Buffer RLT to lyse spheroplasts, and vortex vigorously. If insoluble material is visible, centrifuge for 2 min in a microcentrifuge at maximum speed, and use only the supernatant in subsequent steps.
Note: Ensure that $\beta$-ME is added to Buffer RLT before use (see "Important notes before starting').
5. Add 1 volume (usually $350 \mu$ ) of $70 \%$ ethanol to the homogenized lysate, and mix thoroughly by pipetting. Do not centrifuge. Continue immediately with step 6.
A precipitate may form after the addition of ethanol, but this will not affect the RNeasy procedure.
6. Apply the sample (usually $700 \mu$ any precipitate that may have formed, to an RNeasy mini column placed in a 2 ml collection tube (supplied). Close the tube gently, and centrifuge for 15 s at $8000 \times g(10,000 \mathrm{rpm})$. Discard the flowthrough.
Reuse the collection tube in step 7 .
If the volume exceeds $700 \mu \mathrm{l}$, load aliquots successively onto the RNeasy column, and centrifuge as above. Discard the flow-through after each centrifugation step.*
7. Add $700 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Buffer RW1 to the RNeasy column. Close the tube gently, and centrifuge for 15 s at $8000 \times g(10,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ to wash the column. Discard the flow-through and collection tube.*
8. Transfer the RNeasy column into a new 2 ml collection tube (supplied). Pipet $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Buffer RPE onto the RNeasy column. Close the tube gently, and centrifuge for 15 s at $\leq 8000 \times g(10,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ to wash the column. Discard the flow-through.
Reuse the collection tube in step 9 .
Note: Buffer RPE is supplied as a concentrate. Ensure that ethanol is added to Buffer RPE before use
9. Add another $500 \mu 1$ Buffer RPE to the RNeasy column. Close the tube gently, and centrifuge for 2 min at $8000 \times g(10,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ to dry the RNeasy silica-gel membrane. Continue directly with step 10 , or, to eliminate any chance of possible Buffer RPE carryover, continue first with step 9a.
It is important to dry the RNeasy silica-gel membrane since residual ethanol may interfere with downstream reactions. This centrifugation ensures that no ethanol is carried over during elution.
Note: Following the centrifugation, remove the RNeasy mini column from the collection tube carefully so the column does not contact the flow-through as this will result in carryover of ethanol.

9a. Optional: Place the RNeasy column in a new 2 ml collection tube (not supplied), and discard the old collection tube with the flow-through. Centrifuge in a microcentrifuge at full speed for 1 min .

* Flow-through contains Buffer RLT or Buffer RW'1 and is therefore not compatible with bleach.

10. To elute, transfer the RNeasy column to a new 1.5 ml collection tube (supplied). Pipet 30-50 $\mu$ R RNase-free water directly onto the RNeasy silica-gel membrane. Close the tube gently, and centrifuge for 1 min at $-8000 \times g(10,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ to elute.
11. If the expected RNA yield is $>30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, repeat the elution step (step 10 ) as described with a second volume of RNase-free water. Elute into the same collection tube. To obtain a higher total RNA concentration, this second elution step may be performed by using the first eluate (from step 10). The yield will be $15-30 \%$ less than the yield obtained using a second volume of RNase-free water, but the final concentration will be higher.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Strand Synthesis

Amount of RNA

- Using a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube, mix reagents according to the following Table.

| STEP 1 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reagent Volume $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ <br> DEPC-water x <br> RNA $(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ y <br> T 7 Primer $(100 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ 1 <br> TOTAL $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |

- Incubate @ $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath for 10 minutes
- Spin briefly and place on ice till ready to proceed.
- When incubation is done, to the same tube, add reagents according to the Table below.

STEP 2

| Reagent | Volume ( $\mu \mathbf{l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tube from step 1 | 12 |
| $5 \mathrm{X} 1^{\text {st strand cDNA buffer }}$ | 4 |
| 0.1 M DTT | 2 |
| 10 mM d dNTP mix | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

- Mix well with a pipette (carefully, in and out) and incubate at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2$ minutes.
- Add variable amount of SS Reverse Transcriptase (final reaction volume $=20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- Mix well with a pipette and incubate at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ hour.


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Strand Synthesis

Add the following components to the $1^{\text {st }}$ strand tube from above:
$2^{\text {nd }}$ STR.AND MIIXTURE

| Reagent | Volume $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st Strand Reaction }}$ | 20 |
| DEPC-Water | 91 |
| $5 \mathrm{X} 2^{\text {nd }}$ strand cDNA buffer | 30 |
| 10 mM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP | 3 |
| DNA Ligase $(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ | 1 |
| DNA Polymerase $(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ | 4 |
| RNase H $(2 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |

- Transfer this total amount to a PCR tube and place in the thermocycler at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours.
- Add $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of T4 DN 4 Polymerase $(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ and incubate at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes.
- Add $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.5 M EDTA. (The total added volume is $\sim 162 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ).
- Proceed to cDN.t cleanup or store the reaction at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


## cDNA Clean-up

- Pellet the PLG light in the green tube and set aside.
- Transfer the $2^{\text {nd }}$ strand cDNA solution from previous step back to a 1.5 ml tube.
- Add to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ strand $c D N A$ tube (equal volume, assuming $150 \mu l$ recovery):

| Phenol |
| :--- |
| $75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Chloroform: Isoamyl alcohol (24:1) |
| $75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

The total volume is now approximately $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$

- Vortex the $2^{\text {nd }}$ strand $c$ DNA tube and transfer the entire amount to the pelleted PLG-light tube.
- Centrifuge at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 2 minutes. Transfer the aqueous (top) layer to a new tube.
- Add the following to the aqueous layer (assuming recovery of $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ):

| Glycogen ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(7.5 \mathrm{M})$ |  | $75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{EtOH}(100 \%)$ |  | $562 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

- Vortex and immediately centrifuge for 20 minutes at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, RT.
- Remove supernatant and wash pellet twice with $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $80 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$.
- After the last wash, centrifuge and eliminate EtOH using a micropipettor. Centrifuge again and eliminate EtOH remnants with micropipettor.
- Air dry the pellet ( 5 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 min RT ) and resuspend in $12 \mu \mathrm{DEPC}$ water

Note: Complete drying is very important. The presence of ethanol will inhibit the IV T reaction

## Biotin Labeling by In Vitro Transcription Reaction (IVT)

Since we started with $5-8 \mu$ g of total RNA, the Affymetrix manual recommends using $10 \mu$ l out of the $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cDNA solution to setup a $40 \mu$ I IVT reaction (see chart in the manual). Using reagents from the ENZO kit, add the following components to a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube.
IVT Reaction Setup

| Reagent | Volume $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| cDNA template | 10 |
| DEPC-Water | 12 |
| 10X HY reaction buffer | 4 |
| 10X biotin labeled NTP | 4 |
| 10X DTT | 4 |
| 10X RNase inhibitor | + |
| 20X T7 RNA polymerase | 2 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |

- Mix the reagents and centrifuge briefly
- Incubate @ $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hours in an oven to avoid evaporation.
- Make sure to mix with a pipette every 30-45 minutes.
- Store @ $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or go to the clean up step


## IVT Clean-up

## RNeasy RNA Cleanup Procedure

## Notes:

-Buffer RLT may form a preipitate upon storage. If this happens, warm up to redissolive.
-Add 10ul of -. ME to 1 ml of Buffer RLT before use (stuble for 1 month).
-Buffer RPE is provided as a concentrate. Before using for the first time, add + volumes of ethanol (96-100\%) as indicated on the bottle to obtain a working solution.
-All centrifugations are done at room temperature.

## Work in gtoups of two: each group will process half of the sample for RNA cleanup

1. Measure the volume that you now have in your ITT tube (should be $\sim 40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ).
2. Split the sample in half and continue with the cleanup procedure on half of the sample. Adjust the volume of your portion ( $\sim 20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ using RNase-free water.
3. Add $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Buffer RLT to the sample, and mix thoroughly.

Note: Ensure that $L$-ME is added to Buffer RLT before use.
4. Add $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ethanol $(96-100 \%)$ to the sample, and mix well by pipetting. Do not centrifuge.
5. Apply sample (now $700 \mu$ l) to an RNeasy mini spin column sitting in a collection tube. Centrifuge for 15 seconds at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$.
6. Discard flow-through and collection tube.
7. Transfer RNeasy column into a new $2-\mathrm{ml}$ collection tube. Pipet $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of wash Buffer RPE onto the RNeasy column, and centrifuge for 15 sec at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ to wash.

Ensure that ethanol is added to Buffer RPE before use.
8. Discard flow-through and reuse the collection tube in the following step.
9. Pipet $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of wash Buffer RPE onto the RNeasy column. Centrifuge for 2 min at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ to dry the RNeasy membrane.
10. Following the spin, remove the RNeasy column from the collection tube carefully so that the column does not contact the flow-through as this will result in carryover of ethanol.

It is important to dry the RNeasy membrane since residual ethanol may interfere with subsequent reactions. The following spin ensures that no ethanol is carried over during elution.
11. Place the RNeasy spin column in a new $1.5-\mathrm{ml}$ collection tube, and discard the old collection tube with the filtrate. Centrifuge at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 1 min .
12. Transfer the RNeasy column into a new $1.5-\mathrm{ml}$ collection tube, and pipet $30 \mu$ of RNase-free water directly onto the RNeasy membrane. Centrifuge for 1 min at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ to elute.

A second elution step can be performed using another $30-50 \mu / \mathrm{R}$ vase-free water. This might inprore yield.
13. Dilute $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the reaction into $99 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water and use this for a spec reading using the Biophotometer (Eppendorf).
14. Store the rest at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or proceed with the next step.

## Fragmentation

- Use the spec reading to determine what volume will give you $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ for fragmentation.

Apply the convention that 1 OD at 260 nm equals $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNA.

1. Check the OD at 260 nm and 280 nm to determine the sample concentration and purity.
2. Maintain the $\mathrm{A}_{2611} / \mathrm{A}_{280}$ ratio close to 2.0 for pure RN. (ratios between 1.9 and 2.1 are acceptable).
3. In our test run, we obtained a post- IVT cleanup concentration of $1.356 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}(32 \mu \mathrm{l})$, for a total of $43.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. This means that we need $14.7 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of IVT cleaned up product for the Fragmentation reaction.

- Set up the reaction. The volumes shown in the Table below are from our example run done before the workshop. Your volumes might vary...

STEP 1

| Reagent | Volume $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| RNA $(20 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | 14.7 |
| DEPC-Water | 17.3 |
| 5X Fragmentation Buffer | 8 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |

- Mix well, and incubate at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath for 35 minutes.
- Place on ice or at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


## Adjusted cRNA calculation

- Calculate the adjusted reaction yield from the Cleanup step of the IVT reaction. This is done using the following formula:

```
Adjusted cRNA yield= RNAA
    RNA Am}=\mathrm{ amount of cRNA measured after IVT ( }\mu\textrm{g}
    Total RNA, = starting amount of total RNA ( }\mu\textrm{g}
    Y}=\mathrm{ fraction of cDNA reaction used in IVT
In our example: }\quad\mp@subsup{\textrm{RNA}}{m}{}=43.4\mu\textrm{g
    Total RNA,}=7.0\mu\textrm{g
    Y}=10/12\mathrm{ (fraction of cleaned up cDNA used in the IVT reaction)
    Therefore, our adjusted cRNAt yield: 37.6 \mug [i.e., 43.4-7(10/12)]
    The rolume was }30\mu\textrm{l}\mathrm{ (see under Fragmentation)
    Hence the adjusted concentration previous Fragmentation is 1.25 \mug/\mul
    Since we used 14.7 \mul of the prefragmented cRNA in the Fragmentation step, the adjusted amount was
        18.4 \mug [i.e., 14.7 \mul X (1.25 \mug/\mul)].
```


## Prepare the Target Hybridization Reaction

The Affymetrix manual recommends using $15 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of sample in the target hybridization. Use the adjusted concentration to calculate the volume of sample needed for $15 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

Amount of adjusted cRNA used in the Fragmentation reaction $=18.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$
The volume of the Fragmentation reaction was $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$
In order to get $15 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ from the Fragmentation reaction we need: $15 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}(40 \mu \mathrm{l} / 18.4 \mu \mathrm{~g})=32.6 \mu \mathrm{l}$
Note: One group of two will perform the Test3 Array Hybridization, the corresponding partner group will perform the Species Array Hybridization.

## Step 1: Test3 Array Hybridization

- Set the Test3 chip at RT before setting up the reaction.
- Prepare $85 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 X Hybridization buffer. Add $80 \mu$ l of this solution to the Test 3 Array Chip (Arini format) to wet it.
- Put it in the oven at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 10 minutes at $40-50 \mathrm{rpm}$.
- Heat the Eukaryotic Hybridization Controls to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes prior to adding it to the reaction below.
- Set up the Target hybridization reaction according to the following Table:

HYBRIDIZATION COCKT.AIL

| Reagent | Volume $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fragmented cRNA $(15 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ | 32.6 |
| Control oligonucleotide B2 | 5 |
| 20X Eukaryotic Hybridization Controls | 15 |
| Herring Sperm DNA $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 3 |
| Acerylated $\mathrm{BSA}(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 3 |
| 2X Hyb. Buffer | 150 |
| Water | 81.4 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |

- After setting up the reaction, remove $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ from the Hybridization cocktail solution (save the rest of the solution at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and process it as follows:

> Heat at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes
> Heat at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes
> Centrifuge at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 5 minute to clarify the cocktail

- Remove the 1 X Hyb solution from the 'Test3 Chip' and then add $80 \mu$ of the Hyb. Cocktail.
- Incubate at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 60 RPM for 16 hours.


## Step 2: Species Array Hybridization

- Set the Species Array chip at RT before setting up the reaction.
- Prepare $210 \mu$ l of 1 X Hybridization buffer. Add $200 \mu$ l of this solution to the Species Array Chip (Standard format) to wet it.
- Put it in the oven at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 10 minutes at $40-50 \mathrm{rpm}$.
- Heat the Eukaryotic Hybridization Controls to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min prior to adding it to the reaction below.
- Set up the Target hybridization reaction according to the following Table:

HYBRIDIZ ATION COCKTAIL

| Reagent | Volume $(\mu \mathrm{l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fragmented cRNA $(15 \mathrm{\mu g})$ | 32.6 |
| Control oligonucleotide B2 | 5 |
| 20X Eukaryotic Hybridization Controls | 15 |
| Herring Sperm DNA $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 3 |
| Acetylated BSA $(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 3 |
| 2X Hyb. Buffer | 150 |
| Water | 81.4 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |

- After setting up the reaction, remove $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ from the Hybridization cocktail solution (save the rest of the solution at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and process it as follows:
Heat at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes
Heat at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes
Centrifuge at 14,000 rpm for 5 minute to clarify the cocktail
- Remove the 1 X Hyb solution from the 'Species trray Chip' and then add $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the Hyb Cocktail.
- Incubate at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 60 RPMI for 16 hours.


## Washing, Staining and Scanning Probe Arrays

- Shortly before the 16 hour incubation is done, follow the fluidics station setup according to Chapter 4, Section 3.
- For the Test 3 Array, prepare the SAPE stain solution under ${ }^{\text {W Washing and Staining Procedure }}$ 1: Single Stain' (Chapter 4, Section 4). Streptavidin Phycoerythrin (SAPE) stocks should be stored in amber tubes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Remove SAPE stocks from refrigerator and mix well before preparing stain solution. Do not freeze concentrated SAPE or diluted SAPE stain solution. Always prepare the SAPE stain solution immediately before use.

> For $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SAPE Stain solution:
> $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 2X Stain Buffer
> $270 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ water
> $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ acetylated BSA (final concentration of 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
> $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptavidin phycoerythrin (SAPE) (final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

- For the Species Array (Human U95A), prepare the SAPE stain solution and Antibody solution under 'Washing and Staining Procedure 2: Antibody Amplification' (Chapter 4, Section 4).


## For $1200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ SAPEStain solution:

$600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 X Stain Buffer
$540 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water
$48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ acetylated BSA (final concentration of 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ )
$12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ SAPE (final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Mix well and divide into two aliquots of $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each to be used for stains 1 and 3 respectively.

For $660 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Antibody Solution:
$300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 X Stain Buffer
$266.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water
$24 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ acetylated BSA (final concentration of 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$6.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ normal goat IgG (final concentration of 0.1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$3.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ biotinylated antibody (final concentration of $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

- After 16 hours, remove the cocktail and save it $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Do not let the chip dry out. Immediately add $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the non-stringent wash buffer to the chip.


GeneChip ${ }^{\text {K }}$ Probe Array

## RNEasy Cleanup Procedure

Affymetrix recommends an additional cleanup step for the RNA sample before using in a microarray experiment. This is done using the RNeasy reagents as follows (procedure was adapted from user's instructions in the kit):

Notes: Do not exceed the RNA binding capacity ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) of the RNeasy mini spin columns.
Buffer RLT may form a precipitate upon storage. If this bappens, warm up to redissolve.
Add $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\square$-ME to 1 ml of Buffer RLT before use (stable for 1 montl).
Buffer RPE is provided as a concentrate. Before using for the first time, add 4 volumes of ethanol ( $96-100 \%$ ) as indicated on the bottle to obtain a working solution.
All centrifugations are done at room temperature.

1. Adjust sample to a volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with RNase-free water, add $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Buffer RLT to the sample, and mix thoroughly.
2. Note: Ensure that $\square-M E$ is added to Buffer RLT before use.
3. Add $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Buffer RLT to the sample, and mix thoroughly.
4. Note: Ensure that $\square-M E$ is added to Buffer RLT before use.
5. Add $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ethanol $(96-100 \%)$ to the lysate, and mix well by pipetting. Do not centrifuge.
6. Apply sample (now $700 \mu$ ) to an RNeasy mini spin column sitting in a collection tube. Centrifuge for 15 seconds at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$.
7. Discard flow-through and collection tube.
8. Transfer RNeasy column into a new $2-\mathrm{ml}$ collection tube. Pipet $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of wash Buffer RPE onto the RNeasy column, and centrifuge for 15 sec at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ to wash.
9. Ensure that ethanol is added to Buffer RPE before use.
10. Discard flow-through and reuse the collection tube in the following step.
11. Pipet $500 \mu$ l of wash Buffer RPE onto the RNeasy column. Centrifuge for 2 min at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ to dry the RNeasy membrane.
12. Following the spin, remove the RNeasy column from the collection tube carefully so that the column does not contact the flow-through as this will result in carryover of ethanol.
13. It is important to dyy the RNeasy membrane since residual ethanol may interfere with subsequent reactions. The following spin ensures that no ethanol is carried over during elution.
14. Place the RNeasy spin column in a new $2-\mathrm{ml}$ collection tube, and discard the old collection tube with the filtrate. Centrifuge at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 1 min .
15. Transfer the RNeasy column into a new $1.5-\mathrm{ml}$ collection tube, and pipet $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of RNase-free water directly onto the RNeasy membrane. Centrifuge for 1 min at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ to elute.
16. A second elution step can be performed using another $30-50 \mu / R$ Nase-free water. This might improve yeild.
17. Dilute $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the reaction into $99 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water and use this for a spec reading using the Biophotometer (Eppendorf).
18. Store the rest at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or proceed with the next step.

## 2 g . Spectrophotometric analysis

1. To determine the concentration and purity of the RNA solution, transfer $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of your RNA solution into an RNase-free tube containing $98 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DEPC-water. The lab instructors will measure the $\mathrm{A}_{260} / \mathrm{A}_{280}$. Pure RNA will give a ratio of approximately 2.0 .
$1.0 \mathrm{~A}_{260}=40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNA
Dilution factor in spectrophotometric cuvette $=50$
RNA solution conc. $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})=\left(\mathrm{A}_{260}\right)(100)(40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$
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