



3-2021

Covid-19 Vaccines and Cerebral Venous Thrombosis

Sajid Hameed

Aga Khan University Pakistan

Mohammad Wasay

Aga Khan University Pakistan

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ecommons.aku.edu/pjns>



Part of the [Neurology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hameed, Sajid and Wasay, Mohammad (2021) "Covid-19 Vaccines and Cerebral Venous Thrombosis," *Pakistan Journal of Neurological Sciences (PJNS)*: Vol. 16 : Iss. 1 , Article 6.

Available at: <https://ecommons.aku.edu/pjns/vol16/iss1/6>

COVID-19 VACCINES AND CEREBRAL VENOUS THROMBOSIS

Sajid Hameed¹, Mohammad Wasay¹

¹Department of Medicine, Section of Neurology, Aga Khan University, Pakistan.

Corresponding to: Sajid Hameed, FCPS Aga Khan University Email: drsajidhameed92@gmail.com

Date of submission: February 25, 2021 Date of revision: March 22, 2021 Date of acceptance: March 24, 2021

Today, we are witnessing the deadliest pandemic of our time. As of May 25 2021, over 167 million people have been affected with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) globally with approximately 3.5 million confirmed deaths.^[1] Among the catastrophe, the COVID-19 preventive vaccinations have been a ray of hope. The World Health Organization (WHO) approved Comirnaty (Pfizer/BioNTech) as the first COVID-19 vaccine for emergency use on 31 December 2020. The list has been updated with six more vaccines till now; Oxford-AstraZeneca, Janssen (Johnson & Johnson), AstraZeneca-SK Bio, Covishield, Moderna, and recently, Sinopharm.^[2] Comirnaty (Pfizer/BioNTech) and Moderna are modified mRNA-based vaccines. Oxford-AstraZeneca and Janssen use a recombinant adenoviral vector encoding the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike glycoprotein. The AstraZeneca-SK Bio and Covishield are basically the versions of Oxford-AstraZeneca that are manufactured in South Korea and India, respectively, using the same techniques. Sinopharm vaccine uses an inactivated SARS-COV-2 virus. Vaccine efficacy to prevent symptomatic COVID-19 disease ranges from 65 - 95%^[3] and over 1.7 billion vaccine doses have so far been administered.^[4]

Since the vaccines have been fast-tracked for emergency use, the short and long-term safety profile has been a point of concern. These concerns escalated when multiple cases of thromboembolism along with thrombocytopenia were initially reported in AstraZeneca (AZ) vaccine recipients in early March 2021, which led to a temporary suspension of the AZ vaccination in European countries for further investigation.^[4] An initial study reported 11 patients (82% females) with thromboembolic complications after the AZ vaccine. The majority of them had cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) (82%; n=9) followed by splanchnic vein and pulmonary thrombosis (n=3 each). Some patients experienced >1 thrombotic event.^[5] Besides AZ, Janssen recipients also developed rare thrombosis and thrombocytopenia. Janssen vaccine was briefly suspended on 10 April 2021 when 6 persons out of 6.8 million doses of Janssen vaccine developed CVT along with thrombocytopenia. After two weeks, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) resumed the Janssen use labeling the vaccine-induced thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT), also called thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome (TTS), as its rare adverse effect. As of April 23, 2021, only 15 cases of VITT/TTS were reported after the Janssen vaccine. Interestingly, all of these cases occurred in young women with a median age of 37 years and within two weeks post-vaccination.^[6]

A binational population-based study from Denmark and Norway analyzed the rates of thrombosis within the 28-day period post-AZ vaccination (n= 281,264). They concluded a higher rate of venous thromboembolism corresponding to a standardized morbidity ratio (SMT) of 1.97 (95% CI, 1.50 – 2.54) and 11 excess venous thromboembolic events per 100,000 vaccinations as compared to the general population. A clear higher rate of CVT was, however, reported with an SMT of 20.25 (95% CI, 8.14 – 41.73) and 2.5 excess events per 100,000 vaccinations. No increase in arterial thromboembolic events was reported with SMT of 0.97 (95% CI, 0.77 – 1.20) but a higher SMT of 2.33 (95% CI; 1.01 – 4.59) for intracerebral hemorrhage was seen.^[3]

CVT after COVID-19 vaccines is presumed to be due to immune response to platelet factor 4 (PF4). This is supported by the presence of platelet-activating antibodies as well as strong reactivity of post-vaccine CVT patients' sera in anti-PF4/heparin enzyme immunoassay. The pathogenesis is similar to heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), which is a prothrombotic disorder and consumes platelets causing thrombocytopenia.^[7] The only difference is that most of the persons with VITT have no previous history of heparin use and the immune-triggering factor is supposed to be something else, albeit still relatively unknown. In recent years, multiple triggers other than heparin have been recognized that may cause a prothrombotic disorder, similar to HIT. These triggers include various polyanionic compounds (e.g., pentosanpolysulfate), hypersulfated chondroitin sulfate, infections, or certain procedures, for instance, total knee arthroplasty.^[8]

CVT, on other hand, is also reported in COVID-19 patients, presumably due to a hypercoagulable state.^[9,10] A retrospective cohort study from the United States (US), available as a non-peer-reviewed preprint, reported a higher CVT incidence of 39.0 per million COVID-19 patients (n=513,284) as compared to 0.41 per million in general population and 4.1 per million who received vaccination (RR 6.36, P<0.001). Vaccine recipients in this study had an mRNA vaccine, either Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna, since AstraZeneca is not available in the US. The authors concluded that the risk of CVT after COVID-19 is approximately 8-10 times higher than reported for the vaccines, and about 100-fold higher than the general population.^[11] An estimated risk of CVT after the AZ vaccine is reported to be 2.6 cases per million people in UK over the 4-month period (62 CVT cases among the near 25 million people vaccinated).^[12] With the number of reported post-vaccination CVT cases with AZ, the CVT incidence rate of 2.6 (compared to 4.1 with Pfizer and Moderna vaccines^[11] seems an understatement. The other possibility is of observational (detection) bias. The level of attention given to the sporadic CVT cases in vaccine-recipients is many times higher than given to the routine CVT cases in daily practice, giving an impression of increased numbers.^[12] Although for rare events and cross-sectional studies, a cause-and-effect relationship cannot be easily assessed, an increased CVT reporting in recipients of AZ and Janssen vaccines along with the almost total absence of cases after Pfizer, Moderna, or Sinopharm vaccines is something to look for. Additional findings of thrombocytopenia, which is not normally found in CVT patients, also hints towards a possible association.

Recognition of VITT/TTS has certain important clinical and epidemiological implications. First, healthcare workers should be aware that the onset of thrombosis, particularly CVT, may occur up to two weeks post-vaccination for timely diagnosis and management. To date, this has only been reported with the AZ and Janssen vaccines. Second, HIT enzyme immunoassays may be used to investigate potential anti-PF4 antibody-associated VITT/TTS. Third, due to the lack of available data, the safety and efficacy of heparin in VITT/TTS is not known. Use of non-heparin anticoagulants (e.g. rivaroxaban, apixaban) is recommended. Intravenous immune globulin may also be used in severe cases. Fourth, the risk of CVT in COVID-19 patients is many folds higher than with vaccination, albeit the association with the later still need to be ascertained, and the vaccines are our main tools to control this deadly pandemic. Fifth, the main similarity between AZ and Janssen is the use of a recombinant adenoviral vector as a transport medium to deliver the genetic material of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to human cells to trigger an immune response. The question of whether the rare occurrence of VITT/TTS is related to adenoviral vector is still elusive and needs further research. Data of other COVID-19 vector-vaccines pending WHO approval, for instance, Russian Sputnik V or Chinese CanSino vaccine, should be carefully analyzed for thromboembolic events and thrombocytopenia.

References:

1. Johns Hopkins University Coronavirus Resource Center. [Online]. Available from: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> [Accessed 25 May 2021]
2. World Health Organization. WHO lists additional COVID-19 vaccine for emergency use and issues interim policy recommendations. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news/item/07-05-2021-who-lists-additional-covid-19-vaccine-for-emergency-use-and-issues-interim-policy-recommendations> [Accessed 25 May 2021]
3. Pottegård A, Lund LC, Karlstad Ø, et al. Arterial events, venous thromboembolism, thrombocytopenia, and bleeding after vaccination with Oxford-AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S in Denmark and Norway: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2021 May 5;373:n1114. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n1114.
4. ITV News. AstraZeneca vaccine: timeline of what's happened since European countries suspended use of Covid jab. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.itv.com/news/2021-04-07/astrazeneca-vaccine-timeline-of-whats-happened-since-european-countries-suspended-use-of-covid-jab> [Accessed 25 May 2021]
5. Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2021 Apr 9;NEJMoa2104840. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2104840.
6. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA and CDC Lift Recommended Pause on Johnson & Johnson (Janssen) COVID-19 Vaccine Use Following Thorough Safety Review. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-and-cdc-lift-recommended-pause-johnson-johnson-janssen-covid-19-vaccine-use-following-thorough> [Accessed 25 May 2021]
7. Schultz NH, Sørvoll IH, Michelsen AE, et al. Thrombosis and thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2021 Apr 9;NEJMoa2104882. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2104882.
8. Greinacher A, Selleng K, Warkentin TE. Autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2017 Nov;15(11):2099-2114. doi: 10.1111/jth.13813. Epub 2017 Sep 28.
9. Hameed S, Wasay M, Soomro BA, et al. Cerebral Venous Thrombosis Associated with COVID-19 Infection: An Observational, Multicenter Study. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra*. 2021 May 11;11(2):55-60. doi: 10.1159/000516641.
10. Hameed S, Wasay M. Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis associated with coronavirus infection (covid-19). *Pakistan Journal of Neurological Sciences (PJNS)*. 2020;15(3):60-5.
11. Taquet M, Husain M, Geddes JR, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. Cerebral venous thrombosis: a retrospective cohort study of 513284 confirmed COVID-19 cases and a comparison with 489871 people receiving a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. 2021 Apr 26. (Preprint) Available from: <https://osf.io/a9jdd/> [Accessed 25 May 2021] doi: 10.17605/OSF.IO/H2MT7
12. de Simone G, Stranges S, Gentile I. Incidence of cerebral venous thrombosis and covid-19 vaccination. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. 2021 Apr 30;pvab036. doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvab036

Conflict of interest: Author declares no conflict of interest.

Funding disclosure: Nil

Author's contribution:

Sajid Hameed; data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing, manuscript review

Mohammad Wasay; concept, data analysis, manuscript review