

1 Miscarriage matters: the epidemiological, physical, psychological and economic
2 burden of early pregnancy loss

3

4 Siobhan Quenby, M.D.^{1,2*}, Ioannis D Gallos M.D.³, Rima K Dhillon-Smith, Ph.D.³, Marcelina Podeseck,
5 M.Sc.³, Mary D Stephenson, M.D.⁴, Joanne Fisher, Ph.D.⁵, Jan Brosens, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Jane Brewin, B.Sc.⁶,
6 Rosanna Ramhorst, Ph.D.⁷, Emma S Lucas, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Rajiv C McCoy, Ph.D.⁸, Robert Anderson, M.A.⁹,
7 Shahd Daher, Ph.D.⁹, Lesley Regan, M.D.¹⁰, Maya Al-Memmar, Ph.D.¹⁰, Tom Bourne, Ph.D.¹⁰, David A
8 MacIntyre, Ph.D.¹⁰, Raj Rai, M.D.¹⁰, Ole B Christiansen, Ph.D.¹¹, Mayumi Sugiura-Ogasawara, Ph.D.¹²,
9 Joshua Odendaal, M.B.B.S.^{1,2}, Adam J Devall, Ph.D.³, Phillip R Bennett, Ph.D.⁸, Stavros Petrou, Ph.D.⁹,
10 and Arri Coomarasamy, M.D.³

11

12 1. Division of Biomedical Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

13 2. Tommy's National Centre for Miscarriage Research, University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire
14 NHS Trust, Coventry, UK

15 3. Tommy's National Centre for Miscarriage Research, Institute of Metabolism and Systems
16 Research, University of Birmingham, UK

17 4. University of Illinois Recurrent Pregnancy Loss Program, Department of Obstetrics and
18 Gynecology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, USA

19 5. Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick, UK

20 6. Tommy's Charity, Laurence Pountney Hill, London, UK

21 7. CONICET, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Química Biológica de la Facultad de Ciencias
22 Exactas y Naturales IQUIBICEN, Buenos Aires, Argentina

23 8. Department of Biology, John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

24 9. Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

25 10. Tommy's National Centre for Miscarriage Research, Imperial College London, UK

26 11. Centre for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss of Western Denmark, Department of Obstetrics and
27 Gynaecology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

28 12. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya City
29 University, Nagoya, Japan

30

31 * Correspondence to: Prof Siobhan Quenby; Tommy's National Centre for Miscarriage Research,
32 University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK

33 Email: s.quenby@warwick.ac.uk

34 Tel: +44(0) 2476 968657

35

36

37 **Summary**

38 Miscarriage is generally defined as the loss of a pregnancy before viability. An estimated 23 million
39 miscarriages occur every year worldwide, translating to 44 pregnancy losses each minute. The
40 pooled risk of miscarriage is 15.3% (95% CI: 12.5% – 18.7%) of all recognised pregnancies. The
41 population prevalence of women with one miscarriage is 10.8% (95% CI 10.3% – 11.4%), two
42 miscarriages is 1.9% (95% CI 1.8% – 2.1%) and three or more miscarriages is 0.7% (0.5% – 0.8%).
43 Risk factors for miscarriage include very young or older female age, older male age, very low or very
44 high body mass index, black ethnicity, previous miscarriages, smoking, alcohol, stress levels, night
45 shift working, air pollution and exposure to pesticides. The consequences of miscarriage are both
46 physical, such as bleeding or infection, and psychological.
47 Psychological consequences include increases in the risk of anxiety, depression, post-traumatic
48 stress disorder and suicide. Miscarriage, and especially recurrent miscarriage, is also a sentinel risk
49 marker for obstetric complications, including preterm birth, fetal growth restriction, placental
50 abruption and stillbirth in future pregnancies, and a predictor of longer-term health problems, such
51 as cardiovascular disease and venous thromboembolism.
52 The costs of miscarriage affect individuals, healthcare systems and society at large. The short-term
53 national economic cost of miscarriage is estimated to be £471 million per year in the United
54 Kingdom. As recurrent miscarriage is a sentinel marker for various obstetric risks in future
55 pregnancies, women should receive care in pre-conception clinics and ante-natal clinics for high-risk
56 women. As psychological morbidity is common after pregnancy loss, effective screening instruments
57 and treatment options for mental health consequences of miscarriage need to be available. We
58 recommend that miscarriage data are gathered and reported to facilitate comparison of rates
59 amongst countries, to accelerate research, and to improve patient care and policy development.

60

61 **Keywords:** miscarriage, epidemiology, risk, prevalence, economic burden, literature review

62

63

64 **Introduction**

65 Miscarriage is often misunderstood by women, men,¹ and healthcare providers. Misconceptions
66 about miscarriage are widespread.¹⁻³ For example, women may believe miscarriage is rare, could be
67 caused by lifting heavy objects or previous contraceptive use, or that there are no effective
68 treatments to prevent a miscarriage.³ Such misconceptions can be damaging, leaving women and
69 their partners feeling at fault and not seeking treatment and support.¹ Miscarriage may also lead to
70 isolation, since many women may not tell their family, close friends, or even their partner about the
71 loss. Couples complain of unsympathetic 'routine' clinical care by healthcare providers.⁴⁻⁶
72 Women and their partners who suffer miscarriage generally want to understand why the miscarriage
73 occurred, what they can do to prevent miscarriage from happening again, what the chance is of a
74 subsequent pregnancy resulting in a healthy baby and how to deal with their grief surrounding their
75 loss.³ Couples may be given diverse opinions by different healthcare professionals, which can
76 exacerbate their distress. There are debates over definitions, causes, consequences and costs of
77 miscarriage. This is the first of three articles in which we present the current knowledge,
78 recommendations, need for further research and a call to action on priorities. Here we discuss the
79 epidemiology of sporadic and recurrent miscarriage, and present a literature review of the risk
80 factors and consequences of miscarriage on future obstetric and maternal psychological and long-
81 term health. We also evaluate the economic burden of miscarriage through a review of the
82 literature.

83

84 **Box 1:** Methods for literature searches for miscarriage risk, prevalence, risk factors and
85 consequences

We performed a comprehensive literature search on MEDLINE (database inception to May 2020).

We searched for existing systematic reviews and primary studies on risk factors for miscarriage

(demographic, lifestyle, clinical and environmental factors). A separate search was conducted for observational studies of obstetric, perinatal and long-term health risks associated with miscarriage. Free text search terms and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms for miscarriage were combined with each risk factor, pregnancy sequelae, perinatal and long-term health outcome. Reference lists of the retrieved publications and relevant review articles were searched by hand. Studies were excluded if an appropriate control group was missing or if the complications were poorly defined or merged. For each literature review, the raw aggregate data or adjusted odds ratio has been pooled and presented in this narrative review.

86

87 **Definitions and terminology**

88 The definition of miscarriage varies amongst countries, and international organisations, impacting
89 upon estimations of the risk and prevalence of miscarriage. Miscarriage is generally defined as the
90 loss of an intrauterine pregnancy before viability; however, challenges exist over the diagnosis of
91 pregnancy, and the definitions of what is unequivocally an intrauterine pregnancy and viability. The
92 limits of viability may be defined by gestational age or by fetal weight. The gestational threshold for
93 viability can range from 20 to 28 weeks of pregnancy depending on geographical region. The World
94 Health Organization defines miscarriage as the expulsion of a fetus (embryo) weighing less than 500
95 grams, equivalent to approximately 22 weeks of gestation.¹⁹ In the UK the limit of viability is
96 determined legally as up to 24+0 weeks.¹⁸ The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)
97 defines miscarriage as a clinical pregnancy loss of less than 20 weeks of gestation.²⁰ The European
98 Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) defines miscarriage as the loss of
99 pregnancy before 22 weeks of gestation.²¹ The limit of viability is, in most nations, legally defined
100 and, particularly as neonatal intensive care for preterm infants becomes more effective in high
101 income countries, often deviates from the medical limits of viability. Whilst embryologists define the
102 first week of pregnancy as the week following implantation, historically, for clinical purposes

103 'gestational age' has referred to the length of pregnancy after the first day of the last menstrual
104 period. That convention will be used in this review.

105 A bewildering array of terminology for pregnancy failure before viability has developed based upon
106 whether the pregnancy diagnosis was based on serum or urinary β -hCG levels, or on the visualisation
107 of an intrauterine pregnancy by ultrasonography (Table 1).

108

109 **Table 1.** Early pregnancy terminology

Term	Description
Pregnancy loss	Spontaneous pregnancy demise
Early pregnancy loss	Spontaneous pregnancy demise before 10 weeks of gestational age
Biochemical pregnancy Loss	Spontaneous pregnancy demise based on a previous positive pregnancy test that then becomes negative without an ultrasound evaluation
Pre-clinical pregnancy loss	Loss of a pregnancy before it could be identified on TVS
Clinical pregnancy loss	Loss of a pregnancy after it has been identified on TVS
Pregnancy of unknown location (PUL)	Temporary classification to describe when no pregnancy can be visualised inside or outside the uterus on TVS in a woman with a positive pregnancy test
Resolved pregnancy loss of unknown location (Resolved PUL)	Following the finding of a PUL, the woman has a negative pregnancy test 2 weeks after her initial follow-up
Persistent pregnancy of unknown location (PPUL)	Following the finding of a PUL, serial serum human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) levels taken 48 hours apart plateau, while the location of the pregnancy remains unclear using TVS.
Intrauterine pregnancy of unknown viability (IPUV)	TVS has shown the following, irrespective of the date of a woman's last menstrual period: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - intrauterine gestational sac seen with an MSD of <25 mm without a visible yolk sac or embryonic pole - intrauterine gestational sac with MSD of <25 mm with a yolk sac seen without a visible embryonic pole - intrauterine gestational sac with an embryo with a CRL measuring <7 mm with no visible heartbeat
Viable intrauterine pregnancy (VIUP)	Intrauterine gestational sac containing an embryo with a heartbeat that has been visualised using ultrasonography
Miscarriage	Intrauterine pregnancy demise confirmed by TVS or histology of pregnancy tissue

Missed miscarriage	An intrauterine pregnancy with an empty gestational sac of \geq MSD 25 mm, or an embryo with an embryo CRL measurement of >7 mm without an embryonic heartbeat
Incomplete miscarriage	Irregular heterogeneous echoes within the endometrial cavity on TVS and the diagnosis is based on the subjective impression of the examiner and the clinical findings
Complete miscarriage	History of a positive pregnancy test followed by vaginal bleeding (or a history of an ultrasound scan demonstrating an IUP) and then an ultrasound finding of an empty uterine cavity with no intra or extra-uterine pregnancy visualised on TVS with a negative pregnancy test

110

111 TVS: transvaginal ultrasound scan; IU(P): intrauterine (pregnancy); MSD: mean sac diameter; CRL: crown-rump
 112 length. Table adapted from 'Terminology for pregnancy loss prior to viability: a consensus statement from the
 113 ESHRE early pregnancy special interest group'²² and Doubilet et al, 2013.²³

114

115 Risk of miscarriage

116 The risk of miscarriage depends both upon the defined upper gestational age or fetal weight limit,
 117 and upon whether the denominator is all pregnancies identified by serum or urinary β -hCG levels or
 118 only pregnancies diagnosed by ultrasonography. Inclusion of pre-clinical losses, defined as the loss of
 119 a pregnancy before it could be identified on ultrasonography, will increase the miscarriage rate. The
 120 development of highly sensitive β -hCG assays has allowed detection of very early pregnancies, and
 121 therefore diagnosis of very early miscarriages which otherwise may have been missed, again
 122 resulting in an increase in the miscarriage rate. Finally, demographic features of a population will
 123 affect the miscarriage risk, with the distribution of female age having a profound effect on the risk.
 124 Our literature search identified nine large cohort studies that reported on miscarriage risk in an
 125 aggregated total of 4,638,974 pregnancies (Table 2).²⁴⁻³¹ All the studies were from Europe and North
 126 America. Six studies were prospective cohorts using self-reported pregnancy outcomes, and three
 127 used record linkage, to ascertain the outcome of miscarriage. Our review of current evidence found
 128 that the pooled miscarriage risk was 15.3% (95% CI: 12.5% – 18.7%) of all recognised pregnancies
 129 (Table 2).

130

131

132

133 **Table 2.** Risk of miscarriage in pregnant women

Study	Source population	Definition of miscarriage	Miscarriages / pregnancies	Miscarriage risk (%) (95% CI)
Himmelberger et al, 1978	Survey of operating room personnel in the USA from 1972 to 1974	Self-reported pregnancy outcomes	2,157 / 12,914	16.7 (16.0, 17.4)
Armstrong et al, 1992	Women with a reproductive outcome at 11 hospitals in Montreal, Canada from 1982 to 1984	Self-reported pregnancy outcomes	10,191 / 47,146	21.6 (21.2, 22.0)
Andersen et al, 2000	Women with a reproductive outcome in Denmark from 1978 to 1992	Record linkage using a National Hospital Discharge Registry and excluding ectopic pregnancies. Induced abortions were excluded through linkage with an induced abortion register	85,838 / 936,524	9.2 (9.1, 9.2)
Adolfsson and Larsson, 2006	Women with a reproductive outcome in Sweden from 1983 and 2003	Self-reported pregnancy outcomes for all women who delivered a child	366,796 / 2,136,809	17.2 (17.1, 17.2)
Maconochie et al, 2006	Survey of reproductive histories of women randomly sampled from the UK electoral register in 2001	Self-reported pregnancy outcomes	1,322 / 8,523	15.5 (14.7, 16.5)
Linnakaari et al, 2019	Nationwide retrospective cohort study of women that had experienced a miscarriage in Finland between 1998 and 2016	Record linkage using ICD codes in National Hospital Discharge Registry database and excluding codes of ectopic pregnancy, molar pregnancy, induced abortions or continuing pregnancy	128,381 / 1,096,916	11.7 (11.6, 11.8)
Magnus et al, 2019	Women with a reproductive outcome in Norway from 2009 to 2013	Record linkage using ICD codes in National Birth Registry and patient register excluding ectopic pregnancies. Induced abortions were excluded through linkage with an induced abortion register	43,803 / 344,906	12.7 (12.6, 12.8)
Rossen et al, 2019	National survey of women who reported at least one pregnancy that was conceived in the USA between 1990 and 2011	Self-reported pregnancy outcomes	8,378 / 42,526	19.7 (19.3, 20.1)
Nguyen et al, 2019	National survey of women who reported pregnancy outcomes that was conceived in	Self-reported pregnancy outcomes	2,300 / 12,710	18.1 (17.4, 18.9)

	the USA between 2011 and 2015			
			Pooled risk	15.3 (12.5, 18.7)

134

135

136 With approximate 130 million births per year worldwide³², a 15% miscarriage risk suggests
 137 approximately 23 million miscarriages per year, or 44 per minute. In the UK, there were 40-45,000
 138 hospital admissions in 2012-2013 for miscarriage management,³³ but since miscarriages and
 139 preclinical pregnancy losses are commonly managed at home, the actual number of miscarriages is
 140 considerably higher. Unfortunately, since 2013 the data on hospital admissions for miscarriage are
 141 no longer included in the UK maternity statistic report.³³ Only a few countries, for example Denmark,
 142 report an annual miscarriage rate, which makes international comparisons difficult. Based on limited
 143 cohort studies, the incidence of miscarriage appears to be increasing in the USA,³⁰ China³⁴ and
 144 Sweden³⁵, but decreasing in Finland.²⁸ The reasons for these changes are not clear but may reflect
 145 increasing female age at the time of pregnancy. Female age and the number of previous
 146 miscarriages have a profound effect on miscarriage risk (Table 3). Miscarriage risk is the lowest in
 147 women aged 20 – 29 years at 12%, increasing steeply to 65% in women aged 45 years and over
 148 (Table 3). The miscarriage risk is the lowest women with no history of miscarriage (11%), and then
 149 increases by about 10% for each additional miscarriage, reaching 42% in women with 3 or more
 150 previous miscarriages (Table 3).

151

152 **Table 3.** Miscarriage risk according to female age and number of previous miscarriages

	Number of studies	Miscarriages / pregnancies	Miscarriage risk (%) (95% CI)
Age category (years)			
<20	4	9,165 / 71,763	15.9 (11.3, 22.4)
20-24	3	32,326 / 337,995	12.1 (8.5, 17.2)
25-29	6	47,266 / 481,112	11.9 (10.0, 14.3)
30-34	6	37,015 / 309,328	14.4 (11.4, 18.2)
35-39	4	21,607 / 118,771	17.9 (15.8, 20.2)
40-44	2	8,635 / 23,783	36.8 (30.1, 45.0)
≥45	2	1,081 / 1,687	65.2 (49.8, 85.2)
Number of previous miscarriages			

0	3	23,233 / 172,405	11.3 (7.2, 17.6)
1	3	6,770 / 31,564	20.4 (13.8, 30.3)
2	3	1,276 / 4,221	28.3 (19.0, 42.1)
≥3	3	364 / 865	42.1 (38.0, 46.7)

153

154

155 **Recurrent miscarriage**

156 Whether miscarriage should be defined as recurrent after two or more, or three or more pregnancy

157 losses is an ongoing controversy. There is also no consensus on whether recurrent miscarriage

158 should be restricted to clinical losses only or include both clinical and pre-clinical losses (Table 4).

159 The definitions are further complicated by whether the previous pregnancy losses need to be

160 consecutive or may be interspersed with successful pregnancies. The UK Royal College of

161 Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) defines recurrent miscarriage as the loss of three or more

162 consecutive pregnancies. However, in this definition, the term 'miscarriage' encompasses all

163 pregnancy losses from the time of conception until 24 weeks, including biochemical pregnancy

164 losses and failed pregnancies of unknown location. The German, Austrian and Swiss Societies of

165 Gynaecology and Obstetrics offer similar guidance. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine

166 (ASRM) has defined recurrent miscarriage as 'two or more failed clinical pregnancies'. Since the

167 diagnosis of pregnancy in this definition requires ultrasound or histological confirmation, it excludes

168 biochemical pregnancy losses and failed pregnancies of unknown location. The European Society of

169 Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) have recently redefined recurrent pregnancy loss as

170 two or more pregnancy losses without the stipulation that these need to be consecutive. This

171 definition would therefore apply even if there had been a successful pregnancy in between

172 pregnancy losses. These variations in the definition of recurrent miscarriage or recurrent pregnancy

173 loss have important implications on the reported prevalence, and on the prognosis in any future

174 pregnancy. The average population prevalence of women with one previous miscarriage is 10.8%,

175 two miscarriages is 1.9% and three or more miscarriages is 0.7% (Figure 7). If two or more pregnancy

176 losses is adopted as the definition of recurrent miscarriage, the population prevalence of recurrent

177 miscarriage equates to 2.6%. The chance of a future successful subsequent pregnancy ranges from
 178 50 to 90%, depending on the recurrent miscarriage definition used and population characteristics.³⁶⁻
 179 ⁴³

180

181 **Table 4.** Differences in definition of recurrent miscarriage amongst national guidelines

Guidelines	UK (RCOG) ⁴⁴	USA (ASRM) ²⁰	Europe (ESHRE) ²¹	Japan ⁴⁵	German, Austrian and Swiss Societies of Gynaecology and Obstetrics ⁴⁶
Definition of pregnancy loss	Includes clinical and pre-clinical losses	Includes clinical losses only (identified on ultrasound or histology)	Includes clinical and pre-clinical losses	Includes clinical losses only (identified on ultrasound or histology)	Includes clinical losses only (identified on ultrasound or histology)
Number previous of losses	≥3	≥2	≥2	≥2	≥3
Consecutive losses	Yes	No	No	No	Yes

182

183 **Table 7.** Population prevalence of miscarriage

	Miscarriages / women	Prevalence of miscarriage (%) (95% CI)
1 miscarriage		
Hemminki and Forssas, 1999	193 / 2,189	8.8 (7.7, 10.2)
Oliver-Williams and Steer, 2015	21,658 / 196,040	11.0 (10.9, 11.2)
Woolner et al, 2019	3,513 / 31,565	11.1 (10.8, 11.5)
	Sub-total	10.8 (10.3, 11.4)
2 miscarriages		
Hemminki and Forssas, 1999	57 / 2,189	2.6 (2.0, 3.4)
Oliver-Williams and Steer, 2015	3,624 / 196,040	1.8 (1.8, 1.9)
Woolner et al, 2019	590 / 31,565	1.9 (1.7, 2.0)
	Sub-total	1.9 (1.8, 2.1)
3 miscarriages		
Hemminki and Forssas, 1999	22 / 2,189	1.0 (0.7, 1.5)
Oliver-Williams and Steer, 2015	1,426 / 196,040	0.7 (0.7, 0.8)
Roepke et al, 2017	7,842 / 1,524,130	0.5 (0.5, 0.5)
Woolner et al, 2019	181 / 31,565	0.6 (0.5, 0.7)
	Sub-total	0.7 (0.5, 0.8)

184

185

186 The current definitions of recurrent miscarriage do not go beyond the inclusion or exclusion of pre-
187 clinical losses and the setting of an arbitrary number of prior losses. However, the risk of miscarriage
188 increases independently with maternal age and with the number of previous losses (Table 3). A
189 definition of recurrent miscarriage that is based on individualised risk assessment which takes into
190 account maternal age, reproductive history, and other clinical variables is likely to facilitate better
191 stratification, targeted care and research.

192

193 **Risk factors for miscarriage**

194 *Embryonic chromosomal errors*

195 Chromosomal abnormalities are found in 60% of miscarried tissue⁴⁷ but less than 1% of live births,
196 when pre-natal diagnosis is not used.⁴⁸ Amongst miscarriages, autosomal trisomy is the most
197 frequent abnormality followed by monosomy X and triploidy.⁴⁷ In addition, developmental
198 abnormalities of embryos not seen in live births are found in miscarriages with normal
199 chromosomes.^{49,50}

200

201 *Endometrial defects*

202 Endometrium transforms into decidua during implantation to accommodate the invading placenta.⁵¹
203 A defect in decidualization can result from changes in immune cells,⁵² foremost uterine natural killer
204 cells,⁵³ or endometrial stem cells,^{54,55} which may result in endometrial breakdown and miscarriage.
205 Multiple risk factors of recurrent miscarriage, including metabolic (e.g. obesity) and endocrine (e.g.
206 hypothyroidism) disorders (Table 6), have been shown to impact adversely on the decidual process
207 in the endometrium.^{57,58}

208

209 *Parental risk factors of miscarriage*

210 There are demographic, lifestyle, clinical and environmental risk factors for miscarriage (Table 6).

211 The inferences about the risk factors are based on the strength of association (represented by the
212 size of odds ratios), consistency amongst the studies, biological gradient, and the persistence of
213 association after adjustments for key confounding variables, particularly female age.⁵⁹

214

215 *Demographic risk factors*

216 Our literature review showed that the key demographic risk factors for miscarriage are female age,
217 female body mass index (BMI), female ethnicity and male age (Table 6). There is a strong association
218 between female age and miscarriage risk, with a powerful biological gradient, found consistently in
219 several studies (Table 6). This association is attributed to an age-related increase in the frequency of
220 embryonic trisomies, particularly trisomy 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, and 22.^{60,61} The risk of trisomy
221 16, the commonest cause of miscarriage, rises linearly from 20 years to 40 years of age, whilst the
222 risks of other trisomies generally show a sharp upward inflection around the age of 35 years.⁴⁷ Our
223 literature searches found that female BMI is associated with miscarriage risk; the BMI associated
224 with the least risk of miscarriage is 18.5 – 24.9 kg/m² (Table 6). Black ethnicity is associated with a
225 higher risk (aOR 1.64; 95% CI 1.07-2.49; Table 6), as is male age of ≥40 years, even after adjusting for
226 confounders such as the age of female partner (aOR 1.61; 95% CI 1.27 – 2.03; Table 6).

227

228

229 **Table 6.** Demographic, lifestyle, clinical and environmental risk factors for miscarriage

	Crude estimates		Adjusted estimates	
	Number of women (studies)	Odds ratio [95% CI]	Number of women (studies)	Odds ratio [95% CI]
Demographic risks				
Female age				
<20 years of age	1,132,164 (6)	1.60 [1.02, 2.53]	273,209 (2)	1.47 [0.94, 2.30]
20-29 years of age	-	Reference	-	Reference
30-39 years of age	1,709,852 (3)	1.43 [1.13, 1.81]	273,209 (2)	1.54 [1.23, 1.93]
≥40 years of age	1,030,387 (3)	6.43 [4.69, 8.82]	273,209 (2)	5.85 [3.67, 9.34]
Male age				
<20 years of age	20,808 (5)	0.87 [0.62, 1.21]	12,794 (3)	1.12 [0.81, 1.55]
20-29 years of age	-	Reference	-	Reference
30-39 years of age	29,795 (6)	1.23 [0.95, 1.60]	539 (1)	1.14 [0.75, 1.74]
≥40 years of age	16,108 (6)	1.69 [1.18, 2.43]	6,875 (2)	1.61 [1.27, 2.03]
BMI				
<18.5	117,936 (11)	1.57 [1.05, 2.34]	74,324 (7)	1.21 [0.96, 1.52]
18.5-24.9	-	Reference	-	Reference
25-29	131,896 (10)	1.33 [1.10, 1.59]	88,286 (6)	1.04 [0.91, 1.18]
≥30	118,102 (10)	1.93 [1.18, 3.18]	74,362 (4)	1.09 [0.99, 1.21]
Ethnicity				
Caucasian	-	Reference	-	Reference
Black	504,224 (19)	1.43 [1.17, 1.75]	88,286 (6)	1.64 [1.07, 2.49]
Asian	415,207 (13)	1.27 [0.99, 1.63]	74,362 (4)	1.25 [0.90, 1.75]
Lifestyle Risks				
Smoking				
Current smoking and in the first trimester	281,689 (29)	1.30 [1.20, 1.41]	265,827 (8)	1.17 [1.05, 1.30]
Caffeine				
High caffeine intake during the first trimester	45,990 (3)	1.26 [1.05, 1.51]	128,900 (3)	1.56 [0.98, 2.50]
Alcohol				
High alcohol intake during the first trimester	170,856 (17)	1.29 [1.16, 1.43]	152,881 (11)	1.67 [1.31, 2.19]
Work pattern				
Overworking (>40 hours per week)	16,315 (4)	1.93 [1.16, 3.21]	14,760 (4)	1.26 [0.94, 1.70]
Night shifts	74,011 (6)	1.31 [1.14, 1.50]	74,011 (6)	1.46 [1.25, 1.71]
Stress				
High stress	23,393 (5)	1.35 [1.18, 1.56]	29,498 (7)	1.43 [1.16, 1.77]

Clinical Risks				
Previous miscarriages				
No previous miscarriage	-	Reference	-	Reference
1 previous miscarriage	347,292 (12)	1.69 [1.49, 1.91]	209,168 (6)	1.54 [1.46, 1.62]
2 previous miscarriages	254,575 (6)	2.24 [1.62, 3.10]	177,596 (4)	2.21 [2.08, 2.34]
3 or more previous miscarriages	249,384 (6)	4.13 [2.62, 6.52]	174,252 (4)	4.46 [3.48, 5.72]
DNA damage				
High DNA damage in sperm	1,252 (16)	2.67 [1.67, 4.28]	-	-
Thyroid disease				
Thyroid antibodies	7,946 (17)	2.29 [1.86, 2.81]	3,202 (2)	2.95 [1.71, 5.11]
Subclinical hypothyroidism (TSH 2.5-5.0mIU/L)	188,736 (7)	1.58 [1.18, 2.12]	181,978 (3)	1.35 [0.97, 1.89]
Subclinical hypothyroidism (TSH 4.0-10.0mIU/L)	159,194 (7)	1.64 [1.46, 1.85]	154,232 (2)	1.93 [1.17, 3.18]
Uterine anomalies				
Septum defects	2,695 (4)	3.93 [2.57, 6.01]	-	-
Mullerian anomalies	970 (3)	3.20 [0.93, 10.98]	-	-
Fibroids	23,864 (32)	1.42 [1.24, 1.63]	6,057 (3)	0.82 [0.64, 1.05]
Polycystic ovary syndrome				
Polycystic ovary syndrome	22,235 (27)	1.33 [1.05, 1.68]	2,418 (2)	0.97 [0.64, 1.45]
Thrombophilia				
Acquired (Antiphospholipid syndrome)	10,781 (13)	2.28 [1.46, 3.57]	-	-
Inherited (Factor V Leiden, Protein S deficiency, Protein C deficiency, Antithrombin III deficiency, Prothrombin deficiency)	36,758 (12)	1.12 [0.93, 1.36]	18,395 (4)	1.29 [0.90, 1.85]
Parental Karyotype				
Abnormal parental karyotype (any)	2,569 (3)	2.20 [1.09, 4.42]	-	-
Environmental risks				
Air pollution				
Industrial pollution	15,177 (4)	1.58 [1.08, 2.29]	20,044 (4)	1.54 [1.03, 2.31]
Household pollution	1,125 (2)	1.11 [0.22, 5.50]	819 (1)	2.10 [0.91, 4.81]
Pesticides				
Exposure to pesticides	20,729 (8)	1.71 [1.24, 2.37]	10,407 (4)	3.40 [1.20, 9.63]

230

231

232

233

234 *Lifestyle risk factors*

235 Smoking is an important modifiable risk factor for miscarriage (Table 6). The risk is greater when
236 smoking exposure occurs specifically during the pregnancy in which miscarriage risk was measured.⁴¹
237 Miscarriage risk increases with the amount smoked (1% increase in relative risk per cigarette smoked
238 per day).⁴¹ Our literature review found that alcohol use is also an important modifiable risk factor, as
239 high alcohol consumption during the first trimester is associated with an increase in miscarriage risk
240 (aOR 1.67; 95% CI 1.31-2.19; Table 6).^{25,39,62-70} Our review indicated that high caffeine intake might
241 be associated with miscarriage (aOR 1.56; 95% CI 0.98-2.50; Table 6), although there was statistical
242 uncertainty in the finding.^{25,39,71} Furthermore, any association between caffeine and miscarriage is
243 likely to be confounded by the fact that a healthy pregnancy is associated with nausea and vomiting
244 (due to pregnancy hormones), which in turn may reduce caffeine consumption.⁷² Our literature
245 searches found that night shift work is associated with an increased risk of miscarriage (aOR 1.43;
246 95% CI 1.25-1.71; Table 6). This risk appeared to follow a dose-response relationship. Our review of
247 the evidence also found that high stress is associated with miscarriage risk (aOR 1.46; 95% CI 1.16-
248 1.77; Table 6)^{66,71,73-77}; however, there is no evidence that the association represents a causal link
249 because, for example, preconception stress, as measured by basal salivary cortisol and alpha-
250 amylase concentrations, did not predict subsequent pregnancy loss.⁷⁸

251

252 *Clinical risk factors*

253 An important determinant of risk of miscarriage is the gestational age of a pregnancy. The risk of
254 pregnancy loss decreases with advancing gestational age.⁷⁹⁻⁸² Once the pregnancy reaches 8 weeks,
255 the risk of miscarriage decreases significantly; conversely, the likelihood of having a successful live
256 birth approaches 97-98%.⁸²

257 The number of previous miscarriages is a major determinant of miscarriage risk; the relationship is
258 consistent across various studies, and demonstrates a biological gradient according to the number of
259 previous miscarriages.⁸³ Several maternal conditions, including antiphospholipid antibodies, thyroid

260 autoantibodies and subclinical hypothyroidism, are associated with miscarriage (Table 6). Uterine
261 anomalies, in particular canalization defects such as uterine septae, have been associated with both
262 spontaneous and recurrent miscarriage.⁸⁴

263 Bacterial (bacterial vaginosis, brucellosis, chlamydia trachomatis, and syphilis), viral (herpes virus:
264 HSV-1 and HSV-2, human CMV, human papillomavirus, parvovirus, adeno-associated viruses,
265 parvovirus B19, bocavirus, HIV, polyomavirus, Dengue fever, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, rubella,
266 coronaviruses [SARS, MERS and H1N1]) and protozoa (malaria and toxoplasmosis) infections have all
267 been linked to miscarriage.⁸⁵ In the era of bacterial community assessment using DNA sequencing,
268 there is evolving evidence linking the composition of the vaginal microbiome to miscarriage.⁸⁶

269 Miscarriage is more commonly associated with a lactobacillus deplete microbiota, but whether this
270 is cause or effect, or what the potential mechanisms are remains unclear. These findings are
271 supported by older data using more traditional microbiology techniques which showed an increase
272 in the risks of miscarriage in women with bacterial vaginosis.⁸⁷ Sperm DNA fragmentation is
273 associated with miscarriage (Table 6).⁸⁸ Association between sperm DNA fragmentation and
274 smoking, recreational drugs, and obesity, as well as treatment with lifestyle changes and anti-
275 oxidants are important research questions.

276

277 *Environmental risk factors*

278 Air pollution, composed of both primary pollutants, those emitted directly from the source, and
279 secondary air pollutants formed from the interaction of primary pollutants within the atmosphere,
280 has a wide impact on human health. In the context of pregnancy air pollution is linked to stillbirth,
281 preterm delivery and low birthweight.^{89,90} A large study assessed the effect of exposure to air
282 pollution on miscarriage rates in Beijing, demonstrating a strong relationship with miscarriage (OR
283 1.51; 95% CI 1.33-1.69).⁹¹ Similarly, a case-control study on women attending an emergency
284 department in Utah⁹² found that a 10 parts-per-billion rise in nitrogen oxide levels was associated
285 with an increased risk of miscarriage (OR 1.16; 95% CI 1.01-1.33). The Nurses' Health Study II showed

286 a positive association between particulate air pollution and miscarriage.⁹³ Exposure to air pollution
287 therefore appears to increase miscarriage risk and constitutes a modifiable risk factor (Table 6).
288 Pesticides have been linked to recurrent miscarriage (Table 6). Exposure to sprayed pesticides in
289 rural South Africa in the first three months of pregnancy was associated with an increased risk of
290 miscarriage (OR 2.8; 95% CI 1.1-7.2).⁹⁴ This epidemiological study correlates with a clinical study
291 demonstrating higher levels of serum organochlorine pesticides in women with recurrent
292 miscarriage compared with controls.⁹⁵

293

294 **Risks and complications of miscarriage**

295 *Threatened miscarriage and obstetric complications*

296 Threatened miscarriage, defined as vaginal bleeding in early pregnancy, is among the most common
297 reasons for women to seek medical care in early pregnancy.⁹⁶ It is increasingly clear that events in
298 early pregnancy have a significant impact on pregnancy outcomes.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ A systematic review of 14
299 studies (n=64,365) found that women who experienced threatened miscarriage have a higher risk of
300 antepartum haemorrhage due to placenta previa (OR 1.62; 95% CI 1.19-2.22) or antepartum
301 haemorrhage of unknown origin (OR 2.47; 95% CI 1.52-4.02).⁹⁷ There is also an association with
302 preterm prelabour rupture of membranes (OR 1.78; 95% CI 1.28-2.48), preterm delivery (OR 2.05;
303 95% CI 1.76-2.40), and fetal growth restriction (OR 1.54; 95% CI 1.18-2.00).⁹⁷ Significantly higher
304 rates of perinatal mortality (OR 2.15; 95% CI 1.41-3.27) and low-birthweight neonates (OR 1.83; 95%
305 CI 1.48-2.28) have been reported.⁹⁷ Ultrasound diagnosis of intrauterine haematoma (IUH) is also
306 associated with an increased risk of antenatal complications such as preeclampsia (Relative Risk [RR]
307 4.0; 95% CI 2.4-6.7), placental abruption (RR 5.6; 95% CI 2.8-11.1) and preterm delivery (RR 2.3; 95%
308 CI 1.6-3.2).⁹⁹

309

310

311

312 *Miscarriage and obstetric complications*

313 Our literature review demonstrated striking associations between a history of miscarriage and
314 several adverse obstetric outcomes in subsequent pregnancies (Table 7). The risk of preterm birth
315 increases stepwise with each previous miscarriage, demonstrating a biological gradient; this
316 association persists even with adjustment for confounding variables (Table 7). It is possible that
317 adverse outcomes after miscarriage may be at least partly attributable to the management of
318 miscarriage. Repeated uterine curettage after cervical dilatation may cause injury to the uterine
319 cervix and endometrial cavity or change the uterine microbiome, increasing the risk of preterm birth
320 due to cervical insufficiency or chronic endometritis. Injury to the uterine wall or endometrium may
321 also cause abnormal placentation in subsequent pregnancies, resulting in increased risk of placental
322 abruption and placenta praevia (Table 7). A nationwide population-based birth cohort study in Japan
323 found an increased risk of placental adhesions and uterine infection in women with recurrent
324 pregnancy loss.¹⁰¹ Abnormal placentation may also contribute to low birthweight (Table 7).
325 However, it is plausible that the increased frequency of low birthweight and perinatal complications
326 is an inherent part of the recurrent miscarriage syndrome. Women who experience recurrent
327 miscarriage are themselves born with a significantly reduced birthweight,¹⁰² and a history of
328 perinatal complications has been found in women in their pregnancies before they acquire a
329 recurrent miscarriage diagnosis.¹⁰³ An inadequate decidual response, if it does not lead to
330 miscarriage, may lead to inadequate placentation causing placental dysfunction disorders, and so
331 increasing the risk of, placental abruption, fetal growth restriction, preterm birth and perinatal
332 death. There is growing evidence that preterm infants born after spontaneous preterm labour have
333 a lower mean birthweight than what would be expected for their gestation.¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶ Therefore, the
334 likelihood is that the association between miscarriage and adverse obstetric outcomes may partly be
335 driven by a common aetiology, perhaps originating in suboptimal endometrial repair and
336 decidualisation. The increasing incidence of perinatal complications with increasing number of
337 previous pregnancy losses¹⁰⁷ suggests a need for heightened antenatal surveillance in patients with a

338 history of multiple miscarriages. In addition, miscarriage is an opportunity to consider prophylactic
 339 interventions, such as lifestyle improvements before another pregnancy.

340

341 **Table 7.** Risks and complications associated with past history of miscarriage

	Crude estimates		Adjusted estimates	
	Number of participants (trials)	Odds ratio [95% CI]	Number of participants (trials)	Odds ratio [95% CI]
Maternal risks				
Pre-eclampsia or pregnancy induced hypertension				
1 miscarriage	719,644 (4)	1.02 [0.98, 1.06]	697,122 (3)	0.99 [0.95, 1.03]
2 miscarriages	622,504 (2)	1.03 [0.95, 1.12]	622,504 (2)	0.94 [0.85, 1.04]
3 or more miscarriages	671,060 (5)	1.04 [0.72, 1.51]	616,146 (3)	1.22 [0.86, 1.73]
Placental abruption				
1 miscarriage	719,644 (4)	1.09 [0.98, 1.21]	697,122 (3)	1.07 [0.95, 1.20]
2 miscarriages	74,925 (2)	1.33 [1.07, 1.66]	622,504 (2)	1.26 [1.00, 1.59]
3 or more miscarriages	646,199 (4)	1.70 [1.31, 2.19]	616,146 (3)	1.67 [1.21, 2.30]
Placenta praevia				
1 miscarriage	115,290 (3)	1.41 [1.17, 1.69]	92,768 (2)	1.40 [1.15, 1.70]
2 miscarriages	74,925 (2)	1.86 [1.34, 2.57]	74,925 (1)	1.86 [1.34, 2.58]
3 or more miscarriages	106,207 (3)	2.71 [1.54, 4.76]	76,154 (2)	2.81 [0.87, 9.04]
Neonatal risks				
Preterm birth				
1 miscarriage	875,911 (7)	1.24 [1.09, 1.41]	733,199* (7)	1.17 [1.05, 1.31]
2 miscarriages	767,888 (4)	1.40 [1.10, 1.80]	675,655** (5)	1.36 [1.13, 1.63]
3 or more miscarriages	1,451,303 (9)	2.23 [1.68, 2.97]	668,615** (6)	1.76 [1.39, 2.22]
Low birthweight \diamond				
1 miscarriage	115,182 (3)	1.11 [0.88, 1.40]	115,182* (3)	1.09 [0.91, 1.30]
2 miscarriages	74,829 (1)	1.08 [0.96, 1.21]	74,829* (1)	1.37 [0.81, 2.32]
3 or more miscarriages	76,614 (3)	1.87 [1.07, 3.27]	76,061* (3)	1.98 [1.09, 3.58]
Stillbirth				
1 miscarriage	715,168 (3)	1.13 [0.96, 1.33]	715,168 (3)	1.00 [0.88, 1.13]
2 miscarriages	623,133 (2)	1.08 [0.83, 1.41]	623,133 (2)	1.04 [0.79, 1.38]
3 or more miscarriages	613,013 (2)	2.01 [1.43, 2.82]	613,013 (2)	1.69 [1.17, 2.45]
Health risks				
Cardiovascular complications				
1 miscarriage	2,431,899 (6)	1.18 [0.83, 1.68]	2,450,098 (6)	1.06 [0.98, 1.15]
2 miscarriages	50,605 (2)	1.58 [0.64, 3.89]	162,259 (5)	1.22 [1.10, 1.35]
3 or more miscarriages	176,081 (4)	5.04 [1.68, 15.14]	290,188 (7)	1.42 [1.16, 1.74]
Stroke				
1 miscarriage	2,430,267 (4)	1.05 [0.65, 1.72]	2,448,174 (5)	0.98 [0.91, 1.06]
2 miscarriages	2,250,752 (4)	1.00 [0.38, 2.61]	86,319 (3)	1.10 [0.99, 1.21]
3 or more miscarriages	59,735 (3)	1.52 [0.70, 3.30]	17,645 (1)	1.15 [0.98, 1.36]
Venous thromboembolism				
1 miscarriage	94,595 (1)	1.30 [0.73, 2.32]	94,595 (1)	1.11 [0.59, 2.06]

2 miscarriages	80,792 (1)	1.57 [0.57, 4.36]	-	-
3 or more miscarriages	78,020 (3)	10.91 [5.16, 23.06]	78,020 (3)	6.13 [2.48, 15.16]
Mental health risks				
Anxiety				
1 miscarriage	3,028 (3)	1.74 [1.11, 2.73]	3,889 (4)	1.62 [1.25, 2.11]
2 or more miscarriages	146 (1)	4.34 [2.08, 9.03]	-	-
Depression				
1 miscarriage	4,179 (6)	2.79 [1.56, 5.01]	4,095 (5)	2.38 [1.65, 3.42]
2 or more miscarriages	146 (1)	3.88 [1.87, 8.03]	-	-
Post traumatic stress disorder				
1 miscarriage	1,513 (2)	4.39 [0.18, 105.50]	-	-
2 or more miscarriages	146 (1)	4.89 [1.57, 15.27]	-	-
Suicide				
1 miscarriage	3,655 (1)	5.27 [4.12, 6.74]	3,655 (1)	3.80 [2.80, 5.20]

342 The reference group for all comparisons is women without previous miscarriages. * Number of women missing
 343 for one study; ** Number of women missing for two studies; ◊ Excluding growth restriction.
 344

345 *Miscarriage and long-term health risks*

346 Recurrent miscarriage is associated with long term health problems beyond pregnancy. Our
 347 literature review found that recurrent miscarriage is associated with cardiovascular disease and
 348 venous thromboembolism (Table 7). No association was identified between miscarriage and stroke
 349 (Table 7). These findings are important because they add to the concept of a recurrent miscarriage
 350 syndrome, and may mean that a history of repeated miscarriage is an opportunity for reducing risks
 351 for cardiovascular and thromboembolic disease.

352 The psychological consequences of miscarriage involve both trauma and bereavement.¹⁰⁸ The
 353 psychological consequences of miscarriage may have little or no outward physical manifestation and
 354 so can go unrecognised by healthcare professionals, family and friends. This is the case particularly in
 355 a society which views miscarriage as unimportant or shameful, thus leading to concealment of a
 356 pregnancy loss and its consequences.

357 Our literature review identified that anxiety (aOR 1.62; 95% CI 1.25-2.11), depression (aOR 2.38; 95%
 358 CI 1.65-3.42) and suicide (aOR 3.80; 95% CI 2.8-5.2) are strongly associated with miscarriage (Table
 359 7). A multicentre prospective cohort study of 537 women following a miscarriage found that nine
 360 months after a pregnancy loss, 18% of women met the criteria for post-traumatic stress, 17% for

361 moderate or severe anxiety, and 6% for moderate or severe depression.¹⁰⁹ Identifying women at risk
362 of psychological distress following miscarriage and the development of optimal treatment strategies
363 have been identified as research priorities.¹¹⁰

364

365 **Economic burden**

366 We conducted a literature review with the goal of identifying and summarising evidence on the
367 economic costs associated with miscarriage, the cost-effectiveness of prevention or management
368 strategies, and preference-based outcomes associated with miscarriage or its prevention or
369 management derived using economic methods. A total of 30 articles were included; 15 articles
370 reported costing studies, 12 articles reported economic evaluations, and 3 articles reported
371 preference elicitation studies. Due to heterogeneity in study design, outcomes and intervention
372 types, and variations in healthcare practices and relative prices for resource inputs, a narrative
373 synthesis of economic evidence is presented. All economic costs are presented in Pounds Sterling
374 (2018 prices) for comparative purposes.

375 Published evidence on the economic consequences of miscarriage has focussed largely on direct
376 health service costs associated with miscarriage treatment procedures. Cost estimates vary by the
377 nature of the intervention (e.g. expectant, medical or surgical management), location of care
378 (inpatient or outpatient), cost accounting methodology and jurisdiction. Most published studies have
379 aimed to provide information about options that are less costly than current practice,¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁸ or to
380 probe the value of adjuncts to current practice.¹¹⁹ The emphasis is usually on cost comparisons for
381 achieving a standard outcome, namely complete removal of pregnancy tissue from the uterus. The
382 use of decision analysis is common,^{111,118} mainly as a means of tracking cumulative costs over
383 different treatment pathways particularly where additional treatment may be required following
384 failure of initial therapy. Unit costs estimates have been derived from a number of sources, including
385 primary research methods^{113,115,116} and administrative tariffs.^{120,121}

386 Published estimates of direct health service costs associated with miscarriage treatment procedures
387 vary considerably between and within countries. However, a consistent pattern emerges with direct
388 health service costs highest for surgical management and generally lowest for expectant
389 management. Direct health service costs for expectant management ranged from £380 in a study
390 from the United States¹²⁰ through to £1067 in a study from Hong Kong.¹¹⁸ Direct health service costs
391 for medical management ranged from £298 in a study from the United States¹²⁰ through to £1421 in
392 a UK study.¹¹³ Direct health service costs for surgical management, usually curettage, ranged from
393 £455 in a study from Finland¹²² through to £2242 in a study from Spain.¹¹¹ In a comparison of
394 outpatient versus inpatient treatment in the United States, the cost of manual vacuum aspiration as
395 an outpatient (£852) was much lower than that for inpatient treatment (£1729).¹²¹ Direct health
396 service costs associated with evacuation procedures are generally lower in low income countries. For
397 example, in Pakistan manual vacuum aspiration was estimated to cost on average £56,¹²³ curettage
398 £146¹²³ and electrical vacuum aspiration £193,^{115,116}; in Swaziland manual vacuum aspiration was
399 estimated to cost on average £131 and dilation and curettage £201 for incomplete first trimester
400 miscarriages.¹²⁴ Estimates of direct health service costs not differentiated by treatment method
401 ranged from £401 in the Netherlands (care provided in an early pregnancy assessment unit)¹¹⁷ to
402 £973 in the UK (progesterone as a preventive therapy).¹¹⁹

403 A few studies have estimated the non-health care costs associated with miscarriage or its
404 management. Where these have been estimated, the focus has largely been on the economic value
405 of lost productivity for women experiencing miscarriage. As part of the economic evaluation
406 conducted alongside the MIST trial, the investigators asked study participants to estimate time taken
407 off work as a consequence of their miscarriage at 10-14 days and 8 weeks following trial entry.¹¹³

408 The mean value of work absences was estimated at £431 with no significant difference in values
409 observed between the three management methods evaluated (expectant, management, surgical). In
410 a study in the Netherlands, the estimated value of lost productivity was ostensibly similar (£439), but
411 its composition notably different, with most of it driven by lower productivity after women had

412 returned to work rather than time off work.¹²⁵ A broadly similar estimate of £428-£521 (depending
413 on the treatment strategy) emerges in another economic evaluation from the Netherlands¹²⁶ that
414 compared misoprostol treatment and curettage in women who had been managed expectantly for
415 at least one week. Amongst women allocated to the misoprostol arm, the mean value of lost
416 productivity exceeded mean direct costs to the health care system.

417 The economic studies emerging from our literature review typically adopt a short-term time horizon,
418 focusing on the initial treatment period. They do not cover long lasting effects such as the economic
419 consequences associated with increased risk of psychological morbidity.

420 Evidence generated by the literature review can act as data inputs into burden of illness calculations.
421 For example, assuming that the economic consequences of miscarriage are felt only over the short
422 term and combining national prevalence data for England with estimates of costs of hospital and
423 community health and social services,¹¹³ costs to patients¹²⁷ and broader societal costs associated
424 with lost productivity¹¹³ generates an annual national estimate of economic burden of £471 million.
425 Economic estimates such as these can contribute to clinical and budgetary service planning.

426

427 **Discussion**

428 Miscarriage is common, but its scale and impact are not fully appreciated by women, family, care
429 providers, policy makers and healthcare funders. There are multiple risk factors for miscarriage,
430 most prominently female age and the number of previous losses. Certain risk factors are modifiable,
431 for example, BMI, smoking and alcohol. Environmental risk factors are an emerging concern.

432 However, it is important to appreciate that an association does not imply causation, and there is a
433 need to better understand the nature, mechanisms and implications of many of the associations
434 highlighted in this article. The physical consequences of miscarriage are well appreciated, but
435 psychological sequelae less so. Even less well appreciated are future reproductive, obstetric and
436 health consequences, particularly the risk of miscarriage recurrence, preterm birth and placental

437 disorders in future ongoing pregnancies, and cardiovascular disease and venous thromboembolism
438 later in life.

439 Whilst there are data on the short-term costs of miscarriage, the long-term costs might be
440 considerable and may outweigh short-term concerns, although the data are limited. Newly emerging
441 cohort studies with long term follow-up, such as the Tommy's Net Cohort Study,¹²⁸ and population-
442 wide record linkage studies provide potential vehicles for ascertaining long-term economic
443 outcomes such as downstream use of health and social care services, employment and occupational
444 status, income, receipt of social welfare benefits and reproductive health, which might in turn have
445 economic sequelae. Future research should use evidence from economic evaluations encompassing
446 information on incremental costs and incremental health gains associated with prevention and
447 treatment strategies to inform decisions around the prioritisation of health care resources in this
448 area.

449 We recommend miscarriage data are gathered and reported to facilitate comparison of miscarriages
450 rates amongst countries, to accelerate research, and to improve patient care and policy
451 development. Key epidemiological research priorities include determining how can we monitor
452 miscarriage rates on a population basis; ascertaining if miscarriage risk and prevalence differs across
453 nations and ethnic groups, whether miscarriage rate are increasing, and if so why; what are the key
454 outcomes from women's point of view; and which risk factors for miscarriage are potentially
455 causative, modifiable, and the impact of modification of the risk factor on clinical outcomes.

456 Important clinical research questions include the role of sperm DNA damage on miscarriage, both
457 diagnosis and the treatment; development of effective screening instruments to detect women
458 suffering from severe stress disorders and anxiety as a consequence of miscarriage, and the
459 evaluation of therapies to treat these disorders; and a better understanding of the impact of air
460 pollution on miscarriage. Concerted effort from both researchers and national policy makers is
461 needed to address these issues.

462 The current evidence indicates that smoking cessation and stress management should be prioritised
463 to improve general health and reduce the risk of miscarriage. Alcohol should be avoided in early
464 pregnancy, fruit and vegetables should be thoroughly washed to avoid the risk of ingesting
465 pesticides, and the possibility of reducing night shifts should be explored. Women with a history of
466 miscarriage, particularly those with three or more miscarriages, are at an increased risk of obstetric
467 complications including pre-term birth. Therefore, these women should be treated as high risk
468 patients during antenatal and intrapartum care. We recommend that robust strategies are
469 developed, evaluated and scaled up to manage these risks associated with miscarriage, particularly
470 psychological morbidity and future obstetric consequences.

471

472 **Contributors**

473 All authors participated in the design of the review, literature searches, and assisted with the writing
474 a review of all sections and agreed to submit the manuscript. The manuscript represents the view of
475 named authors only.

476

477 **Declaration of interests**

478 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

479

480 **Acknowledgements**

481 The Tommy's Charity funds the Tommy's National Centre for Miscarriage Research. JJB holds a
482 Wellcome Trust Investigator Award (212233/Z/18/Z). The funders had no role in the writing of the
483 article; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication. We would like to acknowledge Hannah
484 Noordali for her input to the literature searched that were conducted for this manuscript.

485

486 References

- 487 1. Banno C, Sugiura-Ogasawara M, Ebara T, et al. Attitude and perceptions toward
488 miscarriage: a survey of a general population in Japan. *J Hum Genet* 2020; **65**(2): 155-64.
- 489 2. San Lazaro Campillo I, Meaney S, Sheehan J, Rice R, O'Donoghue K. University
490 students' awareness of causes and risk factors of miscarriage: a cross-sectional study. *BMC*
491 *Womens Health* 2018; **18**(1): 188.
- 492 3. Bardos J, Hercz D, Friedenthal J, Missmer SA, Williams Z. A national survey on
493 public perceptions of miscarriage. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **125**(6): 1313-20.
- 494 4. Brier N. Understanding and managing the emotional reactions to a miscarriage.
495 *Obstet Gynecol* 1999; **93**(1): 151-5.
- 496 5. Betts D, Dahlen HG, Smith CA. A search for hope and understanding: an analysis of
497 threatened miscarriage internet forums. *Midwifery* 2014; **30**(6): 650-6.
- 498 6. MacWilliams K, Hughes J, Aston M, Field S, Moffatt FW. Understanding the
499 Experience of Miscarriage in the Emergency Department. *J Emerg Nurs* 2016; **42**(6): 504-12.
- 500 7. Barakat R, Pelaez M, Montejo R, Refoyo I, Coteron J. Exercise throughout pregnancy
501 does not cause preterm delivery: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Phys Act Health* 2014;
502 **11**(5): 1012-7.
- 503 8. de Oliveria Melo AS, Silva JL, Tavares JS, Barros VO, Leite DF, Amorim MM.
504 Effect of a physical exercise program during pregnancy on uteroplacental and fetal blood
505 flow and fetal growth: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2012; **120**(2 Pt 1): 302-
506 10.
- 507 9. Walch K, Unfried G, Huber J, et al. Implanon versus medroxyprogesterone acetate:
508 effects on pain scores in patients with symptomatic endometriosis--a pilot study.
509 *Contraception* 2009; **79**(1): 29-34.
- 510 10. De Geyter C, Steimann S, Muller B, Kranzlin ME, Meier C. Pattern of thyroid
511 function during early pregnancy in women diagnosed with subclinical hypothyroidism and
512 treated with l-thyroxine is similar to that in euthyroid controls. *Thyroid* 2009; **19**(1): 53-9.
- 513 11. Volgsten H, Jansson C, Svanberg AS, Darj E, Stavreus-Evers A. Longitudinal study
514 of emotional experiences, grief and depressive symptoms in women and men after
515 miscarriage. *Midwifery* 2018; **64**: 23-8.
- 516 12. Swanson KM. Effects of caring, measurement, and time on miscarriage impact and
517 women's well-being. *Nurs Res* 1999; **48**(6): 288-98.
- 518 13. Lee C, Slade P. Miscarriage as a traumatic event: a review of the literature and new
519 implications for intervention. *J Psychosom Res* 1996; **40**(3): 235-44.
- 520 14. Brier N. Grief following miscarriage: a comprehensive review of the literature. *J*
521 *Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2008; **17**(3): 451-64.
- 522 15. Cumming GP, Klein S, Bolsover D, et al. The emotional burden of miscarriage for
523 women and their partners: trajectories of anxiety and depression over 13 months. *Bjog* 2007;
524 **114**(9): 1138-45.
- 525 16. Nguyen V, Temple-Smith M, Bilardi J. Men's lived experiences of perinatal loss: A
526 review of the literature. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2019; **59**(6): 757-66.
- 527 17. Peel E. Pregnancy loss in lesbian and bisexual women: an online survey of
528 experiences. *Hum Reprod* 2010; **25**(3): 721-7.
- 529 18. Carranza-Lira S, Blanquet J, Tserotas K, Calzada L. Endometrial progesterone and
530 estradiol receptors in patients with recurrent early pregnancy loss of unknown etiology--
531 preliminary report. *Med Sci Monit* 2000; **6**(4): 759-62.
- 532 19. Ugurlu EN, Ozaksit G, Karaer A, Zulfikaroglu E, Atalay A, Ugur M. The value of
533 vascular endothelial growth factor, pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A, and progesterone
534 for early differentiation of ectopic pregnancies, normal intrauterine pregnancies, and
535 spontaneous miscarriages. *Fertil Steril* 2009; **91**(5): 1657-61.

- 536 20. Evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. *Fertil*
537 *Steril* 2012; **98**(5): 1103-11.
- 538 21. Bender Atik R, Christiansen OB, Elson J, et al. ESHRE guideline: recurrent
539 pregnancy loss. *Hum Reprod Open* 2018; **2018**(2): hoy004.
- 540 22. Kolte AM, Bernardi LA, Christiansen OB, et al. Terminology for pregnancy loss prior
541 to viability: a consensus statement from the ESHRE early pregnancy special interest group.
542 *Hum Reprod* 2015; **30**(3): 495-8.
- 543 23. Doubilet PM, Benson CB, Bourne T, et al. Diagnostic criteria for nonviable
544 pregnancy early in the first trimester. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**(15): 1443-51.
- 545 24. Himmelberger DU, Brown BW, Jr., cohen EN. Cigarette smoking during pregnancy
546 and the occurrence of spontaneous abortion and congenital abnormality. *Am J Epidemiol*
547 1978; **108**(6): 470-9.
- 548 25. Armstrong BG, McDonald AD, Sloan M. Cigarette, alcohol, and coffee consumption
549 and spontaneous abortion. *Am J Public Health* 1992; **82**(1): 85-7.
- 550 26. Nybo Andersen AM, Wohlfahrt J, Christens P, Olsen J, Melbye M. Maternal age and
551 fetal loss: population based register linkage study. *Bmj* 2000; **320**(7251): 1708-12.
- 552 27. Adolfsson A, Larsson PG. Cumulative incidence of previous spontaneous abortion in
553 Sweden in 1983-2003: a register study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2006; **85**(6): 741-7.
- 554 28. Linnakaari R, Helle N, Mentula M, et al. Trends in the incidence, rate and treatment
555 of miscarriage-nationwide register-study in Finland, 1998-2016. *Hum Reprod* 2019; **34**(11):
556 2120-8.
- 557 29. Magnus MC, Wilcox AJ, Morken NH, Weinberg CR, Håberg SE. Role of maternal
558 age and pregnancy history in risk of miscarriage: prospective register based study. *Bmj* 2019;
559 **364**: 1869.
- 560 30. Rossen LM, Ahrens KA, Branum AM. Trends in Risk of Pregnancy Loss Among US
561 Women, 1990-2011. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2018; **32**(1): 19-29.
- 562 31. Nguyen BT, Chang EJ, Bendikson KA. Advanced paternal age and the risk of
563 spontaneous abortion: an analysis of the combined 2011-2013 and 2013-2015 National
564 Survey of Family Growth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019; **221**(5): 476.e1-.e7.
- 565 32. Badawy A, Inany H, Mosbah A, Abulatta M. Luteal phase clomiphene citrate for
566 ovulation induction in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a novel protocol. *Fertil Steril*
567 2009; **91**(3): 838-41.
- 568 33. Duckitt K, Qureshi A. Recurrent miscarriage. *Clin Evid (Online)* 2008; **14**: 14.
- 569 34. Li XL, Du DF, Chen SJ, Zheng SH, Lee AC, Chen Q. Trends in ectopic pregnancy,
570 hydatidiform mole and miscarriage in the largest obstetrics and gynaecology hospital in
571 China from 2003 to 2013. *Reprod Health* 2016; **13**(1): 58.
- 572 35. Rasmak Roepke E, Matthiesen L, Rylance R, Christiansen OB. Is the incidence of
573 recurrent pregnancy loss increasing? A retrospective register-based study in Sweden. *Acta*
574 *Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2017; **96**(11): 1365-72.
- 575 36. Clifford K, Rai R, Regan L. Future pregnancy outcome in unexplained recurrent first
576 trimester miscarriage. *Hum Reprod* 1997; **12**(2): 387-9.
- 577 37. Brigham SA, Conlon C, Farquharson RG. A longitudinal study of pregnancy outcome
578 following idiopathic recurrent miscarriage. *Hum Reprod* 1999; **14**(11): 2868-71.
- 579 38. Lund M, Kamper-Jørgensen M, Nielsen HS, Lidegaard Ø, Andersen AM,
580 Christiansen OB. Prognosis for live birth in women with recurrent miscarriage: what is the
581 best measure of success? *Obstet Gynecol* 2012; **119**(1): 37-43.
- 582 39. Feodor Nilsson S, Andersen PK, Strandberg-Larsen K, Nybo Andersen AM. Risk
583 factors for miscarriage from a prevention perspective: a nationwide follow-up study. *Bjog*
584 2014; **121**(11): 1375-84.

- 585 40. Kolte AM, van Oppenraaij RH, Quenby S, et al. Non-visualized pregnancy losses are
586 prognostically important for unexplained recurrent miscarriage. *Hum Reprod* 2014; **29**(5):
587 931-7.
- 588 41. Pineles BL, Park E, Samet JM. Systematic review and meta-analysis of miscarriage
589 and maternal exposure to tobacco smoke during pregnancy. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014; **179**(7):
590 807-23.
- 591 42. Kaandorp SP, van Mens TE, Middeldorp S, et al. Time to conception and time to live
592 birth in women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage. *Hum Reprod* 2014; **29**(6): 1146-52.
- 593 43. Kling C, Hedderich J, Kabelitz D. Fertility after recurrent miscarriages: results of an
594 observational cohort study. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2018; **297**(1): 205-19.
- 595 44. Ventolini G, Duke J, Po W, et al. The impact of maternal body mass on the
596 effectiveness of 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate. *J Reprod Med* 2008; **53**(9): 667-71.
- 597 45. Sugiura-Ogasawara M. Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: Current Evidence and Clinical
598 Guideline. 2017: 151-64.
- 599 46. Toth B, Würfel W, Bohlmann M, et al. Recurrent Miscarriage: Diagnostic and
600 Therapeutic Procedures. Guideline of the DGGG, OEGGG and SGGG (S2k-Level, AWMF
601 Registry Number 015/050). *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* 2018; **78**(4): 364-81.
- 602 47. Hardy K, Hardy PJ, Jacobs PA, Lewallen K, Hassold TJ. Temporal changes in
603 chromosome abnormalities in human spontaneous abortions: Results of 40 years of analysis.
604 *Am J Med Genet A* 2016; **170**(10): 2671-80.
- 605 48. Savva GM, Walker K, Morris JK. The maternal age-specific live birth prevalence of
606 trisomies 13 and 18 compared to trisomy 21 (Down syndrome). *Prenat Diagn* 2010; **30**(1):
607 57-64.
- 608 49. Philipp T, Kalousek DK. Generalized abnormal embryonic development in missed
609 abortion: embryoscopic and cytogenetic findings. *Am J Med Genet* 2002; **111**(1): 43-7.
- 610 50. Feichtinger M, Reiner A, Hartmann B, Philipp T. Embryoscopy and karyotype
611 findings of repeated miscarriages in recurrent pregnancy loss and spontaneous pregnancy
612 loss. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2018; **35**(8): 1401-6.
- 613 51. Gellersen B, Brosens JJ. Cyclic decidualization of the human endometrium in
614 reproductive health and failure. *Endocr Rev* 2014; **35**(6): 851-905.
- 615 52. Mor G, Aldo P, Alvero AB. The unique immunological and microbial aspects of
616 pregnancy. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2017; **17**(8): 469-82.
- 617 53. Turco MY, Moffett A. Development of the human placenta. *Development* 2019;
618 **146**(22).
- 619 54. Lucas ES, Dyer NP, Murakami K, et al. Loss of Endometrial Plasticity in Recurrent
620 Pregnancy Loss. *Stem Cells* 2016; **34**(2): 346-56.
- 621 55. Lucas ES, Vrljicak P, Muter J, et al. Recurrent pregnancy loss is associated with a
622 pro-senescent decidual response during the peri-implantation window. *Commun Biol* 2020;
623 **3**(1): 37.
- 624 56. Ticconi C, Pietropolli A, D'Ippolito S, et al. Time-to-Pregnancy in Women with
625 Unexplained Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: A Controlled Study. *Reprod Sci* 2020; **27**(5): 1121-
626 8.
- 627 57. Antoniotti GS, Coughlan M, Salamonsen LA, Evans J. Obesity associated advanced
628 glycation end products within the human uterine cavity adversely impact endometrial
629 function and embryo implantation competence. *Hum Reprod* 2018; **33**(4): 654-65.
- 630 58. Kakita-Kobayashi M, Murata H, Nishigaki A, et al. Thyroid Hormone Facilitates in
631 vitro Decidualization of Human Endometrial Stromal Cells via Thyroid Hormone Receptors.
632 *Endocrinology* 2020; **161**(6).
- 633 59. Hill AB. THE ENVIRONMENT AND DISEASE: ASSOCIATION OR
634 CAUSATION? *Proc R Soc Med* 1965; **58**(5): 295-300.

- 635 60. Hassold T, Chiu D. Maternal age-specific rates of numerical chromosome
636 abnormalities with special reference to trisomy. *Hum Genet* 1985; **70**(1): 11-7.
- 637 61. Stephenson MD, Awartani KA, Robinson WP. Cytogenetic analysis of miscarriages
638 from couples with recurrent miscarriage: a case-control study. *Hum Reprod* 2002; **17**(2): 446-
639 51.
- 640 62. Avalos LA, Roberts SC, Kaskutas LA, Block G, Li DK. Volume and type of alcohol
641 during early pregnancy and the risk of miscarriage. *Subst Use Misuse* 2014; **49**(11): 1437-45.
- 642 63. Baba S, Noda H, Nakayama M, Waguri M, Mitsuda N, Iso H. Risk factors of early
643 spontaneous abortions among Japanese: a matched case-control study. *Hum Reprod* 2011;
644 **26**(2): 466-72.
- 645 64. Campbell S, Lynch J, Esterman A, McDermott R. Pre-pregnancy predictors linked to
646 miscarriage among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in North Queensland. *Aust*
647 *N Z J Public Health* 2011; **35**(4): 343-51.
- 648 65. Chatenoud L, Parazzini F, di Cintio E, et al. Paternal and maternal smoking habits
649 before conception and during the first trimester: relation to spontaneous abortion. *Ann*
650 *Epidemiol* 1998; **8**(8): 520-6.
- 651 66. Maconochie N, Doyle P, Prior S, Simmons R. Risk factors for first trimester
652 miscarriage--results from a UK-population-based case-control study. *BJOG* 2007; **114**(2): 170-
653 86.
- 654 67. Parazzini F, Tozzi L, Chatenoud L, Restelli S, Luchini L, La Vecchia C. Alcohol and
655 risk of spontaneous abortion. *Hum Reprod* 1994; **9**(10): 1950-3.
- 656 68. Xu G, Wu Y, Yang L, et al. Risk factors for early miscarriage among Chinese: a
657 hospital-based case-control study. *Fertil Steril* 2014; **101**(6): 1663-70.
- 658 69. Wall KM, Haddad LB, Mehta CC, et al. Miscarriage among women in the United
659 States Women's Interagency HIV Study, 1994-2017. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019; **221**(4):
660 347.e1-.e13.
- 661 70. Kline J, Shrout P, Stein Z, Susser M, Warburton D. Drinking during pregnancy and
662 spontaneous abortion. *Lancet* 1980; **2**(8187): 176-80.
- 663 71. Ahlborg G, Jr., Axelsson G, Bodin L. Shift work, nitrous oxide exposure and
664 subfertility among Swedish midwives. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996; **25**(4): 783-90.
- 665 72. Leviton A. Biases Inherent in Studies of Coffee Consumption in Early Pregnancy and
666 the Risks of Subsequent Events. *Nutrients* 2018; **10**(9).
- 667 73. Boyles SH, Ness RB, Grisso JA, Markovic N, Bromberger J, CiFelli D. Life event
668 stress and the association with spontaneous abortion in gravid women at an urban emergency
669 department. *Health Psychol* 2000; **19**(6): 510-4.
- 670 74. Fenster L, Schaefer C, Mathur A, et al. Psychologic stress in the workplace and
671 spontaneous abortion. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995; **142**(11): 1176-83.
- 672 75. Nelson DB, Grisso JA, Joffe MM, Brensinger C, Shaw L, Datner E. Does stress
673 influence early pregnancy loss? *Ann Epidemiol* 2003; **13**(4): 223-9.
- 674 76. Wainstock T, Lerner-Geva L, Glasser S, Shoham-Vardi I, Anteby EY. Prenatal stress
675 and risk of spontaneous abortion. *Psychosom Med* 2013; **75**(3): 228-35.
- 676 77. Schenker MB, Eaton M, Green R, Samuels S. Self-reported stress and reproductive
677 health of female lawyers. *J Occup Environ Med* 1997; **39**(6): 556-68.
- 678 78. Lynch CD, Sundaram R, Buck Louis GM. Biomarkers of preconception stress and the
679 incidence of pregnancy loss. *Hum Reprod* 2018; **33**(4): 728-35.
- 680 79. Edmonds DK, Lindsay KS, Miller JF, Williamson E, Wood PJ. Early embryonic
681 mortality in women. *Fertil Steril* 1982; **38**(4): 447-53.
- 682 80. Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, O'Connor JF, et al. Incidence of early loss of pregnancy. *N*
683 *Engl J Med* 1988; **319**(4): 189-94.

- 684 81. Arck PC, Rucke M, Rose M, et al. Early risk factors for miscarriage: a prospective
685 cohort study in pregnant women. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2008; **17**(1): 101-13.
- 686 82. Simpson JL. Incidence and timing of pregnancy losses: relevance to evaluating safety
687 of early prenatal diagnosis. *Am J Med Genet* 1990; **35**(2): 165-73.
- 688 83. Coomarasamy A, Devall AJ, Brosens JJ, et al. Micronized vaginal progesterone to
689 prevent miscarriage: a critical evaluation of randomized evidence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
690 2020; **S0002-9378(19)32762-0**.
- 691 84. Chan YY, Jayaprakasan K, Tan A, Thornton JG, Coomarasamy A, Raine-Fenning NJ.
692 Reproductive outcomes in women with congenital uterine anomalies: a systematic review.
693 *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011; **38**(4): 371-82.
- 694 85. Giakoumelou S, Wheelhouse N, Cuschieri K, Entrican G, Howie SEM, Horne AW.
695 The role of infection in miscarriage. *Human Reproduction Update* 2015; **22**(1): 116-33.
- 696 86. Al-Memar M, Bobdiwala S, Fourie H, et al. The association between vaginal bacterial
697 composition and miscarriage: a nested case-control study. *Bjog* 2020; **127**(2): 264-74.
- 698 87. Ralph SG, Rutherford AJ, Wilson JD. Influence of bacterial vaginosis on conception
699 and miscarriage in the first trimester: cohort study. *Bmj* 1999; **319**(7204): 220-3.
- 700 88. Robinson L, Gallos ID, Conner SJ, et al. The effect of sperm DNA fragmentation on
701 miscarriage rates: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod* 2012; **27**(10): 2908-
702 17.
- 703 89. DeFranco E, Hall E, Hossain M, et al. Air pollution and stillbirth risk: exposure to
704 airborne particulate matter during pregnancy is associated with fetal death. *PLoS One* 2015;
705 **10**(3): e0120594.
- 706 90. Li X, Huang S, Jiao A, et al. Association between ambient fine particulate matter and
707 preterm birth or term low birth weight: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis.
708 *Environ Pollut* 2017; **227**: 596-605.
- 709 91. Zhang L, Liu W, Hou K, et al. Air pollution-induced missed abortion risk for
710 pregnancies. *Nature Sustainability* 2019; **2**(11): 1011-7.
- 711 92. Leiser CL, Hanson HA, Sawyer K, et al. Acute effects of air pollutants on
712 spontaneous pregnancy loss: a case-crossover study. *Fertil Steril* 2019; **111**(2): 341-7.
- 713 93. Gaskins AJ, Hart JE, Chavarro JE, et al. Air pollution exposure and risk of
714 spontaneous abortion in the Nurses' Health Study II. *Hum Reprod* 2019; **34**(9): 1809-17.
- 715 94. Naidoo S, London L, Burdorf A, Naidoo R, Kromhout H. Spontaneous miscarriages
716 and infant deaths among female farmers in rural South Africa. *Scand J Work Environ Health*
717 2011; **37**(3): 227-36.
- 718 95. Pathak R, Mustafa M, Ahmed RS, Tripathi AK, Guleria K, Banerjee BD. Association
719 between recurrent miscarriages and organochlorine pesticide levels. *Clin Biochem* 2010;
720 **43**(1-2): 131-5.
- 721 96. Bigrigg MA, Read MD. Management of women referred to early pregnancy
722 assessment unit: care and cost effectiveness. *Bmj* 1991; **302**(6776): 577-9.
- 723 97. Saraswat L, Bhattacharya S, Maheshwari A, Bhattacharya S. Maternal and perinatal
724 outcome in women with threatened miscarriage in the first trimester: a systematic review.
725 *Bjog* 2010; **117**(3): 245-57.
- 726 98. van Oppenraaij RH, Jauniaux E, Christiansen OB, Horcajadas JA, Farquharson RG,
727 Exalto N. Predicting adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy events and
728 complications: a review. *Hum Reprod Update* 2009; **15**(4): 409-21.
- 729 99. Nagy S, Bush M, Stone J, Lapinski RH, Gardó S. Clinical significance of
730 subchorionic and retroplacental hematomas detected in the first trimester of pregnancy.
731 *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; **102**(1): 94-100.

- 732 100. Al-Memar M, Vaulet T, Fourie H, et al. Early-pregnancy events and subsequent
733 antenatal, delivery and neonatal outcomes: prospective cohort study. *Ultrasound Obstet*
734 *Gynecol* 2019; **54**(4): 530-7.
- 735 101. Sugiura-Ogasawara M, Ebara T, Yamada Y, et al. Adverse pregnancy and perinatal
736 outcome in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss: Multiple imputation analyses with
737 propensity score adjustment applied to a large-scale birth cohort of the Japan Environment
738 and Children's Study. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 2019; **81**(1): e13072.
- 739 102. Christiansen OB, Mathiesen O, Lauritsen JG, Grunnet N. Study of the birthweight of
740 parents experiencing unexplained recurrent miscarriages. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1992; **99**(5):
741 408-11.
- 742 103. Nielsen HS, Steffensen R, Lund M, et al. Frequency and impact of obstetric
743 complications prior and subsequent to unexplained secondary recurrent miscarriage. *Hum*
744 *Reprod* 2010; **25**(6): 1543-52.
- 745 104. Bukowski R, Gahn D, Denning J, Saade G. Impairment of growth in fetuses destined
746 to deliver preterm. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001; **185**(2): 463-7.
- 747 105. Zeitlin J, Ancel PY, Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Papiernik E. The relationship between
748 intrauterine growth restriction and preterm delivery: an empirical approach using data from a
749 European case-control study. *Bjog* 2000; **107**(6): 750-8.
- 750 106. Burkhardt T, Schäffer L, Zimmermann R, Kurmanavicius J. Newborn weight charts
751 underestimate the incidence of low birthweight in preterm infants. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
752 2008; **199**(2): 139.e1-6.
- 753 107. Gunnarsdottir J, Stephansson O, Cnattingius S, Akerud H, Wikström AK. Risk of
754 placental dysfunction disorders after prior miscarriages: a population-based study. *Am J*
755 *Obstet Gynecol* 2014; **211**(1): 34.e1-8.
- 756 108. Kersting A, Wagner B. Complicated grief after perinatal loss. *Dialogues Clin*
757 *Neurosci* 2012; **14**(2): 187-94.
- 758 109. Farren J, Jalmbrant M, Falconieri N, et al. Posttraumatic stress, anxiety and
759 depression following miscarriage and ectopic pregnancy: a multicenter, prospective, cohort
760 study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019.
- 761 110. Prior M, Bagness C, Brewin J, et al. Priorities for research in miscarriage: a priority
762 setting partnership between people affected by miscarriage and professionals following the
763 James Lind Alliance methodology. *BMJ Open* 2017; **7**(8): e016571.
- 764 111. Cubo AM, Soto ZM, Haro-Pérez A, Hernández Hernández ME, Doyague MJ,
765 Sayagués JM. Medical versus surgical treatment of first trimester spontaneous abortion: A
766 cost-minimization analysis. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**(1): e0210449.
- 767 112. Hughes J, Ryan M, Hinshaw K, Henshaw R, Rispin R, Templeton A. The costs of
768 treating miscarriage: a comparison of medical and surgical management. *Br J Obstet*
769 *Gynaecol* 1996; **103**(12): 1217-21.
- 770 113. Petrou S, Trinder J, Brocklehurst P, Smith L. Economic evaluation of alternative
771 management methods of first-trimester miscarriage based on results from the MIST trial.
772 *Bjog* 2006; **113**(8): 879-89.
- 773 114. Rausch M, Lorch S, Chung K, Frederick M, Zhang J, Barnhart K. A cost-
774 effectiveness analysis of surgical versus medical management of early pregnancy loss. *Fertil*
775 *Steril* 2012; **97**(2): 355-60.
- 776 115. Tasnim N, Mahmud G, Fatima S, Sultana M. Manual vacuum aspiration: a safe and
777 cost-effective substitute of electric vacuum aspiration for the surgical management of early
778 pregnancy loss. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2011; **61**(2): 149-53.
- 779 116. Tasnim N, Fatima S, Mahmud G. Manual vacuum aspirator: a safe and effective tool
780 for decentralization of post miscarriage care. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2014; **24**(11): 815-
781 9.

- 782 117. van den Berg MM, Goddijn M, Ankum WM, et al. Early pregnancy care over time:
783 should we promote an early pregnancy assessment unit? *Reprod Biomed Online* 2015; **31**(2):
784 192-8.
- 785 118. You JH, Chung TK. Expectant, medical or surgical treatment for spontaneous
786 abortion in first trimester of pregnancy: a cost analysis. *Hum Reprod* 2005; **20**(10): 2873-8.
- 787 119. Coomarasamy A, Williams H, Truchanowicz E, et al. PROMISE: first-trimester
788 progesterone therapy in women with a history of unexplained recurrent miscarriages - a
789 randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, international multicentre trial and economic
790 evaluation. *Health Technol Assess* 2016; **20**(41): 1-92.
- 791 120. Dalton VK, Liang A, Hutton DW, Zochowski MK, Fendrick AM. Beyond usual care:
792 the economic consequences of expanding treatment options in early pregnancy loss. *Am J*
793 *Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **212**(2): 177.e1-6.
- 794 121. Dalton VK, Harris L, Weisman CS, Guire K, Castleman L, Lebovic D. Patient
795 preferences, satisfaction, and resource use in office evacuation of early pregnancy failure.
796 *Obstet Gynecol* 2006; **108**(1): 103-10.
- 797 122. Niinimäki M, Karinen P, Hartikainen AL, Pouta A. Treating miscarriages: a
798 randomised study of cost-effectiveness in medical or surgical choice. *Bjog* 2009; **116**(7): 984-
799 90.
- 800 123. Farooq F, Javed L, Mumtaz A, Naveed N. Comparison of manual vacuum aspiration,
801 and dilatation and curettage in the treatment of early pregnancy failure. *J Ayub Med Coll*
802 *Abbottabad* 2011; **23**(3): 28-31.
- 803 124. Shi XB, Zhang J, Fu SX. [Spontaneous abortion and changes of estrogen receptors
804 and progesterone receptors in the endometria of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome].
805 *Zhong Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 2008; **33**(6): 518-22.
- 806 125. Lemmers M, Verschoor MAC, Bossuyt PM, et al. Cost-effectiveness of curettage vs.
807 expectant management in women with an incomplete evacuation after misoprostol treatment
808 for first-trimester miscarriage: a randomized controlled trial and cohort study. *Acta Obstet*
809 *Gynecol Scand* 2018; **97**(3): 294-300.
- 810 126. Graziosi GC, van der Steeg JW, Reuwer PH, Drogdrop AP, Bruinse HW, Mol BW.
811 Economic evaluation of misoprostol in the treatment of early pregnancy failure compared to
812 curettage after an expectant management. *Hum Reprod* 2005; **20**(4): 1067-71.
- 813 127. Petrou S, McIntosh E. Women's preferences for attributes of first-trimester
814 miscarriage management: a stated preference discrete-choice experiment. *Value Health* 2009;
815 **12**(4): 551-9.
- 816 128. Zalanyi S, Kovacs L. [Effect of antigestagens on human reproduction]. *Orv Hetil*
817 2001; **142**(16): 827-31.
818