

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Looking for Help? Supporting Older Adults' Use of Computer Systems

Citation for published version:

Syme, A, Dickinson, A, Eisma, R & Gregor, P 2003, Looking for Help? Supporting Older Adults' Use of Computer Systems. in Human-Computer Interaction INTERACT '03: IFIP TC13 International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, 1st-5th September 2003, Zurich, Switzerland.

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Human-Computer Interaction INTERACT '03: IFIP TC13 International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, 1st-5th September 2003, Zurich, Switzerland

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Lookingforhelp?

SupportingOlderAdults'UseofComputerSystems

A.Syme, A.Dickinson, R.Eisma&P.Gregor

AppliedComputing,UniversityofDundee,Dundee,Sc otland,UK

asyme@computing.dundee.ac.uk

Abstract: Research with older adults indicates that despite existingfacilitiesbuiltintocomputersarerarely thatthisisbecause they are often hard to find, h support is presented to an increasingly diverse pop buteveryone.

es that despite considerable demand for help and support, the used.Usingevidencefromourworkwitholderadul ts,weargue ardtouse and inappropriate.Reconsidering the way sin which op ulation of computer users would be nefit not only ol der users,

Keywords: accessibility, help, olderadults, interfacedesig

1 Introduction

As computer use increasingly spreads beyond the office environment and moves into most private homestheprovisionofinbuiltsupportfacilitiesi san importantpartofenablingtheautonomyofthehome user. In this paper we argue that despite the nomin al provision of extensive help and support facilities, many groups of home users remain unable to utilise them and that this situation will not improve until designers recognise the need to make support options genuinely usable and accessible to a wide rangeof users.

The UTOPIA Project (Usable Technology for OlderPeople:InclusiveandAppropriate)focuseson the relationship between older people and technology (Eisma et al, 2003). The research presented in this paper is the preliminary result o fa focus group examining these issues, a survey questionnaire with 50 respondents and over 20 oneto-one interviews. Our research indicates that, in general, older users do not take advantage of inbui lt accessibility, helporconfiguration options. This lack of use is common across the group, despite the population's wide diversity (Gregor & Newell, 2001). Weargue that there as ons for this lack of u se reflect underlying problems with the presentation o f these 'assistive' facilities which affect almost al 1 users.

n,dynamicdiversity

As it is industry standard software for many computer applications, this paper focuses on the assistive features within the MS Windows system. Microsoft recognises that as an industry leader it doeshaveresponsibilityforproviding"productsan d information technologies that are accessible and useable by all people, including those with disabilities" (Microsoft, 1995) However, attempts to meetthisgoalarenotalwayssuccessful.

2 Help!

Online help, "strategies which help novices to lear n howtouseanewsoftwareefficiently while assisti ng themincarrying out the tasks they want to perform (Capobianco & Carbonell 138), is provided within Windows in various forms. Another source of assistance is the numerous accessibility options; a Shneidermancomments, for those whomay need an adjusted display "adjustments can be made through software-based control panels that enable users to tailor the system to their changing personal needs" (Shneiderman, 27).

Failuretousethese facilities is not explicable b lack of demand. Our research with older adults indicates considerable demand for genuinely useful help and support facilities. This demand has developed in part from environmental factors; people who use computers at home do not have access to the same support networks as those who use computers in an office setting. A recent survey

у

by Goodman et al showed that 48% of computer users over 50 lived alone. In addition, increasingl dispersed family structures mean that support from family members may not be easily available and, even when it is, such dependence on external help reduces the autonomy of the older user. Thus, genuinely usable help systems would support user autonomyandempowerment.

у

Computers offer enormous potential for personalised reading and work environments for older adults. Age-related visual impairments, for example, vary widely between individuals and enablingtheusertovarythesizeofonscreenobje cts or to select a high contrast display allows a wide varietyofindividual solutions. Such solutions wou ld beeconomicallyandpracticallyunfeasibleinpaper based materials. Inbuilt accessibility options also offer support to users who have motor control difficulties or hearing impairments. If these chang es could be made easily and intuitively, people who needed personalized interfaces would be able to set themupthemselves.

A common complaint from older users is the complexity of application interfaces, for example "[There are] too many icons for a total beginner! A simplified set of functions would be sufficient for many people.". In workshops older users responded positively to a highly simplified interface for MS Word where all toolbars were replaced by one with the most common actions. Configuration options permit the user to select only those interface elements that are appropriate for their use of the system and would be a useful tool for many novice users.

3 Nothelping...

If demandexists, why is it that older computer use rs do not use the integrated assistive features in computer applications? Possible reasons for non-use of these features are suggested by interviews, comments on the question naire, focus groups and observations of computer classes for older adults.

3.1 LackofAwarenessofFeature

Older computer users may not use support options because the yare unaware that these features exist.

Accessibility options, for example, are hidden deep in menu structures; in order to access the Accessibility Wizard the user must use the Start menu and progress through four levels (Programs \rightarrow Accessibility \rightarrow AccessibilityWizard). Unlike applications, options for improving the accessibilityof the whole system are not obvious on the desk toporinthe "frequently used" section of the menu. The people who most need the assistive elements of programs are thus effectively disabled bydesignerswhodonotconsiderhowthesefeatures will be found in the first place. Of course, the ol user must know in the first place that it is the "accessibility options" they are looking for!

Many designers fail to obey Nielsen's heuristic that the system should "speak the user's language", forexample, the user must know in order to increas the size of buttons the option they want is termed "accessibility" and that this can be reached throug "Accessories". Similarly designers do not take into account users' concepts: many older users do not recognise the concept of 'demonstration modes'. In research workshops on with computer games, older users appeared unable to distinguish between the demonstration modeofagame and the game itself.

To exacerbate these problems, assistive features are often neglected in computer classes for the elderly. Observations from the first session of a course for older adults in web and email illustrate this point. The tutor failed to inform the group th at they could enlarge the text of the website they wer e learning about (BBC online), and as a result a number of the group members spent the session leaning forward to get close enough to read the tex t on the screen. This omission may be because tutors are often younger and more experienced with computers and do not need or use the assistive facilities. However, it may also be because they to 0 donotknowaboutthem.

3.2 DifficultiesinUseofFeatures

Moreexperiencedoldercomputerusersareawareof assistive features such as the Helpfacility, but o ften choose not to use them because of their perceived irrelevance and difficulty. Many older adults have difficultywithdropdownmenuswhichmaketargets very difficult to click on. Help facilities are als 0 perceived to be irrelevant as our research with old er adults indicates. A typical reaction was: "I find i t difficult to get answers to specific problems at th e moment I want them. "Help" sections are almost always totally irrelevant." When one very experienced user was asked what he found most limiting about the computer in general, he reported theHelpfacility.

Language

"Computer speak" was identified as a serious problem by many older computer users, people described the language as "obscure", "technical jargon", or appealed for "simplified basic instruction", ormanuals "writtenbybeginners". On e lady reported: "It would be so much easier if a booklet in simple language could be issued explaining what would happen. There are never any instructions available..."

Several users reported initial problems because they did not recognize that saving a 'file' is essentiallythesamethingassavinga'document'. A similar example of terminology problems can be seen below from the results of two searches attempting to find from the Word help system how to make the text more readable. "Clearer text" provides no reference to enlarging text size or using the accessibility features that exist within the Windowssystem, nordoes "biggertext".

•	00
clearer text 🗸	bigger text 🔹 🗙
 Create transparent areas in a picture Troubleshoot printing 	If this list doesn't provide you with the help you need, try rephrasing your query. For example, "Print multiple copies of a file" will lead to more specific help topics than "print."
 Troubleshoot graphics 	 Format text in the Document Map; Pesize all or part of a table
 Troubleshoot speech recognition 	Add bullets or numbering Change the appearance of
 Delete a table or 	a Help topic
clear its contents	 Repeat a table heading on subsequent pages

Figure1 :SearchresultsinMSWordfor"clearertext"and "biggertext"

Itisunfairtosuggestthattheseissueshavenot been considered by software designers, but despite attempts, the language used is not always sufficiently user-oriented. In the accessibility options, for example, users are instructed to "Use StickyKeysifyou want to use Shft, Ctrl, or Altke y bypressingonekeyatatime." Although these term s are familiar to experienced users, they mean very littletonoviceol derusers (also, the "Shftkey" is not labeled as such on many standard keyboards, but instead has as mallupward-pointing arrow).

LackofClarity

Older users are excluded by issues other than inappropriate terminology, however. In some 'assistive' facilities there is a lack of clarity a bout the effect that a decision will have, and this promotes insecurity and confusion. A minor but instructive example is that "high contrast" setting S include settings with titles like "eggplant" (black on green) and "rainy day" (black on blue), wholly nondescriptivenames(eggplants,afterall,arepurple ...) whichdonothingtosupporttheuserindetermining which of the many available settings would be of most use to them. Nor, of course, is it at all clea r whyusersmightwanthighcontrastinthefirstpla ce.

Rather than allowing the user to easily preview the effect that visual accessibility options will h ave over the desktop, some settings take effect immediately, some when "apply" has been clicked andthedialogboxclosed, and some only take effec when the computer has been reset. There is little indication of which is which. This makes it cumbersome, awkward and confusing to change settings.

t

Additionally, textsize changes are not applied to the accessibility dialog boxitself. Even in the wi when the "Iamblind or have difficulty seeing things on screen" box is checked, both the targets and the text in the dialog remain small. This means that people who need larger text on their screens are effectively disabled from autonomous use of the accessibility options which themselves are inaccessible. Even those who manage to make changes may be put off by the presentation of these alterations, especially when, as below, the effect is confusing and ugly.



Figure2: Screenshotofan"accessible"desktopwithhigh contrast settings and extra large fonts. Note the d iconsoverlapping,thetextdescribingthembeingc by the lack of space, the shortcut toolbaricons re verysmall.

3.3 InappropriateFeatures

Although in general much of the essential functionality provided in Windows is excellent, and would be used if users knew it was there and could implementit, further research is needed to determine whether in appropriateness also plays a part in the non-use of assistive features. Another reason for the non-use of supportive features may be that they do not offer support in the way the users would like, or need, toget support. For example:

"When we got our computer, printer etc. everythingseemedtocomeona"disc".Iliketose e whattodoinbookletorleafletformthatIcanre fer to(&seediagramsetc.)"

Online help itself may be inappropriate, existing as a separate element alongside the application, requiring the user to move back and forth and to retain the information while doing so; this is especiallycomplicatedforolderadultswithmemory impairments, for example, or those who have sufferedastroke. Inaddition, features providedm ay beinappropriate in that they are aimed at a farmo re "sophisticated" computer user than the one who is trying to utilize them. Older adults are often confusedbythefunctionalityofapplicationsandt he myriad buttons and menus. To personalise an interface, often a whole series of individual decisions has to be made in the configuration while the user may have a simple higher level demand. Those users who would benefit most from a simplified interface are those without the experien ce to produce one. A 'high level' desire ("a simplifie d set of functions") cannot be communicated to the system, instead it is necessary to go through menu systems, to drag and drop toolbar buttons (without anyindicationthatthisiswhatneedstobedone).

4 MakingHelpHelpful

In order to make these assistive facilities genuine 1y useful and usable, a fundamentally different approach is needed. The inclusion of assistive functionality in a system is essential, but its inclusionisnotenough.Inordertobeusefulitm ust be usable and accessible for an increasingly divers e population of computer users. For many experienced computer users the problems described in this paper may seem trivial, but for older adults these "irritations" can become an insurmountable barrier that ultimately prevents computer use. One of the focus group participants commented that his computer has become part of his dining room furniture because it is simply too difficult to use Comments like his have been very common in our dialogue with older computer users. Even when technical support has been available, older adults' dependenceonsuch support in order to use faciliti es like the accessibility and configuration options disempowers them and their "ownership" of their interaction with the computer is compromised.

These are clearly complex problems and cannot be solved without considerable research. We offer foursuggestionsaboutpossibleplacestostart.

First, the assumption that the Windows interface is self-explanatory must be challenged. It makes little sense that the desktop shows icons of the applications you can access without any indication of how you can configure the actual appearance (tha is hidden away in the menu systems). Second,

interactionshouldbemoredirect, for example int he accessibility options it should be possible to see changes instantly reflected on the screen. Third, i t might be possible to allow users to adopt an initia 1 "profile", eg: beginner, which would allow a more appropriate interface. Finally, as many people lear n by exploration, a more effective supportive help system might be a solution. Capobianco and Carbonell conclude that "online help strategies are yettobedesigned" (Capobianco & Carbonell, 138) and more attention should certainly be given to this. There is also clearly demand for 'minimal' manuals withclearstep-by-stepinstructions, oriented towa rds what the user wants to achieve (Kelley and Charness, 115) and the role and uptake of noncomputer based materials should be investigated as partofthisfurtherresearch.

Althoughthispaperhasfocusedontheproblems that older adults face with accessing and using the 'assistive' facilities in Windows systems, improvin these facilities would benefit a far wider group; Kelley and Charness reported as early as 1995 that intermsoftutorials''whatisgoodforyoungeradu isgoodforolderadults''(Kelley&Charness, 114)

References

- Capobianco, A. and Carbonell, N. (2002), Contextual On-LineHelp: AContribution to the Implementation of Universal Access in S. Keates, P. Langdon, P.J. Clarkson, P. Robinson (eds) Universal Access and Assistive Technology. Springer-Verlag. 131-140
- EismaR,DickinsonA,GoodmanJ,MivalO,SymeAan d Tiwari L (2003) Mutual Inspiration in the DevelopmentofNewTechnologyforOlderPeople. *Include '03*,March2003,London,UK.
- Kelley, C. and Charness, N. (1995), Issues in train ing older adults to use computers, *Behaviour and InformationTechnology* 14(2),107-120
- Gregor P and Newell AF (2001) Designing for dynamic diversity - making accessible interfaces for older people. In J. Jorge, R. Heller and R. Guedj (eds) WUAUC'01 (2001 EC/NSF Workshop on Universal Accessibility of Ubiquitous Computing: Providing fortheElderly,22-25May,Portugal,2001) 90-92

http://www.microsoft.com/enable/microsoft/policy.htm

Shneiderman, B. (1998) Designing the User Interface , Addison-Wesley

The authors would like to thank the Scottish Higher

EducationFundingCouncilforfundingthisresearc h.

t