

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Evidence of macrophagous teleosaurid crocodylomorphs in the Corallian Group (Oxfordian, Late Jurassic) of the UK

Citation for published version:

Foffa, D, Young, MT & Brusatte, S 2015, 'Evidence of macrophagous teleosaurid crocodylomorphs in the Corallian Group (Oxfordian, Late Jurassic) of the UK' PeerJ. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.1497

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.7717/peerj.1497

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: PeerJ

Publisher Rights Statement: Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Peer

Evidence of macrophagous teleosaurid crocodylomorphs in the Corallian Group (Oxfordian, Late Jurassic) of the UK

Davide Foffa¹, Mark T. Young^{1,2} and Stephen L. Brusatte¹

¹ School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
² Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Teleosaurids were a group of semi-aquatic crocodylomorphs with a fossil record that spanned the Jurassic Period. In the UK, abundant specimens are known from the Oxford Clay Formation (OCF, Callovian to lower Oxfordian), but are very rare in the Kimmeridge Clay Formation (KCF, Kimmeridgian to lower Tithonian), despite their abundance in some contemporaneous deposits in continental Europe. Unfortunately, due to the paucity of material from the intermediate 'Corallian Gap' (middle to upper Oxfordian), we lack an understanding of how and why teleosaurid taxic abundance and diversity declined from the OCF to the KCF. The recognition of an incomplete teleosaurid lower jaw from the Corallian of Weymouth (Dorset, UK) begins to rectify this. The vertically oriented dentition, blunt tooth apices, intense enamel ornamentation that shifts to an anastomosed pattern apically, and deep reception pits on the dentary unambiguously demonstrates the affinity of this specimen with an unnamed sub-clade of macrophagous/durophagous teleosaurids ('Steneosaurus' *obtusidens* + *Machimosaurus*). The high symphyseal tooth count allows us to exclude the specimen from *M. hugii* and *M. mosae*, but in absence of more diagnostic material we cannot unambiguously assign DORCM G.3939 to a more specific level. Nevertheless, this specimen represents the first mandibular material referable to Teleosauridae from the poorly sampled middle-upper Oxfordian time-span in the UK.

Subjects Paleontology, Taxonomy, Zoology **Keywords** Steneosaurus, Machimosaurus, Thalattosuchia, Corallian Gap, Teleosauridae

INTRODUCTION

Teleosauridae (Thalattosuchia: Crocodylomorpha) was a group of crocodylomorphs that inhabited lagoonal/coastal environments in the Jurassic (*Andrews, 1909; Andrews, 1913; Buffetaut, 1982; Vignaud, 1995; Young et al., 2014a*). During the late Middle and Late Jurassic a group of teleosaurids achieved large body sizes, heavily built skulls and blunt dentition indicative of a derived macrophagous feeding habit (*Young et al., 2014a; Young et al., 2014b; Young et al., 2015a*). This group includes '*Steneosaurus*' obtusidens from the Oxford Clay Formation of England (Callovian) and the genus *Machimosaurus* from the late Oxfordian to Tithonian of Europe and Africa (*Sauvage, 1897–98; Hua et al., 1994; Lepage et al., 2008; Martin & Vincent, 2013; Young et al., 2014a; Young et al., 2014b; Young et al., 2015a; Martin, Vincent & Falconnet, 2015*). It is worth noting that the presence of

Submitted 4 November 2015 Accepted 23 November 2015 Published 17 December 2015

Corresponding author Davide Foffa, davidefoffa@gmail.com

Academic editor Jérémy Anquetin

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.1497

Copyright 2015 Foffa et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Machimosaurus in the upper Oxfordian is based on isolated tooth crowns from France and Portugal, and a partial lower jaw (Musée de la Princerie (Verdun, France), 2007.0.14) from France (*Sauvage*, 1897–98; *Hua*, 1996; *Lepage et al.*, 2008; *Young et al.*, 2014a; *Young et al.*, 2014b). None of these specimens was found to be diagnostic at the specific level and they were referred to *Machimosaurus* sp. based on low tooth count (estimated as lower than 'S.' *obtusidens*—see 'Discussion') and characters of the posterior mandible, which unfortunately cannot be assessed based upon the available 'S.' *obtusidens* specimens.

The content of the genus Machimosaurus (sensu Young et al., 2014a) has been questioned by Martin and colleagues (2015). They consider Ma. hugii the only valid species within Machimosaurus (Martin & Vincent, 2013; Martin, Vincent & Falconnet, 2015). However, it should be noted that Martin and colleagues did not actually address the monospecifity within Machimosaurus as they focused on the validity of Ma. buffetauti. They suggest that this taxon is the same as *Ma. mosae*, and both should be referred to Ma. hugii as originally proposed by Martin & Vincent (2013). Their argument for the synonymy between Ma. buffetauti and Ma. mosae is based on the fact that the diagnoses produced by Young et al. (2014a) and Young et al. (2015b) to distinguish these two taxa would be either accountable for intra-specific variation or by post-mortem deformation on the specimens. We recognise that the specimens have undergone some deformation (as is the norm for fossil specimens); however, we disagree with Martin and colleagues conclusions and consider these differences true morphological traits-perhaps due to differing perception of morphological species. However, Machimosaurus species (sensu Young et al., 2014a) still differ from each other in stratigraphic occurrence, basioccipital apophysis cross-section, relative size and shape of the basioccipital tuberosities, relative size of the paroccipital processes and the expansion at their lateral ends, tooth morphology, tooth enamel surface morphology, and tooth count (exceeding modern crocodylian intra-specific variation Larson, 2013; Brown et al., 2015)). Perhaps more importantly, it should also be noted that the supposed synonymy of Ma. buffetauti and Ma. mosae does not prove the monospecificity of the genus Machimosaurus. Whilst Martin and colleagues appeal to the arguments proposed in *Martin & Vincent (2013)*, the updated diagnosis that Young et al. (2014a) and Young et al. (2015b) proposed for Ma. hugii type species, and the unique tooth enamel morphology of the species (Young et al., 2014b), was not taken into account in Martin, Vincent & Falconnet (2015). Consequently, we do not find Martin, *Vincent & Falconnet (2015)* arguments to be compelling; as such we assert the taxonomic content of Machimosaurus as described in Young et al. (2014a) and Young et al. (2015b) to be valid and adopted it as phylogenetic framework in this paper.

Nevertheless, after a long debate, recent studies agree in considering *Machimosaurus* and 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens morphologically distinct taxa (*Martin & Vincent, 2013*; *Young et al., 2014a*; *Young et al., 2014b*; *Young et al., 2015a*), which we agree with. Working under this assumption means that there is a significant gap separating 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens and the first unambiguous Machimosaurus species. This timespan roughly corresponds with the Oxfordian stage, which in the UK is represented by a series of formations stacked in between the fossil-rich Oxford Clay Formation (OCF) and the Kimmeridge Clay Formation (KCF) (Cope, 2006). The middle-late part

of the British Oxfordian has been referred to as 'Corallian Gap' due to the rarity of fossil vertebrates known from this period (*Young, 2014*). While little fossil material is known from this interval, the 'Corallian Gap' is significant because it marks a period of drastic changes in the sub-Boreal marine faunas. Marine reptiles were particularly affected, and plesiosaur, thalattosuchian and ichthyosaur fossils are rare in the Oxfordian and Corallian beds (*Benton & Spencer, 1995*) after being much more common in the Callovian beds. Additionally, the OCF marine reptile faunas are very different from those of the KCF in terms of both composition and taxonomy (*Young, 2014*). Unfortunately, the absence of diagnostic Corallian material hinders our understanding of how and why marine reptile faunas changed so dramatically during this time.

Teleosaurids are no exception to this pattern, as only rare, fragmentary, and mostly non-diagnostic material has been reported from the Oxfordian of the UK (*Benton & Spencer, 1995*). In contrast to other marine reptile groups, teleosaurids are, in fact, very poorly represented (only two genera) even in the fossil-rich Kimmeridge Clay Formation (*Young & Steel, 2014*; *Young et al., 2014a*). The '*Steneosaurus*' obtusidens + *Machimosaurus* sub-clade (*Young et al., 2012*; *Martin & Vincent, 2013*; *Young, 2014*) common in continental Europe formations—is exclusively represented by a handful of teeth in the KCF (*Krebs, 1967*; *Hua et al., 1994*; *Ruiz-Omeñaca, Piñuela & Garcia-Ramos, 2011*; *Martin & Vincent, 2013*; *Young & Steel, 2014*; *Young et al., 2014a*). The paucity of teleosaurid material in the UK is puzzling. It may be a consequence of environmental changes (i.e., the UK becoming a deeper water environment), but this is still a matter of debate that can only be clarified by new discoveries and environmental-diversity studies.

Here we describe a fragmentary but informative teleosaurid specimen (DORCM G.3939) from the Corallian of Weymouth Bay (Dorset) (Figs. 1 and 2). We demonstrate that it belongs to the sub-clade '*Steneosaurus*' *obtusidens* + *Machimosaurus* and that it shows close affinities to '*Steneosaurus*' *obtusidens*. However, as the genus '*Steneosaurus*' is still in need of revision, we are unable to recognize any unambiguous diagnostic features of a particular species, so we refer the specimen to '*Steneosaurus*' cf. *obtusidens*.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The specimen, DORCM G.3939, was found at the Nothe Grit (SY30 686 787) corresponding to the south flank of the Nothe Gardens by "S Winch Esq." Paul Ensom (assistant Curator at DORCM at the time) physically collected DORCM G.3939, which was then presented to the Dorset County Museum (DORCM) in 1980, where it is currently on display in the Jurassic Gallery.

The location where the specimen was found is in close to the type section of the Nothe Grit, which is the base bed of the Corallian Group in the area (*House*, 1993). The Nothe Grit Member is a localised geological succession dated to the Oxfordian in South Dorset. It overlies the Oxford Clay Formation and constitutes a succession of thick beds of grey-yellow-brown sandstones, often including clay and extensive calcareous concretion (*Coe*, 1995). It is thought to represent a near-shore subtidal environment (*House*, 1993).





The specimen has never previously been formally described, and is not the *Teleosaurus* specimen from the same locality that is mentioned in *Benton & Spencer (1995)*. In their review of the British Late Jurassic reptile sites, the authors briefly mentioned a crocodilian from "*Nothe, Weymouth (688788;* Teleosaurus *from Lower Calcareous Grit)*" (*sic* in *Benton & Spencer, 1995*). This specimen is not DORCM G.3939, as the authors were referring to a lower jaw erroneously referred to *Teleosaurus* (the specimen being pliosaurid) by *Newton (1878)*, and later mentioned by *Delair (1958,* p. 60).

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

CROCODYLOMORPHA Hay, (1930) (sensu Nesbitt, 2011) THALATTOSUCHIA (Fraas, 1901) (sensu Young & Andrade, 2009) TELEOSAURIDAE Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1831 STENEOSAURUS cf. OBTUSIDENS Andrews, 1909



Figure 2 DORCM G.3939, 'Steneosaurus' cf. obtusidens. Anterior mandibular symphysis in (A) dorsal, (B) left lateral, (C) ventral, (D) right lateral, (E) posterior, (F) close up of the posterior view, (G) anterior view. Dashed lines in (F) follow the sutures and arrows indicate the splenial-dentary sutures. Splenials are highlighted in red, dentaries in blue. Scale bars equal: 10 cm in (A–E) and (G); 2 cm in (F).

DESCRIPTION AND COMPARISONS

DORCM G.3939 is an almost complete and undistorted lower jaw that preserves the symphyseal region, and is approximately \sim 30 cm long (Fig. 2). The anterior tips of both dentaries are incomplete so that the dentary alveoli 1 and 2 (D1, D2) are partially or entirely missing. The rest of the tooth rows continue up to the D19 alveolus on the right side and the D20 alveolus on the left side. Exceptionally, most of the teeth are intact and *in situ*, and two additional crowns were found associated with the specimen.

The dentary constitutes the vast majority of the symphyseal region as in all teleosaurids (*Andrews, 1909; Andrews, 1913; Hua, 1999; Young et al., 2014a*). The dentary is laterally expanded adjacent to the D3–D4 alveoli, resulting in these tooth crowns being located more laterally than the rest of the tooth row (Fig. 2A). The transverse plane of the D3–D4 alveoli is dorsal to the rest of the tooth row (Figs. 2B and 2D). Such a morphology is not unique in teleosaurids and also occurs in *Machimosaurus* spp. (MPV V1600bo, SMNS 91415), '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens* (NHMUK PV R 3168), and *S. edwardsi* (PETMG R178), and is present, although seemingly less pronounced, in other Callovian and Kimmeridgian longirostrine teleosaurids (e.g., *Andrews, 1913; Lepage et al., 2008; Young et al., 2014a*).

The D3 and D4 alveoli form a couplet, as they are separated only by a thin alveolar lamina, as in other teleosaurids (e.g., *Andrews, 1913; Hua, 1999; Lepage et al., 2008; Martin*

& Vincent, 2013; Young et al., 2014a). Posterior to the D4 alveolus the interalveolar spaces are consistently large, but always shorter than the alveoli adjacent to each of them. These interalveolar spaces are comparatively shorter than in the longirostrine *Steneosaurus leedsi* (NHMUK PV R 3806), and similar to *S. edwardsi* (PETMG R175, PETMG R178), *S. herberti* (OUMNH J1420), 'S.' obtusidens (NHMUK PV R 3168) and Machimosaurus spp. (*Andrews, 1909; Andrews, 1913; Young et al., 2014a*).

The interalveolar diastema between the D2 and D3 alveoli is hypothesised to have accommodated enlarged caniniform teeth from the premaxillae. Similarly the lateral surface of DORCM G.3939 dentary is deeply excavated between the alveoli to host opposing maxillary teeth (Fig. 3). Deep dentary 'reception pits' are not uncommon in Thalattosuchia and can be seen—not exclusively—in several macrophagous taxa (*Andrews, 1913; Martin & Vincent, 2013; Young et al., 2014a; Young et al., 2014b*). Within Teleosauridae, '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens* (NHMUK PV R 3168), *S. edwardsi* (PETMG R175), *S. herberti* (OUMNH J1420) and all known *Machimosaurus* species (*Young et al., 2014a*) display deep reception pits. These are also visible but considerably less pronounced in *Steneosaurus edwardsi* (PETMG R175), although this may be due to the poor preservation of the dentaries in this specimen.

The surface details (sutures and ornamentation) are largely obscured by the poor superficial preservation of the specimen (Figs. 2A–2D). The bone texture is not smooth but appears sculptured by deep anastomosed grooves similar to other large bodied macrophagous teleosaurid dentaries (*Martin & Vincent, 2013; Young et al., 2014a*).

The sutures of DORCM G.3939 are difficult to discern, although close examination of the posterior breakage surface reveals the contacts between the splenials and dentary laterally, and between the splenials along the midline (Figs. 2E and 2F). The splenialdentary sutures are very close to the midline contacts, indicating that the mandible broke across the splenial anterior process. This can be assessed by looking at the lateral extent of the splenials, which in the posterior-most section of teleosaurid mandibular symphyses make up a considerable part of the entire mandible width. This contribution gradually decreases as the splenials taper anteriorly. When these observation are applied to DORCM G.3939, we can confidently conclude that in this specimen the splenial must have reached at least a few (estimated 3 or 4) alveoli anterior to the D19 alveolus. Unfortunately we cannot be sure of the exact extent of the splenial due to the poor visibility of the sutures in dorsal view. This estimate differs from all Machimosaurus specimens, where the splenial reaches up to the D13 alveolus in Ma. buffetauti, and the D11 alveolus in Ma. mosae (Hua, 1999; Young et al., 2014a) (Table 1). Unfortunately, comparisons cannot be extended to Steneosaurus edwardsi (PETMG R178) and 'S.' obtusidens (NHMUK PV R 3168) because the dorsal surface of the lower jaws is inaccessible in both these specimens (Andrews, 1913; Table 2 in Young et al., 2014a) (Table 1).

The coronoids are not visible on either side, even in cross-section, demonstrating once again that the anterior break of the specimen occurred in front of the anterior-most extent of the coronoids. The importance of this observation is further elaborated upon in the Discussion.



Figure 3 Comparative plate of macrophagous teleosaurid dentary pit-receptions. (A) *Machimosaurus buffetauti*, MPV V1600bo, left lateral view; (B) '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens*, NHMUK PV R 3168, left lateral view; (C) '*Steneosaurus*' cf. *obtusidens*, DORCM G.3939, right lateral view. Arrows indicate reception pits for maxillary teeth. Scale bars equal 10 cm.

The dentition of DORCM G.3939 is well preserved and virtually indistinguishable in shape, ornamentation and carinae from the dentitions of '*Steneosaurus*' obtusidens and *Machimosaurus* (Figs. 2, 4 and 5A). In DORCM G.3939 most of the preserved teeth are intact, and in life position are placed in vertically-oriented alveoli. The left D4 tooth is the largest preserved tooth crown, typical for teleosaurid jaws (Figs. 2, 4 and 5A) (*Andrews, 1913*). All the crowns are conical with a circular/sub-circular cross section. They are readily differentiated from the gracile teeth of longirostrine teleosaurids, such as *Steneosaurus leedsi* (NHMUK PV R 3320) and *Mycterosuchus nasutus* (NHMUK PV R 2617, CAMSM J1420 referred specimen). The teeth of DORCM G.3939 are robust, only slightly curved lingually and have a consistently small crown height-length ratio (H:L), spanning from 1.64 (right D13) to 1.95 (left D4). Whilst the teeth of *Steneosaurus* Table 1 Comparative mandibular tooth count of Callovian-Kimmeridgian teleosaurids from UK formations. Data derive from available literature (*Andrews, 1913; Young et al., 2014a*) and direct observations on the specimens.

	Dentary tooth count	Dentary teeth adjacent mandibular symphysis	Dentary teeth ante- rior to the splenial	Symphyseal teeth along splenial
Steneosaurus leedsi (NHMUK PV R 3320)	43-44	33	24	9
? <i>Mycterosuchus nasutus</i> (CAMSM J1420)	40-41	36–37	21–22	15
Steneosaurus edwardsi (PETMG R175)	>28	26	-	-
Steneosaurus herberti (OUMNH J1420)	30	24	15	9
Steneosaurus edwardsi (PETMG R178)	>25	-	-	-
'Steneosaurus' obtusidens (NHMUK PV R 3168)	29	22–24	-	-
DORCM G.3939	>19–20 (28e)	23–24e	15–16e	6–7e
<i>Machimosaurus</i> sp. (Musée de la Princerie 2007.0.14)	>14 (24e)	>10	-	7–8
Machimosaurus buffe- tauti (SMNS 91315)	21–25	19–20	13	6–7
Machimosaurus hugii (NMS 7021)	>15	>13	11	>2
Machimosaurus mosae(Hua, 1999; Young et al., 2014a)	19	16	11	5

Notes.

e, Estimate.

edwardsi (PETMG R175, PETMG R178), and *S. herberti* (OUMNH J1420) are comparatively more robust than those of *S. leedsi* and *My. nasutus*, they lack the distinctive surface enamel ornamentation that DORCM G.3939 shares with 'S.' *obtusidens* and *Machimosaurus* spp. (Fig. 5). Every DORCM G.3939 tooth is consistently ornamented by parallel, densely packed, high-relief apicobasal ridges that are variable in length (Figs. 4A and 5A). This pattern is maintained up to 3/4 of the crown height, where the texture becomes anastomosed (Figs. 4A and 5A) (*Young et al., 2014a; Young et al., 2014b; Young et al., 2015a*). All teeth are distinctively carinated and have denticles that are non-contiguous and poorly detectable without visual aids (*Young et al., 2015a*); both features are clearly visible only close to the apex (Fig. 4B). Conversely, the presence of carinae is variable in *Machimosaurus* spp. The crowns of DORCM G.3939 display false and true zyphodonty as shown in '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens* (*Young et al., 2015a*).

The unusually well preserved dentition and lack of distortion in the specimen shows that teeth proceeded in an alternating pattern (Figs. 2A, 2C and 4C). Fully grown teeth alternate with smaller crowns, which are likely representative of earlier developmental



Figure 4 Dentition of DORCM G.3939, 'Steneosaurus' cf. obtusidens. (A) left D4 in labial/medial view; (B) left D3, showing details of the carina, blunt apex, anastomised ornamentation and denticles; (C) dorsal view of left D16 (right) and D17 (left). Scale bar equals 1 cm in (A); 0.5 cm in (B).

stages. This alternating pattern has been observed in modern reptiles before, and may be related to tooth replacement rhythm (*Edmund*, 1969). It would, in fact, guarantee that there is a fully grown crown every two tooth positions, minimising the disadvantage of continuous tooth replacement through life (*Edmund*, 1969). All the largest tooth apices are worn and polished (Fig. 4C). However, the apices of the smaller crowns are rounded as in *Machimosaurus* and '*Steneosaurus*' *obtusidens* and not pointed as in all other teleosaurids (Figs. 4B and 5) (*Andrews*, 1913; *Lepage et al.*, 2008; *Martin & Vincent*, 2013).

DISCUSSION

The rarity of Oxfordian vertebrate fossils means that DORCM G.3939 is a pivotal specimen in understanding the evolution of teleosaurids. This specimen is particularly important



Figure 5 Comparative plate of teleosaurid teeth. (A) '*Steneosaurus*' cf. *obtusidens*, DORCM G.3939, (B) '*Steneosaurus' edwardsi*, PETMG R178, referred specimen; (C) '*Steneosaurus' edwardsi*, PETMG R178, referred specimen, detail of growing dentary tooth; (D) '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens*, NHMUK PV R 3168; (E) *Machimosaurus buffetauti* DFMMh FV 330; (F) *Machimosaurus hugii*, NHMUK PV R 5, referred specimen. Scale bar equals: 1 cm in (A); 2 cm in (B), (D), (E) and (F); (C) not to scale.

because it fills a stratigraphic gap between 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens and the species of Machimosaurus, and—excluding teeth—it is the youngest macrophagous teleosaurid yet known in the UK. The dentition of DORCM G.3939 unambiguously demonstrates that it belongs to the 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens + Machimosaurus sub-clade of macrophagous teleosaurids. This confirms that the macrophagous teleosaurid lineage was still present in the UK at the beginning of the Corallian. This has immediate consequences because neither 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens nor Machimosaurus has been reported from the early Oxfordian. This means that if DORCM G.3939 belongs to Machimosaurus, then the first appearance of this genus should be stretched to the very base of Oxfordian. Conversely, if DORCM G.3939 belongs to 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens, then this specimen represents the latest occurrence of this taxon, and the first in the Late Jurassic.

Unfortunately, with the exception of the distinctive *Machimosaurus hugii*, 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens and *Machimosaurus* teeth are virtually indistinguishable (*Young* et al., 2014a; Young et al., 2014b; Young et al., 2015a), so tooth morphology is insufficient to diagnose DORCM G.3939 at the specific level. However, although DORCM G.3939 is an incomplete jaw and has no unambiguous autapomorphies, what is preserved provides useful information that can help pin down its systematic affinities. The mandibular morphology is enough to demonstrate that DORCM G.3939 is more similar to 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens than to *Machimosaurus buffetauti*. This is based on mandibular symphysis tooth count and morphological features of the mandible.

First, DORCM G.3939 has a high tooth count. The DORCM G.3939 mandibular symphysis is incomplete, and thus the tooth count of 19–20 is a conservative estimate that is likely to be higher. We estimated \sim 28 dentary teeth for this specimen based on the splenial and post symphyseal tooth count in *M. buffetauti* (SMNS 91315) and *Machimosaurus* sp. (Musée de la Princerie 2007.0.14) (Table 1). Importantly, macrophagous teleosaurids show a trend of decreasing snout length and tooth count. This trend starts in the Callovian 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens (~29 dentary teeth; Andrews, 1913) and continues through the Kimmeridgian-Tithonian from the oldest Machimosaurus species (M. buffetauti-lower Kimmeridgian) to the youngest (*M. hugii*—Kimmeridgian to lower Tithonian) (Table 1) (Martin & Vincent, 2013; Young et al., 2014a; Young et al., 2015a). Based on the figures reported in Table 1, DORCM G.3939 is more compatible with 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens than other macrophagous teleosaurids. The late Oxfordian Machimosaurus sp. (2007.0.14) does not preserve the anterior part of the mandibular symphysis, and it is then difficult to compare with DORCM G.3939 (Table 1). However, we can estimate \sim 24 dentary teeth for Musée de la Princerie 2007.0.14, based on the symphyseal tooth count relative to the splenial in M. buffetauti (SMNS 91315), the Machimosaurus specimens with the highest tooth count in the genus. This estimate is comparatively lower than our estimate for DORCM G.3939.

Second, the limited lateral extent of the splenial also supports similarity with '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens*. As mentioned above, the DORCM G.3939 mandible broke in line with the D19–D20 alveoli, corresponding to an anterior section of the splenial. It follows that the DORCM G.3939 mandibular symphysis was longer than that of *M. buffetauti* (20–21 alveoli) and more similar to '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens* (24–25 alveoli) (*Andrews*, 1913; *Martin & Vincent*, 2013; *Young et al.*, 2014*a*; *Young et al.*, 2015*a*).

Finally, additional evidence supporting close affinity between DORCM G.3939 and 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens comes from the structure of the lower jaw in dorsal view. The posterior end of the teleosaurid mandibular symphysis is marked by a noticeable lateral enlargement of the mandible, due to the divergence of the mandibular rami. This structure can be seen in all teleosaurid specimens (*Andrews, 1913; Lepage et al., 2008; Martin & Vincent, 2013; Young et al., 2014a*). Critically, such enlargement is not visible in DORCM G.3939. There are two possible explanations for the absence of this feature: (a) such enlargement is absent in DORCM G.3939, or (b) DORCM G.3939 broke anteriorly to the enlargement and therefore the preserved fossil does not show it. We consider explanation (a) unlikely as a lateral enlargement of the rami is consistently shown in all teleosaurids, including well preserved, three-dimensional specimens. The absence of the coronoid and the position of the break along the splenials are compatible with explanation (b). If correct, this corroborates that the symphyseal tooth count in DORCM G.3939 was higher than 19–20 (~28 estimated dentary teeth), and thus compatible with a 'long-snouted' blunt-toothed teleosaurid: '*Steneosaurus' obtusidens*.

Multiple lines of evidence demonstrate that DORCM G.3939 is more similar to 'Steneosaurus' obtusidens than it is to M. buffetauti. However, we cannot currently recognize any unequivocal synapomorphies that link DORCM G.3939 to 'S.' obtusidens, mostly because the genus Steneosaurus is in need of revision. In the absence of unambiguous diagnostic features we conclude that DORCM G.3939 should be provisionally referred to '*Steneosaurus*' cf. *obtusidens*. Further study of the '*Steneosaurus*' *obtusidens* holotype and new discoveries from the Corallian Gap are needed to confirm this assignment.

Interestingly, the Corallian Nothe Grit Member was deposited under near-shore tidal conditions. The discovery of a macrophagous teleosaurid within such an environmental setting is not surprising, but the absence of piscivorous teleosaurids is. Among teleosaurids, only the macrophagous *Machimosaurus* is hypothesised to have been able to venture into open seas (Young et al., 2014a). Conversely, excluding rare exceptions, piscivorous teleosaurids have mainly been found in lagoonal-coastal environments. The paucity of teleosaurids in the KCF of the UK may be environmental, as this unit was deposited in deeper water than the OCF (Gallois, 2004). It is, however, surprising that the only teleosaurid material within the 'Corallian Gap' of the UK is currently represented by macrophagous teleosaurid teeth. A possible explanation may be that, despite similar water depth conditions, the Oxfordian environment would have been very different from the nutrient rich, shallow and warm Callovian seas. However, there is another possibility. The Corallian is less exposed and sampled compared to the industrially-exploited OCF and KCF. The rarity of marine reptile material may simply be a consequence of sampling bias. Support for this statement comes from the report of abundant crocodilian teeth from Smallmouth Sands (lowermost Kimmeridgian) (Young & Steel, 2014), the shallowest deposition depth of the KCF Dorset Succession. It is then possible that teleosaurids became rare in the UK only during the Kimmeridgian, when the British Jurassic seaway transitioned from a coastal to a deep outer-shelf environment (Gallois, 2004). We predict that further studies on the poorly known material from the Corallian Gap will elucidate the time and mode of decline of Teleosauridae in the British Late Jurassic formations.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we described the first mandibular material of Teleosaurid from the Corallian Gap of the UK. The specimen can be referred to a sub-clade of macrophagous teleosaurids including *'Steneosaurus' obtusidens* and *Machimosaurus* based on its possession of unique craniodental characters. However, there are no clear synapomorphies linking it to another member of this sub-clade, or autapomorphies showing that it is a new taxon. Nevertheless, we showed that the mandible geometry and the tooth count (absolute and relative to the splenial) match those of *'Steneosaurus' obtusidens* more closely than any *Machimosaurus* specimens. In absence of unambiguous autapomorphies of *'Steneosaurus' obtusidens* or *Machimosaurus buffetauti* we refer DORCM G.3939 to *'Steneosaurus' obtusidens*. Future discoveries of more complete Corallian specimens and the revision of the *'Steneosaurus' obtusidens* holotype will clarify if the tooth counts of DORCM G.3939 relative to splenial are indeed compatible with the Callovian teleosaurid. In a broader context, DORCM G.3939 demonstrates that the *'Steneosaurus' obtusidens* + *Machimosaurus* lineage was still present in the British formations at the beginning of the Oxfordian.

Institutional Abbreviations

CAMSM Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge, United Kingdom

DFMMh	Dinosaurier-Freilichtmuseum Münchehagen, Lower Saxony, Germany
DORCM	Dorset County Museum, Dorchester, United Kingdom
MPV	Musée paléontologique (Paléospace) de Villers-sur-Mer, Normandy
NHMUK	Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom
NMS	Naturmuseum Solothurn, Switzerland
OUMNH	Oxford University Museum of Natural History, United Kingdom
PETMG	Peterborough Museum & Art Gallery, Peterborough, United Kingdom
SMNS	Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Stuttgart, Germany

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Paul Tomlinson for the courtesy, guidance and access to the specimen during DF's visit to DORCM. We are also grateful to the Photography Department of NHMUK for the photos of NHMUK PV R 3168. SLB is supported by a Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (EC 630652), which provides support for DF. DF would like to thank Elizabeth Martin-Silverstone for useful comments on an early version of the manuscript. All the authors are grateful to the reviewers Yanina Herrera and Andrea Cau, and to the editor Jérémy Anquetin for their useful comments and suggestions which greatly improved the quality of this paper.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

DF's museum visit was funded by the Small Grant Scheme '2015 Wood Award' (PA-SW201402) by the Palaeontological Association. SLB is supported by a Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (EC 630652), which provides support for DF. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Small Grant Scheme '2015 Wood Award': PA-SW201402. Marie Curie Career Integration Grant: EC 630652.

Competing Interests

Mark T. Young is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

Author Contributions

- Davide Foffa conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Mark T. Young and Stephen L. Brusatte conceived and designed the experiments, reviewed drafts of the paper.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The research in this article did not generate any raw data.

REFERENCES

- Andrews CW. 1909. On some new *Steneosaurs* from the Oxford Clay of Peterborough. *Annals & Magazine of Natural History* 8(3):299–308 DOI 10.1080/00222930908692579.
- **Andrews CW. 1913.** *A descriptive catalogue of the marine reptiles of the Oxford Clay, Part Two.* London: British Museum (Natural History).
- Benton MJ, Spencer PS. 1995. *Fossil reptiles of Great Britain*. London: Chapman and Hall, ed 386 pp.
- Brown CM, VanBuren CS, Larson DW, Brink KS, Campione NE, Vavrek MJ, Evans DC. 2015. Tooth counts through growth in diapsid reptiles: implications for interpreting individual and size-related variation in the fossil record. *Journal of Anatomy* 226:322–333 DOI 10.1111/joa.12280.
- **Buffetaut E. 1982.** Le crocodilien *Machimosaurus* VON MEYER (Mesosuchia, Teleosauridae) dans le Kimmeridgien de l'Ain. *Bulletin Trimestriel de la Société Géologique de Normandie et des Amis du Muséum du Havre Havre* **69**:17–27.
- **Coe AL. 1995.** A comparison of the Oxfordian successions of Dorset, Oxfordshire and Yorkshire. In: Taylor PD, ed. *Field geology of the british jurassic*. London: Geological Society, 151–173.
- **Cope JCW. 2006.** Jurassic: the returning seas. In: Brenchley PJ, Rawson PF, eds. *The geology of England and Wales.* 2nd edition. London: Geological Society 559 pp.
- **Delair JB. 1958.** The mesozoic reptiles of Dorset. *Proceedings of the Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society* **79**:47–72 part one.
- Edmund AG. 1969. Dentition. In: Gans C, Bellairs A, Parsons TS, eds. *Biology of the reptilia. Vol. 1 Morphology A*. London: Academy Press, 117–200.
- **Fraas E. 1901.** Die Meerkrokodile (Thalattosuchia n.g.) eine neue Sauriergruppe der Juraformation. *Jahreshefte Vereins für Vaterländische Naturkunde Württemberg* **57**:409–418.
- **Gallois RW. 2004.** The Kimmeridge Clay: the most intensively studied formation in Britain. *Journal of the Open University Geological Society* **25**:33–38.
- **Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire E. 1831.** Recherches sur de grands sauriens trouvés à l'état fossile vers les confins maritimes de la Basse Normandie, attribués d'abord au crocodile, puis déterminés sous les noms de Téléosaurus et Sténéosaurus. Paris: Firmin Didot, 138 pp.
- **Hay OP. 1930.** *Second bibliography and catalogue of the fossil vertebrata of North America.* Vol. 2. Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institute Washington.
- **House ME. 1993.** Geology of the dorset coast. In: *Geologists Association Guide*. 2nd edition. vol. 22, London: Geologists' Association. 164 pp + plates.
- **Hua S. 1996.** Réexamen du *Machimosaurus* cf. *hugii* des carrieres d'Haudainville (Meuse, Est de la France): contribution à l'étude du genre *Machimosaurus* Meyer, 1838.

Bulletin Trimestriel de la Société Géologique de Normandie et des Amis du Muséum du Havre **83**:11–16.

- **Hua S. 1999.** Le crocodilien *Machimosaurus mosae* (Thalattosuchia, Teleosauridae) du Kimmeridgien du Boulonnais (Pas de Calais, France). *Palaeontographica Abteilung A-Palaozoologie* **252**:141–170.
- Hua S, Vignaud P, Pennetier E, Pennetier G. 1994. Un squelette de Steneosaurus obtusidens (Andrews, 1909) dans le Callovien de Villers-sur-mer (Calvados, France) et le probleme de la definition des Teleosauridae a dents obtuses. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences—Series II 318:1557–1562.
- Krebs B. 1967. Der Jura-Krokodilier Machimosaurus H. v. Meyer. Paläontologische Zeitschrift 41:46–59 DOI 10.1007/BF02998548.
- Larson P. 2013. The Case for *Nanotyrannus*. In: Parrish JM, Molnar RE, Currie PJ, Koppelhus EB, eds. *Tyrannosaurid paleobiology*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 15–53.
- Lepage Y, Buffetaut E, Hua S, Martin JE, Tabouelle J. 2008. Catalogue descriptif, anatomique, géologique et historique des fossiles présentés à l'exposition Les Crocodiliens fossiles de Normandie (6 novembre–14 decembre 2008). *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de Normandie et des Amis du Muséum du Havre* **95**:5–152.
- Martin JE, Vincent P. 2013. New remains of *Machimosaurus hugii* von Meyer, 1837 (Crocodilia, Thalattosuchia) from the Kimmeridgian of Germany. *Fossil Record* 16:179–196 DOI 10.5194/fr-16-179-2013.
- Martin JE, Vincent P, Falconnet J. 2015. The taxonomic content of *Machimosaurus* (Crocodylomorpha, Thalattosuchia). *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 14(4):305–310 DOI 10.1016/j.crpv.2015.03.006.
- **Nesbitt SJ. 2011.** The early evolution of archosaurs: relationships and the origins of major clades. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **352**:1–292 DOI 10.1206/352.1.
- Newton ET. 1878. Notes on a Crocodilian Jaw from the Corallian Rocks of Weymouth. *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 34:398–400 DOI 10.1144/GSL.JGS.1878.034.01-04.27.
- **Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Piñuela L, Garcia-Ramos JC. 2011.** Reptiles marinos (Ichthyopterygia, Plesiosauria, Thalattosuchia) del Jurásico de Asturias. *Paleontologia i Evolució Memòria especial* **5**:339–342.
- **Sauvage HE. 1897–98.** Vertebres fossiles du Portugal: contributions a l'etude des Poissons et des reptiles du jurassique et du cretacique. *Direction des travaux geologiques du Portugal* 1:1–47.
- Vignaud P. 1995. Les thalattosuchia, crocodiles marins du mesozoïque: systématique phylogénétique, paléoécologie, biochronologie et implications paléogéographiques. Travaux Universitaires—PhD thesis (No:95 POIT 2272), Université de Poitiers, vol. 2, pp.271, pp.117.
- Young MT. 2014. Filling the 'Corallian Gap': re-description of a metriorhynchid crocodylomorph from the Oxfordian (Late Jurassic) of Headington, England. *Historical Biology* 26(1):80–90 DOI 10.1080/08912963.2012.760559.

- Young MT, Andrade MB. 2009. What is *Geosaurus*? Redescription of *Geosaurus giganteus* (Thalattosuchia: Metriorhynchidae) from the Upper Jurassic of Bayern, Germany. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 157:551–585 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00536.x.
- Young MT, Beatty BL, Brusatte SL, Steel L. 2015a. First evidence of denticulated dentition in teleosaurid crocodylomorphs. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **60**(3):661–671.
- Young MT, Brusatte SL, Andrade MB, Desojo JB, Beatty BL, Steel L, Fernández MS, Sakamoto M, Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Schoch RR. 2012. The cranial osteology and feeding ecology of the metriorhynchid crocodylomorph genera *Dakosaurus* and *Plesiosuchus* from the Late Jurassic of Europe. *PLoS ONE* 7(9):e44985 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0044985.
- Young MT, Hua S, Steel L, Foffa D, Brusatte SL, Thüring S, Mateus O, Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Havlik P, Lepage Y, Andrade MB. 2014a. Revision of the Late Jurassic teleosaurid genus *Machimosaurus* (Crocodylomorpha, Thalattosuchia). *Royal Society Open Science* 20141:140222 DOI 10.1098/rsos.140222.
- Young MT, Hua S, Steel L, Foffa D, Brusatte SL, Thüring S, Mateus O, Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Havlik P, Lepage Y, Andrade MB. 2015b. Addendum to 'Revision of the Late Jurassic teleosaurid genus *Machimosaurus* (Crocodylomorpha, Thalattosuchia)'. *Royal Society Open Science* 2(2):150024 DOI 10.1098/rsos.150024.
- Young MT, Steel L. 2014. Evidence for the teleosaurid crocodylomorph genus Machimosaurus in the Kimmeridge Clay Formation (Late Jurassic) of England. Historical Biology 26:472–479 DOI 10.1080/08912963.2013.793320.
- **Young MT, Steel L, Brusatte SL, Foffa D, Lepage Y. 2014b.** Tooth serration morphologies in the genus *Machimosaurus* (Crocodylomorpha, Thalattosuchia) from the Late Jurassic of Europe. *Royal Society Open Science* 1:140269.