

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Overexpression of mineralocorticoid receptors does not affect memory and anxiety-like behavior in female mice

Citation for published version:

Kanatsou, S, E Kuil, L, Arp, M, Oitzl, M, Harris, A, Seckl, J, Krugers, HJ & Joels, M 2015, 'Overexpression of mineralocorticoid receptors does not affect memory and anxiety-like behavior in female mice' Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience, vol. 9. DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00182

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00182

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Published In: Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience

Publisher Rights Statement:

Provisional PDF.

Copyright: © 2015 Kanatsou, Kuil, Arp, Oitzl, Harris, Seckl, Krugers and Joels. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



frontiers in BEHAVIORAL NEUROSCIENCE

Overexpression of mineralocorticoid receptors does not affect memory and anxiety-like behavior in female mice

Sofia Kanatsou, Laura Esmee Kuil, Marit Arp, Melly S. Oitzl, Anjanette P. Harris, Jonathan R. Seckl, Harm Krugers and Marian Joels

Journal Name:	Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience
ISSN:	1662-5153
Article type:	Original Research Article
Received on:	04 Apr 2015
Accepted on:	29 Jun 2015
Provisional PDF published on:	29 Jun 2015
Frontiers website link:	www.frontiersin.org
Citation:	Kanatsou S, Kuil LE, Arp M, Oitzl MS, Harris AP, Seckl JR, Krugers H and Joels M(2015) Overexpression of mineralocorticoid receptors does not affect memory and anxiety-like behavior in female mice. <i>Front. Behav. Neurosci.</i> 9:182. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00182
Copyright statement:	© 2015 Kanatsou, Kuil, Arp, Oitzl, Harris, Seckl, Krugers and Joels. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY</u>). The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance, after rigorous peer-review. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

1 Overexpression of mineralocorticoid receptors does not

- 2 affect memory and anxiety-like behavior in female mice
- 3
 4 Sofia Kanatsou^{1*}, Laura E. Kuil², Marit Arp², Melly S. Oitzl², Anjanette P.
- 5 Harris³, Jonathan R. Seckl³, Harm J. Krugers^{2**}, Marian Joels^{1**}
- 6
- ⁷ ¹Department of Translational Neuroscience, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University
- 8 Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ⁹ ²Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Center for Neuroscience, University of
- 10 Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ¹¹ ³Endocrinology Unit, Centre for Cardiovascular Science, Queen's Medical Research
- 12 Institute, the University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 13
- 14 ***shared seniority*
- 15 ^{*}Correspondence:
- 16 Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Center for Neuroscience, University of
- 17 Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 18 E-mail: s.kanatsou@uva.nl
- 19
- 20
- 21 **Running title**: Mineralocorticoid receptors in learning behavior in female mice
- 22 Number of figures: 6
- **Number of words:** 4.101

24 Abstract:

Mineralocorticoid receptors (MRs) have been implicated in behavioral adaptation and learning and memory. Since - at least in humans - MR function seems to be sex-dependent, we examined the behavioral relevance of MR in female mice exhibiting transgenic MR overexpression in the forebrain. Transgenic MR overexpression did not affect contextual fear memory or cued fear learning and memory. Moreover, MR overexpressing and control mice discriminated equally well between fear responses in a combined cue and context fear conditioning paradigm. Also context-memory in an object recognition task was unaffected in MR overexpressing mice. We conclude that MR overexpression in female animals does not affect fear conditioned responses and object recognition memory. Keywords: fear, memory, mineralocorticoid receptor, hippocampus, sex, anxiety

69 **1. Introduction**

Exposure to stressful experiences activates the Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Adrenal 70 (HPA)-axis, which -among other things- results in elevated plasma levels of 71 corticosteroid hormones (corticosterone in rodents, cortisol in humans) (Joëls and 72 Baram, 2009). Corticosteroids bind to two types of corticosteroid receptors: 73 74 mineralocorticoid receptors (MRs) and glucocorticoid receptors (GRs), which differ in their localization in the brain and affinity for corticosterone (de Kloet et al., 2005; 75 Reul and de Kloet, 1985). Both MRs and GRs can exert slow genomic actions on 76 cellular function, but recent studies have demonstrated that activation of these 77 receptors can also activate fast membrane receptor mediated non-genomic pathways 78 (Di et al., 2003; Groc et al., 2008; Groeneweg et al., 2011; Karst et al., 2005; Karst et 79 al., 2010). 80

In male rodents, corticosterone acting via MRs facilitates spatial learning 81 82 (Berger et al., 2006; Lai et al., 2007), reduces anxiety (Rozeboom et al., 2007; Lai et al., 2007) and improves the formation of contextual fear (Zhou et al., 2011). 83 Moreover, MR activation regulates the selection of appropriate behavioural strategies 84 in the face of stress, favoring a switch from hippocampus-dependent to striatal 85 learning strategies (Schwabe et al., 2010; Schwabe et al., 2013). Overall, these studies 86 in rodents suggest that MR activation favours behavioural adaptation to stressful 87 events. 88

Also in humans, MRs are important for neuroendocrine function and 89 behavioural adaptation (Otte et al., 2015). Two single-nucleotide polymorphisms 90 (SNPs) of the human MR gene (-2G/C and I180V) have been associated with 91 variability in MR functionality. Specifically, a common haplotype involving these 92 SNPs (MR-2C/MRI180) was associated with high MR expression and trans-93 activational activity in vitro (van Leeuwen et al. 2011). Individuals carrying this 94 haplotype also displayed high salivary and plasma cortisol responses in a 95 psychosocial stress situation (van Leeuwen et al. 2011). Homozygous female but not 96 male carriers of haplotype 2 were found to have higher dispositional optimism, fewer 97 thoughts of hopelessness and a lower risk on major depression (Klok et al., 2011). 98

99 Thus, in general MRs seem to enhance behavioral adaptation to stressful events, facilitate (fear) learning and memory, and promote resilience to stressful 100 events (de Kloet et al., 2005). However, most studies that specifically investigated 101 learning and memory in rodents so far focused on the MR in males; relatively little is 102 known about the effect of (enhanced) MR function in females (Ter Horst et al., 2013; 103 Arp et al., 2014). Since sex-differences in MR function appear to exist in humans and 104 rodents, we examined in this study whether forebrain-specific overexpression of MRs 105 in female mice affects contextual memory formation, emotional memory formation 106 107 and anxiety.

- 108
- 109
- 110
- 111
- 112

113 **2. Material and Methods**

114

115 **2.1 Animals**

All mice used in our experiments were bred in-house. In each breeding cage, two wild 116 type C57Bl6 female mice (Harlan, The Netherlands) were housed with one MR-117 transgenic (MR-tg) male mouse (Lai et al., 2007) for one week. Subsequently, the 118 male mice were removed and the female mice were left undisturbed until day eighteen 119 of their pregnancy. From this point in time, the female mice were individually housed 120 until they gave birth. We preferred to use wild type rather than MR-Tg dams, to keep 121 maternal care as comparable as possible to earlier studies in C57Bl6 mice. At 122 postnatal day (PND) 23, all pups were weaned, genotyped and female pups with 123 identical genotypes were housed four per cage. Mice were left undisturbed (except for 124 cage cleaning once a week) until testing, when they were 3-3.5 months of age. 125

Mice were kept in a temperature and humidity controlled facility (21.5 - 22°C with humidity between 40 and 60%) on a 12h light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 a.m.) with food and water available *ad libitum*. All experiments were performed in accordance with the Dutch regulations for animal experiments (DED206).

130

131 **2.2** Body weights and basal corticosterone levels

The body weight of the mice was recorded before the initiation of behavioural testing. Two weeks after the completion of the behavioural test, mice were decapitated in the morning between 09:00 and 11:00 h and their trunk blood was collected in EDTAcovered capillary tubes (Sarstedt, the Netherlands) to determine basal plasma corticosterone levels. These levels were measured in duplicate via a radioimmunoassay kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (MP Biochemicals, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

139

140 **2.3 Behavior**

We performed all behavioral tests during the light phase between 8:30 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. We used a different cohort of mice for each of the behavioural tests: i) object-incontext recognition memory, ii) contextual fear conditioning, iii) cued fear conditioning, and iv) combined cued and context conditioning. All four different cohorts of mice were first tested on the elevated plus maze at 3 months of age and one week later subjected to one of the behavioral tests listed above.

147

148 2.3.1 Elevated plus maze (EPM)

Mice were transferred from the housing room to the behavior testing room 30 min 149 before the actual testing. The mouse was placed in the center of a plus maze (light 150 gray plexiglass; open arms: length 36.5 cm, width 0.5 cm; closed arm: length 35.2 cm, 151 width 0.5 cm, side walls: 15.0 cm; elevation poles: 58.5 cm, UGO BASILE S.r.l. -152 Italy). The maze was cleaned with 70% ethanol and dried thoroughly with paper 153 tissue before the mouse was placed in the maze. At the start of the test, each mouse 154 faced the same open arm. After 5 min of testing the mouse was removed from the plus 155 156 maze and returned to its home cage. A camera above the maze was used to record the

157 sessions. The videos were analyzed by Ethovision XT 6 (Noldus, Wageningen, The 158 Netherlands). We estimated the percentage of time spent in the open arm and the 159 number of open arm entries; low values are considered to reflect anxiety-like 160 behaviour. The total distance moved in the maze (open and closed arms) was used as 161 an indication of general locomotor activity.

162

163 2.3.2 Contextual fear conditioning

Contextual fear memory was examined as described before (Zhou et al., 2011). On 164 day 1, the mouse was placed in a chamber (W x L x H: 25 cm x 25 cm x 30 cm) that 165 had a stainless steel grid floor connected to a shock generator. After 3 min of free 166 exploration a single foot shock of 0.4 mA was delivered for 2 seconds. 30 seconds 167 later the mouse was removed from the chamber and returned to its home cage. On day 168 2, the mouse was placed in the same chamber for 3 min. The occurrence of freezing 169 behavior (defined as no body movements except those related to breathing (Zhou et 170 al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010)) was checked and scored every two seconds on days 1 171 and 2. For analysis we calculated for each day the total time spent freezing as a 172 percentage of the total duration of the test. 173

174

175 **2.3.3 Cued fear conditioning**

Cued fear conditioning was examined to assess amygdala-dependent (fear) memory 176 formation. On day 1, the mouse was placed in a black chamber (W x L x H: 25 cm x 177 25 cm x 30 cm), that had a stainless steel grid floor connected to a shock generator 178 (Context A). The mouse could freely explore this chamber for 3 min. Thereafter, a 179 tone (100 dB, 2.8 kHz) was given, lasting 30 seconds; during the last two seconds the 180 mouse received a single foot shock of 0.4 mA. Thirty seconds later, the mouse was 181 returned to its home cage. Twenty-four hours later on day 2, the mouse was placed in 182 another chamber with striped patterns on the walls and a smooth floor (Context B) 183 and allowed to explore for three minutes. Thereafter, the same tone as on day 1 but 184 without shock was delivered for 30 seconds; the mouse remained in this chamber for 185 another 30 seconds before being returned to its home cage. Before each mouse was 186 187 tested, chambers were cleaned: Context A with 70% ethanol and Context B with 1% acetic acid, providing also different smells to the environments. Freezing behavior of 188 the mouse was scored every 2 seconds (see above). The analysis was performed by 189 the same investigator as the one carrying out the behavioral test but blinded to the 190 experimental groups during analysis. 191

192

193 **2.3.4** Combined cued and context conditioning

On day 1, the mouse was placed in a fear conditioning chamber ($W \times L \times H$: 25 cm \times 25 cm \times 30 cm) that was cleaned with 70% ethanol. The grid floor was made of stainless-steel rods and was connected to a shock generator (0.4 mA). A white light source and a camera were placed 20 cm above the chamber. An audio-speaker was connected to a tone generator and positioned on the wall of the chamber. During acquisition (day 1) the mouse was allowed to freely explore the chamber for 3 minutes. Then, the animal was exposed to six light/tone episodes (cue-on episodes; 20

s each) paired with a foot shock (0.4 mA) during the last 2 s. The interval between the 201 light/tone + shock pairings was 1 min (the context, cue-off episode). Two minutes 202 after the last pairing, mice were returned to their home cage. On day 3 (48 hrs later), 203 the mouse was exposed to the same procedure as on day 1, but without shocks. 204 Frequency and duration of freezing behavior was scored using Observer XT, Noldus, 205 206 Wageningen, The Netherlands. Freezing behaviour was determined and quantified during cue on periods and cue off periods (i.e. after the foot shock) and was defined 207 as no body movements except those related to respiration. This fear conditioning 208 paradigm allowed a test of fear related behaviour of the mice during alternating cue-209 on (light + tone together) and context (cue-off) episodes (Brinks et al., 2009) in the 210 same experimental protocol, thereby enabling detection of generalization and 211 specificity of fear. 212

213

214 2.3.5 Object-in-context recognition memory

We tested the mice for place memory, a non-stressful behavioral task, to examine the 215 influence of context on object recognition (Balderas et al., 2008; Barsegvan et al., 216 217 2014; Dix and Aggleton, 1999; Eacott and Norman, 2004; Mumby et al., 2002; O'Brien et al., 2006; Spanswick and Sutherland, 2010; Spanswick and Dyck, 2012). 218 As context we used four blue-colored plastic boxes of identical measurements (W x L 219 x H; 33 cm x 54 cm x 37cm) with or without visual cues on the walls. The boxes 220 contained bedding material and additional objects: blocks of Lego and/or small 221 bottles. 222

Mice were tested on three subsequent days. On day 1, the mouse was placed 223 for 10 min in a box with no wall cues and without objects. On day 2, the mouse was 224 placed for 10 min in a box (context A) that had no cues on the walls but contained 225 two identical objects, i.e. 2 blocks of Lego, placed in opposite corners. Thereafter, the 226 mouse was placed for 10 min into another box (context B) with cues on the walls in 227 228 the form of stripes and two (new) identical objects, i.e. 2 small bottles, placed in opposite corners. Between exposure to context A and context B, the mouse was 229 returned to its own transport cage. On day 3 object-in-context recognition memory 230 231 was tested by placing the mouse for 10 minutes in context B. Context B on day 3 contained one object which also belonged to context B on day 2 (i.e. familiar object to 232 Context B), and one object which belonged to Context A on day 2 (i.e., unfamiliar 233 object to context B, Figure 6A-C). We calculated the discrimination index (DI) on 234 day 3 as a measure for object-in-context recognition memory. The DI was calculated 235 as time spent with the novel object compared to the total exploration time of both 236 objects (t_{novel +} t_{familiar-})) (Akkerman et al., 2012; Mumby et al., 2002). All 237 objects were cleaned thoroughly between tests, and placed at a 15cm distance from 238 239 the corners of the box. Fresh bedding material was added on top of the old and mixed between each session. Sniffing was scored as object-exploration behavior if the 240 mouse displayed such behavior towards an object within a distance of 2 cm 241 maximum. Climbing on top of or 'watching' the objects from a (close) distance was 242 not considered as sniffing behavior. 243

244

245 **2.4 Determination of the cycle stage**

To take the cycle stage of the females into account, vaginal smears were taken
immediately after each behavioral test using a smear loop (size 1µl; Greiner Bio-one).
Cells were transferred on a water drop on a glass microscope slide. Slides were
allowed to dry overnight followed by Giemsa (Sigma) staining for 12 minutes.

250

251 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

Because all data were normally distributed, as determined by Shapiro-Wilk tests for normality (results not shown), we used parametric statistics. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS: two-tailed t-test when two means were compared; repeatedmeasures ANOVA (when appropriate); and two-tailed paired t-test (averaged cue and context fear conditioning episodes).

We analyzed the results of the contextual fear conditioning and elevated plus 257 maze task for each cycle stage, because the relatively large number of animals 258 allowed subgroup analysis. For these tests we did not observe any consistent influence 259 of the cycle in the behavioral performance (data not shown). In the other tasks 260 261 subgroup analysis was not possible due to the rather low number of females in some stages of the cycle. We therefore grouped all stages in the results and tested the 262 impact of cycle stage on behavioural performance with a General Linear Model 263 analysis, including the cycle stage as a covariate. 264

A p-value < 0.05 was set as the level of significance (*) and a p-value of < 0.10 was considered as a trend level (#). Data are presented as mean with standard error of the mean (SEM), with group size (n) indicated.

- 268
- 269

270 **3. Results**

271

272 **3.1 Body weights and basal corticosterone levels**

Body weight was measured from all animals before the start of the behavioural paradigms when animals were approximately 3.5 months of age. Female MR transgenic (-tg) mice were found to be significantly heavier in absolute body weight compared to control littermates (t(69)=-7.92, p<0.001; **Figure 1A**). MR-tg mice also displayed a trend towards significantly lower basal plasma corticosterone levels (t(33)=1.98, p=0.055; **Figure 1B**).

279

280 **3.2 Elevated plus maze**

We tested control and MR-tg female mice at PND 90 with respect to frequency of open arm entries, percentage of time in the open arms and total distance the mice travelled in the EPM, for a total duration of 5 minutes (**Figure 2**). The frequency of open arm entries was similar for control and MR-tg mice (t(70)=0.19, p=0.844). Control and MR-tg mice also spent a comparable amount of time in the open arms

(t(70)=0.19, p=0.844). Finally, the general locomotor activity was not different 286 between control and MR-tg animals (t=70=-0.25, p=0.799). 287

288

289 **3.3 Contextual fear conditioning**

290 During training and prior to the foot shock, MR-tg and control mice displayed little 291 freezing behaviour; the percentage of time was comparable for both groups (Figure 3A). During the retention test, twenty-four hours later, mice of both groups spent 292 approximately 30% freezing of the total 3 minutes testing time (data not shown). 293 Since MR is thought to be involved in early appraisal of fear, we distinguished 294 between the first and second half of the observation period, as described before (Zhou 295 et al., 2010). Dividing this period into two blocks of 1.5 minutes (Zhou et al 2010) 296 revealed that MR-tg and control mice displayed no differences in the percentage of 297 time freezing (F(1,52)=0.086, p=0.770; Figure 3B)). 298

299

300 **3.4 Cued fear conditioning**

During training, MR-tg and control mice displayed little freezing behavior before 301 exposure to the tone and foot shock (Figure 4A). Exposure to the tone increased 302 freezing behavior and freezing behavior was also increased after exposure to the foot 303 304 shock, in a comparable manner for both groups (Figure 4A). Twenty-four hours later, both groups showed similar freezing levels both before and after the presentation of 305 the cue exposure to the tone, now presented in a novel context (F(1,22)=1.087), 306 p=0.315; Figure 4B) 307

308

309

3.5 Combined cue and context conditioning 310

The combined cue and context fear conditioning paradigm allows detection of 311 generalization and specificity of fear (Brinks et al., 2009). During acquisition (day 1) 312 both MR-tg mice and wild type littermates increased freezing behavior during cue on 313 and cue off periods ($F_{(11,341)}$ =76.761, p<0.001), and always showed more freezing 314 behavior during the cue off (i.e. after the footshock) when compared to the cue on 315 period (Figure 5A and 5B), as described earlier for this particular paradigm (Brinks 316 et al., 2008, 2009). No significant differences between MR-tg mice and control mice 317 were seen. Fourty-eight hours after training, both control and MR-tg mice displayed 318 freezing behavior during the cue on (Figure 5C) and cue off (Figure 5D) periods. 319 Animals kept freezing in response to the tone (Figure 5C), while showing a decline in 320 freezing behavior during the cue off periods (Figure 5D). As a result, animals started 321 freezing less during cue off than during cue on after the fourth cue on exposure (t(36))322 =-5.134, p<0.0001; Figure 5C and Figure 5D). No group differences were observed. 323 324

3.6 Object-in-context recognition memory 325

In the object-in-context memory test, mice displayed a preference for the unfamiliar 326 object-context combination (i.e. mice displayed more exploration towards the object 327

not previously explored in context B). Overall, the DI was higher than the chance level of 0.5 (**Figure 6D**). However, statistical analysis revealed no significant differences in the recognition memory between control and MR-tg female mice (t(26)=1.700, p=0.101).

332 333

4. Discussion

Mineralocorticoid receptors have been implicated in orchestrating behavioral 335 336 responses to stressful experiences (de Kloet et al., 1999; Schwabe et al., 2010). This was, for instance, evident by using pharmacological and transgenic manipulations in 337 mice (Schwabe et al., 2010; Arp et al., 2014). Interestingly, higher functionality of 338 MR in humans has been related to higher dispositional optimism, fewer thoughts of 339 hopelessness and a lower risk on major depression (Klok et al., 2011). Yet, this effect 340 341 was only observed in women (and not men) who display a haplotype related to high MR expression. 342

Translating these findings from humans into rodent models, we expected MR overexpression in female mice to reduce anxiety-like behavior, increase fear memory formation and context-depend memory formation. However, we report that female mice with transgenic MR overexpression (MR-tg) are highly comparable to their control littermates with regard to anxiety-like behavior, contextual memory formation as well as contextual and cued fear learning, at least in the paradigms we employed in this study.

350

4.1 Characteristics of MR overexpression in female mice

To examine the role of MRs in anxiety and memory formation we used transgenic mice with forebrain specific overexpression of human MR under the control of a CaMKIIα promoter (Lai et al., 2007). Lai and colleagues (2007) verified the increased MR mRNA levels and reported a 3-4 folds MR mRNA increase in the hippocampus and 8-fold increase in amygdala.

357 Female mice secrete larger amounts of corticosterone than male animals, both under basal conditions as well as after stress-exposure (Critchlow et al., 1963; 358 Figueiredo et al., 2002; Kitay et al., 1961; Kitraki et al., 2004; ter Horst et al., 2012). 359 In agreement, we found high levels of basal plasma corticosterone levels in our wild 360 type littermates. Female mice with transgenic overexpression of MRs in the forebrain 361 displayed a tendency towards reduced basal corticosterone levels when compared to 362 wild types although this did not reach significance, perhaps due to the large variation 363 observed especially in the MR-tg animals. This suggests that MR overexpression 364 possibly causes a compensatory down-regulation of corticosterone levels. If so, this 365 potentially stabilizes anxiety and conditioned-fear levels in female animals, since 366 these parameters have been reported to depend on circulating corticosterone levels, at 367 least in male rodents (see e.g. Pugh et al. 1997). These findings on corticosterone 368 levels in females only partially support earlier findings in male mice, i.e. that 369 forebrain-specific genetic modifications resulting in altered MR expression do not 370 consistently affect basal corticosterone levels (Lai et al., 2007; Berger et al., 2006). 371

372 **4.2 Unconditioned anxiety**

Our data show that the forebrain-specific overexpression of MR in female mice has 373 no effect on general anxiety-like behaviour as tested in the elevated plus maze. MR-tg 374 and control littermates spent comparable time in the open arms, and had a similar 375 locomotor activity. This does not seem to be specific for female MR-Tg mice, since 376 377 we also observed comparable anxiety-like behaviour in the same line of male MR-tg mice and their littermates (Kanatsou et al., unpublished observation). Two earlier 378 studies did report that MR overexpression, in males, reduced anxiety-like behaviour 379 in the open field (Lai et al., 2007) or elevated plus maze (Rozeboom et al., 2007). 380 This suggests that sex-dependent differences e.g. in brain circuits related to anxiety 381 behaviour could possibly explain the disparity between the earlier and our current 382 observations. Yet, Rozeboom et al. (2007) also reported reduced anxiety-like 383 behaviour in female MR-Tg mice, as determined in the elevated plus maze, in a 384 385 highly comparable paradigm as we presently used. It should be pointed out that we took the cycle stage into account, which supposedly was not done in the earlier study 386 (Rozeboom et al., 2007); this may have levelled out putative effects of MR 387 388 overexpression in our study. In addition, methodological differences between the current study and earlier studies, such as the type of genetic modification, the age of 389 the animals or the type of tests used to assess anxiety, may have contributed to the 390 differences. For instance, we used three months old female mice while in earlier 391 studies either age was not reported or animals were tested at a much older age (4-7 392 months), when phenotypes may have become more prominent (Berger et al., 2006; 393 Lai et al., 2007; Rozeboom et al., 2007). We conducted post-hoc a power analysis to 394 determine optimal sample size to assure an adequate power to detect statistical 395 significance. Based on this analysis, a large number of female mice (> 60) would be 396 required to reach statistical significant differences between the MR-tg and control 397 mice. Therefore, we tentatively conclude that the current experimental conditions do 398 399 not support a reduction of anxiety in female MR overexpressing mice.

400

401 **4.3 Fear conditioning of context and cue**

402 In contextual and cue fear conditioning, MR-tg female mice displayed comparable levels of freezing when compared to control animals. Studies in male animals 403 reported that MR blockade impairs contextual (but not cued) fear memory (Zhou et 404 al., 2010) while MR-overexpression enhances contextual fear (Kanatsou et al., 405 unpublished observation). One possible explanation for the lack of effect in females 406 might be that freezing had reached a ceiling, preventing a potential enhancement of 407 contextual and cued memories by overexpression of MRs to be discernable. 408 Interestingly, freezing levels in male MR-Tg and wildtype mice were overall lower 409 than in females (Kanatsou et al., unpublished observation), which indirectly supports 410 the ceiling effect explanation. MR overexpression also did not affect fear memory 411 (expressed by freezing) in a combined cue and context fear conditioning paradigm 412 which tests the ability of animals to discriminate between a highly fearful cue-on and 413 the 'more safe' situation of cue-off. Therefore, we conclude that also the 414 415 discriminative ability is not affected by overexpression of MR in female mice.

416 **4.4 Memory in a non-aversive context**

Pharmacological interventions and transgenic mouse models reducing or blocking the 417 function of MR demonstrated impaired spatial memory in male individuals while non-418 spatial memory appeared to be intact (Berger et al., 2006; Yau et al., 1999). MR-419 deficient *female* mice were earlier reported to have impaired spatial as well as 420 421 impaired stimulus-response strategies while MR over-expressing females showed improved spatial performance but no changes with respect to stimulus-response 422 behaviour (Arp et al., 2014). The latter might be explained by the fact that control 423 littermates of MR-tg mice performed extremely well in the stimulus-response task, 424 preventing further improvement in MR-tg mice (Arp et al., 2014). Here we report that 425 MR overexpression did not affect memory formation in a non-aversive contextual 426 learning task. Also here possible differences could have remained unnoticed due to a 427 potential ceiling effect. This explanation, however, does not seem likely, given the 428 429 DI-values in control mice, which were significantly but not dramatically above chance level. 430

- 431
- 432

433 **5.** Conclusion

Taken together, testing female mice with forebrain-specific MR overexpression in 434 several behavioural tasks revealed no effect on unconditioned anxiety, fear memory, 435 the ability to discriminate between the threatening cue and the relatively safe cue-off 436 period, and non-aversive contextual memory formation. Although we cannot exclude 437 that effects of MR overexpression may be apparent in some of the tasks under 438 different testing conditions, the current data suggest that MR overexpression does not 439 substantially alter performance of female mice in these behavioural domains. This 440 might suggest that lack in function of MRs, rather than enhanced MR function, results 441 442 in clear behavioural phenotypes (Ter Horst et al., 2012; Ter Horst et al., 2013; Berger 443 et al., 20106; Zhou et al., 2010).

444

445

446

447

448 Acknowledgements

SFK was supported by ALW grant # 821-02-007 from the Netherlands Organization
for Scientific Research NWO. MJ is supported by the Consortium on Individual
Development (CID), which is funded through the Gravitation program of the Dutch
Ministry of Education, Culture, and Science and the Netherlands Organization for
Scientific Research (NWO grant number 024.001.003).

- 454
- 455
- 456
- 457
- 458
- 459

460	Author and contributors
461	
462	Authors have made substantial contributions to the following:
463	• Conception and design of the study: SK, HK, MJ
464	• Interpretation of data: SK, MO, AH, HK, MJ, JS
465	• Acquisition of data: SK, LK, MA, HK
466	• Analysis of data: SK, LK, MA
467	• Drafting the article critically for important intellectual content: SK, MO, AH,
468	JS, MJ, HK
469	• Final approval of the version to be submitted: SK, LK, MA, MO, AH, JS, HK,
470	MJ
471	• Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that
472	questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are
473	appropriately investigated and resolved: SK, LK, MA, MO, AH, JS, HK, MJ
474	
475	
476	
477	
478	
479	
480	
481	
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
409	
490 //01	
492	
493	
494	
495	
496	
497	
498	
499	
500	
501	
502	

References 503

- Akkerman, S., Blokland, A., Reneerkens, O., van Goethem, N.P., Bollen, E., 504 Gijselaers, H.J., et al. (2012). Object recognition testing: methodological 505 considerations on exploration and discrimination measures. Behav Brain Res 232, 506 335-347. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2012.03.022. 507
- Arp, J.M., ter Horst, J.P., Kanatsou, S., Fernandez, G., Joels, M., Krugers, H.J., et al. 508 (2014). Mineralocorticoid receptors guide spatial and stimulus-response learning in 509 mice. PLoS One 9:e86236. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086236. 510
- Balderas, I., Rodriguez-Ortiz, C.J., Salgado-Tonda, P., Chavez-Hurtado, J., 511
- McGaugh, J.L., Bermudez-Rattoni, F. (2008). The consolidation of object and context 512
- recognition memory involve different regions of the temporal lobe. Learn Mem 15, 513 618-624. doi: 10.1101/lm.1028008. 514
- Barsegyan, A., McGaugh, J.L., Roozendaal, B. (2014). Noradrenergic activation of 515 the basolateral amygdala modulates the consolidation of object-in-context recognition 516 memory. Front Behav Neurosci 8:160. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00160.
- 517
- Berger, S., Wolfer, D.P., Selbach, O., Alter, H., Erdmann, G., Reichardt, H.M., et al. 518 (2006). Loss of the limbic mineralocorticoid receptor impairs behavioral plasticity. 519
- 520 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103, 195-200. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0503878102
- Brinks, V., Berger, S., Gass, P., de Kloet, E.R., Oitzl, M.S. (2009). Mineralocorticoid 521 receptors in control of emotional arousal and fear memory. Horm Behav 56, 232-238. 522
- doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.05.003. 523
- Brinks, V., de Kloet, E.R., Oitzl, M.S. (2008). Strain specific fear behavior and 524 glucocorticoid response to aversive events: modeling PTSD in mice. Prog Brain Res 525
- 167, 257-261. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(07)67019-8. 526
- Critchlow, V., Liebelt, R.A., Bar-Sela, M., Mountcastle, W., Lipscomb, H.S. (1963). 527 Sex difference in resting pituitary-adrenal function in the rat. Am J Physiol 205, 807-528 815. 529
- de Kloet, E.R., Joels, M., Holsboer, F. (2005). Stress and the brain: from adaptation to 530 disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 6, 463-475. doi:10.1038/nrn1683. 531
- de Kloet, E.R., Oitzl, M.S., Joels, M. (1999). Stress and cognition: are corticosteroids 532 533 good or bad guys? Trends Neurosci 22, 422-426.
- DeRijk, R.H., Wust, S., Meijer, O.C., Zennaro, M.C., Federenko, I.S., Hellhammer, 534
- D.H., et al. (2006). A common polymorphism in the mineralocorticoid receptor 535 modulates stress responsiveness. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 91, 5083-5089. doi: 536 10.1210/jc.2006-0915. 537
- Di, S., Malcher-Lopes, R., Halmos, K.C., Tasker, J.G. (2003). Nongenomic 538 glucocorticoid inhibition via endocannabinoid release in the hypothalamus: a fast 539 feedback mechanism. J Neurosci. 23, 4850-4857. 540
- 541 Dix, S.L. and Aggleton, J.P. (1999). Extending the spontaneous preference test of
- recognition: evidence of object-location and object-context recognition. Behav Brain 542 Res 99, 191-200. doi: 10.1016/S0166-4328(98)00079-5. 543
- Eacott, M.J. and Norman, G. (2004). Integrated memory for object, place, and context 544
- in rats: a possible model of episodic-like memory? J Neurosci 24, 1948-1953. 545
- 546 doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2975-03.2004.

- Ferguson, D. and Sapolsky, R. (2008). Overexpression of mineralocorticoid and
 transdominant glucocorticoid receptor blocks the impairing effects of glucocorticoids
 on memory. *Hippocampus* 18, 1103-1111. doi: 10.1002/hipo.20467.
- Figueiredo, H.F., Dolgas, C.M., Herman, J.P. (2002). Stress activation of cortex and
 hippocampus is modulated by sex and stage of estrus. *Endocrinology* 143, 2534-2540.
- 552 doi:10.1210/en.143.7.2534.
- 553 Groc, L., Choquet, D., Chaouloff, F. (2008). The stress hormone corticosterone
- conditions AMPAR surface trafficking and synaptic potentiation. *Nat Neurosci* 11,
 868-870. doi: 10.1038/nn.2150.
- 556 Groeneweg, F.L., Karst, H., de Kloet, E.R., Joels, M. (2011). Rapid non-genomic 557 effects of corticosteroids and their role in the central stress response. *J Endocrinol* 558 209, 153-167. doi: 10.1530/JOE-10-0472.
- Joels, M. (1997). Steroid hormones and excitability in the mammalian brain. *Front*
- 560 *Neuroendocrinol* 18, 2-48. doi: 10.1006/frne.1996.0144.
- Joels, M., and Baram, T.Z. (2009). The neuro-symphony of stress. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 10, 459-466. doi: 10.1038/nrn2632.
- Joels, M., Karst, H., DeRijk, R., de Kloet, E.R. (2008). The coming out of the brain
- mineralocorticoid receptor. *Trends Neurosci* 31, 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2007.10.005.
- Karst, H., Berger, S., Erdmann, G., Schutz, G., Joels, M. (2010). Metaplasticity of
 amygdalar responses to the stress hormone corticosterone. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*107, 14449-14454. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914381107.
- Karst, H., Berger, S., Turiault, M., Tronche, F., Schutz, G., Joels, M. (2005).
 Mineralocorticoid receptors are indispensable for nongenomic modulation of
 hippocampal glutamate transmission by corticosterone. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*102, 19204-19207. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507572102.
- 572 Kitay, J.I. (1961). Sex differences in adrenal cortical secretion in the rat.
 573 *Endocrinology* 68, 818-824. http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/endo-68-5-818.
- Kitraki, E., Kremmyda, O., Youlatos, D., Alexis, M., Kittas, C. (2004). Spatial
 performance and corticosteroid receptor status in the 21-day restraint stress paradigm. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1018, 323-327. 10.1196/annals.1296.039.
- 577 Klok, M.D., Alt, S.R., Irurzun Lafitte, A.J., Turner, J.D., Lakke, E.A., Huitinga, I., et
- al. (2011). Decreased expression of mineralocorticoid receptor mRNA and its splice variants in postmortem brain regions of patients with major depressive disorder. J
- 580 *Psychiatr Res* 45, 871-878. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.12.002.
- Kuningas, M., de Rijk, R.H., Westendorp, R.G., Jolles, J., Slagboom, P.E., van
 Heemst D. (2007). Mental performance in old age dependent on cortisol and genetic
 variance in the mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1295-1301. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301260.
- 585 Lai, M., Horsburgh, K., Bae, S.E., Carter, R.N., Stenvers, D.J., Fowler, J.H., et al.
- 586 (2007). Forebrain mineralocorticoid receptor overexpression enhances memory,
- reduces anxiety and attenuates neuronal loss in cerebral ischaemia. *Eur J Neurosci* 25,
- 588 1832-1842. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05427.x.

- 589 Mumby, D.G., Gaskin, S., Glenn, M.J., Schramek, T.E., Lehmann, H. (2002). 590 Hippocampal damage and exploratory preferences in rats: memory for objects, places,
- and contexts. *Learn Mem* 9, 49-57. doi:10.1101/lm.41302.
- O'Brien, N., Lehmann, H., Lecluse, V., Mumby, D.G. (2006). Enhanced contextdependency of object recognition in rats with hippocampal lesions. *Behav Brain Res*170, 156-162. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2006.02.008.
- 595 Otte, C., Wingenfeld, K., Kuehl, L.K., Kaczmarczyk, M., Richter, S., Quante, A., et 596 al. (2015). Mineralocorticoid receptor stimulation improves cognitive function and
- decreases cortisol secretion in depressed patients and healthy individuals.
 Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 386-393. doi:10.1038/npp.2014.181.
- Pugh, C.R., Tremblay, D., Fleshner, M., Rudy, J.W. (1997) A selective role for corticosterone
 in contextual-fear conditioning. Behav Neurosci. 111, 503-511. doi:10.1037/07357044.111.3.503.
- Reul, J.M. and de Kloet, E.R. (1985). Two receptor systems for corticosterone in rat brain: microdistribution and differential occupation. Endocrinology 117, 2505-2511.
- 604 doi: 10.1210/endo-117-6-2505.
- Rozeboom, A.M., Akil, H., Seasholtz, A.F. (2007). Mineralocorticoid receptor
 overexpression in forebrain decreases anxiety-like behavior and alters the stress
 response in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104, 4688-4693. doi:
 10.1073/pnas.0606067104.
- Schwabe, L., Schachinger, H., de Kloet, E.R., Oitzl, M.S. (2010). Corticosteroids
 operate as a switch between memory systems. J Cogn Neurosci 22, 1362-1372. doi:
 10.1162/jocn.2009.21278.
- Schwabe, L., Tegenthoff, M., Hoffken, O., Wolf, O.T. (2013). Mineralocorticoid
 receptor blockade prevents stress-induced modulation of multiple memory systems in
 the human brain. Biol Psychiatry 74, 801-808. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.06.001.
- Spanswick, S.C. and Dyck, R.H. (2012). Object/context specific memory deficits
 following medial frontal cortex damage in mice. *PLoS One* 7:e43698. doi:
 10.1371/journal.pone.0043698.
- 618 Spanswick, S.C. and Sutherland, R.J. (2010). Object/context-specific memory deficits
- associated with loss of hippocampal granule cells after adrenalectomy in rats. *Learn Mem* 17, 241-245. doi: 10.1101/lm.1746710.
- 621 ter Horst, J.P., van der Mark, M.H., Arp, M., Berger, S., de Kloet, E.R., Oitzl, M.S.
- 622 (2012). Stress or no stress: mineralocorticoid receptors in the forebrain regulate 623 behavioral adaptation. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 98, 33-40. doi:
- 624 10.1016/j.nlm.2012.04.006.
- ter Horst, J.P., Kentrop, J., Arp, M., Hubens, C.J., de Kloet, E.R., Oitzl, M.S. (2013).
- Spatial learning of female mice: a role of the mineralocorticoid receptor during stress
 and the estrous cycle. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 7:56. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00056.
- ter Horst, J.P., van der Mark, M., Kentrop, J., Arp, M., van der Veen, R., de Kloet,
- E.R., et al. (2014). Deletion of the forebrain mineralocorticoid receptor impairs social
 discrimination and decision-making in male, but not in female mice. *Front Behav*
- 631 *Neurosci.* 8:26. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00026.
- van Leeuwen, N., Bellingrath, S., de Kloet, E.R., Zitman, F.G., DeRijk, R.H.,
 Kudielka, B.M., et al. (2011). Human Mineralocorticoid Receptor (MR) gene
- haplotypes modulate MR expression and transactivation: implication for the stress

- response. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 36, 699–709. doi: 10.1016/ j.psyneuen. 2010.
 10.003.
- Yau, J.L., Noble, J., Seckl, J.R. (1999). Continuous blockade of brain
 mineralocorticoid receptors impairs spatial learning in rats. *Neurosci Lett* 277, 45-48.
- Zhou, M., Bakker, E.H., Velzing, E.H., Berger, S., Oitzl, M., Joels, M., et al. (2010).

Both mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors regulate emotional memory in mice. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 94, 530-537. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2010.09.005.

- Zhou, M., Conboy, L., Sandi, C., Joels, M., Krugers, H.J. (2009). Fear conditioning
 enhances spontaneous AMPA receptor-mediated synaptic transmission in mouse
 hippocampal CA1 area. *Eur J Neurosci* 30, 1559-1564. doi: 10.1111/j.14609568.2009.06951.x.
- Zhou, M., Kindt, M., Joels, M., Krugers, H.J. (2011). Blocking mineralocorticoid
 receptors prior to retrieval reduces contextual fear memory in mice. *PLoS One*6:e26220. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026220.
- 649
- 650
- 651

652 Figure legends

Figure 1. Neuroendocrine parameters.

(A) Body weight measured before the initiation of behavioral testing revealed that

female MR-tg mice weigh significantly more than control mice (N=20-24 per group).

(B) Basal a.m. plasma corticosterone levels measured two weeks after the behavioral paradigms showed that MR-tg mice show a trend towards significantly lower basal

corticosterone levels than control female mice (n=15-20 per group). *: significant, p<0.05,. #: trend, p<0.10.

660 Figure 2. MR overexpression effects in elevated plus maze behaviour.

661 **(A)** Forebrain MR overexpression did not alter generalized locomotor activity in MR-662 tg versus control female mice. **(B-C)** MR-tg and control mice exhibited no differences 663 in anxiety-like behavior, as the percentage of open arm entries **(C)** (out of all arm 664 entries) and the percentage of time in the open arms **(B)** were similar for both groups 665 (n=35-37 per group).

Figure 3. Effects of MR overexpression on contextual fear conditioning.

667 (A) During training, female MR-tg and control mice exhibited no differences in 668 freezing behaviour in response to the context, measured for the total 3 minutes period 669 of testing. (B) Twenty-four hours later, MR-tg mice show comparable freezing 670 behavior compared to control mice, when tested over time (first 90 sec compared to 671 the last 90 sec of time freezing). n=25-30 per group.

Figure 4. Effects of MR overexpression on cue fear conditioning.

673 (A) During training, comparison between MR-tg and control mice revealed no 674 differences in freezing behaviour before as well as after the presence of the tone. n=8675 per group. (B) Twenty-four hours later, both MR-tg and control mice showed similar 676 freezing behavior in response to the new context, when compared before and after the 677 tone presentation.

Figure 5. Discrimination between fear cue and context.

On the acquisition (day 1), animals were exposed to 6 tones followed by a foot shock.

A) Freezing behaviour was scored during the tone (cue on) and after the tone (cue off)

(B). Forty eight hours later mice were exposed to the same procedure as on day 1, but

without shocks. Freezing behaviour was scored during the tone (cue on) (C) and after

the tone (cue off) (D). No group differences were observed (n=15-18 mice per group).

Figure 6. Effects of MR overexpression on recognition memory.

(A-C) Schematic representation indicating the setup of the object-in-context
experimental paradigm: A) On day1, mice were initially habituated in context A that
had no objects. B1) On day2, during training, mice were placed in the same context

- 688 (context A) but with two identical objects and then placed in a novel context (context
- B) with two identical novel objects (B2). (C) On day3, the mice were placed in the
- 690 context B but with one object being replaced by an object from the first context. (D)
- 691 MR-tg and control mice exhibited no differences when tested for recognition memory
- of a novel object in the context B, as the discrimination index of MR-tg mice was not
- 693 significantly different from that of the control mice. n=14 per group.























Day3

