

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Performance evaluation of Sanger sequencing for the diagnosis of primary hyperoxaluria and comparison with targeted next generation sequencing

Citation for published version:

Williams, EL, Bagg, EAL, Mueller, M, Vandrovcova, J, Aitman, TJ & Rumsby, G 2015, 'Performance evaluation of Sanger sequencing for the diagnosis of primary hyperoxaluria and comparison with targeted next generation sequencing' Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 69-78. DOI: 10.1002/mgg3.118

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1002/mgg3.118](https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.118)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](https://www.research.ed.ac.uk/portal/en/publications/performance-evaluation-of-sanger-sequencing-for-the-diagnosis-of-primary-hyperoxaluria-and-comparison-with-targeted-next-generation-sequencing(63602bbe-06cb-4b24-aa7b-e4b2af8c9a60).html)

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2014 The Authors. Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access

Performance evaluation of Sanger sequencing for the diagnosis of primary hyperoxaluria and comparison with targeted next generation sequencing

Emma L. Williams^{1,}*, Eleanor A. L. Bagg¹, Michael Mueller², Jana Vandrovcova³, Timothy J. Aitman^{3,4} & Gill Rumsby⁵

¹Clinical Biochemistry, Imperial College Healthcare, London, United Kingdom

2 Clinical Genome Informatics Facility, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

³MRC Clinical Sciences Centre, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

⁴Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

5 Clinical Biochemistry, UCL Hospitals, London, United Kingdom

Keywords

Primary Hyperoxaluria, genetic diagnosis, next generation sequencing, oxalate

Correspondence

Emma L. Williams, Clinical Biochemistry, Imperial College Healthcare, Fulham Palace Road, London W6 8RF, United Kingdom. Tel: (+44) 20 3313 5921; Fax: (+44) 20 3313 5915; E-mail: emma.walker2@imperial.nhs.uk

Funding Information

This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre.

Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine 2015; 3(1): 69–78.

doi: 10.1002/mgg3.118

Abstract

Definitive diagnosis of primary hyperoxaluria (PH) currently utilizes sequential Sanger sequencing of the AGXT, GRPHR, and HOGA1 genes but efficacy is unproven. This analysis is time-consuming, relatively expensive, and delays in diagnosis and inappropriate treatment can occur if not pursued early in the diagnostic work-up. We reviewed testing outcomes of Sanger sequencing in 200 consecutive patient samples referred for analysis. In addition, the Illumina Truseq custom amplicon system was evaluated for paralleled next-generation sequencing (NGS) of AGXT, GRHPR, and HOGA1 in 90 known PH patients. AGXT sequencing was requested in all patients, permitting a diagnosis of PH1 in 50%. All remaining patients underwent targeted exon sequencing of GRHPR and HOGA1 with 8% diagnosed with PH2 and 8% with PH3. Complete sequencing of both GRHPR and HOGA1 was not requested in 25% of patients referred leaving their diagnosis in doubt. NGS analysis showed 98% agreement with Sanger sequencing and both approaches had 100% diagnostic specificity. Diagnostic sensitivity of Sanger sequencing was 98% and for NGS it was 97%. NGS has comparable diagnostic performance to Sanger sequencing for the diagnosis of PH and, if implemented, would screen for all forms of PH simultaneously ensuring prompt diagnosis at decreased cost.

> choices vary according to type, and it is, therefore, essential that an accurate diagnosis is established early in the course of disease to guide appropriate and effective treatment.

> Diagnosis of PH currently relies upon stepwise Sanger sequencing of the exons and flanking intronic regions of the AGXT, GRHPR, and HOGA1 genes (Cregeen et al. 2003; Williams and Rumsby 2007; Williams et al. 2012). This individual gene approach is costly and time-consuming and can contribute to delay in diagnosis, negatively impacting upon disease outcome (Hoppe and Langman 2003; van der Hoeven et al. 2012). Next generation sequencing (NGS) enables unrivaled sequencing capacity to be obtained at significantly reduced cost and faster turnaround time (Bockenhauer et al. 2012). Given

Introduction

The primary hyperoxalurias (PH) are autosomal recessive diseases (see Cochat and Rumsby 2013 for recent review) of glyoxylate metabolism characterized by excessive production of oxalate, which is excreted by the kidney. Calcium oxalate crystallizes in the urine, leading to nephrocalcinosis, urolithiasis, and consequent renal failure if treatment is not initiated promptly. The three known types of PH are PH1, due to mutations in the AGXT gene (Purdue et al. 1990), PH2, caused by mutations in GRHPR (Cramer et al. 1999), and PH3 arising from defects in HOGA1 (Belostotsky et al. 2010). The different types of PH appear clinically very similar, with a similar age of onset (Williams et al. 2012) although treatment

ª 2014 The Authors. Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

these advantages, targeted NGS technologies are beginning to replace Sanger sequencing in diagnostic settings. However, it is essential to establish diagnostic validity of these approaches prior to their routine diagnostic use.

The University College London (UCL) Hospitals laboratory has offered a DNA sequencing service for the diagnosis of PH since 2006. PH1 testing comprises a step 1 test in which exons 1, 4, and 7 are sequenced, followed by analysis of the remaining exons (PH1 step 2) when step 1 does not identify two disease-causing mutations. Specimens testing negative for PH1, may progress to PH2 step 1 (exons 2 and 4 of GRHPR) and/or PH3 step 1 (exons 5 and 7 of HOGA1) followed by sequencing of the remaining exons of these genes. This approach allows the exons with the more common mutations to be sequenced first, enabling a more rapid diagnosis at reduced cost in some patients. Enzyme analysis is available for PH1 and PH2 testing and may be pursued in those patients where no mutations are detected, but requires a liver biopsy specimen.

Diagnostic accuracy of DNA testing for PH1 has been previously evaluated in liver biopsy proven patient cohorts, but the diagnostic yield of DNA sequencing for all three types of PH in the referral population has not been previously evaluated. In this manuscript, we review testing outcomes and diagnostic yield of Sanger sequencing of the AGXT, GRHPR, and HOGA1 genes in 200 consecutive patient samples referred to the UCL Hospitals laboratory. In addition, we report an evaluation of the Illumina Truseq custom amplicon (TSCA) assay for simultaneous targeted NGS of the AGXT, GRHPR, and HOGA1 genes in a retrospective analysis of 90 patients diagnosed with PH.

Materials and Methods

Samples

Blood and DNA samples from 200 patients with clinical symptoms suggestive of PH were referred for analysis. Informed consent for genetic testing was obtained by referring clinicians at time of patient work-up. The NGS evaluation utilized genomic DNA samples from 90 PH patients previously diagnosed by liver biopsy enzyme analysis ($n = 59$) or DNA analysis ($n = 31$), with genotypes determined by restriction digest analysis (Purdue et al. 1990; von Schnakenburg and Rumsby 1997) and/or Sanger sequencing (Cregeen et al. 2003; Williams and Rumsby 2007; Williams et al. 2012). Samples were anonymized prior to NGS and bioinformatics analysis was conducted by a researcher blinded to the initial patient diagnoses and genotypes obtained.

Sanger sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions. AGXT, GRHPR, and HOGA1 were each amplified in three large fragments by long-range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using suitably positioned primers (Cregeen et al. 2003; Williams and Rumsby 2007; Williams et al. 2012). PCR products were cycle sequenced using internal primers and analyzed using an ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK) as described previously (Williams et al. 2012).

Evaluation of PH genetics service

Testing outcomes of Sanger sequencing were evaluated as the number of pathological variants found in each step of the analysis. The variants, their frequency, and exonic location were noted. Nomenclature is based upon the AGXT, GRHPR, and HOGA1 cDNA sequences (Genbank Accession numbers NM_000030.2, NM_012203.1, and NM_138413.3, respectively), following HGVS recommendations (http://www.hgvs.org/), where nucleotide #1 is the first nucleotide of the ATG start codon. Pathogenicity of novel variants was assumed for splice-site and truncating mutations. For missense variants, pathogenicity was assigned based upon in silico prediction tools (SIFT, polyphen 2, and mutation taster), data from the 1000 genomes project and for PH1 modeling on the AGT crystal structure (Zhang et al. 2003). Diagnostic yield was assessed by determining the proportions of referred patients diagnosed with PH and via calculation of the posttest probability of disease.

NGS targeted enrichment design

The TSCA kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was used to select for genes of interest, and to prepare amplicons for sequencing. The web-based Illumina DesignStudio was used to design a custom mixture of oligonucleotide probes, allowing sequencing of the genes of interest as defined by their chromosomal coordinates. Since sequencing of the full $3'$ -UTRs was not required, the $3'$ coordinates of AGXT and HOGA1 were truncated. The coordinates used in the design were as follows:

AGXT: 2:241808137 – 2:241818536 GRHPR: 9:37422707 – 9:37436986 HOGA1: 10:99344102 – 10:99371756

The DesignStudio software identified exonic regions and designed probes to generate amplicons using the following options: Exons only; amplicon length 250 bp; padding per exon: 25 bases; genome sequence: H. sapiens UCSC hg19 primary assembly. This process identified 42 amplicons covering 5242 bp, including the full coding regions and TCSA probes were synthesized by Illumina.

NGS sample preparation and sequencing

DNA concentration was determined using the Quant-iT Broad-Range dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) measured with a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). Quality and integrity were assessed by electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel. Samples were prepared following the TSCA protocol using 250 ng of template DNA per reaction. Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc., High Wycombe, UK) were used for PCR clean-up. Library normalization was performed according to the TSCA protocol, and compatible indexed samples were pooled.

The pooled library was diluted into HT1 buffer (Illumina) and spiked with PhiX (1%) prior to sequencing. Products were sequenced in multiplexed sequencing runs on the Illumina MiSeq generating 150 bp paired-end reads. Sequences were demultiplexed using MiSeq Reporter software allowing for one mismatch in the index sequence.

NGS analysis

An initial test batch ($n = 14$) was analyzed to assess the performance of the protocol, then a further application batch with two patient samples from round one repeated, alongside 76 additional patient samples. In total samples from 64 PH1, 14 PH2, and 12 PH3 patients were analyzed, with one technical control and one negative control included per batch. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were determined and confidence intervals calculated using standard statistical procedures (Agresti and Coull 1998).

NGS data analysis

Sequencing data from the MiSeq sequencer were exported as fastq files. Run parameters were assessed using the MiSeq Reporter and Amplicon Viewer applications (Illumina). Sequencing read quality was assessed with FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). Reads were mapped to the GRCh37 (hg19) reference assembly with BWA version 0.6.1 (Li and Durbin 2010). Probe sequences were soft-clipped after alignment using custom Java code.

Indel realignment, quality score recalibration, and variant calling were carried out with the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) version 2.6-5 (McKenna et al. 2010). Variants were called with the GATK Unified Genotyper algorithm considering only bases with a minimum base call quality score Q_{phred} of 20. Raw variant calls were hard filtered applying a coverage threshold of >30X and minor allele frequency of >15%. Functional annotation of variants was carried out with ANNOVAR (Wang et al. 2010) and SeattleSeq version 8 (http://snp.gs.washington.edu). The Integrative Genomics Viewer version 2.2 (Robinson et al. 2011) was used for visual inspection of read and variant data.

Results

Evaluation of PH genetics service

Over a 5-year period, 200 patient samples were referred to the PH Genetics Service for diagnosis by Sanger sequencing. The mutations found are listed in Table 1. PH1 step 1 analysis identified two mutations in 52 (26%) patients (Table 1A). One mutation was found in 14 patients and in all cases the second mutation was detected by step 2 analysis (Table 1B). All patients who were negative on step 1 analysis progressed to step 2 analysis, with two mutations detected in 34 patients (Table 1C). Thus, step 2 analysis established a diagnosis in 48 (24%) patients.

Of the AGXT mutations detected, the exon 4 mutation c.508G>A represented 61 of 200 (31%) mutant alleles. The next most frequent mutation was the exon 1 mutation c.33dupC, found in 25 of 200 (13%) of mutant alleles. Of all mutant alleles identified, 46% were in exon 4 and 17% in exon 1, with only 3.5% in exon 7, the third exon analyzed in the step 1 test. In light of this finding, exon 7 sequencing has been removed from the PH1 step 1 test.

All 100 patients who tested negative for PH1 progressed to PH2 and PH3 step 1 testing, with the outcomes shown in Table 2. Of these, 14 patients (7%) were found to have two PH2 mutations (Table 2A) and 14 patients (7%) had two PH3 mutations (Table 2B). One mutation was found in GRHPR in two patients and in HOGA1 in two patients. In each case, the second mutation was detected by step 2 analysis. Therefore, in total 16 patients (8%) were diagnosed with PH2 and 16 patients (8%) were diagnosed with PH3.

Of those testing negative on the PH2 and PH3 step 1 tests, 27 patients progressed to PH2 step 2, of whom 16 also progressed to PH3 step 2. No further mutations were detected as a result of these analyses. Eleven patients progressed to liver biopsy analysis for alanine: glyoxylate aminotransferase and glyoxylate reductase activities, with PH1 and PH2 excluded in ten of these and PH1 confirmed in one. In this patient, exhaustive genetic analysis and family studies revealed a large AGXT gene deletion on one allele, but the other mutation was not detected.

Table 1. Outcomes of PH1 step 1 and step 2 testing in 200 consecutive samples referred for Sanger sequencing.

Allele 1	Allele 2	No. of patients
(A) 2 mutations found in step 1 test		
c.508G $> A$	c.508G>A	19
$c.33$ dup C	$c.33$ dup C	8
c.508G>A	c.33dupC	5
c.508G $>$ A	c.466G $>$ A	3
c.447_454del8	c.447_454del8	$\overline{2}$
c.731T > C	c.731 $T > C$	2
c.508G $>$ A	c.697C > T	1
c.508G $>$ A	$c.424 - 2A > G$	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.121G $>$ A	1
c.508G>A	c.122G $> A$	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.116_117dupCA	1
c.454T $>$ A	c.116_117dupCA	1
c.454T $>$ A	c.454T $>$ A	1
c.454T $>$ A	c.466G $>$ A	1
$c.33$ dupC	c.107G $> A$	1
c.33dupC	c.481G $>$ A	1
c.77T $>C$	c.77T $>C$	1
c.473C > T	c.473C > T	1
c.725dupT	c.725dupT	1
	(B) 1 mutation found in step 1 test, 1 mutation found in step 2 test	
c.33dupC	c.614C > T	1
c.33dupC	c.866G>A; c.1076T>C	1
c.121G $>A$	$c.846 + 1G > A$	1
c.454T $>$ A	c.353G > A	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.568G $>$ A	1
c.508G>A	c.570delG	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.614C > T	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.653C > T	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.777-1G $>$ C	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.834delC	1
c.508G $>$ A	$c.846 + 1G > T$	2
c.508G $>$ A	$c.847 - 3C > G$	1
c.508G $>$ A	c.1014C>G	1
(C) 2 mutations found in step 2 test		
c.1049G>A	c.1049G>A	5
c.302T $>$ C	c.302T $>$ C	3
c.519_520delinsGA	c.519_520delinsGA	3
c.245G $>$ A	c.245G $>$ A	$\overline{2}$
c.584T>G	c.584T $>G$	2
c.596-2A>G	c.596-2A>G	2
c.614C > T	c.614C > T	$\overline{2}$
c.853G > T	c.853G > T	2
c.187G $>$ C	c.187G>C	1
c.322T > C	c.322T > C	1
c.324G>T	c.324G>T	1
c.346G > A	c.346G $>$ A	1
c.577dupC	c.577dupC	1
c.577dupC	$c.847 - 3C > G$	1
c.577delC	c.1079G>A	1
$c.680 + 1G > C$	$c.680 + 1G > C$	1
c.777-2A>G	c.777-2A>G	1
c.798_802del	c.798_802del	1
c.851T > C	c.851T > C	1
c.922C > T	c.922C > T	1
c.1007T>A	c.1007T>A	1

Novel variants are shown in bold. PH, primary hyperoxaluria.

Table 2. Outcomes of PH2 and PH3 step 1 and step 2 testing.

Allele 1	Allele 2	No. of patients
(A) PH2 testing		
$c.103$ delG	$c.103$ delG	4
c.494G $>$ A	C.494G > A	3
403_404+2delAAGT	403_404+2delAAGT	3
84-8 84-5delCCCC;	84-8 84-5delCCCC;	1
84-13 84-12 del CC	84-13 84-12delCC	
c.103delG	c.288-2delAGT	1
c.102G > A	c.965T > C	1
c.295C > T	$c.493 + 2T > A$	1
c.494G > A	c.203T>C	1
c.743T>A	c.743T>A	1
(B) PH3 testing		
$c.700 + 5G > T$	$c.700 + 5G > T$	8
c.107C > T	$c.700 + 5G > T$	1
c.107C > T	c.860G > T	1
c.110G > T	c.953G>A	1
$c.700 + 5G > T$	c.907C > T	1
$c.700 + 5G > T$	c.944 946delAGG	1
c.860G > T	c.944 946del AGG	1
c.875T>C	c.875T>C	1
c.208C > T	$c.700 + 5G > T$	

All patients who tested negative for PH1 progressed to PH2 and PH3 Step 1 testing. PH2 step 2 and PH3 step 2 testing was performed in 43 and 32 patients, respectively, as requested by the referring clinicians. Novel variants are shown in bold. PH, primary hyperoxaluria.

The prevalence of the three forms of PH in the UCL Hospitals referral population has previously been estimated to be 0.63, 0.15, and 0.09, respectively (Williams et al. 2012). Prevalence of disease in the referral population equates to the pretest probability of disease. Sensitivities of the PH1 step 1 and PH1 step 2 tests were 65.3% (66 of 101 cases) and 99% (100 of 101 cases), respectively. Applying Bayes theorem, the finding of a pathological variant in PH1 step 1 or step 2 increases posttest probability of PH1 to 0.99. If no mutation is found in step 1, then the posttest probability is 0.37. If no mutation is found in step 2, the probability of disease falls to 0.017. Of the population previously referred for liver biopsy analysis, 3% were diagnosed with PH2, less than the 7% who tested positive by DNA analysis. This may reflect the fact that patients with suspected PH2 and increased L-glyceric acid excretion tended not to undergo liver biopsy analysis (Rumsby et al. 2004). Of 200 patient samples tested, 51 did not undergo complete sequencing of all three genes, leaving the diagnosis unresolved in 25% of patients referred.

TruSeq custom amplicon targeted enrichment

To evaluate the TruSeq targeted enrichment assay, a total of 90 DNA samples were analyzed in two multiplexed

sequencing runs on the Illumina MiSeq. In order to demonstrate scalability of the assay, 14 samples were sequenced in the first run and 78 in the second run. Altogether 23,338,572 150 bp reads were generated in the first run and 21,023,972 in the second run, equating to an average theoretical amplicon coverage of 24,268X and 3924X, respectively. After read mapping, clipping of probe sequences and quality filtering, the average depth of amplicon coverage was 21,092X and 3419X for samples in the first and second runs, respectively. The minimum average amplicon coverage was 6364X in the first run and 929X in the second, while the highest average amplicon coverage was 44,436X and 6927X, respectively (Fig. 1). Two samples were sequenced in both runs allowing assessment of the impact of sequencing depth on variant detection. No differences were observed in the betweenrun base call and consequent variant detection for these two samples. Full exomic coverage of the genes of interest was achieved in both runs with sequence coverage extending to at least 55 bases upstream of the exons and at least 60 bases downstream.

The results of NGS analysis and previous DNA analysis are compared in Table 3. Of 175 disease-causing alleles previously identified in the patient cohort, NGS analysis detected 174, the exception being a missense c.346G>A mutation in AGXT exon 3. The range of mutations for which the detection ability of NGS was tested included seven splice-site mutations (four in AGXT, two in GRHPR, and one in HOGA1), 16 indels (10 in AGXT, four in GRHPR, and two in HOGA1), and 39 missense/nonsense mutations (29 in AGXT, four in GRHPR, and six in HOGA1). These mutations included previously unpublished variants in AGXT; c.209C>A, c.215dupA, c.473delC, c.533G>A, c.996G>A, c.680+2T>A, c.847-1G>A and c.1045G>A, GRHPR; c.45delA, c.102G>A, c.493+2T>A, c.905G>A and c.965T>C and HOGA1; c.158delA.

NGS analysis was able to accurately determine mutation zygosity in the majority of cases, with exception of two AGXT mutations in exon one of the gene; c.116_117dupCA and c.121G>A, which were base called as homozygous although they were present in heterozygous state. Three patients diagnosed with PH1 were also heterozygous for the high-frequency HOGA1 splice-site mutation c.700+5G>T.

There were no false positive diagnoses of disease; thus, the NGS assay showed 100% (95% CI ≥98%) diagnostic specificity. For PH1, diagnostic sensitivity was 97% (95% CI; 95–99) for Sanger sequencing and 95% (95% CI; 92– 98) for NGS. For PH2 and PH3 both approaches had 100% (95% CI ≥98%) diagnostic sensitivity. The overall diagnostic sensitivity of Sanger sequencing was 98% (95% CI; 96–100). For NGS, it was 97% (95% CI; 95–99), giving the two sequencing approaches comparable diagnostic performance.

Minor allele status of AGXT could be assessed for all patients. This is particularly relevant for those with PH1 since several mutations, most notably c.508G>A, have increased pathogenicity when associated with the minor allele (see Williams et al. 2009 for review). Although it was originally reported that the c.32C>T, c.264C>T, and c.1020A>G variants are in complete linkage disequilibrium, it has since been established that the linkage breaks down in some cases, as reviewed in Williams et al. 2009. In our study, c.32C>T and c.264C>T were found in linkage disequilibrium in all homozygotes detected, but in five patients the copy number of c.1020A>G varied from

Figure 1. Depth of coverage. Amplicon coverage achieved when multiplexing 14 samples (run 1) or 78 samples (run 2) per MiSeg run. (A) Theoretical average coverage (calculated for each sample as total number of reads \times read length/total target length). (B) Distribution of observed amplicon coverage after read mapping, clipping of probe sequences, and quality filtering.

Table 3. Comparison of the results of NGS and previous DNA analysis.

For variants detected in multiple patients, the mean result is shown for % variant reads. The asterisk (*) indicates the two variants incorrectly assigned as homozygous by the NGS base calling. Novel variants are shown in bold. NGS, next generation sequencing; PH, primary hyperoxaluria.

that of the other two variants. Additionally, data from the 1000 genomes project reveal different minor allele frequencies (0.108, 0.109, and 0.137, respectively) for these variants, suggesting that the c.1020A>G variant is more frequent than c.32C>T and c.264C>T.

Discussion

Our review of genetic testing outcomes of 200 consecutive patients' samples analyzed by Sanger sequencing found 50% diagnosed with PH1, 8% with PH2, and 8% with PH3. Of the patients referred, all three genes were sequenced in a further 8%, with no mutations detected. One patient with negative DNA results was diagnosed with PH1 by liver biopsy analysis. In the remaining 25% of patients, step 2 sequencing of the PH2 and PH3 genes was not requested, leaving their diagnosis unresolved. These findings prompted us to evaluate an NGS approach for simultaneous sequencing of all three genes within a single diagnostic test. This approach would ensure that all patients are screened for all three genetic causes of the disease early in their diagnostic work-up, enabling a more rapid diagnosis.

Genomic DNA samples from 90 PH patients were analyzed by NGS. Sanger sequencing of these samples had previously identified both disease-causing mutations in 88 patients. NGS analysis detected both disease-causing mutations in 87 of these. In the two remaining patients, both with liver biopsy proven PH1, neither approach detected two disease-causing mutations. Targeted NGS identified a variety of mutations including minor indels, but was not tested on its ability to pick up major deletions, relatively uncommon in these disorders. From analysis of other genes, including CFTR (Abou Tayoun et al. 2013) and LDLR (Vandrovcova et al. 2013) one might expect reduced copy number. The ability to detect large deletions could be assessed in future iterations of the assay design and with tailoring of the bioinformatics analysis.

NGS results showed 98% agreement with Sanger sequencing, with only four of 180 variants showing discrepant base calling. These exceptions all occurred in the AGXT gene and included a c.346G>A heterozygous change not identified by NGS in one patient. Interrogation of read mapping data revealed that this mutation was actually present in 10% of sequencing reads, suggesting that differential amplification of the two alleles in this region produced incorrect base calling. The other discrepancies occurred in three patients with mutations in exon one, where heterozygous variants were incorrectly called as homozygous; c.116_117dupCA in one patient and c.121G>A in two patients. These patients did not harbor any single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the binding regions of the exon one sequencing probes, suggesting that preferential allele amplification was not the cause. Inspection of NGS read mapping data revealed a region of lower read depth spanning c.96-138, typically 40–60% of the surrounding regions, reflecting the fact that this area is only covered by one amplicon in the design. However, the read depth in this region of affected samples was over $400\times$, which should be sufficient for accurate variant calling. Future iterations of TSCA design should be altered to optimize coverage in these regions.

Targeted NGS showed comparable diagnostic performance to Sanger sequencing with sufficient test sensitivity and specificity for a clinical diagnostic assay. Similar diagnostic performance has been observed for other targeted NGS studies, including CFTR gene analysis for the diagnosis of cystic fibrosis (Abou Tayoun et al. 2013), BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene sequencing for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (Feliubadaló et al. 2013), and a gene panel for the diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolemia (Vandrovcova et al. 2013). Targeted NGS analyses are not prone to difficulties currently encountered in whole genome sequencing (WGS), including areas of poor coverage, accuracy, and cost of after-sequencing bioinformatics analysis (reviewed in Chrystoja and Diamandis 2014). In addition, scalability of the assay has been demonstrated, enabling a diagnostic service to be provided without compromising cost or turnaround time. The availability of curated mutation databases for the three disorders (http://www.uclh.nhs.uk/phmd), would also assist with streamlined identification of pathological and nonpathological variants.

In all three known types of PH, there is usually significant hyperoxaluria, with excretion levels typically exceeding >0.7 mmol/1.73 m² per 24 h (upper limit of normal 0.46). Not all patients with pronounced hyperoxaluria and high clinical suspicion of PH receive genetic testing. In the Netherlands, a retrospective review of 25 patients with elevated urine oxalate excretion identified one previously undiagnosed case of PH1 (van Woerden et al. 2007). A recent review of >5000 analyses performed in the UCL Hospitals laboratory revealed that genetic diagnosis of PH was not pursued in 24 patients, despite significantly elevated urine oxalate (Clifford-Mobley et al. 2014). Prompt diagnosis of PH is essential for timely treatment to preserve renal function, delay onset of renal failure, and limit oxalosis (Milliner 2005). There is, therefore, a clinical need for rapid and affordable screening for all forms of PH in stone-forming patients with grossly elevated oxalate excretion.

It is evident that delays in diagnosis of PH are common. In a survey of PH diagnosis, treatment and patient outcome in the United States fewer than half of patients underwent liver biopsy enzyme analysis for definitive diagnosis. Of those with a definitive diagnosis, 30% were diagnosed already in end-stage renal failure (Hoppe and Langman 2003). This picture is no different elsewhere; in a study of Dutch PH1 patients, 38% went undiagnosed until adulthood, 52% already in end-stage renal failure. Importantly, 68% of these patients were homozygous for the p.Gly170Arg mutation, which has a favorable prognosis when pyridoxine therapy is started early (Harambat et al. 2009; van der Hoeven et al. 2012).

This is the first study in which all three PH-causative genes have been analyzed in a large cohort of PH patients. Interestingly, three PH1 patients were heterozygous for the common HOGA1 splice-site mutation c.700+5G>T. This is somewhat higher than would be expected, given the allele frequency was found to be only 0.09% in the 1000 genomes project. PH1 is known to be a clinically heterogeneous condition, which may in part arise from natural variation in other genes involved in related metabolic pathways. A previous study identified three idiopathic stone formers were heterozygous for variants in the HOGA1 gene, including the c.860G>T mutation (Monico et al. 2011). HOGA1 variants may possibly play a modifier role in disease expression in PH1 and this may become clearer as more patients have all three PH genes sequenced during their diagnostic work-up.

The identification of pathogenic variants in more than one of the genes associated with PH highlights one of the disadvantages of sequential analysis, wherein finding a single pathological mutation may lead one to incorrectly assume a diagnosis. A PH3 case has been reported in which the proband had a family history of PH1 and this patient was symptomatic for PH, despite carrying only one of the familial AGXT mutations. Full sequencing of the AXGT gene did not identify further likely PH1-causing variants, but subsequent analysis of HOGA1 identified two disease-causing variants, leading to eventual diagnosis of PH3 (Beck et al. 2013).

In conclusion, targeted NGS analysis compared favorably with Sanger sequencing showing 98% agreement for the genotypes detected, with similar diagnostic performance. In two liver biopsy proven PH1 patients, neither Sanger sequencing nor NGS detected any mutations. Their disease may be due to promoter defects, or a result of sequence changes deep within introns leading to activation of cryptic splice sites. Since these regions are not covered by current sequencing approaches, in such cases liver biopsy enzyme analysis would still be required to confirm disease. Targeted NGS has potential to offer a cost-effective, scalable test for all three types of PH, enabling more rapid diagnosis than Sanger sequencing. NGS yielded additional information, including the simultaneous identification of variants in more than one of the PH genes. The implications of this finding for disease phenotype and patient prognosis may become clearer as routine genetic diagnostic testing embraces the next generation of DNA sequencing.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre. The Imperial College High Performance Computing Service (www.imperial.ac.uk/ict/services/hpc) has provided computing resources and support that have contributed to the research results reported within this paper.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Abou Tayoun, A. N., C. D. Tunkey, T. J. Pugh, T. Ross, M. Shah, C. C. Lee, et al. 2013. A comprehensive assay for CFTR mutational analysis using next-generation sequencing. Clin. Chem. 59:1481–1488.
- Agresti, A., and B. A. Coull. 1998. Approximate is better than "exact" for interval estimation of binomial proportions. Am. Stat. 52:119–126.
- Beck, B. B., A. Baasner, A. Buescher, S. Habbig, N. Reintjes, M. J. Kemper, et al. 2013. Novel findings in patients with primary hyperoxaluria type III and implications for advanced molecular testing strategies. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 21:162–172.
- Belostotsky, R., E. Seboun, G. Idelson, D. S. Milliner, R. Becker-Cohen, C. Rinat, et al. 2010. Mutations in DHDPSL are responsible for primary hyperoxaluria type III. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 87:1–8.
- Bockenhauer, D., A. J. Medlar, E. Ashton, R. Kleta, and N. Lench. 2012. Genetic testing in renal disease. Pediatr. Nephrol. 27:873–883.
- Chrystoja, C. C., and E. P. Diamandis. 2014. Whole genome sequencing as a diagnostic test: challenges and opportunities. Clin. Chem. 60:724–733.
- Clifford-Mobley, O., C. Tims, and G. Rumsby. 2014. The comparability of oxalate excretion and oxalate: creatinine ratio in the investigation of primary hyperoxaluria. Ann. Clin. Biochem. [Epub ahead of print].
- Cochat, P., and G. Rumsby. 2013. Primary hyperoxaluria. N. Engl. J. Med. 369:649–658.
- Cramer, S. D., P. M. Ferree, K. Lin, D. S. Milliner, and R. P. Holmes. 1999. The gene encoding hydroxypyruvate reductase (GRHPR) is mutated in primary hyperoxaluria type II. Hum. Mol. Genet. 8:2063–2069.
- Cregeen, D., E. Williams, S. Hulton, and G. Rumsby. 2003. Molecular analysis of the glyoxylate reductase (GRHPR) gene and description of mutations underlying primary hyperoxaluria type 2. Hum. Mutat. 22:497 #671 Online.

Feliubadaló, L., A. Lopez-Doriga, E. Castellsagué, J. del Valle, M. Menéndez, E. Tornero, et al. 2013. Next-generation sequencing meets genetic diagnostics: development of a comprehensive workflow for the analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 21:864–870.

- Harambat, J., S. Fargue, C. Acquaviva, M. F. Gagnadoux, F. Janssen, A. Liutkus, et al. 2009. Genotype-phenotype correlation in primary hyperoxaluria type 1: the p.Gly170Arg mutation is associated with a better outcome. Kidney Int. 77:442–449.
- van der Hoeven, S. M., C. S. van Woerden, and J. W. Groothoff. 2012. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1, a too often missed diagnosis and potentially treatable cause of end-stage renal disease in adults: results of the Dutch cohort. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 27:3855–3862.
- Hoppe, B., and C. Langman. 2003. A United States survey on diagnosis, treatment and outcome of primary hyperoxaluria. Pediatr. Nephrol. 18:986–991.
- Li, H., and R. Durbin. 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 26:589–595.
- McKenna, A., M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, A. Kernytsky, et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20:1297–1303.
- Milliner, D. S. 2005. The primary hyperoxalurias: an algorithm for diagnosis. Am. J. Nephrol. 25:154–160.
- Monico, C. G., S. Rossetti, R. Belostotsky, A. G. Cogal, R. M. Herges, B. M. Seide, et al. 2011. Primary hyperoxaluria type III gene HOGA1 (formerly DHDPSL) as a possible risk factor for idiopathic calcium oxalate urolithiasis. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 6:2289–2295.
- Purdue, P. E., Y. Takada, and C. J. Danpure. 1990. Identification of mutations associated with peroxisome-to-mitochondrion mistargeting of alanine/ glyoxylate aminotranferase in primary hyperoxaluria type 1. J. Cell Biol. 111:2341–2351.
- Robinson, J. T., H. Thorvaldsdottir, W. Winckler, M. Guttman, E. S. Lander, G. Getz, et al. 2011. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol. 29:24–26.
- Rumsby, G., E. Williams, and M. Coulter-Mackie. 2004. Evaluation of mutation screening as a first line test for the diagnosis of the primary hyperoxalurias. Kidney Int. 66:959– 963.
- von Schnakenburg, C., and G. Rumsby. 1997. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1: a cluster of new mutations in exon 7 of the AGXT gene. J. Med. Genet. 34:489–492.
- Vandrovcova, J., E. R. Thomas, S. S. Atanur, P. J. Norsworthy, C. Neuwirth, Y. Tan, et al. 2013. The use of next generation sequencing in clinical diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolaemia. Genet. Med. 15:948–957.
- Wang, K., M. Li, and H. Hakonarson. 2010. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from next-generation sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 38:e164.

Williams, E. L., and G. Rumsby. 2007. Selected exonic sequencing of the AGXT gene provides a genetic diagnosis in 50% of patients with primary hyperoxaluria type 1. Clin. Chem. 53:1216–1221.

Williams, E. L., C. Acquaviva, A. Amoroso, F. Chevalier, M. Coulter-Mackie, C. G. Monico, et al. 2009. Primary hyperoxaluria type 1: update and additional mutation analysis of the AGXT gene. Hum. Mutat. 30:910–917.

Williams, E. L., D. Bockenhauer, W. G. van't Hoff, N. Johri, C. Laing, M. D. Sinha, et al. 2012. The enzyme 4-hydroxy-2-oxoglutarate aldolase is deficient in primary hyperoxaluria type 3. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 27:3191– 3195.

- van Woerden, C. S., J. W. Groothoff, F. A. Wijburg, H. R. Waterham, R. J. Wanders, M. J. Janssen, et al. 2007. Primary hyperoxaluria remains undiagnosed in patients with hyperoxaluria and recurrent urolithiasis. Clin. Chem. 53:1553–1555.
- Zhang, X., S. M. Roe, Y. Hou, M. Bartlam, Z. Rao, L. H. Pearl, et al. 2003. Crystal structure of alanine: glyoxylate aminotransferase and the relationship between genotype and enzymatic phenotype in primary hyperoxaluria type 1. J. Mol. Biol. 331:643–652.