



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Exploiting carbon nanotube networks for damage assessment of fibre reinforced composites

Citation for published version:

Baltopoulos, A, Polydorides, N, Pambaguian, L, Vavouliotis, A & Kostopoulos, V 2015, 'Exploiting carbon nanotube networks for damage assessment of fibre reinforced composites' *Composites part b-Engineering*, vol. 76, pp. 149–158. DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.02.022

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.02.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.02.022)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Composites part b-Engineering

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1
2
3
4 **EXPLOITING CARBON NANOTUBE NETWORKS FOR DAMAGE ASSESSMENT OF FIBRE**
5 **REINFORCED COMPOSITES**
6

7 A.Baltopoulos¹, N.Polydorides², L.Pambaguian³, A. Vavouliotis¹, V. Kostopoulos^{1*}
8

9 ¹*Applied Mechanics Laboratory, Mechanical Engineering and Aeronautics Department, University of*
10 *Patras, Rio - Patras, Greece*
11

12 ²*Institute for Digital Communications, School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh,*
13 *Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
14

15 ³*Materials and Components Technology Division, European Space Research and Technology Centre,*
16 *ESA, Noordwijk, The Netherlands*
17
18
19

20 **ABSTRACT**
21

22 An approach for damage inspection of composite structures utilizing carbon nanotubes (CNT) networks is
23 investigated. CNT are dispersed in an epoxy using a processing technique compatible with commonly
24 employed composite manufacturing techniques and subsequently used as matrix for a structural glass
25 fiber reinforced composite. The developed electrical conductivity of the composite system is verified
26 experimentally. The electrically conductive CNT network within the GFRP is exploited through
27 distributed electrical voltage measurements to sense and, ultimately, locate damage in the plane of the
28 composite plate. Damage in the form of cracks or delamination interrupts the continuity of the CNT
29 network separating and isolating regions of the conductive network. Employing electric potential fields
30 these changes can become measurable and can provide information for inversely locating the damage.
31 Electrical Resistance Tomography (ERT) is formulated and experimentally applied to measure changes in
32 the potential fields and deliver electrical conductivity change maps which are used to identify and locate
33 changes in the CNT networks. These changes are correlated to capture the damage in the composite.
34 Different damage modes are studied to assess the capabilities of the technique. The technique shows
35 sensitivity to very small damages; less than 0.1% of the inspected area. The solution of the inverse ERT
36 problem delivers a conductivity change maps which offers an effective localization with nearly 10% error
37 and an inspection area suppression of around 75%. The proposed methodology to create CNT networks
38 enables the application of ERT for Non-Destructive Evaluation of composite materials, previously not
39 possible due to lack of conductivity, thus offering damage sensing and location capabilities even in-situ.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Keywords:** A. Glass fibres; A. Smart materials; B. Electrical properties; C. Non-destructive testing;
50
51 Electrical Resistance Tomography
52
53
54

55 **1. Introduction**
56

57 Damage assessment of composite materials and structures is becoming increasingly important as a
58 consequence of their increased use in a variety of primary importance applications, such as energy and
59 aerospace. Various Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) techniques are available to detect damage in
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 composites with the most commonly employed being ultrasonic (e.g. C-scan) and thermography. The
5 latest years Acoustic Emission, Fiber Bragg Gratings and use of vibration-based methods have attracted a
6 great amount of attention. In parallel, the progress in nanotechnology has enabled the development of
7 novel materials routes for developing multi-functional material systems that incorporate approaches for
8 damage detection.
9

10
11
12
13 Products of nanotechnology, such as Carbon Nanotubes (CNT), Carbon Black (CB) or other
14 nano-particles, have been proposed as additives for mechanical performance enhancement of composites
15 through their incorporation in the matrix [1]. Promising results have been reported in fatigue, fracture and
16 post impact performance of such systems [2]. In parallel to the mechanical performance, the integrated
17 nano-particle networks within polymer matrices can be employed for damage sensing and monitoring of
18 the composites performance. This added functionality enables a multifunctional performance of
19 composites. Most of the reported works in this direction are based on the Electrical Resistance Change
20 Method (ERCM) where the apparent macroscopic resistance of the conductive network is monitored.
21 Relation has been proven between the recorded electrical resistance change and the loading incidents
22 and/or the mechanical degradation of the material. Studies have covered a wide spectrum of materials;
23 nanocomposite polymers [3] and polymer foams [4], Glass Fibre Reinforced Plastics (GFRP) [5-8],
24 Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastics (CFRP) [9]. Finite Element (FE) models have also been employed to
25 verify the experimental findings [10]. It can be said that electrical-based methods, such as ERCM, offer
26 the potential of a tool for sensing the development and evolution of damage as well as health monitoring
27 of conductive composite structures.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 In order, however, for both nano-particle networks and electrical based methods to reach real
43 applications at large scale, further developments are needed; developments to extend the capabilities in
44 the localization of the damage and the estimation of its size based on the needs of practice. A number of
45 studies have worked in extending electrical sensing principles to 2D using electrical conductivity
46 mapping [11], electric potential fields [12] or other approaches [13]. A brief summary of the ideas is
47 presented in [14].
48
49
50
51
52

53 Some works have been reported in the recent in the direction of exploiting nano-particle
54 networks in structural composites to provide 2D inspection information. Hou et al [15] employed a
55 tomographic approach to demonstrate multifunctionality of a specially prepared CNT-based film. The
56 system was used as a sensing element on the surface of a structure and was able to detect impact
57 incidents. Ye et al [16] used dispersed CB and copper chloride nano-particles in Glass/Epoxy composites
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 for enabling electrical damage sensing. They proposed a tomographic approach and used the change of
5
6 electrical resistance as a damage index. An intuitive probabilistic formulation was employed, where the
7
8 cumulative contribution of individual sensing paths is considered for delivering damage assessment maps.
9
10 The technique performed well in assessing and quantifying impact induced damage. Proper et al [17]
11
12 developed a **Multi Wall CNT (MWCNT)** network into a Kevlar composite. Following, an external
13
14 electrode grid was attached on the surface of the plate to sense the potential distribution. They were able
15
16 to point to the location of the impact damage by comparing the patterns before and after impact damage
17
18 and relating the grid potentials to the conductivity distribution within the composite. Loyola et al. [18]
19
20 demonstrated the performance of **Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT)** as an embedded **Structural**
21
22 **Health Monitoring (SHM)** methodology, where following a purpose-specific process a conductive and
23
24 strain-sensitive film was deposited within the electrically non-conductive GFRP structure. The actively
25
26 sensing region partly covered the GFRP part, was 80x80 mm in dimensions and employed 32 peripheral
27
28 electrodes. An intuitive current pattern definition was employed being adjusted for the anisotropic
29
30 electrical properties of the sensing region. The results indicated that EIT can detect and locate different
31
32 modes of damage with good sensitivity. Alternative routes based on nanotechnology and multi-physics
33
34 fields have also been proposed by Guzman de Villoria et al [19] enabling good spatial resolution for
35
36 sensing damage in composites. Viets et al. [20] demonstrated damage mapping of carbon **nano-particles**
37
38 modified GFRP via electrical resistance measurements. They dispersed MWCNT at 0.3%wt. and 0.7%wt
39
40 and CB at 12%wt in the matrix of GFRP via three-roll mill mixing. Following, they deployed a series of
41
42 silver ink strip electrodes over the surface of a composite part; 10 per side with the two sides being
43
44 perpendicular. They used out-of-plane resistance measurements occurring from pairs of opposite-side
45
46 electrodes to monitor the damage developed by impact. It was shown that the detection and localisation of
47
48 barely visible impact-related damages via electrical resistance measurements was possible with the
49
50 developed technique. The significant influence of the different nanoparticles and filler contents on the
51
52 results of the damage mapping, especially regarding the sensitivity of the resistance to damage, was
53
54 shown. More recently, Tallman et al. [21] used CB for enabling electrical monitoring of GFRP
55
56 composites. They established a preferential aligned arrangement of the CB particles and determined the
57
58 sensitivity of the EIT to through-hole damage as well as the ability of the technique to capture impact and
59
60 multiple damage sites. They demonstrated the considerable potential of conductivity-based health
61
62 monitoring for glass fiber reinforced polymer laminates with conductive networks of nanoparticles in the
63
64 matrix.
65

1
2
3
4 In the present work, a methodology is presented to develop a 3D CNT network in the matrix of a
5 fibre reinforced composite, which is subsequently exploited to sense damage and through a proposed
6 tomographic technique to provide information of the location of the damage. For developing the CNT
7 network, a processing technique compatible with conventional composite manufacturing technologies is
8 utilized. The sensing scheme takes a step further from the state-of-the-art in sensing studies utilizing CNT
9 networks by providing a structured methodology to calculate inspection maps for locating damage in
10 composite parts. Electrical Resistance Tomography (ERT) [11, 22] protocol is used for collecting and
11 processing the experimental recordings, transferring technology and experience from other scientific
12 fields [23, 24]. The outcome of this ERT inverse problem solution is a map of the expected electrical
13 conductivity changes, corresponding to the part under inspection. From this map, one can identify regions
14 of interest (e.g. high change in conductivity) and such a technique can serve as a tool for the NDE of
15 composite parts. It is believed that the proposed approach is scalable and can serve as the basis for further
16 applications of CNT networks in real structures.

17
18
19 This work expands the state-of-the-art by presenting a case study which synergizes
20 nanotechnology for composites and superior NDE techniques. It builds upon existing experience [25, 26]
21 and formalizes the NDE methodology, bridging the field between the research by Proper et al [17], Hou
22 et al [15], Viets et al [20] and Tallman et al [21] in terms of combination of materials (MWCNT) and
23 electrical sensing application (i.e. electrode design and positioning, post-processing framework).

24
25 Both the material preparation process and NDE methodologies proposed are extendable to other
26 nano-particle as long as the amount of nano-particles in the matrix is above the percolation threshold to
27 reach a conductive network throughout the composite. In this sense, a critical advantage of the CNT
28 exists as the percolation can be reached much lower in weight percentage and, consequently, the
29 processing of the epoxy is less affected and the mechanical properties of the composites are not
30 sacrificed.

31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 **2. Principle idea of the work**

50
51 The CNT reinforced GFRP essentially represents a three-phase composite system comprising the matrix,
52 the CNT network and the glass fibers. Both the glass fibers and the matrix are insulating phases. The only
53 path for electrical charge transport in the composite is the conductive CNT network. The network extends
54 throughout the matrix of the composite providing an efficient path for electron flow, similar to a
55 distributed network of resistors [27]. The conductivity of the composite system is leveraged for NDE. The
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 principle idea of this work is illustrated in a simplified way in the schematic of Figure 1. For clarity, glass
5 fibers are excluded from the schematic.
6

7
8 In practice, the tools in hand are a current source and a voltage meter. Injecting a current at
9 different points of the CNT network stimulates different regions of the network and develops a different
10 voltage distribution throughout the material. Thus, as it seems natural, when monitoring the voltage at the
11 same end of the network a different voltage value will be recorded (Figure 1-a, b). Maximizing the
12 sensitivity of the voltage measurement by adjusting the current injection point seems like a logical
13 approach.
14
15
16
17
18

19 When damage is considered, any defect in the CNT network (e.g. due to cracking or
20 delamination) will create a local disruption in the electrical network (Figure 1-c, d). Parts of the network
21 may be separated and isolated and this will have an effect on the total apparent resistance (seen by the
22 current source) and the local current flow (seen at the voltage measurement ends). This means that when
23 injecting a current at the same location to the network, a different electrical potential field will be
24 established between the undamaged and the damaged state. Taking a step further, by injecting current at
25 different points of the network, the effect of the disturbance on the boundary voltage measurements may
26 be magnified or suppressed.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 These two observations form the basis of the proposed tomographic technique. Based on a vector
35 of electrical potential information measured at the boundary of the composite laminate, we attempt to
36 inversely calculate the conductivity distribution change within the material. Because the CNT are
37 considered homogeneously distributed within the matrix in a 3D configuration, this enables a global
38 monitoring of the composite laminate and can address various related damage modes.
39
40
41
42
43

44 **3. Materials, Methods and Experimental Approach**

45 *3.1. Preparation of CNT-polymer mixture and manufacturing of the composite material*

46 Epoxy resin L1100 (with Hardener 295) commercially available by R&G Composite Technologies
47 GmbH (Germany) was used as the host matrix. It is a low viscosity resin system, widely used in wind
48 turbine blade manufacturing. The macro-scale reinforcing phase was a glass fiber twill woven fabric
49 having a 163gr/m² area weight, procured by R&G Composite Technologies GmbH (Germany). MWCNT
50 produced by catalyzed **Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD)** were supplied by ARKEMA (France) in raw
51 powder form. The MWCNT had a diameter ranging from 10 to 15nm and **length reaching up to 750nm**,
52 resulting in an aspect ratio between 30 and 50. The MWCNT were used as received, i.e. no treatment or
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 functionalization took place. Any humidity present was removed by placing the CNT in an oven at 60°C
5
6 for 12hrs prior to the mixing process.

7
8 The dispersion and composite manufacturing procedure is shown in Figure 2. The first step is to
9
10 disperse the MWCNT in the bisphenol-A (Part-A of the epoxy system) (Step 1). High-shear mixing
11
12 dissolver device by VMA Getzmann GmbH (Germany) was used to homogeneously disperse the CNT.
13
14 The targeted CNT concentration in the final composite was 0.5%wt. The required amounts are weighted
15
16 and added into the same container. A rotating disk introduces shear forces to the mixture creating a vortex
17
18 flow (known as the “doughnut effect”) which leads to a continuous mixing of the compound. The shear
19
20 forces disentangle the CNT and reduce their agglomerates. The dissolver disc rotational speed was
21
22 2500rpm and the mixing duration was 3hrs. The temperature was controlled between 40-50°C using a
23
24 water cooled double walled container. The mixing was performed under vacuum to avoid any air
25
26 inclusion in the mixture and consequently in the composite. The technique has proven to be effective in
27
28 dispersing CNT in epoxy systems in order to produce electrically conductive composites, utilizing
29
30 efficiently the advantage of high aspect ratio of the CNT [28].

31
32 Then the amine hardener was added (Step 2) and subsequently the nano-reinforced resin was
33
34 used to fabricate glass fiber composites (Step 3). Prior to layup the resin was degassed for 15min. Twelve
35
36 (12) layers having the same orientation were used. Layer by layer wet-layup was used. The lay-up took
37
38 place on a rigid flat aluminum mould. Once the wet lay-up process was finished, the stack was
39
40 hermetically enclosed in a vacuum bag, vacuumed and put in an oven to cure for 6hrs at 50°C. The
41
42 produced plate had dimensions 300x300 mm and thickness of 2.5mm. The resulting fibre volume fraction
43
44 of the composite was determined to be 47%±2.

45
46 For the ERT campaign, square 100mm specimens were cut from the plate using a diamond-grit
47
48 circular disk. The specimens were prepared for ERT by positioning 20 peripheral electrodes close to the
49
50 edge of the plate. Firstly, a 1mm diameter hole is drilled at the desired point. The inner surface of the hole
51
52 is painted with conductive silver-paint to provide a good interface and minimize contact resistance with
53
54 the circular cross section copper electrode, which is placed tightly into the hole. A two part conductive
55
56 epoxy (CircuitWorks® Conductive Epoxy by Chemtronics), commonly used for solderless electronic
57
58 connections, is used to hold the electrode cables in place while allowing electrical conduction (similar to
59
60 [15, 22]). The epoxy was cured for 4hrs at 55°C.

61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000

1
2
3
4 To evaluate the dispersion of CNT within the matrix Scanning Electron Micrographs (SEM) and
5 electrical conductivity measurements were used. Images were taken using LEO SUPRA 35VP at various
6 magnification levels for a set of random samples from the material.
7
8

9
10 A KEITHLEY 2002 digital multimeter by Keithley Instruments Inc. (USA) was used for the
11 electrical measurements. Measurements were made in three directions corresponding to the three
12 principle axes of the material. Specimens were cut from the manufactured plate; oblong specimens
13 100x15mm for in-plane measurements (X-axis and Y-axis) [29] and square 25x25mm specimens for the
14 through thickness direction (Z-axis). At least five (5) specimens were measured for each direction. **The**
15 **electrode contact surfaces were sanded to smooth the roughness from the peel ply and then silver painted**
16 **twice to create a uniform and smooth coating using commercially available conductive silver paint (RS**
17 **Components Silver Paint Conductive Adhesive).**
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 *3.3. Damage modes assessed*

25
26 To assess the sensing capabilities of ERT on these materials, three different damage scenarios were
27 evaluated (Figure 3); a through-thickness hole, an oblong notch and indentation damage.
28
29

30
31 The through hole (Figure 3-a) is the first damage implemented to assess the capabilities of
32 NDE/SHM methods. It is used to assess baseline sensitivity of the technique. Here a small hole having a
33 diameter of 3mm was made to the plate. The damage corresponds to less than 0.1% of the total area of the
34 composite plate being inspected.
35
36
37

38
39 An oblong notch (Figure 3-b) is another typical damage mode assessed in NDE/SHM works and
40 is an approach to assess the capability of the technique to detect cracks. An oblong crack was created
41 using a cutting disc and a high speed rotary tool (DREMEL). The damage region represented nearly 0.2%
42 of the total inspected area.
43
44

45
46 Finally, Quasi-Static Indentation (QSI) was employed to experimentally assess the proposed
47 approach as a step towards **Barely Visible Impact Damage (BVID)** detection. **BVID** is a major concern in
48 structural composite parts as it is the result of accidental low energy impact event and can severely
49 degrade the mechanical performance of the part. In QSI test (Figure 3-c), a hemispherical indenter (Φ
50 12mm) is pushed against a simply supported specimen. The support is a circular ring (50mm diameter)
51 leaving the space under the specimen, right below the indentation point free to deform. The loading
52 continues until a drop in the force is recorded. Then the test is stopped, the specimen is unloaded and
53 inspected. To assess the developed damage (Figure 3-d) and for direct evaluation of the ERT results,
54 ultrasonic inspection (C-scan) was employed.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

3.4. ERT theory, post-processing and application

The forward electrical problem is to derive the voltage distribution given the conductivity distribution within a medium and the current injection input. Mathematically this is done by solving Equation 1 in the conductive medium Ω , given appropriate boundary conditions:

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla u) = 0, \quad \bar{r} \in \Omega \quad (1)$$

Where σ is the conductivity distribution and u is the electrostatic potential.

The Electrical Tomography Inverse Problem (ETIP) is the process of approximating the conductivity distribution in the interior of a body from the knowledge of currents injected in the medium and voltages measured at its surface. The result of the ETIP is a conductivity change map corresponding to the volume under inspection.

A series of electrodes is placed at the periphery of the part under inspection (Figure 4-a). Current is injected through a selected set of **two** electrodes (corresponding to the ground and the **Vcc-positive supply voltage**). Voltage measurements are recorded on the rest of the electrodes. The process is organized in a protocol which defines which electrodes are active (current bearing) and which are passive (voltage sensing). The recorded measurements along with the geometry of the component and electrode location are used as input for the inverse calculation of the conductivity change distribution. Certain sets of electrodes for current injection may prove more informative, based on the type, the location and the extent of damage. However, since the damage characteristics are unknown, there is no a-priori knowledge.

ETIP is an ill-posed problem and intrinsically non-linear which means that small variations in the input (voltage measurements) may have large effects on the output (conductivity maps). This nature of inverse ERT problems sets limitations to the capabilities of the technique. It is not within the scope or the capacity of this work to cover all the details regarding ill-posed problems and techniques to address this issue. Nevertheless, interested readers are encouraged to read further in [23, 30]. Here we address issues relevant to the presented application of the ERT technique to exploit CNT networks for damage detection and assessment.

To deal with the ill-posedness of the inverse problem, we employ a post-processing scheme recently proven to perform well in materials of similar nature [22]. The mathematical inversion of the experimental data to calculate the conductivity change map is performed following the Tikhonov regularization (Equation 2) [24]:

$$d\sigma_{Tikhonov} = \min_{\sigma} (\|dV - J(d\sigma)\|^2 + \lambda \|L \cdot d\sigma\|^2) \quad (2)$$

Where: $d\sigma_{(Tikhonov)}$ is the conductivity change vector, dV is the experimentally recorded voltage change between two states, J is the Jacobian mapping the conductivity change to voltage change on the electrodes, λ is the regularization parameter and L is the regularization matrix. Essentially, it is a Least Squares approach augmented by an additional penalty to large solutions. Regularization improves the conditioning of the problem, enabling a numerical solution. The identity matrix was used as L to avoid any bias to the solution, while a heuristic approach was followed to select the value of λ .

For the solution of Equation 2, an FE scheme is employed in the mathematical formulation of the inverse problem [30, 31]. The conductivity is kept constant within each element of the FE mesh, while the voltage was piecewise linear. The process essentially assigns a conductivity change value to each element of the mesh to reach a best fit solution of $J(d\sigma)$ to dV which is governed by the Jacobian of the inverse problem (J). The outcome is an electrical conductivity change map depicting where changes are expected between the two states compared; an increase in the conductivity change map is essentially a region where conductivity is expected to drop.

As a step to further automate the damage assessment and to enhance the localization of the technique, two indices are calculated for each calculated map; the Centre of Interest (CoI) and the respective Region of Interests (RoI). The former refers to the mathematical areal weighted mean of the conductivity change distribution while the later is the $1-\sigma$ region around the CoI. **Both the CoI and the RoI have the scope to provide a point location of the interest to limit the inspection region and concentrate the interest based on the calculated map. Details on the calculation can be found in [22] and references therein.**

For implementing experimentally the technique, an ERT system was developed. A conceptual illustration of the ERT system and the process as applied in this work is shown in Figure 4-a. The experimental setup used in this work is shown in Figure 4-b. The ERT system consists of a programmable DC source (KEITHLEY 224) and a data acquisition switch board unit with an internal digital multi-meter (AGILENT 34970A). Dedicated routines control the switching within the cards of the data acquisition unit to deliver the current at the desired electrodes and take the voltage measurements according to a specified protocol.

The opposite current injection protocol [30] for collecting the voltages is used. The protocol runs as follows. Current is injected between the first pair of electrodes (electrodes 1 & electrode 11: current pattern 1-11). A sub-set of voltage measurements is taken for all the electrodes with reference to the

1
2
3
4 ground (V@1,2,3,...,20). Then, the next current pattern is applied at the next opposite electrode pair
5 (current pattern 2-12). Again the system is allowed to settle and the respective sub-set of voltage
6 measurements (V@1,...,20) is recorded. This loop is continued for current patterns up to 10-20 where the
7 process is ended. A total of 10 current patterns is used. For each current pattern 20 measurements are
8 available. This results in signature with a global set of 200 measurements.
9

10
11
12
13 For assessing each damage, a signature set is taken prior to damage as reference and another
14 signature set is recorded after the damage is introduced. Their difference is the input (dV) for Equation 2.
15
16
17

18 19 **4. Results and Discussions**

20 21 *4.1. Properties of the composite and observation of the percolated CNT network*

22
23 Figure 5 shows a series of SEM pictures at different magnifications. A large number of images was
24 captured at random locations of the composite to verify the homogeneous dispersion of CNT. In Figure 5-
25 a, a macroscopic image of the fracture surface of the composite is seen where the imprints of detached
26 fibers are evident. The fractured surface of the resin reveals the internal distribution of the CNT. A close
27 view of the fracture surface and the fiber-matrix interface is seen In Figure 5-b, c. Individual and
28 clustered CNT are evidently spread in the matrix and are identifiable by their white color in contrast to
29 the darker area of the pure resin. Agglomerated CNT are indicated by ellipses. A better dispersion is
30 evident in Figure 5-c, where a whole region of the resin is covered by randomly dispersed CNT. CNT are
31 present in the inter-fiber region indicating a good infiltration within the layers of glass fibers. Figure 5-d
32 shows a close up of an agglomerate broken at the surface and CNT protruding from the matrix in a
33 random fashion. The SEM micrographs indicate a high degree of dispersion of the CNT despite the fact
34 that agglomerates are present. This observation in turn gives rise to the presence of a percolated CNT
35 network throughout the composite.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 To further verify the percolation of CNT network within the composite, the electrical
49 conductivity of the material in the X, Y and Z directions was experimentally derived. The obtained values
50 were: $\sigma_x = 6.02 \pm 0.44 \cdot 10^{-3}$ S/m, $\sigma_y = 6.61 \pm 0.48 \cdot 10^{-3}$ S/m and $\sigma_z = 1.54 \pm 0.53 \cdot 10^{-4}$ S/m. It is seen that the
51 conductivity in the X-Y plane is essentially the same while the value for the Z direction is relatively
52 lower. The difference is not considered significant as all conductivity values achieved fall at the same
53 range as in the works of [3, 8, 32]. Achieving a perfectly dispersed system of CNT is extremely difficult
54 and the intermediate processing steps (layup, curing etc.) play their role in not supporting this.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Nevertheless matter-of-factly, this may not be an issue as the achieved 3D network of CNT provides
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Nevertheless matter-of-factly, this may not be an issue as the achieved 3D network of CNT provides
conductive pathways adequate for sensing load, strain and damage [3, 8].

4.2. Sensing Baseline Damage and Cracks

A very small current ($I = 10^{-5}A$) was required to reach a signal-to-noise ratio over 50dB for the voltage
measurements. The selection of the current was done based on practical aspects of the setup and the
composite such as the resistance of the sample (in the range of $k\Omega$), the maximum voltage of the power
supply and a readable voltage level on the peripheral electrodes. This current can produce significant and
measurable voltages to enable the detection of changes and, as will be shown later, it was sufficient to
distinguish changes between the reference and the damaged state. In previous studies on CFRP the
required current was 0.1A [22], an observation that reflects the role of material conductivity in the
application of ERT.

The first damage case was used for the baseline evaluation of the approach. A through thickness
hole was drilled at $(X_{hole}, Y_{hole}) = (38.0, 23.4)mm$. The damaged specimen is seen in Figure 6-a. Using the
recorded values, a conductivity change map is calculated and shown in Figure 6-b. The map shows a
smooth baseline in the largest portion of the inspected area. According to the inverse calculation,
conductivity change is expected at the central bottom region of the part; close to electrodes 13 and 14. A
dipole-like field is predicted close to electrode 13, having similarities to the observations by Proper et al
[17], where a distributed mesh of sensors was used. No other region presents specific peaks or other
interesting features for further evaluation. From the automated process, the CoI was calculated to be at
 $(X_{CoI-hole}, Y_{CoI-hole}) = (45.9, 30.7)mm$. The respective RoI represents a 16.9% of the total inspected area.
Evidently, the hole falls within the identified RoI. Considering the distance between the real location, the
estimated CoI and the size of the inspected area, the localization error is nearly 10% for exactly locating
the point of damage. Given this, it can be said that the proposed synergistic NDE approach performs
satisfactorily capturing the damage with marginal error.

The second damage mode that was assessed was an oblong notch. The notch was created having
a -30° angle to the horizontal. The centre of the notch was at $(X_{notch}, Y_{notch}) = (63.0, 70.5)mm$. The
thickness of the notch was 2mm while the length of the notch was 16mm. The damaged specimen is seen
in Figure 7-a. The inserted photograph shows the zoomed region of the notch. The conductivity change
map calculated for this damage mode is shown in Figure 7-b. The map is smooth throughout the central
region of the inspected area, indicating no changes. An extended region of conductivity change is
predicted at the central top region of the part close to electrodes 3 and 4. The CoI for the case of the notch

1
2
3
4 is located at $(X_{\text{COI-notch}}, Y_{\text{COI-notch}}) = (57.2, 60.0)$ mm. The RoI represents 22.1% of the total inspected area.
5
6 Despite the fact that a clear oblong shape change that would directly reflect the notch is not revealed, the
7
8 notch falls within the identified RoI and close to the CoI with a localization error of nearly 12%.
9

10 As seen from the reconstructed maps, the solution suffers limited resolution away from the
11 electrodes. This is well known in ERT and is due to the ill-posed nature of the problem and the
12 exponential decay of the electric field. In the studied case, this is expressed by low resolution and limited
13 diffusion of the solution in the central region of the part.
14
15

16
17 To increase the sensitivity in the central region of such parts, (a) the optimization of the injection
18 pattern for given expected damage mode and (b) increasing the number of peripheral electrodes with
19 respect to the dimensions of the inspected part could be considered.
20
21

22
23 On the one hand, current injection strategies could be alternatively selected so as to deliver
24 higher current densities close to the damaged region and thus improve the sensitivity of the technique
25 [33].
26
27

28
29 On the other hand, increasing the number of electrodes on the periphery of the part may be
30 useful as a means to increase the available information of the developed potential field. This is seen in the
31 study by Hou et al [15] where a series of 32 electrodes was used for films of much smaller dimensions
32 (~25mm). The results provided are impressively more informative and straightforward for evaluation. It is
33 believed that it is the relation of size and number of electrodes that provides this enhanced sensitivity.
34 Having more data as input can provide better indications, even though there is a certain limit after which
35 more data does not necessarily mean better maps. It is the independent data that is needed to increase the
36 reconstruction resolution.
37
38

39
40 Alternative approaches have been employed by Angelidis et al. [12], Proper et al. [17],
41 Naghashpour et al. [34], Viets et al. [20] who did not constrain the placement of the electrodes only at the
42 edges of the part. Grids of point electrodes on the surfaces of the part or strips extending over the whole
43 length of the part were used as electrodes. The results indicated a very high sensitivity of the approaches,
44 without considering the integration penalty of these methods (e.g. scale-up, invasiveness, cabling).
45 Taking into consideration the scaling perspective of all the approaches and the requirements of structural
46 cases for low mass and minimal invasion, another optimization problem is formulated where the number
47 of electrodes in a given allowable region needs to be minimized over a sensible and informative
48 inspection map. Thus the trade-off between the sensitivity and the electrodes needs to be considered for
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 each case studied. It is believed that the presented ERT scheme complements the works in literature and
5 delivers highly informative maps at a minimal integration penalty.
6

7 8 *4.3. Barely Visible Impact Damage*

9
10 BVID is commonly expressed as interlaminar damage which has not propagated to the outer layers of the
11 composite and thus is not distinguishable to the naked eye. NDE techniques are suitable to assess such
12 damage. Indentation is employed here to simulate BVID. Figure 8-a shows a snapshot of the indentation
13 experiment. The indentation point was at an off-centre position $(X_{\text{BVID}}, Y_{\text{BVID}}) = (55.0, 27.5)\text{mm}$. The
14 corresponding force-displacement curve recorded is shown in Figure 8-b. The experiment was terminated
15 when a drop of the load was recorded which indicated the loss of load bearing capability. The specimen
16 after the indentation is shown in Figure 8-c. The visual inspection revealed a permanent imprint on the
17 indentation side and the debonding of some fiber bundles on the back of the specimen. The corresponding
18 C-scan inspection map is shown in Figure 8-d. According to the benchmark technique, a concentrated
19 change in thickness at the indentation point is revealed as a darker circular region, corresponding to the
20 imprint of the indenter. The local debonding of the bundles just below the indentation point is revealed as
21 dark lines extending in the Y-axis up till after the middle of the specimen. The C-scan shows that the
22 delamination has not propagated in the XY plane. The rest of the part remained intact.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 The debonded bundles are impregnated with the resin and thus carry CNT. The fact that the
35 bundles have been detached means that the conductive network at the region has been disrupted and the
36 CNT network around the fibers has been disconnected. Locally, resistance will be larger as the cross-
37 section decreases and this is expected to be reflected on the electrical measurements close to the
38 neighboring electrodes.
39
40
41
42
43

44 The experimental voltage recordings before and after the indentation were used to calculate the
45 conductivity change map which is shown in Figure 8-e. The main interesting section of the conductivity
46 change map is at the central bottom region of the part. An oblong strip of conductivity change is predicted
47 between electrodes 12 and 13 extending up to 1/3 of the plate's Y-dimension. This region corresponds
48 precisely to the real location of the imprint and the fiber bundle debonding. The rest of the map is
49 relatively smooth throughout the central region of the inspected area and some minor pair-wise changes
50 are predicted at the periphery of the part (top and left side).
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 The CoI for the case of the notch is located at $(X_{\text{CoI-BVID}}, Y_{\text{CoI-BVID}}) = (51.3, 47.6)\text{mm}$. The RoI
58 represents a 25.1% of the total inspected area. Due to the extended dimensions of the real damage, the
59 damage localization error in this case is relatively larger (nearly 18%) than in the other cases.
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Nevertheless, the largest part of the damaged region, including the indentation point, falls within the
5 identified RoI. Furthermore, the conductivity change region identified correlates with the imprint and the
6 debonding area.
7
8
9

10 11 **5. Conclusions**

12
13 The formation of CNT networks within the matrix of an insulating composite has been shown to offer a
14 new functionality to the structural material, i.e. electrical, which is leveraged for NDE. The major
15 conclusions of the work are summarized here:
16
17

- 18 (1) Polymer processing and mixing techniques compatible with widely used composite manufacturing
19 techniques are employed to develop the CNT network within the matrix of the composite.
20
- 21 (2) The electrical conductivity of the composite system occurring due to the CNT network has been
22 measured and exhibits isotropic in-plane conductivity which is higher than the out-of-plane
23 conductivity of the composite.
24
- 25 (3) An approach based on ERT has been proposed and studied to exploit the created CNT networks
26 within the matrix of the composites for NDE. A structured ERT methodology is presented and
27 applied on CNT reinforced GFRP. Parameters for further adaption and customization of the
28 methodology by interested researchers are also discussed.
29
- 30 (4) Electric potential methods for sensing damage in CNT networks have proven to be sensitive to very
31 small changes in the network, even as small as 0.1% of the total inspected area. It is possible to
32 detect different types of damage that disrupt the structure of the CNT network and are relevant to
33 composites. The changes induces in the field by the damage are measureable at the edges of the
34 composite and convey sufficient information for solving the ETIP.
35
- 36 (5) It is possible to calculate meaningful ETIP solutions based on the proposed formulation. The
37 calculated electrical conductivity change maps of the structure convey meaningful information and
38 can serve well as inspection maps to direct to the location of the damage as they capture the changes
39 and directly correspond to the composite part under inspection.
40
- 41 (6) The calculated features (i.e. CoI and RoI) performed well in indicating the position of the real
42 damage and successfully predicted the region of it. The inspection area in all the cases was
43 suppressed, reaching up to 83% decrease, which can be translated to fast inspection cycles.
44

45
46 In general, very low currents were sufficient to invoke detectable voltage changes at the boundaries of the
47 CNT network within the laminate. The power requirement for this technique is much less than 1W and
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 currents are very small to involve any risk. Alternative ERT strategies (e.g. injection patterns) are feasible
5
6 and the methodology is customizable to the respective application (e.g. different geometries). The fact
7
8 that the calculation scheme is fully compatible with FE gives potential for integration of the technique in
9
10 more complex systems. Developing cross-property relations for such materials (e.g. strength relation to
11
12 electrical conductivity) could enable translation of conductivity change maps to strength degradation and
13
14 lead to structural failure prognosis within FEA formulations.

17 **Acknowledgements**


18
19 Part of this work has been funded by the European Commission EU-FP7-AAT project IAPETUS (Grant
20
21 Agreement Number: ACP8-GA-2009-234333). Athanasios Baltopoulos would like to acknowledge the
22
23 support from the Greek State Scholarship Foundation (IKY), the Greek General Secretariat of Research
24
25 and Technology and the European Space Agency – Greek Task Force through the ESA Greek Trainee
26
27 Program. The authors would like to thank Michele Muschitiello and Andrew Norman of ESA/ESTEC for
28
29 their support and ideas on the development of ERT and the experimental setup, respectively.

32 **References**

- 34
35 [1] Qiu J, Zhang C, Wang B, Liang R. Carbon nanotube integrated multifunctional multiscale
36
37 composites. *Nanotechnology* 2007;18:275708.
- 38 [2] Youhong Tang, Lin Ye, Zhong Zhang, Klaus Friedrich. Interlaminar fracture toughness and CAI
39
40 strength of fibre-reinforced composites with nanoparticles – A review. *Composites Science and
41
42 Technology* 86 (2013) 26–37
- 43 [3] F.H. Gojny, M.H.G. Wichmann, B. Fiedler, W. Bauhofer, K. Schulte, Influence of nano-modification
44
45 on the mechanical and electrical properties of conventional fibre-reinforced composites. *Composites
46
47 A* 36 (2005) pp.1525-1535.
- 48 [4] Baltopoulos A, Athanasopoulos N, Fotiou I, Vavouliotis A, Kostopoulos V. Sensing strain and
49
50 damage in polyurethane/MWCNT nano-composite foams using electrical measurements. *eXPRESS
51
52 Polymer Letters* 2013;7(1):40–54.
- 53 [5] Thostenson E, Chou T-W. Carbon Nanotube Networks: Sensing of Distributed Strain and Damage
54
55 for Life Prediction and Self Healing. *Adv. Mater.* 2006;18:2837–2841.
- 56 [6] Sotiriadis G, Tsotra P, Paipetis A, Kostopoulos V. Stiffness degradation monitoring of carbon
57
58 nanotube doped glass/vinylester composites via resistance measurements. *J Nanostructured Polymers
59
60 and Nanocomposites* 2007;3(03):90-95
- 61 [7] Gao L, Chou T-W, Thostenson E, Zhang Z, Coulaud M. In situ sensing of impact damage in
62
63 epoxy/glass fiber composites using percolating carbon nanotube networks. *Carbon* 2011;49:3371–
64
65 3391.
- [8] Nofar M., Hoa S.V, Pugh M.D. Failure detection and monitoring in polymer matrix composites
subjected to static and dynamic loads using carbon nanotube networks. *Comp Sci Technol*
2009;69:1599–1606.
- [9] Vavouliotis, A., Paipetis, A., Kostopoulos, V. On the fatigue life prediction of CFRP laminates using
the Electrical Resistance Change method. *Comp Sci Technol* 2011;71(5):630-642.
- [10] Li C, Chou T-W. Modeling of damage sensing in fiber composites using carbon nanotube networks.
Comp Sci Technol 2008;68:3373–3379.

- 1
2
3
4 [11] Schueler R, Joshi SP, Schulte K. Damage detection in CFRP by electrical conductivity mapping. *Compos Sci Technol* 2001;61:921–30.
- 5
6 [12] Angelidis N, Irving P.E. Detection of impact damage in CFRP laminates by means of electrical
7 potential techniques. *Comp Sci Technol* 2007;67:594–604.
- 8
9 [13] Takahashi K, Hahn T. Towards practical application of electrical resistance change measurement for
10 damage monitoring using an addressable conducting network. *Structural Health Monitoring*
11 2012;11(3):367–377.
- 12
13 [14] Wang D, Wang S, Chung D.D.L, Chung J. Sensitivity of the two-dimensional electric
14 potential/resistance method for damage monitoring in carbon fiber polymer-matrix composite. *J*
15 *Mater Sci* 2006; 41:4839–4846.
- 16
17 [15] Hou T-C, Loh KJ, Lynch JP. Spatial conductivity mapping of carbon nanotube composite thin films
18 by electrical impedance tomography for sensing applications. *Nanotechnology* 2007;18:315501.
- 19
20 [16] Ye L, Zhang D, Wang D. Interlaminar fracture and impact damage assessment by electrical
21 resistivity tomography in GFRP laminates with conductive nanoparticles. *Proceedings of the 15th*
22 *European Conference on Composite Materials, Venice, Italy, 24-28 June 2012.*
- 23
24 [17] Proper A, Zhang W, Bartolucci S, Oberai A, Koratkar N. In-Situ Detection of Impact Damage in
25 Composites Using Carbon Nanotube Sensor Networks. *Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Letters*
26 2009;1: 3–7.
- 27
28 [18] Loyola B. R. et al., “Detection of Spatially Distributed Damage in Fiber-Reinforced Polymer
29 Composites”, 2013, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 12, pp. 225-239, doi: 10.1177/1475921713479642
- 30
31 [19] Guzman de Villoria R, Yamamoto N, Miravete A, Wardle B. Multi-physics damage sensing in nano-
32 engineered structural composites. *Nanotechnology* 2011;22:185502.
- 33
34 [20] Christian Viets, Simon Kaysser, Karl Schulte. Damage mapping of GFRP via electrical resistance
35 measurements using nanocomposite epoxy matrix systems. *Composites Part B: Engineering Volume*
36 65, October 2014, Pages 80–88
- 37
38 [21] Tyler N Tallman, Sila Gungor, K W Wang, Charles E Bakis, Damage detection via electrical
39 impedance tomography in glass fiber/epoxy laminates with carbon black filler. published online
40 before print October 16, 2014, doi: 10.1177/1475921714554142
- 41
42 [22] Baltopoulos A, Polydorides N, Pambaguan L, Vavouliotis A, Kostopoulos V. Damage identification
43 in CFRP plates using electrical resistance tomography mapping. *J Comp Mater*, DOI:
44 10.1177/0021998312464079.
- 45
46 [23] Lionheart W, Polydorides N, Borsic A. The reconstruction problem, in “Electrical Impedance
47 Tomography: Methods, History and Applications”, edited by D. Holder, IOP Publishing, Bristol,
48 2005:3-64.
- 49
50 [24] Vauhkonen M, Vadasz D, Karjalainen P, Somersalo E, Kaipio J. Tikhonov Regularization and Prior
51 Information in Electrical Impedance Tomography. *IEEE Trans Med Imag* 1998;17(2):285-293.
- 52
53 [25] A. Baltopoulos, N. Polydorides, A. Vavouliotis, V. Kostopoulos, L. Pambaguan. Sensing
54 capabilities of multifunctional composite materials using carbon Nanotubes. 61st International
55 Astronautical Congress, IAC 2010, Prague, Czech Republic, 11004-11012.
- 56
57 [26] Baltopoulos A., Polydorides N., Pambaguan L., Vavouliotis A., Kostopoulos V. Electrical
58 tomography as a tool for non-destructive assessment of composite structures, in “Emerging
59 Technologies in Non-Destructive Testing V”, Edited by Paipetis A., Van Hemelrijck D., Aggelis D.
60 and Matikas T. Taylor & Francis Group, London, pp. 389- 394. (2012).
- 61
62 [27] Lazarovitch R, Rittel D, Bucher I. Experimental crack identification using electrical impedance
63 tomography. *NDT&E International* 2002;35:301-316.
- 64
65 [28] Vavouliotis A, Fiamegou E, Karapappas P, Psarras GC, Kostopoulos V. DC and AC conductivity in
epoxy resin/multiwall carbon nanotubes percolative system. *Polymer Composites* 2010;31:1874–
1880.
- [29] N. Athanasopoulos, V. Kostopoulos. Prediction and experimental validation of the electrical
conductivity of dry carbon fiber unidirectional layers. *Composites: Part B* 42 (2011) 1578–1587
- [30] Karhunen K, Seppänen A, Lehtikoinen A, Monteiro P, Kaipio J. Electrical Resistance Tomography
imaging of concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research* 2010;40:137–145.

- 1
2
3
4 [31] Polydorides N, Lionheart W. A Matlab toolkit for three-dimensional electrical impedance tomography: a contribution to the Electrical Impedance and Diffuse Optical Reconstruction Software project. *Meas Sci Technol* 2002;13:1871–1883.
5
6
7 [32] Zhang W, Sakalkar V, Koratkar N. In situ health monitoring and repair in composites using carbon nanotube additives. *Appl Phys Lett* 2007;91(13):1–3.
8
9
10 [33] Kaupinnen P, Hyttinen J and Malmivuo J. Sensitivity distribution visualizations of impedance tomography measurement strategies. *Int J Bioelectromagn* 2006; 8(1): VII/1–9.
11
12 [34] Ali Naghashpour, Suong Van Hoa, “A technique for real-time detecting, locating, and quantifying damage in large polymer composite structures made of carbon fibers and carbon nanotube networks”, *Structural Health Monitoring*, IN PRESS, doi: 10.1177/1475921714546063
13
14
15



16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 **Figure 1.** CNT network exploitation and Principle of ERT technique; (a), (b) undamaged material, (c), (d)
41 damaged material. (Red: High voltage, Yellow: Medium voltage, Blue: Low voltage).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure 2. Preparation steps for producing a CNT reinforced polymer and GFRP plates with integrated CNT network.




Figure 3. Investigated damage modes for composites: (a) drilled through-hole, (b) through-thickness notch, (c) Quasi-Static Indentation setup employed, (d) QSI induced interlaminar damage (in-plane).




Figure 4 – Electrical Resistance Tomography System: (a) Conceptual diagram of the part, the ERT system and the processing unit, (b) Developed ERT setup and tested specimen.




Figure 5. CNT network inspection using SEM micrographs at different magnifications: (a) Overview of CNT-GFRP fracture surface, (b), (c) Dispersion of CNT in the interfiber region, (d) Close-up of broken CNT agglomerate and free standing CNT. (scale-bar: (a)10µm, (b) 1µm, (c) 2µm, (d) 200nm)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure 6. Through-hole damage: (a) Damaged specimen, (b) Reconstructed conductivity change map, where red indicates decrease in conductivity; Circle (o) indicates the real damage location, Cross (+) indicates the Centre of Interest, Dashed Ellipse indicates the Region of Interest.




Figure 7. Oblong notch: (a) Damaged specimen, (b) Reconstructed conductivity change map, where red indicates decrease in conductivity; Line indicates the real damage location, Cross (+) indicates the Centre of Interest, Dashed Ellipse indicates the Region of Interest.




Figure 8. Interlaminar damage: (a) snapshot of the indentation experiment, (b) Force displacement diagram recorded for the CNT-GFRP. (c) Damaged specimen (d) Ultrasonic C-scan map: **differentiation in color indicates different ultrasonic transmission and inhomogeneous structure**, (e) Reconstructed conductivity change map, **where red indicates decrease in conductivity**; Circle (o) and continuous lines indicates the real damage location and extend, Cross (+) indicates the Centre of Interest, Dashed Ellipse indicates the Region of Interest.