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## pədeysios-unsueh <br> 1998

Arne Kruse
Hamsun and Britain Many of the posters advertising this Hamsun seminar in Edinburgh were systematically defaced with the words 'He was a Nazi!' That reputation is what takes precedence over almost everything else known about Hamsun. If the British know anything at all about the Second World War in Norway, they are likely to know one or perhaps two names: not,

 two most prominent collaborators with the German occupiers. Vidkun Quisling, whose surname has entered the English


 Norway is that of Knut Hamsun, the famous author who provided the Germans with an intellectual alibi for the occupation of his own country.

There can be no doubt that many people are alienated from Hamsun's works by his Nazi sympathies - in Norway as in the rest of the world. Nevertheless, in central and eastern Europe
 Nazi sympathiser. That is not the case in Great Britain. The
and August Strindberg to name but two. It was where many Scandinavian artists achieved their international breakthrough
 Henrik Ibsen, for instance, lived in Germany for many years, wrote some of his best known plays there, and felt at home. And, in the wake of Henrik Ibsen and Bjørnstjerne Bjørnson, there was a particular interest in Scandinavian art there something that Hamsun could clearly gain advantage from. Great Britain has never played anything like the role Germany has played in Scandinavian intellectual life, as a cultural market, as a refuge and as an intermediary. Nevertheless, in the political sphere, Britain has always been close to the Scandinavians - this has been particularly true of the Norwegians, and never more so than in the present century. рןо Wars lay fairly unanimously on the British side, but even during the First World War Hamsun marked out his position on the wrong side by sympathising with the Germans.
 would adhere to for the rest of his life. He thought that
 demands to be allowed to develop - as is always the way with youth, even if it is at the expense of its elders, who will suffer
 Great Britain represents the decrepit old man who stands in the way of the exuberant development of youth.

Knut Hamsun's anti-democratic stance is much older than
remarkable lack of interest in his work in this country cannot
 political leanings. In his contribution to the conference Peter Graves shows that there are much older, deeper and specifically British cultural reasons for it. Nor can we use Hamsun's failure to break through in Britain to explain his own expressly anti-British views. Vanity is, of course, a human weakness and even an otherwise invulnerable intellectual warrior like Hamsun cannot have been unaffected by the fact that his books were both praised and sold very well in Germany whereas they were coldly ignored in Great Britain. That, no doubt, reinforced his anglophobia but its roots lie


 is in itself the motivation for his support for the Nazis but such an explanation is far too simple. His loyalty towards Germany does not only rest on the negative basis of loyalty towards the enemy of England and one can well imagine it having existed quite independently of his view of England.

One reason is that Hamsun, as an admirer of Bjørnstjerne
 Germanism but of equal importance for Hamsun was the fact
 Scandinavia and the continent. Towards the end of the 19th
 home for many young Scandinavian artists, Edvard Munch
newspapers throughout the whole of German-occupied Europe: 'England to her knees!' The speech, which paradoxically enough was delivered in English since Hamsun never mastered German, began with his personal feelings about England:
 jnq $\mu$ red $K$ ur uo simply as my point of view. I happened to travel abroad


 very strange indeed that people preferred Englishmen to

 IIred Кur 30 'әрпп! have never come across less lovable people than the English - so self-centred, so arrogant, so exclusive.








Is it possible to explain this? Is it possible to understand it?
This, incidentally, is not the only time he despairs at his fellow-countrymen's sympathy for the British.

Nazism as a political ideology but, when Nazism takes form
 forewaming as early as his first book On the Cultural Life of Modern America (Fra det moderne Amerikas Aandsliv, 1889) - coincide with the reactionary attitude of the Nazis towards modern industrial capitalism. And the Nazis' emphasis on youth and the natural right of the life-force to grow and develop struck a chord with Hamsun.

 to see what they want to see and to shut their eyes to what they do not want to see. Hamsun chose not to see the Nazis'
 differently, but he was fond of imagining that England had

 Hamsun, it was the Englishman not the Jew who was the real scapegoat of history.
 1943 revolves completely around England and the negative
 his enormous frustration that Englishmen are so well-liked





existence, someone who stands outside all groupings and
communities of opinion, someone who takes up a position on the periphery of what are generally considered to be the important questions in society and who stubbornly goes his own way. There were a certain number of things that Hamsun considered to be important and he was utterly consistent about them in his novels, essays and letters: among them was the relationship between Germany and England. The United States was another nation that concerned him, naturally enough since he actually knew that country from his own experience. What Hamsun writes about the USA is certainly critical but, unlike his criticism of England, it is criticism based to some extent on fact - in contrast to the fundamentally irrational hatred he shows for England. Hamsun only visited

 transatlantic liner. He did not know any British people

 newspapers. And when one knows little or nothing about a nation it is all the easier to ascribe to that nation all the the
 of the world one wants to see.




 ч!!̣ dịs logical-empirical thought. It is in the nature of literature, not least in the nature of romantic literature, that the author must

 fancy was, in fact, nothing less than Hamsun's literary programme and he made great play with proclaiming it in the pue Kemion punore paliənest əH 068I punose sieว
 for 'the tender fantasy life held under a magnifying glass, these wanderings of thought and feeling out into the blue yonder, unmeasured and invisible journeys with the mind and heart, the strange activities of the nerves, the whisper of the blood, the prayers of the bones, the whole unconscious life of the soul.'

His ethic as an artist laid the foundations of a literature which pointed in new directions. But the idiosyncracies were also an integral part of Hamsun as an individual and as a writer, a part of his way of thinking and his way of being they were, indeed, a matter of honour.

Hamsun worships some of his own prejudices with manic pride, as inseparable parts of his world of thought. They appear in the literature he creates and they are expressed in

 Nagel in Mysteries (Mysterier, 1892), one of the outsiders of
 that because Hamsun always equates England and Great
 than about England.

The aim of the seminar was to bring Hamsun to an English
 under a new name. It was not our intention to excuse his
 unsound mind or by saying that we must forget the insufferable political Hamsun and remember instead Harmsun
 emphasise the absolute consistency in Hamsun's views from

 figure and as a literary figure. In that way we can see and analyse Knut Hamsun - since he lived such a long life - as a
 new century, the intellectual baggage which in its utter consistency made Hamsun one of the very few intellectual defenders of Adolf Hitler. We hope that this seminar, by throwing light on as many aspects as possible of that very complex human being Knut Hamsun, will demonstrate the interesting part played by Hamsun in the intellectual life of Europe. We also hope that it might help to open British eyes to the works of an unbelievably exciting author.

