

*Original Research***Association of body surface area and body composition with heart structural characteristics of female swimmers**

BAHAREH SHEIKHSARAF†<sup>1</sup>, NIKBAKHT HOJAT ALLAH‡<sup>2</sup>, and  
AZARBAYJANI MOHAMMAD ALI‡<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IA University, Najafabad Branch, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>2</sup>IA University, Science and Research Branch, Faculty of Physical Education, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>IA University, Central Tehran Branch, Faculty of Physical Education, Exercise Physiology Department, Tehran, Iran

‡Denotes professional author †Denotes graduate student author

**ABSTRACT**

*Int J Exerc Sci* 3(3): 97-104, 2010. In healthy nonathletic populations, some left ventricle (LV) parameters such as LV mass (LVM) and LV end diastolic dimension (LVEDD) can be predicted by some of body size parameters such as body surface area (BSA), fat-free mass (FFM), and height (H). These body size parameters use to remove covariate influence of body size from cardiac dimension variables and allow comparisons to be made between individuals and groups of different body size. Endurance exercise has been associated with changes in LV size and body composition of athletes. The aim of this study was to evaluate in 30 trained female swimmers (12-17 y) possible correlations between BSA, FFM, fat mass (FM) determined by bioelectrical impedance analyzer (BIA); and a range of cardiac dimensions derived by echocardiography. Univariate correlations were studied between left ventricular and body size variables. Stepwise multiple linear regression was performed to determine the best determinants of LV variables. LVM and LVEDD had a significant relation with FFM ( $P < 0.001$ ) and BSA ( $p < 0.05$ ). Left ventricle posterior wall thickness (PWT) had a significant relation with BSA ( $p < 0.001$ ) and FFM ( $p < 0.005$ ). No relation was found between heart's structural variable (LVM, LVEDD, LVESD and PWT) and FM. Using a multivariate regression analysis, FFM was the only independent predictor of both LVM ( $R^2 = 0.541$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and LVEDD ( $R^2 = 0.189$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). These results suggest that Correction of LVM and LVEDD by FFM in athletes may be more appropriate than other measures of body size for indexing absolute amounts of LV dimension. Yet further researches in other athletes are required to confirm these findings.

**KEY WORDS:** Indexation, LVM, LVEDD, fat-free mass, athlete

**INTRODUCTION**

Left ventricle (LV) size and mass (LVM) are related to body size and body composition (6, 26, 38, 44). It is vital to determine the best parameter for indexing absolute amounts of LV dimensions. This normalization will help to establish normal reference standards and correct inter-and

intra-group comparisons (2, 4). It can also use for detecting the presence of pathologic left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) (21, 23). For this purpose, in some populations LV size and LVM are often divided by body surface area (BSA) (21, 23). However, this over estimates LVH in lean subjects while underestimates LVH in obese individuals (42).

## BODY SURFACE AREA, COMPOSITION AND THE HEART

Height and height raised to various powers are recommended in place of BSA (8, 9). However, recent studies have demonstrated a close relationship between LVM and fat-free mass (FFM) in nonathletic populations (26, 44). The possibility of such a relation in athletic population because of differences in their body size and composition, and LV structure in comparison with nonathletic healthy individuals is under investigation. Whalley et al (36) have shown that FFM is the best predictor of LVM and left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD) in both young and old male endurance athletes (42). George et al (2001) have found significant relationship between body surface area (BSA) and LVM in different athletes (20). May be this relation differs among different sport groups.

Swimming has special characteristics in comparison with other sports. Body condition and its motions are distinct in water and out of water. Such distinctions might have special effects on LV structure and body composition. With respect to these distinctions and their correlations, there is little information especially on female athletes. Also the majority of studies have focused on the LVM, with less attention paid to LV internal dimensions such as EDD, EDV and PWT. Therefore we have investigated the relationship of LV structure and body composition in exercised female swimmers.

### METHODS

#### *Subjects*

Female swimmers (n=30, 12-17 y) who had competed in national competitions for at least 4 y participated to this investigation. All athletes and their parents signed informed consent form documents where study procedures were explained, agreeing to volunteer to the study and the use of

data for scientific publication. All subjects completed a medical history questionnaire and were healthy. Measurements were made before the national competition in hopes that the swimmers were at their best physiological conditions.

#### *Body Composition Measurement*

Body composition was determined with a Bioelectrical Impedance Analyzer (BIA). It has been shown to yield precise measurements of FFM and FM (21, 25, 27, 30, 31, 39). Measurements were made in the morning or evening. Subjects were asked to drink plenty of water, avoid alcohol consumption, and avoid exercise training prior to being measured. Body surface area (BSA) was estimated through applying height and weight using the equation of Dubois and Dubois (17).

#### *Heart structural measurement*

Subjects rested in a supine position for 5-min before the echocardiographic evaluation. A heart specialist performed all examinations using a Vingmed echocardiograph equipped with monitor and transducer. Parasternal long and short axis views of the left ventricle (LV) were imaged with the subject lying on left side. All measurements were recorded as the average value derived from a minimum of three successive cardiac cycles in accordance with ASE recommendations. LVM was estimated applying LVED structural measures using the previously validated formula of Devereux (13, 14).

#### *Statistical Analysis*

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11 software (Chicago, IL). Prior to formal statistical testing frequency distributions were tested for normality using the Kolmogorof-Smirnov test. Univariate correlation coefficient (Pearson) was used to examine the relationship

## BODY SURFACE AREA, COMPOSITION AND THE HEART

between LV structure and body composition. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to determine the independent predictors of LV structure.  $P < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Subjects' characteristics, body size variables, and echocardiography measurements are represented in Table 1.

Table 1: Subjects' Characteristics and Echocardiograph Measurements (n=30)

Variable	Mean $\pm$ SD
Age (y)	14.5 $\pm$ 1.7
Height (m)	1.62 $\pm$ 0.04
Weight (kg)	58.24 $\pm$ 6.10
Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1.61 $\pm$ 0.09
Fat mass (kg)	13.68 $\pm$ 3.59
Fat-Free mass (kg)	44.50 $\pm$ 3.97
Left Ventricle End - diastolic Dimension (mm)	42.1 $\pm$ 2.7
Left Ventricle End - systolic Dimension (mm)	29.23 $\pm$ 1.96
Left Ventricle Mass (g)	157.12 $\pm$ 18.79
Posterior Wall Thickness (mm)	11.1 $\pm$ 0.94

Values represent mean  $\pm$  SD

LVM had a significant relation with BSA and FFM ( $r = 0.69$ ,  $r = 0.74$ ,  $P < 0.001$  respectively). LVEDD had also a significant relation with BSA and FFM ( $r = 0.40$ ,  $r = 0.44$ ,  $P < 0.05$  respectively). Using multivariate regression analysis, the only independent predictor of LVM and LVEDD was FFM ( $R^2 = 0.547$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $R^2 = 0.189$ ,  $P < 0.05$  respectively). For PWT the variable predictor was BSA ( $R^2 = 0.301$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Other relations are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Univariate correlation of body size and composition with left ventricular structure

Variable	Left Vermicular End-diastolic Dimension	Left Vermicular End-systolic Dimension	Left Ventricular Mass	Posterior Wall Thickness
Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.40^*$ $P = 0.027$	0.34 0.004	0.69* <0.001	0.60* <0.001
Fat mass (kg)	0.10 0.603	0.052 0.785	0.25 0.191	0.247 0.188
Fat-free mass (kg)	0.44* 0.016	0.337 0.068	0.74* <0.001	0.54* 0.002

Values represent  $R^2$  and P

\* Statistically Significant.

### DISCUSSION

LVM, LVEDD, and PWT were significantly related to body surface area. This finding is consistent with other published research (15, 23, 38, 40, 42). Therefore in clinical studies, body surface area is used to

estimate change in the left ventricle (21, 23); however, others argue that body surface area under estimates changes in heart structure (3, 26, 44). In athletic populations, body composition varies greatly depending on the primary exercise mode.

In this investigation, a significant relation between specific left ventricle dimensions (LVM, LVEDD, and PWT) and fat-free mass was identified. Using multivariate regression analysis fat-free mass was identified as the strongest predictor of LVM and LVEDD. This finding is consistent with what others have reported regarding the relationship between fat-free mass LV dimensions (1, 4, 6, 15, 23, 36, 45). There are two likely scientific explanations for the relationship between fat-free mass and LV dimensions. One, genetic factors and hormones which effect fat-free mass, also effect the heart. Two, fat-free mass is responsible for all metabolic activity in the body, thus, as it demands more blood flow during exercise, the heart is forced to adapt accordingly (7, 10, 11, 12, 21, 28, 29).

In the present study, no significant relationship was found between LV dimensions and fat mass. The published literature in this area is inconsistent, with some investigators reporting a relationship (3, 26, 44), while others do not (6, 21, 22). Differences in obesity status, distribution of body fat, and technique for the measuring body fat and the most likely explanations underlying the inconsistent findings. For example, use of BMI to estimate fat mass does not provide a reliable index (32, 34, 35). Also, LV dimensions and fat mass in obese individuals seem to have a stronger relationship because obesity places excess stress on the heart. Since the present study involved lean individuals, this may explain why we did not find a significant

## BODY SURFACE AREA, COMPOSITION AND THE HEART

relationship between fat mass and LV dimensions.

In conclusion, we the key findings of the present study demonstrate that the quantity of fat-free mass provides the best index of LVM, LVEDD and PWT in athletes. More research is needed confirm these findings.

### REFERENCES

1. Andren B, et al. The influence of body composition and left ventricular mass and other echocardiographic and doppler measurements in 70-year-old males. *Clin Physiol* 15: 425-433, 1995.
2. Batterham AM, George KP, Whyte G, Sharma S and McKenna W. Scaling cardiac structural data by body dimensions: a review of theory, practice, and problems. *International Journal of Sports Medicine* 20: 495-502, 1999.
3. Batterham AM and George KP. Modelling the influence of body size and composition on M-mode echocardiographic dimensions. *Am J Physiol* 274: 701-708, 1998.
4. Batterham AM, George KP and Mullineaux D.R. Allometric scaling of left ventricular mass by body dimensions in males and females. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 29(2): 181-186,1997.
5. Daniels SR, Kimball TR, Morrison JA, et al. Effect of lean body mass, fat mass, blood pressure, and sexual maturation on left ventricular mass in children and adolescents: statistical, biological, and clinical significance. *Circulation* 92: 3249-3254, 1995.
6. Daniels SR, Kimball TR, Morrison JA, Khoury P and Meyer RA. Indexing left ventricular mass to account for differences in body size in children and adolescents without cardiovascular disease. *Am J Cardiol* 76: 699-701, 1995.
7. De Simone G, Devereux RB, Daniels SR, et al. Stroke volume and cardiac output in normotensive children and adults: assessment of relations with body size and impact of overweight. *Circulation* 95: 1837-1843, 1997.
8. De Simone G, Devereux, RB, Roman MJ, Alderman MH and Laragh JH. Relation of obesity and gender to left ventricular hypertrophy in normotensive and hypertensive adults. *Hypertension* 23: 600-606, 1994.
9. De Simone G, Daniels SR, Devereux RB, et al. Left ventricular mass and body size in normotensive children and adults: assessment of allometric relation and impact of overweight. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 20: 1251-1260, 1992.
10. Devereux RB. Impact of diabetes on cardiac structure and function in American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 29: 448A, 1997.
11. Devereux RB. Relations of Doppler stroke distance and its components to left ventricular stroke volume in normotensive and hypertensive American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. *Am J Hypertens* 10: 619-628, 1997.
12. Devereux RB. Detection of left ventricular hypertrophy by M-mode echocardiography: anatomic validation, standardization and comparison to other methods. *Hypertension* 9(suppl II): 19-20, 1987.
13. Devereux RB, Alonso DR, Lutas EM, et al. Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy: comparison to necropsy findings. *Am J Cardiol* 57: 450-458, 1986.
14. Devereux RB, Lutas EM, Casale PN, et al. Standardization of M-mode echocardiographic left ventricular anatomic measurements. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 4: 1222-1230, 1984.
15. DuBois D and DuBois DF. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and weight be known. *Arch Int Med* 17: 863-71, 1916.
16. Gates PE, Gentile CL, Seals DR and Christou DD. Adiposity Contributes to Differences in Left Ventricular Structure and Diastolic Function with Age in Healthy Men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 88(10): 4884-4890, 2003.
17. Gardin JM, Savage DD, Ware J and Henry W. Effect of age, sex and body surface area on echocardiographic left ventricular wall mass in normal subject. *Hypertension* 9 suppl II, 36-9, 1987.
18. George KP, Birch KM, Pennell DJ and Myerson SG. Magnetic-resonance-imaging-derived indices for the normalization of left ventricular

## BODY SURFACE AREA, COMPOSITION AND THE HEART

- morphology by body size. *Magn Reson Imaging*. [Epub ahead of print], 2008.
19. George KP, Sharma S, Batterham A, Whyte G and McKenna W. Allometric analysis of the association between cardiac dimensions and body size variables in 464 junior athletes. *Clin Sci* 100(1): 47-54, 2001.
  20. Gutin B, Owens T, Okuyama S, et al. Effect of physical training and its cessation upon percent fat and bone density of obese children. *Obes Res* 7: 208-214, 1999.
  21. Hammond IW, Devereux RB, Alderman MH and Laragh JH. Relation of blood pressure and body build to left ventricular mass in normotensive and hypertensive employed adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 12: 986-1004, 1988.
  22. Hawes MR. Human body composition. In: *Kinanthropometry and Exercise physiology laboratory manual*, edited by R. Eston and T. Reilly. London, UK: Spon, 5-33, 1996.
  23. Hense HW, Gneiting B, Muscholl M, et al. The associations of body size and body composition with left ventricular mass: impacts for indexation in adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 32(2): 451-7, 1998.
  24. Hoogsteen J, Hoogeveen A, Schaffers H, Wijn PF and van der Wall EE. Left atrial and ventricular dimensions in highly trained cyclists. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 19(3): 211-7, 2003.
  25. Jones EC, Devereux RB, Grady MJ, et al. Hemodynamic volume load stimulates arterial and cardiac enlargement. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 29: 1303-1310, 1997.
  26. Kuch B, Gneiting B, Döring A, Muscholl M, Bröckel U, Schunkert H, Hense HW. Indexation of left ventricular mass in adults with a novel approximation for fat-free mass. *J Hypertens* 19(1): 135-42, 2001.
  27. Kussmaul B, Döring A and Filipiak B. Bioelektrische Impedanzanalyse (BIA) in einer epidemiologischen Studie. *Ernährungs-Umschau* 43: 46-48, 1996.
  28. Lauer MS, Larson MG and Levy D. Gender-specific reference M-mode values in adults: population-derived values with consideration of the impact of height. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 26: 1039-1046, 1995.
  29. Lauer MS, Anderson KM, Larson MG and Levy DA. New method for indexing left ventricular mass for differences in body size. *Am J Cardiol* 1, 74(5): 487-91, 1994.
  30. Lauer MS, Anderson KM, Kannel WB and Levy D. The impact of obesity on left ventricular mass and geometry: The Framingham Heart Study. *JAMA* 266: 231-236, 1991.
  31. Roman MJ. How best to identify prognostically important left ventricular hypertrophy: a cut to the chase. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 29: 648-650, 1997.
  32. Segal KR, Van-loan M, Fitzgerald PI, Hodgdon AJ, Van-Itallie TB. Lean body mass estimation by bioelectrical impedance analysis: a four-site cross validation study. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 47: 7-14, 1988.
  33. Shub C, Klein AL, Zachariah PK, Bailey KR, Tajik AJ. Determination of left ventricular mass by echocardiography in a normal population: effect of age and sex in addition to body size. *Mayo Clin Proc* 69(3): 205-11, 1994.
  34. Stephen R, Kimball TR, Morrison JA, et al. Effect of Lean Body Mass, Fat Mass, Blood Pressure, and Sexual Maturation on Left Ventricular Mass in Children and Adolescents. *Circulation* 92: 3249-54, 1995.
  35. Toraman NF, Erman A and Agyar E. Effects of multicomponent training on functional fitness in older adults. *J Aging Phys Act* 12(4): 538-53, 2004.
  36. Whalley GA, Doughty RN, Gamble GD, et al. Association of fat-free mass and training status with left ventricular size and mass in endurance-trained athletes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 18, 44(4): 892-6, 2004.
  37. Whalley GA, Gamble GD, Doughty RN, et al. Left ventricular mass correlates with fat-free mass but not fat mass in adults. *J Hypertens* 17(4): 569-74, 1999.
  38. Yoshinaga M, et al. Effect of total adipose weight and systemic hypertension on left ventricular mass in children. *Am J Cardiol* 76: 785-787, 1995.