

Factors that influence friction in tendons of unbonded post-tensioning systems

Morgan DUNDU¹ and Michelle WARD

Department of Civil Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, South Africa

Abstract. The coefficient of friction in an unbonded post tensioning system is influenced by many factors, and these factors include the thickness of lubricant or grease present in the system, clearance between the strand and the plastic sheath, surface characteristics of the strand and plastic sheath. In order to determine the effect of these factors, a series of friction tests were performed on two different diameters of strand, namely; 12.7 mm and 15.24 mm diameters. Through a regression analysis, it was found that the frictional force decreases with increase in the thickness of the grease and that friction increases with increase in the mass of the strand. The amount of friction was found to be dependent on the surface characteristics of the strand and plastic sheath, clearance between the plastic sheath and the strand and the extrusion process of the plastic sheath.

Keywords. Post-tensioning, 7-wire strand, grease, mass, plastic sheath, unbonded, coefficient of friction.

Introduction

Post-tensioning consists of two systems, namely; bonded and unbonded tendon systems. The bonded multistrand system has been the method of choice in post-tensioning, however, in recent years the unbonded system has become more popular. This is because the unbonded system is versatile and is more economic than the bonded multistrand systems [1]. In an unbonded post-tensioning system, the tendon consists of a 7-wire mono-strand that is coated with a specified thickness of grease (Figure 1). Once coated with grease, the plastic sheath is extruded over the strand in order to protect the strand against chemical and mechanical damage. The grease allows the strand to move inside the plastic sheath, provides corrosion protection to the strand and reduces the amount of friction between the strand and the plastic sheath during post-tensioning. Although the friction in an unbonded tendon is greatly reduced, it is still necessary to estimate the friction loss.

Under-estimating the friction loss can lead to inadequate prestress and improper camber whilst over-estimation can lead to excessive shortening of the concrete member, excessive camber and horizontal movements [2].

¹Corresponding author: University of Johannesburg, Department of Civil Engineering Science, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park, 2006, South Africa; E-mail. mdundu@uj.ac.za




Figure 1. Unbonded tendon

The coefficient of friction, in an unbonded post-tensioning system, is influenced by many factors, and these include the thickness of lubricant or grease present in the system, clearance between the strand and the plastic sheath, surface characteristics of the strand and plastic sheath, and the size of the wires making up the 7 wire strand. Lack of consensus on the treatment of friction is shown by the large variation of the coefficient friction, which ranges from 0.05 to 0.15 (see Table 1). For an unbonded system SANS10100-1 [3] and EN 1992-1-1 [4] specifies one value for the coefficients of friction. Note that the value specified by EN 1992-1-1 [4] is twice the value specified by SANS10100-1 [3]. PTI [5] specifies a range of coefficients of friction and AASHTO [2] do not include a coefficient of friction for an unbonded system. The upper bound of the PTI [5] range is three times higher than the coefficient specified in SANS10100-1 [3], however, PTI [5] recommends a coefficient for an unbonded system of 0.07. The large variation in the coefficient of friction shows that there is no real consensus on its exact value that must be used, and the values given in Table 1 should only be considered as guidelines. According to AASHTO [2], the friction coefficient varies from project to project and is dependent on the quality of workmanship

Table 1. Coefficient of friction (μ)

SANS10100-1	EN 1992-1-1	PTI	AASHTO
0.05	0.10	0.05-0.15 (0.07)	-

This investigation was performed in order to understand the factors that influence the coefficient of friction and establish why the variation of the coefficient of friction is large. Experiments were conducted on 5 different coils, and 3 strands were tested per coil. Of the coils provided, two different diameters of strand (12.7 mm and 15.24 mm) were tested with different thickness of grease. The aim of the experiment was to determine the amount of force required to pull the plastic sheath off the strand and relate this force to the amount of grease used in the system. Other factors that influence the coefficient of friction, such as the surface characteristics of the strand and plastic sheath, mass of the wires making up the 7 wire strand and the contact pressure between the strand and the plastic sheath, were also investigated.

1. Friction test

The friction test was conducted according to the test procedure outlined in EOTA ETAG 013 [6], Annex C. A total of 5 different coils were supplied and 3 specimens per coil were tested. All specimens were 1.2 meters long. For each specimen, the weight of

the strand, wires, grease and plastic sheath (including its thickness) had to be measured. The weight of the grease was established by weighing the lubricated strand. After that the grease was washed off the strand and the strand elements re-weighed. The apparatus required to perform this test are shown in Figure 2. Of the 1.2 m length of the strand, 0.2 m length was exposed to facilitate the clamping process. Once the strand was clamped the spring balance was then hooked to the plastic sheath. The spring balance was then pulled up by hand until the sheath started to move and the force on the spring balance was constant. The force required to move the sheath was recorded and the procedure repeated for other specimens [6].



Figure 2. Friction test apparatus

2. Results

The force required to pull the plastic sheath off the strand was related to the amount of grease used in the system and the mass of the wires as described below.

2.1 Relationship between friction and the amount of grease

Figure 3 shows the relationship between the mass of grease and the frictional force for the 12.7 mm and 15.24 mm strands. Each value on the graph is an average of 3 values from each coil. It can be seen from the figure that the thickness of grease varies from 32.4 g/m to 36.5 g/m for the 12.7mm diameter strand and 43 g/m and 48 g/m for the 15.24 mm diameter strand. The corresponding frictional force for the 12.7 mm diameter ranges from 30 N and 35 N and from 55 N to 76 N for the 15.24 mm diameter. It is clear from these results that the frictional force decreases with increase in the thickness of the grease.




Figure 3. Relationship between friction and grease

2.2 Relationship between friction and the mass of the wires

The mass of the strands vary from coil to coil. This can be explained by the fact that when the strand is produced, there is a tolerance of $\pm 0.03\text{mm}$ in the diameter of the wires of the strand. As shown in Figure 4, the friction increases with increase in the mass of the strand. This means that a strand of larger mass would yield a higher friction force than a lighter strand. The higher friction is caused by larger sag, resulting from the larger mass. In the extreme case of the strand reaching the plastic sheath, the contact pressure exerted by the strand on the plastic sheath is larger, resulting in higher friction generated.




Figure 4. Relationship between friction and the mass of wires of a strand

2.3 Manufacturing process

The extrusion of the plastic sheath over the greased strand affects the clearance between the strand and the plastic sheath, and subsequently the free movement of the strand. In addition, when the clearance is not uniform, the amount of grease in the various strands varies. It was observed during the experiments that strands that had a smaller clearance required more force to pull the plastic sheath from the strand.

The internal surfaces of the plastic sheath of a few specimens were twisted or rifled during the extrusion process, in conformity with the spiral shape of the strand. Evidence of this behaviour is shown in Figure 5, and happens when the extrusion process is not precisely timed. The plastic sheath takes the profile of the strand if the strand is pushed through the production line faster than the extruded plastic sheath. This pulls or stretches the plastic sheath over the strand, making the plastic sheath tighter than is required. The plastic sheath takes the profile of the strand when it has hardened. Specimens that exhibited this behaviour had a higher pull-out force than those with smooth profiles. The ridges or rifled profile increased the friction.



Figure 5. Plastic sheath with a spiral profile

3. Coefficient of friction

A simple test was also performed to determine the coefficient of friction. In this test, a sheet of polyvinyl acetate (PVA), with properties that are similar to high density polyethylene or plastic sheath, was placed on a flat surface. The top surface of the polyvinyl acetate sheet represented the inside part of the plastic sheath. A steel plate, with grease applied to its bottom side, was placed on the Polyvinyl Acetate sheet. Several weights were then applied on the steel plate in order to induce a vertical or normal load. A spring balance was hooked to the steel plate, and to generate the friction force, the spring balance was pulled as shown in Figure 6. As soon as the plate started moving, the friction force was recorded. The process was repeated several times with different weights in order to obtain consistent coefficients of friction. An initial weight of 28.28N was applied on the steel plate. This weight was increased to 36.89kN the second series of tests. The results from this exercise are given in Table 2.



Figure 6. Test set-up to establish the coefficient of friction.

Table 2. Results from the coefficient of friction test

Weight (N)	Without Grease		With Grease	
	Pulling Force (N)	Coefficient of friction	Pulling Force (N)	Coefficient of friction
28.25	5.68	0.201	1.177	0.042
	6.08	0.215	1.373	0.048
	5.68	0.201	1.177	0.042
	5.68	0.201	1.177	0.042
36.89	7.358	0.200	1.569	0.042
	7.358	0.200	1.765	0.047
	6.671	0.180	1.472	0.040
	7.358	0.200	1.765	0.047

The results in Table 2 shows that the presence of the grease greatly reduces the amount of friction present in a system. The average coefficient of friction is 0.2 for the system without grease and varies from 0.040 to 0.048 (recommend a value of 0.042) for the system with grease. The recommended value is close to the lower bound coefficient of friction, provided by PTI [5] and SANS10100-1 [3]. The small difference between this experiment and the coefficient specified in the standards could be because the steel plate does not represent the shape of the strand. In addition, the coefficient of friction established from these tests did account for other friction factors, such as friction due to the curvature of the strand.

4. Conclusion

From the tests carried out, the following conclusions can be made:

- 1) The frictional force between the strand and the plastic sheath is reduced by increasing the amount of grease. Slightly less grease yielded higher frictional forces.
- 2) Friction increases with increase in the mass of the strand. The higher friction is caused by larger sag and contact pressure, resulting from the larger mass.
- 3) Friction was found to be dependent on the surface characteristics of the strand and plastic sheath. Specimens with rifled profiles had much higher frictional forces than smooth profiles. The coefficient of friction is optimum if the profile of the plastic is smooth and the optimum amount of grease is present.

- 4) It was observed during the experiments that strands that had a smaller clearance required more force to pull the plastic sheath from the strand.
- 5) The recommended coefficient of friction of 0.042 is close to the lower bound coefficient of friction, provided by PTI [5] and SANS10100-1 [3]. The small difference between this experiment and the coefficient specified in the standards is because the steel plate does not represent the shape of the strand and that the coefficient of friction established from these tests did account for other friction factors, such as friction due to the curvature of the strand.

References

- [1] N.H. Burns, J. Moon, Flexural Behaviour of member with unbonded tendons, *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE 123(1997), 1087–1094.
- [2] AASHTO, *Bridge design specifications*, 6th edition, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington DC, 2012.
- [3] SANS 10100-1, Standardised specification for the structural use of concrete, South African Bureau of Standards, Pretoria, South Africa, 2000.
- [4] EN 1992-1-1, Design of concrete structures Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings, European Committee for Standardization, Brussels, 2004.
- [5] PTI, *Post Tensioning Manual*, Post Tensioning Institute, 6th Edition, 2006.
- [6] EOTA, Guideline for technical approval of post tensioning kits for pre stressing of structures, European Organization for Technical Approvals, Brussels, No. ETA-06/0226, 2007.