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#### Abstract

To find the discrete symmetries of a Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$ is of central importance in quantum theory. Here we describe and implement a brute force method to determine the discrete symmetries given by permutation matrices for Hamilton operators acting in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Spin and Fermi systems are considered as examples. A computer algebra implementation in SymbolicC++ is provided.


## 1 Introduction

In quantum mechanics the system is described by a self-adjoint (Hamilton) operator $\hat{H}$ acting in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Here we consider the finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ where $\hat{H}$ is a hermitian matrix [1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5]. One of the main tasks is to find the $n \times n$ unitary matrices $U$ such that $U^{*} \hat{H} U=\hat{H}$, where $U^{*}=U^{-1}$. The $n \times n$ unitary matrices form the compact Lie group $U(n)$. Note that if $U^{*} \hat{H} U=\hat{H}$ and $V^{*} \hat{H} V=\hat{H}$ then $(U V)^{*} \hat{H}(U V)=\hat{H}$, where $(U V)^{*} \equiv V^{*} U^{*}$. Thus the set of matrices that keep $\hat{H}$ invariant form a group themselves [6].

An important finite subgroup of the group $U(n)$ are the $n \times n$ permutation matrices. The number of $n \times n$ permutation matrices is $n!$. For a given hermitian $n \times n$ matrix $\hat{H}$ we want to find all the $n \times n$ permutation matrices $P$ such that

$$
P^{T} \hat{H} P=\hat{H}
$$

where $P^{-1}=P^{T}$. These permutation matrices form a subgroup of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices. Obviously the $n \times n$ identity matrix $I_{n}$ satisfies $I_{n} \hat{H} I_{n}=\hat{H}$.

Here we describe and implement in SymbolicC++ a brute force method to find these permutation matrices.

After this finite group has been found one determines the conjugacy classes. Now for a finite group $G$ the number of conjugacy classes is equivalent to the number of non-equivalent irreducible matrix representations. From the conjugacy classes and the permutation matrices we can construct projection matrices to decompose the Hilbert space into invariant sub Hilbert spaces [6]. For example, if the permutation matrix $P$ satisfies $P^{2}=I_{n}$, then $\Pi_{1}=\left(I_{n}+P\right) / 2, \Pi_{2}=\left(I_{n}-P\right) / 2$ are projection matrices (with $\Pi_{1} \Pi_{2}=0$ ) which can be utilized to decompose the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ into invariant subspaces.

## 2 Examples

We consider four examples: two Fermi systems and two spin systems.
Example 1. Let $c_{j}^{\dagger}, c_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ be Fermi creation and annihilation operators, respectively. Consider the Hamilton operator

$$
\hat{H}=t\left(c_{1}^{\dagger} c_{2}+c_{2}^{\dagger} c_{1}+c_{2}^{\dagger} c_{3}+c_{3}^{\dagger} c_{2}+c_{1}^{\dagger} c_{3}+c_{3}^{\dagger} c_{1}\right)+k_{1} c_{1}^{\dagger} c_{1}+k_{2} c_{2}^{\dagger} c_{2}+k_{3} c_{3}^{\dagger} c_{3}
$$

and the number operator $\hat{N}=c_{1}^{\dagger} c_{1}+c_{2}^{\dagger} c_{2}+c_{3}^{\dagger} c_{3}$. Then $[\hat{H}, \hat{N}]=0$. Since $[\hat{H}, \hat{N}]=0$ we find $\hat{N}$ is a constant of motion, i.e. the total number of Fermi particles remains constant in the sense that if $|n\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the number operator $\hat{N}$ with eigenvalue $n$ at time 0 , then $|n\rangle(t)=e^{-i \hat{H} t / \hbar}|n\rangle$ remains an eigenstate of $\hat{N}$ with eigenvalue $n$ for all times. Given a basis with two Fermi particles

$$
c_{1}^{\dagger} c_{2}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle, \quad c_{1}^{\dagger} c_{3}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle, \quad c_{2}^{\dagger} c_{3}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle
$$

Then we find the matrix representation of $\hat{H}$

$$
\hat{H}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{1}+k_{2} & t & -t \\
t & k_{1}+k_{3} & t \\
-t & t & k_{2}+k_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix representation of $\hat{N}$ is the diagonal matrix $2 I_{3}$, where $I_{3}$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix. For $k_{1} \neq k_{2}, k_{1} \neq k_{3}, k_{2} \neq k_{3}$ no non-trivial symmetry is found. Also for $k_{1} \neq k_{2}, k_{1} \neq k_{3}, k_{2}=k_{3}$ no non-trivial symmetry is found. For $k=k_{1}=$ $k_{2}=k_{3}$ we obtain the permutation matrix

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus using the projection matrices $\Pi_{1}=\left(I_{3}-P\right) / 2, \Pi_{2}=\left(I_{3}-P\right) / 2$ the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ can be decomposed into invariant subspaces. For the case $k=k_{1}=k_{2}=$ $k_{3}$ we find the eigenvalues $2 k-2 t$ and $2 k+t$ (twice).

Example 2. Consider the Hamilton operator (two-point Hubbard model)

$$
\hat{H}=t\left(c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \uparrow}+c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \downarrow}+c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \uparrow}+c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \downarrow}\right)+U\left(n_{1 \uparrow} n_{1 \downarrow}+n_{2 \uparrow} n_{2 \downarrow}\right)
$$

where $n_{j \uparrow}:=c_{j \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j \uparrow}, n_{j \downarrow}:=c_{j \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j \downarrow}$. The operators $c_{j \uparrow}^{\dagger}, c_{j \downarrow}^{\dagger}, c_{j \uparrow}, c_{j \downarrow}$ are Fermi operators. The Hubbard Hamilton operator commutes with the total number operator $\hat{N}$ and the total spin operator $\hat{S}_{z}$ where

$$
\hat{N}:=\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(c_{j \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j \uparrow}+c_{j \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j \downarrow}\right), \quad \hat{S}_{z}:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(c_{j \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j \uparrow}-c_{j \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j \downarrow}\right) .
$$

We consider the subspace with two particles $N=2$ and total spin $S_{z}=0$. A basis in this four dimensional Hilbert space is given by

$$
c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle, \quad c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle, \quad c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle, \quad c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger}|\mathbf{0}\rangle .
$$

We find the matrix representation of $\hat{H}$ for this basis. Using the Fermi anticommutation relations and $c_{j \uparrow}|\mathbf{0}\rangle=0, c_{j \downarrow}|\mathbf{0}\rangle=0$ for $j=1,2$ we obtain the matrix representation of $\hat{H}$ with the given basis

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
U & t & t & 0 \\
t & 0 & 0 & t \\
t & 0 & 0 & t \\
0 & t & t & U
\end{array}\right)
$$

We find the four permutation matrices $P_{0}=I_{4}=I_{2} \star I_{2}=I_{2} \otimes I_{2}$,

$$
P_{1}=I_{2} \star\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \star I_{2}, \quad P_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \star\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we define the star product $\star$ of the two $2 \times 2$ matrices $A, B$ as [6]

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right) \star\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{11} & b_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22}
\end{array}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & 0 & 0 & a_{12} \\
0 & b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\
0 & b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 \\
a_{21} & 0 & 0 & a_{22}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We note that the star product of two $2 \times 2$ permutation matrices is a $4 \times 4$ permutation matrix. Here $P_{1}$ is the swap gate. The four permutation matrices $P_{0}, P_{1}$,
$P_{2}, P_{3}$ form a commutative group with $P_{j}^{2}=I_{4}$ for $j=0,1,2,3$. If $P$ is an $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $P^{2}=I_{n}$ then

$$
\Pi_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{n}+P\right), \quad \Pi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{n}-P\right)
$$

are projection matrices with $\Pi_{1} \Pi_{2}=0_{n}$, where $0_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ zero matrix. Using the permutation matrices $P_{0}$ and $P_{3}$ (which form a subgroup) these projection operators can now be used to find the invariant subspaces

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\right\}, \quad\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

These four vectors (after normalization) are known in quantum computing as the Bell basis [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. This leads to the two invariant sub Hilbert spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle+c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\right),\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle+c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\right)\right\} \\
& \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle-c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\right),\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_{1 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle-c_{2 \downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1 \uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3. Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ be the Pauli spin matrices

$$
\sigma_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider the Hamilton operators [7]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}=\hbar \omega_{1} \sigma_{3} \otimes I_{2}+\hbar \omega_{2} I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}+\epsilon\left(\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right) \\
& \hat{K}=\hbar \omega_{1} \sigma_{3} \otimes I_{2}+\hbar \omega_{2} I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}+\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the second Hamilton operator $\hat{K}$ the interaction term is swapped around, i.e. $\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{1} \rightarrow \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{3}$. This provides symmetry breaking. For the Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$ we find the symmetries (permutation matrices)

$$
P_{0}=I_{4}, \quad P_{1}=I_{2} \oplus \sigma_{1}, \quad P_{2}=\sigma_{1} \oplus I_{2}, \quad P_{3}=\sigma_{1} \oplus \sigma_{1}
$$

where $\oplus$ denotes the direct sum. The four matrices form a commutative group under matrix multiplication. All satisfy $P_{j}^{2}=I_{4}$. Thus we can use the projection
matrices $\Pi_{1}=\left(I_{4}+P_{j}\right) / 2, \Pi_{2}=\left(I_{4}-P_{j}\right) / 2$ to decompose the Hilbert space into two invariant subspaces. On the other hand for the Hamilton operator $\hat{K}$ we only find the identity matrix $P_{0}=I_{4}$, i.e. no non-trivial symmetry is admitted.

Example 4. Consider the Hamilton operator for triple spin interaction

$$
\hat{H}=\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}
$$

The eigenvalues of this hermitian and unitary $8 \times 8$ matrix are +1 (four-fold degenerate) and -1 (four-fold degenerate). Owing to this degeneracy one expects a "large" number of symmetries. Applying the SymbolicC++ code we find 24 permutation matrices listed $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{23}$ with $P_{0}=I_{8}$. They form a non-commutative group under matrix multiplication and are a subgroup of the group of $8 \times 8$ permutation matrices. We note that the Kronecker product $\otimes$ and the direct sum $\oplus$ of two permutation matrices is again a permutation matrix [8]. Now we can list the ones with $P_{j}^{2}=I_{8}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=I_{2} \oplus\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \oplus I_{2} \\
& P_{2}=\left(I_{2} \star \sigma_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\sigma_{1} \star I_{2}\right) \\
& P_{5}=I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\sigma_{1} \otimes I_{2} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& P_{6}=\left(\sigma_{1} \star I_{2}\right) \oplus\left(I_{2} \star \sigma_{1}\right) \\
& P_{8}=I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \\
& P_{13}=I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\sigma_{1} \otimes I_{2} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& P_{15}=\sigma_{1} \otimes I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \\
& P_{23}=\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other ones can be found by multiplication of these permutation matrices, for example $P_{3}=P_{1} P_{2}$ etc. Thus the 24 matrices form a subgroup of the permutation group of $8 \times 8$ matrices.

Another spin Hamilton operator studied is 9

$$
\hat{H}=a \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sigma_{3}(j) \sigma_{3}(j+1)+b \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sigma_{1}(j)
$$

with cyclic boundary conditions, i.e. $\sigma_{3}(5) \equiv \sigma_{3}(1)$. Here $a, b$ are real constants and $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are the Pauli matrices. Thus the underlying Hilbert space is
$\mathbb{C}^{16}$. Recall that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{k}(1)=\sigma_{k} \otimes I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes I_{2}, & \sigma_{k}(2)=I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{k} \otimes I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \\
\sigma_{k}(3)=I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{k} \otimes I_{2}, & \sigma_{k}(4)=I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{k}
\end{array}
$$

where $k=1,2,3$. We obtain the symmetric $16 \times 16$ matrix for $\hat{H}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
4 a & b & b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b & 0 & 0 & b & -4 a & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & b & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\
b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & -4 a & b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & b & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & b & b & 4 a
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$ admits the $C_{4 v}$ symmetry group. The order of this non-commutative group is 8 . One finds the following set of eight symmetries [9]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(1,2,3,4) & C_{2}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(3,4,1,2) \\
C_{4}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(2,3,4,1) & C_{4}^{3}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(4,1,2,3) \\
\sigma_{v}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(2,1,4,3) & \sigma_{v}^{\prime}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(4,3,2,1) \\
\sigma_{d}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(1,4,3,2) & \sigma_{d}^{\prime}:(1,2,3,4) \rightarrow(3,2,1,4)
\end{array}
$$

which form a group isomorphic to $C_{4 v}$. The symmetries can be found by calculating the $16 \times 16$ permutation matrices such that $\hat{H}=P^{T} \hat{H} P$.

## 3 Code Description

Algorithms for finding all permutations of a sequence of objects are described by Knuth [10]. For a given $n$ the permutation matrices are generated with the following algorithm. The algorithm implements the nested loops

For $j_{0}=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ do
For $j_{1}=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ do
$\because$
For $j_{n-1}=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ do
If $j_{0} \neq j_{1} \neq \cdots \neq j_{n-1}$ then
use the permutation $(0,1,2, \ldots, n-1) \rightarrow\left(j_{0}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-1}\right)$.
End loop

End loop
End loop

## Algorithm to find all permutation matrices.

1. Create an array $\left(j_{0}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n-1}\right)$ of loop variables.
2. Initialize $j_{k}:=-1$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.
3. Initialize the loop variable index $i$ to $i:=0$.
4. While $i \geq 0$
(a) Iterate.

Set $j_{i}:=j_{i}+1$.
(b) Termination condition.

If $j_{i}=n$ terminate this loop:
i. Set $j_{i}:=-1$.
ii. Exit the nested loop.

Set $i:=i-1$.
iii. Goto 4.
(c) If $j_{k}=j_{i}$ for some $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, i-1\}$ then goto 4.
(d) Enter the next nested loop.

Set $i:=i+1$.
(e) Innermost loop completes.

If $i=n$ then use the permutation $(0,1,2, \ldots, n-1) \rightarrow\left(j_{0}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-1}\right)$ i.e. the permutation matrix $P$ is given by

$$
(P)_{u v}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } v=j_{u} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The SymbolicC++ program [11] utilizes the vector class of the Standard Template Library. The Hamilton operator refers to example 2 in the text (two point Hubbard model).

```
// permutation.cpp
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include "symbolicc++.h"
using namespace std;
int total;
Symbolic H;
void commutes(const Symbolic &P)
{
    if(P*H==H*P) cout << "P[" << total++ << "] = " << P << endl;
}
void find_perm(int n,void (*use)(const Symbolic&))
{
    int i, k;
    Symbolic P;
    vector<int> j(n,-1);
    i = 0; j[0] = -1;
    while(i >= 0)
    {
        if(++j[i]==n) { j[i--] = -1; continue; }
        if(i < O) break;
        for(k=0;k<i;++k) if(j[k]==j[i]) break;
        if(k!=i) continue;
        ++i;
        if(i==n)
        {
        P = 0*Symbolic("",n,n);
        for(k=0;k<n;k++) P(k,j[k] ) = 1;
        use(P);
        --i;
        }
    }
}
int main(void)
{
    using SymbolicConstant::i;
    Symbolic sqrt2 = sqrt(Symbolic(2));
```

```
    Symbolic U("U"); Symbolic t("t");
    H = ((U,t,t,Symbolic(0)), (t,Symbolic(0),Symbolic(0) ,t),
    (t,Symbolic(0),Symbolic(0),t),(Symbolic(0),t,t,U));
    cout << "H = " << H << endl;
    total = 0;
    find_perm(H.rows(),commutes);
    return 0;
}
A Maxima implementation is available from the authors.
```


## 4 Conclusion

We applied a brute force method to find all possible permutation matrices that provide symmetries for given Hamilton operators in a finite dimensional Hilbert space. With growing size of the Hamilton operators matrix representation finding the permutation matrices becomes very time-consuming. A more efficient approach would be to find only the generators of the group of permutation matrices that provide symmetries for a given Hamilton operator. Another open question is how this method can be extended to find other classes of symmetries.
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