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Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$
Disorder in main residue
$R$ factor $=0.044$
$w R$ factor $=0.093$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=19.5$
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## trans-Carbonylchlorobis[tris(2,6-dimethylphenyl)phosphito]rhodium(I)

The title compound, $\quad\left[\mathrm{RhCl}\left\{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{3}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\right]$, where $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{3}$ is tris(2,6-dimethylphenyl)phosphite, crystallizes with two independent molecules, both disordered over inversion centres. The Rh1-P1 and Rh2-P2 bond distances are 2.3097 (7) and 2.2995 (7) $\AA$, respectively. The effective cone angle for the phosphite ligands was calculated as $182^{\circ}$.

## Comment

Symmetrical square-planar complexes of $\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Pd}$ and Pt often crystallize with the metal atom on a crystallographic centre of symmetry, thus imposing a disordered packing arrangement (Otto, 2001; Otto et al., 2000; Chen et al., 1991; Kuwabara \& Bau, 1994). The present study is part of an ongoing investigation into determining which factors govern a disordered packing mode and reports the structure of trans-chlorocarbonylbis[tri(2,6-dimethylphenyl)phosphite]rhodium(I), (I), one of the few phosphite-containing Vaskatype structures known to date [Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) Version 5.25 (January 2004 update); Allen, 2002].

(I)

The title compound crystallizes with two independent molecules lying on inversion centres, resulting in a statistical disorder in both of the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{CO}$ moieties. The coordination around the Rh atom shows a slightly distorted squareplanar arrangement (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

The most widely used method for determining ligand steric behaviour at a metal centre is by calculating the cone angle, as described previously (Tolman, 1977; Otto et al., 2000). For this study, actual $M-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances were used, yielding effective cone angles $\left(\Theta_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$. The substituents of the phosphite may have different orientations, resulting in variations in cone angle sizes, as observed by Ferguson et al. (1978), and may not necessarily be a true indication of the steric properties of the phosphite in solution compared with the solid state. The value of $182^{\circ}$ obtained for tri(2,6-dimethylphenyl)phosphite is compared with other similar structures in Table 2 and is
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virtually the same as the $181^{\circ}$ cone angle for tri(2-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite (data extracted and calculated from CSD) in trans-carbonylchlorobis[tri(2-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite]rhodium(I) (Fernández et al., 1998), the only other nonbridged phosphite structure reported to date.

Table 2 also compares bond distances of the other similar complexes, and shorter $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances are observed for the phosphites than, for example, for the benzylphosphine analogue, also manifested in the ${ }^{1} J_{(\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P})}$ coupling of 214 Hz for (I) compared with 124 Hz for the phosphine complex. This difference is probably due to the electron-withdrawing nature of phosphites, which enhances $\pi$ back-bonding between the metal and the P atom and, as a result, weakens the $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond.

Interesting to note is the difference in values of $v(\mathrm{CO})$ for the solid and solution states of the title compound. This difference may be the result of packing in the unit cell, which slightly distorts the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ angle (Table 1).

## Experimental

$\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}$ was prepared according to the method described by McCleverty \& Wilkinson (1990). $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{3}$ was prepared by reaction of the 2,6-dimethylphenol with $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$. All chemicals and solvents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. A solution of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{3}(90.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.230 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 1.5 ml ) was added slowly to a yellow solution of $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}(21.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(3.0 \mathrm{ml})$. Gas evolution was immediately observed and crystals formed while the solution turned colourless. The supernatant liquor was decanted and the solids were washed with pentane ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{ml}$ ), leaving crystals of the pure title compound, suitable for X-ray analysis. Yield: 94.3 mg ; $86.9 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, p.p.m.): $6.97(s, 18 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(s$, $36 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.45 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, p.p.m.): 149.72, 130.55, 129.05, 124.85, 18.91; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \operatorname{NMR}\{\mathrm{H}\}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 121.46 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, p.p.m.): 111.12 [ $d,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}}=214 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ]; IR (DCM) $\nu(\mathrm{CO}): 2004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; (KBr) $\nu(\mathrm{CO}): 1991 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{CO})\right]$
$M_{r}=955.22$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=12.3892$ (5) $\AA$
$b=13.1262(5) \AA$
$c=14.6675$ (6) $\AA$
$\alpha=83.685(2)^{\circ}$
$\beta=89.773(2)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=75.016(2)^{\circ}$
$V=2289.45(16) \AA^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=2 \\
& D_{x}=1.386 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 997 \\
& \quad \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=2.3-19.9^{\circ} \\
& \mu=0.55 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=293(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Plate, yellow } \\
& 0.26 \times 0.12 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
27501 measured reflections
11343 independent reflections
6184 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$


Figure 1
The two independent molecules of (I), with $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids; H atoms have been omitted for clarity. For the C atoms, the first digit indicates ring number and the second digit indicates the position of the atom in the ring. Both disordered components are shown for each molecule.

## Refinement

| Refinement on $F^{2}$ | H -atom parameters constrained |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.044$ | $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0332 P)^{2}\right]$ |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093$ | where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$ |
| $S=0.91$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$ |
| 11343 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.35 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| 583 parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.44 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| Rh1-C1 | 1.771 (9) | P1-O12 | 1.597 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh1-P1 | 2.3097 (7) | P1-O11 | 1.6056 (19) |
| Rh1-Cl1 | 2.380 (3) | O23-P2 | 1.5943 (19) |
| Rh2-C2 | 1.773 (8) | $\mathrm{O} 22-\mathrm{P} 2$ | 1.596 (2) |
| Rh2-P2 | 2.2995 (7) | O21-P2 | 1.607 (2) |
| Rh2-Cl2 | 2.379 (3) | C1-O1 | 1.128 (12) |
| P1-O13 | 1.5923 (19) | C2-O2 | 1.139 (11) |
| $\mathrm{P}{ }^{1}{ }^{\text {i }}$-Rh1-P1 | 180 | $\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 178.3 (5) |
| C1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$-Rh1- ${ }^{\text {cl }} 1$ | 179.2 (6) | O1-C1-Rh1 | 174.2 (19) |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{P} 2^{\text {ii }}$ | 180 | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 2$ | 176.1 (19) |
| Cl1-Rh1-P1-O11 | -114.49 (15) | $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 21$ | 66.04 (13) |
| $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Rh} 1-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 12$ | 120.93 (15) | $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 22$ | -59.21 (14) |
| Cl1-Rh1-P1-O13 | 5.00 (15) | $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Rh} 2-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 23$ | -175.41 (13) |

Table 2
Comparison of geometry for trans- $\left[M \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P} X_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes..
$2,6 \mathrm{DMP}=2,6$-dimethylphenyl, $2 \mathrm{tBP}=2$-tert-butylphenyl and $\mathrm{Bz}=$ benzyl.

| $X$ | $M-\mathrm{P}(\AA)$ | $M-\mathrm{Cl}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{P}-M-\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}-M-\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\Theta_{\mathrm{E}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(2,6 \mathrm{DMP}) \dagger$ | $2.3097(7)$ | $2.380(3)$ | 180 | $179.2(6)$ | 182 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{tBP}) \ddagger$ | $2.2995(7)$ | $2.379(3)$ | 180 | $178.3(5)$ | 182 |
| $\mathrm{Bz} \S$ | 2.286 | 2.370 | 180 | 175.85 | 181 |
|  | $2.3164(15)$ | $2.3654(15)$ | $177.67(6)$ | $178.55(17)$ | 170 |
|  | $2.3156(16)$ |  |  |  | 172 |
| $\dagger$ This work. $\ddagger$ Fernández et al. (1998). § Muller et al. (2002). |  |  |  |  |  |

The aromatic and methyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97-0.98 \AA)$ and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ and $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$, respectively.
Data collection: SMART-NT (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-Plus and

XPREP (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg \& Brendt, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).
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