Radboud University Nijmegen

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/152751

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.



Citation: Cohen JM, Silva ML, Caini S, Ciblak M, Mosnier A, Daviaud I, et al. (2015) Striking Similarities in the Presentation and Duration of Illness of Influenza A and B in the Community: A Study Based on Sentinel Surveillance Networks in France and Turkey, 2010-2012. PLoS ONE 10(10): e0139431. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139431

Editor: Jen-Hsiang Chuang, Centers for Disease Control, TAIWAN

Received: July 15, 2014

Accepted: September 13, 2015

Published: October 1, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Cohen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are not the property of the authors. The study database will be made available to all interested researchers upon request, by contacting Elodie Nauleau at Open Rome (enauleau@oopenrome.org). A report of the IBGP study can be accessed at the following address: http://www.openrome.org/medico-econometrie/ibgp. html.

Funding: IBGP study has been funded by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) Biologicals - sponsor study. The funder provided support in the form of a salary **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Striking Similarities in the Presentation and Duration of Illness of Influenza A and B in the Community: A Study Based on Sentinel Surveillance Networks in France and Turkey, 2010-2012

Jean Marie Cohen^{1,2}*, Maria Laura Silva¹, Saverio Caini¹, Meral Ciblak³, Anne Mosnier^{1,2}, Isabelle Daviaud¹, Gonçalo Matias⁴, Selim Badur³, Martine Valette⁵, Vincent Enouf⁶, John Paget⁷, Douglas M. Fleming⁸, IBGP study team¹

 Open Rome (Organize and Promote Epidemiological Network), Paris, France, 2 Réseau des GROG, Paris, France, 3 Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey, 4 GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) Biologicals, Brussels, Belgium, 5 Virology Department, National Influenza Center, Claude Bernard University Lyon 1, Lyon, France, 6 Virology Department, Unit of Molecular Genetics of RNA viruses, National Influenza Center, Pasteur Institute, CNRS UMR3569, Université Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France, 7 Netherlands Institute for Health Services research (NIVEL), Utrecht, The Netherlands, 8 Royal College of General Practitioners, Surveillance and Research Unit, Birmingham, United Kingdom

¶ Membership of the IBGP study team is listed in the Acknowledgments.
* jmcoco@openrome.org

Abstract

Influenza B represents a high proportion of influenza cases in some seasons (even over 50%). The Influenza B study in General Practice (IBGP) is a multicenter study providing information about the clinical, demographic and socio-economic characteristics of patients affected by lab-confirmed influenza A or B. Influenza B patients and age-matched influenza A patients were recruited within the sentinel surveillance networks of France and Turkey in 2010–11 and 2011–12 seasons. Data were collected for each patient at the swab test day, after 9±2 days and, if not recovered, after 28±5 days. It was related to patient's characteristics, symptoms at presentation, vaccination status, prescriptions of antibiotics and antivirals, duration of illness, follow-up consultations in general practice or emergency room. We performed descriptive analyses and developed a multiple regression model to investigate the effect of patients and disease characteristics on the duration of illness. Overall, 774 influenza cases were included in the study: 419 influenza B cases (209 in France and 210 in Turkey) and 355 influenza A cases (205 in France and 150 in Turkey). There were no differences between influenza A and B patients in terms of clinical presentation and number of consultations with a practitioner; however, the use of antivirals was higher among influenza B patients in both countries. The average (median) reported duration of illness in the age groups 0-14 years, 15-64 years and 65+ years was 7.4 (6), 8.7 (8) and 10.5 (9) days in France, and 6.3 (6), 8.2 (7) and 9.2 (6) days in Turkey; it increased with age but did not differ by virus type; increased duration of illness was associated with antibiotics prescription. In



for author [GM] who also contributed to the study design, but did not have any additional role in data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of these authors are articulated in the 'author contributions' section.

Competing Interests: The authors have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: M.L.S., S.C., M.C., S.B., M.V. and V.E. declare having no conflicts of interest. J.M.C. and A.M. declare having received support for travel to meetings (Roche) and their institutions received grants from the study sponsor and from several pharmaceutical companies (Roche, AstraZeneca, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, GSK, Mylan) on matters relating to influenza surveillance and to the burden of illness due to influenza and its prevention. I.D. declares her institutions received grants from the study sponsor and from several pharmaceutical companies (Roche, AstraZeneca, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, GSK, Mylan) on matters relating to influenza surveillance and to the burden of illness due to influenza and it's prevention. W.J.P. has served on an influenza advisory board for GSK. G.M. declares being employed by GlaxoSmithKline Vaccines which has financed the IBGP study. D.F. declares having received consultancy payments from Open Rome relating to the design analysis and reporting of this material and having provided advisory board consultancy services to several pharmaceutical companies on matters relating to the burden of illness due to influenza and its prevention. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

conclusion, our findings show that influenza B infection appears not to be milder disease than influenza A infection.

Introduction

Influenza B represents a high proportion of all cases of influenza in some seasons (even over 50%) $[\underline{1}, \underline{2}]$, and vaccine lineage inadequacy is inevitable as two antigenically distinct influenza B lineage (Victoria and Yamagata) cocirculate since 1985 with unpredictable predominant lineage $[\underline{3}]$. Thus, there is an increasing support for the use of a quadrivalent vaccine against influenza, including both influenza B virus lineages $[\underline{4}]$.

The introduction of a vaccine in a health care system requires several studies, such as epidemiological data on the incidence, healthcare utilization, case fatality and mortality impact of the disease to be prevented in different populations and in different age groups within the same population. Evidence on comparative epidemiology and burden of disease of influenza A and B are important sources of information for the estimation of the public health impact [5] and to develop cost effectiveness analysis [6] of alternative interventions, for instance the use of quadrivalent versus trivalent influenza vaccines.

General practice based sentinel networks provide routine surveillance which are useful to develop real-time epidemiological study on several health conditions and diseases [7–9]. Regarding influenza, most sentinel networks collaborate with virology laboratories to confirm the diagnosis in suspect cases [10]. Data provided by sentinel networks have already been extensively used to plan and monitor interventions and to feed models investigating their cost effectiveness [11].

The Influenza B study in General Practice (IBGP) is, a follow up study, aiming at providing comparative information about the distribution of clinical, demographic and socio-economic characteristics in patients with a laboratory confirmed diagnosis of influenza A or B. Socio-economics results have been published elsewhere [12]. In the current paper, we present the results of a comparison of clinical presentation and duration of illness between influenza A and B cases in France and Turkey during 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 seasons.

The WHO recommendation for influenza vaccines for the northern hemisphere contained the same influenza strains for both 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 seasons: an A/California/7/2009 (H1N1)-like virus; an A/Perth/16/2009 (H3N2)-like virus; a B/Brisbane/60/2008-like virus (B/ Victoria lineage) [13, 14].

Methods

Study design and selection of participants

The multicentre IBGP study performed a prospective recruitment of patients during two consecutive influenza seasons (from week 40 to 15): 2010–2011 (season 1) and 2011–2012 (season 2). Persons of any age with a lab confirmed diagnosis of influenza B made in the frame of established sentinel surveillance networks in France and Turkey were eligible for inclusion into the study.

The trigger for the study recruitment was the positive notification of an influenza B case by the collaborating virological laboratory. This notification was sent from the laboratory to the GP coordinators who sought to recruit the identified patient if notification occurred early enough, i.e. before eleven days after the swab specimen had been taken for investigation. If

more than eleven days were elapsed from the date of swabbing, the influenza B patient was not included in the study.

For each influenza B patient included in the study, the network coordinators searched for a correspondent influenza A patient, who has been swabbed within eleven days before and belonged to the same age group. The following age groups were used: 0–4 (or, when possible, 0–2 and 3–4), 5–14, 15–49, 50–64 and 65+ years. An influenza A patient was then included according to the following algorithm:

- a patient sampled by the same GP than the influenza B patient, if possible;
- a patient sampled at the same day than the influenza B patient; or, if not possible, with the nearest date of sampling.

It was not always possible to find a suitable influenza A patient for each influenza B patient included in the study. Therefore, some influenza B patients were matched with influenza A patients that were identified later in the same season or in the following season. Selected influenza B patients were nevertheless included in the study even though a suitable influenza A patient could not be identified.

Sentinel surveillance networks

Established sentinel surveillance networks in France and Turkey (570 and 515 physicians, respectively) [15, 16] participated in the IBGP study (<u>S1 Appendix</u>). The French network is nationally distributed whereas in Turkey the network is based on five provinces in the western region: Antalya, Bursa, Edirne, Istanbul and Izmir. Differently from the Turkish networks, pae-diatricians participate in the network in France.

The sentinel physicians monitor the incidence of influenza-like illness (ILI) and/or acute respiratory infections (ARI). In France, the GROG network adopts the wider concept of ARI defined as sudden onset of respiratory symptom(s) with infection context (fever, headache, . . .) in the absence of other diagnosis. In Turkey, the Ministry of Health adopts the ILI case definition of the WHO: sudden onset of fever (>38°C) with cough or sore throat, in the absence of other diagnosis. Sentinel physicians routinely investigate, by rhino-pharyngeal swabbing, a variable proportion of patients, mostly selected on an opportunistic basis, for influenza and other respiratory viruses.

Swabbed patients represent a subset of all those presenting with an ILI/ARI syndrome within two (in France) or three (in Turkey) days of symptoms onset. Due to the limited capacity of virological analysis, practitioners were asked to swab only one or two ARI/ILI patients each week. Combined nasal/throat swab specimens and a routine investigation request forms (completed for each patient) were sent by post to the respective National Influenza Centre (NIC) for virological diagnosis. In Turkey, some influenza patients were recruited in emergency departments, with direct links to the influenza laboratory; for these patients postal transport of specimens was not necessary.

The NICs followed the WHO collaborating centres recommendations for virus isolation, subtyping and lineage characterization [<u>17</u>]. Virus detection and subtyping of influenza A and lineage characterization of influenza B viruses were done on the samples with reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), which is the most sensitive available method. Validation of the testing procedures for influenza strain typing was undertaken at the WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Influenza of the National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR) at Hill Mill, UK, using a ten percent sample, according to the terms of reference of the NICs and WHO collaborating centres.

Data collection

For each patient included in the study, the data collection was performed in three moments of the time horizon of the study: (1) at the swab test day (D0), (2) on recruitment to the study at 9 ± 2 days (D9), (3) in case the subject had not recovered by day 9, at 28 ± 5 days (D28).

At day 0, we used the virology investigation request form routinely used to collect data on: personal details (name, month and year of birth, gender and city code), date of onset of symptoms, presenting symptoms and syndromes, influenza vaccination status for the current season, and prescriptions of antibiotics or antivirals. Concerning vaccination status, patients were considered as vaccinated against the current seasonal flu if they had received at least one dose of seasonal vaccine in the current season and if the date of vaccination preceded by at least 14 days the onset of symptoms. Patients for which the vaccination date was unknown, were considered as not vaccinated. Information about underlying conditions was based on each country own surveillance form, which means that heterogeneous and non comparable data were collected on this topic. Data were anonymised by the lab before entry into the computer database.

Further information was obtained at the time of recruitment (day 9), using a patient specific questionnaire (illustrated by an English translation in Fig 1) completed by the sentinel physician (in France) or by the study coordinator (in Turkey) on the basis of a telephone interview. Some potentially eligible influenza B patients were not recruited in France because: the lab results were not transmitted to the physician in time to contact the patient within eleven days after the swab; or the patient/GP was not reachable or did not agree to participate. Recruited patients who were not recovered at D9 were called back at D28 using the same questionnaire. Information was collected on: state of recovery (defined as date of return to normal activities), number of follow-up consultations, hospital admission and emergency room visits, drug prescriptions and details on sickness absence.

All information gathered during the IBGP study was stored electronically at the Clinical Research Organization (Open Rome headquarters, Paris).

Analysis

Using data collected at day 0, 9 and 28, influenza A and B recruited patients were compared in terms of presenting symptoms and syndromes, vaccination status, drugs taken, duration of illness (days between symptom onset and return to normal activities), number of follow-up consultations, hospital admissions, days of absence from work or school. All comparisons were made separately for France and Turkey, and further stratified by season and age group (0–14, 15–64, 65+ years). The Fisher's exact test was used to compare the distribution of variables of interest. A p-value was considered statistically significant when less than 0.05.

We developed a multiple regression model to investigate the effect of patients and disease characteristics on the median duration of illness including influenza type and the other variables that were significant (p<0.05) in univariate analysis. Variables considered were: influenza type, gender, age group, vaccination status, prescriptions of antibiotics and antivirals, sickness absence (defined as reported absence at follow-up interview) and season. The regression model was fitted independently for France and Turkey, and p-values were considered as statistically significant when less than 0.05. All analyses were performed with STATA software (version 11).

Ethical approval and consent procedures

In France, ethical approval for the study (including consent procedures) was given by the concerned national ethics committee (*Comité de Protection des Personnes, Commission Consultative*

IBGP2	Influenza B in Genera	l Practice	D 9 \pm 2 days
Doctor's name: prefilled	F	Patient ID code:	prefilled
Patient identification Age:	prefilled Gender: prefi	lled Date	of swabbing: prefilled
Does the patient have a remune	rated job? YES 🗆 🛛 NO 🗖		
Date of contact with the patient	at D9 /// n	o later than: prefi	lled
⇒ SINCE THE DAY OF SWABI how many times did the pa by phone ca	BING (D0 included), atient consult you due to ILI sw 11 // in your medical office /	abbed (since the d	ay of swabbing) ?
did the patient see another if yes, how many times?	doctor (since the day of swabb by phone call //	ing)? YES □ 1 n his medical office	NO □ e// at home //
has he been to a hospital e	mergency room? YES D NO		
has he been hospitalised? if NO, has he done additio spec did he need parame spec	YES NO	y):	
⇒ SINCE THE DAY OF SWABI how many days was he out children daycares	BING (D0 included), t of work? /_/_/ N/A □ or school? /_/_/ Parent's sicl	c leave due to child	lren's disease? /_/_/ days
A "curative" antiviral has been how long was the d less than 12h □	prescribed? YES □ NO □ i elay between the onset of sympto 12h-24h □ 24h-36h □	if YES, specify Ta oms and the beginni 36h-48h □	miflu® □ Relenza® □ ng of the medication? more than 48h □
⇒ Drug treatment SINCE THE	DAY OF SWABBING (D0 inclue	ded)	
	(name)		Self-medication
➡ WHAT IS THE CURRENT CL Has he returned to his "no if yes, specify (if not pos Has he still got any symptot /_/ persistent cough (wi /_/ nasal symptoms (rhi /_/ headache, /_/asther	LINICAL CONDITION OF THE rmal activities ¹ (school, work sible, estimate) the date of return rms related to ILI swabbed? YE th or without expectoration), norrhea or nasal obstruction), ia. / / others symptoms ² :	PATIENT? .)? YES □ NC //// SS □ NO □ i) 🗆 if YES, specify:
Death Comments:			
Death Comments:	Please, send this form to IBGP Co	ordination	

¹ "Normal activities": patient returned to his usual routine/occupation (work, school, homemade, day-to-day life...)
 ²"Other symptoms" are defined as Flu complications, for example: Fever, Throat symptoms (sore throat, pharyngitis), Otalgia/otitis, Sinusitis, Pneumonia, Low respiratory tract symptoms (asthma/COPD decompensation, bronchitis, bronchiolitis, shortness of breath, dyspnoea, thoracic pain...), Myalgia/myositis, Anorexia, Digestive symptoms, Vertigo, Adenopathy

Fig 1. Questionnaire for data collection at days 9 and 28 in the IBGP study. France and Turkey, 2010–2012.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139431.g001

sur le Traitement de l'Information en matière de recherche dans le domaine de la santé [CCTIRS] and Commission Nationale pour l'Informatique et les Libertés [CNIL]).

All selected influenza patients (both B and A cases) were involved in a consent procedure in two occasions: firstly in providing a swab for surveillance purpose, and then in agreeing to be included in the study (day 9 ± 2).

In France, as the IBGP study does not interfere with medical intervention, verbal informed consents were sufficient for adult participants, in accordance with the Public health code (L1122-1 à L1122-2) [18]. For recruited children, parents provided an oral consent for the D0 swabbing and, as requested by the ethics committees, a written informed consent for the D9 contact (information letter and consent form given, or read and sent by post by the practitioner at the D9 contact with a pre-stamped and preaddressed envelop for the return to the GP).

In the same way, in Turkey, in accordance with the Ministry of health recommendations on standard identification and reporting system, as the IBGP study does not interfere with medical intervention, patient or children's parents verbal informed consents are sufficient for participating and consents' record is not requested. According to the recommendation, written and separate approval for this study were not necessary as its content was present in the routine surveillance program [19].

Results

During the two seasons, overall 4316 influenza virus detections (among which 31.9% influenza B) were identified within the two surveillance networks. <u>Table 1</u> shows their distribution in terms of country, season, type, subtype or lineage. The percentage of influenza B over all influenza positive cases during season 1 and 2 was 48.2% and 3.6% in France and 53.6% and 24.2% in Turkey. Concerning influenza A, 92.7% of virus detections (seasons 1 and 2 combined) were subtyped; in both countries A(H1N1)pdm09 predominated in season 1 and A(H3N2) in season 2. Concerning influenza B, 32.0% of virus detections were characterized; the Victoria lineage predominated in France in season 1 and in Turkey in season 2, the Yamagata lineage predominated in Turkey in season 1, and the two lineages were equally frequent in France in season 2.

A total of 774 patients were included in the study: 355 influenza A (205 in France and 150 in Turkey) and 419 influenza B (209 in France and 210 in Turkey) (<u>Table 1</u>). Males represented 53% and 49% of influenza recruits in France and Turkey, respectively. The proportion of influenza B cases aged 0–14, 15–64 and 65+ years was 67%, 27% and 6% in France and 27%, 67% and 6% in Turkey (<u>Table 2</u>); the age distribution of influenza A cases mirrors that of B patients, due to matching.

In France, the profile of symptoms at presentation was similar among influenza A and B recruits, with fever and cough being present each in >75% of patients. In Turkey, most

Table 1. Epidemic peak and type, subtype and lineage of influenza cases detected within the French and Turkish influenza surveillance networks during 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 seasons, and (within parenthesis, in bold) included in the IBGP study.

	2010-	-2011	2011-	2012
	France	Turkey	France	Turkey
Epidemic peak (week)	5-6/2011	5/2011	7-9/2012	2-3/2012
Total influenza positives	2007 (211)	541 (206)	1495 (203)	273 (154)
Flu A (Total)	1040 (32)	251 (54)	1441 (173)	207 (96)
AH1/pdm09	831 (31)	187 (42)	63 (6)	2 (0)
AH3	100 (1)	0 (0)	1335 (164)	205 (96)
A not subtyped	109 (0)	64 (12)	43 (3)	0 (0)
Flu B (Total)	967 (179)	290 (152)	54 (30)	66 (58)
Victoria	274 (155)	0 (0)	16 (15)	53 (51)
Yamagata	18 (11)	60 (58)	19 (14)	0 (0)
B not characterized	675 (13)	230 (94)	19 (1)	13 (7)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139431.t001



Table 2. Gender, age groups, presenting symptoms and syndromes, hospitalization, vaccination status and prescriptions of antibiotics and antivirals among patients enrolled in the IBGP study, by country and influenza type. France and Turkey, 2010–2012.

	France			Turkey			
	Influenza A (n = 205)	Influenza B (n = 209)	Р	Influenza A (n = 150)	Influenza B (n = 210)	Р	
Gender							
male	55%	50%	ns	51%	48%	ns	
Age groups (years)						
0–14	67%	67%		29%	27%		
15–64	27%	27%	ns	66%	67%	ns	
65+	6%	6%		5%	6%		
Presenting sy	mptoms and syndromes						
fever	99%	100%	ns	87%	89%	ns	
cough	90%	92%	ns	91%	95%	ns	
rhinitis	71%	71%	ns	87%	81%	ns	
headache	66%	65%	ns	78%	74%	ns	
myalgia	60%	63%	ns	78%	78%	ns	
sore throat	56%	50%	ns	45%	36%	ns	
dyspnea	5%	6%	ns	7%	12%	ns	
Hospitalizatio	n						
yes	1%	1%	ns	3%	13%	0.002	
Vaccination s	tatus						
vaccinated	5%	6%	ns	5%	1%	0.028	
Drugs prescri	ibed						
antibiotics	28%	24%	ns	51%	58%	ns	
antivirals	13%	21%	0.031	15%	31%	0.001	

ns: not significant

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139431.t002

frequent symptoms were cough, fever, rhinitis, myalgia and headache. Very few (1%) of recruited subjects were hospitalized in France, (no differences by influenza type) while this percentage was 9% in Turkey (13% of influenza B recruits vs 3% influenza A, p<0.01). The proportion of recruits that were vaccinated against influenza was 5–6% in France.

In Turkey, influenza A recruits were frequently more vaccinated than influenza B recruits (p<0.03). Antibiotics were prescribed to 26% of recruits in France and to 55% in Turkey (no differences by influenza type). Antivirals were prescribed more frequently for influenza B than for influenza A recruited patients in Turkey (respectively 15% vs 31%, p = 0.001) and in France (respectively 13% vs 21%, p<0.05). In particular, the use of antiviral was higher for B than A influenza patients in Turkey only among those aged 15–64 years (32% vs. 20%, p <0.05) and 65+ years (85% vs. 14%, p<0.01). Proportion of missing data was low (below 2%) for all variables.

Most influenza recruited patients consulted a physician only once (Table 3). The mean number of consultations was similar for influenza A and B recruits in Turkey (respectively 1.08 and 1.04) and France (respectively 1.39 and 1.38), except among influenza B recruits aged 15–64 years in France which tend to consult more frequently (p<0.01). The number of consultations increased with age in both influenza A and B recruited patients in France (p<0.01) but not in Turkey.

The average (median) reported duration of illness in the age groups 0-14, 15-64 and 65 + years was 7.4 (6), 8.7 (8) and 10.5 (9) days in France, and 6.3 (6), 8.2 (7) and 9.2 (6) days in



	France			Turkey				
Influenza A cases								
	0–14 yrs (n = 138)	15–64 yrs (n = 55)	\geq 65 yrs (n = 12)	all (n = 205)	0–14 yrs (n = 44)	15–64 yrs (n = 99)	\geq 65 yrs (n = 7)	all (n = 150)
Mean No. of consultations	1.36	1.35	2.00	1.39	1.10	1.07	1.14	1.08
No. of consultations (%)								
once	74%	69%	17%	69%	91%	94%	86%	92%
twice	20%	27%	66%	25%	9%	5%	14%	7%
three or more times	6%	4%	17%	6%	0%	1%	0%	1%
			Influen	za B cases				
	0–14 yrs (n = 139)	15–64 yrs (n = 57)	\geq 65 yrs (n = 13)	all (n = 209)	0–14 yrs (n = 57)	15–64 yrs (n = 140)	\geq 65 yrs (n = 13)	all (n = 210)
Mean No. of consultations	1.21	1.68	1.92	1.38	1.09	1.02	1.00	1.04
No. of consultations (%)								
once	84%	47%	46%	72%	93%	98%	100%	97%
twice	12%	39%	15%	20%	5%	1%	0%	2%
three or more times	4%	14%	39%	8%	2%	1%	0%	1%

Table 3. Number of consultations with practitioners of patients infected with influenza B and A, by age groups. France and Turkey, 2010–2012.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139431.t003

Turkey, with no differences by influenza type. The results of the uni- and multi-variable regression examining the impact of the variables considered on the duration of illness are given in Table 4. In multivariable analysis, influenza type does not significantly modify the duration of illness in either country. In both France and Turkey, the fact to be younger than 15 years (compared to those aged ≥ 15) was significantly associated with a reduced duration of illness while an increased duration of illness was significantly associated with having been prescribed antibiotics. In Turkey, an increased duration of illness was significantly associated with having flu during 2010–11 season in univariate, but not multiple regression analysis.

Discussion

We successfully recruited 419 influenza B and 355 influenza A laboratory confirmed cases providing a database in which we would expect to detect important differences (if any) between influenza A and B presentation. We compared the main characteristics of influenza in two countries (France and Turkey) during two consecutive influenza seasons (2010–11 and 2011–12). To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest European community-based study that compares influenza A and B in recent years. This was made possible by the fact that influenza B circulated intensely in Europe during the 2010–2011 season [20].

We found no significant differences between influenza A and B recruited patients for most of the aspects we considered, including presenting symptoms and syndromes, number of consultations and duration of illness. We found more hospital/emergency room visits for influenza B in Turkey though these may have been influenced by changes in healthcare access in Turkey between the two seasons. The majority of influenza B and A cases in Turkey were respectively enrolled during seasons 1 and 2, i.e. before and after the Turkish healthcare reform. Before the reform in 2011, a large proportion of the population did not have any health insurance; however, free healthcare was provided in hospitals, encouraging ill people to primarily search for

	Univariate analysis	Logistic multi-variable analysis							
Covariate	Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value	Odd Ratio	95% Cl		p-value				
France									
Male gender	0.137								
Age <15 years	0.000	0.56	0.37	0.85	0.007				
Age \geq 65 years	0.062								
Influenza B	0.348								
Season 2010–11	0.261								
Vaccination against influenza	0.678								
Antivirals prescribed	0.711								
Antibiotics prescribed	0.000	2.19	1.39	3.45	0.001				
Sickness absence	0.469								
	Turkey	,							
Male gender	0.531								
Age <15 years	0.005	0.43	0.27	0.71	0.001				
Age \geq 65 years	0.459								
Influenza B	0.423								
Season 2010–11	0.000	0.76	0.49	1.20	0.241				
Vaccination against influenza	0.559								
Antivirals prescribed	0.537								
Antibiotics prescribed	0.006	2.12	1.38	3.27	0.001				
Sickness absence	0.204								

Table 4. Uni- and multi-variable regression analysis of variables impacting on duration of illness. France and Turkey, 2010–2012.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139431.t004

hospitals. Therefore, these influenza patients were registered as hospitalized patients. After the 2011 reform, there was a stricter control on direct access of patients to the emergency rooms of hospitals.

As shown by Irving et al. [21], no consistent difference exists in the course of disease for patients affected by either influenza A or B. Other papers have focused on the paediatric population [22–25], hospitalized patients [26, 27], or other specific populations [28, 29]. No consistent patterns emerged that would help differentiate between influenza A and B patients solely on the basis of their clinical presentation, except for milder symptoms among pandemic A (H1N1) than A(H3N2) patients.

This study highlights an increased duration of illness significantly associated with having been prescribed antibiotics, in both France and Turkey, probably because antibiotics are usually prescribed when influenza clinical pattern is severer or followed by bacterial infections. Campaigns against inappropriate prescription of antibiotics in patients with ARI were conducted in France but not in Turkey in recent years; thus the higher proportion of antibiotics prescription in the latter was not surprising. Likewise, health authorities in France published recommendations for antivirals prescription, specifying that it should be only given to ARI patients characterized as clinically severe (i.e. with complications) or having specific risk factors (i.e. diabetes) [30]. Antivirals were more frequently prescribed in influenza B cases compared to A cases in our study. This could be explained by the fact that influenza B cases were mostly recruited during 2010–2011 season (post pandemic season with intense circulation of A (H1N1)pdm09) whereas influenza A cases were mostly recruited during 2011–2012 season.

The low proportion of patients that were vaccinated against influenza in our study sample may be partly explained by the low coverage rate of healthy children and adults in France and

Turkey [<u>31</u>, <u>32</u>] and by the effectiveness, although not perfect, of the influenza vaccination among the elderly. Both in France and Turkey, an increased duration of illness is described among elderly patients, emphasizing that they are more exposed to more severe clinical patterns.

The limitations of our study are mainly due to sentinel surveillance peculiarities. There were inevitable delays in the surveillance program to get the virological result, including the delays between illness onset and consultation in which the patient is swabbed; postal transit of swab test/form from medical office to laboratory; completion of virological investigation and transmission of result to sentinel physician; physician receipt of result and effective telephone contact with eligible patient within the recruitment time window. These delays strongly limited eligible patients' recruitment to the follow-up study.

Sampling or virological analysis bias may occur. However, it is not part of the routine surveillance to investigate every ILI/ARI patient, because of work load and costs constraints that limit the laboratory investigation. In the same way, the virological investigation would ideally include strain subtyping and lineage characterization of all samples but these are not undertaken routinely. As influenza A and B cases were matched by age, we could not compare the age distribution between the two influenza types. The limitations on recruitment to follow-up limited the opportunity for meaningful secondary analyses such as separate analysis by comorbidity. The discretion left to participating practitioners in sampling the ARI/ILI patients may introduce a sampling bias and distort some results, for instance those on the clinical presentation and the proportion of vaccinated. Recall bias may be also an issue, as some information was collected long time after the onset of symptoms.

Differences in access to healthcare between France and Turkey especially concerning the care of children limited opportunities for comparing the results in the two countries. The national differences and distinct arrangements for routine surveillance limited the use of pooled analyses where less objective clinical outcome diagnoses are compared. These practical limitations need to be addressed when planning similar studies.

In conclusion, we showed in two distinct settings that the presentation and the duration of illness due to influenza B do not differ from influenza A. Influenza B is not a milder disease than influenza A and, although it is (on average) less frequent than the latter, the efforts aiming at reducing its burden of disease should be as great as possible. Several differences were instead observed between influenza patients in France and Turkey, which most likely depend on the large dissimilarities in the healthcare systems between these two countries. This raises doubts about the comparability of studies that, unlike the IBGP, are conducted in different countries applying differently the same study protocol.

Supporting Information

S1 Appendix. Influenza surveillance network in France and Turkey. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Peter Spreeuwenberg for his help in multilevel regression analysis. The IBGP study team is composed of all authors and the following persons: Vanessa Escuret, Microbiology Laboratory, University of Lyon 1, Lyon, France; Sylvie Behilil, Virology Department, Unit of Molecular Genetics of RNA viruses, National Influenza Center, Pasteur Institute, CNRS UMR3569, Université Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France; Tan Tai Bui and Elodie Nauleau, Open Rome (Organize and Promote Epidemiological Network), Paris, France; the practitioners of the sentinel networks, Réseau des GROG, Paris, France, and BGGB network in Turkey. Jean Marie Cohen is the lead author of the IBGP study team (jmcoco@openrome.org).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JMC GM JP DMF. Performed the experiments: JMC MLS MC AM ID MV VE. Analyzed the data: JMC MLS AM ID. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MV VE MC SB. Wrote the paper: JMC SC AM ID JP DMF.

References

- Meijer A, Meerhoff TJ, Meuwissen LE, van der Velden J, Paget WJ, European Influenza Surveillance Scheme. Epidemiological and virological assessment of influenza activity in Europe during the winter 2005–2006. Euro Surveill. 2007; 12(9):E11–2. PMID: <u>17991413</u>
- Grant KA, Carville K, Fielding JE, Barr IG, Riddell MA, Tran T, et al. High proportion of influenza B characterises the 2008 influenza season in Victoria. Commun Dis Intell Q Rep. 2009; 33(3): 328–36. PMID: 20043604
- Ambrose CS, Levin MJ. The rationale for quadrivalent influenza vaccines. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2012; 8(1): 81–8. doi: 10.4161/hv.8.1.17623 PMID: 22252006
- Belshe RB. The need for quadrivalent vaccine against seasonal influenza. Vaccine. 2010; 28 Suppl 4: D45–53. doi: <u>10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.08.028</u> PMID: <u>20713260</u>
- Reed C, Meltzer MI, Finelli L, Fiore A. Public health impact of including two lineages of influenza B in a quadrivalent seasonal influenza vaccine. Vaccine. 2012; 30(11):1993–8. doi: <u>10.1016/j.vaccine.2011</u>. <u>12.098</u> PMID: <u>22226861</u>
- 6. Pitman RJ, Nagy LD, Antonova E, Scott DA. Cost-Effectiveness Comparison Of Quadrivalent Versus Trivalent Influenza Vaccines In The United States. Value in Health. 2013; 16(3):A90.
- Truyers C, Lesaffre E, Bartholomeeusen S, Aertgeerts B, Snacken R, Brochier B, et al. Computerized general practice based networks yield comparable performance with sentinel data in monitoring epidemiological time-course of influenza-like illness and acute respiratory illness. BMC Fam Pract. 2010; 11:24. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-11-24 PMID: 20307266
- Pérez-Farinós N, Ordobás M, García-Fernández C, García-Comas L, Cañellas S, Rodero I, et al. Varicella and herpes zoster in Madrid, based on the Sentinel General Practitioner Network: 1997–2004. BMC Infect Dis. 2007; 7:59. PMID: <u>17570859</u>
- Van den Block L, Van Casteren V, Deschepper R, Bossuyt N, Drieskens K, Bauwens S, et al. Nationwide monitoring of end-of-life care via the Sentinel Network of General Practitioners in Belgium: the research protocol of the SENTI-MELC study. BMC Palliat Care. 2007; 6:6. PMID: 17922893
- Hardelid P, Fleming DM, Andrews N, Barley M, Durnall H, Mangtani P, et al. Effectiveness of trivalent and pandemic influenza vaccines in England and Wales 2008–2010: results from a cohort study in general practice. Vaccine. 2012; 30(7): 1371–8. doi: <u>10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.12.038</u> PMID: <u>22178524</u>
- Rozenbaum MH, van Hoek AJ, Fleming D, Trotter CL, Miller E, Edmunds WJ. Vaccination of risk groups in England using the 13 valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine: economic analysis. BMJ. 2012; 345: e6879. doi: <u>10.1136/bmj.e6879</u> PMID: <u>23103369</u>
- Silva ML, Perrier L, Späth HM, Grog I, Mosnier A, Havet N, et al. Economic burden of seasonal influenza B in France during winter 2010–2011. BMC Public Health. 2014; 14:56. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2458-14-56</u> PMID: <u>24443900</u>
- World Health Organization (WHO). Recommended composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the 2010–2011 northern hemisphere influenza season. Available: <u>http://www.who.int/influenza/vaccines/virus/recommendations/201002_Recommendation.pdf</u>. Accessed 29 July 2013.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Recommended composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the 2011–2012 northern hemisphere influenza season. Available: <u>http://www.who.int/influenza/vaccines/2011_02_recommendation.pdf</u>. Accessed 29 July 2013.
- **15.** Hannoun C, Dab W, Cohen JM. A new influenza surveillance system in France: the lle-de-France "GROG". 1. Principles and methodology. Eur J Epidemiol. 1989; 5(3): 285–93. PMID: <u>2792306</u>
- Ciblak MA, Hasoksuz M, Escuret V, Valette M, Gul F, Yilmaz H, et al. Surveillance and oseltamivir resistance of human influenza a virus in Turkey during the 2007–2008 season. J Med Virol. 2009; 81(9): 1645–51. doi: <u>10.1002/jmv.21546</u> PMID: <u>19626608</u>
- 17. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Information for the molecular diagnosis of influenza virus in humans—update (August 2011). Available: http://www.who.int/influenza/resources/documents/molecular_diagnosis_influenza_virus_humans_update_201108.pdf. Accessed 29 July 2013.

- French Code of Public Health. Articles L1122-1 and L1122-2. Available: <u>http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/</u>. Accessed 24 January 2014.
- General Directorate of Primary Health Care. Notification of infectious diseases-Standard identification and reporting system, surveillance and laboratory guide. Turkish Ministry of Health, Ankara. 4th edition. 2005.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual Epidemiological Report 2012. Reporting on 2010 surveillance data and 2011 epidemic intelligence data. Stockholm: ECDC.
- Irving SA, Patel DC, Kieke BA, Donahue JG, Vandermause MF, Shay DK, et al. Comparison of clinical features and outcomes of medically attended influenza A and influenza B in a defined population over four seasons: 2004–2005 through 2007–2008. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2012; 6(1):37–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00263.x PMID: 21668663
- Daley AJ, Nallusamy R, Isaacs D. Comparison of influenza A and influenza B virus infection in hospitalized children. J Paediatr Child Health. 2000; 36(4):332–5. PMID: 10940165
- Chiu SS, Chan KH, Chen H, Young BW, Lim W, Wong WH, et al. Virologically confirmed populationbased burden of hospitalization caused by influenza A and B among children in Hong Kong. Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 49(7):1016–21. doi: <u>10.1086/605570</u> PMID: <u>19722912</u>
- Esposito S, Molteni CG, Daleno C, Valzano A, Fossali E, Da Dalt L, et al. Clinical and socioeconomic impact of different types and subtypes of seasonal influenza viruses in children during influenza seasons 2007/2008 and 2008/2009. BMC Infect Dis. 2011; 11:271. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2334-11-271</u> PMID: <u>21992699</u>
- Nitsch-Osuch A, Wozniak-Kosek A, Korzeniewski K, Zycinska K, Wardyn K, Brydak LB. Clinical features and outcomes of influenza A and B infections in children. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2013; 788:89–96. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-6627-3_14 PMID: 23835964
- 26. Wie SH, So BH, Song JY, Cheong HJ, Seo YB, Choi SH, et al. A comparison of the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of adult patients with laboratory-confirmed influenza A or B during the 2011– 2012 influenza season in Korea: a multi-center study. PLoS One. 2013; 8(5): e62685. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0062685 PMID: 23671624
- Cohen AL, Hellferscee O, Pretorius M, Treurnicht F, Walaza S, Madhi S, et al. Epidemiology of influenza virus types and subtypes in South Africa, 2009–2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014; 20(7):1162–9. doi: 10.3201/eid2007.131869 PMID: 24960314
- Yap J, Tan CH, Cook AR, Loh JP, Tambyah PA, Tan BH, et al. Differing clinical characteristics between influenza strains among young healthy adults in the tropics. BMC Infect Dis. 2012; 12:12. doi: <u>10.1186/</u> <u>1471-2334-12-12</u> PMID: <u>22264216</u>
- Hayward AC, Fragaszy EB, Bermingham A, Wang L, Copas A, Edmunds WJ, et al. Comparative community burden and severity of seasonal and pandemic influenza: results of the Flu Watch cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. 2014; 2(6):445–54. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(14)70034-7 PMID: 24717637
- Public Health Council. Antivirals use during circulation of the A(H1N1)pdm09 virus [in French]. Available: http://www.hcsp.fr/Explore.cgi/avisrapportsdomaine?clefr=243. Accessed 17 July 2015.
- Influenza Expertise and Information Group [in French]. Available: <u>http://www.grippe-geig.com/webapp/</u>website.html?id=2535762. Accessed 17 July 2015.
- Ciblak MA; Grip Platformu. Influenza vaccination in Turkey: prevalence of risk groups, current vaccination status, factors influencing vaccine uptake and steps taken to increase vaccination rate. Vaccine. 2013; 31(3):518–23. doi: <u>10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.11.022</u> PMID: <u>23174194</u>