

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/135030>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

Could music potentially serve as a functional alternative to alcohol consumption? The importance of music motives among drinking and non-drinking adolescents

ANNA JONKER¹ and EMMANUEL KUNTSCHE^{1,2*}

¹Behavioural Science Institute, University of Nijmegen, the Netherlands

²Addiction Switzerland, Research Institute, Lausanne

(Received: December 6, 2013; revised manuscript received: October 14, 2014; accepted: October 19, 2014)

Background and aims: This study investigated whether adolescents who drink and those who are teetotal differ in the link between music motives and health-related outcomes (life satisfaction, self-rated health, school pressure, somatic complaints, depressed and aggressive mood, physical powerlessness, frequency of being bullied and bullying others and evenings spent out with friends). It also looked at whether associations between music motives and health-related outcomes remained significant when drinking motives were included among drinkers. *Methods:* Confirmatory factor analysis and structural equation models were estimated based on data from 4,481 adolescents from Switzerland (mean age 14.5, $SD = 0.9$). *Results:* It was confirmed that the four music motives and the four drinking motives obtained by crossing the valence (positive–negative) and the source (internal–external) of expected change in affect form distinct dimensions (i.e. the 8-factor model best fitted the data). Drinkers and non-drinkers differed in the various links between music motives and health-related outcomes. For example, almost all the links between conformity music motives and the health-related outcomes were significant for non-drinkers but not for drinkers. Enhancement music motives, by contrast, were often significant for drinkers but not for non-drinkers. Coping music motives were significant among both drinkers and non-drinkers. These links were basically unchanged when drinking motives were taken into account. *Discussion and conclusions:* This study indicates that music serves important functions in the lives of adolescents, even among those who use alcohol for different motives. This makes listening to music a promising potential alternative to alcohol use.

Keywords: music motives, drinking motives, alcohol use, adolescents

INTRODUCTION

Functions of music

During the second decade of life, substance use (including alcohol), and use of media (including listening to music) become more and more common (Currie et al., 2012; Roberts & Christenson, 2001). For example, in response to the question regarding what they would take to a desert island, 40% of adolescents in 7th grade indicated music as their first choice; in 11th grade this number increased to more than half (Roberts & Christenson, 2001). There are several functions that music can serve among adolescents, such as bringing them together, regulating their emotions and optimizing their level of arousal and self-reflection on hopes, wishes and concerns (Laiho, 2004). One of the negative consequences of listening to music is hearing impairment. In her meta-analysis, Daniel (2007) shows that many adolescents are exposed to loud music via headphones and car sound systems, during loud concerts and in night clubs. Another study demonstrated that alcohol use may be instigated by the drinking-related lyrics in songs (Engels, Slettenhaar, ter Bogt & Scholte, 2011).

Expected affective change: the motivational model

To investigate what motivates adolescents to listen to music based on the affective change that individuals strive to obtain, Kuntsche, Le Mével and Berson (in press) developed and validated the Motives for Listening to Music Question-

naire (MLMQ). The MLMQ was inspired by the assumptions of the Motivational Model of Alcohol Use by Cox and Klinger (1988, 1990). This model assumes that drinking motives are characterized by two dimensions: the valence (positive or negative) and the source (internal or external). Individuals may drink to obtain a positive outcome or to avoid a negative outcome. In addition, individuals may achieve internal rewards (e.g. change their internal emotional state) or external rewards (e.g. social acceptance) by drinking alcohol. By crossing the two dimensions, Cooper (1994) obtained the four motive factors: “enhancement” (internal, positive), “social” (external, positive), “coping” (internal, negative) and “conformity” (external, negative).

Although originally developed to understand alcohol use, these four motivational factors have been used to investigate other domains of human behaviour such as gambling (Stewart & Zack, 2008) or sexual risk-taking behaviour (Cooper, Shapiro & Powers, 1998). In the MLMQ, the four-factor structure of music motives was confirmed, as were the hypothesized associations between coping motives and health-related outcomes (somatic complaints, aggressive and depressed mood, school pressure, low life satisfaction, etc.), between social motives and peer-related activities (spending evenings with friends, bullying others, etc.), and

* Corresponding author: Emmanuel Kuntsche, PhD; Addiction Switzerland, Research Institute, PO Box 870, CH 1001 Lausanne, Switzerland; Phone: +41 21 321 29 52; Fax: +41 21 321 29 40; E-mail: ekuntsche@suchtschweiz.ch

between conformity motives and being depressed and a victim of bullying (Kuntsche, Le Mével & Berson, in press). Focusing on the affective change adolescents strive to obtain by listening to music, the MLMQ has the advantage that it does not depend on the genre of music the adolescents are listening to, e.g. according to personal taste either classical, folk, rap, rock or another musical genre may be used to cheer up when in a bad mood.

Study aims

A strict comparison between what motivates adolescents to drink alcohol and what motivates them to listen to music, and the similarities and differences between these motivations in respect to health-related outcomes is still lacking. Therefore, this study investigates the links between music motives and health-related outcomes among drinkers and non-drinkers when drinking motives are taken into account. Given the fact that drinking motives can only be assessed among drinkers, our first aim was to test differences in music motives between drinkers and non-drinkers. Before we could test the link between drinking motives and music motives, we had to be sure that the two concepts form distinct dimensions. Therefore, our second aim was to test the hypothesis that drinking motives and music motives form eight distinct dimensions according to the behaviour – listening to music and drinking – and according to the four hypothesized motivational dimensions by crossing type of reinforcement (positive vs. negative) and source of expected effects (internal vs. external). Our third aim was to test whether music motives are still important among drinkers when drinking motives are taken into account. Our hypothesis was that music motives predict the different health-related outcomes in adolescence among drinkers, even when drinking motives are taken into account. This was investigated by testing the link between music motives and the outcomes in adolescence, and then adding drinking motives. Before we could do this, we tested differences in the effects of music motives on the outcomes in adolescence between drinkers and non-drinkers, since drinking motives can only be assessed among drinkers.

If music motives remain important among drinkers, this has implications for prevention. If this turns out to be the case, prevention programs should not only target the specific drinking motives, but could potentially also offer music to adolescents as a functional alternative to alcohol use to obtain a similar effect.

METHODS

Study design

Data were used from the Swiss participation (www.HBSC.ch) in the international survey “Health and Behaviour in School-Aged Children” (www.HBSC.org). Within a random cluster sampling design, 5th to 9th grade state school classes were randomly selected proportionate to the size of the participating Swiss cantons. The response rate was 88%. Between January and April 2010, pupils were given 45 minutes to voluntarily complete the questionnaire on their own in the classroom setting.

Sample and missing values

The sampling was based on lists of Swiss schools from 5th to 9th grade, compiled by the Swiss Federal Statistical Office. The 5th to 7th graders received a shortened version of the questionnaire for comprehension and ethical reasons, in which music motives and drinking motives were not included. The original sample comprised 4,644 8th and 9th grade adolescents from state schools in Switzerland. The participants who failed to answer all the items on one or more music dimensions ($n = 121$; 2.7%) or had inconsistent answers on alcohol use and drinking motive questions ($n = 2$; >0.1%) were excluded from further analysis. The participants with missing values on age ($n = 27$; 0.6%) and gender ($n = 19$; 0.4%) were also excluded. The analysed data consists of 4,481 adolescents (mean age = 14.5, $SD = 0.9$; age range 12 to 18 years; 48.8% male). Of the adolescents 2,720 (60.7%) were drinkers and 1,761 (39.3%) were non-drinkers.

Measures

Drinking motives

Motives for drinking were assessed with DMQ-R SF (Kuntsche & Kuntsche, 2009), which consists of 12 items to measure the four dimensions “Enhancement”, “Social”, “Coping” and “Conformity”. The answer categories were “almost never/never” (coded as 1), “some of the time” (2), “half of the time” (3), “most of the time” (4) and “almost always/always” (5).

Listening to music motives

Motives for listening to music were assessed with MLMQ (Kuntsche et al., in press). Based on the DMQ-R SF, the number of items, dimensions and response options were identical (for details, see Appendix).

Life satisfaction

Using the Cantril ladder (1965), adolescents had to rate their life satisfaction on a ten-point scale ranging from 0 (“worst possible life”) to 10 (“best possible life”) (Kuntsche & Gmel, 2004).

School pressure

School pressure measured the global amount of pressure or stress caused by the demands of schoolwork and homework. Answer categories were “not at all” (coded as 1), “a little” (2), “some” (3) and “a lot” (4).

Self-rated health

Adolescents were asked “Would you say your health is...”, followed by the answer categories “poor” (coded as 1), “fair” (2), “good” (3) and “excellent” (4).

Health complaints

The HBSC Symptom Checklist (HBSC-SCL; Haugland & Wold, 2001) contains items on somatic complaints (“head-

ache”, “stomach ache”, “backache”, $\alpha = .58$), aggressive mood (“irritability and bad temper”, “felt annoyed and angry”, $\alpha = .76$), depressed mood (feeling “low”, “nervous”, “anxious or worried”, $\alpha = .72$) and physical powerlessness (“difficulties getting to sleep”, “feeling dizzy”, “feeling tired”, $\alpha = .55$). Answer categories were coded to represent monthly frequencies: “rarely or never” (coded as 0), “about every month” (1), “about every week” (4.5), “more than once a week” (9) and “about every day” (30).

Frequency of being bullied

Based on the work of Olweus (1994), adolescents had to indicate how often they had been bullied at school in the previous couple of months. Answer categories were “did not happen” (coded as 0), “once or twice” (1.5), “2 or 3 times a month” (5), “about once a week” (9) and “several times a week” (18).

Frequency of bullying others

Adolescents were asked to indicate how often they had taken part in bullying other student(s) at school in the previous couple of months. Answer categories were identical to the ones for being bullied.

Evenings spent with friends

Adolescents were asked to indicate how many evenings per week they usually spend out with their friends. The eight answer categories ranged from “no evenings” (codes as 0) to “7 evenings” (7).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in the statistical software Mplus (Muthén & Muthén, 2010). To account for the dependency and non-normal distribution of observations (e.g. adolescents nested within school classes), we used the Mplus complex sampling option and the MLR estimator (Maximum Likelihood Robust).

First, we used logistic regression to test whether drinkers ($n = 2,270$; 60.7%, coded as 1) differ from non-drinkers ($n = 1,761$; 39.3%, coded as 0) in the four music motive dimensions (i.e. enhancement, social, coping and conformity). We adjusted this analysis for age and gender effects.

Second, to confirm that drinking motives and music motives form different dimensions, we used confirmatory factor analysis. Since drinking motives cannot be assessed among non-drinkers, the sample comprised 2,720 adolescents (60.7%) who had drunk alcohol at least once in the previous 12 months. We compared the model fit of a two-dimensional structure, a four-dimensional structure and an eight-dimensional structure. In the two-dimensional solution, all drinking motive items were loaded on one factor and all music motive items on another; the four-dimensional structure contained the dimensions enhancement, social, conformity and coping, that consisted of both the items of drinking motives and music motives; and the eight-dimensional structure contained the dimensions enhancement drinking motives, enhancement music motives, social drinking motives, social music motives, coping drinking motives, coping music motives, conformity drinking motives and conformity music motives. We used the CFI (Comparative

Fit Index), the TLI (Tucker-Lewis Index), the RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error of Approximation) and the SRMR (Standardized Root Mean Square Residual) to evaluate the model. For an acceptable fit, the CFI and TLI values should both be .95 or higher, while the RMSEA and the SRMR values should both be .08 or lower (Schreiber, Nora, Stage, Barlow & King, 2006).

Third, we estimated multivariate linear structural equation models to test the expected association of music motives and several health-related outcomes in adolescence. The models were estimated separately, with the four music dimensions as independent variables, gender and age as control variables, and a particular outcome in adolescence as a dependent variable (i.e. life satisfaction, school pressure, self-rated health, somatic complaints, aggressive mood, depressed mood, physical powerlessness, frequency of being bullied, frequency of bullying and evenings spent with friends). To test differences in the effects of music motives on the outcomes in adolescence between drinkers and non-drinkers, the models were estimated separately for drinkers ($n = 2,720$; 60.7%) and non-drinkers ($n = 1,761$; 39.3%). To reduce the complexity of these very large models, summary scores of the different motive dimensions were used.

Subsequently, among drinkers, we investigated whether the expected association between music motives and several outcomes in adolescence remains significant when drinking motives are included simultaneously in this model.

Ethics

Anonymity and privacy were guaranteed by asking pupils not to write their names on the questionnaires and to put the questionnaires in an unmarked envelope after completion and seal it. The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (Canton of Vaud Protocol no. 173/09). In each participating canton and school, the educational authorities and head teachers both gave permission to conduct the HBSC survey.

RESULTS

Step 1: Logistic regression

Drinkers were less likely to indicate high levels of enhancement music motives and high levels of conformity music motives than non-drinkers (Table 1). They were more likely to indicate high levels of social music motives than non-drinkers.

Table 1. Odds ratios and 95% confidence interval (in brackets) to predict the differences between music motives and being a drinker (coded as 1) or a non-drinker (coded as 0) adjusted for age and gender effects

	Odds ratio
Enhancement motives	0.861*** (0.782–0.948)
Social motives	2.068*** (1.930–2.215)
Coping motives	1.037 (0.970–1.108)
Conformity motives	0.648*** (0.619–0.756)
Age	1.441*** (1.328–1.564)
Gender (male = 1)	1.556*** (1.338–1.811)

Note: *** $P < 0.001$.

Step 2: Confirmatory factor analysis

The two-dimensional structure, i.e. general drinking motivation (12 items) vs. general music motivation (12 items) and the four-dimensional structure (enhancement, social, coping and conformity motives of both music and alcohol use items) showed a poor fit to the data (Table 2). Only the eight-dimensional structure had a good fit (factor loadings, factor correlations, means and internal consistencies are provided in the Appendix).

Table 2. Model fit of the three confirmatory factor analyses estimated

	2-dimensional structure	4-dimensional structure	8-dimensional structure
CFI	.498	.544	.926
TLI	.438	.488	.909
RMSEA	.134	.128	.054
SRMR	.130	.134	.051

Step 3: Structural equation modelling

Table 3 reveals that drinkers and non-drinkers differed in the links between music motives and health-related outcomes in adolescence. Almost all the links between conformity music motives and the health-related outcomes were significant for non-drinkers, whereas almost all the same links were not significant for drinkers. In this group, there was a significant negative link between enhancement music motives and being bullied, while the opposite was true for bullying others. There

was also a significant positive link between enhancement music motives and evenings spent with friends among drinkers. With the exception of the latter, these links were in the same direction but were not significant among non-drinkers. Among non-drinkers, there was a significant negative link between enhancement music motives and depressed mood. Social music motives were related to both depressed mood and physical powerlessness. These links were also negative but not significant among drinkers. Almost all the effects of coping music motives on the health-related outcomes were significant among both drinkers and non-drinkers.

Almost all links between music motives and health-related outcomes in adolescence remained significant when drinking motives were included in the model (Table 4). There were also significant effects of drinking motives on outcomes in adolescence. However, for example, the same effects between enhancement music motives and the health-related outcomes in adolescence were significant with and without drinking motives.

Certain links between music motives and health-related outcomes even became significant when drinking motives were taken into account, e.g. between social music motives and physical powerlessness, and between coping music motives and evenings spent with friends. Certain links disappeared when drinking motives were taken into account, e.g. between social music motives and bullying others, between conformity music motives and depressed mood, and between conformity music motives and being bullied.

Table 4 also shows that the significant links of music and drinking motives and health-related outcomes almost al-

Table 3. Music motives as predictors of health indicators and social issues

	Drinkers				Non-drinkers			
	Enh.	Social	Coping	Conf.	Enh.	Social	Coping	Conf.
Life satisfaction	.072*	.060*	-.181***	-.025	.073*	.085*	-.254***	-.091*
School pressure	.032	-.011	.115***	.025	-.065	.033	.120***	.065*
Self-rated health	-.001	.095***	-.116***	-.011	.013	.130***	-.175***	-.077*
Somatic complaints	-.002	.001	.139***	.050	-.058	-.014	.186***	.132*
Aggressive mood	.043	-.030	.164***	.002	-.051	-.032	.253***	.122*
Depressed mood	-.026	-.037	.232***	.059*	-.099*	-.072*	.244***	.225***
Physical powerlessness	.006	-.028	.195***	-.017	-.036	-.084*	.221***	.137*
Being bullied	-.075*	-.090*	.115***	.067*	-.042	-.092*	.149***	.034
Bullying others	.083*	.076*	-.019	.078*	.020	.102***	.002	.069*
Evenings with friends	.084*	.173***	-.026	.063*	-.013	.282***	.021	.078*

Note: Adjusted for gender and age effects; all coefficients are standardized regression weights (Beta); * $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.001$

Table 4. Music motives and drinking motives as predictors of health indicators and social issues

	Music motives				Drinking motives			
	Enh.	Social	Coping	Conf.	Enh.	Social	Coping	Conf.
Life satisfaction	.069*	.068*	-.122***	-.007	-.003	-.017	-.249***	.020
School pressure	.022	-.016	.097***	.017	.059*	-.013	.083*	.002
Self-rated health	-.004	.108***	-.075*	.008	-.015	-.026	-.172***	-.007
Somatic complaints	-.005	-.009	.109***	.038	.034	.012	.127***	-.002
Aggressive mood	.047	-.033	.107***	-.016	.003	.003	.238***	-.010
Depressed mood	-.009	-.043	.164***	.022	-.052*	.037	.271***	.025
Physical powerlessness	.003	-.066*	.165***	-.029	.043	.073*	.133***	-.001
Being bullied	-.062*	-.071*	.095***	.025	-.033	-.035	.062*	.095*
Bullying others	.074*	.031	-.040	.074*	.073*	.078*	.102***	-.011
Evenings spent with friends	.079*	.105***	-.064*	.063*	.065*	.145***	.168***	-.039

Note: Adjusted for gender and age effects; all coefficients are standardized regression weights (Beta); * $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.001$; changes in the significance levels in comparison to the model without drinking motives (see column 'Drinkers' in Table 3) are in italic.

ways went in the same direction (i.e. either both positive or both negative). Two exceptions were the effects of social music motives and social drinking motives on physical powerlessness, and the effects of coping music motives and coping drinking motives on evenings spent with friends. The link between social music motives and physical powerlessness was negative, whereas the one for social drinking motives was positive. The same is true for the link between coping music motives and evenings spent with friends (negative) and coping drinking motives and evenings spent with friends (positive).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate the links between music motives and health-related outcomes among non-drinkers and drinkers and whether these links are still significant among drinkers when drinking motives are taken into account.

Differences in music motives between drinkers and non-drinkers

The results show that drinkers were less likely to indicate high enhancement music motives than non-drinkers. This is in contrast with research showing that individuals who drink for enhancement motives are sensation seekers (Kuntsche, Knibbe, Gmel & Engels, 2006), which served as a basis for the hypothesis that drinkers would score higher on enhancement music motives than non-drinkers. A possible explanation for the contrary finding could be that, because of its psychoactive properties, alcohol has a stronger potential for seeking extreme sensations than listening to music. Due to its strong psycho-stimulant effects (e.g. heart rate acceleration) alcohol seems to be particularly suited to obtaining enhancing effects (Comeau, Stewart & Loba, 2001).

Drinkers were also less likely to indicate a higher level of conformity music motives than non-drinkers. Conformity-driven drinkers usually have the lowest level of endorsement of the four drinking motives (Kuntsche, Stewart & Cooper, 2008), i.e. most drinkers do not drink to be accepted by peers or to fit in with a group. Similarly, when it comes to motives for listening to music, research shows that listening to music to please friends and to gain popularity were the least indicated motives by adolescents (Tarrant, North & Hargreaves, 2000). Thus, because drinkers do not usually feel pressured by peers to drink alcohol, it is likely that they do not feel pressured by peers to listen to music either.

Drinkers were more likely to indicate high social music motives than non-drinkers. Research shows that heavy drinking among adolescents and young adults often happens in a social context. Just a small percentage of the adolescents drink heavily when alone (Christiansen, Vik & Jarchow, 2002; Kuntsche & Gmel, 2004). Because drinking usually happens in a social context, it is likely that drinkers also listen to music due to social motives.

Testing the dimensionality of drinking motives and music motives

To confirm the theoretically assumed four-dimensional structure (Cooper, 1994; Cooper et al., 1998; Cox & Klinger, 1988, 1990), our second aim was to test whether

drinking motives and music motives form eight distinct dimensions. Indeed, results from confirmatory factor analyses showed that the eight-factor model had a better fit than any alternative model with CFI, TLI, RMSEA and SRMR values that were close to recommended threshold (Schreiber et al., 2006) or better. This extends the findings of Kuntsche and Kuntsche (2009) and Kuntsche, Le Mével and Berson (in press) by demonstrating the existence of the four-factor structure of drinking motives and music motives when analysed separately but also when tested within the same study and questionnaire.

Links between music motives and health-related outcomes in the presence of drinking motives

Our third aim was to test whether music motives are still significant predictors of health-related outcomes among drinkers when drinking motives are taken into account. First, differences in the links between music motives and health-related outcomes in adolescence between drinkers and non-drinkers were investigated. There was a significant link between conformity music motives and almost all the health-related outcomes among non-drinkers, whereas these links did not exist among drinkers. Research shows that individuals who drink for conformity motives are self-conscious and control their feelings of social awkwardness by drinking alcohol (Stewart & Devine, 2000) not by listening to music. If they do not drink alcohol, listening to music may play a stronger role in this respect but clearly more research is needed to gain further insights into the interplay between alcohol use, listening to music for conformity motives and health-related outcomes.

Moreover, the links between enhancement music motives and bullying others and between enhancement music motives and evenings spent with friends were significant among drinkers, but not among non-drinkers. Enhancement drinkers are often extraverted, sociable, excitement-seeking, and impulsive (Kuntsche et al., 2006). They are more likely to engage in aggressive behaviour like bullying others and to seek social company.

Another notable result is that almost all the links between coping music motives and the health-related outcomes are significant for both drinkers and non-drinkers. This may be explained by the link between coping motives and personality. Drinking to cope with negative feelings is associated with the personality trait neuroticism. Individuals that score high on this trait often experience negative feelings and may use different coping strategies to manage these feelings (Kuntsche et al., 2006). These coping strategies may be for example drinking alcohol or listening to music.

Subsequently, among alcohol-using adolescents, drinking motives were included. The results showed that almost all links remained significant between music motives and health-related outcomes in adolescence when drinking motives were taken into account and all the significant links were in the same direction. This can be explained by the importance of music in adolescence. As mentioned earlier, music can help adolescents with identity formation, peer affiliation, expressing agency and managing emotions (Laiho, 2004).

Although the effects of music motives and drinking motives on health-related outcomes were often in the same direction (i.e. either both positive or both negative), there were some notable exceptions in the links between social mu-

sic/drinking motives and physical powerlessness, and between coping music/drinking motives and evenings spent with friends. The positive link between social drinking motives and physical powerlessness in contrast to the negative link between social music motives may be explained by the negative consequences of alcohol use. Alcohol use has a lot of negative (physical) consequences like being sick or having a hangover the day after, blackouts or getting involved in accidents (Gmel, Rehm & Kuntsche, 2003), whereas music does not have these consequences. The only direct physical negative consequence of listening to music can be hearing impairment, when individuals listen to music that is too loud (Daniel, 2007).

When it comes to the positive link between coping drinking motives and evenings spent with friends (the negative link for coping music motives), one explanation might be that drinking usually happens in a social context; solitary drinking is not usual (Christiansen et al., 2002; Kuntsche & Gmel, 2004). By contrast, listening to music may be done alone or together with peers (Tarrant et al., 2000). It appears that to cope with negative emotions, adolescents tend to listen to music on their own but drink together with peers.

Certain links between music motives and health-related outcomes disappeared when drinking motives were added. This was particularly the case for bullying. Violence is likely to occur in peer groups where heavy drinking is common (Kuntsche & Gmel, 2004). Adolescents who drink for conformity motives often act violently themselves (Kuntsche, 2007), probably because they want to avoid peer rejection from a group in which violence is common. Listening to music because of conformity motives does not appear to play a prominent role in this respect.

Limitations

First, the results are representative of adolescents in Switzerland, but it remains unclear if the results can be generalized to other countries. Although drinking motives were found to be invariant across countries (Kuntsche et al., 2008; Kuntsche et al., 2014), there might be differences in music motives across cultures. Future research is needed to validate the MLMQ cross-nationally. A second limitation is the cross-sectional nature of the data, which makes it possible to demonstrate links between the different variables used in this study, but which makes it impossible to demonstrate cause-and-effect relationships. Future research is needed to investigate changes in both music motives and drinking motives over time.

Implications for prevention

Personality-specific strategies have demonstrated, for example, that it is possible to reduce coping drinking motives by targeting anxiety-sensitivity (Conrod, Castellanos-Ryan & Mackie, 2011). Another effective way of reducing alcohol use is by offering functional alternatives (Correia, Simons, Carey & Borsari, 1998; Murphy, Colby, Correia & Vuchinich, 2005). For example, it may be promising to teach adolescents how to find relief and to cheer up by listening to music or how to have more fun with friends with music instead of using the psychoactive properties of alcohol for those purposes. Besides targeting specific drinking motives, prevention programs should therefore consider offering music as a functional alternative to alcohol use.

CONCLUSIONS

This study showed important links between music motives and health-related outcomes among non-drinking adolescents and among drinkers even when drinking motives were taken into account. Music usually serves important functions in the lives of adolescents, even for those who use alcohol for different motives. This makes listening to music a promising candidate to serve as a functional alternative to alcohol use. Furthermore, music motives and drinking motives were shown to form eight distinct dimensions, pointing to the potential to attenuate one behaviour (drinking alcohol) by reinforcing another (listening to music). This is particularly important because listening to music usually has fewer negative consequences than excessive alcohol consumption (Daniel, 2007; Gmel et al., 2003). However, despite the fact that the function that music serves for a given individual heavily depends on personal taste, including music in prevention efforts also means that one has to pay attention to the kind of music. For example, alcohol-related lyrics in songs may instigate alcohol consumption (Engels et al., 2011). Moreover, a personal preference for certain musical genres such as house, techno, rock, heavy metal, punk and gothic has been found to be associated positively with substance use (ter Bogt et al., 2012).

Funding sources: This research was primarily funded by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (grant no. 09.000925).

Authors' contributions: AJ: analysis and interpretation of data, statistical analysis; EK: study concept and design, obtaining funding, study supervision. Both authors had full access to the data and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Marina Delgrande Jordan, Edith Bacher, Christiane Gmel, and Béat Windlin for their contributions to the 2010 Swiss HBSC study and Gemma Brown for copy editing.

REFERENCES

- Cantril, H. (1965). *The pattern of human concern*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Christiansen, M., Vik, P. W. & Jarchow, A. (2002). College student heavy drinking in social contexts versus alone. *Addictive Behaviors, 27*, 393–404.
- Comeau, N., Stewart, S. H. & Loba, P. (2001). The relations of trait anxiety, anxiety sensitivity, and sensation seeking to adolescents' motivations for alcohol, cigarette, and marijuana use. *Addictive Behaviors, 26*, 803–825.
- Conrod, P. J., Castellanos-Ryan, N. & Mackie, C. (2011). Long-term effects of a personality-targeted intervention to reduce alcohol use in adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 79*, 296–306.

- Cooper, M. L. (1994). Motivations for alcohol use among adolescents: Development and validation of a four-factor model. *Psychological Assessment, 6*, 117–128.
- Cooper, M. L., Shapiro, C. M. & Powers, A. M. (1998). Motivations for sex and risky sexual behavior among adolescents and young adults: A functional perspective. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 75*, 1528–1558.
- Correia, C. J., Simons, J., Carey, K. B. & Borsari, B. E. (1998). Predicting drug use: Application of behavioral theories of choice. *Addictive Behaviors, 23*, 705–709.
- Cox, W. M. & Klinger, E. (1988). A motivational model of alcohol use. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 97*, 168–180.
- Cox, W. M. & Klinger, E. (1990). Incentive motivation, affective change, and alcohol use: A model. In W. M. Cox (Ed.), *Why people drink: Parameters of alcohol as a reinforcer*. New York: Gardner Press.
- Currie, C., Zanotti, C., Morgan, A., Currie, D., De Looze, M., Roberts, C., Samdal, O., Smith, O. & Barnekow, V. (Eds.) (2012). *Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) study: International report from the 2009/2010 survey*. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe (Health Policy for Children and Adolescents, No. 6).
- Daniel, E. (2007). Noise and hearing loss: A review. *Journal of School Health, 77*, 225–231.
- Engels, R. C., Slettenhaar, G., ter Bogt, T. & Scholte, R. (2011). Effects of alcohol references in music on alcohol consumption in public places. *American Journal on Addictions, 20*(6), 530–534.
- Gmel, G., Rehm, J. & Kuntsche, E. (2003). Binge drinking in Europe: Definitions, epidemiology, and consequences. *SUCHT – Zeitschrift für Wissenschaft und Praxis, 49*, 105–116.
- Haugland, S. & Wold, B. (2001). Subjective health in adolescence – Reliability and validity of the HBSC symptom check list. *Journal of Adolescence, 24*, 611–624.
- Kuntsche, E. (2007). *Tell me... Why do you drink? A study of drinking motives in adolescence*. Lausanne: Swiss Institute for the Prevention of Alcohol and Drug Problems.
- Kuntsche, E. N. & Gmel, G. (2004). Emotional wellbeing and violence among social and solitary risky single occasion drinkers in adolescence. *Addiction, 98*, 331–339.
- Kuntsche, E., Knibbe, R., Gmel, G. & Engels, R. (2006). Who drinks and why? A review of socio-demographic, personality, and contextual issues behind the drinking motives in young people. *Addictive Behaviours, 31*, 1844–1857.
- Kuntsche, E. & Kuntsche, S. (2009). Development and validation of the Drinking Motive Questionnaire Revised Short Form (DMQ-R SF). *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 38*, 899–908.
- Kuntsche, E., Le Mével, L. & Berson, I. (in press). Development and validation of the Motives for Listening to Music Questionnaire (MLMQ). *Psychology of Music*.
- Kuntsche, E., Nic Gabhainn, S., Roberts, C., Windlin, B., Vieno, A., Bendtsen, P., Hublet, A., Tynjälä, J., Välimaa, R., Dankulincová, Z., Aasvee, K., Demetrovics, Z., Farkas, J., van der Sluijs, W., Gaspar de Matos, M., Mazur, J. & Wicki, M. (2014). Drinking motives and links to alcohol use in 13 European countries. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, 75*(3), 428–437.
- Kuntsche, E., Stewart, S. H. & Cooper, M. L. (2008). How stable is the motive-alcohol use link? A cross-national validation of the Drinking Motives Questionnaire Revised among adolescents from Switzerland, Canada, and the United States. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, 69*, 388–396.
- Laiho, S. (2004). The psychological functions of music in adolescence. *Nordic Journal of Music Therapy, 13*, 47–63.
- Murphy, J. G., Colby, S. M., Correia, C. J. & Vuchinich, R. E. (2005). Using behavioral theories of choice to predict drinking outcomes following a brief intervention. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 13*, 93–101.
- Muthén, L. K. & Muthén, B. O. (2010). *Mplus user's guide. Fifth edition*, Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
- Olweus, D. (1994). Annotation: Bullying at school: Basic facts and effects of a school based intervention program. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 35*, 1171–1190.
- Roberts, D. F. & Christenson, P. G. (2001). Popular music in childhood and adolescence. In D. G. Singer & J. L. Singer (Eds.), *Handbook of children and the media* (pp. 395–414). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Schreiber, J. B., Nora, A., Stage, F. K., Barlow, E. A. & King, J. (2006). Reporting structural equation modeling and confirmatory factor analysis results: A review. *The Journal of Educational Research, 99*, 323–337.
- Stewart, S. H. & Devine, H. (2000). Relations between personality and drinking motives in young adults. *Personality and Individual Differences, 29*, 495–511.
- Stewart, S. H. & Zack, M. (2008). Development and psychometric evaluation of a three-dimensional Gambling Motives Questionnaire. *Addiction, 103*, 1110–1117.
- Tarrant, M., North, A. C. & Hargreaves, D. J. (2000). English and American adolescents' reasons for listening to music. *Psychology of Music, 28*, 166–173.
- ter Bogt, T. F., Gabhainn, S. N., Simons-Morton, B. G., Ferreira, M., Hublet, A., Godeau, E., Kuntsche, E. & Richter, M. (2012). Dance is the new metal: Adolescent music preferences and substance use across Europe. *Substance Use & Misuse, 47*(2), 130–142.

APPENDIX

Results of the Confirmatory Factor Analysis to test the eight-dimensional structure of drinking motives and music motives

<i>When you think about all the times you drank alcohol (beer, wine, spirits, etc.) over the past 12 months, how many times did you do it ...</i>	Drinking motives				Music motives			
	Enhance- ment	Social	Coping	Con- formity	Enhance- ment	Social	Coping	Con- formity
because you like the feeling?	.837							
to get high?	.786							
because it is fun?	.688							
because it helps you to enjoy a party?		.778						
because it makes social gatherings more fun?		.776						
because it improves parties and celebrations?		.822						
because it helps you when you feel depressed or nervous?								.883
to cheer you up when you are in a bad mood?								.818
to forget about your problems?								.810
to be liked?								.730
to fit in with a group you like?								.879
so you won't feel left out?								.798
<i>How often do you listen to music for the following reasons...</i>								
because you like the feeling?								.737
to get high?								.477
because it is fun?								.573
because it helps you to enjoy a party?								.828
because it makes social gatherings more fun?								.569
because it improves parties and celebrations?								.844
because it helps you when you feel depressed or nervous?								.894
to cheer you up when you are in a bad mood?								.890
to forget about your problems?								.689
to be liked?								.741
to fit in with a group you like?								.904
so you won't feel left out?								.852
Correlation with the factor "Drinking Social"	.813							
Correlation with the factor "Drinking Coping"	.492	.399						
Correlation with the factor "Drinking Conformity"	.291	.260	.365					
Correlation with the factor "Music Enhancement"	.297	.225	.126	.015				
Correlation with the factor "Music Social"	.270	.461	.101	.038	.625			
Correlation with the factor "Music Coping"	.076	.110	.252	.048	.657	.416		
Correlation with the factor "Music Conformity"	.079	.085	.159	.415	.240	.154	.163	
Means (SD)	2.10 (1.12)	2.33 (1.20)	1.49 (0.86)	1.15 (0.48)	3.14 (0.98)	3.23 (1.31)	3.23 (1.30)	1.27 (0.70)
Internal consistencies (Cronbach's alpha)	.835	.867	.878	.836	.607	.815	.861	.827

Note: All factor loadings are standardized item loadings and are significant at the 0.01 % level.