
Institut de Recerca en Economia Aplicada Regional i Pública
Research Institute of Applied Economics

Document de Treball 2012/10 30 pàg.
Working Paper 2012/10 30 pag.

“The Institutional, Economic and Social Determinants of Local Government Transparency”

Daniel Albalade



Institut de Recerca en Economia Aplicada Regional i Pública
Research Institute of Applied Economics

Universitat de Barcelona

Av. Diagonal, 690 • 08034 Barcelona

WEBSITE: www.ub.edu/irea/ • CONTACT: irea@ub.edu

The Research Institute of Applied Economics (IREA) in Barcelona was founded in 2005, as a research institute in applied economics. Three consolidated research groups make up the institute: AQR, RISK and GiM, and a large number of members are involved in the Institute. IREA focuses on four priority lines of investigation: (i) the quantitative study of regional and urban economic activity and analysis of regional and local economic policies, (ii) study of public economic activity in markets, particularly in the fields of empirical evaluation of privatization, the regulation and competition in the markets of public services using state of industrial economy, (iii) risk analysis in finance and insurance, and (iv) the development of micro and macro econometrics applied for the analysis of economic activity, particularly for quantitative evaluation of public policies.

IREA Working Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. For that reason, IREA Working Papers may not be reproduced or distributed without the written consent of the author. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IREA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

Abstract

Interest in public accountability and government transparency is increasing worldwide. The literature on the determinants of transparency is evolving but is still in its early stages. So far, it has typically focused on national or regional governments while neglecting the local government level. This paper builds on the scarce knowledge available in order to examine the economic, social, and institutional determinants of local government transparency in Spain. We draw on a 2010 survey and the transparency indexes constructed by the NGO Transparency International (Spain) in order to move beyond the fiscal transparency addressed in previous work. In so doing, we broaden the analysis of transparency to the corporate, social, fiscal, contracting, and planning activities of governments. Our results on overall transparency indicate that large municipalities and left-wing local government leaders are associated with better transparency indexes; while the worst results are presented by provincial capitals, cities where tourist activity is particularly important and local governments that enjoy an absolute majority. The analysis of other transparency categories generally shows the consistent impact of these determinants and the need to consider a wider set of variables to capture their effect.

JEL classification: H11; H70; Z18

Keywords: Transparency; Local Government; Corruption

Daniel Albalate, Departament de Política Econòmica. Grup de Recerca en Governos i Mercats (GiM). Institut de Recerca en Economia Aplicada (IREA). Avda. Diagonal 690. 08034. Barcelona. E-mail: albalate@ub.edu

Acknowledgements:

This research received financial help from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation under Project ECO2009-06946 and from the Regional Government of Catalonia under project SGR2009-1066. I am also thankful to comments and suggestions received from Germà Bel and Xavier Fageda.

*The truth is incontrovertible. Malice may attack it,
ignorance may deride it, but in the end, there it is.*

W. Churchill

1. Introduction

The economic downturn and the responsibilities and performance of many public officials with respect to the management of the public administration have renewed demands for democratization and accountability. In this context, transparency becomes a necessary tool for the public to monitor and evaluate the performance of their representatives and public servants. Furthermore, transparency becomes an important component of good governance and institutional quality, which in turn, are proven drivers of economic growth and public welfare (Knack and Keefer 1995; Williamson, 2000; Acemoglu, Johnson and Robinson, 2002; Kaufman and Kraay, 2002).

There are many definitions of transparency but all of them hold the role of information accessibility at their core. For instance, Kaufmann and Kraay (2002) define transparency as the increased flow of timely and reliable economic, social, and political information, accessible to all relevant stakeholders. This definition may be applied to several public activities. For instance Islam (2004) focuses on the timely availability of economic data. However, transparency may also be focused on political markets. Whatever the case may be, transparency is always a necessary condition for accountability, since access to information constitutes the first step in accountability processes (Meijer, 2003).

In addition, improving transparency to reduce corruption has been the focus of a broad literature (Blumkin and Gradstein, 2002; Lindstedt and Naurin, 2010; Peisakhin and Pinto, 2010; among others).¹ Alesina and Perotti (1996) identify two theories, the fiscal-illusion and principal-agent theories, to explain why politicians are not encouraged to adopt the most transparent practices. Nonetheless, greater transparency acts to minimize the moral hazard problem by facilitating public control, which is linked to less corruption. As stated in the seminal paper on the economic theory of crime by Becker (1968), the probability that an individual commits a

¹ One common definition of corruption is the “improper use of public office in exchange for private gain” (Lindstedt and Naurin, 2010).

crime depends on the assumed risk, the possible gain, and the probable punishment. Transparency works by increasing the risk of being caught. However, transparency alone may not be sufficient (Kolstad and Wiig, 2009; Lindstedt and Naurin, 2010), and we need other accompanying mechanisms (sanctions, social embarrassment, education, media circulation, etc.).

From the perspective of democracy, the transparency and dissemination of information is critical for different social groups to participate in the decision-making process (Bellver and Kaufmann, 2005). Information dissemination is a core concept of deliberative democracies that affects the behavior of the political system. Formal institutions of political competition are not sufficient to deliver a responsive government, particularly in the case of the most vulnerable and poorly informed citizens, unless voters have real authority to discipline poorly functioning incumbents thanks to effective institutions of information transmission (Besley and Burgess, 2002).

Beyond the institutional view, transparency is desirable from the economic perspective, because it increases efficiency in the allocation of resources by reducing principal-agent problems (Holmström, 1979) and discouraging rent-seeking instead of productive activities (Baumol, 1990; Murphy, Shleifer, and Vishny, 1991). In fact, transparency is based on the accessibility of information, which is a public good that improves the functioning of markets and prevents market failures, according to classical papers by Stigler (1961), Stiglitz and Weiss (1981), and Stiglitz (2000), among others.

Finally, by making corruption less probable or more difficult, transparency provides a better context for economic growth, efficiency, and development as a means of improving governance (Mauro, 1995; Olson, Sarna, and Swamy, 2000; Meón and Wells, 2005). Alt and Lassen (2006) show the effects of fiscal transparency on the accumulation of public debt, and how institutional factors influence this specific type of transparency. Nonetheless, other recent theoretical papers, such as the work of Gavazza and Lizzeri (2009), cast doubt on the expected and unambiguous benefits of fiscal transparency by showing that it might promote incentives to wasteful spending in the case of full transparency of revenues. Others, like Bac (2001, p. 93), argue that “transparency enhances the incentives to establish connections for corruption by improving the information about the identities of the ‘key’ policy makers”.

In spite of the clear social and economic relevance of transparency in public institutions, and the broad literature discussing and formalizing the role of information and corruption, there are still few empirical studies of the determinants of transparency. Furthermore, most of the existing studies are devoted to national government transparency or country-specific transparency, focusing either on the public administration or on the private sector. As far as we know, the literature has neglected study of the determinants of local government transparency and there are very few studies in this research area; a state of affairs noted by Piotrowski and Bertelli (2010).

The contribution of this paper is an empirical assessment of local government transparency determinants drawing on the transparency index constructed by the NGO Transparency International for the major Spanish municipalities, taking into account the role of institutional, economic, and social explanatory factors. Our empirical strategy serves to explore and identify how political, economic, and socio-demographic conditions affect the transparency of local governments. With this purpose in mind, the paper builds on the scarce existing empirical literature on local government transparency, which has mainly focused on the area of fiscal and budget transparency. Beyond this, the present study takes a broader approach by incorporating into the analysis not only other potential determinants of local government transparency, but also the evaluation of these models in different areas such as procurement and urban planning, corporate transparency or the relationship between the council and the citizen.

2. Local government transparency

In order to position the main contributions of this paper, it is necessary first to provide a brief review of the existing literature on empirical evaluations of local government transparency, giving special attention to those studies devoted to the Spanish experience that may be institutionally more closely linked to our empirical exercise.

As far as we know, very few studies have examined the determinants of transparency at the local level and no well-established theoretical literature has yet been consolidated. Among the most interesting empirical papers on transparency, we would mention the work by Esteller and Polo-Otero (2008), who constructed a database for Catalan municipalities in which fiscal transparency appears to be

determined by political competition, the number of inhabitants, and the accumulation of debt. The role of the size of the city was confirmed by Styles and Tennyson (2007) in their study on the accessibility of financial reporting for US municipalities on the Internet.

Similarly, Caamaño et al. (2011) study budget transparency for 33 municipalities in Galicia (Spain). Their results provide new insights into the role of unemployment, which appears to be negatively correlated with budget transparency, and on the non-significance of institutional variables such as coalitions in governments. However, their results on debt accumulation contrast with the results of Esteller and Polo-Otero (2008), finding the opposite relationship.

Moving on to the fiscal transparency of local government, Guillamón, Bastida, and Benito (2011) evaluate the importance of political and socio-economic factors and shed light on further relationships. For instance, the authors indicate that municipalities collecting more taxes and receiving more transfers disclose more financial information. Therefore, fiscal imbalances and debt seem to play a significant role in financial transparency.

Another recent working paper is that of Piotrowski and Bertelli (2010), who measure municipal transparency in New Jersey based on a set of interviews conducted according to an item response model. Their paper treats transparency as a broader term than simply budget or fiscal transparency. However, while their empirical model considers different groups of socio-economic, institutional, and economic determinants, it suffers from very limited explanatory power. Among the factors introduced in the model we find regressors measuring transparency demand, socio-economic status factors related to political engagement, fiscal ratings, and different forms of government. Only the population's level of education, the percentage of elderly inhabitants in the population, and the size of the budget appear to be statistically significant. However, the two latter determinants show counter-intuitive coefficient signs that are contrary to expectations.²

Jorge et al. (2011) address fiscal transparency from a comparative international perspective, studying the transparency of Portuguese and Italian local governments' website content. Their regression model finds only two statistically significant variables:

² The reason for this seems to be linked to the criteria used in the construction of the transparency index.

the size of the municipality – although this confirmation of the relationship between size and transparency only holds for Italy – and the rate of abstention in the last local elections. The latter variable offers an unexpected and counter-intuitive impact, because abstention appears to be a positive determinant of transparency in their analysis.

As a result, this pioneering literature has focused basically on the role of political, accounting, and budget determinants on fiscal transparency. Even in the field of fiscal transparency, there is still a great deal to do in order to improve our understanding of the determinants of local government transparency. And an even longer road lies ahead in the case of other transparency categories. In this paper, we build upon the literature on fiscal transparency by confirming and rejecting some of the previous results across a wide sample of more than 100 Spanish cities. However, we take a broader approach to transparency by including other transparency areas beyond the fiscal category, such as those related to corporate transparency, social transparency in relationships with citizens, services contracting transparency, and procurement and urban planning transparency. In addition to some standard explanatory factors introduced in the above literature, we introduce variables on the economic and institutional context, testing them as determinants of transparency for the first time.

3. A Transparency Index for Spanish Local Governments

Spain is one of the few European countries that do not yet have a transparency law. In fact, it is the only one if you consider only countries with more than one million inhabitants. Under the current Spanish framework, governments are not required to provide the public with information on their activities or decision-making procedures. This affects every level of government in a decentralized country that has a central government, regional governments, and local entities, including counties and municipalities at the local level. For this reason, the new Spanish government elected in 2012 has decided to introduce a draft law that will be discussed in the national parliament in 2012 and is expected to be passed in the second half of the year.

Given this context of voluntary transparency, the NGO Transparency International (TI-Spain) has been producing a transparency index for local

governments in Spain since 2008.³ This index contains information for the years 2008, 2009, and 2010 and is based on the collection of information and questionnaires sent to municipalities on five different transparency areas: corporate transparency, social transparency in relationships with citizens, fiscal transparency, urban planning and procurement transparency, and services contracting transparency.

TI transparency indexes range from 0 to 100, with 100 indicating a perfectly transparent government and 0 a government with no transparency at all. The global transparency index and each of the five specific indexes are constructed with the affirmative and negative responses of municipalities to the 80 items considered and with information collected by TI directly from public sources. A summary of these items is presented in the appendix of this paper (A1). The number of municipalities contained in the 2010 survey is 110. Their names are also displayed in **table 1** together with their overall transparency score. As can be observed, we find values between 22 and 100, giving wide variation to the sample.

<<Insert table 1 about here>>

Guillamón, Bastida, and Benito (2011), who also used this index in their empirical analysis of budget transparency for the year 2008, noted that Spanish laws determine the minimum information municipalities must disclose, but that the majority of TI-Spain questionnaire items exceed these minimum requirements, thus falling within the category of voluntary disclosure.

Thanks to this index we are able to exploit differences in the institutional, political, social, and economic characteristics of cities. This should enable identification of the main determinants of overall transparency and all separate transparency indexes.

4. Empirical strategy

The most recent transparency index of Transparency International is the one derived from the 2010 survey. The overall index and the indexes for different

³ Transparency International (TI) is an international organization that is non-governmental, non-partisan and nonprofit. It is dedicated to fighting corruption at national and international levels. Since its founding in 1993, TI has been widely recognized with putting the fight against corruption on the global agenda.

categories serve as our set of dependent variables. First, we examine the determinants of the overall transparency index. Second, we apply the same empirical model in explaining the five separate transparency indexes in order to evaluate their different drivers.

The empirical model for estimating the factors that explain municipal transparency uses the following groups of variables: socio-demographic variables, fiscal variables, institutional variables, and economic context variables. The variables included in each of these categories are set out below:

Socio-demographic variables

Population: Size of the city in terms of inhabitants (in thousands). This variable tests for differences in transparency by size of city. It has usually been included in previous works that have found a positive and statistically significant impact (Styles and Tennyson 2007; Esteller and Polo-Otero, 2009; Jorge, et al. 2011). The data are collected from the Spanish National Statistics Institute.

Gender: % of men over the total local population. Verba, Nie, and Jae-On (1978) find that men are more active politically and more politically engaged (Piotrowski and Van Ryzin, 2007). The data are collected from the Spanish National Statistics Institute.

Elderly: % of citizens aged 65 years or older over the total local population. It must be noted, however, that some papers find that this variable may have an opposite effect depending on how transparency is considered. The weight of website information within the transparency index may be a source of the inverse effects on the relationship between the elderly and transparency. The data are collected from the Spanish National Statistics Institute.

Fiscal variables

Debt: Local government debt as a % of total budget. This is extracted from the Budget of Local Governments in Spain, published by the Ministry of Public Finance.

Budget_imbalance: Local government balance. Deficit or surplus as a % of total budget. This is extracted from the Budget of Local Governments in Spain, published by the Ministry of Public Finance.

Institutional variables

Left_Mayor: Dummy variable taking a value of 1 if the ruling local government leader belongs to a left-wing party, and 0 otherwise. This variable tests for the role of ideology, assuming that left-wing governments might be more sensitive to the provision of transparency than right-wing parties. Piotrowski and Van Ryzin (2007) show that ideology is a determinant of transparency. The data are collected from the Ministry of Home Affairs.

Left_Majority: Dummy variable taking a value of 1 if the ruling left-wing local government leader's party enjoys a $\frac{1}{2}+1$ majority of representatives in the elected council. This variable identifies left-wing governments not needing agreements with other parties to govern the city. The data are collected from the Ministry of Home Affairs.

Right_Majority: Dummy variable taking a value of 1 if the ruling right-wing local government leader's party enjoys a $\frac{1}{2}+1$ majority of representatives in the elected council. This variable identifies right-wing governments not needing agreements with other parties to rule the city. The data are collected from the Ministry of Home Affairs.

Capital: Binary variable taking a value of 1 if the municipality is a provincial capital. These capitals have important exceptions or particularities with respect to other cities based on the simple fact that they political capitals in Spain.⁴ Moreover, the public administration has a greater presence in these cities than in other cities, because state administration and central government delegations have offices in them. Also, other administrations such as the provincial authorities or *diputaciones*, which provide additional services to municipalities and citizens, are constituted at the province level and are established in provincial capitals.

Turnout: Percentage of participation in the last local elections in the municipality. This variable serves as a proxy for citizens' concern or interest in politics and, therefore, it is a proxy for the demand for accountability and transparency. The data are collected from the Ministry of Home Affairs.

⁴ Several rules apply to provincial capitals. For instance, these cities do not need to have 50,000 inhabitants in order to receive subsidies for transportation services as others cities do, simply by virtue of being provincial capitals.

Economic context variables

Unemployment: Share of unemployed inhabitants in the municipality. The importance of this variable is reflected by Caamaño, et al. (2011) in the case of budget transparency in the region of Galicia.

Economic_Activity: Index of economic activity per capita. Comparative index of all economic activity. This index is obtained from the taxes corresponding to the total of all economic activity (industry, trade, and services) and professional activity. It draws on the municipal database elaborated by the “La Caixa” savings bank.

Tourism: Index of tourist activity per capita. We use the comparative index of tourist importance provided by the municipal database elaborated by the “La Caixa” savings bank. This index is obtained from the fee or business tax levied on tourist activities, which in turn is based on the category of tourist facilities (hotels and motels, apartments and B&Bs, inns and guest houses, campsites and apartments managed by companies), number of bedrooms, and annual occupancy (all year or partial year), so it is virtually an indicator of the level of tourist activity.

The empirical specifications tested for all transparency indexes take the following forms:

Specification 1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Transparency_Index}_i = & \alpha_i + \beta_1 \text{Population}_i + \beta_2 \text{Gender}_i + \beta_3 \text{Elderly}_i + \beta_4 \text{Debt}_i + \beta_5 \\ & \text{Deficit}_i + \beta_6 D^{\text{capital}}_i + \beta_7 D^{\text{Left_Major}}_i + \beta_8 \text{Turnout}_i + \beta_9 \text{Unemployment}_i + \beta_{10} \\ & \text{Economic_Activity}_i + \beta_{11} \text{Tourism}_i + \varepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

Specification 2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Transparency_Index}_i = & \alpha_i + \beta_1 \text{Population}_i + \beta_2 \text{Gender}_i + \beta_3 \text{Elderly}_i + \beta_4 \text{Debt}_i + \beta_5 \\ & \text{Deficit}_i + \beta_6 D^{\text{capital}}_i + \beta_7 D^{\text{Left_Majority}}_i + \beta_8 D^{\text{Right_Majority}}_i + \beta_9 \text{Turnout}_i + \beta_{10} \text{Unemployment}_i \\ & + \beta_{11} \text{Economic_Activity}_i + \beta_{12} \text{Tourism}_i + \varepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

The first specification uses the political orientation binary variable $D^{\text{Left_Mayor}}$, while the second specification takes into account the degree of the majority with which

local government leaders rule, considering the political orientation of local government leaders with an absolute majority ($D^{Left_Majority}$; $D^{Right_Majority}$) in the council with respect to local government leaders without such a majority.

First, these models will be estimated using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS). Given the presence of heteroskedasticity we apply the White robust correction of errors. We also take into account the possible correlation between municipalities of the same region by including clusters at regional level.⁵ Second, we estimate a censored regression model given that transparency indexes are right-censored at 100 points, although only five municipalities achieve this value. Descriptive statistics are displayed in **table 2**.

<<Insert table 2 about here>>

5. Results

Table 3 displays our main results for the overall transparency index, while **table 4** presents estimates for each of the transparency categories.⁶

<<Insert table 3 about here>>

Regarding socio-demographic covariates, we found that only population is statistically significant and has the expected sign. Therefore, we confirm that larger municipalities tend to enjoy better transparency than smaller municipalities. Moreover, this is consistent across all types of transparency except in the case of service contracting. The remaining socio-demographic variables are not statistically significant in any of our models.

Fiscal variables are not relevant in the determination of the overall transparency index. In fact, our results on the non-significant role of debt level are consistent with results obtained by Alt and Lassen (2006) on fiscal transparency. However, we find an interesting result in the specific contracting category in the case of budget imbalance. As shown in **table 4**, budget surplus is positively associated with contracting

⁵ We use the cluster-robust variance correction included in the statistical package STATA. This is known as the Huber/White/sandwich estimate of variance (See Williams, 2000).

⁶ Multicollinearity is discarded given the low variance inflation factor value obtained: VIF = 1.20. The model has no omitted variables (Prob > F = 0.8409).

transparency. In other words, there is an interesting negative correlation between deficits and contracting transparency.

<<Insert table 4 about here>>

Institutional variables provide the most interesting results. A local government leader's ideology or political party does influence the local government transparency index. According to our results, left-wing local government leaders are positively correlated with the overall transparency index and with most of the transparency categories. Political orientation has the greatest impact on the category of fiscal transparency. This result confirms the view of Ferejohn (1999), who argued that left-wing governments, wanting a larger public sector, are expected to implement higher levels of transparency. Therefore, these results are consistent with Guillamón, Bastida, and Benito (2011) as well. The smallest impact is found in the case of corporate transparency, which does not present any difference between right-wing and left-wing local government leaders in terms of statistical significance, although the coefficient presents a difference of four percentage points.

Beyond the difference by ideology, it is interesting to evaluate the role of local government leaders with an absolute majority compared to local government leaders without an absolute majority in the council, and break down the comparison by political party. Column 2 in **table 3** shows that when a local government leader enjoys an absolute majority (more than 50% of elected councilors), his or her municipality gets worse results in transparency indexes, regardless of ideology, according to the OLS regression model. In the case of the censored regression model, we find that this is only true for right-wing local government leaders (column 4, **table 3**). That said, right-wing local government leaders with an absolute majority always present larger negative coefficients than left-wing local government leaders. In fact, according to the OLS estimates, while cities with right-wing local government leaders enjoy around four percentage points less of transparency, when these local government leaders enjoy an absolute majority the negative percentage points increase to about nine with respect to municipalities without an absolute majority of any political orientation.

Another interesting result is the relationship between provincial capitals and transparency in Spain. Their associated coefficient is one of the largest and shows significance levels among the greatest across all models. Being a political capital of a Spanish province is largely associated with lower transparency indexes in all aspects

or categories of transparency. Provincial capitals are the last arms of central government power in the territory and enjoy a larger presence of public administrations. As a consequence, economic resources and political power are significantly higher in this type of municipality, regardless of size or local economic context. Our results indicate that this particularity impedes the extent of transparency.

According to our OLS estimates, provincial capitals have lower transparency by 15 percentage points than the remaining municipalities. Interestingly, this coefficient increases to 28 in the case of fiscal transparency, resulting in the worst record of transparency found in our estimates. The presence of more public administrations and the fact of being the last arm of the central government administration in the territory seem to pose an obstacle to transparency in these cities.

As the last institutional variable in our estimates, turnouts do not appear to be statistically significant, contrary to what happens in other studies previously cited on fiscal transparency.

Regarding economic context variables, we found that only the coefficient related to how important tourist activity is in municipalities is statistically significant and presents a negative sign. Nonetheless, we must point out that the size of the coefficient is very small for the overall transparency index and for each of the categories tested.

6. Conclusions

While the literature on the determinants of government transparency is evolving, it is still in its early stages. This is even more marked in the case of local government transparency if we take into account the scarce knowledge available and the small number of papers that address this topic. For this reason, there is a great deal to learn from the first efforts devoted by researchers to an issue of increasing importance in societies demanding higher accountability and transparency in the management of public administrations.

Our results for Spanish local governments indicate that the drivers of transparency are: the size of a municipality (population); whether tourist activity is a leading economic and social factor in a municipality; the institutional characteristics of the local government, as the leader's ideology and its majority; and whether a municipality is a provincial capital. The latter two institutional particularities are,

according to the magnitude of their coefficients, the most important drivers of transparency. These results are consistent across most categories of transparency, indicating that more effort should be devoted to improving transparency in provincial capitals and cities where local government leaders enjoy an absolute majority, especially when they belong to a right-wing party.

The use of several categories, beyond the standard focus on fiscal transparency in the early literature, serves to identify a number of relationships neglected in the case of overall transparency models, justifying such efforts in the aim of devising transparency indexes for different segments or government activities. For instance, we find that budget imbalances are important in the determination of contracting transparency and that unemployment and the percentage of the elderly are relevant in the case of fiscal transparency.

Although these models and results provide interesting insights into the determinants of local government transparency, it is obvious that there is still a great deal of work to do in order to improve our understanding of the motivations and obstacles related to transparency at this level of government. Meanwhile, our results indicate some areas of concern that should receive in-depth analysis in future research.

As a final remark, it is necessary to acknowledge that although the literature has shown that transparency is correlated with corruption, we must be cautious about the interpretation of our results and avoid drawing a causal link between low rates of transparency and corruption. That said, our results do point to the main determinants of local government transparency in Spain, and we examine several areas in order to enrich the existing knowledge of transparency.

References

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S. and Robinson, J.A. (2002) "Reversal of fortune: Geography and institutions in the making of the modern world income distribution", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118, 1231-94.
- Alesina, A. and Perotti, R. (1996) "Fiscal Discipline and the Budget Process", *American Economic Review* 86(2), 401-07.
- Alt, J. and Lassen, D. (2006) "Fiscal transparency, political parties and debt in OECD countries", *European Economic Review*, 50, 1403-1439.
- Alt, J., Lassen, D. and Rose, S. (2006) "The causes of fiscal transparency: Evidence from the American States." *IMF Staff Papers* 53, 30-57.
- Bac, M. (2001) "Corruption, connections and transparency: Does a better screen imply a better scene?", *Public Choice* 107, 87-96.
- Guillamón, M.D., Bastida, F. and Benito, B. (2011) "The determinants of local governments' financial transparency", *Local Government Studies* 37(4), 391-406.
- Baumol, W. (1990): Entrepreneurship: productive, unproductive, and destructive, *Journal of Political Economy* 98, pp. 893-921
- Becker, G. (1968) "Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach", *Journal of Political Economy* 76, 169-217.
- Bellver, A. and Kaufmann, D. *Transparenting transparency: Initial empirics and policy applications*, The World Bank, Washington DC.
- Besley, T. and Burgess, R. (2002) "The Political Economy of Government Responsiveness: Theory and Evidence from India", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117(4), 1415-1451.
- Blumkin, T. and Gradstein, M. (2002) Transparency Gloves for Grabbing Hands? Politics and (Mis)Governance, *CEPR Discussion Paper* No. 3668.
- Caamaño-Alegre, J., Lago-Peñas, S., Reyes-Santias, F. and Santiago-Boubeta, A. (2011) "Budget Transparency in Local Governments: An Empirical Analysis", International Studies Program Working Paper 11-02, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University.
- Esteller, A. and Polo-Otero, J. (2010) "Análisis de los determinantes de la transparencia fiscal: Evidencia empírica para los municipios catalanes", Documentos de Trabajo FUNCAS, 560/2010.
- Ferejohn, J. (1999) "Accountability and authority: towards a model of political accountability", in: A. Przeworski, S. C. Stokes and B. Manin (Eds) *Democracy, Accountability and Representation*, 131-153. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Gavazza, A. and Lizzeri, A. (2009) "Transparency and Economic Policy", *Review of Economic Studies* 76, 1023-1048
- Holmström, B. (1979) "Moral Hazard and Observability", *The Bell Journal of Economics* 19(1), 74-91.
- Islam, R. (2004) "Do more transparent governments govern better?", *Policy Research Working Paper* 3077, World Bank.
- Jorge, S., Moura, P., Pattaro, A.F. and Lourenço, R.P. (2011) "Local government financial transparency in Portugal and Italy: A comparative exploratory study on its determinants", Paper presented at the 13th Biennial CIGAR conference held in Ghent (Belgium) on 9-10 June 2011.
- Kaufmann, D. and Kraay, A. (2002) Growth without Governance, *Policy Research Working Paper* 2928, World Bank.
- Knack, S. and Keefer, P. (1995) "Institutions and Economic Performance: Cross Country Test Using Alternative Institutional Measures", *Economics and Politics* 7(3), 207-227.
- Kolstad, I. and Wiig, A. (2009) "Is transparency the key to reducing corruption in Resource-rich Countries", *World Development* 37(3), 521-532.
- Lindstedt, C. and Naurin, D. (2010) "Transparency is not Enough: Making transparency effective in Reducing corruption", *International Political Science Review* 31(3), 301-321.
- Mauro, P. (1995) "Corruption and Growth", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(3), 681-712.
- Meijer, A. J. (2003). Transparent government: Parliamentary and legal accountability in an information age. *Information Polity*, 8(1-2), 67-78.
- Meón, P. and Weill, L. (2005) "Does better governance foster efficiency? An aggregate frontier analysis", *Economics of Governance*, 6(1), 75-90.
- Murphy, K., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. (1991) "The Allocation of Talent: Implication for Growth", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, 503-30.

- Olson, M., Sarna, N. and Swamy, A. (2000) "Governance and growth: a simple hypothesis explaining cross-country differences in productivity growth", *Public Choice* 102(3-4), 341-364.
- Peisakhin, L. and Pinto, P. (2010) "Is transparency an effective anti-corruption strategy? Evidence from a field experiment in India", *Regulation and Governance* 4, 261-280.
- Pietrowsky, S. and Bertelli, A. (2010) "Measuring municipal transparency", unpublished paper prepared and presented at 14th IRSPM conference Bern, Switzerland on 1st April, 2010.
- Pietrowsky, S. and Van Ryzin, G.G. (2007) "Citizen attitudes toward transparency in local government" *The American Review of Public Administration* 37(3), 306-323.
- Stigler, G. (1961): "The Economics of Information", *Journal of Political Economy*, 69, 213-225.
- Stiglitz, J. (2000) "The contributions of the Economics of Information to Twentieth Century Economics", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 115(4), 1441-1478.
- Stiglitz, J. and Weiss, A. (1981) "Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information", *American Economic Review* 71(3), 393-410.
- Styles, A. and Tennyson, M. (2007) "The accessibility of financial reporting of US Municipalities on the Internet", *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, 19(1), 56-92.
- Verba, S., Nie, N.H. and Jae-On K. (1978) *Participation and Political Equality: A Seven-Nation Comparison*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Williamson, O.E (2000) "The New Institutional Economics: Taking Stock; Looking Ahead", *Journal of Economic Literature*, 38, 595-613.
- Williams, R.L. (2000) "A note on robust variance estimation for cluster-correlated data", *Biometrics* 56: 645-646.

TABLES

Table 1. Municipalities contained in the 2010 TI survey

Local Government	Transparency Index	Local Government	Transparency Index
BILBAO	100	EL EJIDO	70
GIJÓN	100	LOGROÑO	70
PONFERRADA	100	SEGOVIA	70
PUERTO DE SANTA MARÍA	100	CUENCA	69
SABADELL	100	FERROL	68
SANT CUGAT DEL VALLÉS	100	SAN SEBASTIÁN DE	
ALCOBENDAS	99	LOS REYES	68
MATARÓ	99	DOS HERMANAS	66
AVILÉS	96	HOSPITALET DE	
ELCHE	96	LLOBREGAT	66
GANDÍA	95	MURCIA	66
MÁLAGA	95	PAMPLONA	66
GETXO	93	ZARAGOZA	66
JAÉN	93	SAGUNTO	65
MADRID	91	TOLEDO	65
SORIA	91	VALLADOLID	65
TERRASSA	91	BENIDORM	64
PALENCIA	90	CORNELLÁ DE	
REUS	90	LLOBREGAT	64
BARCELONA	89	TARRAGONA	64
OVIEDO	89	MAJADAHONDA	63
ALCORCÓN	88	ALGECIRAS	61
LUGO	88	BADALONA	61
ROQUETAS DE MAR	88	LAS ROZAS	61
RUBÍ	88	ALICANTE	58
VITORIA	88	PONTEVEDRA	58
JEREZ DE LA FRONTERA	86	LORCA	56
SANTIAGO DE		OURENSE	56
COMPOSTELA	86	GRANADA	55
BARAKALDO	85	MARBELLA	55
CARTAGENA	85	TORREJÓN DE ARDOZ	55
MANRESA	85	HUESCA	54
SANTA COLOMA DE		POZUELO DE ALARCÓN	54
GRAMENET	85	ÁVILA	53
LÉRIDA	84	CIUDAD REAL	53
SANT BOI DE LLOBREGAT	84	ZAMORA	53
VIGO	84	ORIHUELA	51
A CORUÑA	83	SAN SEBASTIÁN-	
ALBACETE	83	DONOSTIA	49
GETAFE	83	CÁDIZ	48
SEVILLA	83	ALCALÁ DE HENARES	45
TORRENT	81	BURGOS	41
CASTELLÓN DE LA PLANA	80	TALAVERA DE LA REINA	41
LEGANÉS	80	HUELVA	40
PARLA	79	LEÓN	39
COSLADA	78	VALENCIA	39
MÓSTOLES	78	SAN FERNANDO	38
FUENLABRADA	76	ALCALÁ DE GUADAÍRA	36
TORREVIEJA	76	BADAJOS	36
GUADALAJARA	75	CHICLANA DE LA	
CÓRDOBA	74	FRONTERA	36
GIRONA	74	CÁCERES	35
SANTANDER	74	TERUEL	33
VÉLEZ-MÁLAGA	74	ALMERÍA	29
MIJAS	71	SALAMANCA	29
		FUENGIROLA	23
		Mean	70

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of covariates

Regressors	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Socio-demographic variables				
Population (thousands)	202	360	35.39 0.46	3255.94 0.56
Gender	0.48	0.01	2.9	55.68
Elderly	16.53	5.62		
Fiscal variables				
Debt	5.23	2.73	0	19
Budget_Surplus	4.74	23.50	-59	99
Institutional and political variables				
Capital	0.44	0.49	0	1
Left_Mayor	0.52	0.50	0	1
Left_Majority	0.13	0.34	0	1
Right_Majority	0.36	0.48	0	1
Turnout	61.90	7.14	46	75
Economic context variables				
Unemployment	7.83	2.04	3.4	13.5
Economic_Activity (thousands)	2.19	0.66	0.77	4.06
Tourism	2.10	4.69	0.02	42.5

Table 3. Least square and censored regression models estimates for overall transparency index

Regressors	OLS (1)	OLS (2)	Censored (3)	Censored (4)
Socio-demographic variables				
Population	0.0108** (0.0039)	0.0116*** (0.0038)	0.0107** (0.0053)	0.0117** (0.0053)
Gender	0.4138 (1.079)	0.6281 (1.128)	0.0634 (1.536)	0.2480 1.5436
Elderly	0.2422 (0.306)	0.2277 (0.2276)	0.2498 (0.3684)	0.2232 (0.3710)
Fiscal variables				
Debt	0.5902 (0.7178)	0.5827 (0.7681)	0.6356 (0.6909)	.5973 .7004
Budget_Surplus	0.0385 (0.0695)	0.0076 (0.0559)	0.0302 (0.0892)	-.0029 .0894
Institutional and political variables				
Capital	-14.505*** (4.7933)	-14.803*** (4.467)	-15.621*** (4.463)	-15.899*** (4.473)
Left_Mayor	7.707*** (2.888)	-	7.322** (3.764)	-
Left_Majority	-	-4.343** (1.9215)	-	-5.222 6.0841
Right_Majority	-	-8.293*** (3.5492)	-	-8.3173** (4.1649)
Turnout	-0.0032 0.2093	-0.0366 (0.2424)	-0.0569 (0.2916)	-0.0967 (0.2966)
Economic context variables				
Unemployment	-1.4709 (0.9950)	-1.5401 (1.0156)	-1.491 (1.0337)	-1.651 (1.0398)
Economic_Activity	2.426 (3.361)	1.702 (3.026)	2.458 (3.138)	1.376 (3.234)
Tourism	-0.6615*** (0.1799)	-0.5722*** (0.2228)	-0.6807* (0.3903)	-0.5759 (0.3972)
N. Observations	103	103	103	103
R²	0.23	0.23	-	-
F-Test (Joint Significance)	108.27***	273.28***	-	-
Log-Likelihood	-	-	-428.59	-428.46
Wald Chi2	-	-	25.79***	26.05**

Note 1: Standard errors in parentheses (robust to heteroskedasticity and adjusted for correlation between municipalities of the same region).

Note 2: Statistical significance at 1% (***), 5% (**), 10% (*).

Table 4. Least square estimates for different areas of transparency

Regressors	Fiscal Transparency (5)	Corporate Transparency (6)	Social Transparency (7)	Procurement Transparency (8)	Contracting Transparency (9)
Socio-demographic variables					
Population	0.0132** (0.0067)	0.0123*** (0.0038)	0.0093** (0.0038)	0.0093*** (0.0035)	0.0072 (0.0066)
Gender	1.175 (2.417)	-1.029 (0.9612)	-0.1120 (1.323)	0.8493 (1.0502)	-0.5867 (2.280)
Elderly	0.4971* (0.2829)	-0.0197 (0.1576)	0.0223 (0.3115)	0.2736 (0.3251)	0.7526** (0.3355)
Fiscal variables					
Debt	0.5319 (0.6951)	0.9570 (0.9202)	0.2065 (0.6203)	1.0670 (0.8608)	-0.0572 (0.9436)
Budget_Imbalance	-0.0220 (0.1027)	0.0130 (0.0660)	0.0231 (0.0395)	0.1219 (0.0908)	0.1888*** (0.0614)
Institutional and political variables					
Left_Mayor	13.285** (5.367)	4.301 (4.408)	5.864* (2.841)	6.320** (3.301)	9.8173** (5.050)
Capital	-27.863*** (8.412)	-10.983* (5.725)	-7.794** (3.475)	-9.391** (3.672)	-17.396** (6.073)
Turnout	-0.0435 (0.4281)	-0.0128 (0.2052)	0.1081 (0.2101)	-0.0434 (0.1559)	0.0916 (0.3422)
Economic context variables					
Unemployment	-3.874*** (1.558)	-1.236 (1.1325)	-0.9806 (0.9647)	-0.0261 (0.7863)	-0.1037 (0.8751)
Economic_Activity	7.809 (5.513)	-1.241 (3.611)	3.913 (2.684)	0.9121 (3.189)	-2.466 (4.071)
Tourism	-1.7502*** (0.1307)	-0.1084 (0.2473)	-0.7815*** (0.2612)	-0.2728 (0.2233)	0.1276 (0.1819)
N. Observations	103	103	103	103	103
R²	0.29	0.13	0.18	0.13	0.16
F-Test (Joint Significance)	68.37***	41.85***	546.91***	19.07***	13.28***

Note 1: Standard errors in parentheses (robust to heteroskedasticity and adjusted for correlation between municipalities of the same region).

Note 2: Statistical significance at 1% (***), 5% (**), 10% (*).

APPENDIX

A1. International Transparency (TI) Local Index composition

CORPORATE TRANSPARENCY (19 items)
- Basic information on elected officials and public servants (7 items)
- Information on a council's internal organization (6 items)
- Information on municipal rules, norms and regulatory measures (6 items)
SOCIAL TRANSPARENCY (18 items)
- Characteristics of a council's webpage (2 items)
- Information and citizen services (10 items)
- Commitment to citizens (6 items)
FINANCIAL TRANSPARENCY (19 items)
- Accounting and budget information (9 items)
- Information on revenues and expenditures (6 items)
- Information on debt (4 items)
SERVICES CONTRACTING TRANSPARENCY (7 items)
- Information on services contracting procedures (4 items)
- Relationships and operations with contracted providers (3 items)
URBAN PLANNING AND PROCUREMENT TRANSPARENCY (17 items)
- Urban planning information (4 items)
- Decisions on urban rezoning and awards (2 items)
- Ads and procurement (3 items)
- Information on auctions and bidders: offers and resolutions (2 items)
- Works tracking and control (3 items)
- Indicators on public procurement and urban planning (3 items)

Llista Document de Treball

List Working Paper

- WP 2012/10 "The institutional, economic and social determinants of local government transparency" Albalade, D.
- WP 2012/09 "The business excellence attraction composite index (BEACI). Design and application to the municipalities of the Barcelona province" Murillo, J.; Romani, J.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2012/08 "Policy options for the promotion of electric vehicles: a review" Perdiguero, J. and Jiménez, J.L.
- WP 2012/07 "Price differences between domestic and international air markets: an empirical application to routes from Gran Canaria" Fageda, X.; Jiménez, J.L. and Díaz Santamaría, C.
- WP 2012/06 "Building a "quality in work" index in Spain" López-Tamayo, J.; Royuela, V. and Suriñach, J.
- WP 2012/05 "Mergers and difference-in-difference estimator: why firms do not increase prices?" Jiménez, J.L. and Perdiguero, J.
- WP 2012/04 "What attracts knowledge workers? The role of space, social connections, institutions, jobs and amenities" Miguélez, E. and Moreno, R.
- WP 2012/03 "What Drives the Urban Wage Premium? Evidence along the Wage Distribution" Matano, A. and Naticchioni, P.
- WP 2012/02 "Location Patterns of Creative Capital and Regional Disparities in Spain" Kerimoglu, E. and Karahasan, B.C.
- WP 2012/01 "The connection between distortion risk measures and ordered weighted averaging operators" Belles-Sampera, J.; Merigó, J.M.; Guillén, M. and Santolino, M.
- WP 2011/26 "Productivity and innovation spillovers: Micro evidence from Spain" Goya, E.; Vayá, E. and Suriñach, J.
- WP 2011/25 "The regional distribution of unemployment. What do micro-data tell us?" López-Bazo, E. and Motellón, E.
- WP 2011/24 "Vertical relations and local competition: an empirical approach" Perdiguero, J.
- WP 2011/23 "Air services on thin routes: Regional versus low-cost airlines" Fageda, X. and Flores-Fillol, R.
- WP 2011/22 "Measuring early childhood health: a composite index comparing Colombian departments" Osorio, A.M.; Bolancé, C. and Alcañiz, M.
- WP 2011/21 "A relational approach to the geography of innovation: a typology of regions" Moreno, R. and Miguélez, E.
- WP 2011/20 "Does Rigidity of Prices Hide Collusion?" Jiménez, J.L. and Perdiguero, J.
- WP 2011/19 "Factors affecting hospital admission and recovery stay duration of in-patient motor victims in Spain" Santolino, M.; Bolancé, C. and Alcañiz, M.
- WP 2011/18 "Why do municipalities cooperate to provide local public services? An empirical analysis" Bel, G.; Fageda, X. and Mur, M.
- WP 2011/17 "The "farthest" need the best. Human capital composition and development-specific economic growth" Manca, F.
- WP 2011/16 "Causality and contagion in peripheral EMU public debt markets: a dynamic approach" Gómez-Puig, M. and Sosvilla-Rivero, S.

- WP 2011/15 “The influence of decision-maker effort and case complexity on appealed rulings subject to multi-categorical selection” Santolino, M. and Söderberg, M.
- WP 2011/14 “Agglomeration, Inequality and Economic Growth” Castells, D. and Royuela, V.
- WP 2011/13 “A correlation sensitivity analysis of non-life underwriting risk in solvency capital requirement estimation” Bermúdez, L.; Ferri, A. and Guillén, M.
- WP 2011/12 “Assessing agglomeration economies in a spatial framework with endogenous regressors” Artis, M.J.; Miguélez, E. and Moreno, R.
- WP 2011/11 “Privatization, cooperation and costs of solid waste services in small towns” Bel, G; Fageda, X. and Mur, M.
- WP 2011/10 “Privatization and PPPS in transportation infrastructure: Network effects of increasing user fees” Albalate, D. and Bel, G.
- WP 2011/09 “Debating as a classroom tool for adapting learning outcomes to the European higher education area” Jiménez, J.L.; Perdiguero, J. and Suárez, A.
- WP 2011/08 “Influence of the claimant’s behavioural features on motor compensation outcomes” Ayuso, M; Bermúdez L. and Santolino, M.
- WP 2011/07 “Geography of talent and regional differences in Spain” Karahasan, B.C. and Kerimoglu E.
- WP 2011/06 “How Important to a City Are Tourists and Daytrippers? The Economic Impact of Tourism on The City of Barcelona” Murillo, J; Vayá, E; Romani, J. and Suriñach, J.
- WP 2011/05 “Singling out individual inventors from patent data” Miguélez, E. and Gómez-Miguélez, I.
- WP 2011/04 “¿La sobreeducación de los padres afecta al rendimiento académico de sus hijos?” Nieto, S; Ramos, R.
- WP 2011/03 “The Transatlantic Productivity Gap: Is R&D the Main Culprit?” Ortega-Argilés, R.; Piva, M.; and Vivarelli, M.
- WP 2011/02 “The Spatial Distribution of Human Capital: Can It Really Be Explained by Regional Differences in Market Access?” Karahasan, B.C. and López-Bazo, E
- WP 2011/01 “If you want me to stay, pay” . Claeys, P and Martire, F
- WP 2010/16 “Infrastructure and nation building: The regulation and financing of network transportation infrastructures in Spain (1720-2010)” Bel, G
- WP 2010/15 “Fiscal policy and economic stability: does PIGS stand for Procyclicality In Government Spending?” Maravalle, A ; Claeys, P.
- WP 2010/14 “Economic and social convergence in Colombia” Royuela, V; Adolfo García, G.
- WP 2010/13 “Symmetric or asymmetric gasoline prices? A meta-analysis approach” Perdiguero, J.
- WP 2010/12 “Ownership, Incentives and Hospitals” Fageda, X and Fiz, E.
- WP 2010/11 “Prediction of the economic cost of individual long-term care in the Spanish population” Bolancé, C; Alemany, R ; and Guillén M
- WP 2010/10 “On the Dynamics of Exports and FDI: The Spanish Internationalization Process” Martínez-Martin, J.
- WP 2010/09 “Urban transport governance reform in Barcelona” Albalate, D ; Bel, G and Calzada, J.
- WP 2010/08 “Cómo (no) adaptar una asignatura al EEES: Lecciones desde la experiencia comparada en España” Florido C. ; Jiménez J.L. and Perdiguero J.
- WP 2010/07 “Price rivalry in airline markets: A study of a successful strategy of a network carrier against a low-cost carrier” Fageda, X ; Jiménez J.L. ; Perdiguero , J.

- WP 2010/06 “La reforma de la contratación en el mercado de trabajo: entre la flexibilidad y la seguridad” Royuela V. and Manuel Sanchis M.
- WP 2010/05 “Discrete distributions when modeling the disability severity score of motor victims” Boucher, J and Santolino, M
- WP 2010/04 “Does privatization spur regulation? Evidence from the regulatory reform of European airports . Bel, G. and Fageda, X.”
- WP 2010/03 “High-Speed Rail: Lessons for Policy Makers from Experiences Abroad”. Albalate, D ; and Bel, G.”
- WP 2010/02 “Speed limit laws in America: Economics, politics and geography”. Albalate, D ; and Bel, G.”
- WP 2010/01 “Research Networks and Inventors’ Mobility as Drivers of Innovation: Evidence from Europe” Miguélez, E. ; Moreno, R. ”
- WP 2009/26 ”Social Preferences and Transport Policy: The case of US speed limits” Albalate, D.
- WP 2009/25 ”Human Capital Spillovers Productivity and Regional Convergence in Spain” , Ramos, R ; Artis, M.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2009/24 “Human Capital and Regional Wage Gaps” ,López-Bazo,E. Motellón E.
- WP 2009/23 “Is Private Production of Public Services Cheaper than Public Production? A meta-regression analysis of solid waste and water services” Bel, G.; Fageda, X.; Warner. M.E.
- WP 2009/22 “Institutional Determinants of Military Spending” Bel, G., Elias-Moreno, F.
- WP 2009/21 “Fiscal Regime Shifts in Portugal” Afonso, A., Claeys, P., Sousa, R.M.
- WP 2009/20 “Health care utilization among immigrants and native-born populations in 11 European countries. Results from the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe” Solé-Auró, A., Guillén, M., Crimmins, E.M.
- WP 2009/19 “La efectividad de las políticas activas de mercado de trabajo para luchar contra el paro. La experiencia de Cataluña” Ramos, R., Suriñach, J., Artís, M.
- WP 2009/18 “Is the Wage Curve Formal or Informal? Evidence for Colombia” Ramos, R., Duque, J.C., Suriñach, J.
- WP 2009/17 “General Equilibrium Long-Run Determinants for Spanish FDI: A Spatial Panel Data Approach” Martínez-Martín, J.
- WP 2009/16 “Scientists on the move: tracing scientists’ mobility and its spatial distribution” Miguélez, E.; Moreno, R.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2009/15 “The First Privatization Policy in a Democracy: Selling State-Owned Enterprises in 1948-1950 Puerto Rico” Bel, G.
- WP 2009/14 “Appropriate IPRs, Human Capital Composition and Economic Growth” Manca, F.
- WP 2009/13 “Human Capital Composition and Economic Growth at a Regional Level” Manca, F.
- WP 2009/12 “Technology Catching-up and the Role of Institutions” Manca, F.
- WP 2009/11 “A missing spatial link in institutional quality” Claeys, P.; Manca, F.
- WP 2009/10 “Tourism and Exports as a means of Growth” Cortés-Jiménez, I.; Pulina, M.; Riera i Prunera, C.; Artís, M.
- WP 2009/09 “Evidence on the role of ownership structure on firms’ innovative performance” Ortega-Argilés, R.; Moreno, R.

- WP 2009/08 “¿Por qué se privatizan servicios en los municipios (pequeños)? Evidencia empírica sobre residuos sólidos y agua” Bel, G.; Fageda, X.; Mur, M.
- WP 2009/07 “Empirical analysis of solid management waste costs: Some evidence from Galicia, Spain” Bel, G.; Fageda, X.
- WP 2009/06 “Intercontinental flights from European Airports: Towards hub concentration or not?” Bel, G.; Fageda, X.
- WP 2009/05 “Factors explaining urban transport systems in large European cities: A cross-sectional approach” Albalade, D.; Bel, G.
- WP 2009/04 “Regional economic growth and human capital: the role of overeducation” Ramos, R.; Suriñach, J.; Artís, M.
- WP 2009/03 “Regional heterogeneity in wage distributions. Evidence from Spain” Motellón, E.; López-Bazo, E.; El-Attar, M.
- WP 2009/02 “Modelling the disability severity score in motor insurance claims: an application to the Spanish case” Santolino, M.; Boucher, J.P.
- WP 2009/01 “Quality in work and aggregate productivity” Royuela, V.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2008/16 “Intermunicipal cooperation and privatization of solid waste services among small municipalities in Spain” Bel, G.; Mur, M.
- WP 2008/15 “Similar problems, different solutions: Comparing refuse collection in the Netherlands and Spain” Bel, G.; Dijkgraaf, E.; Fageda, X.; Gradus, R.
- WP 2008/14 “Determinants of the decision to appeal against motor bodily injury settlements awarded by Spanish trial courts” Santolino, M.
- WP 2008/13 “Does social capital reinforce technological inputs in the creation of knowledge? Evidence from the Spanish regions” Miguélez, E.; Moreno, R.; Artís, M.
- WP 2008/12 “Testing the FTPL across government tiers” Claeys, P.; Ramos, R.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2008/11 “Internet Banking in Europe: a comparative analysis” Arnaboldi, F.; Claeys, P.
- WP 2008/10 “Fiscal policy and interest rates: the role of financial and economic integration” Claeys, P.; Moreno, R.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2008/09 “Health of Immigrants in European countries” Solé-Auró, A.; M.Crimmins, E.
- WP 2008/08 “The Role of Firm Size in Training Provision Decisions: evidence from Spain” Castany, L.
- WP 2008/07 “Forecasting the maximum compensation offer in the automobile BI claims negotiation process” Ayuso, M.; Santolino, M.
- WP 2008/06 “Prediction of individual automobile RBNS claim reserves in the context of Solvency II” Ayuso, M.; Santolino, M.
- WP 2008/05 “Panel Data Stochastic Convergence Analysis of the Mexican Regions” Carrion-i-Silvestre, J.L.; German-Soto, V.
- WP 2008/04 “Local privatization, intermunicipal cooperation, transaction costs and political interests: Evidence from Spain” Bel, G.; Fageda, X.
- WP 2008/03 “Choosing hybrid organizations for local services delivery: An empirical analysis of partial privatization” Bel, G.; Fageda, X.
- WP 2008/02 “Motorways, tolls and road safety. Evidence from European Panel Data” Albalade, D.; Bel, G.
- WP 2008/01 “Shaping urban traffic patterns through congestion charging: What factors drive success or failure?” Albalade, D.; Bel, G.

- WP 2007/19 “La distribución regional de la temporalidad en España. Análisis de sus determinantes” Motellón, E.
- WP 2007/18 “Regional returns to physical capital: are they conditioned by educational attainment?” López-Bazo, E.; Moreno, R.
- WP 2007/17 “Does human capital stimulate investment in physical capital? evidence from a cost system framework” López-Bazo, E.; Moreno, R.
- WP 2007/16 “Do innovation and human capital explain the productivity gap between small and large firms?” Castany, L.; López-Bazo, E.; Moreno, R.
- WP 2007/15 “Estimating the effects of fiscal policy under the budget constraint” Claeys, P.
- WP 2007/14 “Fiscal sustainability across government tiers: an assessment of soft budget constraints” Claeys, P.; Ramos, R.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2007/13 “The institutional vs. the academic definition of the quality of work life. What is the focus of the European Commission?” Royuela, V.; López-Tamayo, J.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2007/12 “Cambios en la distribución salarial en España, 1995-2002. Efectos a través del tipo de contrato” Motellón, E.; López-Bazo, E.; El-Attar, M.
- WP 2007/11 “EU-15 sovereign governments’ cost of borrowing after seven years of monetary union” Gómez-Puig, M..
- WP 2007/10 “Another Look at the Null of Stationary Real Exchange Rates: Panel Data with Structural Breaks and Cross-section Dependence” Syed A. Basher; Carrion-i-Silvestre, J.L.
- WP 2007/09 “Multicointegration, polynomial cointegration and I(2) cointegration with structural breaks. An application to the sustainability of the US external deficit” Berenguer-Rico, V.; Carrion-i-Silvestre, J.L.
- WP 2007/08 “Has concentration evolved similarly in manufacturing and services? A sensitivity analysis” Ruiz-Valenzuela, J.; Moreno-Serrano, R.; Vaya-Valcarce, E.
- WP 2007/07 “Defining housing market areas using commuting and migration algorithms. Catalonia (Spain) as an applied case study” Royuela, C.; Vargas, M.
- WP 2007/06 “Regulating Concessions of Toll Motorways, An Empirical Study on Fixed vs. Variable Term Contracts” Albalade, D.; Bel, G.
- WP 2007/05 “Decomposing differences in total factor productivity across firm size” Castany, L.; Lopez-Bazo, E.; Moreno, R.
- WP 2007/04 “Privatization and Regulation of Toll Motorways in Europe” Albalade, D.; Bel, G.; Fageda, X.
- WP 2007/03 “Is the influence of quality of life on urban growth non-stationary in space? A case study of Barcelona” Royuela, V.; Moreno, R.; Vayá, E.
- WP 2007/02 “Sustainability of EU fiscal policies. A panel test” Claeys, P.
- WP 2007/01 “Research networks and scientific production in Economics: The recent Spanish experience” Duque, J.C.; Ramos, R.; Royuela, V.
- WP 2006/10 “Term structure of interest rate. European financial integration” Fontanals-Albiol, H.; Ruiz-Dotras, E.; Bolancé-Losilla, C.
- WP 2006/09 “Patrones de publicación internacional (ssci) de los autores afiliados a universidades españolas, en el ámbito económico-empresarial (1994-2004)” Suriñach, J.; Duque, J.C.; Royuela, V.
- WP 2006/08 “Supervised regionalization methods: A survey” Duque, J.C.; Ramos, R.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2006/07 “Against the mainstream: Nazi privatization in 1930s Germany” Bel, G.

- WP 2006/06 “Economía Urbana y Calidad de Vida. Una revisión del estado del conocimiento en España” Royuela, V.; Lambiri, D.; Biagi, B.
- WP 2006/05 “Calculation of the variance in surveys of the economic climate” Alcañiz, M.; Costa, A.; Guillén, M.; Luna, C.; Rovira, C.
- WP 2006/04 “Time-varying effects when analysing customer lifetime duration: application to the insurance market” Guillen, M.; Nielsen, J.P.; Scheike, T.; Perez-Marin, A.M.
- WP 2006/03 “Lowering blood alcohol content levels to save lives the european experience” Albalade, D.
- WP 2006/02 “An analysis of the determinants in economics and business publications by spanish universities between 1994 and 2004” Ramos, R.; Royuela, V.; Suriñach, J.
- WP 2006/01 “Job losses, outsourcing and relocation: empirical evidence using microdata” Artís, M.; Ramos, R.; Suriñach, J.



Institut de Recerca en Economia Aplicada Regional i Pública
Research Institute of Applied Economics

Universitat de Barcelona

Av. Diagonal, 690 • 08034 Barcelona

WEBSITE: www.ub.edu/irea/ • **CONTACT:** irea@ub.edu