#### Accepted Manuscript

Title: Liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry for screening *in vitro* drug metabolites in humans: investigation on seven phenethylamine-based designer drugs



Author: Foon Yin Lai Claudio Erratico Juliet Kinyua Jochen F. Mueller Adrian Covaci Alexander L.N.van Nuijs

80731-7085(15)30036-4
http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2015.06.016
PBA 10134
Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis
10-3-2015
4-6-2015
10-6-2015

Please cite this article as: Foon Yin Lai, Claudio Erratico, Juliet Kinyua, Jochen F.Mueller, Adrian Covaci, Alexander L.N.van Nuijs, Liquid chromatographyquadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry for screening in vitro drug metabolites in humans: investigation on seven phenethylamine-based designer drugs, Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2015.06.016

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry for screening in
2	vitro drug metabolites in humans: investigation on seven phenethylamine-based
3	designer drugs
4	
5	Foon Yin Lai <sup>a,*</sup> , Claudio Erratico <sup>b</sup> , Juliet Kinyua <sup>b</sup> , Jochen F. Mueller <sup>a</sup> , Adrian Covaci <sup>b,*</sup> ,
6	Alexander L.N. van Nuijs <sup>b</sup>
7	
8	a. The University of Queensland, The National Research Centre for Environmental
9	Toxicology, 39 Kessels Road, Coopers Plains, QLD 4108, Australia
10	b. Toxicological Center, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerp,
11	Belgium
12	
13	
14	*Corresponding authors' details:
15	Dr. Foon Yin Lai: The University of Queensland, The National Research Centre for
16	Environmental Toxicology (Entox), 39 Kessels Road, Coopers Plains, QLD 4108, Australia.
17	Tel.: +61 (0)4 06714601; Fax.: +61 (0)7 3274 9003; E-mail: <u>foon.lai@uqconnect.edu.au</u>
18	Prof. Dr. Adrian Covaci: Toxicological Center, Universiteit Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1,
19	2610 Wilrijk-Antwerpen, Belgium.
20	Tel.: +32 3 265 24 98; E-mail: adrian.covaci@uantwerpen.be
21	
าา	

#### 23 Abstract

24 Phenethylamine-based designer drugs are prevalent within the new psychoactive substance 25 market. Characterisation of their metabolites is important in order to identify suitable 26 biomarkers which can be used for better monitoring their consumption. Careful design of in vitro metabolism experiments using subcellular liver fractions will assist in obtaining reliable 27 28 outcomes for such purposes. The objective of this study was to stepwise investigate the in vitro human metabolism of seven phenethylamine-based designer drugs using individual 29 of 30 families enzymes. This included para-methoxyamphetamine, para-31 methoxymethamphetamine, 4-methylthioamphetamine, N-methyl-benzodioxolylbutanamine, 32 benzodioxolylbutanamine, 5-(2-aminopropyl)benzofuran and 6-(2-aminopropyl)benzofuran. 33 Identification and structural elucidation of the metabolites was performed using liquid 34 chromatography-quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. The targeted drugs were mainly metabolised by cytochrome P450 enzymes via O-dealkylation as the major pathway, 35 36 followed by N-dealkylation, oxidation of unsubstituted C atoms and deamination (to a small 37 extent). These drugs were largely free from Phase II metabolism. Only a limited number of 38 metabolites were found which was consistent with the existing literature for other phenethylamine-based drugs. Also, the metabolism of most of the targeted drugs progressed 39 40 at slow rate. The reproducibility of the identified metabolites was assessed through examining formation patterns using different incubation times, substrate and enzyme 41 42 concentrations. Completion of the work has led to a set of metabolites which are representative for specific detection of these drugs in intoxicated individuals and also for 43 44 meaningful evaluation of their use in communities by wastewater-based drug epidemiology.

45

46 Keywords: new psychoactive substances, metabolite characterisation, high-resolution mass

47 spectrometry, wastewater-based epidemiology, LC-QTOF-MS analysis

#### 48 Word count

Abstract	228
Main text	6774
Total no. of words	7002
No. of tables	4
No. of figures	6

#### 51 **1. Introduction**

52 In recent years, there has been a clear increasing trend in the production and use of new 53 psychoactive substances (NPS), internationally [1]. NPS are considered as substances that are 54 not under the control of the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs or the 1971 Convention on Psychotropic Substances but which may pose a threat to public health [1-3]. 55 56 There are many different families of NPS, such as phenethylamines, cathinones, piperazines, and synthetic cannabinoids [2]. In the global NPS market, the number of phenethylamine 57 58 derivatives was ranked as the second highest and accounted for about 23% of the total 59 number of reported NPS in 2009-2012 [2]. To circumvent legislative band, these phenethylamine-based designer drugs are typically chemically modified from the molecular 60 61 structure of classical phenethylamine-based illicit drugs, such as amphetamines and 3,4-62 methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), and still maintain amphetamine- and/or MDMA-like physiological effects. The description of a substance as an NPS does not 63 necessarily mean it is an entirely novel substance, but can also reflect recent increased 64 availability on the drug market [1-3]. For instance, *para*-methoxymethamphetamine 65 (PMMA), first synthesised in the late 1930s, emerged on the drug market in 2011-2013 and 66 then appeared again recently causing several fatal intoxication cases in England, the 67 Netherlands and Australia [4-6]. 68

69 Identification of NPS and their specific metabolites in human samples (e.g. serum, urine 70 and/or saliva) is critical in forensic and clinical toxicology for provision of intoxication 71 evidence. Also, research related to determining NPS metabolites is beneficial to the emerging 72 field of wastewater-based drug epidemiology, in which specific drug metabolites are 73 measured in wastewater to back-estimate the use of these substances in communities [7, 8]. A 74 few recent studies have analysed raw wastewater samples for some phenethylamine-based designer drugs to understand their use in the communities [9-13]. Monitoring metabolised 75 76 drug residues in addition to the parent drug could improve efforts to determine the extent of

the population use of these substances, especially when the parent drug concentrations inwastewater are low or below the sensitivity of analytical methods.

79 Due to the ethical limitation on studying in vivo drug metabolism in humans, an in vitro 80 approach has been proposed to offer a relatively efficient and direct alternative [14]. The approach usually involves: (a) incubation of the NPS of interest with pooled human liver 81 82 microsomes (HLM) for an overview of metabolism (rather than particular types of isoenzymes to understand specific metabolic processes); (b) using high resolution mass 83 84 spectrometry (HRMS) to screen for all metabolites of the selected NPS; (c) elucidation of the 85 structure of individual metabolites based on its accurate mass, MS/MS fragmentation, isotopic patterns and values of double bond equivalent. Liquid chromatography (LC) 86 87 combined with HRMS such as quadrupole-time-of-flight MS (QTOF-MS) has been 88 recognised as one of the most robust and widely applied techniques for identifying drug 89 metabolites in *in vivo* and *in vitro* matrices, facilitating discovery of NPS metabolites [15, 90 16]. Together, LC-HRMS with careful in vitro experimental designs such as using multiple 91 incubation time points, repeating the experiments and/or using individual enzyme families to 92 assess specific (groups of) metabolites, the reliability of the *in vitro* metabolism data can be 93 enhanced.

94 This study selected seven phenethylamine-based designer drugs, including para-95 methoxyamphetamine (PMA), PMMA, 4-methylthioamphetamine (4-MTA), N-methyl-96 benzodioxolylbutanamine (MBDB), benzodioxolylbutanamine (BDB), 5-(2-97 aminopropyl)benzofuran (5-APB) and 6-(2-aminopropyl)benzofuran (6-APB) (Fig. 1) to 98 assess its human in vitro metabolism. These drugs are commonly considered as NPS, except 99 for PMA and 4-MTA which were listed on the 1971 Convention on Psychotropic Substances 100 as of September 2013. Also, a few of them including MBDB, 4-MTA and PMMA have been 101 included in the Risk Assessment Reports of the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and 102 Drug Addiction [17]. The rationale for this study is based on the fact that there is a lack of a

103 clear understanding of human *in vitro* metabolites for these drugs; as previous related *in vitro* 104 studies have been limited to a focus on understanding what types of isoenzymes participate in 105 specific metabolism processes [18-23], and also that there have been no studies performed for 106 the screening and structural elucidation of potential *in vitro* metabolites of these drugs using 107 LC-HRMS. Furthermore, no comparisons of human *in vitro* metabolism for these drugs, 108 which are closely related in structure, have ever been made under the same experimental 109 conditions.

Therefore, the main objective of this study was to screen for potential *in vitro* metabolites of these designer drugs to be formed in HLM using untargeted analysis with LC-QTOF-MS. Hence, HLM as an enzyme cocktail was applied, instead of selected types of isoenzymes [18-23], to generate all the possible cytochrome P450 metabolites. Also, this study aimed to assess the *in vitro* metabolism of these drugs in a stepwise experimental design with individual enzyme family preparations, and to characterise their potential metabolites, propose and compare their respective metabolic pathways.

117

#### 118 2. Materials and methods

#### 119 **2.1. Chemicals and reagents**

Chemical standards for PMA, PMMA, 4-MTA, MBDB, BDB, 5-APB and 6-APB were 120 obtained from LGC Standards SARL (Molsheim, France) and Cerilliant (Round Rock, Texas, 121 122 USA) at the concentration of 1 mg/mL in methanol or acetonitrile. The internal standard, 123 theophylline, was obtained as powder (anhydrous, purity>99%) from Sigma-Aldrich 124 (Diegem, Belgium). Pooled human liver microsomes (HLM; mix gender, n=50) were 125 purchased from Tebu-bio (Boechout, Belgium). Pooled human liver cytosol (HLCYT; mix gender, n=50), chemical standards for 2,6-uridinediphosphate glucuronic acid (UDPGA), 126 3'-phosphoadenosine-5'-phosphosulfate (PAPS; neat, alamethicin (neat, purity>99%), 127 128 purity>60%) lithium salt hydrate, 4-nitrophenol (4-NP), 4-nitrophenol-glucuronide (4-NP-

Gluc; neat, purity>99%), 4-nitrophenol-sulfate (4-NP-Sulf; neat, purity>99%), naphtholglucuronide (Naphth-Gluc; neat, purity>99%), 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl sulfate (Indolyl-Sulf; neat, purity>99%) and NADPH (neat, purity>99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Diegem, Belgium). Ultrapure water was prepared using a Purelab flex water system by Elga (Tienen, Belgium). Acetonitrile, methanol, ammonium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid and ammonium acetate were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All organic solvents were HPLC grade or higher.

#### 136 2.2. Tiered approaches on *in vitro* drug metabolism

137 In this study, a two-tiered approach for investigating Phase I and Phase II in vitro drug metabolism was followed (Fig. 2). The purpose of tier I was to screen for the major 138 139 metabolites formed and the family of enzymes involved in their formation, in a similar 140 manner to the typical practice for *in vitro* metabolism studies. Tier I consisted of two parts, tier-IA and -IB. In tier IA, a direct metabolism of the drugs of interest was investigated, in 141 142 which Phase I metabolites and direct Phase II metabolites of the parent drug (when possible 143 to be formed) were screened. This allowed investigation of direct drug metabolism mediated 144 separately by Phase I and Phase II enzymes. After that, tier IB was employed to investigate the Phase II metabolism of Phase I metabolites detected in tier IA, providing information on 145 146 the formation of secondary metabolites. Tier II aimed to assess the consistency and 147 reproducibility of the metabolite formed in tier I and to determine the major, intermediate and 148 minor metabolites by monitoring over various incubation times (10, 20, 40, 60, and 90 min), 149 enzyme concentrations (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 mg/mL) and substrate concentrations (1, 3, 5, and 10 µM). 150

#### 151 **2.3.** *In vitro* drug metabolism assays

For tier IA samples focusing on cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes, the reaction mixture (final volume: 1 mL), consisting of 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), HLM (final concentration: 0.5 mg/mL) and the substrate (final concentration:  $10 \mu$ M) was pre-incubated

for 5 min in a shaking water bath at 37  $^{\circ}$ C. The reaction was initiated by addition of 10  $\mu$ L of 155 156 NADPH solution (final concentration: 1 mM) in the phosphate buffer. To keep the NADPH 157 concentration saturated, an extra aliquot was added every hour. To stop the reaction after 2 h, 158 250 µL of an ice-cold acetonitrile solution containing 1% formic acid and 5.0 µg/mL of theophylline (used as internal standard) was added to each sample, which was then vortex-159 160 mixed for 30 s and centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was then transferred to a glass tube, and concentrated to 200 µL under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas at 60 °C. A 161 162  $20 \,\mu\text{L}$  aliquot of acetonitrile was then added to the extract before transferring it to a HPLC 163 vial for analysis. For tier IA samples focusing on uridinediphosphate glucuronic acid transferase (UGT) enzymes, the reaction mixture was prepared as described above for CYP 164 165 enzyme samples, but adding a 10 µL aliquot (final concentration: 10 µg/mL) of alamethicin 166 (for opening the pore of microsomal inner lumen, where UGTs are expressed) dissolved in 167 dimethyl sulfoxide before pre-incubating the samples in the water bath. Also, the cofactor 168 UDPGA was used instead of NADPH, but at the same final concentration (1 mM). An aliquot 169 of UDPGA was added to the reaction mixture every hour, similarly to NADPH in the CYP 170 experiments. In tier IA samples focusing on sulfotransferase (SULT) enzymes, the reaction mixture was prepared as described above for CYP enzyme samples, but using HLCYT (final 171 172 concentration: 0.5 mg/mL) instead of HLM and using PAPS instead of NADPH as the cofactor at the same final concentration (1 mM). An aliquot of PAPS was added to the 173 174 reaction mixture every hour. Samples were then processed as described above for CYP 175 enzyme samples.

In tier IB, formation of glucuronidated and sulfated metabolites of the Phase I metabolites produced in tier IA experiments was investigated in two major steps. First, Phase I metabolites of the drug of interest were enzymatically produced as described in tier IA for three hours. The reaction was quenched by keeping the samples on ice for 5 min, followed by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 5 min. Then, 940 μL of the supernatant, containing the

181 fraction of parent drug and its metabolites generated by CYP enzymes, was transferred to a 182 new tube which contained a fresh aliquot of pooled HLM or HLCYT (final concentration: 0.5 183 mg/mL) for the samples investigating UGT or SULT mediated metabolism, respectively. 184 Alamethicin and the appropriate cofactors were added at the concentrations and time intervals 185 described above for tier IA samples. The samples were incubated for two hours and prepared 186 as described above.

In the tier II experiments, three different sets of samples were prepared. In the first set, 187 the reaction mixture (1 mL) consisted of 100 mM phosphate buffer, pooled HLM (0.8 188 mg/mL) and the substrate (10 µM). The reaction was initiated by addition of NADPH, and 189 190 stopped after 10, 20, 40, 60, and 90 min. In the second set, the reaction mixture consisted of 191 100 mM phosphate buffer, pooled HLM at different total protein concentrations (final 192 concentrations: 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 mg/mL) and a constant concentration of the substrate 193 (10 µM). The reaction was initiated by addition of NADPH and was stopped after 90 min. In 194 the third set, the reaction mixture consisted of 100 mM phosphate buffer, pooled HLM at a 195 constant protein concentration (0.8 mg/mL) and the substrate at different concentrations (final 196 concentrations: 1, 3, 5, and 10 µM). The reaction was initiated by addition of NADPH and 197 was stopped after 90 min. The reaction was quenched and the samples were prepared as 198 described in tier IA section above.

Positive and negative control samples for each family of enzymes of interest were routinely prepared. In the positive control samples for UGT and SULT activity, 4-nitrophenol was selected as the substrate and the formation of 4-nitrophenol-sulfate and 4-nitrophenolglucuronide, respectively, was monitored. Samples were prepared as described in the tier IA section above. No positive control samples for CYP activity were prepared, because data about the catalytic activity of major human liver CYPs was provided by the HLM vendor. Three different negative control samples were prepared by omitting the enzymes, the

substrate, or the cofactor in the reaction mixture. The negative control samples for CYP,

207 UGT and SULT activity were prepared as described in tier I section above.

#### 208 2.4. LC-QTOF-MS analysis

209 Samples were analysed by a LC-QTOF-MS system which consisted of a 1290 Infinity LC (Agilent Technologies) connected to a 6530 Accurate-Mass QTOF-MS (Agilent 210 211 Technologies). Chromatographic separation of the extracts was achieved using a C<sub>8</sub> Zorbax Eclipse Plus column (150 X 2.1 mm, 3.5 µm, Agilent Technologies) with a mobile phase 212 213 composition of 5 mM ammonium acetate in ultrapure water (A) and acetonitrile (B) at 40°C. 214 The gradient program was as follows: 2% B for the first 5 min followed by linear increase of 215 B from 2 to 22% from 5 to 25 min and from 22 to 80% from 25 to 26 min. Solvent B was 216 maintained at 80% for 4 min, decreased to 2% from 30 to 30.5 min and kept at 2% from 30 to 217 40 min to re-equilibrate the column. The total run time was 40 min per sample. The flow rate 218 was 0.2 mL/min with an injection volume of  $2 \mu$ L.

219 The acceptable mass accuracy (within  $\pm 2$  ppm) of the QTOF-MS was calibrated before 220 each analysis using a reference solution for scanning up to 1700 mass-to-charge ratio (m/z)221 with extended dynamic range (2 GHz). Samples were analysed using positive and negative 222 electrospray ionisation (+/-ve ESI) modes individually, with the fragmentor voltage at 300 V 223 and gas temperature 325 °C. The QTOF-MS was set to acquire m/z ranging between 50 and 224 1000 amu at a scan rate of 2.5 spectra per s (i.e. 400 ms/spectrum). The auto-MS/MS function 225 was used to obtain MS/MS spectra of precursor ions using three different collision energies 226 (10, 20 and 40 eV). Precursor ions were targeted if ion abundances exceeded the threshold of 2000 with a maximum of three precursor ions per cycle.. The QTOF-MS was set to scan 227 228 MS/MS m/z from 50 to 500 amu. To avoid over-fragmentation of the same precursor ion, an 229 active exclusion function was set to exclude the precursor ions after every two spectra and 230 release it after 0.2 min. During analysis, the reference mass standard solution (commercially available from Agilent) was constantly infused onto the QTOF-MS for monitoring and 231

measuring its mass accuracy with the reference masses of 121.0508 and 922.0097 for +ve
ESI and of 119.0352 and 980.0152 for -ve ESI.

#### 234 **2.5. Data analysis**

235 Two different approaches were used to assist the interpretation of the potential in vitro metabolites formed. For approach 1, potential Phase I metabolites were predicted based on 236 237 authors' knowledge considering (a) the molecular structure of the drug, (b) the family of enzymes that might be able to metabolise it and (c) the type of reactions that these families of 238 239 enzymes are known to catalyse. Once the Phase I metabolites were experimentally 240 determined (tier IA experiments), the families of Phase II enzymes that might be able to further metabolise them were identified and the structures of the Phase II metabolites were 241 242 predicted. For approach 2, possible metabolites were estimated using metabolism-specific 243 software (Nexus v1.5, Lhasa Limited), in which the chemical structure of the drug, the species of interest (i.e. humans), the enzyme families and a minimum likelihood of metabolite 244 245 formation (i.e. "equivocal") were used for prediction. The prediction was conducted up to 246 tertiary metabolites and for a maximum of 100 metabolites. The two approaches were independently applied to the same acquired raw data file, allowing determinations of their 247 consistency, for better understanding the potential in vitro metabolites generated. The 248 approach of data analysis has been previously applied in our team for assessing human in 249 250 vitro metabolism of environmental contaminants [24, 25] and also recently shown feasible for 251 evaluating *in vitro* data on human drug metabolism with *in silico* methods[26].

The chromatographic features of each compound, such as the retention time and peak area counts, were obtained from the extracted ion chromatograms. To confirm the identification of a metabolite, the following criteria were applied: (a) the measured molecular m/z of the precursor ion and the product ions should be respectively within 10 and 25 ppm of its theoretical value (higher mass tolerances taken into account lower sensitivities with small amounts of product ions); (b) the isotopic patterns should be overlaid at least 75% with the

258 predicted ones; (c) the measured double bond equivalent (DBE) value should match with the 259 postulated structure; (d) the absence of the possible metabolite at the same retention time in 260 all the negative control samples; (e) the proposed chemical structure of the detected 261 metabolite has to be logical considering the chemical structure of the substrate and the reactions that the family of enzymes under investigation is able to catalyse; and (f) the 262 263 retention time of the detected metabolites should not be higher than that of the parent drug. Tier II data are presented as the response value, which was calculated as the peak area ratio of 264 265 the metabolite or the parent drug to the internal standard (theophylline) for compensating 266 inter-sample and instrumental variability during analysis.

- 267
- 268 **3. Results**

#### 269 **3.1. PMA and PMMA**

In tier IA samples, the only metabolite of PMA detected was formed via O-270 demethylation catalysed by CYP enzymes, resulting in a para-hydroxylated metabolite 271 272 (PMA-M1) (Table 1 and Fig. 3A). Its molecular ion was m/z 152.1063 with the difference in 273 mass error between the measured and theoretical mass (i.e.  $\Delta$ mass) at +4.60 ppm and the 274 measured DBE value at 4 (Table 1). With different collision energy values applied (Fig. S1), 275 the molecular structure of PMA-M1 was elucidated through five potential major fragment ions which were *m/z* 135.0799, 107.0495, 91.0552, 77.0390 and 65.0364 (Table 1, Fig. S1). 276 The fragment ion m/z 135.0799 resulted from a loss of NH<sub>3</sub> and then was further fragmented 277 278 with a loss of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (ethylene) to yield m/z 107.0495 (C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sup>+</sup>). The ion C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sup>+</sup> is likely a hydroxyl-tropylium ion which possibly facilitates the loss of the hydroxyl group on the 279 280 benzyl moiety. The fragment ions m/z 91.0552 (C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup>, benzylium ion  $\leftrightarrow$  tropylium ion), 77.0390 ( $C_6H_5^{\bullet+}$ , radical benzene) and 65.0364 ( $C_5H_5^{\bullet+}$ , a loss of CH=CH from tropylium 281 ion) represent the hallmark features of the alkylbenzene moiety [27]. Also, PMA-M1 eluted 282 283 approximately 10 min earlier than the parent compound, suggesting that it has a higher

polarity than PMA, in agreement with the formation of a hydroxylated metabolite. PMA-M1
was not detected in any of the three negative control samples, confirming it to be a metabolite
produced by CYP enzymes.

287 In tier IA samples, two metabolites of PMMA were detected (Table 2). The precursor ion of the first metabolite (PMMA-M1) was m/z 166.1234 with the measured DBE value at 4, 288 289 corresponding to the metabolite resulting from O-demethylation of PMMA catalysed by CYPs ( $\Delta$ mass= +4.82 ppm) (Table 2, Fig. 3B). The pattern of the MS/MS spectrum of 290 291 PMMA-M1 was like that of PMA, suggesting the presence of a hydroxylated group in the 292 para position of PMMA-M1 as well. The major fragments of PMMA-M1 included the loss of 293 NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> for m/z 135.0798 and the loss of NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> for m/z 119.0472 (Table 2, Fig. 294 S2A). The presence of the alkylbenzene moiety was noticed at m/z 107.0483, 91.0540, 295 77.0383 and 65.0380 (see above). The precursor ion of the second metabolite (PMMA-M2) of PMMA was m/z 166.1208 ( $\Delta$ mass= +10.8 ppm) and the measured DBE value at 4 (Table 296 297 2), which represented the metabolite produced by N-demethylation of PMMA by CYP 298 enzymes (Fig. 3B). The structure of PMMA-M2 can be explained by three major product ions 299 and the feature of the alkylbenzene moiety (Table 2, Fig. S2B). A loss of NH<sub>3</sub> for m/z149.0931 remained the common fragment. This ion was further fragmented with a loss of 300 301 CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> for the product ions m/z 135.0790 and 121.0625, respectively. Both PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2 eluted several min earlier than PMMA, suggesting that they are more 302 303 hydrophilic compounds than PMMA, which is consistent with their postulated structures. Furthermore, the retention time of PMMA-M2 was same as that of the PMA standard, which 304 further substantiates its structural identification. Both PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2 were not 305 306 detected in any of the three negative control samples, confirming that both PMMA 307 metabolites were produced by CYP enzymes.

308 Conjugated metabolites of PMA, PMMA and of their CYP-mediated metabolites (i.e. 309 PMA-M1, PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2) were not detected either in tier IA, nor tier IB

310 samples. However, in the positive control samples, 4-NP-GLUC and 4-NP-SULF, 311 metabolites of 4-NP produced by UGTs and SULTs, respectively, were detected. This result 312 suggests that the experiment was conducted properly and that both UGTs and SULTs 313 expressed normal catalytic activity. Therefore, the positive control samples substantiated the 314 lack of formation of glucuronidated and sulfated metabolites of PMA-M1, PMMA-M1 and 315 PMMA-M2 under the experimental conditions tested.

PMA-M1 was consistently detected in all tier II samples and not in any of the negative control samples. There was a clear increasing trend of formation of PMA-M1 with incubation times, protein concentrations and substrate concentrations (Figs. 4A-C). This confirmed that PMA-M1 was a metabolite of PMA produced by CYPs. The formation trend of PMA-M1 appeared linked with the reduction of PMA (Figs. 4A-B), providing further evidence of the biotransformation of PMA to PMA-M1.

322 Similarly, both metabolites of PMMA identified in tier IA were also detected in the tier II samples. The amount of both PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2 increased with the incubation 323 324 time, protein concentrations and substrate concentrations (Figs. 4D-F), confirming that 325 PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2 were the metabolites of PMMA produced by CYP enzymes. In 326 contrast, the parent compound showed an opposite (decreasing) trend. Also, under the different incubation conditions used, PMMA-M1 was formed faster than PMMA-M2 (Figs. 327 4D-F), suggesting that PMMA-M1 was the primary in vitro metabolite of PMMA. Both 328 329 PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2 were not detected in any of the three negative control samples, confirming that they were metabolites of PMMA, formed by CYP enzymes. The two data 330 analysis approaches provided consistent results. 331

332 **3.2. 4-MTA** 

In tier IA samples, four metabolites (4-MTA-M1, -M2, -M3 and -M4) formed by CYP enzymes were detected (Table 3). None of the four metabolites were detected in any of the three negative control samples. The 4-MTA-M1 precursor ion was m/z 198.0946 ( $\Delta mass = -$ 

336 0.50 ppm) and the measured DBE value at 4, representing a hydroxylated metabolite of 4-337 MTA (Fig. 3C). The structure of 4-MTA-M1 was further elucidated by its MS/MS spectrum 338 (Table 3, Fig. S3A). The loss of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> gave the product ions m/z 181.0674 339 and 153.0362 respectively. The loss of NH<sub>3</sub> followed by that of CH<sub>3</sub>SH from the molecule facilitated the equilibrium moiety between ketone and hydroxyl-ethylene for the fragment ion 340 341 m/z 133.0639. This was likely to further break the OH and C=C bond readily to produce the fragment ion m/z 117.0690 and 107.0490, respectively. The characteristic fragment ions for 342 the alkylbenzene moiety were identified at m/z 91.0544, 77.0382 and 65.0391. The fragment 343 344 ions m/z 133.0639 and 107.0490 provided consistent evidence that the hydroxyl group was located on the alkyl chain rather than on the benzyl ring of 4-MTA. Also, 4-MTA-M1 eluted 345 346 about 16 min earlier than 4-MTA, suggesting it was a more polar compound than 4-MTA, 347 which is consistent with its postulated structure. The precursor ion of 4-MTA-M2 was m/z168.0836 ( $\Delta$ mass = -2.97 ppm) with the measured DBE value at 4, matched to a thiol 348 349 metabolite resulting from S-demethylation of 4-MTA (Table 3). The structure of 4-MTA-M2 350 was postulated by the product ions m/z 151.0558 possibly due to the loss of NH<sub>3</sub>, 117.0692 351 likely due to the loss of NH<sub>3</sub> and SH<sub>2</sub>, and 91.0536 resulting from the formation of benzylium/tropylium ion (Table 3, Fig. S3B). Also, 4-MTA-M2 eluted about 13 min earlier 352 353 than 4-MTA (Table 3), suggesting that it is a more polar compound than the substrate.

The 4-MTA-M3 precursor ion was m/z 197.0633 ( $\Delta mass = +1.01$  ppm) with a measured DBE value of 5, and this matched to the metabolite resulting from oxidative deamination of 4-MTA-M1 by CYPs (Table 3, Fig. 3C). The structure of 4-MTA-M3 was postulated by the fragment ion m/z 197.0631 (Table 3, Fig. S3C). The acyloin function group,  $\alpha$ -hydroxyl ketone, was readily broken to yield the fragment ion m/z 137.0411. After that, the fragment ions m/z 122.0184 and 121.0095 corresponded to the potential resonance double and triple bonding between the S and C atom [28]. The fragment ions for the alkylbenzene moiety of

361 the 4-MTA-M3 molecule were noticed (Table 3). 4-MTA-M3 eluted about five min later than

362 4-MTA-M2 (Table 3), suggesting it is a less polar compound than 4-MTA-M2.

363 The 4-MTA-M4 precursor ion was m/z 199.0787 with the measured DBE value at 4 364 (Table 3, Fig. S3D), corresponding to a di-hydroxylated metabolite of 4-MTA from reduction of 4-MTA-M3 (Fig. 3C). The molecular structure of 4-MTA-M4 was similar to that of 4-365 366 MTA-M1 and 4-MTA-M3, as suggested by the common fragment ions present in the MS/MS profiles of these three compounds (Fig. S3). For example, the cleavage of the OH-C=C-OH 367 and the rearrangement of the C-S bond led to the fragment ions m/z 122.0156, 121.0097 and 368 369 107.0491. The loss of SHCH<sub>3</sub> from the benzene ring and the dihydroxylated group gave the fragment ion m/z 117.0712, which was then further fragmented to form benzylium/tropylium 370 371 ion m/z 91.0547 and the related radical benzene m/z 77.0375. 4-MTA-M4 eluted about 6 min 372 earlier than 4-MTA, suggesting it is a more polar compound of 4-MTA due to the addition of 373 two hydroxylated groups.

Phase II metabolites of 4-MTA and their CYP-mediated metabolites (i.e. 4-MTA-M1, -M2, -M3 or -M4) were not detected neither in tier IA nor tier IB samples, whereas 4-NP-GLUC and 4-NP-SULF were found in the UGT and SULT positive control samples, respectively. The positive results from the positive control samples supported the lack of formation of glucuronidated and sulfated metabolites of 4-MTA-M1, -M2, -M3 and -M4 under the experimental conditions used.

In tier II samples, 4-MTA-M1, -M3 and -M4, but not -M2, were detected. These metabolites were not detected in any of the three negative control samples. The formation of 4-MTA-M1, -M3 and -M4 increased with incubation time, protein concentration and substrate concentration values used (Fig. 5). In all the analysed samples, 4-MTA-M1 was consistently formed more quickly than 4-MTA-M4, followed by 4-MTA-M3. This result suggested that 4-MTA-M1, -M3 and -M4 were the primary, intermediate and tertiary

- metabolite of 4-MTA, respectively, under the range of incubation conditions tested, whereas
  4-MTA-M2 was only a minor metabolite of 4-MTA.
- 388 **3.3. BDB and MBDB**

389 In tier IA samples, no metabolites of BDB produced by CYPs were detected. Only one metabolite of MBDB was detected (MBDB-M1). Its measured precursor ion was m/z390 391 194.1174 with the DBE value at 5, which matched to the molecular ion of the Ndemethylated metabolite of MBDB produced by CYPs ( $\Delta mass = +1.03$  ppm, Table 4, Fig. 392 393 3D). MBDB-M1 was not found in any of the three negative control samples, suggesting that 394 it was a metabolite of MBDB formed by CYP enzymes. The postulated structure of MBDB-395 M1 was elucidated by its MS/MS profile (Table 4, Fig. S4). The loss of NH<sub>3</sub> from MBDB-396 M1 ion resulted in the product ion m/z 177.0894 which then results in m/z 135.0447 due to 397 the loss of the propyl group. With higher collision energy, the dioxolane ring of the MBDB-M1 was broken to form the fragment ions m/z 117.0327 and 105.0329. Again, the feature of 398 399 the alkylbenzene moiety with m/z 107.0499, 91.0526 and 77.0391 was detected as the 400 MS/MS fragment ions of MBDB-M1. Also, MBDB-M1 eluted approximately two min earlier 401 than MBDB, suggesting that MBDB-M1 was slightly more polar than MBDB, consistent with its postulated structure. The retention time and the MS/MS fragmentation patterns of 402 MBDB-M1 were as alike as that of BDB. No glucuronidated and sulfated analogues of 403 404 MBDB and MBDB-M1 were detected in both tier IA and IB samples. Detection of 4-NP-405 GLUC and 4-NP-SULF in positive control samples substantiated the lack of formation of glucuronidated and sulfated metabolites of MBDB-M1 under the experimental conditions. 406

407 MBDB-M1 was detected in all tier II samples, but not found in any of the three negative 408 control samples. No other MBDB metabolites produced by CYPs were detected, which was 409 consistent with the results obtained in tier IA. MBDB-M1 formation increased with 410 incubation times, protein concentrations and substrate concentrations (Fig. 6), providing 411 further evidence that MBDB-M1 was the (only) metabolite of MBDB formed by CYPs. Since

412 no BDB metabolites produced by CYPs were identified in tier I, a tier II experiment was not413 conducted for BDB.

#### 414 **3.4. 5-APB and 6-APB**

No metabolites of 5-APB and 6-APB produced by CYP, UGT and SULT enzymes were
detected in any tier I samples. Again, 4-NP-GLUC and 4-NP-SULF were respectively
detected in the UGT and SULT positive control samples. Consequently, tier II experiments
were not conducted.

419

#### 420 4. Discussion

This study successfully investigated the formation and characterisation of in vitro 421 422 metabolites of seven phenethylamine-based designer drugs using HLM and LC-QTOF-MS. 423 In tier IA, structures of the possible metabolites were predicted through interpreting the 424 potential enzymatically-catalysed reactions between the parent drug and the family of 425 enzymes selected. Since reference standards for the CYP-produced metabolites detected in tier IA may not be always available from commercial laboratories, the current study included 426 tier IB experiments, in which these primary metabolites were enzymatically generated and 427 428 then incubated with the enzyme mixture containing the Phase II enzyme families (i.e. UGTs 429 and SULTs). Furthermore, this study presented tier II experiments as an extended approach to 430 confirm the metabolites identified in tier I, by understanding the formation profiles of the 431 metabolites according to three different experimental conditions. With such multiple testing 432 conditions, the work overall provides a comprehensive assessment of the *in vitro* experiments 433 for higher reproducibility and consistency of *in vitro* metabolism data. It should be noted that 434 careful attention has been paid to the preparation of the reaction mixture in this study: 435 particularly, (a) the organic solvent content was kept at maximum 1% of the reaction mixture 436 to minimise its dose-dependent impact on the enzyme catalytic activity [29, 30]; (b) the 437 NADPH was replenished every hour to counterbalance its deterioration over time and to thus

438 maintain its concentration close to saturation [31]; (c) the final protein concentration in each 439 sample was kept below 1 mg/mL to avoid large extents of non-specific protein binding of the 440 substrate [32, 33]; (d) a three-hour long incubation was conducted in tier IB to generate 441 enough Phase I metabolites for further Phase II reactions (Fig. 2); (e) positive and negative control samples were prepared as quality controls of the experiments for justifying the 442 443 obtained results; (f) theophylline (which ionises in both positive and negative modes) was 444 chosen as the internal standard to compensate variations during sample preparation and 445 analytical measurements.

446 This study showed that the *in vitro* metabolism of PMA and PMMA was relatively slow, with only one metabolite (para-hydroxyamphetamine) formed from PMA and two 447 448 metabolites (primary: para-hydroxymethamphetamine; secondary: PMA) from PMMA. As 449 they share a phenethylamine moiety, the proposed pathway of PMA and PMMA metabolism in the present study was in agreement with that of other illicit phenethylamine drugs. For 450 451 example, both amphetamine and methamphetamine are not extensively metabolised in 452 humans (about 30% and 40% of the parent compound is measured in human urine, 453 respectively) [34]. The primary metabolite of PMA and PMMA (PMA-M1 and PMMA-M1, respectively) was mainly produced by CYP-mediated O-demethylation. However, this 454 reaction occurred approximately 10 to 20 times faster for PMMA than for PMA, which was 455 456 observed consistently with increasing experimental times, enzyme concentrations, and 457 substrate concentrations (see red symbols in Fig. 4). Since the structural difference between PMA and PMMA is only the methylation of the amino group (for PMMA), the obtained data 458 suggest that the presence of the methylated amino group substantially favoured an O-459 460 dealkylation metabolism pathway to produce *para*-hydroxyl metabolites. Similar results were also obtained in previous studies when comparing amphetamine and methamphetamine in 461 vivo metabolism in humans. While the para-hydroxyl metabolite is common for 462 463 amphetamine and methamphetamine, its formation is more pronounced for methamphetamine

than amphetamine (15% vs. 3%, respectively) [34-36]. Moreover, the in vitro metabolism of 464 465 PMMA investigated in this study showed that the N-dealkylation reaction was about five to seven times less favourable than the O-dealkylation (see red and purple symbols in Figs. 4D-466 467 F). This is consistent with N-demethylation of methamphetamine to amphetamine (about 4-7% of a methamphetamine dose excreted in urine), occurring only to a very small extent [34]. 468 469 The metabolites of PMA and PMMA detected in this study are generally consistent with those reported in the literature. PMA-M1 was the major in vitro metabolite produced by 470 471 CYP2D6 [18] and the major *in vivo* metabolite in urine samples of three healthy volunteers 472 who consumed PMA [37, 38] (Table S1). Similarly, CYP2D6 has been found to mediate the in vitro formation of PMMA-M1 in humans [19, 20, 38]. To our knowledge, in vivo 473 474 metabolism studies of PMMA are not available for humans, only for rats [39]. In urine 475 samples collected from rats administered with PMMA, PMMA-M1 and PMMA-M2 were the 476 major and the minor metabolites detected, respectively (Table S1). This result is in agreement 477 with the *in vitro* data of this study, implying a common metabolism pathway of PMMA in 478 rats and humans.

479 Glucuronidated PMA-M1 was occasionally detected in the urine samples of the three volunteers [37] (Table S1). For glucuronidated and/or sulfated metabolites of PMMA, minor 480 amounts were found in the rat urine [39] (Table S1). Similarly, urinary excretion of 481 482 conjugated metabolites for amphetamine and methamphetamine was observed only to a small 483 extent in humans [34-36]. Taken together, these findings consistently reveal that in vivo glucuronidation and sulfation is not a major part of the metabolic pathway of PMA and 484 PMMA in humans and rats. This finding is coherent with the lack of detection of PMA and 485 486 PMMA Phase II metabolites in the in vitro metabolism experiments of this study.

This study revealed that 4-MTA was largely metabolised into four metabolites (Table 3) and a clear trend of their formation was observed (Fig. 5). The results also suggest that the mechanism of the CYP-mediated metabolism of 4-MTA is different from that of PMA and

490 PMMA. The primary metabolite (4-MTA-M1) of 4-MTA is a mono-hydroxylated metabolite 491 (4-methylthiocathine), most likely formed by oxidation of an unsubstituted C atom, catalysed 492 by CYPs. In spite of sharing similar chemical structures among 4-MTA, PMA and PMMA, 493 this mono-hydroxylated metabolite was not detected for PMA or PMMA. This implies that the presence of the S atom in 4-MTA at the para position of the phenethylamine-based 494 495 chemical structure favours such oxidative metabolism rather than the O atom in PMA and 496 PMMA. To a dealkylation reaction for such *para*-substituted phenethylamine compounds, the 497 obtained data reveal that with CYP enzymes, S-dealkylation is much less favourable than O-498 dealkylation reaction. This was observed from the result that the S-demethylated metabolite 499 (4-MTA-M2) of 4-MTA was only detected after the three-hour long incubations (the first set 500 of tier IB), whereas the O-demethylated metabolite of PMA and PMMA were readily 501 generated after 10 min (Fig. 4). The tier II data of 4-MTA provided an indication that 4-502 MTA-M1 is transformed by CYPs to the corresponding ketone (4-MTA-M3, secondary or 503 intermediate metabolite) through an oxidative deamination (Fig. 3C). It is then reduced to a 504 di-hydroxylated metabolite (4-MTA-M4, tertiary metabolite, Fig. 3C), showing a similar 505 metabolism pattern to 4-MTA-M1 (see red and green symbols in Fig. 5).

506 Even less is known about 4-MTA metabolism than that for PMA and PMMA. 4-Methylthiobenzoic acid was reported as the major metabolite when incubating 4-MTA with 507 508 human hepatocytes obtained from three volunteers [40], but this metabolite was not detected 509 in this study. The discrepancy might be due to the lack of the enzymes for producing 4methylthiobenzoic acid in HLM. In contrast, unchanged 4-MTA was found as the major 510 compound in five human urine samples (Table S1) with a few minor metabolites identified 511 512 which were formed via oxidative deamination followed by reduction to corresponding 513 alcohol and degradation of the side change to 4-methylthiobenzoic acid [41]. Metabolites of 514 ring- and  $\beta$ -hydroxylation (4-methylthiocathine, i.e. 4-MTA-M1 in this study) were detected in the urine samples too [41]. Similar metabolites were also observed in mice urine samples 515

516 [42] (Table S1), including unchanged 4-MTA, 4-methylthiobenzoic acid, 4-methylthiocathine 517 and hydroxyl-4-MTA on the aromatic ring and the methylthio-side chain; however, 518 conjugation of these metabolites was hardly observed. While the identified *in vivo* and *in* 519 *vitro* metabolites of 4-MTA partly agree with this and previous studies [40-42], the current 520 study provides additional information on *in vitro* metabolism of 4-MTA using CYPs.

521 The obtained in vitro metabolism data on MBDB, BDB, 5-APB and 6-APB by HLM and 522 HLCYT consistently indicate that these drugs are hardly metabolised. The only metabolite of 523 MBDB was detected via an N-dealkylation reaction (MBDM-M1; Table 4, Fig. 3D). The 524 difference in the chemical structure between MBDB and BDB is only the methylation of the amino group (for MBDB). The data again showed that dealkylation metabolism is more 525 526 favourable at the amino than at the methyl group, consistent with what has been noticed for 527 PMMA metabolism (Figs. 4D-F). The consistent formation pattern between MBDB-M1 and 528 PMMA-M2 (see red symbols in Fig. 6 and purple symbols in Figs. 4D-F) implies that the N-529 dealkylation metabolism pathway for such phenethylamine-based designer drugs in general 530 proceeds at a very slow pace. Furthermore, the lack of detection of any metabolites for BDB, 5-APB and 6-APB reinforces the role of methylation of the amino group in favouring the in 531 532 vitro metabolism for this group of drugs as this moiety is the major structural difference among them. 533

The results of this study are partially in line with other metabolism studies for these four 534 535 drugs. For example, MBDB-M1 (i.e. BDB) was the major metabolite of MBDB in which CYP2B6 is supposed to mediate this *N*-demethylation metabolic pathway [21, 22]. Although 536 the catechol metabolite of MBDB and BDB through mediation of CYP2D6 for demethylation 537 538 [22, 23] was detected in the human and rat urine samples [21] (Table S1), this metabolite has 539 been found to be unstable in the *in vitro* assays [21, 43]. The lack of detection of the catechol 540 metabolite for MBDB and BDB in this study could be explained by this previous finding and/or because its amounts would have been too low in the in vitro samples for the QTOF-541

542 MS detection limit. The latter reason could also explain the lack of detection of Ndemethylated metabolite for BDB. The data obtained are consistent with the previous study 543 showing that glucuronidated and sulfated conjugated metabolites of MBDB and BDB were 544 545 not detected in the *in vitro* experiments using particular isoenzymes of human and rat [21]. 546 These results overall suggest that conjugation of MBDB and BDB appears not to be a major 547 part of their metabolic pathway in humans. Human in vitro metabolism studies for 5-APB and 6-APB are very limited in the literature and thus in vitro metabolites of these drugs are 548 549 not yet well-known so far [44]. While this study has found that the metabolism process of 5-550 and 6-APB was very slow, one study [45] has very recently shown similar results, in that only limited amounts of in vitro metabolites of 5-APB, including hydroxyl-5-APB, hydroxy-551 552 dihydro-5-APB and 3-carboxymethyl-4-hydroxy amphetamine, were yielded and its 553 formation rate was very low. In vivo metabolites of 5-APB were recently reported in rat urine 554 [45] but are not yet known in human urine (Table S1). Similar to the present in vitro study, 5-555 APB and 6-APB were not degraded by activated sludge (own unpublished data). These 556 findings suggest that these two drugs are rather resistant to enzymatic and bacterial digestions. 557

558 In vitro metabolism data of the selected designer drugs are important for drug-related disciplines, such as forensic toxicology, since they provide key compounds useful to better 559 560 identify these drugs in humans and crime scenes. Also, the results of the current study reveal 561 potential human biomarkers of the targeted designer drugs to be measured in urine from individuals, pooled urine samples or even wastewater samples for assessing the use of these 562 designer drugs in the emerging research field of wastewater-based drug epidemiology [46]. 563 564 Since the data indicated that the *in vitro* metabolism of the targeted designer drugs proceeds 565 at slow rate, the parent drug itself appears to be the key biomarker in most cases. However, 566 for PMA and PMMA, the respective para-hydroxylated metabolite can be considered as its 567 second target biomarker. Also, it should be noted that since PMMA-M2 (i.e. PMA) was only

568 the secondary metabolite of PMMA, high levels of PMA detection in human fluids and 569 wastewater samples may reflect the use PMA rather than that of PMMA. From the current *in* 570 vitro experiments of 4-MTA, 4-methylthiocathine (4-MTA-M1) can be regarded as its key 571 biomarker, with the di-hydroxylated metabolite (4-MTA-M4) as its second major biomarker. In future studies, in vitro experiments can provide further support to identify suitable 572 573 biomarkers in the matrix of interest. For this purpose, the database of retention times, MS and 574 MS/MS spectra of each metabolite detected *in vitro* represents a valuable tool to confirm the 575 identity of the biomarkers detected in (*in vivo*) samples, particularly when no authentic 576 reference standards for any of these metabolites/biomarkers are commercially available. It should be noted that further research is needed to examine whether those potential 577 578 biomarkers are stable in wastewater before they can be used for reliable monitoring of drug 579 use in wastewater-based drug epidemiology.

580

#### 581 **5. Conclusions**

582 Overall, the work of this study has, for the first time, (a) screened for human in vitro metabolites of the selected phenethylamine-based designer drugs using an untargeted analysis 583 of LC-QTOF-MS, and also (b) described a stepwise in vitro experimental design to enhance 584 585 the reliability of assessing *in vitro* drug metabolism. The metabolism of the targeted drugs was mainly catalysed by CYP enzymes and progressed at relatively slow rate with only 586 587 limited number of metabolites formed. The *in vitro* metabolism pathway of the selected drugs preferentially proceeded via O-dealkylation followed by N-dealkylation, and to a much 588 smaller extent, oxidation of unsubstituted carbon atoms and oxidative deamination. The in 589 590 vitro metabolism results broadly agreed with the findings of other available metabolism 591 studies for phenethylamine-based drugs. The current work has established a list of specific in 592 vitro human metabolites for the targeted drugs which are beneficial to all kinds of drug-593 related disciplines, such as clinical and forensic toxicology and the emerging research field of

wastewater-based drug epidemiology, for better detection and monitoring of the use of thesedrugs in humans and communities.

596

#### 597 Acknowledgements

The National Research Centre for Environmental Toxicology (Entox) is a joint venture 598 599 of The University of Queensland (UQ) and Queensland Health Forensic Scientific Services (QHFSS). The authors sincerely thank Nele Van den Eede (University of Antwerp (UA)) and 600 Walid Maho (UA) for advising on the operation of the LC-QTOF-MS instrument. We also 601 602 would like to acknowledge Xiaobo Zheng (UA) for assistance with the sample preparation 603 and Maria Jose Gomez Ramos (Entox, UQ) and Nele Van den Eede for the discussion on 604 fragmentation in mass spectrometry. We sincerely thank Dr. Raimondo Bruno (University of 605 Tasmania, Australia) for his valuable input on discussions and language revisions. Foon Yin Lai is funded through UQ Collaboration and Industry Engagement Fund (UQCIEF #608290) 606 607 and Collaborative Research Seeding Grants of the Faculty of Health and Behavioural 608 Sciences (UQHABS #608670). Alexander L.N. van Nuijs acknowledges FWO Flanders for 609 his scholarship. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement #295138 610 611 (INTERFLAME project), #316665 (A-TEAM project) and #317205 (SEWPROF project). 612

#### 613 Conflict of interest

614 None

#### 615 References

- [1] UNODC. World Drug Report 2013. United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime (UNODC), United Nationspublication, Sales No E13XI6, 2013.
- [2] UNODC. The Challenge of New Psychoactive Substances. United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime
   (UNODC), A Report from the Global SMART Programme, March 2013.
- 620 [3] L.A. King, A.T. Kicman. A brief history of 'new psychoactive substances'. Drug Test Anal 3 (2011) 401-3.
- 621 [4] I. Sample. 'Superman' pill deaths spark calls for dangerous-drugs alert system. The Guardian 17 January
- 622 2015. Available at: <u>http://wwwtheguardiancom/society/2015/jan/16/superman-pill-deaths-dangerous-drugs-</u>
   623 <u>alert-system</u> [accessed 26 February 2015]
- 624 [5] D. Nutt. The Superman pill deaths are the result of our illogical drugs policy The Guardian 5 January 2015.
- 625 Available at: <u>http://wwwtheguardiancom/commentisfree/2015/jan/05/superman-pill-ecstasy-pma-deaths-drugs-</u>
- 626 policy [accessed 26 February 2015]
- 627[6] Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC). Potentially lethal 'Superman' drug could be on sale in Australia,628policewarn.ABC25February2015Availableat:

629 http://wwwtheguardiancom/commentisfree/2015/jan/05/superman-pill-ecstasy-pma-deaths-drugs-policy

630 [accessed 26 February 2015]

[7] E. Zuccato, C. Chiabrando, S. Castiglioni, *et al.* Estimating community drug abuse by wastewater analysis.
Environ Health Perspect 116 (2008) 1027-32.

- [8] K.V. Thomas, L. Bijlsma, S. Castiglioni, *et al.* Comparing illicit drug use in 19 European cities through
  sewage analysis. Sci Total Environ 432 (2012) 432-9.
- 635 [9] C. Chen, C. Kostakis, R.J. Irvine, et al. Increases in use of novel synthetic stimulant are not directly linked to
- decreased use of 3,4-methylenedioxy-*N*-methylamphetamine (MDMA). Forensic Sci Int 231 (2013) 278-83.
- [10] A.L.N. van Nuijs, A. Gheorghe, P.G. Jorens, *et al.* Optimization, validation, and the application of liquid
   chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the analysis of new drugs of abuse in wastewater. Drug Test
   Anal 6 (2014) 861-7.
- 640 [11] M.J. Reid, L. Derry, K.V. Thomas. Analysis of new classes of recreational drugs in sewage: Synthetic 641 cannabinoids and amphetamine-like substances. Drug Test Anal 6 (2014) 72-9.
- [12] A. Kankaanpää, K. Ariniemi, M. Heinonen, *et al.* Use of illicit stimulant drugs in Finland: a wastewater
  study in ten major cities. Sci Total Environ 487 (2014) 696-702.
- [13] J. Kinyua, A. Covaci, W. Maho, *et al.* Sewage-based epidemiology in monitoring the use of new psychoactive substances: Validation and application of an analytical method using LC-MS/MS. Drug Test Anal (2015). DOI: 10.1002/dta.1777.
- 647 [14] Peters FT, Meyer MR. *In vitro* approaches to studying the metabolism of new psychoactive compounds.
  648 Drug Test Anal 3 (2011) 483-95.
- 649 [15] Z.Y. Liu. An introduction to hybrid ion trap/time-of-flight mass spectrometry coupled with liquid 650 chromatography applied to drug metabolism studies. J Mass Spectrom 47 (2012) 1627-42.
- [16] D. Spaggiari, L. Geiser, S. Rudaz. Coupling ultra-high-pressure liquid chromatography with mass
   spectrometry for in-vitro drug-metabolism studies. Trac-Trend Anal Chem 63 (2014) 129-39.
- [17] European Monitoring Center for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). Action on new drugs. Risk
   assessments. EMCDDA, Lisbon. Available at: <u>http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/html.cfm/index16776EN.html</u>
   [accessed 26 February 2015].
- [18] M.V. Bach, R.T. Coutts, G.B. Baker. Involvement of CYP2D6 in the *in vitro* metabolism of amphetamine,
  two *N*-alkylamphetamines and their 4-methoxylated derivatives. Xenobiotica 29 (1999) 719-32.
- 658 [19] R.F. Staack, D.S. Theobald, L.D. Paul, *et al.* Identication of human cytochrome P450 2D6 as major enzyme
- 659 involved in the O-demethylation of the designer drug p-methoxymethamphetamine. Drug Metab Dispos 32
   660 (2004) 379-81.
- [20] R.T. Coutts, O.O. Bolaji, P. Su, *et al.* Metabolism of methoxyphenamine *in vitro* by a CYP2D6 microsomal
   preparation. Drug Metab Dispos 22 (1994) 756-60.
- 663 [21] H.H. Maurer, J. Bickeboeller-Friedrich, T. Kraemer, *et al.* Toxicokinetics and analytical toxicology of 664 amphetamine-derived designer drugs ('Ecstasy'). Toxicol Lett 112-113 (2000) 133-42.
- [22] M.R. Meyer, F.T. Peters, H.H. Maurer. Stereoselective differences in the cytochrome P450-dependent dealkylation and demethylenation of *N*-methyl-benzodioxolyl-butanamine (MBDB, Eden) enantiomers.
  Biochem Pharmacol 77 (2009) 1725-34.
- 668 [23] M.R. Meyer, F.T. Peters, H.H. Maurer. Investigations on the human hepatic cytochrome P450 isozymes
- involved in the metabolism of 3,4-methylenedioxy-amphetamine (MDA) and benzodioxolyl-butanamine (BDB)
  enantiomers. Toxicol Lett 190 (2009) 54-60.
- 671 [24] N. van den Eede, W. Maho, C. Erratico, *et al.* First insights in the metabolism of phosphate flame 672 retardants and plasticizers using human liver fractions. Toxicol Lett 223 (2013) 9-15.
- 673 [25] A. Ballesteros-Gómez, C. Erratico, N. van den Eede, *et al. In vitro* metabolism of 2-ethylhexyldiphenyl 674 phosphate (EHDPHP) by human liver microsomes. Toxicol Lett 232 (2015) 203-12.

- [26] E. Tyrkkö, A. Pelander, R. Ketola, *et al. In silico* and *in vitro* metabolism studies support identification of designer drugs in human urine by liquid chromatography/quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Anal
- 677 Bioanal Chem 405 (2013) 6697-709.
- 678 [27] B. Chiavarino, M.E. Crestoni, O. Dopfer, *et al.* Benzylium versus tropylium ion dichotomy: vibrational 679 spectroscopy of gaseous  $C_8H_9^+$  ions. Angew Chem Int Edit 51 (2012) 4947-9.
- [28] P.R. Schreiner, H.P. Reisenauer, J. Romanski, *et al.* A formal carbon-sulfur triple bond: H-C≡S-O-H.
  Angew Chem Int Edit 48 (2009) 8133-6.
- [29] N. Chauret, A. Gauthier, D.A. Nicoll-Griffith. Effect of common organic solvents on *in vitro* cytochrome
  P450-mediated metabolic activities in human liver microsomes. Drug Metab Dispos 26 (1998) 1-4.
- 684 [30] D. Li, Y. Han, X. Meng, *et al.* Effect of regular organic solvents on cytochrome P450-mediated metabolic 685 activities in rat liver microsomes. Drug Metab Dispos 38 (2010) 1922-5.
- 686 [31] J.T. Wu, L.H. Wu, J.A. Knight. Stability of NADPH: effect of various factors on the kinetics of 687 degradation. Clin Chem 32 (1986) 314-9.
- [32] R.S. Obach. Nonspecific binding to microsomes: impact on scale-up of *in vitro* intrinsic clearance to
   hepatic clearance as assessed through examination of warfarin, imipramine, and propranolol. Drug Metab
   Dispos 25 (1997) 1359-69.
- [33] K. Venkatakrishnan, L.L. von Moltke, R.S. Obach, *et al.* Microsomal binding of amitriptyline: effect on
  estimation of enzyme kinetic parameters *in vitro*. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 293 (2000) 343-50.
- [34] R. Baselt. Disposition of Toxic Drugs and Chemicals in Man. Foster City: CA: Biomedical Publications;(2008).
- [35] L.G. Dring, R.L. Smith, R.T. Williams. The metabolic fate of amphetamine in man and other species.
  Biochem J 116 (1970) 425-35.
- [36] I. Kim, J.M. Oyler, E.T. Moolchan, *et al.* Urinary pharmacokinetics of methamphetamine and its metabolite, amphetamine following controlled oral administration to humans. Ther Drug Monit 26 (2004) 664-699
   72.
- [37] I. Kitchen, J. Tremblay, J. André, *et al.* Interindividual and interspecies variation in the metabolism of the hallucinogen 4-methoxyamphetamine. Xenobiotica 9 (1979) 397-404.
- [38] R.F. Staack, H.H. Maurer. Metabolism of designer drugs of abuse. Curr Drug Metab 6 (2005) 259-74.
- [39] R.F. Staack, J. Fehn, H.H. Maurer. New designer drug *p*-methoxymethamphetamine: studies on its metabolism and toxicological detection in urine using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 789 (2003) 27-41.
- [40] H. Carmo, J. Hengstler, D. de Boer, *et al.* Comparative metabolism of the designer drug 4 methylthioamphetamine by hepatocytes from man, monkey, dog, rabbit, rat and mouse. N-S Arch Pharmacol
   369 (2004) 198-205.
- [41] A.H. Ewald, F.T. Peters, M. Weise, *et al.* Studies on the metabolism and toxicological detection of the designer drug 4-methylthioamphetamine (4-MTA) in human urine using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 824 (2005) 123-31.
- [42] H. Carmo, D. de Boer, F. Remião, *et al.* Identification of 4-methylthioamphetamine and some of its
   metabolites in mouse urine by GC-MS after acute administration. J Anal Toxicol 26 (2002) 228-32.
- [43] H.H. Maurer. On the metabolism and the toxicological analysis of methylenedioxyphenylalkylamine
   designer drugs by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Ther Drug Monit 18 (1996) 465-70.
- [44] Kronstrand R. Emerging Designer Drug Monograph. Society of Forensic Toxicologists, Inc Designer Drug
   Committee Monographs vers 11, 2013.
- [45] Welter J., Kavanagh P., Meyer M., et al. Benzofuran analogues of amphetamine and methamphetamine:
- studies on the metabolism and toxicological analysis of 5-APB and 5-MAPB in urine and plasma using GC-MS
   and LC-(HR)-MS<sup>n</sup> techniques. Anal Bioanal Chem (2014) 1-18.
- [46] A.L.N. van Nuijs, S. Castiglioni, I. Tarcomnicu, *et al.* Illicit drug consumption estimations derived from
   wastewater analysis: A critical review. Sci Total Environ 409 (2011) 3564-77.

#### 724 Tables

#### Table 1: Postulated structure for PMA metabolite generated from incubation with HLM in tier I.

ID Met	Precur	sor ion (MS-TOF	")			Product ic		
	Measured m/z $[M+H]^+$	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Measured DBE	RT (min)	Measured m/z	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Potential fragment structure [molecular formula]	Proposed structure
M1	152.1063 [C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>13</sub> NO +H] <sup>+</sup>	152.1070 (+4.60)	4	5.17	135.0799	135.0810 (-8.14)	$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & $	HO CoH13NO
					107.0495	107.0497 (-1.87)	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{2} \\ \mathbf{H}_{0} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{2} \\ \mathbf{H}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_{0}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{2} \\ \mathbf{H}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger}$	
					91.0552	91.0548 (+4.39)		
					77.0390	77.0386 (+5.19)		
					65.0364	65.0386 (-33.8)		
ID Me	et: Identified metabol	ite; m/z: mass to c	harge ratio; D	BE: dout	ble bond equiv	alents.		
See L	1g. 51 101  une MS/M	5-10F spectrum.						

ID Met	Precurs	sor ion (MS-TOF	")			Product ion	ns <sup>*</sup> (MS/MS-TOF)	
	Measured m/z [M+H] <sup>+</sup>	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Measured DBE	RT (min)	Measured m/z	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Potential fragment structure [molecular formula]	Proposed structure
M1	166.1234 $[C_{10}H_{15}NO +H]^+$	166.1226 (+4.82)	4	5.40	135.0798	135.0810 (-8.88)		
					119.0472	119.0497 (-21.0)	$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $	
					107.0483	107.0497 (-13.1)		
					91.0540	91.0548 (-8.79)		
					77.0383	77.0386 (+3.89)		
					65.0380	65.0386 (-9.23)		

**Table 2:** Postulated structures for PMMA metabolites generated from incubation with HLM in tier I.

M2	$\frac{166.1208}{\left[C_{10}H_{15}NO + H\right]^{+}}$	166.1226 (+10.8)	4	15.6	149.0931	149.0966 (-23.5)		C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO	NH2
					135.0790	135.0810 (-14.8)			
					121.0625	121.0653 (-23.1)			
					91.0523	91.0548 (-27.5)			
					77.0371	77.0386 (-19.5)			
					65.0364	65.0386 (-33.8)	$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $		
ID M *See J	et: Identified metaboli	te; m/z: mass to c	harge ratio; D	BE: dout	ble bond equivation	alents.			

ID Met	Precur			Product ions <sup>*</sup> (MS/MS-TOF)				
	Measured m/z [M+H] <sup>+</sup>	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Measured DBE	RT (min)	Measured m/z	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Potential fragment structure [molecular formula]	Proposed structure
M1	$\frac{198.0946}{\left[C_{10}H_{15}NOS + H\right]^{+}}$	198.0947 (-0.50)	4	6.20	181.0674	181.0687 (-7.18)	$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ $	H <sub>3</sub> C OH
					153.0362	153.0374 (-7.84)		C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> I
					133.0639	133.0648 (-6.76)		
					117.0690	117.0699 (-7.69)		
					107.0490	107.0491 (-0.93)	$[C_7H_7O]^{\bullet+}$	
					91.0544	91.0548 (-4.39)		

**Table 3:** Postulated structures for 4-MTA metabolites generated from incubation with HLM in tier I.

							$[C_7H_7]^+$	
					77.0382	77.0386 (-5.19)		
					65.0391	65.0386 (+7.69)		
M2	168.0836 $[C_9H_{13}NS +H]^+$	168.0841 (-2.97)	4	8.94	151.0558	151.0581 (-15.2)		HS C <sub>0</sub> H <sub>13</sub> NS
					117.0692	117.0699 (-5.98)		
					91.0536	91.0548 (-13.2)	$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & $	
М3	$\frac{197.0633}{\left[C_{10}H_{12}O_{2}S + H\right]^{+}}$	197.0631 (+1.01)	5	14.1	137.0411	137.0425 (-10.2)		





ID Met	Precursor ion (MS-TOF)					Product ion	ns <sup>*</sup> (MS/MS-TOF)	
	Measured m/z [M+H] <sup>+</sup>	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Measured DBE	RT (min)	Measured m/z	Expected m/z (ppm diff.)	Potential fragment structure [molecular formula]	Proposed structure
M1	$194.1174 \\ [C_{11}H_{15}NO_2 + H]^+$	194.1176 (+1.03)	5	18.7	177.0894	177.0916 (-12.4)	$\begin{bmatrix} \bigcirc & \bigcirc $	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>
					135.0447	135.0446 (+0.74)	$\begin{bmatrix} O \\ O \\ CH_2 \end{bmatrix}^+$	
					117.0327	117.0335 (-6.84)	$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet \\ \hline & \bullet & \bullet $	
					107.0499	107.0497 (+1.87)		
					105.0329	105.0335 (-5.71)	CH <sub>2</sub> O	

#### **Table 4:** Postulated structure for MBDB metabolite generated from incubation with HLM in tier I.



## 736 Figures737





*p*-methoxymethamphetamine (PMMA) 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-*N*-methylpropan-2-amine Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO Molecular Weight: 179.26

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{4-methylthioamphetamine (4-MTA)} \\ \mbox{1-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)propan-2-amine} \\ \mbox{Chemical Formula: $C_{10}H_{15}NS$} \\ \mbox{Molecular Weight: 181.30} \end{array}$ 





Benzodioxolylbutanamine (BDB) 1-(benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)butan-2-amine Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 193.25

*N*-methyl-benzodioxolylbutanamine (MBDB) 1-(benzo[*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-*N*-methylbutan-2-amine Chemical Formula: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 207.27

5-(2-aminopropyl)benzofuran (5-APB) 1-(benzofuran-5-yl)propan-2-amine Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO Molecular Weight: 175.23

6-(2-aminopropyl)benzofuran (6-APB) 1-(benzofuran-6-yl)propan-2-amine Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO Molecular Weight: 175.23

738739 Figure 1: Seven phenethylamine-based designer drugs selected for this study.

#### 2 21 D Å CICIE - 61



742 743 744 745 Figure 2: Schematic flow of the two-tiered approach on human *in vitro* drug metabolism in this study. P-I: Phase I; P-II: Phase II; HLM: human liver microsomes; HLCYT: human liver cytosol. The corresponding family of enzymes (i.e. CYPs, UGTs and SULTs) is described in section 2.2. 746

Α CH3 CH3 CYPs NH2 Ha  $\dot{N}H_2$ O-demethylation PMA PMA-M1 C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO .CH₃ Β CYPs O-demethylation PMMA-M1  $C_{10}H_{15}NO$  $H_3($ CYPS CH₃ N-demethylation **PMMA** NH2 C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO PMMA-M2 C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO QН С CH3 NH2 Deamination 4-MTA-M1 hydroxylation C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NOS CH₃ H₃C 4-MTA-M3 I NH2  $H_3$ CYPs  $C_{10}H_{12}O_2S$ S-demethylation Reduction 4-MTA  $C_{10}H_{15}NS$ ΩН CH₃ NH2 HS 4-MTA-M2 H₃C ĠН C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NS 4-MTA-M4  $C_{10}H_{14}O_2S$ D  $NH_2$ CYPs N-demethylation MBDB MBDB-M1  $C_{12}H_{17}NO_2$  $\mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_{15}\mathrm{NO}_2$ 



**Figure 3:** Proposed biotransformation pathways of PMA (**A**), PMMA (**B**), 4-MTA (**C**) and MBDB (**D**) by human CYP enzymes.



Figure 4: Metabolic profiles of PMA and its metabolite (A–C) and PMMA and its metabolites (D–F) under three different testing conditions (i.e. changes in the experimental times, enzyme concentrations and drug concentrations) in the tier II experiment. The response presents as the peak area ratio of the metabolites or parent drug to the internal standards. Note: when testing with the change in experimental times (i.e. A and D), the enzyme and substrate concentration was kept at 0.8 mg/mL and 10 µM, respectively; when testing with the change in the enzyme concentrations (i.e. B and E), the substrate concentration was kept at 10 µM and the experimental time was conducted for 90 min; when testing with the change in the substrate concentrations (i.e. C and F), the enzyme concentration was kept at 0.8 mg/mL and the experimental time was conducted for 90 min. See Tables 1 and 2 for the molecular structures of the metabolites.



768 769

Figure 5: Metabolic profiles of 4-MTA and its metabolites under three different testing conditions (i.e. changes 770 in the experimental times, enzyme concentrations and drug concentrations) in the tier II experiment. The 771 response presents as the peak area ratio of the metabolites or parent drug to the internal standards. Note: when 772 testing with the change in experimental times (i.e. A), the enzyme and substrate concentration was kept at 0.8 773 mg/mL and 10 µM, respectively; when testing with the change in the enzyme concentrations (i.e. B), the 774 substrate concentration was kept at 10  $\mu$ M and the experimental time was conducted for 90 min; when testing 775 with the change in the substrate concentrations (i.e. C), the enzyme concentration was kept at 0.8 mg/mL and 776 the experimental time was conducted for 90 min. See Table 3 for the molecular structures of the metabolites.



779 Figure 6: Metabolic profiles of MBDB and its metabolite under three different testing conditions (i.e. changes 780 in the experimental times, enzyme concentrations and drug concentrations) in the tier II experiment. The 781 response presents as the peak area ratio of the metabolites or parent drug to the internal standards. Note: when 782 testing with the change in experimental times (i.e. A), the enzyme and substrate concentration was kept at 0.8 783 mg/mL and 10 µM, respectively; when testing with the change in the enzyme concentrations (i.e. B), the 784 substrate concentration was kept at 10 µM and the experimental time was conducted for 90 min; when testing 785 with the change in the substrate concentrations (i.e. C), the enzyme concentration was kept at 0.8 mg/mL and 786 the experimental time was conducted for 90 min. See Table 4 for the molecular structure of the metabolite. 787