

Accepted Manuscript

Title: In pursuit of the ideal antifungal agent for *Candida* infections: high-throughput screening of small molecules

Author: Sarah S.W. Wong Lakshman P. Samaranayake
Chaminda J. Senevirate



PII: S1359-6446(14)00243-8
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2014.06.009>
Reference: DRUDIS 1437

To appear in:

Received date: 12-3-2014
Revised date: 23-5-2014
Accepted date: 12-6-2014

Please cite this article as: Wong, S.S.W., Samaranayake, L.P., Senevirate, C.J., In pursuit of the ideal antifungal agent for *Candida* infections: high-throughput screening of small molecules, *Drug Discovery Today* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2014.06.009>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **In pursuit of the ideal antifungal agent for *Candida* infections: high-throughput**
2 **screening of small molecules**

3 Sarah S.W. Wong^{1[s1]}, Lakshman P. Samaranayake^{1,2} and Chaminda J. Senevirate^{1,3,*}

4

5 ¹Faculty of Dentistry, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

6 ²School of Dentistry, University of Queensland, Australia

7 ³Oral Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore

8

9 *Corresponding author: Senevirate, C.J. (jaya@nus.edu.sg, jaya@hku.hk).

10

11 *Keywords:* *Candida*; antifungal; drug discovery; small molecules; high-throughput screening.

12 *Chemical compounds studied in this article:* ETYA (PubChem CID: 1780); CGP-37157
13 (PubChem CID: 2688); shearinine D (PubChem CID: 16104602); shearinine E (PubChem
14 CID: 44423349); HWY289 (PubChem CID: 487138); D75-4590 (PubChem CID: 948175);
15 E1210 (PubChem CID: 16719049).

16 *Teaser:* High-throughput screening of small molecules, which enables rapid hit identification
17 and increases hit rate, is particularly helpful in the pursuit of ideal antifungal for *Candida*
18 infections.

19

1

2 **Sarah S.W. Wong**

3 Sarah Sze Wah Wong obtained her BSc (Bioinformatics) and PhD from the University of
4 Hong Kong (HKU). Her PhD project aimed at the discovery and development of novel
5 antifungal for *Candida* infections through high-throughput screening of small molecule
6 libraries. She is currently a post-doctoral fellow at HKU, where she continues her work on
7 antifungal drug discovery and the biology of *Candida* species.



8

9 **Lakshman P. Samaranayake**

10 Lakshman Perera Samaranayake was previously the Dean of the Faculty of Dentistry,
11 University of Hong Kong 2003–2014; and is currently serving as the Head and the Professor
12 of Oral Microbiomics and Infection at the School of Dentistry, University of Queensland.
13 With over 400 publications, he is a world-renowned expert in the research of *Candida*; in
14 particular, oral candidal infection, oral microbiology and oral infections in
15 immunocompromised populations. For his research, he has received a number of awards and
16 honors including the Distinguished Scientist Award of the International Association for
17 Dental Research, USA, and the King James IV Professorship of the Royal College of
18 Surgeons of Edinburgh, UK.



1

2 Chaminda J. Seneviratne

3 C.J. 'Jaya' Seneviratne is an Assistant Professor affiliated to the Oral Sciences, Faculty of
4 Dentistry, National University of Singapore. Dr Seneviratne's major research interest is
5 antifungal drug discovery for *Candida* infections. His work has substantially contributed to
6 the understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms of *Candida* infections, proteomics and
7 antifungal drug discovery.



8

9

1
2 ***Candida* infections have created a great burden on the public healthcare sector. The**
3 **situation is worsened by recent epidemiological changes. Furthermore, the current**
4 **arsenal of antifungal agents is limited and associated with undesirable drawbacks.**
5 **Therefore, new antifungal agents that surpass the existing ones are urgently needed.**
6 **High-throughput screening of small molecule libraries enables rapid hit identification**
7 **and, possibly, increases hit rate. Moreover, the identified hits could be associated with**
8 **unrecognized or multiple drug targets, which would provide novel insights into the**
9 **biological processes of the pathogen. Hence, it is proposed that high-throughput**
10 **screening of small molecules is particularly important in the pursuit of the ideal**
11 **antifungal agents for *Candida* infections.**

12

1

2 **Introduction**

3 *Candida*, the major fungal pathogen in humans, is the fourth-most prevalent [s2]pathogen of
4 nosocomial bloodstream infections surpassing most bacterial infections [1]. *Candida*
5 infections are opportunistic and are, therefore, common among immunocompromised
6 populations, such as neutropenic patients, patients under intensive care, organ-transplant
7 recipients, patients with underlying malignancy, HIV patients and patients with uncontrolled
8 diabetes. Invasive candidiasis is associated with significant mortality [2,3] and is, therefore, a
9 serious threat to public health around the globe [4–6]. The recent shifting of the paradigm of
10 *Candida* infections further complicates the situation and highlights the need for novel classes
11 of antifungal agents, especially those with new mechanisms of action [7,8]. However, the
12 progress of antifungal drug discovery has been lagging. The last first-in-class antifungal drug,
13 caspofungin, was approved more than a decade ago in 2001 [9]. One of the reasons for the
14 slow progress is the eukaryotic nature of fungi, which carry substantial similarities to human
15 cells limiting the number of fungal-specific drug targets [10–12]. To accelerate the progress
16 of antifungal drug discovery, the hit identification rate has to be significantly increased.
17 High-throughput screening is a powerful tool that incorporates synthetic chemistry and
18 combinatorial chemistry to provide rich sources of small molecules of diverse structure to
19 increase the hit rate for discovering novel antimicrobial lead compounds.

20 **The need for novel antifungal agents**

21 The recent changes in the epidemiology of *Candida* infections have pressured the healthcare
22 sector and highlighted an urgent need for novel classes of antifungal agents with different
23 chemical structures and cellular targets [7,8]. These issues include the increased incidence of

1 invasive candidiasis, the shifting species distribution of *Candida* infections, the emergence of
2 antifungal resistance and the limitation of the current arsenal of antifungal agents.

3 ***Increased incidence of invasive candidiasis***

4 The secular trends of incidence of *Candida* infections, in particular *Candida* bloodstream
5 infections, have been increasing over the past few decades across the globe [13–22] (Table
6 1). This could be attributed to the increased number of immunocompromised patients due to
7 the growing widespread use of medical procedures, for instance the use of
8 immunosuppressive drugs and broad-spectrum antibiotics, as well as invasive surgical
9 procedures such as solid organ transplantation [23].

10 ***Shifting species distribution of Candida infections***

11 *Candida albicans*, the predominant disease-causing *Candida* species, possesses various
12 virulence factors that render it the most pathogenic of all the *Candida* species. Recently, the
13 continued increase in invasive candidiasis caused by non-*albicans* *Candida* (NAC), such as
14 *Candida dubliniensis*, *Candida glabrata*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida krusei* and *Candida*
15 *parapsilosis*, has been recognized [5,24–28]. This could be partly caused by the improvement
16 in the sensitivity of species identification methods, as well as the indiscriminate use of
17 antifungals [29]. Emergence of NAC raised a concern because they are often associated with
18 antifungal resistance and higher mortality [30,31]. For instance, *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei* are
19 intrinsically less susceptible to fluconazole [28], and lower susceptibility for amphotericin B
20 and 5-fluorocytosine has also been observed in *C. krusei* [32].

21 ***Emergence of antifungal resistance***

22 The emergence of antifungal resistance, which is one of the major reasons for antifungal
23 treatment failure, has been regarded as a significant clinical problem [33]. The increase in the

1 numbers of high-risk populations has raised the frequency of prophylactic treatment.
2 Prolonged exposure to the existing antifungals increases the selection pressure and, as a
3 result, drug resistance has become increasingly common from originally susceptible species
4 [34,35]. This phenomenon further restricts the available choice of treatment from the limited
5 arsenal of antifungal agents.

6 ***Current antifungal agents and their limitations***

7 Up until 2012, existing antifungal agents for systemic candidiasis were mainly divided into
8 four classes: polyenes, azoles, echinocandins and pyrimidines [8] (Table 2). The classes of
9 antifungal agents refer to their distinct mode of action. In addition to the limited number of
10 available antifungal agents, there exist limitations for each antifungal class.

1 **Polyenes.** The first antifungal, nystatin, isolated from *Streptomyces noursei*, was discovered
2 in 1950 through screening various cultures of actinomycetes from soil for antifungal activity
3 [36]. However, owing to its toxicity when injected intravenously and the lack of oral
4 bioavailability, nystatin remains a topical antifungal agent [37]. Amphotericin B was
5 developed in 1953 from a screening of *Streptomyces* cultures for antifungal activity [38].
6 Amphotericin B binds directly to ergosterol, the component of the fungal plasma membrane,
7 and thereby causes the leakage of intracellular potassium and magnesium [39]. It is by far the
8 most potent antifungal agent for systemic candidiasis, and has been regarded as the ‘gold
9 standard’ [40]. Amphotericin B has a broad spectrum and fast onset of activity [41,42].
10 However, it is associated with adverse nephrotoxicity that is cumulative and might not be
11 reversible [43]. Enhanced versions of amphotericin B have been developed by lipid
12 formulations to allow various administration routes and reduced toxicity [44,45].

13 **Pyrimidines.** Flucytosine (or 5-fluorocytosine) is a synthetic compound, which was originally
14 synthesized in 1957 as a potential antitumor drug; however, its antifungal activity was later
15 discovered and it was used to treat candidiasis from 1968 [46,47]. Flucytosine is converted to
16 5-fluorouracil in fungal cells, which then inhibits DNA and RNA synthesis. Because its target
17 is absent in mammalian cells, flucytosine is fungal-specific and has a narrow spectrum of
18 activity [46,48]. Flucytosine is very often used in combination with amphotericin B and
19 azoles rather than monotherapy because of the high prevalence of intrinsic and acquired
20 resistance observed in *Candida* spp. [49,50].

1 **Azoles.** Antifungal activity of azoles was first described in 1944 [51]; however, the first azole
2 antifungal, clotrimazole (topical antifungal), was not developed until 1958 [52]. An azole is a
3 fungistatic antifungal agent that depletes ergosterol in the fungal cell membrane by inhibiting
4 the enzyme 14 α -demethylase [53]. Various derivatives have been developed since to
5 accommodate the growing burden of fungal diseases in the populace. These subclasses
6 include imidazoles and triazoles [52,54]. Imidazoles, except ketoconazole, are ineffective
7 systemically and are associated with toxicity. Therefore, imidazoles have been replaced by
8 triazoles as a first-line treatment of systemic candidiasis. Second-generation triazoles, such as
9 voriconazole, have a broader spectrum of activity than the first-generation triazoles
10 (fluconazole and itraconazole) [55]. Despite being the first choice of therapy, popular usage
11 and fungistatic properties of triazoles have led to a high incidence of resistance. Additionally,
12 azoles are slower regarding onset of action than amphotericin B [56].

13 **Echinocandins.** Echinocandins are the latest class of antifungal and inhibit the synthesis of β -
14 1,3-glucan, an important component in the fungal cell wall [57]. The first member in this
15 class, caspofungin, was approved by the FDA in 2001, two decades after the introduction of
16 the preceding antifungal class, the azoles, in 1981 [9]. Echinocandins were discovered from
17 the screening of natural products produced by fungal fermentation for antifungal activity in
18 1974 [58]. Similar to polyenes, echinocandins are fungicidal and fast-acting. Exposure to
19 caspofungin for just five minutes causes the uptake of propidium iodide in *Candida* [42].
20 This antifungal class has a narrow spectrum of activity because it is only active against
21 *Candida* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. [57]. Besides having a narrow spectrum, echinocandins
22 have to be administered intravenously as a result of poor oral bioavailability [57]. However,
23 an orally bioavailable analog (enfumafungin) is currently under development [59].

24 **The ideal antifungal [s3]agent for *Candida* infections**

1 Deducing from the pros and cons of the existing antifungals discussed above, it is tempting to
2 speculate the properties of an ‘ideal’ antifungal agent for *Candida* infections. Although such
3 antifungal agents might be unattainable in reality, it could serve as a guideline for designing
4 newer drug discovery and development programs to seek optimal antifungals. A promising
5 lead compound should display properties close to the ideal antifungal agent in the preclinical
6 development stage, and this would also be crucial in increasing the success rate in clinical
7 trials. The ideal antifungal agent, besides potent antifungal activity, should possess several
8 properties as outlined below [60,61] (Table 3). An ideal antifungal agent for *Candida*
9 infections should have a broad spectrum of activity (within the *Candida* genus), no
10 resistance, an ideal pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile and no toxicity or side
11 effects.

12 ***Broad spectrum of activity within the Candida genus***

13 Broad-spectrum antifungal agents, which are effective against a wide range of species in the
14 fungus kingdom, are traditionally preferred, because this allows empirical therapy and the
15 start of treatment before the species identification of the responsible pathogen [62]. Recently,
16 it has been suggested that molecules with narrow-spectrum antifungal activity could be of
17 significant value and should not be neglected [63,64]. Narrow-spectrum antifungals that are
18 effective against certain fungal genera would enable the rational use of antifungals and,
19 therefore, would slow the emergence of resistance strains. Various sensitive diagnostic
20 methods are now available that allow rapid species identification from blood cultures to
21 couple with the use of narrow-spectrum antifungals [65,66]. Therefore, an ideal antifungal
22 agent for *Candida* infections should possess a broad spectrum of activity within the *Candida*
23 genus.

1 ***Absence of resistance***

2 The ideal antifungal agent should not associate with intrinsic or acquired resistance. Intrinsic
3 resistance can be easily avoided by screening the lead compounds with all the *Candida*
4 species but acquired resistance is an inevitable outcome of evolution; however, its rate of
5 development is regulated by several artificial factors and can be slowed down. First, because
6 fungistatic antifungal agents cannot completely eradicate the pathogens, survivors are
7 selected for after exposure and resistance then arises. Complete elimination of the pathogens
8 is clearly a safer option; therefore a fungicidal drug is preferred. Furthermore, drugs with
9 multiple targets were associated with higher toxicity and were not preferred in the past;
10 however, drugs that strongly inhibit a single step in a pathway are likely to promote selective
11 pressure and resistance development [67]. Therefore, to minimize the emergence of acquired
12 drug resistance, the ideal antifungal agent should be fungicidal and possess multiple cellular
13 drug targets.

14 ***Ideal pharmacokinetics profile***

15 The four determinants of pharmacokinetics are absorption, distribution, metabolism and
16 excretion (ADME) [68]. The ideal antifungal agent should have adequate bioavailability via
17 intravenous and oral routes of administration to ensure flexibility. Amphotericin B and
18 caspofungin are administered intravenously only, as a result of poor oral bioavailability [57].
19 According to Lipinski's Rule of Five, it is estimated that membrane permeability and oral
20 bioavailability can be achieved by molecules with not more than five hydrogen-bond donors
21 and ten hydrogen-bond acceptors, $\text{Log}P < 5$ (a quantitation of the compound's lipophilicity)
22 and molecular weight < 500 Da [69,70]. Synthetic small molecule compounds have an
23 advantage over natural products here, because the molecular properties of natural products
24 cannot be controlled and the Rule of Five is often violated.

1 The ideal antifungal for systemic candidiasis should be well distributed to various organs and
2 exhibit a long plasma half-life to maximize and prolong its effect. Invasive candidiasis is
3 often seen deep in the organs of the patients; therefore good tissue penetration of the drugs is
4 necessary. For example, flucytosine diffuses well into the tissue [46].

5 The metabolites of the ideal antifungal should not be toxic. Therefore, the pathway of
6 metabolism has to be investigated. Imidazoles are known for severe drug–drug interactions
7 by inhibiting cytochrome P450 enzymes [71]. The cytochrome P450 superfamily is essential
8 for catalyzing drug metabolism, and the inhibition of such enzymes will result in
9 accumulation of the drugs, leading to toxicity [72].

10 **Antifungal drug discovery**

11 Drug discovery is a lengthy process and it is estimated to take 14 years for the launching of a
12 new systemic antibiotic onto the market after its initial discovery from high-throughput
13 screening [73]. Preclinical drug discovery and development is the most challenging part that
14 requires an extended period of time. Despite much effort invested in drug discovery and
15 development, only 20% of all projects proceed to clinical trial, and just 10% of these
16 successfully pass through the latter hurdle [74]. These failures are mainly biological (poorly
17 validated hits) and/or chemical (undesired chemical properties that lead to toxicity) [74].

18 Traditionally, antimicrobial drug discovery is based on phenotype-based screening assays of
19 natural products, the so-called ‘classical pharmacology’ or ‘forward pharmacology’ [75,76].
20 It refers to the screening of small molecules that could result in a certain phenotype, for
21 example inhibition of growth or cell death. This approach is, however, considered to be
22 relatively irrational.

1 During the genomic era, with the advances of recombinant technology and synthetic organic
2 chemistry, the target-based approach (also called reverse pharmacology or rational drug
3 design) has become the dominant paradigm [64,77]. The term target-based approach refers to
4 the rational and careful design of a predefined drug target (i.e. a specific gene or protein of
5 interest). It is then followed by either *de novo* synthesis of chemical compounds or the
6 screening of a library of natural products, as well as synthetic small molecules that effectively
7 interact with the predefined drug target. Interestingly, it has been shown that the classical
8 phenotype-based approach still produces more first-in-class small molecule drugs than this
9 seemingly more rational target-based approach [78]. The two main reasons for this could be:
10 (i) the inadequate understanding of the mechanisms of disease, which might lead to the poor
11 validation of the predefined drug target that the target-based approach relies heavily on [77];
12 (ii) other possible binding sites might be ignored by the *de novo* synthesis of lead compounds,
13 which would lead to undesirable toxicity that is only discovered in later stages of drug
14 development [79]. Moreover, the target-based approach cannot accommodate complex
15 molecular pathways that involve several genes or proteins. The limitations of the target-based
16 approach have led to a search for alternative and optimal strategies in the post-genomic era.

17 ***High-throughput screening of small molecules with antifungal activity***

18 Phenotype-based and target-based drug discovery approaches involve the screening of small
19 molecule libraries. It could be hypothesized that, given a large enough number of small
20 molecules in the library for screening, more hits can be identified. Coupled with modern
21 high-throughput screening technology, rapid hit identification is enabled and the hit rate is
22 likely to increase significantly. Subsequently, with a careful hit-to-lead process and lead
23 optimization, eventually the ideal antifungal agent will be discovered. In other words, the

1 focus of antifungal drug discovery should be placed on the quantity and quality of the small
2 molecules from chemical libraries and the translation of hit-to-lead and lead-to-drug [80].

3 High-throughput screening of small molecules is advantageous to antifungal drug discovery.

4 (i) The utilization of small molecules can bridge drug discovery with chemical biology to
5 study biological processes of the pathogens. (ii) Synthetic organic chemistry and
6 combinatorial chemistry have allowed the rapid and cost-effective generation of a large
7 amount of compounds with diverse structures [76,81]. (iii) Most importantly, high-
8 throughput phenotype-based screening of small molecules with antifungal activity could
9 allow the identification of hits that target multiple proteins [82].

10 *Quantity and quality of small molecules*

11 Small molecules can be defined very differently according to application. In antimicrobial
12 drug discovery, small molecules are defined as non-peptide organic compounds that are
13 synthetic or obtained from natural product extracts and are of low molecular weight (~200–
14 500 Da) according to Lipinski's rule [69], thus binding to biopolymers such as proteins and
15 nucleic acids and altering their normal functions [82–85]. Small molecules are also used in
16 these disciplines to probe biological pathways and gain new insights into unclear mechanisms
17 [85,86]. Academic investigators have an advantage over the developers from the
18 pharmaceutical companies by acquiring and coupling the first-hand knowledge from basic
19 research with drug development [80,87]. The data of small molecules obtained from probing
20 assays, including chemical structure and predicted solubility, are now stored in open
21 databases, such as ChemBank, PubChem (currently contains information of over 700 000
22 compounds) [88,89] and ChemDB (contains over 4 million small molecules) [90].

23 Theoretically, there is an estimation of up to 10^{60} different chemical structures in the
24 chemical space [91,92]. Although not all of these theoretical structures can be successfully

1 synthesized, it is suggested that an ideal small molecule library should contain all the small
2 molecules that can be synthesized, fulfill the Rule of Five and interact with biopolymers [80].

3 There are two main sources of small molecules: commercial libraries and in-house libraries.
4 There are many commercially and publicly available small molecule libraries that are made
5 up of many thousands of existing drugs, extracts of natural products and bioactive
6 compounds of known or unknown action. These libraries provide a convenient source of
7 ready-to-use small molecules. To fit different screening assays, in-house small molecule
8 libraries can be generated, and this should be done objectively in a diversity-oriented or
9 target-oriented manner [93]. A diversity-oriented small molecule library comprises
10 compounds that are diverse in structure and, thus, increases the probability that a lead
11 compound could be discovered. A target-oriented small molecule library contains analogs
12 that are built around a specific structure, called the scaffold, to optimize the binding to the
13 target [94]. The quality of small molecule libraries, which governs the successful rate of hit
14 identification, is achieved by the purity or lead-like characteristics of the small molecules
15 [95].

16 ***Hit-to-lead and lead optimization***

17 Hits are defined as the positive output of a screen having sufficient potency and are of known
18 structure. Some of the hits identified from the primary screening can be false positives.
19 Therefore, a secondary screen (hit validation) is required for validation to ensure that the
20 resulting phenotype was caused by the responsible small molecule.

21 Leads are defined as the molecules, on top of the potency, with sufficient potential (e.g.
22 pharmacokinetics, specificity, patentability) to be developed as drugs [96]. The lead
23 compounds should be tested in an effective way to eliminate the ones that are unlikely to be

1 developed early in the development process. The lead compounds can be optimized by
2 chemical modifications to increase potency and to ensure *in vivo* efficacy [80].

3 Although the discovery of hits is accelerated by high-throughput screening, there seems to be
4 a big gap in the bridging of hits to lead compounds. About 60% of the small molecule drug
5 discovery projects have failed as a result of poor lead optimization (because the lead does not
6 possess drug-like properties) [74]. In addition, there seems to be a lack of medicinal chemists
7 to translate the promising hits into leads [97]. Therefore, it has been suggested that the future
8 of drug discovery should be focused on assessing the ‘therapeutic utilities’ of the leads [98].

9 ***Animal models***

10 Animal models have contributed significantly to the study of the pathogenicity of *Candida*
11 and new therapies [99,100]. *In vivo* models for systemic and oral candidiasis are well
12 established [101,102]. Infection is established in systemic candidiasis murine models by
13 injecting the fungal cells intravenously, and the mice eventually die of progressive sepsis
14 [103]. Yeast cells are mostly retrieved from the kidneys [104]. Although neutropenia is often
15 induced in the murine model to mimic the immune status of the high-risk
16 immunocompromised population, systemic candidiasis can also be induced in non-
17 neutropenic mice.

18 A vast number of new drug candidates fail in the *in vivo* studies owing to undesirable toxicity
19 and/or poor pharmacokinetics. Therefore, the *in vivo* efficacy of a lead compound should be
20 tested thoroughly in the early stages of antifungal drug development. It is important to note
21 that *Candida* is not a constituent of the normal microbiota of mice [99]. Also, there exist
22 variations between the immune systems of mice and the human host [105]. Therefore, the
23 outcome of a drug could differ in mice and in humans. This difference can be best
24 demonstrated by triazole, which is metabolized faster in mice than in humans by cytochrome

1 P450, thereby increasing the *in vivo* efficacy of azole in mice [99]. Importantly, *in vitro*
2 minimum inhibitory concentration does not correlate with the outcome of the therapy. A
3 detailed pharmacodynamics–pharmacokinetics profile is therefore needed for downstream
4 clinical trials.

5 **Potential new drug targets**

6 One of the reasons for the slow progress in this field is the eukaryotic nature of fungi. This is
7 a major hurdle in development of antifungals because they carry substantial similarities to
8 human cells. Therefore, finding a suitable fungal-specific drug target is not a trivial task.

9 ***Cell wall***

10 The cell wall has remained an attractive area of study as an antifungal drug target, because it
11 comprises a number of key molecules that are not present in humans. The cell wall is an
12 essential *Candida* component and is the first contact site of the pathogen with the host [106].
13 The *C. albicans* cell wall is rich in carbohydrate, and mainly comprises glucose (glucans), *N*-
14 acetyl-D-glucosamine (chitin) and mannose (mannan) [107]. Beta-glucan is the most
15 abundant constituent, followed by mannan and chitin [107]. The inner layer is enriched with
16 chitin and the outer layer enriched with mannoprotein [108]. Two classes of antifungal
17 agents, echinocandins and nikkomycin Z_[s4], target the fungal cell wall. Echinocandins target
18 the β -1,3-glucan biosynthesis and nikkomycin Z targets chitin synthesis [109].

19 ***Targeting virulence factors***

20 Although none of the current antifungal drugs target virulence factors of the yeasts, targeting
21 virulence factors as therapeutic options has been proposed as a new paradigm for antifungal
22 drug discovery [11,110,111]. This is a compelling paradigm because virulence-inhibiting
23 small molecules would exert much lower selective pressure and, hence, lead to less

1 resistance, as compared with growth inhibitory small molecules [11,110]. Moreover,
2 virulence factors are usually pathogen-specific and, therefore, these small molecules would
3 be narrow spectrum with only a few side effects [11,110,112]. Yet, there are some
4 disadvantages that render this paradigm arguably controversial. For example, nonfilamentous
5 *C. albicans* mutants were shown to be avirulent in a mouse infection model [113]; therefore,
6 it is hypothesized that disabling hyphal formation, one of the major virulence factors of *C.*
7 *albicans*, would result in attenuation of virulence in *C. albicans*. As a result, small molecules
8 that inhibit hyphal formation would be tremendously narrow spectrum and would only be
9 effective for two *Candida* species that are able to form true hyphae: *C. albicans* and *C.*
10 *dubliniensis* [114]. Although *C. albicans* is the predominant species of *Candida* infections, as
11 discussed above the incidence of infections caused by non-*albicans* species is on the rise.
12 Different virulence factors can be required by *Candida* depending on the type and site of
13 infections [114,115]. For example, the deletion of the gene *CHK1* results in impaired hyphal
14 formation and attenuated virulence in a systemic candidiasis mouse model [116]; but,
15 discouragingly, it does not affect the virulence in a vaginal candidiasis (mucosal candidiasis)
16 rat model [117]. Therefore, it is suggested that some virulence-inhibiting small molecules
17 could possibly be limited to certain species and type of or site of infection.

18 By contrast, one of the most important questions in this context is whether virulence-
19 inhibiting small molecule inhibitors will be effective in immunocompromised patients [110],
20 who constitute the major group of the population prone to *Candida* infections. *In vivo* studies
21 are awaited to examine the consequences of the presence of avirulent pathogens in the host.
22 Therefore, virulence-inhibiting drugs might only be able to act as a prophylactic or an
23 adjuvant therapy [118], or as a pretreatment for medical devices that are at high risk of
24 *Candida* colonization.

1 **Novel small molecule antifungals**

2 A literature search was performed to look for novel small molecule antifungals (excluding
3 new derivatives of existing antifungal agents). A handful of small molecule antifungals were
4 identified and their discovery approach, antifungal activities, spectrum of activity,
5 mechanism of action and their latest development are reviewed and classified below.
6 However, these small molecules were evaluated mainly for their *in vitro* inhibition of growth,
7 virulence factors, such as yeast-to-hypha transition, or biofilm formation. *In vivo* evaluation
8 of these molecules is, at best, scant.

9 ***Virulence processes***

10 ***Yeast-to-hypha transition- and biofilm-inhibiting small molecules.*** Toenjes *et al.* have
11 studied a ChemBridge™ small molecule library that contained 72 molecules using a
12 microplate-based morphological screening assay [119]. These researchers noted that seven of
13 the small molecules (five noncytotoxic small molecule inhibitors and two structural
14 derivatives of unknown nature) inhibited yeast-to-hypha transition without affecting normal
15 budded growth, possibly by affecting the expression of hyphal-specific gene *HWPI* [119].

16 In another study, a microplate-based morphological screening assay was performed to find
17 fungicidal small molecules from the BIOMOL Institute of Chemistry and Cell Biology
18 (ICCB) Known Bioactives collection with 480 small molecules with known cellular targets
19 and processes [120]. The screening revealed 53 molecules that were cytotoxic to *C. albicans*,
20 and 16 that were yeast-to-hypha inhibitors.

21 By investigating the efficacies of 21 of the 23 yeast-to-hypha small molecules from the above
22 studies (excluding the two structural derivatives), it was suggested that they either act on the
23 Efg1^[s5] or Cph1 pathways [121] that govern the filamentation of *C. albicans* [122].

1 Biofilm inhibition of the 23 yeast-to-hypha-inhibiting small molecules from the two studies
2 above (including the structural derivatives) was examined and three (ETYA, CGP-37157,
3 buhytrinA) were found to be biofilm inhibitors [123]. ETYA, an inhibitor of eicosanoid
4 synthesis, was the most potent out of the three, followed by CGP-37157, which affects
5 calcium homeostasis, and buhytrinA, a novel molecule with no known target.

6 ***Nineteen biofilm-inhibiting small molecules.*** More recently, 19 *C. albicans* biofilm
7 inhibitors (effective alone or in synergy with clotrimazole) were discovered by screening 120
8 000 molecules from the NIH Molecular Libraries Small Molecule Repository [124]. The
9 underlying mechanism of action of these small molecules is not known.

10 ***Shearinines D and E: biofilm inhibitors.*** Isolated from *Penicillium* spp., shearinines D and E
11 suppress *C. albicans* biofilm formation by blocking yeast-to-hypha transition. These
12 molecules also enhance the antibiofilm activity of amphotericin B [125].

13 ***Small molecules that target cell wall and/or cell membrane***

14 ***HWY-289.*** HWY-289 was discovered from the screening of 515 synthetic or semi-synthetic
15 protoberberine derivatives and displayed the most potent anti-*Candida* activity with no
16 toxicity in rats [126]. Protoberberine is an extract from a Korean medicinal plant that was
17 shown to inhibit the growth of *C. albicans* by inhibiting ergosterol and chitin synthesis [127].
18 Multiple molecular targets of HWY-289 were reported, which included sterol 24-methyl
19 transferase, acyl CoA, [s6]sterol acyltransferase and chitin synthase isozymes [128]. This
20 extract also inhibited yeast-to-hypha transition by disrupting the prohyphal RAS [s7]signaling
21 [129].

22 ***Pyridobenzimidazole derivatives.*** D75-4590 was discovered from a high-throughput cell-
23 based screening of a chemical library of synthetic compounds that target β -1,6-glucan

1 synthesis [130]. However, owing to its unsatisfactory physiochemical profile, D75-4590
2 lacks significant efficacy in animal models [130]. Derivatives of D75-4590 (D11-2040 and
3 D21-6076) are inhibitors of β -1,6-glucan synthesis with *in vivo* efficacy [131]. D21-6076 also
4 inhibits hyphal elongation and adherence to mammalian cells [131]. D11-2040 inhibits
5 vegetative growth and hyphal development as a single drug or in synergy with caspofungin or
6 fluconazole [132].

7 ***Tamoxifen and structural analogs.*** A library with 4505 compounds of known biological
8 activity and natural products was screened in a microplate-based setting for cell lysis inducers
9 identifying tamoxifen and its structural analog. These agents disrupt the cell wall integrity
10 possibly by interfering with calmodulin [133,134].

11 ***E1210.*** A small molecule, BIQ, was discovered from a high-throughput cell-based screening
12 of a chemical library for cell wall glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) biosynthesis inhibitors
13 that target the GWT1_[s8] protein [135]. Following pharmacological optimization of the hit,
14 E1210 was discovered as the potential drug candidate [136]. E1210 is a fungistatic small
15 molecule with potent activity against most *Candida* species except *C. krusei* [136]. The low
16 cytotoxicity of E1210 against a primary human kidney cell line was comparable to that of
17 fluconazole (IC₅₀ >32 μ g/ml) [136]. Through inhibition of GPI biosynthesis, E1210 also
18 inhibits germ-tube formation, adherence and biofilm formation of *Candida* [137]. *In vivo*,
19 E1210 is effective in mouse models of oropharyngeal and disseminated candidiasis via oral
20 administration [138].

21 ***SCH A, SCH B, SCH C and SCH D.*** A high-throughput screening was performed to look for
22 antifungal small molecules. The mechanistic studies revealed that the primary hits were β -
23 1,3-D-glucan inhibitors [139]. In addition, the identified molecules were also effective in
24 treating disseminated *C. glabrata* infection in a mouse model [139].

1 **Aminopiperidine derivatives.** Aminopiperidine derivatives, namely compound 1a and 1b_[s9],
2 have been shown to inhibit C-14 reduction in ergosterol synthesis [140,141]. These
3 compounds are fungistatic *in vitro* and extend the survival of a murine model with
4 disseminated *C. albicans* infection.

5 ***Novel mechanism of action***

6 **UK-118005.** Two synthetic small molecules, UK-118005 and its structural analog ML-60218,
7 are broad-spectrum antifungal compounds that inhibit RNA polymerase (Pol) III in yeasts
8 and human cells [142].

9 **ECC145 and ECC188.** ECC145 and ECC188 were identified from a high-throughput
10 screening of a synthetic small molecule library (ChemBridge™) by fitness test on *OLE1*-
11 deleted *C. albicans*. The gene *OLE1* encodes the fungal fatty acid Δ -9 desaturase. ECC145
12 and ECC188 inhibit the unsaturated fatty acid synthesis and hyphal development [143].
13 *OLE1* is a potential antifungal target because it is essential for virulence.

14 **MGCD290.** MGCD290, currently in Phase II clinical trials, is a novel oral small molecule
15 that inhibits the Hos2 fungal histone deacetylase (HDAC). MGCD290 enhances the activity
16 and broadens the antifungal spectrum of azoles. When administrated to the systemic
17 candidiasis mouse model together with fluconazole the survival of the mice increased
18 [144,145].

19 **Zinc homeostasis modulators.** In this target-based drug discovery, a small molecule library
20 was screened in a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* model for fungal zinc homeostasis modulators
21 [146]. The library contained 2000 small molecules that had been preselected to cover a wide
22 range of biological processes and structures. The 80 small molecules that were found to affect

1 the zinc homeostasis in the *S. cerevisiae* screening model were further tested on *C. albicans*,
2 and three were shown to be strong zinc homeostasis modulators.

3 ***Unknown mechanism***

4 A novel antifungal, SM21, was identified by high-throughput phenotype-based screening of a
5 library of 50 240 small molecules for growth inhibitors and hyphal formation inhibitors of *C.*
6 *albicans* by our group [147]. Subsequent assays revealed that SM21 is fungicidal against a
7 wide range of *Candida* species. *In vivo*, SM21 is able to treat systemic and oral candidiasis in
8 murine models. The exact mechanism of action of SM21 is still to be elucidated.

9 **Concluding remarks**

10 The serious threat that *Candida* infections are posing to public health urgently requires rapid
11 and effective screening programs for antifungal drug discovery. The drawbacks of the current
12 limited arsenal of antifungal agents have prompted the search for the ideal antifungal agent
13 targeting *Candida* infections. High-throughput screening technology, coupled with synthetic
14 chemistry and combinatorial chemistry, has been shown to be a promising approach in
15 providing rich sources of small molecules of diverse structures and accelerating the hit
16 discovery rate and significantly benefitting downstream drug development.

17 **Conflicts of interest**

18 The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this manuscript.

1 **References**

- 2 1 Wisplinghoff, H. *et al.* (2004) Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals:
3 analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Clin.*
4 *Infect. Dis.* 39, 309–317
- 5 2 Gudlaugsson, O. *et al.* (2003) Attributable mortality of nosocomial candidemia,
6 revisited. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 37, 1172–1177
- 7 3 McNeil, M.M. *et al.* (2001) Trends in mortality due to invasive mycotic diseases in
8 the United States, 1980–1997. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 33, 641–647
- 9 4 Hobson, R.P. (2003) The global epidemiology of invasive *Candida* infections--is the
10 tide turning? *J. Hosp. Infect.* 55, 159–168; quiz 233
- 11 5 Pfaller, M.A. and Diekema, D.J. (2007) Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a
12 persistent public health problem. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 20, 133–163
- 13 6 Eggimann, P. *et al.* (2003) Epidemiology of *Candida* species infections in critically ill
14 non-immunosuppressed patients. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 3, 685–702
- 15 7 de Pauw, B. (2000) Is there a need for new antifungal agents? *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.*
16 6 (Suppl. 2), 23–28
- 17 8 Butts, A. and Krysan, D.J. (2012) Antifungal drug discovery: something old and
18 something new. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002870
- 19 9 Graybill, J. (2001) The echinocandins, first novel class of antifungals in two decades:
20 will they live up to their promise? *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* 55, 633–638
- 21 10 Williams, D.W. *et al.* (2011) *Candida* biofilms and oral candidosis: treatment and
22 prevention. *Periodontol.* 2000 55, 250–265
- 23 11 Pierce, C.G. and Lopez-Ribot, J.L. (2013) Candidiasis drug discovery and
24 development: new approaches targeting virulence for discovering and identifying new
25 drugs. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 8, 1117–1126

- 1 12 Ostrosky-Zeichner, L. *et al.* (2010) An insight into the antifungal pipeline: selected
2 new molecules and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 9, 719–727
- 3 13 Fortun, J. *et al.* (2012) Emerging trends in candidemia: a higher incidence but a
4 similar outcome. *J. Infect.* 65, 64–70
- 5 14 Chen, L.Y. *et al.* (2011) Changes in the incidence of candidaemia during 2000–2008
6 in a tertiary medical centre in northern Taiwan. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 78, 50–53
- 7 15 Yap, H.Y. *et al.* (2009) Epidemiology and outcome of *Candida* bloodstream infection
8 in an intensive care unit in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Med. J.* 15, 255–261
- 9 16 Arendrup, M.C. *et al.* (2008) Semi-national surveillance of fungaemia in Denmark
10 2004–2006: increasing incidence of fungaemia and numbers of isolates with reduced
11 azole susceptibility. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 14, 487–494
- 12 17 Zilberberg, M.D. *et al.* (2008) Secular trends in candidemia-related hospitalization in
13 the United States, 2000–2005. *Infect. Control. Hosp. Epidemiol.* 29, 978–980
- 14 18 Marchetti, O. *et al.* (2004) Epidemiology of candidemia in Swiss tertiary care
15 hospitals: secular trends, 1991–2000. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 38, 311–320
- 16 19 Macphail, G. *et al.* (2002) Epidemiology, treatment and outcome of candidemia: a
17 five-year review at three Canadian hospitals. *Mycoses* 45, 141–145
- 18 20 Asmundsdottir, L.R. *et al.* (2002) Increasing incidence of candidemia: results from a
19 20-year nationwide study in Iceland. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 3489–3492
- 20 21 Sandven, P. *et al.* (1998) Constant low rate of fungemia in Norway, 1991 to 1996. The
21 Norwegian Yeast Study Group. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 36, 3455–3459
- 22 22 Chen, Y.C. *et al.* (1997) Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal
23 infections at a teaching hospital in Taiwan, 1981 to 1993. *Infect. Control. Hosp.*
24 *Epidemiol.* 18, 369–375

- 1 23 Ortega, M. *et al.* (2011) *Candida* species bloodstream infection: epidemiology and
2 outcome in a single institution from 1991 to 2008. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 77, 157–161
- 3 24 Sardi, J.C. *et al.* (2013) *Candida* species: current epidemiology, pathogenicity,
4 biofilm formation, natural antifungal products and new therapeutic options. *J. Med.*
5 *Microbiol.* 62, 10–24
- 6 25 Snyderman, D.R. (2003) Shifting patterns in the epidemiology of nosocomial *Candida*
7 infections. *Chest* 123 (5 Suppl.), 500–503S
- 8 26 Falagas, M.E. *et al.* (2010) Relative frequency of albicans and the various non-
9 *albicans Candida spp* among candidemia isolates from inpatients in various parts of
10 the world: a systematic review. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 14, e954–966
- 11 27 Nguyen, M.H. *et al.* (1996) The changing face of candidemia: emergence of non-
12 *Candida albicans* species and antifungal resistance. *Am. J. Med.* 100, 617–623
- 13 28 Krcmery, V. and Barnes, A.J. (2002) Non-*albicans Candida spp.* causing fungaemia:
14 pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 50, 243–260
- 15 29 Berrouane, Y.F. *et al.* (1999) Trends in antifungal use and epidemiology of
16 nosocomial yeast infections in a university hospital. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 37, 531–537
- 17 30 Pfaller, M.A. *et al.* (2011) *Candida* bloodstream infections: comparison of species
18 distribution and resistance to echinocandin and azole antifungal agents in intensive
19 care unit (ICU) and non-ICU settings in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance
20 Program (2008–2009). *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 38, 65–69
- 21 31 Silva, S. *et al.* (2012) *Candida glabrata*, *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida*
22 *tropicalis*: biology, epidemiology, pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. *FEMS*
23 *Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 288–305

- 1 32 Pfaller, M.A. *et al.* (2008) *Candida krusei*, a multidrug-resistant opportunistic fungal
2 pathogen: geographic and temporal trends from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal
3 Surveillance Program, 2001 to 2005. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 46, 515–521
- 4 33 Nucci, M. and Perfect, J.R. (2008) When primary antifungal therapy fails. *Clin. Infect.*
5 *Dis.* 46, 1426–1433
- 6 34 Kontoyiannis, D.P. and Lewis, R.E. (2002) Antifungal drug resistance of pathogenic
7 fungi. *Lancet* 359, 1135–1144
- 8 35 Pfaller, M.A. (2012) Antifungal drug resistance: mechanisms, epidemiology, and
9 consequences for treatment. *Am. J. Med.* 125 (1 Suppl.), S3–13
- 10 36 Dobias, B. and Hazen, E.L. (1961) Nystatin. *Chemotherapy* 3, 108–119
- 11 37 Carrillo-Munoz, A.J. *et al.* (1999) *In-vitro* antifungal activity of liposomal nystatin in
12 comparison with nystatin, amphotericin B cholesteryl sulphate, liposomal
13 amphotericin B, amphotericin B lipid complex, amphotericin B desoxycholate,
14 fluconazole and itraconazole. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 44, 397–401
- 15 38 Dutcher, J.D. (1968) The discovery and development of amphotericin B. *Dis. Chest*
16 54 (Suppl. 1), 296–298
- 17 39 Gray, K.C. *et al.* (2012) Amphotericin primarily kills yeast by simply binding
18 ergosterol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 2234–2239
- 19 40 Sarosi, G.A. (1990) Amphotericin B. Still the ‘gold standard’ for antifungal therapy.
20 *Postgrad. Med.* 88, 151–152; 155–161; 165–156
- 21 41 Ellis, D. (2002) Amphotericin B: spectrum and resistance. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*
22 49 (Suppl. 1), 7–10
- 23 42 Green, L.J. *et al.* (1999) LY303366 exhibits rapid and potent fungicidal activity in
24 flow cytometric assays of yeast viability. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 43, 830–835

- 1 43 Deray, G. (2002) Amphotericin B nephrotoxicity. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 49
2 (Suppl. 1), 37–41
- 3 44 Tiphine, M. *et al.* (1999) Amphotericin B and its new formulations: pharmacologic
4 characteristics, clinical efficacy, and tolerability. *Transpl. Infect. Dis.* 1, 273–283
- 5 45 Ibrahim, F. *et al.* (2012) Assessment of novel oral lipid-based formulations of
6 amphotericin B using an *in vitro* lipolysis model. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 46, 323–328
- 7 46 Vermes, A. *et al.* (2000) Flucytosine: a review of its pharmacology, clinical
8 indications, pharmacokinetics, toxicity and drug interactions. *J. Antimicrob.*
9 *Chemother.* 46, 171–179
- 10 47 Tassel, D. and Madoff, M.A. (1968) Treatment of *Candida* sepsis and *Cryptococcus*
11 *meningitis* with 5-fluorocytosine. A new antifungal agent. *JAMA* 206, 830–832
- 12 48 Fass, R.J. and Perkins, R.L. (1971) 5-Fluorocytosine in the treatment of cryptococcal
13 and candida mycoses. *Annal. Int. Med.* 74, 535–539
- 14 49 Pfaller, M.A. *et al.* (2002) *In vitro* activities of 5-fluorocytosine against 8,803 clinical
15 isolates of *Candida spp.*: global assessment of primary resistance using national
16 committee for clinical laboratory standards susceptibility testing methods. *Antimicrob.*
17 *Agents Chemother.* 46, 3518–3521
- 18 50 Barchiesi, F. *et al.* (2000) Primary resistance to flucytosine among clinical isolates of
19 *Candida spp.* *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 45, 408–409
- 20 51 Woolley, D.W. (1944) Some biological effects produced by benzimidazole and their
21 reversal by purines *J. Biol. Chem.* 152, 225–232
- 22 52 Maertens, J.A. (2004) History of the development of azole derivatives. *Clin.*
23 *Microbiol. Infect.* 10 (Suppl. 1), 1–10
- 24 53 Bodey, G.P. (1992) Azole antifungal agents. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 14 (Suppl. 1), S161–
25 169

- 1 54 Sheehan, D.J. *et al.* (1999) Current and emerging azole antifungal agents. *Clin.*
2 *Microbiol. Rev.* 12, 40–79
- 3 55 Donnelly, J.P. and De Pauw, B.E. (2004) Voriconazole-a new therapeutic agent with
4 an extended spectrum of antifungal activity. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 10 (Suppl. 1),
5 107–117
- 6 56 Graybill, J.R. (1992) Future directions of antifungal chemotherapy. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*
7 14 (Suppl. 1), S170–181
- 8 57 Denning, D.W. (2003) Echinocandin antifungal drugs. *Lancet* 362, 1142–1151
- 9 58 Adam, R.R. (2007) The echinocandins: total and semi-synthetic approaches in
10 antifungal drug discovery. *Anti-Infective Agents in Medicinal Chemistry* 6, 201–212
- 11 59 Heasley, B.H. *et al.* (2012) Synthesis and biological evaluation of antifungal
12 derivatives of enfumafungin as orally bioavailable inhibitors of beta-1,3-glucan
13 synthase. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 22, 6811–6816[s10]
- 14 60 Chapman, S.W. *et al.* (2008) In search of the holy grail of antifungal therapy. *Trans.*
15 *Am. Clin. Climatol. Assoc.* 119, 197–215; discussion 215–196
- 16 61 Marriott, M. (1990) Rational design of a magic bullet: antifungal drugs. *Rev. Med.*
17 *Microbiol.* 1, 151–159
- 18 62 Walsh, C. (2003) Where will new antibiotics come from? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 1, 65–
19 70
- 20 63 DiDomenico, B. (1999) Novel antifungal drugs. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2, 509–515
- 21 64 Brown, E.D. and Wright, G.D. (2005) New targets and screening approaches in
22 antimicrobial drug discovery. *Chem. Rev.* 105, 759–774
- 23 65 Spanu, T. *et al.* (2012) Direct MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry assay of blood culture
24 broths for rapid identification of *Candida* species causing bloodstream infections: an

- 1 observational study in two large microbiology laboratories. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 50,
2 176–179
- 3 66 Aittakorpi, A. *et al.* (2012) Accurate and rapid identification of *Candida spp.*
4 frequently associated with fungemia by using PCR and the microarray-based Prove-it
5 Sepsis assay. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 50, 3635–3640
- 6 67 Frantz, S. (2005) Drug discovery: playing dirty. *Nature* 437, 942–943
- 7 68 Jang, G.R. *et al.* (2001) Pharmacokinetics and its role in small molecule drug
8 discovery research. *Med. Res. Rev.* 21, 382–396
- 9 69 Lipinski, C.A. *et al.* (2001) Experimental and computational approaches to estimate
10 solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv. Drug*
11 *Deliv. Rev.* 46, 3–26
- 12 70 Lipinski, C.A. (2004) Lead- and drug-like compounds: the rule-of-five revolution.
13 *Drug Discov. Today: Technol.* 1, 337–341
- 14 71 Zhang, W. *et al.* (2002) Inhibition of cytochromes P450 by antifungal imidazole
15 derivatives. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 30, 314–318
- 16 72 Zhang, L. *et al.* (2009) Predicting drug-drug interactions: an FDA perspective. *AAPS*
17 *J.* 11, 300–306
- 18 73 Payne, D.J. *et al.* (2007) Drugs for bad bugs: confronting the challenges of
19 antibacterial discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 6, 29–40
- 20 74 Brown, D. and Superti-Furga, G. (2003) Rediscovering the sweet spot in drug
21 discovery. *Drug Discov. Today* 8, 1067–1077
- 22 75 Persidis, A. (1999) Antibacterial and antifungal drug discovery. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 17,
23 1141–1142
- 24 76 Drews, J. (2000) Drug discovery: a historical perspective. *Science* 287, 1960–1964

- 1 77 Sams-Dodd, F. (2006) Drug discovery: selecting the optimal approach. *Drug Discov.*
2 *Today* 11, 465–472
- 3 78 Swinney, D.C. and Anthony, J. (2011) How were new medicines discovered? *Nat.*
4 *Rev. Drug Discov.* 10, 507–519
- 5 79 Apic, G. *et al.* (2005) Illuminating drug discovery with biological pathways. *FEBS*
6 *Lett.* 579, 1872–1877
- 7 80 Ohlmeyer, M. and Zhou, M.M. (2010) Integration of small-molecule discovery in
8 academic biomedical research. *Mt. Sinai J. Med.* 77, 350–357
- 9 81 Edwards, P.J. *et al.* (1999) Applications of combinatorial chemistry to drug design
10 and development. *Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Dev.* 2, 321–331
- 11 82 Cong, F. *et al.* (2012) Chemical genetics-based target identification in drug discovery.
12 *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 52, 57–78[s11]
- 13 83 Jeffery, D.A. and Bogyo, M. (2003) Chemical proteomics and its application to drug
14 discovery. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 14, 87–95
- 15 84 Spring, D.R. (2005) Chemical genetics to chemical genomics: small molecules offer
16 big insights. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 34, 472–482
- 17 85 Ward, G.E. *et al.* (2002) Using small molecules to study big questions in cellular
18 microbiology. *Cell Microbiol.* 4, 471–482
- 19 86 Workman, P. and Collins, I. (2010) Probing the probes: fitness factors for small
20 molecule tools. *Chem. Biol.* 17, 561–577
- 21 87 Strausberg, R.L. and Schreiber, S.L. (2003) From knowing to controlling: a path from
22 genomics to drugs using small molecule probes. *Science* 300, 294–295
- 23 88 Lazo, J.S. *et al.* (2007) Building a pharmacological lexicon: small molecule discovery
24 in academia. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 72, 1–7

- 1 89 Wang, Y. *et al.* (2009) PubChem: a public information system for analyzing
2 bioactivities of small molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, W623–633
- 3 90 Chen, J. *et al.* (2005) ChemDB: a public database of small molecules and related
4 chemoinformatics resources. *Bioinformatics* 21, 4133–4139
- 5 91 Dobson, C.M. (2004) Chemical space and biology. *Nature* 432, 824–828
- 6 92 Lipinski, C. and Hopkins, A. (2004) Navigating chemical space for biology and
7 medicine. *Nature* 432, 855–861
- 8 93 Bevan, P. *et al.* (1995) Identifying small-molecule lead compounds: the screening
9 approach to drug discovery. *Trends Biotechnol.* 13, 115–121
- 10 94 Schreiber, S.L. (2000) Target-oriented and diversity-oriented organic synthesis in
11 drug discovery. *Science* 287, 1964–1969
- 12 95 Gordon, E.J. (2007) Small-molecule screening: it takes a village. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2,
13 9–16
- 14 96 Valler, M.J. and Green, D. (2000) Diversity screening versus focussed screening in
15 drug discovery. *Drug Discov. Today* 5, 286–293
- 16 97 Kozikowski, A.P. *et al.* (2006) Why academic drug discovery makes sense. *Science*
17 313, 1235–1236
- 18 98 Hopkins, A.L. and Groom, C.R. (2002) The druggable genome. *Nat. Rev. Drug*
19 *Discov.* 1, 727–730
- 20 99 Szabo, E.K. and MacCallum, D.M. (2011) The contribution of mouse models to our
21 understanding of systemic candidiasis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 320, 1–8
- 22 100 Andes, D. (2005) Use of an animal model of disseminated candidiasis in the
23 evaluation of antifungal therapy. In *Antifungal Agents* (Erika, J. *et al.*, eds[s12]), pp.
24 111–128, Springer

- 1 101 Samaranayake, Y.H. and Samaranayake, L.P. (2001) Experimental oral candidiasis in
2 animal models. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 14, 398–429
- 3 102 Solis, N.V. and Filler, S.G. (2012) Mouse model of oropharyngeal candidiasis. *Nat.*
4 *Protoc.* 7, 637–642
- 5 103 Spellberg, B. *et al.* (2005) Mice with disseminated candidiasis die of progressive
6 sepsis. *J. Infect. Dis.* 192, 336–343
- 7 104 Lionakis, M.S. *et al.* (2011) Organ-specific innate immune responses in a mouse
8 model of invasive candidiasis. *J. Innate Immun.* 3, 180–199
- 9 105 Rehli, M. (2002) Of mice and men: species variations of Toll-like receptor
10 expression. *Trends Immunol.* 23, 375–378
- 11 106 Bishop, C. *et al.* (1960) The cell wall polysaccharides of *Candida albicans*: glucan,
12 mannan, and chitin. *Canadian J. Chem.* 38, 869–881
- 13 107 Chaffin, W.L. *et al.* (1998) Cell wall and secreted proteins of *Candida albicans*:
14 identification, function, and expression. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 62, 130–180
- 15 108 Chaffin, W.L. (2008) *Candida albicans* cell wall proteins. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*
16 72, 495–544
- 17 109 Chapman, T. *et al.* (1992) Chitin biosynthesis in *Candida albicans* grown *in vitro* and
18 *in vivo* and its inhibition by nikkomycin Z. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 36, 1909–
19 1914
- 20 110 Alekshun, M.N. and Levy, S.B. (2004) Targeting virulence to prevent infection: to
21 kill or not to kill? *Drug Discov. Today: Therapeutic Strategies* 1, 483–489
- 22 111 Gauwerky, K. *et al.* (2009) Targeting virulence: a new paradigm for antifungals. *Drug*
23 *Discov. Today* 14, 214–222
- 24 112 Jacobsen, I.D. *et al.* (2012) *Candida albicans* dimorphism as a therapeutic target.
25 *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 10, 85–93

- 1 113 Lo, H.J. *et al.* (1997) Nonfilamentous *C. albicans* mutants are avirulent. *Cell* 90, 939–
2 949
- 3 114 Calderone, R.A. and Fonzi, W.A. (2001) Virulence factors of *Candida albicans*.
4 *Trends Microbiol.* 9, 327–335
- 5 115 Naglik, J.R. *et al.* (2003) *Candida albicans* secreted aspartyl proteinases in virulence
6 and pathogenesis. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 67, 400–428
- 7 116 Yamada-Okabe, T. *et al.* (1999) Roles of three histidine kinase genes in hyphal
8 development and virulence of the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. *J. Bacteriol.*
9 181, 7243–7247
- 10 117 Calera, J.A. *et al.* (1999) Avirulence of *Candida albicans* CaHK1 mutants in a murine
11 model of hematogenously disseminated candidiasis. *Infect. Immun.* 67, 4280–4284
- 12 118 Saville, S.P. *et al.* (2006) Inhibition of filamentation can be used to treat disseminated
13 candidiasis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50, 3312–3316
- 14 119 Toenjes, K.A. *et al.* (2005) Small-molecule inhibitors of the budded-to-hyphal-form
15 transition in the pathogenic yeast *Candida albicans*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*
16 49, 963–972
- 17 120 Toenjes, K.A. *et al.* (2009) Inhibitors of cellular signalling are cytotoxic or block the
18 budded-to-hyphal transition in the pathogenic yeast *Candida albicans*. *J. Med.*
19 *Microbiol.* 58, 779–790
- 20 121 Midkiff, J. *et al.* (2011) Small molecule inhibitors of the *Candida albicans* budded-to-
21 hyphal transition act through multiple signaling pathways. *PLoS One* 6, e25395
- 22 122 Braun, B.R. and Johnson, A.D. (2000) TUP1, CPH1 and EFG1 make independent
23 contributions to filamentation in *Candida albicans*. *Genetics* 155, 57–67
- 24 123 Grald, A. *et al.* (2012) Small-molecule inhibitors of biofilm formation in laboratory
25 and clinical isolates of *Candida albicans*. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 61, 109–114

- 1 124 LaFleur, M.D. *et al.* (2011) Novel high-throughput screen against *Candida albicans*
2 identifies antifungal potentiators and agents effective against biofilms. *J. Antimicrob.*
3 *Chemother.* 66, 820–826
- 4 125 You, J.L. *et al.* (2013) Small-molecule suppressors of *Candida albicans* biofilm
5 formation synergistically enhance the antifungal activity of amphotericin B against
6 clinical *Candida* isolates. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 8, 840–848
- 7 126 Park, K.S. *et al.* (2001) HWY-289, a novel semi-synthetic protoberberine derivative
8 with multiple target sites in *Candida albicans*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 47, 513–
9 519
- 10 127 Park, K.S. *et al.* (1999) Differential inhibitory effects of protoberberines on sterol and
11 chitin biosyntheses in *Candida albicans*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 43, 667–674
- 12 128 Kim, K.Y. *et al.* (2004) Molecular cloning and biochemical characterization of
13 *Candida albicans* acyl-CoA:sterol acyltransferase, a potential target of antifungal
14 agents. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 319, 911–919
- 15 129 Kim, K.Y. *et al.* (2009) Proteomic profiling of yeast- and hyphal-specific responses of
16 *Candida albicans* to the antifungal agent, HWY-289. *Proteomics Clin. Appl.* 3, 452–
17 461
- 18 130 Kitamura, A. *et al.* (2009) Discovery of a small-molecule inhibitor of {beta}-1,6-
19 glucan synthesis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 670–677
- 20 131 Kitamura, A. *et al.* (2009) Effect of beta-1,6-glucan inhibitors on the invasion process
21 of *Candida albicans*: potential mechanism of their *in vivo* efficacy. *Antimicrob.*
22 *Agents Chemother.* 53, 3963–3971
- 23 132 Kitamura, A. *et al.* (2010) *In vitro* antifungal activities of D11-2040, a beta-1,6-
24 glucan inhibitor, with or without currently available antifungal drugs. *Biol. Pharm.*
25 *Bull.* 33, 192–197

- 1 133 Krysan, D.J. and Didone, L. (2008) A high-throughput screening assay for small
2 molecules that disrupt yeast cell integrity. *J. Biomol. Screen.* 13, 657–664
- 3 134 Dolan, K. *et al.* (2009) Antifungal activity of tamoxifen: *in vitro* and *in vivo* activities
4 and mechanistic characterization. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 3337–3346
- 5 135 Tsukahara, K. *et al.* (2003) Medicinal genetics approach towards identifying the
6 molecular target of a novel inhibitor of fungal cell wall assembly. *Mol. Microbiol.* 48,
7 1029–1042
- 8 136 Miyazaki, M. *et al.* (2011) *In vitro* activity of E1210, a novel antifungal, against
9 clinically important yeasts and molds. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55, 4652–4658
- 10 137 Watanabe, N.A. *et al.* (2012) E1210, a new broad-spectrum antifungal, suppresses
11 *Candida albicans* hyphal growth through inhibition of glycosylphosphatidylinositol
12 biosynthesis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56, 960–971
- 13 138 Hata, K. *et al.* (2011) Efficacy of oral E1210, a new broad-spectrum antifungal with a
14 novel mechanism of action, in murine models of candidiasis, aspergillosis, and
15 fusariosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55, 4543–4551
- 16 139 Walker, S.S. *et al.* (2011) Discovery of a novel class of orally active antifungal beta-
17 1,3-D-glucan synthase inhibitors. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55, 5099–5106
- 18 140 Hata, M. *et al.* (2010) Inhibition of ergosterol synthesis by novel antifungal
19 compounds targeting C-14 reductase. *Med. Mycol.* 48, 613–621
- 20 141 Hata, M. *et al.* (2010) *In vitro* and *in vivo* antifungal activities of aminopiperidine
21 derivatives, novel ergosterol synthesis inhibitors. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 33, 473–476
- 22 142 Wu, L. *et al.* (2003) Novel small-molecule inhibitors of RNA polymerase III.
23 *Eukaryot. Cell* 2, 256–264

- 1 143 Xu, D. *et al.* (2009) Chemical genetic profiling and characterization of small-
2 molecule compounds that affect the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids in *Candida*
3 *albicans*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 19754–19764
- 4 144 Nguyen, D. *et al.* (2009) MGCD290: A novel small molecule, modulates azole
5 antifungal activity through specific inhibition of the histone deacetylase HOS2_[s13].
6 pp. 12–15
- 7 145 Pfaller, M.A. *et al.* (2009) Activity of MGCD290, a Hos2 histone deacetylase
8 inhibitor, in combination with azole antifungals against opportunistic fungal
9 pathogens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47, 3797–3804
- 10 146 Simm, C. *et al.* (2011) High-throughput screen for identifying small molecules that
11 target fungal zinc homeostasis. *PLoS One* 6, e25136
- 12 147 Wong, S.S.W. *et al.* (2014) *In vitro* and *in vivo* activity of a novel antifungal small
13 molecule against *Candida* infections. *PloS One* 9, e85836
- 14

1 **Table 1. The change in incidence of *Candida* bloodstream infections in various parts of**
 2 **the world from 1980 to 2009**

Area	Publication year	Study period	Type of population	Trend or change in % incidence	Refs
Spain	2012	2000–2009	General hospital	Increased	[13]
Taiwan	2011	2000–2008	Tertiary medical center	Increased	[14]
Hong Kong	2009	1998–2006	Intensive care unit	+66%	[15]
Denmark	2008	2004–2006	Multiple general hospitals covering about 65% of Danish population	+17%	[16]
United States	2008	2000–2005	General populations	+52%	[17]
Switzerland	2003	1991–2000	General hospitals covering about 80% of Swiss population	Unchanged	[18]
Canada	2002	1992–1996	Three general hospitals	+155%	[19]
Iceland	2002	1980–1999	General populations	+250%	[20]
Norway	1998	1991–1996	General hospitals	Unchanged	[21]
Taiwan	1997	1981–1993	Single general hospital	Increased	[22]

3

4

1 **Table 3. Properties of an ideal antifungal agent for *Candida* infections**

Ideal antifungal agent

Potent antifungal property

Broad spectrum of activity within the *Candida* genus

Ease of administration

No association with intrinsic or acquired resistance

Fungicidal

Nontoxic

No side effects

No drug–drug interactions

2

3

1 Table 2. Timeline of discovery of new and current major antifungals

Antifungal	Year of FDA approval	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Fungicidal and/or fungistatic	Route of administration	Advantage(s)	Disadvantage(s)
Polyene						
Nystatin	1954	926	Fungicidal and fungistatic	Oral and topical	Broad spectrum	No intravenous injectable formulation owing to toxicity profile for mucocutaneous fungal infections only
Amphotericin B	1958	924	Fungicidal	Intravenous only	High potency, rapid onset of action, broad spectrum	Nephrotoxicity
Amphotericin B lipid complex	1995	924			Less toxic than conventional amphotericin B	Cost
Amphotericin B cholesteryl sulfate	1996	924				
Liposomal amphotericin B	1997	924				
Pyrimidine						
Flucytosine	1972	129	Fungistatic	Oral and intravenous	Minor side effects, good tissue distribution	Narrow spectrum
Imidazole						
Ketoconazole	1981	531	Fungistatic	Oral and intravenous	N/A[s1]	Toxicity
Triazole						
Fluconazole	1990	306	Fungistatic	Oral and intravenous	Minimal toxicity	High rates of resistance, narrow spectrum of activity
Itraconazole	1992	705			Extended spectrum more than first generation	Cost
Voriconazole	2002	349				
Posaconazole	2006	700		Oral only		
Echinocandin						
Caspofungin	2001	1213	Fungicidal	Intravenous only	Low toxicity	Narrow spectrum: fungicidal against most <i>Candida</i> spp. and fungistatic against <i>Aspergillus</i> spp.
Micafungin	2005	1292				
Anidulafungin	2006	1140				
Enfumafungin	N/A[s2]	708		Oral only		