

the australian longitudinal study on women's health

Rural, remote and regional differences in women's health:

Findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study

on Women's Health



Final report prepared for the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing June 2011





THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND AUSTRALIA

Major Report F

Rural, remote and regional differences in women's health: Findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health

The research on which this report is based was conducted as part of the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health by the University of Newcastle and the University of Queensland. We are grateful to the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing for funding and to the women who provided the survey data. We acknowledge Medicare Australia for providing any Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme and Medicare Benefits Scheme data.

Table of Contents

1.	Εχεςι	ıtive summary	1
2.	Intro	duction	5
2.	1.	Introduction to ALSWH	5
2.	2.	Area of residence in the ALSWH	7
	2.2.1.	Measure of Remoteness: ARIA+	7
	2.2.2.	Location of ALSWH participants	
2.	3.	References	14
3.	Diffe	rences in health status by geographic location	
3.	1.	Mortality	15
3.	2.	Risk factors	17
	3.2.1.	Introduction	
	3.2.2.	Chronic conditions	23
	3.2.3.	SF36 scores	29
3.	3.	Summary	29
3.	4.	References	32
4.	Acces	ss to, use of, and satisfaction with health services by geographic location	
4.	1.	Selected usage, access, satisfaction- health services.	
	4.1.1.	Visits to GPs	
	4.1.2.	Satisfaction with access to GP services	
	4.1.3.	Visits to Medical Specialists	40
	4.1.4.	Satisfaction with access to medical specialists	42
	4.1.5.	Hospital Admissions	44
	4.1.6.	Self-reported procedures	46
4.	2.	The management of heart conditions in older rural and urban Australian women	49
	4.2.1.	Health Service Use	49
	4.2.2.	Medication Use	54
	4.2.3.	Self-management advice	55
	4.2.4.	References	57
4.	3.	Use of dental services	58
	4.3.1.	Discussion	64
	4.3.2.	References	65
4.	4.	Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM)	66
	4.4.1.	Introduction	66
	4.4.2.	Consultations with conventional health care providers by CAM use	66
	4.4.3.	Rating of conventional health care providers by CAM use	67
	4.4.4.	Symptoms and diagnoses by CAM use	68
	4.4.5.	Diseases and consultations with a CAM practitioner	69
	4.4.6.	Summary	70
	4.4.7.	References	71
4.	5.	The use of CAM in the 1921-26 birth cohort: Rural women speak out	72
4.	6.	Screening services	74
	4.6.1.	Pap tests	74
	4.6.2.	Mammograms	81
	4.6.3.	Discussion	85

5.	Out	of pocket costs for medical services by geographic location	
	5 1	Introduction	86
	5.2.	Discussion	
	5.3.	Conclusion	
	5.4.	References:	
6.	Diffe	rences in birth interventions by geographic location	
•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(6.1.	Introduction	
(6.2.	Birth interventions by area of residence	
(b.3.	Risk factors for having an epidural or spinal block	
(6.4.	Risk factors for having a caesarean	
(6.5.	Key issues:	
7.	Clim	ate events and women's health	
-	7.1.	Exceptional circumstances and mental health	
-	7.2.	Precipitation and self rated health	
-	7.3.	Soil salinity	
-	7.4.	Qualitative experience of drought	
	7.4.1	. Drought as a burden	
	7.4.2	. Ageing in drought	
	7.4.3	. Resilience during drought	
	7.4.4	. Conclusion	
-	7.5.	References	
8.	Socia	l cohesion	
5	8.1.	Neighbourhood	
	8.1.1	. Neighbourhood connection	
	8.1.2	. Neighbourhood safety	
	8.1.3	. Neighbourhood attachment and trust	
	8.1.4	. Social support	
	8.1.5	Life satisfaction	
	8.1.6	. Stress	
	8.1.7	Perceived control	
	8.1.8	. Optimism	
	8.1.9	. References	
5	8.2.	Cohesion/satisfaction	
	8.2.1	. Introduction	
	8.2.2	. References	
8	8.3.	Driving	
	8.3.1	. Introduction	
	8.3.2	. Main means of transport	
	8.3.3	. Factors associated with continuing to drive	
	8.3.4	. Discussion	
	8.3.5	. References	

List of Tables

Table 2-1	Schedule of surveys for the ALSWH,	6
Table 2-2	Survey 1 Unweighted Frequencies by ARIA+ Categories	10
Table 2-3	Survey 1 Weighted Frequencies by ARIA+ Categories	11
Table 2-4	Area distribution of women in the Australian population and the ALSWH sample in 1996	11
Table 2-5	ALSWH Sample Weights	11
Table 2-6	RRMA ARIA+ Classes, weighted Frequencies and Row Percents	12
Table 2-7	Attrition at Survey 5 by Area of Residence, Frequencies and Row Percents	13
Table 3-1	Numbers (and column percentages) of women categorised by area of residence, survival	
	or cause of death.	15
Table 3-2	Hazard ratios (adjusted for age) of deaths from all causes and selected causes	16
Table 4-1	Medication usage recorded by women reporting doctor diagnosed ischaemic heart	
	disease, heart failure or atrial fibrillation.	55
Table 4-2	Self management advice received by women reporting doctor diagnosed ischaemic heart	
	disease, heart failure or atrial fibrillation.	56
Table 4-3	Adjusted [†] odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals (C.I.)	61
Table 4-4	Factors associated with dentist consultations by older Australian women, derived from a	
	longitudinal analysis using multivariable generalized estimation equation(GEE) modelling	
	with backward stepwise elimination (n=9,387)	63
Table 4-5	Consultations with conventional health care providers by CAM use (consulted with a	
	CAM practitioner or not)	67
Table 4-6	Rating of conventional health care providers by CAM use (consulted with a CAM	
	practitioner or not) Level of Satisfaction (1=excellent 5=poor)	68
Table 4-7	Sought help for symptoms by CAM use (consulted with a CAM practitioner or not)	69
Table 4-8	Diagnoses by CAM user status (consulted with a CAM practitioner or not)	70
Table 4-9	Factors associated with having a Pap test in the past 2 years. 1973-78 and 1946-51	
	cohorts	80
Table 4-10	Factors associated with having a mammogram in the past 2 years. 1946-51 cohort	84
Table 5-1	Proportions of women with zero out of pocket costs for general practitioner services in	
	2008	92
Table 7-1	Regression models for mental health component scores in the 1973-1978 cohort:	
	coefficients with confidence intervals that do not include zero are statistically significant	106
Table 7-2	Regression models for mental health component scores in the 1946-1951 cohort:	
	coefficients with confidence intervals that do not include zero are statistically significant	106
Table 7-3	Regression models for mental health component scores in the 1921-1926 cohort:	
	coefficients with confidence intervals that do not include zero are statistically significant	
Table 8-1	Factors affecting odds of driving, over time and across areas	124

List of Figures

Figure 2-1	Map of Australia showing the 2006 ARIA+ categories	9
Figure 2-2	Map of Australia showing locations of the ALSWH participants (2006)	9
Figure 3-1	Current smoking in the 1973-78 cohort and the 1946-51 cohort	18
Figure 3-2	Smoking in the 1921-26 cohort	19
Figure 3-3	Obesity in all cohorts	21
Figure 3-4	Non/low physical activity in all ALSWH cohorts	22
Figure 3-5	Prevalence and incidence of diabetes by area of residence.	24
Figure 3-6	Prevalence and incidence of hypertension by area of residence	25
Figure 3-7	Prevalence and incidence of asthma by area of residence.	26
Figure 3-8	Prevalence and incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease by area of	
	residence in the 1921-26 cohort	27
Figure 3-9	Prevalence and incidence of osteoporosis by area of residence, 1921-26 cohort and	
	1946-51 cohort	28
Figure 3-10	Physical Component Score by area of residence.	30
Figure 3-11	Mental Component Score by area of residence.	31
Figure 4-1	Proportions of women making 7 or more visits to a GP – 1973-78 cohort	33
Figure 4-2	Proportions of women making 7 or more visits to a GP – 1946-51 cohort	34
Figure 4-3	Proportions of women making 7 or more visits to a GP – 1921-26 cohort	35
Figure 4-4	Satisfaction with access to GP services – 1973-78 cohort at Survey 5.	37
Figure 4-5	Satisfaction with access to GP services for 1945-51 cohort at Survey 5	38
Figure 4-6	Satisfaction with GP Services for 1921-26 cohort at Survey 3.	
Figure 4-7	Proportion of women who had visited a specialist in the past year - 1973-78 cohort	40
Figure 4-8	Proportion of women who had visited a specialist in the past year – 1946-51 cohort	41
Figure 4-9	Proportion of women who had visited a specialist in the past year - 1921-26 cohort	41
Figure 4-10	Satisfaction with access to medical specialists at Survey 5 – 1973-78 cohort	42
Figure 4-11	Satisfaction with access to medical specialists/hospital at Survey 5 - 1946-51 cohort	43
Figure 4-12	Satisfaction with access to medical specialist/hospital at Survey 3 – 1921-26 cohort	43
Figure 4-13	Self-reported admissions to hospitals – 1921-26 cohort	44
Figure 4-14	Satisfaction with access to hospitals for the ALSWH 1921-26 cohort, by area of	
-	residence	45
Figure 4-15	Satisfaction with access to hospitals for the ALSWH 1946-51 cohort, by area of	
	residence	45
Figure 4-16	Satisfaction with access to hospitals for the ALSWH 1973-78 cohort, by area of	
	residence	46
Figure 4-17	Self report of hysterectomy, by area of residence – 1946-51 cohort	47
Figure 4-18	Self report of cholecystectomy, by area of residence – 1946-51 cohort	47
Figure 4-19	Self report of hip surgery by area of residence – 1921-26 cohort	48
Figure 4-20	Health Service Use for women with Ischaemic Heart Disease according to area of	
	residence	50
Figure 4-21	Health Service Use for women with Heart Failure according to area of residence	52
Figure 4-22.	Health Service Use for women with Atrial Fibrillation according to area of residence	53
Figure 4-23	1973-78 cohort Surveys 4 and 5, visited a dentist.	58
Figure 4-24	1946-51 cohort, visited a dentist Surveys 2-5.	59
Figure 4-25	1921-26 cohort, visited a dentist, Surveys 2-4.	59
Figure 4-26	Self report of time since last Pap test by women in 1973-78 cohort at Surveys 1-5	75
Figure 4-27	Proportions of women in the 1946-51 cohort who report having a hysterectomy by	
-	each survey and by area of residence	76
Figure 4-28	Self report of time since last Pap test by women in the 1946-51 cohort.	77
Figure 4-29	Ease of obtaining a Pap test. 1973-78 cohort Survey 5	78
Figure 4-30	Ease of obtaining a Pap test. 1946-51 cohort Survey 5	78
Figure 4-31	Self report of time since last Mammogram by women in 1946-51 cohort	82

Figure 4-32	Ease of obtaining a Mammogram. 1946-51 cohort Survey 5	83
Figure 5-1	Out of pocket costs for GP services since 1995-2001.	87
Figure 5-2	Mean out-of pocket cost per general practice consultation per woman per year, 2002-	
	2008, for women born in 1921-26 by area of residence, adjusted to 2008 dollar values	89
Figure 5-3	Mean out-of pocket cost per general practice consultation per woman per year, 2002-	
•	2008, for women born in 1946-51 by area of residence, adjusted to 2008 dollar values	90
Figure 5-4	Mean out-of pocket cost per general practice consultation per woman per year, 2002-	
•	2008, for women born in 1973-78 by area of residence, adjusted to 2008 dollar values	91
Figure 6-1	Prolonged labour by area of residence	94
Figure 6-2	Emotional distress by area of residence	95
Figure 6-3	Type of pain relief by area of residence	95
Figure 6-4	Type of delivery by area of residence	95
Figure 6-5	Episiotomy or vaginal tear requiring stitches by area of residence	96
Figure 6-6	Epidural or spinal block by age of first-time mother	97
Figure 6-7	Epidural or spinal block by private health insurance	97
Figure 6-8	Epidural or spinal block by body mass index group	97
Figure 6-9	Epidural or spinal block by height	98
Figure 7-1	Distribution of women living in Exceptional Circumstances declared areas by ARIA+	100
Figure 7-2	Ability to manage on available income by Exceptional Circumstances	101
Figure 7-3	Level of optimism for women living in Exceptional Circumstances and non-Exceptional	
-	Circumstances area	101
Figure 7-4	Distribution of dryness and drought across Australia by Survey year for the 1946-51	
-	cohort	102
Figure 7-5	Ability to manage on available income by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH	
	participants in the 1946-51 cohort.	103
Figure 7-6	Level of social support by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH participants in the	
	1946-51 cohort	103
Figure 7-7	Level of optimism by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51	
	cohort	104
Figure 7-8	Changes in General Health over time by dryness and drought for ALSWH participants in	
	the 1946-51 cohort	104
Figure 7-9	Changes in Mental Health over time by dryness and drought for ALSWH participants in	
	the 1946-51 cohort	105
Figure 8-1	Mean neighbourhood connection scores and 95% confidence intervals in each	
	geographic location	111
Figure 8-2	Mean neighbourhood safety scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic	
	location	112
Figure 8-3	Mean neighbourhood attachment & trust scores and 95% confidence intervals location	113
Figure 8-4	Proportion of women reporting level of social support in each geographic location	114
Figure 8-5	Mean life satisfaction scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location	115
Figure 8-6	Proportion of women reporting levels of stress in each geographic location	116
Figure 8-7	Mean perceived life control scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic	
	location	117
Figure 8-8	Mean optimism scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location	118
Figure 8-9	Main means of transport for women in major cities, regional, and remote areas at Survey	
	3 (N= 7966), Survey 4 (N=6197) and Survey 5 (N=4772)	123

1. Executive summary

Introduction

Much has been published about rural health disadvantage in Australia, including a series of reports by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). The AIHW concludes: "... it is not currently possible to apportion the generally poorer health outcomes outside major cities to access, environment or risk factor issues. It is likely that each of these three play a part." (AIHW, 2011)

The Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) is well-placed to elucidate these reasons using detailed data provided by women from across Australia. ALSWH is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing and conducted by the University of Newcastle and the University of Queensland. ALSWH participants have taken part in five surveys over 15 years and many have consented to use of linked data from Medicare Australia.

The findings reported here can be summarised in three broad themes:

- Generally poorer health of women living in regional and remote areas;
- Differences in access to and use of a wide range of health services;
- The resilience of rural women and characteristics of life in rural communities which ameliorate sometimes difficult conditions.

It is important to note that within each of these themes there are contradictions and inconsistencies in the findings, and these emphasise the need for further research to allow deeper understanding and more nuanced responses. The study findings also show clear examples where government policies have been effective in reducing health inequities, as well as highlighting situations in which changes in policies and practices could lead to further improvements.

This summary is structured around these three themes, drawing on findings from throughout the report.

Poorer health of rural women

Among ALSWH participants born in 1921-26, 23% died between 1996 and 2006. Consistent with the findings of others, death rates for these women were higher in regional and remote areas than in major cities. The regional and remote death rates for women in the 1921-26 cohort were particularly higher for lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and ischaemic heart disease, which are often associated with tobacco smoking (section 3.1). (There were too few deaths in the other cohorts to provide reliable estimates).

When we examined the main risk factors for major diseases for women from all cohorts we found that current smoking prevalence was not markedly different across areas defined by distance from major centres. In all areas and age groups smoking decreased over time, although the change was slower among young women in remote areas. The explanation for the higher death rates from apparently smoking-related causes among older rural women may therefore lie in higher levels of smoking in the past (possibly decades ago), exposure to smoking by others, or greater exposure to other hazards (section 3.2.1).

For women of all ages, one health risk factor that was consistently higher with increasing distance from major cities was obesity. Prevalence and incidence of diabetes and hypertension, conditions which are associated with obesity, were also consistently higher. When we analysed the rates of these conditions

by area, body mass index (BMI), and various demographic factors, the area differences in disease could be almost entirely explained by the higher levels of obesity.

The ALSWH data strongly suggest that higher levels of BMI (and prevalence of obesity) in regional and remote areas account for the higher rates of diabetes and other risk factors for cardiovascular disease. This is an area where effective health promotion targeted to rural women could reduce health inequality.

For various other health-related conditions there were few differences in prevalence or trends in incidence across areas; these included asthma (section 3.2.2), and prolonged labour or emotional distress among first-time mothers (section 6).

Access to and use of health services

Use of most health services was higher in major cities than in regional and remote areas. However the pattern of GP use among the 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohorts varied over time. For the period 1996 - 2002 the level of bulk billing decreased and out-of-pocket costs increased for all age cohorts, especially for women in rural areas (section 5). In 2004, there were changes to Medicare reimbursements to GPs for services to patients from rural and remote areas, selected eligible metropolitan areas, or anywhere in Tasmania, and for services provided to older people (section 5). When we analysed bulk-billing rates and out-of-pocket costs for 2002-2008 we found an overall improvement in access to bulk-billing. We also found continuing relative disadvantage for women living in inner regional areas not covered by the 2004 Medicare change. These results suggest that the incentives should be further evaluated to assess the potential for reducing inequity for inner regional areas while maintaining the improved access for people in more remote areas.

Numbers of visits to specialists decreased with increasing distance from major cities for all age cohorts at all surveys (section 4.1.3). However there was little difference in hospital admissions between areas (section 4.1.5). A detailed analysis of medical care for women with heart disease showed lower levels of recent cardiological review, echocardiograms and stress tests for rural women. Medications consistent with recommended guidelines and advice for self-management of their conditions was generally poor but consistent across areas (section 4.2).

Among the 1946-51 cohort rates of hysterectomy increased with distance from major cities (section 4.1.6). This pattern persisted over time and seems likely to reflect less access to or less interest in trying alternative treatments by women in country areas.

In contrast to the pattern for hysterectomies in the 1946-51 cohort, rates of other procedures generally decreased with distance from major centres. This was the pattern for hip surgery in the 1921-26 cohort at Survey 2, although the inequality for hip surgery was reduced over time (section 4.1.6). For both the 1946-51 cohort and the 1921-26 cohorts, osteoporosis was more commonly reported in major cities, as the diagnosis depends on tests more easily available there (section 3.2.2). In the 1973-78 cohort, there was consistently greater use of obstetric interventions in major cities than in regional and remote areas; these included use of epidural injections, forceps or ventous suction, and emergency and elective caesarean section (section 6).

Visits to dentists for women in all cohorts were much more common in major cities (section 4.3). Detailed analyses – both cross-sectional and longitudinal, with adjustments for socio-economic and demographic factors and health status – showed almost 50% higher use of dentists by women in cities compared to rural areas. However, overall, only 35% of women in the 1921-26 cohort had visited a dentist in a 12 month period; women cited access difficulties, including travel and costs, as reasons for not visiting a dentist even when they needed to do so. The cost of private dental care, compared with Medicare subsidised medical treatment, is a barrier to appropriate oral health care and this has recently been

identified as a top priority by the Health and Hospital Reform Commission (National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission, 2009).

In contrast to other health services, Pap tests and mammography were more uniformly used across areas with breast screening rates highest in remote areas and Pap test rates highest in regional areas (section 4.6).

At each survey women are asked to rate their access to and their satisfaction with services. The results were consistent across all cohorts, over time and for all services. Women in remote areas experienced the greatest difficulty accessing services (even when their use is relatively high, as for screening tests). Women in regional areas experienced more difficulties accessing services than women in major cities. This pattern was true for access to GPs (GPs who bulk-bill, female GPs, after hours service, hours when GPs are available, waiting times for appointments, and choice of GPs – section 4.1.2); access to specialists (section 4.1.4); hospitals (section4.1.5); and screening tests (section 4.6).

In summary, for most medical and other health services, use is much lower in remote and regional areas and women experience considerably more difficulties with access than in major cities. Country women whose health is generally poorer than city women's also have less health care. The exceptions to this pattern – publically funded screening services and changes in bulk-billing policy – show that government subsidies for health services can be very effective ways of reducing inequities in health services.

Resilience and life in rural communities

Despite the well-documented differences in objective measures of health across areas, physical and mental health scores based on self-reported data differed little, even though the expected differences between age cohorts and over time were apparent (section 3.2.3). This may reflect differences in views, values and expectations related to health among rural women compared to women living in major cities.

Use of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) is higher in regional and remote areas (section 4.4). CAM use does not appear to be related to health status (sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5) and there is conflicting evidence about whether it is related to dissatisfaction with conventional health services (sections 4.4.2, 4.4.3 and 4.5). Interviews with a small sample of rural women revealed some scepticism about conventional practitioners and belief in traditional practises and CAM, reinforced by the social networks in rural areas.

We examined several markers of climate change and their potential impact on health in rural areas. These were: the declaration of areas as experiencing exceptional circumstances (e.g., drought, flood and fire) that result in severe downturn in farm and farm-related income and entitle some people in these areas to income support from governments (section 7.1); long-term changes in rainfall resulting in prolonged periods of dryness and drought (section 7.2); and soil salinity, and markers of temperature and vegetation in south-western Western Australia (section 7.3). In all cases we found no evidence of adverse effects on mental or physical health. Analysis of comments written by women on the surveys pointed to the resilience and adaptability of country women to dealing with adversity (perhaps strengthened by government support) as the possible explanation (section 7.4).

ALSWH has included several measures of neighbourhood satisfaction, social support, life satisfaction optimism and stress (section 8.1). These showed considerable differences between regional, remote and urban areas. Scores for neighbourhood connectedness, feeling safe and life satisfaction were highest in remote areas and decreased with increasing nearness to major cities. Neighbourhood attachment and trust were highest in outer and inner regional areas and lowest in major cities. Scores for perceived control and optimism were highest in remote areas and lowest in outer regional areas. Interviews with older women emphasised the importance of support from neighbours and social networks for women

living in rural areas, and on driving as the main means of transport which is essential to maintain these connections (section 8.2).

Women in regional and remote areas have few transport options other than driving and they continue to drive as long as their health and vision permit (section 8.3). Services to help rural people maintain good vision are especially important for their independence and community connectedness. The importance of driving for rural people to access health care and other services for themselves and the people they provide care for, and to maintain social their networks poses a policy challenge. Stopping older people from driving because of health issues which affect their ability to drive, while potentially reducing road crashes, impacts adversely on many aspects of their lives, especially in rural and remote areas. This is a complex issue. Consideration should be given to vehicle and road design that is safer for older people; alternative transport options and supportive infrastructures; effective licensing systems for older drivers; and driver education tools.

Overall, the report shows a number of differences in health and health-care use for women in regional and remote areas compared to urban-dwelling women. The findings point to the need to address specific risk factors such as obesity, and to continue, strengthen and broaden existing effective policies for better access to services. It is also important to consider the contexts in which women live, and how these impact on women's mental and social well-being.

References

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Impact of rurality on health status,* <u>http://www.aihw.gov.au/rural-health-impact-of-rurality/</u> (accessed 16 March, 2011).

National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission. 2009. A Healthier Future for all Australians – Final Report of the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission. Commonwealth Government of Australia. ISBN: 1-74186-940-4

2. Introduction

In 2007-2008 the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) published a series of reports documenting differences in health status and health systems performance (AIHW 2007; 2008a; 2008b). AIHW found death rates are lower and other measures of health status are better for people living in major cities in Australia than for those living in regional or remote areas, and concluded "it is not currently possible to apportion the generally poorer health outcomes outside major cities to access, environment or risk factor issues. It is likely that each of these three play a part." Other researchers have also found that differences in health between people living in regional and rural areas compared to urban areas in Australia are complicated, with different patterns for different health conditions (Smith et al., 2008; Vagenas et al., 2009; Jong et al., 2004). Comparisons with other countries are complicated because patterns vary depending on the extent of geographic isolation, access to services (including health insurance coverage), and occupational hazards (Smith et al., 2008; Judd et al., 2002).

Most commonly cited reasons for poorer health in rural and regional areas of Australia include:

- lower socio-economic level (e.g., less education, lower income);
- higher proportions of people of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander background:
- different attitudes to health and health services (e.g., greater resilience or stoicism);
- less healthy lifestyle (e.g., higher prevalence of smoking and overweight);
- environmental and occupational exposures (e.g., dust, drought, sun exposure);
- poorer access to health services (e.g., distance to services, greater out-of-pocket costs);
- less effective health services (e.g., hospital with poorer facilities, less experienced doctors).

The challenge is to disentangle these factors. To meet this challenge we need:

- data on health outcomes for each individual (to avoid the 'ecological fallacy' of making inferences about individuals based on evidence from aggregate data);
- data on each of the relevant factors ('exposures') for the same individual (in order to estimate the joint effects of exposures);
- longitudinal data in order to identify 'causes' that occur before the 'effects' (to avoid 'reverse causation' interpretation);
- knowledge of risk factors and management of the selected conditions in order to identify points along the disease pathway which are potentially amenable to changes;
- understanding of the extent to which interventions could reduce the urban-rural differences.

In this report, we use accumulated data from ALSWH participants to examine some of these issues.

2.1. Introduction to ALSWH

The ALSWH is a longitudinal population-based survey funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing. The project began in 1996 and involves three large, nationally representative, cohorts of Australian women representing three generations:

 the 1973-1978 cohort, aged 18 to 23 years when first recruited in 1996 (N=14 247) and now aged 33 to 38 years in 2011

- the 1946-1951 cohort, aged 45 to 50 years in 1996 (N=13 716), now aged 60 to 65 years in 2011
- the 1921-1926 cohort, aged 70 to 75 years in 1996 (N=12 432), now aged 85 to 90 years in 2011.

The women have now been surveyed at least five times over the past 15 years, providing a large amount of data on their lifestyles, use of health services and health outcomes. The schedule of surveys is shown in Table 1 as well as the age in years and number of participants in each cohort.

Survey	Year	1973-1978 cohort	1946-1951 cohort	1921-1926 cohort
S1	1996	18-23 N=14,247	45-50 N=13,716	70-75 N=12,432
	1998		47-52 N=12,338	
S2	1999			73-78 N=10,434
	2000	22-27 N=9688		
	2001		50-55 N=11,200	
S3	2002			76-81 N=8646
	2003	25-30 N=9081		
	2004		53-58 N=10,905	
S4	2005			79-84 N=7158
	2006	28-33 N=9145		
	2007		56-61 N=10,638	
S5	2008			82-87 N=5561
	2009	31-36 N=8200		
	2010		59-64 N=9900*	
S6	2011			85-90
	2012	34-39		
	2015	\checkmark	¥	\checkmark

Table 2-1 Schedule of surveys for the ALSWH, age in years and number of participants in each cohort

*Survey intake will be finalised in August 2011.

2.2. Area of residence in the ALSWH

2.2.1. Measure of Remoteness: ARIA+

For this report, in consultation with the Department of Health and Ageing, it was decided that ARIA+ would be used to define areas of residence. Here, we explain how this measure is defined, give comparisons with other area of residence measures, and provide summary statistics of ARIA+ for the ALSWH cohorts at baseline, as well as tables on population sizes and attrition in the ALSWH. For each ALSWH participant at each survey, we obtain estimates of latitude and longitude based on their postal address. These estimates are calculated by the National Centre for Social Applications of Geographic Information Systems (GISCA). This detailed geographic data enables us to classify each area of residence according to ARIA+.

Sample Selection: RRMA

The first system of area classification used in the ALSWH was the Rural, Remote, and Metropolitan Area system (RRMA) developed by the Departments of Primary Industries and Energy, Human Services and Health. This is a 7-category system that can be collapsed into three categories: Urban, Rural, and Remote. The ALSWH sample was selected using these categories, with deliberate over-sampling of the Rural and Remote categories. The RRMA has since been replaced (by ARIA and then ARIA+), but is still used in the ALSWH annual Data Books. Since the sample was selected using the RRMA system the ALSWH sample weights are also based on the RRMA values at 1996.

(Reference: ALSWH Technical Report 4).

ARIA and ARIA+

ARIA (Accessibility/Remoteness Index for Australia) is a continuous measure that is commonly grouped into 5 categories, unlike the RRMA which is a 7-way categorical measure. ARIA was developed by GISCA, using 1996 Census data, and is designed to measure 'remoteness'. ARIA has since been expanded to ARIA+, which is now used by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) for its measure of remoteness, and by ALSWH for its measure of area of residence. ARIA+ is very similar to ARIA so here we explain ARIA+ only, noting where ARIA differs from ARIA+.

ARIA+ is founded on the principle that remoteness and urbanity are not mutually exclusive and an Urban, Rural, Remote continuum is not appropriate or even desirable. For example, Alice Springs can be considered both remote and a sizable urban centre. Similarly, a rural centre may be quite accessible.

ARIA+ measures 'remoteness' in terms of access along the road network from 11,879 populated localities to five categories of service centres. (The original ARIA used only four centres.) Service centres are urban centres with populations of 1000 or more at the 1996 census. (The four ARIA centres had populations of 5000 or more.) The ARIA+ index ranges from 0 to 15 (ARIA ranges from 0 to 12), with 0 as the least remote value and 15 as the most remote. A higher ARIA+ value indicates more remoteness. However, an ARIA+ value of 8 does not necessarily mean the location is twice as remote as a location with a value of 4. ARIA+ is based on physical geography and is not, by itself, intended to be a socioeconomic index. The idea is that remoteness is defined by the distance travelled by road to the nearest urban centre, and the size of the urban centre is a proxy for the range of services available.

Urban centres were divided into five categories based on research indicating towns of 1000 to 4999 people usually provided only a subset of services from a selected group. Towns of 5000 and more usually provided all the services to some extent. The highest category of urban centre, Category A, was

a centre where all services are fully available. There are 738 service centres used in the ARIA+ methodology.

The ARIA+ score for a particular locality is calculated by first measuring the shortest road distance from a populated locality to each of the nearest five categories of service centre. Towns within a service centre are given a distance of zero. Also, the Australian average (mean) of these road distances, for each category, is calculated. For each locality the ratio of the shortest distance to the national average shortest distance, for each category of service centre, is calculated. This gives five ratios for each category of service centre. The maximum values for these ratios are capped at three. The five individual values are then summed to arrive at a single ARIA+ score for the populated locality. This is necessarily from 0 to 15 inclusive.

Localities on islands had their distances adjusted. Anyone living within one of the 11,879 localities can be assigned an ARIA+ score from this method. For those living outside the localities, a 1 km by 1 km grid method is used. Each such grid in Australia is given an ARIA+ value based on the scores from the six closest localities. The grid's ARIA+ value is given to anyone living within the grid.

(Reference: http://gisca.adelaide.edu.au/projects/category/about_aria.html)

ARIA+ ranges	ARIA+ Categories	Examples
From 0 to less than 0.2	Major Cities of Australia	Sydney
From 0.2 to less than 2.4	Inner Regional Australia	Hobart
From 2.4 to less than 5.92	Outer Regional Australia	Cairns
From 5.92 to less than 10.53	Remote Australia	Alice Springs
From 10.53 to 15 inclusive	Very Remote Australia	Most of Northern Territory
Off-shore, migratory and shipping	Migratory	

The ABS, and the ALSWH, has adopted five classes of remoteness.

ALSWH does not use the final category of 'Off-shore, migratory and shipping'. Any woman in this category would be given a missing value for ARIA+.

Figure 2-1 (sourced from <u>http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/D3310114.nsf/home/remoteness+structure</u>) shows a map of ARIA+ categories based on the 2006 census. Figure 2 shows the location of ALSWH participants in 2006. These maps illustrate the good geographic coverage of ALSWH.

ALSWH was designed to have over-representation of women living in regional and remote areas to enable us to obtain reliable estimates for these areas. To obtain valid national estimates, or to make valid comparisons, it is often necessary to re-weight these estimates to reflect the national population distribution.



Figure 2-1 Map of Australia showing the 2006 ARIA+ categories



Figure 2-2 Map of Australia showing locations of the ALSWH participants (2006)

2.2.2. Location of ALSWH participants

Table 2-2 shows the actual number of ALSWH participants in Survey 1 (conducted in 1996) by ARIA+ category.

	1973-	78	1946-	51	1921-	-26
ARIA+ categories	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Major Cities	7375	51.80	5000	36.46	5173	41.61
Inner Regional	4307	30.25	5214	38.03	4803	38.63
Outer Regional	2090	14.68	2798	20.41	2185	17.58
Remote	347	2.44	544	3.97	230	1.85
Very Remote	118	0.83	156	1.14	41	0.33
All Remote	465	3.27	700	5.11	271	2.18
Total	14,237		13,712		12,432	
Missing	10		3		0	

Table 2-2 Survey 1 Unweighted Frequencies by ARIA+ Categories

Collapsing Remote and Very Remote categories

At Survey 1 there were 118, 156, and 41 women in the Very Remote categories in the 1973-78, 1946-51, and 1921-26 cohorts, respectively (Table 2-2). Due to these very small numbers (\leq 1% of each cohort) the categories of remote and very remote are combined and the resulting group is called 'All Remote'.

Table 2-3 shows the distribution of the weighted frequencies by ARIA+ categories for each cohort. These weighted data are used when we wish to produce national estimates whereas the unweighted data in Table 2-2 are used when we are comparing ARIA+ categories (e.g. for most calculations in this report).

ALSWH Sampling Scheme and sample weights

A striking feature of Table 1 is the different distributions of the ARIA+ across cohorts. For example, about 52% of the 1973-78 cohort live in Major Cities while only about 36% and 42% of the 1946-51 and 1921-26 cohorts, respectively, live in Major Cities. This is due to three reasons. Firstly, the ALSWH sample was selected so that women whose postcodes were in areas in RRMA categories of Rural or Remote were twice as likely to be sampled as women living in RRMA Urban areas. Table 2-4 shows the national population distribution in 1996 of women in each of the ALSWH age groups. For example, for women born in 1973-78 approximately 3% lived in Remote areas, 21% in Rural areas and 76% in Urban areas. Therefore, 6% (twice the 3%) of women selected for this cohort were from Remote areas, 42% (twice the 21%) from Rural areas and the remainder, 52%, from Urban areas. Secondly, response rates to the ALSWH invitation to participate in ALSWH differed between areas and cohorts. Thirdly, once we had the actual addresses (not just postcodes) of participants, we were able to get GISCA to code the locations more accurately. The resulting distribution of the sample, by RRMA category and cohort, is also shown in Table 2-4.

	1973-7	'8	1946-5	1	1921-2	26
ARIA + categories	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Major Cities	9849	69	9285	68	8449	68
Inner Regional	2699	19	2753	20	2648	21
Outer Regional	1348	9	1390	10	1159	9
All Remote	333	2	283	2	175	1
Total	14,230		13,710		12,430	
Missing	12		42		0	

Table 2-3 Survey 1 Weighted Frequencies by ARIA+ Categories

Table 2-4 shows the national population frequencies and percents for each of the cohorts at 1996. The ratio of the population percent over the sample percent is the sample weight for that RRMA class.

Table 2-4 Area distribution of women	in the Australian	population and	the ALSWH	sample in	1996
by RRMA category and birth cohort.					

	1973	-78	1946-	51	1921-	26
RRMA Classes	Pop (%)	Sample (%)	Pop (%)	Sample (%)	Pop (%)	Sample (%)
City (Cap/other metro)	579,690 (76)	7856 (55)	531,644 (72)	4979 (36)	265,558 (70)	5022 (41)
Rural (Large/small/other)	158,622 (21)	5802 (41)	183,717 (25)	7796 (57)	105,151 (28)	7077 (57)
Remote (Centre and other)	21,537 (2.8)	553 (3.9)	19,375 (2.6)	923 (6.7)	6389 (1.7)	285 (2.3)
Total	759,849	14,211	734,736	13,698	377,098	12,384

To adjust for the difference in distributions, ALSWH sample weights were calculated by dividing the population proportion for each RRMA class by the sample proportion for each RRMA class. These weights are shown in Table 2-5. The weights are used for valid estimates for the population.

Table 2-5 ALSWH Sample Weights

RRMA Class	1973-78	1946-51	1921-26
City (Cap/other metro)	1.38004	1.990695	1.736558
Rural (Large/small/other)	0.511309	0.439343	0.487945
Remote	0.728379	0.39135	0.736198
(Centre and other)			

ALSWH sample by ARIA+ groups

ARIA+ rather than RRMA is used in this report. Table 2-6 shows the ALSWH sample, multiplied by the sampling weights in Table 2-5 and rounded to the nearest whole number, cross-classified by RRMA and ARIA+ groupings. The RRMA Urban group roughly matches the ARIA+ Major Cities, the Rural group roughly matches the Inner and Outer Regional areas, and the Remote group roughly matches the All Remote category.

RRMA	1973-78 Cohort (ARIA+)							
Class	Major cities	Inner regional	Outer regional	All Remote	Total			
Urban	6996 89.00	570 7.25	273 3.47	22 0.28	7861			
Rural	375 6.44	3729 64.08	1622 27.87	93 1.60	5819			
Remote	4 0.72	8 1.44	195 35.01	350 62.84	557			
		1946	-51 Cohort (ARIA	+)				
	Major cities	Inner regional	Outer regional	All Remote	Total			
Urban	4569 91.69	298 5.98	114 2.29	2 0.04	4983			
Rural	425 5.45	4911 62.98	2342 30.03	120 1.54	7798			
Remote	6 0.64	5 0.54	342 36.73	578 62.08	931			
	1921-26 Cohort (ARIA+)							
	Major cities	Inner regional	Outer regional	All Remote	Total			
Urban	4745 94.15	243 4.82	49 0.97	3 0.06	5040			
Rural	428 6.02	4557 64.14	2008 28.26	112 1.58	7105			
Remote	0 0.00	3 1.05	128 44.60	156 54.36	287			

Table 2-6 RRMA ARIA+ Classes, wei	ighted Frequencies and Row Percents
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Attrition by Area of Residence

To analyse the unadjusted attrition (i.e. using unweighted data) in the ALSWH by area of residence, three attrition values were calculated: responded, not responded, and deceased. The attrition rates at Survey 5 for each cohort are shown in Table 2-7 below. In the 1973-78 cohort non-response (drop-out or loss to follow-up) is slightly higher in remote areas than other ARIA+ categories. In the 1946-51 cohort attrition does not appear to be related to area of residence. In the 1921-26 cohort, attrition due to death is higher in the remote areas.

	1973-78 Cohort						
ARIA + Classes	Respondent	Non Respondent	Deceased	Total			
Major Cities	4207	3138	30	7375			
%	57	43	0.4				
Inner Regional	2466	1831	10	4307			
%	57	43	0.2				
Outer Regional	1199	881	10	2090			
%	57	42	0.5				
All Remote	252	208	5	465			
%	54	45	1				
Total	8124	6058	55	14,237			
	1946-51 Cohort						

Table 2-7 Attrition at Survey 5 by Area of Residence, Frequencies and Row Percents

ARIA + Classes	Respondent	Non Respondent	Deceased	Total		
Major Cities	3808	1086	106	5000		
%	76	22	2			
Inner Regional	4131	974	109	5214		
%	79	19	2			
Outer Regional	2160	567	71	2798		
%	77	20	3			
All Remote	538	146	16	700		
%	77	21	2			
Total	10,637	2773	302	13,712		

1921-26 Cohort ARIA + Classes Respondent Non Respondent Deceased Total Major Cities % Inner Regional % Outer Regional % All Remote % 12,432 Total

2.3. References

- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2007). Rural, regional and remote health: A study on mortality (2nd edition). *Rural Health Series* (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra).
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2008a). Rural, regional and remote health: Indicators of health status and determinants of health. *Rural Health Series* (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra).
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2008b). Rural, regional and remote health: Indicators of health system performance. *Rural Health Series* (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Canberra).
- Smith, K.B., Humphreys, J.S. & Wilson, M.G.A. (2008). Addressing the health disadvantage of rural populations: How does epidemiological evidence inform rural health policies and research? *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 16, 56-66.
- Vagenas, D., McLaughlin, D. & Dobson, A. (2009). Regional variation in the health of older Australian women: Grey canaries? *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 33, 119-125.
- Jong, K.E., Smith, D.P., Yu, X.Q., O'Connell, D.L., Goldstein, D. & Armstrong, B.K. (2004). Remoteness of residence and survival from cancer in New South Wales. *Medical Journal of Australia* 180, 618-622.
- Judd, F.K., Jackson, H.J., Komiti, A., Murray, G., Hodgins, G. & Fraser, C. (2002). High prevalence disorders in urban and rural communities. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 36, 104-113.

3. Differences in health status by geographic location

3.1. Mortality

Table 3-1 below shows the numbers of deaths and the percentages of deaths from the major causes of death for ALSWH participants born in 1921-26 from a recently paper recently published in the *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* (Dobson et al., 2010). The distribution of deaths across the main causes is very similar to the distribution for all women in this age group in the entire Australian population.

Table 3-1 Numbers (and column percentages) of women categorised by area of residence, survival or cause of death.

	Area								
	Major cities	%	Inner regional	%	Outer regional	%	Remote	%	Total
Total	4750		5160		2221		269		12400
Alive at 31 October 2006	3726	78	3997	77	1678	76	201	75	9597
All causes of death	1024	22	1168	23	543	24	68	25	2803
Ischaemic heart disease	209	20	220	19	132	24	9	14	570
Breast cancer	38	4	44	4	21	4	1	1	104
Lung cancer	36	4	55	5	31	6	2	3	124
Stroke	119	12	104	9	47	9	7	10	277
COPD	35	3	73	6	24	4	6	9	138
Digestive system cancers	94	9	113	9	50	9	7	10	264
Other cancers	149	15	164	14	77	14	6	9	396
Other known causes	331	32	381	33	155	29	28	41	895
Unknown causes	13	1	14	1	6	1	2	3	35

Source: Dobson A, McLaughlin D, Vagenas D, & Wong KY. (2010) Why are death rates higher in rural areas? Evidence from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, *34*, 624-628

However the rates of deaths differ across areas and causes, as shown in Table 3-2 which compares the rates in inner regional, outer regional and remote areas (and all rural areas considered together) with those in the major cities. Compared with major urban centres the rates of deaths from all causes were higher in all other areas. Death rates from all causes were 9% higher for all rural areas compared to major cities, and the excess was statistically significantly higher in outer regional areas.

Table 3-2 Hazard ratios (adjusted for age) of deaths from all causes and selected causes by area of residence with major urban centres as the reference category (estimates with confidence intervals that do not include unity are shown in bold).

	Area						
Causes of death	Inner regional	Outer regional	Remote	All rural			
All causes of death	1.06 (0.97,1.15)	1.16 (1.04, 1.29)	1.23 (0.96, 1.57)	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)			
Ischaemic heart disease	0.97 (0.80, 1.17)	1.36 (1.10, 1.70)	0.77 (0.40, 1.50)	1.08 (0.91, 1.28)			
Breast cancer	1.07 (0.69, 1.65)	1.18 (0.69, 2.02)	0.47 (0.06, 3.42)	1.08 (0.73, 1.61)			
Lung cancer	1.41 (0.93, 2.15)	1.85 (1.14, 2.99)	0.99 (0.24, 4.09)	1.52 (1.03, 2.25)			
Stroke	0.80 (0.62, 1.05)	0.84 (0.60, 1.18)	1.06 (0.49, 2.26)	0.82 (0.65, 1.05)			
COPD	1.93 (1.29, 2.88)	1.47 (0.87, 2.47)	3.05 (1.28, 7.26)	1.83 (1.25, 2.69)			
Digestive system cancers	1.11 (0.84, 1.46)	1.14 (0.81, 1.60)	1.15 (0.50, 2.61)	1.12 (0.87, 1.45)			
Other cancers	1.02 (0.81, 1.27)	1.11 (0.84, 1.46)	0.71 (0.32, 1.61)	1.03 (0.84, 1.27)			
Other known causes	1.06 (0.92, 1.23)	1.00 (0.83, 1.21)	1.55 (1.05, 2.29)	1.06 (0.93, 1.22)			

Source: Dobson A, McLaughlin D, Vagenas D, Wong KY. (2010) Why are death rates higher in rural areas? Evidence from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, *34*, 624-628.

Rural women were more likely to die from what are traditionally regarded as smoking-related diseases: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), lung cancer and ischaemic heart disease (IHD), than women in urban areas. However, history of smoking did not differ much by area (see Section 3.2 of this report) and the estimated risk of death from these diseases did not change when smoking was taken into account. So other explanations must be sought.

There is evidence that women in rural areas have higher levels of major risk factors for IHD (Jong et al., 2004) and they also have lower rates of life-saving procedures such as revascularisation (Campbell et al., 2001). So it is important to know the relative contribution of each of these factors to the higher death rates in order to identify appropriate interventions.

As another example, people living in rural areas may be exposed to a range of potentially hazardous substances (e.g., grain dust, mining dust, agricultural chemicals), that increase their risk of respiratory disease. But treatment may also be different: for instance, it has been shown that people with COPD or lung cancer who were treated in a rural hospital did worse than those who were treated in an urban hospital (Jack et al., 2003; Campbell et al., 2001; Luke et al., 2004).

Finally, the differences in deaths attributed to lung cancer illustrate another aspect of differences in health services. The gold standard of lung cancer diagnosis is microscopic confirmation, because effective treatment is dependent on correct classification between small-cell and non-small-cell lung cancer, and to exclude the possibility of secondary cancer (most commonly from the breast or bowel). However Queensland patients diagnosed with lung cancer between 1999 and 2002 and treated in regional hospitals were three times less likely to have microscopic confirmation than patients in tertiary public hospitals (Siahpush & Singh, 2004). Therefore a possible explanation for the excess deaths due to lung cancer is that the source of the primary cancer was wrongly attributed to the lung.

These examples illustrate that the explanations for urban-rural differences in health require careful analysis, insight and interpretation.

3.2. Risk factors

3.2.1. Introduction

In this section we present the prevalence of selected risk factors at every survey for each of the five ARIA+ categories and each cohort. For some risk factors questions were not asked at every survey (e.g. smoking was only included in Surveys 1 and 2 for the 1921-26 cohort) or questions were asked differently (eg. alcohol consumption in several surveys and/or cohorts and physical activity at Survey 1 for all cohorts). The data are shown for least favourable levels of each risk factor: current smoking, obesity, non/low physical activity.

Current smoking

Among the 1973-78 cohort the prevalence of smoking increased between Surveys 1 and 2 as some young women did not start smoking until their 20s. From Survey 3 onwards smoking generally declined. However this pattern was not consistent across areas. Initially smoking was markedly higher among young women living in remote areas and although smoking has declined there the rate of decline has slowed in more recent years. Overall the decline is more pronounced in major cities than in rural areas.

Among women in the 1946-51 smoking has declined over time. Initially smoking prevalence was higher in outer regional and remote areas but it has declined substantially in these areas, and now in all areas only 10-12% of women in this cohort smoke.

Prevalence of smoking among the 1921-26 cohort was below 10% at Survey 1 and it declined further by Survey 2. Since then this group of women have not been asked about their current smoking as so few continue to smoke (based on the results of Survey 2).



Figure 3-1 Current smoking in the 1973-78 cohort and the 1946-51 cohort.



Figure 3-2 Smoking in the 1921-26 cohort.

Obesity

Among women in the 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohorts the prevalence of obesity has increased over the study period (Figure 3-3). The increase among the younger women is so pronounced that their levels at Survey 5, when they were aged in their early 30s, are similar to those of the mid-aged women at Survey 1 (when they were aged 45-50). In both cohorts prevalence of obesity is lowest in the major cities and increased with distance away from the cities – this pattern has persisted over time.

Among the oldest women, born in 1921-26, the prevalence of obesity increased slightly over time but it has been consistently higher in remote areas than elsewhere (Figure 3-3).

Physical Activity

Survey questions about physical activity used in ALSWH changed between Surveys 1 and 2 in line with national recommendations. Therefore we do not show the results for Survey 1.

The proportions of women reporting taking little or no physical activity increased over time, between Survey 2 and Survey 5, in the youngest and oldest cohorts but decreased in the mid-aged group (Figure 3-4). Among the 1973-78 cohort this gradual increase was consistent across all areas.

For women in the 1946-51 cohort the wording of the questions was changed slightly between Surveys 2 and 3 and this may account for the apparent increase prevalence of little or no physical activity. However since then there has been a decline (i.e. an increase in levels of physical activity). Initially low levels of physical activity were more common among women living in major cities but over time this pattern has changed with little difference between areas by Survey 5.

The increase in physical inactivity among the 1921-26 cohort is not unexpected as they were in their 80's by Survey 5. There is no difference between areas in this group.



Figure 3-3 Obesity in all cohorts.



Figure 3-4 Non/low physical activity in all ALSWH cohorts.

3.2.2. Chronic conditions

To illustrate the area differences and changes in chronic conditions over time, we present the data as bar graphs showing the percentages of women in each area and cohort who reported that they had the condition at Survey 1 (prevalent cases), the percentage of women who first reported the condition at Surveys 2-5 (incident cases occurring between Surveys 1-5) and the percentage who never reported the condition.

For diabetes, both prevalence and incidence were low for the 1973-78 cohort with little difference across areas. Incidence increased across surveys and both prevalence and incidence were associated with higher BMI.

For the 1946-51 and 1921-26 cohorts, prevalence and incidence of diabetes increased with distance from major cities. For the mid-aged cohort prevalence and incidence were associated with higher BMI (and with being born in Asia), and incidence increased over time. Differences in prevalence and incidence across areas could be accounted for by differences in BMI. For the older women prevalence of diabetes was strongly associated with BMI and being born in Asia; incidence rates increased over time but differences among areas could be largely explained by BMI and differing levels of physical activity.



Figure 3-5 Prevalence and incidence of diabetes by area of residence.

Among the 1973-78 cohort initial prevalence of hypertension did not differ much among areas but was associated with higher levels of BMI and smoking. Incidence rates increased over time and were associated with BMI, but again there were no significant area differences. Among the 1946-51 and 1921-26 cohorts prevalence of hypertension increased with distance from major cities (except in remote areas

for the older women), but these differences were no longer evident once BMI and smoking were taken into account. Incidence rates increased over time and were strongly associated with BMI, but not area of residence.



Figure 3-6 Prevalence and incidence of hypertension by area of residence.

Prevalence and incidence of asthmas were highest among the 1973-78 cohort and lowest among the 1921-26 cohort. This phenomenon has been found in many countries but remains largely unexplained. In each cohort initial prevalence was highest in major cities and inner regional areas.

Among the youngest women, prevalence was associated with higher BMI, cigarette smoking and being born in Australia, and once these factors were taken into account the area differences were not statistically significant. Incidence increased over time and was also associated with higher BMI. Among the 1946-51 cohort initial prevalence was also associated with higher BMI and being born in Australia but

not area of residence. Incidence increased over time and again was most strongly associated with BMI. For the 1921-26 cohort, patterns were similar to those for the other cohorts. Prevalence and incidence were most strongly associated with BMI and a history of smoking, and incidence increased over time. When BMI and smoking were taken into account there were no apparent area differences.



Figure 3-7 Prevalence and incidence of asthma by area of residence.

Prevalence and incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), predominantly bronchitis and emphysema, among the 1921-26 cohort decreased with distance from major cities which is similar to asthma and suggests the possibility of similar aetiology or overlap between the two diagnoses. This pattern is inconsistent with the higher rates of death from COPD in regional and remote areas. The reasons for these area differences are unclear.



Figure 3-8 Prevalence and incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease by area of residence in the 1921-26 cohort.

Osteoporosis is a diagnosis that depends on bone densitometry tests. The higher prevalence and incidence reported in major cities (which is statistically significant for the 1921-26 cohort) is most probably due to better access to the tests rather than differences in the disease.



Figure 3-9 Prevalence and incidence of osteoporosis by area of residence, 1921-26 cohort and 1946-51 cohort.

3.2.3. SF36 scores

The figures below show the SF-36 summary (component) scores for physical health (PCS; Figure 3-10) and mental health (MCS; Figure 3-11) – higher scores indicate better health. The various sub-scales of SF-36 show similar effects, or no clear patterns, and are not shown here.

For the physical health component scores among women in the 1973-78 cohort there was no consistent trend over time but mean scores were generally lower in inner regional areas than in other areas. In contrast for the 1946-51 cohort there was a clear decline in physical health over time with no differences between areas. For the 1921-26 cohort the decline was even more pronounced, but again with no differences between areas.

For mental health component scores among the 1973-78 cohort there was an increase over time. Mean scores were initially lowest in the major cities and highest in remote areas, but over time the area differences became less pronounced. Scores also increased for the 1946-51 cohort, again with scores initially lowest in the major cities and highest in remote areas, but the differences between areas disappeared over time. Mental health scores increased among the 1921-26 cohort from survey 1 to survey 3 but then they declined as the women approached their 80s. As with the other cohorts there was some evidence for higher scores among women in remote areas but the differences were not consistent over time.

3.3. Summary

From ALSWH data we showed higher mortality rates overall and from several common conditions in outer regional and remote areas. These results are consistent with many previous reports in Australia. We found higher levels of obesity, diabetes, hypertension and other conditions in regional and remote areas. The differences in chronic conditions could be predominantly explained by BMI differences. Overall scores of self-reported measures of physical and mental health changed over time and across cohorts in expected ways, but were not markedly different across areas.


Figure 3-10 Physical Component Score by area of residence.



Figure 3-11 Mental Component Score by area of residence.

3.4. References

- Campbell N, Elliott A, Sharp L, Ritchie L, Cassidy J, & Little J. (2001). Rural and urban differences in stage at diagnosis of colorectal and lung cancers. *British Journal of Cancer*, 84:910-14.
- Dobson A, McLaughlin D, Vagenas D, & Wong KY. (2010). Why are death rates higher in rural areas? Evidence from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 34:624-628.
- Jack R, Gulliford M, Ferguson J, & Moller H. (2003). Geographical inequalities in lung cancer management and survival in South East England: evidence of variation in access to oncology services? *British Journal of Cancer*, 88:1025-31.
- Jong K, Smith D, Yu X, O'Connell D, Goldstein D, & Armstrong B. (2004). Remoteness of residence and survival from cancer in New South Wales. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 180:618-22.
- Luke C, Nguyen A, Priest K, & Roder D. (2004). Female breast cancers are getting smaller, but sociodemographic differences remain. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 28: 312-16.
- Siahpush M & Singh G. (2002). Sociodemographic variations in breast cancer screening behaviour among Australian women: Results from the 1995 National Health Survey. *Preventive Medicine*,35:175-180.

4. Access to, use of, and satisfaction with health services by geographic location

In this chapter we focus on access to health services to examine the extent to which this might differ across areas.

4.1. Selected usage, access, satisfaction- health services.

4.1.1. Visits to GPs

The proportions of women making 7 or more visits (1973-78 cohort and 1946-51 cohort) or 9 or more visits (1921-26 cohort) to a general practitioner (GP) in the past year in each area and for each survey is shown in Figure 4-1, Figure 4-2, and Figure 4-3. In the 1973-78 cohort, there are few differences in the proportions of the cohort with 7 or more visits according to area or by survey. The main differences are observed at Survey 4 and Survey 5 when women in major cities are less likely to have 7 or more visits than women in other areas (these GP visit items were not included in Surveys 2 and 3 for this cohort).



Figure 4-1 Proportions of women making 7 or more visits to a GP - 1973-78 cohort

In the 1946-51 cohort there is an initial trend towards fewer women with 7+ visits in regional and remote areas up until Survey 4 when this trend diminishes. At Survey 4 and Survey 5, women in the remote/very remote areas are most likely to have 7 or more visits.



Figure 4-2 Proportions of women making 7 or more visits to a GP - 1946-51 cohort

The cut-point of 9 or more GP visits was applied for older women in recognition of the higher overall rate of GP use among this age group. In this cohort, there is a clear difference between major cities and other areas, with women in major cities being much more likely to have had nine or more visits per year.



Figure 4-3 Proportions of women making 7 or more visits to a GP – 1921-26 cohort

4.1.2. Satisfaction with access to GP services

Women's ratings of their access to GP services at Survey 5 for each cohort are shown in Figure 4-4, Figure 4-5 and Figure 4-6.

Compared with women living in major cities, women living outside of major cities are less likely to rate these access issues as good, very good or excellent, and more likely to rate them as fair or poor. As a general trend, women in the 1921-26 cohort were more likely to give higher ratings than women in the other cohorts; women in the 1973-78 cohort were most likely to give poorer ratings.

Most women in major cities rated ease of seeing the GP of their choice as good/very good/ or excellent, however women were less likely to give a favourable rating to this access issue in other areas. A similar pattern was seen for hours when a GP is available, and waiting for an appointment time (not asked of 1973-78 cohort), and access to afterhours medical care. These items are also closely associated indicating that convenient hours of availability may be contributing to women's difficulties seeing a doctor of their choice as well as demand for services.

Notably, despite their higher overall ratings for these access items, women in the 1921-26 cohorts were as likely as women in the other cohorts to rate after hours medical care as fair or poor.

Ratings for the number of GPs available to choose from and access to female GP decreased with increasing remoteness and would reflect the distribution of GPs across urban and remote areas

However, the poorer ratings of these items by women in regional areas also suggests a level of inequity of choice for these women. Access to GPs who bulk bill also shows variation across area, and further examination of bulk billing rates for GP services is provided in Section 5.



Figure 4-4 Satisfaction with access to GP services – 1973-78 cohort at Survey 5.



Figure 4-5 Satisfaction with access to GP services for 1945-51 cohort at Survey 5.



Figure 4-6 Satisfaction with GP Services for 1921-26 cohort at Survey 3.

4.1.3. Visits to Medical Specialists

At Survey 1, visits to medical specialists were more likely for women in the 1921-26 cohort and least likely for women in the 1973-78 cohort (Figure 4-7, Figure 4-8 and Figure 4-9). This reflects the increased need for care for chronic disease and other health concerns as women age. However, at Survey 4 and Survey 5, women in the 1973-78 cohort were as likely to have seen a specialist in the past year as were older women, probably reflecting an increased use of obstetric visits by this cohort as they move into childbearing years.

Regardless of age, increasing remoteness of residence was associated with decreased likelihood of visiting a specialist, particularly at Survey 1. However, this pattern varies with more recent surveys, with specialist visits for women living in remote and outer regional areas decreasing over time, and specialist visits for women living in regional areas and major cities increasing over time.



Figure 4-7 Proportion of women who had visited a specialist in the past year - 1973-78 cohort



Figure 4-8 Proportion of women who had visited a specialist in the past year - 1946-51 cohort.



Figure 4-9 Proportion of women who had visited a specialist in the past year – 1921-26 cohort.

4.1.4. Satisfaction with access to medical specialists

Women's ratings of their access to medical specialists for each cohort are shown in Figure 4-10, Figure 4-11 and Figure 4-12.



Figure 4-10 Satisfaction with access to medical specialists at Survey 5 – 1973-78 cohort.

These ratings correspond to the patterns in reported specialist use with women being more likely to rate access as poor or fair with increasing remoteness. Overall ratings of access to specialists increased with the age of the cohort, with the proportion of women giving a good, very good, or excellent rating to this item being highest in the 1921-26 cohort and lowest in the 1973-78 cohort.



Figure 4-11 Satisfaction with access to medical specialists/hospital at Survey 5 - 1946-51 cohort.



Figure 4-12 Satisfaction with access to medical specialist/hospital at Survey 3 – 1921-26 cohort.

4.1.5. Hospital Admissions

Self-reported hospital admissions (at least one night duration) for the 1921-26 cohort are presented in Figure 4-13. There is little consistent variation by area.



Figure 4-13 Self-reported admissions to hospitals – 1921-26 cohort.

Satisfaction with access to hospitals.

As for other services, satisfaction with access to hospitals was rated least highly in remote areas and by women in the 1973-78 cohort. In addition, the area differences in ratings to hospital access were greater in the 1973-78 cohort than in the 1946-51 and 1921-26 cohorts. Indeed there was little difference by area in the 1921-26 cohort.



Figure 4-14 Satisfaction with access to hospitals for the ALSWH 1921-26 cohort, by area of residence.



Figure 4-15 Satisfaction with access to hospitals for the ALSWH 1946-51 cohort, by area of residence.



Figure 4-16 Satisfaction with access to hospitals for the ALSWH 1973-78 cohort, by area of residence.

4.1.6. Self-reported procedures

Selected self-reported surgical procedures are shown in Figure 4-17, Figure 4-18, and Figure 4-19. Women in the 1946-51 cohort were more likely to report hysterectomy if they were in outer regional and remote areas. Cholecystectomy showed the opposite trend, with women in regional and remote areas being less likely to report this procedure.



Figure 4-17 Self report of hysterectomy, by area of residence – 1946-51 cohort.



Figure 4-18 Self report of cholecystectomy, by area of residence – 1946-51 cohort.



At Survey 1, hip surgery was reported less commonly for women in the 1921-26 cohort who lived in remote areas. This trend has reversed over subsequent surveys.

Figure 4-19 Self report of hip surgery by area of residence – 1921-26 cohort.

These data reflect selected usage, access, satisfaction with health services of women, with particular attention paid to variables reflecting age or area of residence differences and trends of note over time. Access to GP's displayed varying usage trends for each cohort over time, with younger women in major cities reporting decreasing numbers of GP visits, mid-aged women in the remote/very remote areas reporting increasing numbers of GP visits, and older women in major cities reporting increasing numbers of GP visits over time. Similarly, satisfaction with access to GP services showed varying usage trends for each cohort over time, with women in major cities reporting the highest satisfaction with access issues, including number of GPs available to choose from and access to female GP. Older women were more likely than other age groups to rate their access to after hours medical care as fair or poor. Specialist visits for women living in remote and outer regional areas are tending to decrease over time, while increasing over time for women living in major cities and regional areas. Satisfaction with access to specialists decreased with increasing remoteness and increased with increasing age. Older women reported the most self-reported hospital admission stays of at least one night's duration and younger women and women living in more remote areas reported least satisfaction with access to hospitals. Self-reported surgical procedures showed varying trends over time, with hysterectomy more likely and cholecystectomy less likely for mid-aged women in regional and remote areas. For older women living in more remote areas, hip surgery has become increasingly common over time.

Overall, women in more remote areas are reporting sustained issues with access and satisfaction with health care services, even in the face of varying trends for numbers of contacts (e.g. GP visits) or for undergoing specific procedures (e.g. hip replacement), over time.

4.2. The management of heart conditions in older rural and urban Australian women

In 2004, 944 older urban and rural ALSWH participants born in 1921-26 who had replied in any survey that they had received a diagnosis of heart disease took part in a special sub-study which focused on their treatment. The treatment they reported was compared with national and international clinical guidelines for the management of ischaemic heart disease (IHD), congestive cardiac failure (CCF) or atrial fibrillation (AF) (Krum, 2001; Williams et al., 2002; Lipid management guidelines, 2001; NHMRC, 2001; Snow et al., 2003; Hankey, 2001). The data were also analysed according to whether the women lived in a major city, an inner regional area or outer regional/remote/very remote areas.

4.2.1. Health Service Use

Figure 4-20 compares three key markers of quality of care for people with IHD. Most women (80%) who reported doctor-diagnosed IHD indicated that they had seen a cardiologist for their heart condition at some time, although women from major cities were more likely to report recent (<12 months) cardiology review than women from regional or remote areas (55.5% versus 41.6% and 37.2% respectively, p=0.0008). A larger proportion of women from major cities reported having had an echocardiogram or stress test compared to those from inner regional or outer regional/remote areas (29% versus 25% and 21%; and 47% versus 46% and 40% respectively) although the differences were not statistically significant. Few women reported participation in cardiac rehabilitation programs (10%) and this did not vary by area.



Figure 4-20 Health Service Use for women with Ischaemic Heart Disease according to area of residence.

*MC= major city; IR=Inner regional OR/R= outer regional/remote/very remote.

**P-value is for the difference between the three areas of residence.

Similarly there were few area differences amongst women who reported doctor-diagnosed heart failure (see Figure 4-21). Compared to those from major cities, women from inner regional or outer regional/remote areas were less likely to have seen a cardiologist in the last year (64% versus 48% and 51% respectively) but overall, under three percent of women with heart failure reported never having seen a cardiologist. Amongst responders to the question, 83% reported having had an echocardiogram, however over half of those with heart failure did not answer this question or did not know. Non-response was more common amongst women from outer regional/ remote areas (59% compared to 44% for women from major cities).

Fewer regional and remote women than women from major cities with atrial fibrillation reported ever having seen a cardiologist (p=0.02) – see Figure 4-22. Substantially fewer women from outer regional/remote areas reported having had an echocardiogram than women from major cities (26% versus 53%), and non-response for this item was more common amongst the women from outer regional/remote areas (51% versus 36% from major cities).



Figure 4-21 Health Service Use for women with Heart Failure according to area of residence.

*MC= major city; IR=Inner regional OR/R= outer regional/remote/very remote.

**P-value is for the difference between the three areas of residence.



Figure 4-22. Health Service Use for women with Atrial Fibrillation according to area of residence.

*MC= major city; IR=Inner regional OR/R= outer regional/remote/very remote.

**P-value is for the difference between the three areas of residence.

Using multivariable analysis we found the adjusted odds of never having had an echocardiogram were almost three times higher in women from outer regional/remote areas than those from major cities (OR=2.86, 95%CI=1.42-5.75). The odds were also higher for women from inner regional areas, but not statistically significantly so. Women from both inner regional and outer regional/remote areas also had significantly greater odds of never having been reviewed by a cardiologist than women from major cities (OR=2.30, 95% CI 1.20-4.38 and OR=3.88, 95% CI 1.72-8.72 respectively). Women from rural areas also had significantly greater odds of less recent (>12 months) cardiology review compared to women from major cities.

4.2.2. Medication Use

Among women with IHD there were no significant differences in medication use by residential area but reported use of guideline-recommended medication was relatively low ranging from 29% for Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitor use amongst those who reported a previous heart attack, to 69% for antiplatelet medication use amongst all women with IHD – see Table 4-1.

For women with heart failure, reported use of ACE inhibitors was low (32%) but did not vary significantly by area of residence. Only 52% of women who reported diagnosed heart failure were taking either an ACE inhibitor or an Angiotensin II receptor blocker. Use of beta-blockers was also low (10%), but a little more common (not statistically significantly so) in women from major cities.

Similarly, for women with atrial fibrillation, patterns of reported use of rate-control medications varied by area such that women from major cities were most likely to report taking rate-control medication (81.4% versus 61.6 and 67%) and taking rate-control medication other than digoxin (45% versus 19.2% and 33.3%). Most women (85%) were taking either aspirin or warfarin and the proportions did not vary by area of residence.

	Major city	Inner Regional	Outer regional/remote	P-value
	N(%)	N(%)	N(%)	
Ischaemic heart disease	N=348	N=308	N=129	
Statin	205 (58.9)	178 (57.8)	70 (54.3)	0.7
Antiplatelet therapy [†]	250 (71.8)	211 (68.5)	84 (65.1)	0.3
Long-acting antianginal [‡]	235 (67.5)	208 (67.8)	92 (71.3)	0.7
Short-acting antianginal	183 (52.6)	169 (54.9)	69 (53.5)	0.8
Beta-blocker [*]	65 (43.3)	67 (47.9)	26 (44.1)	0.7
ACE-inhibitor [*]	47 (31.3)	37 (26.2)	18 (30.5)	0.6
Heart failure	N=169	N=144	N=61	
ACE inhibitor	59 (34.9)	38 (26.4)	22 (36.1)	0.2
Angiotensin II <i>antagonist</i>	40 (23.7)	37 (25.7)	11 (18.0)	0.5
Beta blocker	23 (13.6)	10 (6.9)	5 (8.2)	0.1
Atrial Fibrillation	N=129	N=73	N=39	
Rate control				
None	24 (18.6)	28 (38.4)	13 (33.3)	0.007
Digoxin alone	20 (15.5)	12 (16.4)	7 (18.0)	
Digoxin + other [†]	27 (20.9)	19 (26.0)	6 (15.4)	
Other alone [*]	58 (45.0)	14 (19.2)	13 (33.3)	
Antithromboti <i>c</i>				
Either aspirin or warfarin	106 (82.3)	66 (90.4)	34 (87.2)	0.3
Aspirin	47 (36.4)	33 (45.2)	15 (38.5)	
Warfarin	65 (50.4)	37 (50.7)	20 (51.3)	

Table 4-1 Medication usage recorded by women reporting doctor diagnosed ischaemic heart disease, heart failure or atrial fibrillation.

[†]included aspirin and/or clopidogrel.

[‡] included diltiazem, amlodipine, nitroglycerin transdermal patches, isosorbide dinitrate and isosorbide mononitrate or a beta-blocker

[§]Amongst those who reported having had a heart attack

4.2.3. Self-management advice

Few women reported receiving self-management advice relevant to their condition (see Table 4-2). For example amongst those with IHD only 48% recalled advice about diet and 20% about exercise. Amongst those with heart failure, only about 10% of women reported having been advised to weigh themselves daily. There were no regional differences in recalled receipt of self-management advice.

	Major city	Inner Regional	Outer regional/remote	P-value
	N(%)	N(%)	N(%)	
Ischaemic heart disease	N=348	N=308	N=129	
Low fat/healthy diet	164 (47.1)	154 (50.0)	61 (47.3)	0.7
Exercise	77 (22.2)	55 (17.9)	23 (17.8)	0.3
Anxiety or depression	66 (19.0)	50 (16.2)	16 (12.4)	0.2
What to do if experience				
-chest pain/tightness	106 (30.5)	85 (27.6)	32 (24.8)	0.4
Heart failure	N=169	N=144	N=61	
Limiting fluid intake to				
~2l/day	39 (23.1)	33 (22.9)	17 (27.9)	0.7
Daily weighing	18 (10.7)	17 (11.8)	7 (11.5)	0.9
Limit alcohol intake	33 (19.5)	31 (21.5)	10 (16.4)	0.7
What to do if experience				
-Shortness of breath	91 (53.9)	66 (45.8)	28 (45.9)	0.3
-Swollen ankles	63 (37.5)	63 (43.8)	21 (34.4)	0.4
-Abdominal bloating	34 (20.1)	28 (19.4)	10 (16.4)	0.8
Atrial Fibrillation	N=129	N=73	N=39	
Limiting alcohol	21 (16.3)	10 (13.7)	7 (18.0)	0.8
What to do if experience				
-Palpitations	62 (48.1)	40 (54.8)	19 (48.7)	0.6
-Dizziness	37 (28.7)	24 (32.9)	7 (18.0)	0.2

Table 4-2 Self management advice received by women reporting doctor diagnosed ischaemic heart disease, heart failure or atrial fibrillation.

Overall, our results indicate that evidence-based treatments and investigations are under-utilized for older Australian women with heart disease. Relatively few women reported having had an echocardiogram. Reported use of statins and beta-blockers was low amongst women with IHD and, despite strong recommendations for use of ACE-inhibitors in heart failure management, only 52% reported taking these medications or angiotensin II receptor antagonists. Reported levels of self-management advice were also low but neither advice nor medication use varied between regions.

We found evidence of rural-urban differences in two key management issues. Women from outer regional/remote areas had significantly greater odds of never having seen a cardiologist for their heart condition and more often reported never having had an echocardiogram than women from major cities. Our finding that recommended heart disease treatments are under-utilized in older women is consistent with previous reports (Devlin, 2010; Teng et al., 2010) despite evidence that elderly female patients will derive similar benefit to their younger male counterparts. Medical contraindications may explain some of the effect but factors such as access difficulties and uncertainty about treatment benefits amongst some medical practitioners probably also play a role (Phillips et al., 2004). Few women recalled receiving specific self-management advice for their heart conditions. For some the advice might not have been considered appropriate but it is also likely that for some the advice was given but not recalled. Our findings therefore emphasize the need for patients with chronic heart diseases to be given written management plans.

Consistent with an Australian Institute for Health and Welfare report, which found that the prescription patterns of cardiovascular medications did not vary significantly across general practitioners from different regions (AIHW, 2010) we also found there were few rural-urban differences in the medications women reported taking.

The rural-urban differences we found relate to service use. Rural women reported lower use of echocardiograms and cardiologists suggesting that more limited access to appropriate higher level services might be an important contributor to higher rates of cardiovascular death in rural women. Electronically delivered health service use may lessen the effect of this access disadvantage in the future.

In conclusion our results provide additional evidence that best-practice treatments for heart conditions may be under-utilized in older women. Prescribing patterns and advice that women were given about managing their heart conditions showed little regional variation, however we found evidence of differential use of some higher level health services which may help explain higher cardiovascular mortality amongst rural compared to urban women.

4.2.4. References

- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). (2010). *Cardiovascular medicines and primary health care: a regional analysis*. Cardiovascular disease series no. 32. Cat. no. 48.: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra.
- Devlin G. (2010). Women and elderly: subgroups under-represented in clinical trials. *Current Opinions in Cardiology*, 25(4):335-339
- Hankey GJ. (2001). Non-valvular atrial fibrillation and stroke prevention. National Blood Pressure Advisory Committee of the National Heart Foundation. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 174(5):234-239.
- Krum H. (2001). Guidelines for management of patients with chronic heart failure in Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 174(9):459-466.
- Lipid management guidelines--2001. (2001). National Heart Foundation of Australia, The Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 175 Suppl:S57-85.
- National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC). (1997). *Clinical Practice Guidelines: Diagnosis and Management of Unstable Angina*: NHMRC. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra; 1997.
- Phillips SM, Marton RL, Tofler GH. (2004). Barriers to diagnosing and managing heart failure in primary care. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 181(2):78-81.
- Snow V, Weiss KB, LeFevre M, McNamara R, Bass E, Green LA, Michl K, Owens DK, Susman J, Allen DI, & Mottur-Pilson C. (2003). Management of newly detected atrial fibrillation: a clinical practice guideline from the American Academy of Family Physicians and the American College of Physicians. Annals of Internal Medicine,139(12):1009-1017.
- Teng TH, Hung J, & Finn J. (2010). The effect of evidence-based medication use on long-term survival in patients hospitalised for heart failure in Western Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 192(6):306-310.
- Williams MA, Fleg JL, Ades PA, Chaitman BR, Miller NH, Mohiuddin SM, Ockene IS, Taylor CB, & Wenger NK. (2002). Secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in the elderly (with emphasis on patients > or =75 years of age): an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology Subcommittee on Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention. *Circulation*, 105(14):1735-1743.

4.3. Use of dental services

Oral health is important for good health across the lifespan. Figure 4-23, Figure 4-24 and Figure 4-25 show the proportions of women who have visited a dentist at each survey. At any survey, women in the 1921-26 cohort were less likely to have visited a dentist in the past 12 months than women in the 1946-51 cohort or the 1973-78 cohort, however all cohorts demonstrate less than optimal use of dental care by these women. Visits to a dentist were less likely in regional and remote areas than in major cities.



Figure 4-23 1973-78 cohort Surveys 4 and 5, visited a dentist.



Figure 4-24 1946-51 cohort, visited a dentist Surveys 2-5.



Figure 4-25 1921-26 cohort, visited a dentist, Surveys 2-4.

Poor oral health carries a high burden of illness, particularly among older people, but preventative and treatment dentistry can significantly reduce this burden (Chalmers, 2003). However, access to dental care may present a barrier for non-urban residents in obtaining dental care (Slack-Smith & Hyndman, 2004). A study of older Western Australians identified that visits to a dentist are lower for those residing in non-urban areas (44%) compared to urban areas (65%) (Adams et al., 2004). Other factors influencing higher levels of dental service utilisation among older adults are higher levels of income (Osterberg et al., 1998) and education (Slack-Smith & Hyndman, 2004; Osterberg et al., 1998), being female (Adams et al., 2004), married or defacto (Osterberg et al., 1998), having private health insurance (Slack-Smith & Hyndman, 2004), non-smokers and those with higher levels of physical activity (Slack-Smith & Hyndman, 2004; Osterberg et al., 1998).

Detailed analysis of data from the second survey of ALSWH, involving 10,433 women aged 73-78 years in 1999, show that 35% of these women had consulted a dentist at least once in the previous 12 months (after correcting for over-sampling in rural and remote areas) (Cockerell et al., 2007). Women were less likely to visit a dentist if they live in a non-urban area, were born in Australia, had less education, and were separated, widowed or divorced (Figure 4-3). Women were more likely to visit a dentist, but women who had more symptoms and those who reported that they take medications for a long-term illness, HRT and/or non-prescription medications were more likely to visit a dentist. Visits to dentists were also positively associated with eating fruits/vegetables most days, and with higher scores on the Physical Functioning sub-scale.

Characteristic		Odds Ratio	95% C.I.
Area	urban	1.00	_
	non-urban	0.77	(0.67, 0.88)
Education	no formal	1.00	_
	high school	2.16	(1.82, 2.57)
	trade/certif./dip.	2.66	(2.12, 3.34)
	university	4.12	(2.98, 5.79)
Marital status	married/defacto	1.00	—
	sep./div./widow.	0.79	(0.68, 0.90)
	single	1.03	(0.71, 1.50)
Country of birth	Australia	1.00	—
	Other ESB	1.40	(1.15, 1.70)
	Europe	1.37	(1.05, 1.80)
	Asia	2.04	(1.06, 3.92)
	other	1.60	(0.73, 3.51)
Insurance	no	1.00	—
	yes	2.59	(2.25, 2.97)
Chronic illness	no	1.00	—
	yes	0.74	(0.62, 0.88)
Medications for	no	1.00	—
long-term illness	yes	1.28	(1.08, 1.51)
Medications for	no	1.00	—
Hormone Replacement Therapy	yes	1.40	(1.14, 1.72)
(IINT)	20	1.00	
modications		1.00	<u> </u>
Eat fruit or	yes folgo	1.55	(1.14, 1.34)
	truo	2.91	<u> </u>
vegetables	liue	2.01	(1.30, 3.00)
SF-36 physical function	nina	1.00	(1.00, 1.07)
(5pt increase)			
No. of symptoms		1.04	(1.02, 1.07)

Table 4-3 Adjusted ⁺ odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals (C.	.I.)
for factors associated with visits to a dentist by older women	

† The multivariate logistic regression model was obtained using a backward stepwise approach.

Further analysis using longitudinal data from Surveys 2, 3 and 4 shows the percentages of women who consulted a dentist in the years 1999, 2002 and 2005 were 35%, 36% and 37% respectively (Sibbritt et al., 2010). There were 6,170 women who answered the question related to consultation with a dentist at all three survey points. Of these women: 22% reported consulting a dentist on all 3 surveys; 15% reported consulting a dentist on 2 of the surveys; and 19% reported consulting a dentist on only 1 of the surveys.

In Survey 4, there were 325 (5%) women who needed to see a dentist but did not consult one. The reasons given for not consulting a dentist by these women were (they could indicate more than one reason): there was no dentist available locally (n=58); travel difficulties, I could not get there (n=81); long waiting period for an appointment (n=158); I could not afford to see a dentist (n=156).

Longitudinal analysis of factors associated with consulting a dentist shows that women who live in urban areas were 1.47 (95% CI: 1.36, 1.58) times more likely to consult with a dentist than women who live in rural areas. In comparison to women who were married or in a de facto relationship, women who were separated, divorced or widowed were 0.87 (95% CI: 0.81, 0.93) times less likely to consult with a dentist. Non-smoking women were 1.61 (95% CI: 1.34, 1.94) times more likely to consult with a dentist. In comparison to women who find it easy to live on their income, women who find it difficult to live on their income some of the time were 0.83 (95% CI: 075, 0.91) times less likely to consult with a dentist (see Table 4-4). Women who find it impossible or difficult to live on their income all of the time were also less likely to consult with a dentist (OR= 0.90; 95% CI: 078, 1.03), but this was not statistically significant, possible because of the small number of women who are in this category. In comparison to women with a university education, women with a trade, certificate or diploma (OR=0.60; 95% CI: 0.49, 0.74), high school education (OR=0.43; 95% CI: 0.36, 0.52), or no formal education (OR=0.25; 95% CI: 0.21, 0.31) were all less likely to consult with a dentist. Women who do not have diabetes were 1.25 (95% CI: 1.12, 1.40) times more likely to consult with a dentist, as were women who do not have heart disease who are 1.13 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.24) times more likely to consult with a dentist. Women who did not require home maintenance service were 0.81 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.86) times less likely to consult with a dentist. In terms of the SF-36 physical functioning score, for every 5 point increase, women were 1.02 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.03) times more likely to consult with a dentist.

Factors		OR	95% C.I.	p-value
Area of residence	urban	1.467	1.362, 1.579	<0.0001
	(reference) rural	1	—	
Marital status	never married	1.333	1.060, 1.677	0.0140
	separated, divorced, widowed	0.865	0.806, 0.929	<0.0001
	(reference) married, defacto	1	—	
Smoking status	non-smoker	1.611	1.337, 1.941	<0.0001
	(reference) smoker	1	—	
Ability to manage on	impossible/difficult all the time	0.896	0.778, 1.033	0.1315
Income	difficult some of the time	0.828	0.753, 0.911	<0.0001
	it is not too bad	0.918	0.856, 0.985	0.017
	(reference) it is easy	1	_	
Education level	no formal	0.252	0.207, 0.305	<0.0001
	High school	0.430	0.357, 0.519	<0.0001
	trade, certificate, diploma	0.597	0.486, 0.735	<0.0001
	(reference) university	1	—	
Diabetes status	no	1.253	1.123, 1.399	<0.0001
	(reference) yes	1	_	
Heart disease	no	1.134	1.037, 1.240	0.0061
Status	(reference) yes	1	—	
Required home	no	0.809	0.759, 0.862	<0.0001
maintenance services	(reference) yes	1	—	
SF36-physical functioning	(5 point increase)	1.022	1.014, 1.028	<0.0001

Table 4-4 Factors associated with dentist consultations by older Australian women, derived from a longitudinal analysis using multivariable generalized estimation equation(GEE) modelling with backward stepwise elimination (n=9,387)

4.3.1. Discussion

Oral health is fundamental to overall health and quality of life, at all ages. Data from ALSWH identify a large unmet need for dental care and a significant opportunity for public health intervention. Access to dental care, in terms of location of dentists and affordability, contribute significantly to visits to a dentist. In addition, having good nutrition, being married, and having a number of symptoms were also associated with increased likelihood of visits to a dentist.

Oral health problems are of particular importance for older people and are among the most common problems affecting their health (Chalmers, 2003). These problems can lead to impaired nutrition, systemic disease, speech problems, and social withdrawal (Chalmers, 2003; Slack-Smith & Hyndman, 2004). However many oral health problems can be prevented or treated by dental care. This study has identified the under-use of dental services by certain socioeconomic groups that may highlight a major source of health inequity in the community.

There has been a separation of dentistry away from medicine, with the Australian Government providing substantial funding for services provided by doctors and hospitals, but not for dental services (Lewis, 2008). Recent work by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare reports that the total contribution of all levels of government to medical and hospital expenditure was 78% and 81% respectively, compared with 21% for dental services (AIHW, 2006). Under this system many Australians are faced with paying substantial out-of-pocket costs for private care, or waiting up to two years to access care through the public dental system (NHHRC, 2009). More recently, oral health care has been identified as one of the top priorities by the Health and Hospitals Reform Commission (NHHRC, 2009).

The implications of the poor utilisation of oral health care by older women are substantial. Good oral health is an integral component of good general health (Williams et al., 2008; Kandelman, Peterson & Ueda, 2008) at all ages. Clearly, there are physical health benefits to good oral health where losing dental health affects one's food preference, resulting in poor nutrition and an associated increased risk of disease (Arai et al., 2003). There are also mental health benefits to good oral health. If a person's food choices are restricted then it takes away their enjoyment of eating (Arai et al., 2003). Further, in a social context, the condition of a person's mouth and teeth can have a significant affect on their self-esteem (McKenzie-Green et al., 2009).

4.3.2. References

- Adams C, Slack-Smith L, Larson A, O'Grady M. Dentist visits in older Western Australians: A comparison of urban, rural and remote residents. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2004;12:143-149.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). *Australia's Health 2006*, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra, 2006.
- Arai K, Sumi Y, Uematsu H, Miura H. Association between dental health behaviours, mental/physical function and self-feeding ability among the elderly: a cross-sectional survey. *Gerontology* 2003; 20(2):78-83.
- Chalmers JM. Oral Health Promotion for our ageing Australian population. *Australian Dental Journal* 2003;48(1):2-9.
- Cockrell D, Sibbritt D, Byles J. The prevalence and characteristics of older Australian women who consult dentists. *Australian Journal of Rural Health*, 2007:15(6):387-388
- Kandelman D, Petersen PE, Ueda H. Oral health, general health, and quality of life in older people. Special Care in Dentistry 2008; 28(6): 224-236.
- Lewis JM. The road to oral health policy innovation in Australia. *Australian Review of Public Affairs*, 2007. Electronic resource: http://www.australianreview.net/digest/2007/election/lewis.html (access 25 October 2008).
- National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission. 2009. A Healthier Future for all Australians Final Report of the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission. CommonwealthGovernment of Australia. ISBN: 1-74186-940-4 <u>http://www.health.gov.au/internet/nhhrc/publishing.nsf/Content/nhhrc-report</u>
- Osterberg T, Lundgren M, Emilson CG, Sundh V, & Birkhed D, B S. Utilization of dental services in relation to socioeconomic and health factors in the middle-aged and elderly Swedish population. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 1998;56(1):41-7.
- Slack-Smith L, & Hyndman J. The relationship between demographic and health-related factors on dental service attendance by older Australians. *British Dental Journal* 2004;197(4):193-199.
- Sibbritt DW, Byles JE, & Tavener M. Older Australian women's use of dentists: a longitudinal analysis over 6 years. *Australasian Journal of Ageing* 2010;29(1):14-20.
- Williams RC, Barnett AH, Claffey N, Davis M, Gadsby R, Kellet M, Lip GYH, & Thackray S. The potential impact of periodontal disease on general health: a consensus view. *Current Medical Research and Opinions* 2008; 24(6): 1635-1643.
4.4. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM)

Note that the source for the first part of this section is from published work:

Source: Jon Adams, David Sibbritt, Chi-Wai Lui. The Urban-Rural Divide in Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use: A Longitudinal Study of 10,638 Women. *BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine* (accepted November, 2010)

4.4.1. Introduction

Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) refers to those practices, technologies and medications not traditionally part of conventional care and includes acupuncture, aromatherapy, chiropractic, homeopathy, reflexology, massage therapy and osteopathy, among others. The use of CAM has achieved mainstream status in Western countries over past decades (Barnes et al., 2008; Hanssen et al., 2005) and surveys on patterns of CAM use reveal that such health seeking behaviour is not confined to metropolitan settings. There is evidence that residents in rural and remote regions also employ a variety of treatments to complement their conventional care and to manage chronic health problems like diabetes and arthritis (Kirkpatrick et al., 2006; Wilkinson & Jelinek, 2009; Shreffler-Grant et al., 2005). Previous surveys of patients from rural community centers or health clinics suggest prevalence rates for CAM use ranging from between 39% and 87% (Kirkpatrick et al., 2006; Wilkinson & Jelinek, 2009). CAM is also found to be used by older rural adults as a common strategy for maintaining health and wellbeing (as distinct from treating specific health problems and conditions) (Wilkinson & Jelinek, 2009; Shreffler-Grant et al., 2007). A longitudinal analysis of ALSWH data collected from the 1946-51 cohort also suggested that CAM consumption is higher in non-urban regions than in urban areas (Adams et al., 2003; Sibbritt et al., 2004).

Of the 10,638 women who completed the 1946-51 cohort Survey 5, 40% were living in urban areas, 56% in rural areas and 4% in remote areas. Among these women, 30% had consulted a CAM practitioner in the previous 12 months. The percentage of women who consulted a CAM practitioner varied by place of residence: 28%, 32% and 30% for urban, rural and remote areas respectively.

4.4.2. Consultations with conventional health care providers by CAM use

Table 4-5 shows that overall, CAM users tend to consult with a GP more frequently than CAM nonusers. This pattern can be seen separately for the three areas of residence, although the association is only statistically significant for urban and rural areas. Similarly, CAM users tend to consult with a specialist doctor more frequently than CAM non-users within the three areas of residence and overall. There is no statistically significant association between CAM user status and consultation with a hospital doctor overall or within the three areas of residence.

		Urban		Ru	ıral	Remote	
	-	CAM non-user	CAM user	CAM non- user	CAM user	CAM non- user	CAM user
Consultation		(n = 2960)	(n = 1157)	(n = 4011)	(n = 1866)	(n = 308)	(n = 131)
S		%	%	%	%	%	%
GP ¹²	0	6	5	7	5	9	8
	1-2	34	27	36	32	36	31
	3-4	31	32	29	28	28	32
	5-6	16	17	15	18	14	16
	7-12	9	13	9	11	9	10
	13+	4	6	4	6	4	3
Hospital Doctor	0	83	80	82	80	80	76
	1-2	13	15	15	16	14	19
	3+	4	5	3	4	6	5
Specialist Doctor ¹²³	0	51	44	57	52	63	48
	1-2	32	34	30	32	25	39
	3-4	11	13	8	10	8	8
	5-6	3	5	3	3	1	1
	7+	3	4	2	3	3	4

Table 4-5 Consultations with conventional health care providers by CAM use (consulted with a CAM practitioner or not)

Note: chi-square tests used to test for statistically significant associations.

Statistically significant association for CAM user status (i.e. ignoring place of residence) (P < .005)

¹ Statistically significant association for urban residents (P < .005)

² Statistically significant association for rural residents (P < .005)

³ Statistically significant association for remote residents (P < .05)

Source: Jon Adams, David Sibbritt, Chi-Wai Lui. The Urban-Rural Divide in Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use: A Longitudinal Study of 10,638 Women. *BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine* (accepted November, 2010)

4.4.3. Rating of conventional health care providers by CAM use

The relationship between the ratings of various aspects of conventional health care provision and CAM user status is shown in Table 4-6. In general, CAM users were more dissatisfied with the outcomes of their medical care than CAM non-users. This was a consistent pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was not statistically significant for women from remote areas. Furthermore, CAM users were more dissatisfied with the hours when a GP was available, the ease of seeing a GP of their choice, and the waiting time to get a GP appointment than CAM non-users. There were consistent patterns across the three areas of residence for all of these aspects, although they were only statistically significant for women from urban areas. CAM users in urban areas only were also more dissatisfied with access to a medical specialist if needed compared to CAM non-users. There were no statistically significant associations, either overall or separate areas of residence, between CAM user status and access to a female GP.

	Urban		Rural		Remote	
	CAM	CAM	CAM	CAM	CAM	CAM
	non-user	user	non-user	user	non-user	user
	(n = 2960)	(n = 1157)	(n = 4011)	(n = 1866)	(n = 308)	(n = 131)
	mean	mean	mean	mean	mean	mean
Access to a medical specialist if needed ¹	1.93	2.02	2.50	2.48	3.11	3.14
Access to a female GP	2.24	2.30	2.76	2.74	3.39	3.44
Hours when a GP is available ^{■ 1}	2.65	2.80	2.90	2.97	3.22	3.34
Number of GPs you have to choose from ^{■ 1}	2.50	2.62	2.94	2.97	3.67	3.67
Ease of seeing GP of your choice ^{∎1}	2.58	2.75	2.97	3.04	3.32	3.50
How long you wait to get a GP appointment ■	2.74	2.87	3.12	3.18	3.35	3.54
The outcomes of your medical care ^{■ 12} (how much you are helped)	2.27	2.41	2.45	2.54	2.67	2.81

Table 4-6 Rating of conventional health care providers by CAM use (consulted with a CAM practitioner or not) Level of Satisfaction (1=excellent ... 5=poor).

Note: Student t-tests used to test for statistically significant differences.

Statistically significant association for CAM user status (i.e. ignoring place of residence) (P < .005)

¹ Statistically significant association for urban residents (P < .005)

² Statistically significant association for rural residents (P < .005)

³ Statistically significant association for remote residents (P < .05)

Source: Jon Adams, David Sibbritt, Chi-Wai Lui. The Urban-Rural Divide in Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use: A Longitudinal Study of 10,638 Women. *BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine* (accepted November, 2010)

4.4.4. Symptoms and diagnoses by CAM use

Table 4-7 shows the association between the symptoms that women sought help for and consultations with a CAM practitioner. Overall, CAM users were significantly more likely than non-CAM users to seek help for severe tiredness. This was a consistent pattern across all the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from rural and remote areas. CAM users were also significantly more likely than non-users to seek help for night sweats and anxiety. There were consistent patterns across the three areas of residence for these two aspects, although they were only statistically significant for women from rural areas. A greater percentage of CAM users sought help for depression across the three areas of residence, but this was only statistically significant for women from remote areas. The analysis also demonstrates that CAM users were significantly more likely to seek help for back pain. This was a consistent and statistically significant pattern across the three areas of residence. In addition, CAM users were significantly more likely to seek help for indigestion or heartburn, headaches or migraines, stiff or painful joints, urine that burns or stings, hot flushes. There were consistent patterns across the three areas of residence for all of these aspects, although they were only statistically significant for women from urban and rural areas. Finally, CAM users were significantly more likely than non-CAM users to seek help for allergies or hayfever or sinusitis. This was a consistent and statistically significant pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from urban areas. There were no statistically significant associations between CAM user status and breathing difficulties or chest pain.

		U	rban	I	Rural	Re	mote
Sought help for the symptoms:	e following	CAM non- user	CAM user	CAM non- user	CAM user	CAM non- user	CAM user
	24	(11 = 2900)	(1 = 1137)	(11 = 4011)	(0001 = 11)	(11 = 508)	(1=131)
Allergies, hayfever, sinusitis ^{∎ 1}	% yes	13	19	13	16	13	16
Breathing difficulties	% yes	7	9	8	9	7	6
Indigestion or heartburn ¹²	% yes	9	13	9	13	10	11
Chest pain	% yes	6	7	6	6	6	8
Headaches or migraines ^{■ 1 2}	% yes	6	9	7	10	6	9
Severe tiredness ^{∎ 2 3}	% yes	6	8	6	9	5	10
Stiff or painful joints ^{∎12}	% yes	15	26	18	25	15	18
Back pain ^{■ 1 2 3}	% yes	12	31	12	29	11	29
Urine that burns or stings ■ ¹²	% yes	4	7	4	7	6	3
Hot flushes ¹²	% yes	6	10	6	10	5	5
Night sweats ²	% yes	5	7	4	7	4	5
Depression ³	% yes	8	9	8	9	4	9
Anxiety ²	% yes	7	9	6	9	4	6

Table 4-7 Sought help for symptoms by CAM use (consulted with a CAM practitioner or not)

Note: chi-square tests used to test for statistically significant associations.

Statistically significant association for CAM user status (i.e. ignoring place of residence) (P < .005)

¹ Statistically significant association for urban residents (P < .005)

² Statistically significant association for rural residents (P < .005)

³ Statistically significant association for remote residents (P < .05)

Source: Jon Adams, David Sibbritt, Chi-Wai Lui. The Urban-Rural Divide in Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use: A Longitudinal Study of 10,638 Women. *BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine* (accepted November, 2010)

4.4.5. Diseases and consultations with a CAM practitioner

Table 4-8 shows the association between the diseases that women have been diagnosed with and consultations with a CAM practitioner. Overall, CAM users were more likely than non-CAM users to report osteoporosis. This was a consistent, statistically significant pattern across the three areas of residence. CAM users are also more likely to have asthma and bronchitis/emphysema. There were consistent patterns across the three areas of residence for these two diagnoses, although they were not statistically significant for the separate areas of residence. The analysis shows that CAM users are more likely to report arthritis. This was a consistent pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from urban and rural areas. CAM users are also

more likely to report low iron levels. This was a consistent pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from urban areas. In addition, CAM users are more likely to report hypertension. This was a consistent pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from rural areas. Finally, CAM users are more likely to report skin cancer. This was a consistent pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from rural areas. Finally, CAM users are more likely to report skin cancer. This was a consistent pattern across the three areas of residence, although it was only statistically significant for women from remote areas. For all other cancers and for diabetes and heart disease there were no statistically significant associations with CAM user status.

		Urban		Rural		Remote	
		CAM	CAM	CAM	CAM	CAM	CAM
Diagnoses		non-user	user	non-user	user	non-user	user
		(n = 2960)	(n = 1157)	(n = 4011)	(n = 1866)	(n = 308)	(n = 131)
Diabetes	% yes	26	26	27	26	31	24
Arthritis ¹²	% yes	34	40	35	41	33	36
Heart Disease	% yes	6	6	7	7	8	7
Hypertensio n ^{∎ 2}	% yes	32	32	38	33	41	32
Low iron level ^{■ 1}	% yes	30	36	28	32	26	34
Asthma [■]	% yes	19	21	19	21	18	20
Bronchitis/e mphysema [■]	% yes	18	20	17	19	13	18
Osteoporosi s ^{∎ 1 2 3}	% yes	11	15	9	11	5	11
Breast cancer	% yes	5	6	5	5	3	4
Cervical cancer	% yes	3	3	3	3	2	2
Skin cancer ∎ ³	% yes	20	21	21	24	19	33
Other cancer	% yes	4	5	5	5	4	3

Table 4-8 Diagnoses by CAM user status	(consulted with a CAM practitioner or not)
----------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------

Note: chi-square tests used to test for statistically significant associations.

Statistically significant association for CAM user status (i.e. ignoring place of residence) (P < .005)</p>

¹ Statistically significant association for urban residents (P < .005)

² Statistically significant association for rural residents (P < .005)

³ Statistically significant association for remote residents (P < .05)

Source: Jon Adams, David Sibbritt, Chi-Wai Lui. The Urban-Rural Divide in Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use: A Longitudinal Study of 10,638 Women. *BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine* (accepted November, 2010)

4.4.6. Summary

Our study shows that, among the 1946-51 birth cohort, a significantly higher percentage of women from rural (32%) and remote (30%) areas consulted with a CAM practitioner compared with women from urban areas (28%). This indicates the use of CAM may play a distinctive role in the health management of women in remote or geographically isolated locations. The levels of consumption identified across the longitudinal analysis also highlight the importance for rural GPs to enquire about the use of complementary and alternative therapies or modalities with the people in their care.

Findings from this study also suggest that the lack of access to and/or patient dissatisfaction with conventional health practitioners may not play an important role in explaining the higher use of CAM

in non-urban regions compared to metropolitan areas. In addition, although our study shows women who use CAM report a higher percentage of health symptoms/diagnoses of chronic illnesses than non-CAM users, such differences were largely consistent across urban and rural/remote areas. This suggests health status may not be an important contributing factor to differences in CAM practitioner use across the urban/non-urban divide.

Given the evidence supporting an urban/rural difference in women's CAM use, it is important that we further investigate and understand the reasons for such geographical differences in CAM consumption. Future research is required to examine wider social and interpersonal factors as well as characteristics of CAM providers in an attempt to help explain the high use of CAM in non-urban areas.

4.4.7. References

- Adams J, Sibbritt D, Easthope G, & Young A. (2003). The profile of women who consult alternative health practitioners in Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 179:297-300.
- Barnes PM, Bloom B, & Nahin RL. (2008). Complementary and Alternative Medicine use among adults and children: United States, 2007. In Hyattsville: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Health Interview Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics..
- Hanssen B, Grimsgaard S, Launsoslash L, Foslashnneboslash V, Falkenberg T, & Rasmussen NK.
 (2005). Use of complementary and alternative medicine in the Scandinavian countries.
 Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care, 23:57-62.
- Kirkpatrick C, Page R, & Hayward K. (2006). Nonvitamin, nonmineral supplement use and beliefs about safety and efficacy among rural adults in southeast and south central Idaho. *Journal of Nutrition for the Elderly*, 26(1-2):59-82.
- Shreffler-Grant J, Weinert C, Nichols E, & Ide B. (2005). Complementary therapy use among older rural adults. *Public Health Nursing*, 22(4):323-331.
- Shreffler-Grant J, Hill W, Weinert C, Nichols E, & Ide B. (2007). Complementary therapy and older rural women: Who uses it and who does not? *Nursing Research*, 56(1):28-33.
- Sibbritt DW, Adams J, & Young AF. (2004). A longitudinal analysis of mid-age women's use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) in Australia, 1996-1998. *Women & Health*, 40(4):41-56.
- Wilkinson JM, & Jelinek H. (2009). Complementary medicine use among attendees at a rural health screening clinic. *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice*, 15(2):80-84.

4.5. The use of CAM in the 1921-26 birth cohort: Rural women speak out

A previous study of CAM users in the ALSWH (Adams et al., 2003) suggested that 15% of the 1921-26 cohort used CAM treatments, with the majority of these older women residing in non-urban areas. This is consistent with earlier research on CAM use in Australia which observed that people living in country areas were more likely to visit alternative practitioners than people in metropolitan areas (McLennan, 2006).

In order to better understand why older women in rural and regional areas of Australia are more likely than their urban counterparts to use CAM we undertook a series of face to face interviews with 13 rural and 9 urban members of the 1921-26 ALSWH cohort. The following comments are drawn from the rural interviews and describe the participant's reasons for using, and experiences with, CAM.

Why use CAM?

Many of the women had tried conventional therapies:

I was sort of bent over and I was in so much pain and I thought what am I going to do. I wasn't keen to go to have physio because I knew what they were going to do and I had heard about this Bowen treatment.

I know there are advantages of going to chiropractors. They have helped me considerably. Especially after I had shingles ... I think they helped me more than a physiotherapist would.

What types of CAM do rural women use?

I go to a chiropractor and I have a great belief, you get a good chiropractor. I've gone to him for many years. I give him some of the credit, a lot of the credit for keeping me healthy.

I take fish oil. This is for my arthritis. I can control it, I feel, with this, except if I go a bit mad and get out and start digging in the garden then I pay for it.

I boil up garlic and ginger and put in lemon juice and honey.

Some rural women described a range of CAM which included remedies handed down across generations:

There is a plant they call cunjevoi, for his arthritis he'd get the big leaves off that and wrap it around his knees.

You see we should not discard old fashioned things...general health is breaking down because we discard all these old fashioned things.

CAM and conventional health services

Access to conventional health care services in non-urban areas has been identified as poorer than in metropolitan areas. While this did not appear to be a factor influencing the use of CAM in the 1946-1951, cohort a number of the older women in the 1921-26 cohort commented on problems they had accessing health services:

The doctor system in this place is just unbelievable. Unbelievable. We've got one main doctor for the whole of this shire.

...his waiting room wouldn't be as big as this kitchen area, and standing room only.

You had to wait and wait and wait, you know.

Many older rural women commented on the importance of having a doctor with whom they could develop a relationship:

I think it's just that they've cut back and you see there is no resident doctor and... it's a bit difficult to have a doctor that really knows your problems....like our original doctors who lived here for ages and were what I would call a real family doctor.

There was a wonderful man up here but he decided to go because his wife had been bitten by so many ticks.

Transport could also be an issue when the women were accessing health services:

They'll take you down by ambulance but you can make your own way home.

I mean everyone hasn't got someone who will take them or pick them up, you're out on your own, and you've just got to find your way home and you're not feeling very good.

Stronger informal networks in rural areas

For older women, the most commonly reported source of information and introduction to CAM is word of mouth and information provided by relatives, friends, acquaintances and colleagues. The use of informal communications and networks may be particularly strong in regional communities and this may explain higher CAM use in these settings.

How did we find out? It was someone in [small rural town], and the naturopath was there, she had a meeting one afternoon and invited different ones and if anyone wished to go and meet her and she discussed it

...the lady next door, she said have you ever tried olive leaf extract? I said no, so I'm on that now.

...going to women's groups, they often have a speaker from some aspect of health or something and you learn there.

My new neighbour came across the other day, to introduce herself and gave me her card, she's a faith healer.

Psychosocial and cultural factors

Psychosocial and cultural differences may also exist which encourage greater use of CAM among rural residents. Several researchers have suggested that traditional rural values such as self-reliance, individualism and a reluctance to seek medical care unless seriously impaired by health problems may make rural residents hesitant to seek care from conventional health care services. These quotes illustrate the rural women's self-reliance:

I'm a bushman. I don't need all this city stuff.

She said, you can't stop here on your own, and (name) sitting there and he says I'll look after her, he said. It'll be right, he says.

.... she had chooks, she loved her chooks.... She wasn't looking after herself but we couldn't get her to move. I wanted her to come in (to town).

References

Adams J, Sibbritt D, Easthope G, & Young A. (2003). The profile of women who consult alternative health practitioners in Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 179:297-300.

McLennan, AH, Myers SP, & Taylor AW. (2006). The continuing use of complementary and alternative medicine in South Australia: Costs and beliefs in 2004. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 184 (1); 27-31.

4.6. Screening services

4.6.1. Pap tests

Introduction

At each survey, women in the 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohorts are asked "When did you have your last Pap test?". For the frequency of Pap tests we focus on the recommended period of 2 years.

The major issue for the 1946-51 cohort women is that many of the women have had a hysterectomy, and most women who have had a hysterectomy do not need to continue to be screened. Because the ALSWH asks about hysterectomies it is possible to include this information, so that Pap testing results can give an accurate picture of screening among eligible women in a way that is not possible from most other data sources.

Due to question differences, data from the second survey are not comparable with other surveys so they have been omitted from the results shown here.

Time trends in Pap testing

Figure 4-26 show the trends in self report of Pap tests by women in the 1973-78 cohort. Only women who remained in the study at all surveys were included. At Survey 1, a large proportion of women had never had a Pap test, perhaps reflecting that many of them were not sexually active at the start of the study when they were aged 18-23 years. Over subsequent surveys, the number of women who have never had a Pap test diminishes dramatically.

The majority of women had their last Pap test within the recommended timeframe (last 2 years), with little difference by area of residence. There was a tendency for women in regional areas, particularly in inner regional areas, to be more likely to have had a Pap test within the past 2 to 5 years, compared to women in major cities.



*Age 18-23 at Survey 1, 25-30 at Survey 3, 28-33 at Survey 4, and 31-36 at Survey 5.

Figure 4-26 Self report of time since last Pap test by women in 1973-78 cohort at Surveys 1-5

For women in the 1946-51 cohort there was a steady increase in the percentage who had had a hysterectomy (see Figure 4-27). Compared to women in major cities, women were more likely to have had a hysterectomy in remote areas, and by Survey 5, 40% of women in remote areas had had a hysterectomy.



Figure 4-27 Proportions of women in the 1946-51 cohort who report having a hysterectomy by each survey and by area of residence.

Considering only those women who had not had a hysterectomy, most women in the 1946-51 cohort had had a Pap test within the last 2 years but over time the proportion who had not had a Pap test in the last 5 years increased across all areas of residence (Figure 4-28).



*Age 45-50 at Survey 1, 50-55 at Survey 3, 53-58 at Survey 4, and 56-61 at Survey 5.

Figure 4-28 Self report of time since last Pap test by women in the 1946-51 cohort.

Ease of access to Pap tests

Figure 4-29 and Figure 4-30 show women's ratings at Survey 5 of the ease of obtaining a Pap test. Women in the 1946-51 cohort were more likely to give a good, very good, or excellent rating to this item than were younger women. The proportion of women giving a fair or poor rating to this item was higher across regional and remote areas, particularly among women in the 1973-78 cohort.



Figure 4-29 Ease of obtaining a Pap test. 1973-78 cohort Survey 5



Figure 4-30 Ease of obtaining a Pap test. 1946-51 cohort Survey 5

Factors associated with having a Pap test

Further analyses have been undertaken to assess the effect of area of residence on the probability that women have had a Pap test within the past 2 years, after accounting for other socio-demographic factors. For women in the 1973-78 cohort, the probability of having a Pap test in the past 2 years was higher at Surveys 2, 3, 4 and 5 than at Survey 1, with the greatest difference being between Survey 1 and Survey 2. In contrast, the probability of having a Pap test decreased with each survey for women in the 1946-51 cohort.

Area of residence was a significant factor for women in the 1973-78 cohort, but not for women in the 1946-51 cohort. Women in the 1973-78 cohort who lived in inner and outer regional areas were around 10% more likely to have had a Pap test within the two year time frame than women living in major cities.

Other factors affecting the probability of having a Pap test in the last two years for this cohort were marital status, education, employment, health insurance and parity. Compared to married women, widowed/separated/divorced women were around 21% less likely to have a Pap test, and single women were around 56% less likely. Compared to women with no formal educational qualifications or with a school certificate only, women were less likely to have a Pap test in the last two years if they had achieved the higher school certificate, and more likely to have a Pap test if they had university or higher education. Women working less than full time were less likely to have a Pap test than women working full time. Women with private hospital insurance were more likely to have a Pap test if they had 1-3 children (compared to no children), but not if they had more than 3 children.

Fewer factors were associated with Pap tests among women in the 1946-51 cohort. Compared to married women, women were less likely to have a Pap test if they were separated, divorced or widowed, and much less likely to have a Pap test if they had remained single. Hospital insurance was associated with increased probability of having a Pap test, and women with children (1 or more births) were also more likely to have a Pap test than nulliparous women (no births).

	1973-78 cohort	1946-51 cohort
	Pap test	Pap test
Variable	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Survey	1	1
Survey 1 (ref)	1	1
Survey 2	1.70 (1.58, 1.83)*	1.01 (0.95,1.08)
Survey 3	1.78 (1.64, 1.93)*	0.99 (0.93,1.06)
Survey 4	1.49 (1.36, 1.62)*	0.87 (0.81,0.93) *
Survey 5	1.44 (1.31, 1.58)*	0.87 (0.81,0.93) *
Area of residence (ARIA)**		
Major city	1 (reference)	
Inner regional	1.24 (1.14, 1.33)*	
Outer regional	1.34 (1.21, 1.49)*	
Remote/v. Remote	1.21 (0.98, 1.50)	
Marital status		
Married/de facto	1 (reference)	1
Sep/div/widow	0.79 (0.70, 0.90)*	0.82 (0.75,0.89) *
Single	0.45 (0.42, 0.47)*	0.50 (0.42,0.60) *
Education		
No formal qualification/sc	1 (reference)	
HSC	0.81 (0.75, 0.88)*	
Trade/diploma	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	
Higher educ.	1.10 (1.01, 1.20)*	
Work		
Full time	1 (reference)	
Part-time	0.83 (0.79, 0.88)*	
Other	0.73 (0.69, 0.77)*	
Health insurance		
No private insurance	1 (reference)	1
Private hospital insurance	1.14 (1.09, 1.20)*	1.38 (1.29,1.47) *
Parity		
0 births	1 (reference)	1
1-3 births	1.545 (1.45, 1.66)*	1.52 (1.33,1.73) *
>3 births	1.22 (1.00, 1.48)	1.21 (1.04,1.42) *

Table 4-9 Factors associated with having a Pap test in the past 2 years. 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohorts.

*statistically significant p<0.05

**interaction between ARIA and survey was significant (p < 0.001) and adjusted for in the younger cohort, but not displayed in table. It was not included in the 1946-51 cohort model as neither ARIA alone or as an interaction was significant.

Discussion of trends in Pap testing

The collection of data on hysterectomies allows the ALSWH to provide valuable information on Pap testing in the population at risk in a way that is not possible from most other data sources. The data indicate that around 25-30% of women in the 1973-78 cohort and 20% in the 1946-51 cohort are not having Pap tests within the recommended two-year screening interval (according to the women's self-report). Allowing for some inaccuracy in self-report this percentage may be an underestimate or an overestimate. As more opportunities for data linkage to screening registers become available, it will be possible to extend these analyses and overcome some of these types of limitations.

4.6.2. Mammograms

Trends in self-reported time since last mammogram for the 1946-51 cohort are shown in Figure 4-31. The proportion of women who had a mammogram within the last two years increased with each survey and as the women aged. Note that at Survey 1, most women were younger than 50 years and outside the age range of 50-69 years that is targeted for commencing routine screening (<u>http://www.cancerscreening.gov.au/internet/screening/publishing.nsf/Content/faqs#policy</u>). By Survey 5, when women were aged 56-61 years, most had had a mammogram, but some women were overdue for screening. By 2007 and depending on area, 25-30% of women in the 1946-51 cohort could be considered "overdue" for their next mammogram.



*Age 45-50 at Survey 1, 50-55 at Survey 3, 53-58 at Survey 4, and 56-61 at Survey 5

Figure 4-31 Self report of time since last Mammogram by women in 1946-51 cohort.

Ease of obtaining a mammogram.

Most women in the 1946-51 cohort rated the ease of obtaining a mammogram as good, very good or excellent. However, women in remote areas were less likely to give a favourable rating to this item than women in major cities.



Figure 4-32 Ease of obtaining a Mammogram. 1946-51 cohort Survey 5

Factors associated with mammograms

Further analyses were undertaken to assess the effect of area of residence on the probability that women have had a mammogram within the past 2 years, after accounting for other sociodemographic factors (see Table 4-10). Women in this cohort were more likely to have a mammogram over time, and as they aged, with women being around 4 times as likely to report a mammogram at Survey 5 as at Survey 1. Women in remote areas were more likely to have a mammogram in the last 2 years than women in major cities, an interesting finding in light of the finding that they were the most likely to report fair or poor access to this type of service.

Other factors associated with having a mammogram in the past 2 years included education (women with tertiary or higher education were less likely to have had a mammogram) and marital status (widowed, divorced, separated and single women were less likely to have had a mammogram). Women with private hospital insurance were more likely to have had a mammogram, and women were less likely to have had a mammogram if they had 3 or more children.

	1946-51 cohort Mammogram			
Variable	OR (95% CI)			
Survey				
Survey 1	1 (reference)			
Survey 2	1.44 (1.35, 1.54)*			
Survey 3	2.69 (2.48, 2.93)*			
Survey 4	3.38 (3.10, 3.69)*			
Survey 5	3.77 (3.44, 4.13)*			
Area of residence (ARIA+)**				
Major city	1 (reference)			
Inner regional	0.92 (0.85, 0.99)*			
Outer regional	1.04 (0.94, 1.14)			
Remote/v. Remote	1.43 (1.19, 1.71)*			
Marital status				
Married/de facto (ref)	1 (reference)			
Sep/div/widow	0.80 (0.75, 0.85)*			
Single	0.73 (0.63, 0.85)*			
Education				
None/sc	1 (reference)			
HSC	0.95 (0.88, 1.02)			
Trade/diploma	0.95 (0.88, 1.02)			
Higher educ.	0.81 (0.74, 0.87)*			
Health insurance				
No private insurance	1 (reference)			
Private hospital insurance	1.36 (1.30, 1.43)*			
Parity				
0 births	1 (reference)			
1-3 births	1.01 (0.91, 1.12)			
>3 births	0.83 (0.74, 0.94)*			

Table 4-10 Factors associated with having a mammogram in the past 2 years. 1946-51 cohort.

*statistically significant p<0.05

**Interaction between Aria and survey was significant (p = 4.6%) and included in the model, but not reported here.

4.6.3. Discussion

The collection of data on hysterectomies allows the ALSWH to provide valuable information on Pap testing in the population at risk in a way that is not possible from other data sources. The data indicate that, depending on area, around 25-30% of women in the 1973-78 cohort and 20% in the 1946-51 cohort did not have Pap tests within the recommended two-year screening interval (according to the women's self-report). Allowing for some inaccuracy in self-report, mainly due to women under-estimating the time since their last Pap test, this percentage may be an underestimate.

There is a trend for women in the 1973-78 cohort to be less likely to be overdue for a Pap test as they move through their 20s (the 1973-78 cohort) and for women in the 1946-51 cohort to become more likely to be overdue for a Pap test after their 50s. Other socio-demographic factors also affect the probability women will be screened, with lower rates of screening among unmarried women, those with high school education only, less than full-time employment, no private health insurance and those who have not had children. Women in the 1973-78 cohort living in regional areas were less likely to be screened than women in urban or remote areas. There were no area differences for the 1946-51 cohort.

The probability that women in the 1946-51 cohort had a mammogram within two years increased with each survey and as more women reached 50 years, the age promoted as when screening should start. There were few differences in screening by area, except women in remote areas were the most likely to be screened. Other sociodemographic factors associated with higher probability of having a mammogram included being married and having private insurance. Women with three or more children and those with higher education were less likely to be screened.

Younger women and women in remote areas reported more difficulty accessing screening tests. However self-reported screening rates which suggest that women in remote areas are more likely to be screened in-spite of these access difficulties.

5. Out of pocket costs for medical services by geographic location

5.1. Introduction

Access to bulk billed medical services has been an important mechanism for improving equity in access to health care for people in Australia. In 2003, Young and Dobson (2003) published data that demonstrated a steady decline in bulk-billing for general practice consultations for ALSWH participants living in rural areas between 1995 and 2001, and that use of bulk billing was substantially lower in rural areas than in urban areas. After adjusting for age, health and socioeconomic factors, women living in urban areas were more than twice as likely to have all their consultations bulk-billed as women living in rural areas (see Figure 5-1).

Since that time, there have been substantial changes to Medicare to encourage bulk billing, particularly in remote areas.

During 2004 Medicare introduced new item numbers which allowed medical practitioners (mainly GPs) to claim an additional Medicare rebate for bulk billed services provided to Commonwealth concession card holders or children aged under 16 years. Under these arrangements, practitioners could claim an extra \$5.00 (now \$5.75, effective January 2011) for concession card holders and children, and \$7.50 (now \$8.75, effective January 2011) for services provided to eligible patients in areas 3-7 in the Rural Remote Metropolitan Areas (RRMA) classification, in any one of 29 eligible metropolitan areas where there is a shortage of GPs, or where bulk billing rates were below the national average, or anywhere in Tasmania (Medicare Australia 2005a; Medicare Australia 2005b).

In this section of the report, we explore whether these new Medicare incentives have had an impact on bulk billing over recent years, and particularly whether they have reduced the inequity in access to bulk billing in regional and remote areas. To do this, we analysed Medicare data on out-of-pocket costs for GP services for the years 2002-2008 for women in the three ALSWH cohorts who consented to access to Medicare data and who responded to Survey 5 (conducted in 2007 for the 1946-51 cohort then aged 56-61 years, in 2008 for the 1921-26 cohort, then aged 82-87, and in 2009 for the 1973-78 cohort, then aged 31-36).

All claims for services (including GP consultations) that were processed by Medicare Australia for consenting women for the period 2002-2008 were extracted by Medicare and forwarded to us for analysis. The unit records included the woman's identification number for the study, postcode, date of service, type of billing, charge and Medicare rebate for each service provided. GP consultations were defined as services with item numbers 1-98, 601, 602, 697 or 698 in the Medicare Benefits Schedule. For these analyses, Medicare data were available for 4329 women in the 1973-78 cohort, 7095 women in the 1946-51 cohort and 4120 women in the 1921-26 cohort who had consented to the release of Medicare data and responded to Survey 5.

For bulk-billed GP consultations, the out-of-pocket cost was defined as zero. For all other consultations, the cost was calculated as the difference between the amount charged by the provider and the Medicare rebate for the service (which includes the "safety net" payment, where applicable). The mean out-of-pocket cost for each woman for each calendar year was calculated, provided that she had had at least one consultation in that year (women with no claims were not included in these data as they had no visits to the GP subsidised under Medicare and therefore no opportunity to be bulk billed). The mean out-of-pocket cost was categorised as 0, >0 to $\le 5, > 5$ to $\le 10,$ or > 10 per consultation. To allow for the effects of inflation, costs for all years were adjusted to 2008 dollar values using the consumer price index published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) for the June quarter of each year (ABS, 2008).

For each year in the period 2002-2008, the mean out-of-pocket cost per consultation for each woman was summarised by cohort and ARIA+ category



Figure 5-1 Out of pocket costs for GP services since 1995-2001.

Source: Young AF, Dobson AJ. The decline in bulk billing and increase in out-of-pocket costs in general practice consultations in rural Australia, 1995-2001. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2003; 178: 122-126

Out-of-pocket costs for GP services since 2002 are shown in Figure 5-2, Figure 5-3 and Figure 5-4. In 2002, 61% of women in the 1921-26 cohort in city areas had a mean cost of \$0 per consultation, and in 2003 this proportion fell to 55% in city areas and was lower for women in regional and remote areas. From 2005 onwards and across all areas, there was a marked increase in the proportion of women in this cohort with no out of pocket costs for GP consultations. The greatest increases were

observed in remote and very remote areas. The inner regional areas were most disadvantaged in terms of bulk billing, even after the introduction of the bulk billing incentives.

Compared to the 1921-26 cohort, women in the 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohorts, were less likely to have no out-of-pocket costs across all years and all areas and showed less dramatic increases in bulk billing following the introduction of incentives (supplementary figures available). As for older women, 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohort women in inner regional areas were less likely to be bulk billed.



Figure 5-2 Mean out-of pocket cost per general practice consultation per woman per year, 2002-2008, for women born in 1921-26 by area of residence, adjusted to 2008 dollar values.

** Note: General practice consultations were defined as services with item numbers 1-98, 601, 602, 697 or 698 in the Medicare Benefits Schedule



Figure 5-3 Mean out-of pocket cost per general practice consultation per woman per year, 2002-2008, for women born in 1946-51 by area of residence, adjusted to 2008 dollar values.



Figure 5-4 Mean out-of pocket cost per general practice consultation per woman per year, 2002-2008, for women born in 1973-78 by area of residence, adjusted to 2008 dollar values.

Comparisons of the proportions of women with zero out-of-pocket costs in 2008 show that women in the 1921-26 cohort and those in remote areas are most likely to have zero out of pocket costs. For instance, among women in the 1921-26 cohort, 87% of women in remote/very remote areas had no out-of-pocket costs for GP services in 2008, compared with 75% of women in city areas

	1973-78 cohort	19/6-51 cobort	1021-26 cohort
	N= 3129	N=6227	N=4077
City	30%	34%	75%
Inner regional	23%	29%	68%
Outer regional	26%	37%	75%
Remote/very remote	38%	44%	87%

Table 5-1 Proportions of women with zero out of pocket costs for general practitioner services in 2008

5.2. Discussion

Results for recent years demonstrate an overall improvement in access to bulk-billing following introduction of incentives in 2004, although some geographical inequity remains particularly for women in inner regional areas. The results contrast with earlier findings reported by Young and Dobson (2003) showing declining rates of bulk-billing and increasing out-of-pocket costs, particularly in rural areas. The authors expressed concern about the increasing costs for women in the 1921-26 cohort and called for policy changes to enable women in rural and remote areas to have better access to affordable healthcare services. The dramatic increases in bulk billing and reduction in out-of-pocket costs, particularly for older women in remote areas show a reversal of this earlier trend following the policy change.

A strength of this study is that the results are based on a national random sample of women rather than a sample of people attending particular general practices, and so the findings can be more readily generalised to the population. A limitation is that women who consented to record linkage had a higher level of education than women who did not consent to linkage (Young et al., 2001). This socioeconomic bias between consenters and non-consenters may result in an under-estimate of the proportions of women who have all their consultations bulk-billed. However, trends over time showing the increasing use of bulk-billing and decreasing out-of-pocket costs would be unaffected by any socioeconomic bias in the sample of consenters. There were also small differences between consenters and non-consenters to release of Medicare data according to area of residence, but these are not likely to affect the geographical differences demonstrated in our study.

Another limitation is that women were classified according to their residence at Survey 5, which may not reflect their area of residence classification across the entire observation period. However women's residential classifications tend to be quite stable over time, particularly for the older cohort.

While the bulk billing incentives are aimed at areas defined under the RRMA classification, we have analysed our data according to ARIA+ which is now the standard classification of accessibility and remoteness and which is stable over time. Our analysis shows a relative disadvantage for women in inner regional areas (under the ARIA+ classification) which are not necessarily covered under the RRMA-based incentives scheme. The results suggest that the incentives could be further evaluated to assess the potential for reducing inequity for inner regional areas, as well as more remote areas.

5.3. Conclusion

Access to bulk billing has improved in the years following the introduction of Medicare incentives for bulk billing, particularly in remote areas and for older women. Some inequity remains for women in inner regional areas and further evaluation of the scheme is required to reduce this inequity while maintaining the improved access for people in remote areas.

5.4. References:

- Young A, & Dobson A. (2003). The decline in bulk billing and increase in out-of-pocket costs for general practice consultations in rural areas of Australia, 1995-2001. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 178: 122-126.
- Medicare Australia (2005a). Incentive payments to GPs who bulk bill concessional patients and children aged under 16

years.http://www.medicareaustralia.gov.au/provider/incentives/files/incentive_payments_to_GP s_who_bulk_bill_concessional_patients_under_16.pdf (last accessed 25 September 2010)

Medicare Australia (2005b). Annual Report 2004-2005.

http://www.medicareaustralia.gov.au/about/governance/reports/04-05/index.jsp (last accessed 25 September 2010)

- Young AF, Dobson AJ, & Byles JE. (2001). Health services research using linked records: Who consents and what is the gain? *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 25: 417-420.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2008). 6401.0 Consumer Price Index, Australia, Dec 2008 http://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/Ausstats/subscriber.nsf/0/E770454272374338CA25754B0014 CD2C/\$File/64010_dec%202008.pdf (last accessed 25 September 2010).

6. Differences in birth interventions by geographic location

6.1. Introduction

The aims of this study were to investigate differences in birth interventions by geographic location and to determine whether these differences could be explained by other characteristics of the mothers rather than where they live. For example, women living in regional and remote areas tend to have their babies earlier, are less educated and less likely to have private health insurance than women living in major cities.

This analysis includes women in the 1973-78 cohort who had given birth to their first child between 1994 and 2010 and had provided details for those births. Half the births were to women living in major cities and more than half of the women had private health insurance.

6.2. Birth interventions by area of residence

The distribution of birth interventions are shown by area of residence in the following Figures.

Figure 6-1 shows that the percentage of first-time mothers who had experienced prolonged labour was the same across area of residence.



Figure 6-1 Prolonged labour by area of residence.

Figure 6-2 shows the percentage of first-time mothers who had experienced emotional distress was also the same across area of residence.



Figure 6-2 Emotional distress by area of residence

Although length of labour and emotional distress were the same across areas, women living in major cities were more likely to have pain relief than women living in regional and remote areas (Figure 6-3). In addition women living in major cities were more likely to have an epidural injection than other women.



Figure 6-3 Type of pain relief by area of residence

More than half the women living in major cities had an instrumental delivery, with almost 30% having an elective or emergency caesarean (Figure 6-4). In comparison, 21% to 25% of women living outside major cities had a caesarean.



Figure 6-4 Type of delivery by area of residence

Figure 6-5 shows the percentage of first-time mothers who had an episiotomy or a vaginal tear requiring stitches by area of residence. There were no significant differences between these interventions across area.



Figure 6-5 Episiotomy or vaginal tear requiring stitches by area of residence

After adjusting for differences in age, education level and private health insurance, women living outside major cities had lower odds of having an epidural injection. This was statistically significant for women living in remote or very remote areas and women living in inner regional areas. Women living in remote or very remote areas had lower odds of having other pain relief than women living in major cities. Women with private health insurance had 2.5 times the odds of having an epidural than women without private health insurance.

Age was the major explanatory variable for the differences in type of delivery seen across area. Compared with women in their early twenties, women in their late twenties had two to three times the odds of having an instrumental delivery and women in their thirties had two times the odds of having a forceps or suction delivery and three to four times the odds of having a caesarean.

The same pattern of birth interventions was seen across area of residence for the birth of the second child (data not shown), but interventions were less common for all interventions other than elective caesareans. Prolonged labour was experienced by less than 2% of women and emotional distress by 14% to 16%. Almost 30% of women living in major cities had an epidural compared with 20% of women living outside major cities. Non-instrumental deliveries occurred in 68% to 69% of women living in major cities and inner regional areas, and 75% to 77% of women living in outer regional and remote or very remote areas.

6.3. Risk factors for having an epidural or spinal block

Clearly women living in major cities were more likely to have an epidural or spinal block. More detailed analysis of risk factors for having an epidural or spinal block for the birth of the first baby was conducted. Risk factors included sociodemographic factors (age, area of residence, marital status, educational qualifications, employment, hours worked, feeling stressed about money and private health insurance), health behaviours (prior smoking, alcohol consumption and exercise), and other health-related factors (body mass index, height, stress about own health and diagnosis with diabetes, hypertension, depression and anxiety). In unadjusted models, sociodemographic factors that were significantly related to having an epidural were age, area of residence, marital status, educational qualifications, employment, hours worked and private health insurance. Health-related factors included prior alcohol consumption, body mass index, height, diagnosed anxiety and depression.

In adjusted models, age, area of residence, private health insurance, body mass index and height remained significant. Figure 6-6 shows the percentage of first-time mothers who had an epidural or

spinal block by age of the mothers. Almost 60% of first-time mothers aged over 30 had an epidural or spinal block, compared with 37% of first-time mothers aged 15 to 24 years.



Figure 6-6 Epidural or spinal block by age of first-time mother

The percentage of first-time mothers who had an epidural or spinal block is shown by private health insurance in Figure 6-7. Almost 60% of women with private health insurance had an epidural or spinal block compared with 44% of women without private health insurance.





In Figure 6-8, the percentage of women who had an epidural or spinal block is shown by body mass index group. The risk of an epidural increased with increasing body mass index, with two thirds of obese women having an epidural compared with half the women with acceptable weight.



Figure 6-8 Epidural or spinal block by body mass index group

Figure 6-9 shows the percentage of women who had an epidural or spinal block by height. Shorter women were more likely to have an epidural than taller women.



Figure 6-9 Epidural or spinal block by height

In the final adjusted model, the odds of first-time mothers having an epidural or spinal block were higher among women aged 25 or more, those with private health insurance, short women and women who were overweight or obese. The odds of women having an epidural or spinal block were lower outside major cities, and significantly lower for women living in inner and outer regional areas.

6.4. Risk factors for having a caesarean

More first-time mothers living in major cities had emergency or elective caesarean section. Logistic regression models were used to compare risk factors for an emergency caesarean to a vaginal delivery and elective caesarean to a vaginal delivery. The models included the same risk factors used in the epidural models: sociodemographic factors (age, area of residence, marital status, educational qualifications, employment, hours worked, feeling stressed about money and private health insurance), health behaviours (smoking, alcohol consumption and exercise), and other health-related factors (body mass index, height, stress about own health and diagnosis with diabetes, hypertension, depression and anxiety). Two models were fitted for each type of caesarean section; one included having an epidural or spinal block, the other did not.

In unadjusted models for an emergency caesarean, maternal age, hours worked, private health insurance, body mass index, height, diagnosis of diabetes and having an epidural or spinal block were all significant. In both adjusted models, the odds of an emergency caesarean were one and a half to two times higher among first-time mothers who were older, had higher body mass index and shorter height. However the odds of an emergency caesarean were more than 16 times higher among women who had had an epidural or spinal block than those who had not.

Maternal age, area of residence, private health insurance, prior alcohol consumption, body mass index, short stature, diagnosis of diabetes, diagnosis of hypertension, diagnosed depression, diagnosed anxiety and having an epidural or spinal block were all significant in unadjusted models for elective caesarean. In the adjusted models, the odds of an elective caesarean were twice as high in women aged over thirty and in obese women and one and a half times higher in women with diagnosed anxiety. In the adjusted model excluding having an epidural, the odds of an elective caesarean were 1.6 times as high among women with private health insurance, however this was no longer significant once having an epidural was included in the model. The odds of an elective caesarean were more than 12 times higher among women who had had an epidural or spinal block than those who had not.

6.5. Key issues:

- Prolonged labour and emotional distress do not differ across area or residence, but use of pain relief is higher in major cities.
- Pain relief is more commonly administered in the form of an epidural or spinal block in major cities, whereas gas or an injection is more common in inner and outer regional areas.
- Elective and emergency caesareans are more common in major cities. However, once age and private health insurance are taken into account, differences across area are no longer significant.
- Odds of elective caesareans among first-time mothers in their thirties are four times the odds among first-time mothers in their early twenties. If the age of first-time mothers continues to rise, more specialists and hospital beds will be required to cope with the increased rate of elective caesarean operations for the first birth. In addition, having a prior caesarean increases the risk of subsequent caesareans.

7. Climate events and women's health

7.1. Exceptional circumstances and mental health

Much has been written about the potential effects of climate change on health, however empirical evidence on the impact of climate change on health is scarce. One reason for the scarcity of evidence is the difficulty in measuring climate change. It is generally accepted that climate change will result in a worse climate and more prolonged adverse climate conditions. Hence this project used a declaration of Exceptional Circumstances (EC) as a proxy indicator of climate change. The Australian Government may declare an EC area if there is a one in 20-25 year event such as drought, flood or fire that results in a severe downturn in farm or farm-related income. People living in EC areas may apply for financial support and interest rate subsidies.

The aim of this project was to compare the health and well-being of ALSWH participants living in EC and non-EC areas. Based on where women were living at the time of the fourth survey (2004/5) of the 1946-51 cohort, women were classified as living in an EC or non-EC area. This analysis includes 6584 women who were living outside major cities.

Selected characteristics of women living in EC areas are shown in the Figures below. Women living in EC areas were more likely to live in inner regional areas (Figure 7-1).



Figure 7-1 Distribution of women living in Exceptional Circumstances declared areas by ARIA+

Women living in EC areas had slightly more difficulty managing on available income (Figure 7-2).



Figure 7-2 Ability to manage on available income by Exceptional Circumstances

Women living in EC areas had the same levels of social support and perceived control as women living in non-EC areas. Women living in EC areas were less optimistic than their counterparts in non-EC areas (Figure 7-3).



Figure 7-3 Level of optimism for women living in Exceptional Circumstances and non-Exceptional Circumstances area

Women living in EC areas were just as likely to have five or more general practitioner visits in the last year, to report doctor diagnosed physical conditions and to report doctor diagnosed depression or anxiety. Less than one percent of women in EC and non-EC areas had deliberately self-harmed themselves in the past six months.

The self-rated quality of life subscales of the SF-36 (General Health and Mental Health) did not differ for women living in EC and non-EC areas in 2004. Nor were there differences in these scores for the same women in 1996 or for the change in scores between 1996 and 2004. There was a small difference in perceived stress scores in 2004, with women in EC areas showing slightly more stress. The difference in perceived stress disappeared when statistical models were adjusted for demographic factors.

This project found no health deficit associated with living in an EC area. Some limitations of the study may explain these negative findings. Firstly, EC is a coarse measure of adverse climate conditions and may not differentiate very severe climatic events that would be associated with climate change. Secondly, the analysis only included women aged 53 to 58 years and women in this age group may
not only be more resilient than women in other age groups, but also may be more able to cope than men.

Although there was no information about whether women were actually receiving EC assistance, it is possible that the recognition that assistance would be available to people in need may be sufficient to bolster women's health.

7.2. Precipitation and self rated health

This section builds on Section 7.1 by examining changes in the health and well-being of women in relation to long term variations in rainfall. Based on where women lived, data from the first five surveys of the 1946-51 cohort were linked to the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) monthly climate data.

An indicator of dry conditions was calculated for each month based on rainfall in the six months up to and including the current month. The indicator was 1 if rainfall was below a threshold level relative to rainfall over the same six months between 1890 and 2008 (Smith et al., 1992). The indicator was 0 if rainfall was at or above threshold and indicated that dry conditions were not present for the six months culminating in that month. The indicator was used to define dryness, when between one and four consecutive months had an indicator of 1, and drought when five or more consecutive months had an indicator of 1.

The aim of this project was to determine whether drought or dryness resulted in poorer health and well-being. This analysis includes 8609 women who were living outside major cities in 1996.

The presence of drought or dryness across Australia, excluding the Northern Territory and offshore islands, is shown by Survey year in Figure 7-4. There have been considerable fluctuations in dryness and drought over the 12 years, with the worst drought conditions occurring in 1998 and 2007 and extensive dry conditions occurring in 2004. Over half the women were affected by dryness or drought in 1998, 2004 and 2007, whereas in 1996, a quarter of the women were affected by dryness or drought.



Figure 7-4 Distribution of dryness and drought across Australia by Survey year for the 1946-51 cohort.

Selected characteristics of women living in areas affected by dryness or drought in 2007 are shown in the Figures below. Women living in drought areas had no more difficulty managing on available income than women living in areas not affected by drought or dryness (Figure 7-5).



Figure 7-5 Ability to manage on available income by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51 cohort.

Women living in drought areas had the slightly higher levels of social support than women living in areas not affected by dryness or drought (Figure 7-6).



Figure 7-6 Level of social support by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51 cohort.

Women living in dry and drought areas had the slightly higher levels of perceived control than women living in areas not affected by dryness or drought (Figure 7-7).



Figure 7-7. Level of perceived control by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51 cohort.

Levels of optimism were similar for women living in dry and drought areas and women living in areas not affected by dryness or drought (Figure 7-8)



Figure 7-8 Level of optimism by dryness and drought in 2007 for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51 cohort.

Regardless of conditions, around 6% of women felt that life was not worth living and less than 1% of women had deliberately harmed themselves.

The self-rated quality of life subscales of the SF-36 (General Health and Mental Health) did not differ for women living in areas affected by dryness and drought between 1996 and 2007 (Figure 7-9 and Figure 7-10). Nor were there differences in perceived stress scores over the same time.



Figure 7-9 Changes in General Health over time by dryness and drought for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51 cohort.



Figure 7-10 Changes in Mental Health over time by dryness and drought for ALSWH participants in the 1946-51 cohort.

Despite considerable fluctuations in dryness and drought between 1996 and 2007, this project found no effect of dryness or drought on the health of women in the 1946-51 cohort. The measures of General Health and Mental Health are recognised and sensitive measures of health and well-being. It is possible that women in these age groups are sufficiently resilient that they can cope with dryness and drought.

7.3. Soil salinity

Mental health of women in salinity affected areas in south west Western Australia.

Many areas of Australia are currently experiencing environmental degradation and adverse climatic conditions which could be harmful to human health. One particular form of such environmental degradation is soil salinisation (dryland salinity) which is a relatively a slow change caused by the removal of deep rooted perennial native vegetation and its replacement with shallow rooted annual crops. The change results in the rise of the water table which mobilises salt in the soil profile and brings it to the surface. Several regions within Australia currently have substantial areas of land affected by dryland salinity, the largest of which are in the south-west agricultural region of Western Australia (WA).

We conducted a detailed analysis of the quantitative relationship between women's mental health and salinity, as well as two other indicators of environmental degradation and change: land surface temperature (LST) and normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI), a proxy for rainfall. We used data from all three ALSWH cohorts for women living in the affected areas in south-west WA. Location specific environmental measurements were linked to a mental health measure (MCS from the SF-36 health-related quality of life questionnaire) using the latitude and longitude calculated from the women's addresses.

Bayesian linear regression models were developed to assess associations between environmental exposures and mental health scores of women, with education level and ability to manage on income included in models as socioeconomic measurements. To account for spatial autocorrelation in mental health scores, geostatistical random effects were added to multivariable models using a Bayesian framework.

For the 1973-1978 cohort, the only individual-level variable significantly associated with mental health score was ability to manage on income in all models (Table 7-1). Women who reported that it was difficult to manage on their income had poorer mental health (lower MCS) than women who could

manage 'easily' or replied 'not too bad'. None of the environmental variables, soil salinity, LST and NDVI, were significantly associated with MCS.

	1973-1978 cohort: Mental Health Component Score, n=289			
Variable	SALINITY Coefficient (95% CI)	LST Coefficient (95% CI)	NDVI Coefficient (95% CI)	
Environmental Variable	-0.02 (-0.10, 0.05)	-1.09 (-2.41, 0.22)	1.22 (-0.11, 2.56)	
Income Management				
(reference is Difficult)	4 34 (1 57 7 10)*	4 32 (1 55 7 10)*	4 50 (1 74 7 25)*	
Easy	4.33 (0.91, 7.70)*	4.33 (1.00, 7.67)*	4.39 (1.09, 7.80)*	
Education				
(reference is 'University')				
Year 10	-2.33 (-6.42, 1.79)	-2.49 (-6.53, 1.72)	-2.66 (-6.86, 1.45)	
Year 12	1.09 (-2.21, 4.50)	1.13 (-2.29, 4.48)	0.92 (-2.52, 4.37)	
Trade/Diploma	-1.90 (-4.90, 1.09)	-1.84 (-4.92, 1.21)	-1.82 (-4.87, 1.24)	

Table 7-1 Regression models for mental health component scores in the 1973-1978 cohort: coefficients with confidence intervals that do not include zero are statistically significant.

*statistically significant at p<0.05.

The results of the models for the 1946-1951 cohort are summarised in Table 7-2. They are similar to those for the younger cohort: the environmental variables were not significantly associated with mental health scores, women who found it difficult to manage on their income had significantly poorer mental health (lower MCS) compared to women reporting their income management as 'easy' or 'not too bad'.

Table 7-2 Regression	models for	mental I	health	component	scores	in the	1946-1951	cohort:
coefficients with conf	idence interv	als that o	do not i	include zero	are stat	tisticall	y significar	it.

	1946-1951 Cohort : Mental Health Component Score, n=433			
-	SALINITY Coefficient(95% CI)	LST Coefficient (95% CI)	NDVI Coefficient (95% CI)	
Environmental Variable	0.03 (-0.03, 0.08)	-0.51 (-1.42, 0.40)	0.50 (-0.46, 1.43)	
Income Management (reference is 'Difficult') Not too bad	2 55 (0 51 4 63)*	2 34 (0 27 4 43)*	2 35 (0 30 4 45) *	
Easy	3.94 (1.50, 6.40)*	3.72 (1.26, 6.17)*	3.77 (1.34, 6.20)*	
Education (reference is 'Yr 10 or less') Year 12	0.20 (-2.37, 2.81)	0.17 (-2.39, 2.79)	0.15 (-2.49, 2.73)	
Trade University	0.93 (-1.32, 3.10) -0.05 (-3.21, 3.10)	0.88 (-1.37, 3.12) -0.26 (-3.37, 2.88)	0.81 (-1.44, 3.02) -0.26 (-3.40, 2.90)	

*statistically significant at p<0.05.

The mental health of the 1921-1926 cohort was also not significantly associated with any of the environmental variables (Table 7-3). Similarly to the models for the other two cohorts, individual level income management was the only statistically significant factor, where women who found it difficult to manage on their income had poorer mental health outcomes.

	1921-1926 Cohort : Mental Health Component Score, n=157			
	SALINITY Coefficient (95% CI)	LST Coefficient (95% CI)	NDVI Coefficient (95% CI)	
Environmental Variable	-0.03 (-0.12, 0.06)	-0.14 (-1.81, 1.44)	0.02 (-1.58, 1.68)	
Income Management (reference is 'Difficult') Not too bad Easy	4.37 (0.53, 8.22)* 6.56 (2.63, 10.49)*	4.26 (0.39, 8.08)* 6.48 (2.53, 10.51)*	4.41 (0.46, 8.27)* 6.65 (2.59, 10.70)*	
Education (reference is 'Yr 10 or less') Year 12 Trade University	-0.97 (-5.36, 3.51) -0.30 (-4.88, 4.37) 3.58 (-2.53, 9.62)	-0.93 (-5.45, 3.58) -0.46 (-5.10, 4.13) 3.66 (-2.57, 9.86)	-0.97 (-5.36, 3.53) -0.60 (-5.22, 4.14) 3.72 (-2.25, 9.68)	

Table 7-3 Regression models for mental health component scores in the 1921-1926 cohort: coefficients with confidence intervals that do not include zero are statistically significant.

*statistically significant at p<0.05.

In summary we did not find any association between dryland salinity, land surface temperature (LST), normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI), a proxy for rainfall, and mental health scores of women in south-west Western Australia. Area level measurements of dryland salinity may be poorly estimated and therefore not as useful for individual health outcome analyses, however the more readily available and variable environmental measures such as LST and NDVI should be investigated further. Any effect of soil salinisation on human health may be difficult to determine independently of socioeconomic factors. An alternative interpretation is that this study provides evidence that economic assistance and other adaptation responses to adverse environmental conditions, may mitigate the impacts of environmental degradation and climate change on human health in Australia.

7.4. Qualitative experience of drought

The deleterious impacts of drought can be seen in farming and rural communities, where life and the health of the land are highly interconnected. For example, income, career, family and social aspects of rural life are clearly tied to agricultural productivity, which is adversely affected by drought. Past research suggests that financial hardship, changes in family responsibility, and physical stress all occur in the context of drought (Anderson, 2007; Sartore, 2007; Bryant & Pini, 2009; McMichael, 2009). However, the results reported in Section 7.1 - Section 7.3 suggest that health might not be associated with living in areas affected by drought and drying.

The current investigation aimed to examine the lived experience of drought for Australian women over time by analysing qualitative data provided by the ALSWH 1946-51 and 1921-26 cohorts. The ALSWH 1946-51 cohort qualitative data set includes over 13 700 comments spanning the last fifteen years and the 1921-26 cohort includes over 18,400 comments. These texts are unstructured responses to the final survey question, which asks, "Have we missed anything?"

The comments of 78 women in the 1946-51 cohort and 20 women in the 1921-26 cohort who wrote about drought were included in this narrative analysis. The main themes that arose from the data are described below.

7.4.1. Drought as a burden

Many of the women in this study wrote clearly about their struggle with the drought and the impact it was having on their family and community.

"We're in the drought area. My husband missed out and we didn't get any assistance [drought assistance from government], because I am working, if I will not work I can't help my kids at uni...that's the main reason for my depression alone" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"We are a farming family so things change from day to day. So we have many ups and downs. Drought has been our biggest burden and will take a lot of years to get on top of again" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"This area has been severely drought affected for some years. There is considerable worry in most people in the district" (1921-269 cohort participant).

"The current drought is affecting all farming families. So far we are coping well, but if it doesn't rain soon the effects on the whole family will be severe. I don't think anyone understands what's happening out here" (1946-51 cohort participant).

The drought was viewed as an external force to be reckoned with and one that required hard work. The women often wrote about their stresses resulting from over work or increased responsibility.

"I still work on our farm as husband and son have paid work off farm because of the five year drought in this area, no water, rain, work, no crops, no income and more money goes out, you can have what's left – the debt!" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"...only see my house to fall into bed at night after long days mustering or feeding drought stock" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"We are in drought therefore the load is far greater physically" (1946-51 cohort participant).

Living with drought was found to place great financial pressure on women and their families. Many women began employment off-farm to supplement farm income; often this meant travelling kilometres from the family home. The impact of the drought on finances is a complicated situation.

"Endured a debilitating drought which has almost ruined me financially" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"The long drought has caused a decrease in income" (1921-26 cohort participant).

"We have had 3 years of drought and have had no income this year" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"I still have to shoulder a lot of responsibility to keep giving us a living after struggling through eight years of drought" (1921-269 cohort participant).

"Drought has almost crippled us, this is why I have gone back to some part time work, as a matter of fact, I had to" (1946-51 cohort participant).

7.4.2. Ageing in drought

The long lasting nature of drought, apparent from the above comments, led to the observation by many women that they experienced the effects of ageing (eg menopause) in the context of drought. Drought was found to impact on women's ability to plan for the impacts of ageing, retirement, employment, or their physical and mental health needs. The women wrote about how living in drought had impacted their ability to age and retire in the ways they once thought they would. For some

women, the drought has meant selling the farm. Drought was found to curtail women's freedom to make financial decisions for the future. These narratives are significant in respect to healthy ageing.

"...when the drought breaks, then I will retire" (1921-26 cohort participant).

"Sometimes I feel trapped by this situation and know I must keep working" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"Own our own farm and with the drought lasting so long, retirement is looking a long way down the *track*" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"The last 3 years have been the most trying times I can remember in 51 years, drought and poor prices...too old to have paid employment" (1921-26 cohort participant).

"It is depressing to be sinking into debt at this age and see my husband work to no avail" (1946-51 cohort participant).

7.4.3. Resilience during drought

In the face of this adversity and uncertainty, however, women also reported undertaking proactive and inventive approaches to enable them to manage their health, wellbeing, families and other responsibilities. These adaptive strategies constitute the theme of resilience. Many women may have wished for times when life on the farm was easier; however, their continued attempts to ease their situation were overtly clear.

"Thinking positive...to not let the drought situation take over" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"I must stay well to cope [with drought]" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"Worst drought and times are very very bad- but so far so good. Hoping for rain very soon. My motto is when times are tough the tough keep going (I hope and pray)" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"The drought is a worry, but is out of man's control, so have a good laugh" (1946-51 cohort participant).

"5 years of drought and still not out of the woods yet, and now a collapse of the cattle market; but we are still here" (1921-26 cohort participant).

7.4.4. Conclusion

The qualitative comments presented here highlight the varied experiences of drought. Despite the obvious adverse impacts of drought on income, future planning, and wellbeing the women in this investigation also demonstrated adaptive capacities that helped them to cope with drought. It is possible that this type of resilience and adaptability assists in buffering adverse impacts of drought on physical or mental health. Future research is needed to investigate these factors further, at the population level. In particular, it will be important to identify those aspects of government policy, such as exceptional circumstances assistance, that might build resilience at the personal and community levels to offset the stress of climate events.

Those that are most affected by drought are those who also contribute to Australia's food production. Providing the appropriate services and support mechanisms for people living with drought is an essential element of responses to drought and climate change. Examining those strategies that have already worked for people, as demonstrated in the current research, can help to inform service development.

7.5. References

- Anderson, D. (2007). Drought, Endurance and 'The way things were': The lived experience of climate and climate change in the Mallee. *Australian Humanities Review* (45):67-81.
- Bryant, L. & Pini, B. (2009). Gender, Class and Rurality: Australian Case Studies. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 25: 48-57.
- McMichael, A. (2009). Climate Change in Australia: Risk to Human Wellbeing and Health, Nautilus Institute Australia (in partnership with RMIT University).

Sartore (2007). Drought and its effect on mental health. Australian Family Physician 36(12): 990-993.

Smith D, Hutchinson M, McArthur R. Climatic and Agricultural Drought: Payments and Policy. Canberra, ACT: Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies, Australian National University. 1992

8. Social cohesion

8.1. Neighbourhood

There is an extensive literature that ascribes protective benefits for both morbidity and mortality to the presence of strong social support. Both the empirical literature and anecdotal evidence suggest that rural communities are more socially cohesive than metropolitan communities, with rural communities providing strong social support networks that are protective for their members' physical and psychological well-being. Social support can be provided and received at both an individual and a community level and community physical or social characteristics may exert their own effects on individual health and behaviour in the same way that individual level characteristics of social support can exert powerful effects on health and well being. Feeling part of a neighbourhood and being safe within that neighbourhood both contribute to social engagement and enhanced well-being. Because women from the 1946-51 birth cohort are the least likely to have changed residence in the previous three years (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008), data from this cohort were used to explore perceptions of neighbourhood, an important aspect of social cohesion. Questions about neighbourhood were asked at Survey 3, when women were aged 47 to 52 years. Neighbourhood data were available for 11,221 women.

8.1.1. Neighbourhood connection

Figure 8-1 shows the differences in neighbourhood connection scores of women in each geographic location. A higher neighbourhood connection score reflects greater levels of interaction with neighbours, involvement in local issues, perceptions of commonality with neighbours, and helpfulness of people in the neighbourhood. There was a downward trend in neighbourhood connection scores from remote/very remote areas, outer regional areas, inner regional areas, with women living in major cities having the lowest neighbourhood connection scores. Women living in remote/very remote areas had significantly higher neighbourhood connection scores than did women living in major cities.



Figure 8-1 Mean neighbourhood connection scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location

8.1.2. Neighbourhood safety

Neighbourhood safety was measured by asking the women if they believed that it was safe for children to walk around the neighbourhood during the day, and if it was generally safe to walk at night in the neighbourhood. Figure 8-2 shows the differences in neighbourhood safety scores of women in each geographic location. Women living in remote/very remote areas had significantly higher neighbourhood safety scores than did women living in major cities. There was a downward trend in neighbourhood safety scores from remote/very remote areas, outer regional areas, inner regional areas, with women living in major cities having the lowest neighbourhood safety scores.



Figure 8-2 Mean neighbourhood safety scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location

8.1.3. Neighbourhood attachment and trust

Figure 8-3 describes the differences in neighbourhood attachment and trust scores of women in each geographic location. A higher neighbourhood attachment and trust score reflects liking and wanting to stay in the neighbourhood, feeling respected, and trusting neighbours to look out for property. Women living in inner regional areas had significantly higher neighbourhood attachment and trust scores than did women living in major cities.



Figure 8-3 Mean neighbourhood attachment & trust scores and 95% confidence intervals location

8.1.4. Social support

In addition to the community level support that was measured with perceptions of neighbourhood, we also asked the women about personal social support, that is the availability of someone to offer companionship and emotional and instrumental support. Figure 8-4 shows levels of social support among women in each geographic location. Most women reported having access to social support "all of the time". The patterns of access to social support were similar in each geographic area.



Figure 8-4 Proportion of women reporting level of social support in each geographic location

8.1.5. Life satisfaction

Figure 8-5 shows the differences in life satisfaction of women in each geographic location. The score reflects how satisfied women are in relation to work, career, family relationships, study, friendships, partner/closest personal relationship, and social activities. Higher scores indicate greater satisfaction in life. Women living in remote/very remote areas had significantly higher life satisfaction scores than women living in major cities.



Figure 8-5 Mean life satisfaction scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location

8.1.6. Stress

Figure 8-6 shows the perceived stress levels of women in each geographic location. Stress levels relate to how stressed women reported being in relation to their own and family member health, work, living arrangements, money, and relationships. Most women reported being "somewhat stressed", with significantly fewer women reporting being "very stressed" and small numbers of women reporting being "not at all stressed". This pattern was similar in each geographic area.



Figure 8-6 Proportion of women reporting levels of stress in each geographic location

8.1.7. Perceived control

Figure 8-7 shows differences in the amount of control women perceive they generally have (eg. what happens in most situations), and the extent to which they feel they are able to effect change. Higher scores indicate perceptions of greater control.



Figure 8-7 Mean perceived life control scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location

8.1.8. Optimism

Figure 8-8 shows differences in optimism scores of women across geographic areas. The optimism score reflects general expectations of positive or negative things happening to them.



Figure 8-8 Mean optimism scores and 95% confidence intervals in each geographic location

8.1.9. References

Australian Bureau of Statistics (2008) Residential and Workplace Mobility, and Implications for Travel: NSW and Victoria, Canberra.

8.2. Cohesion/satisfaction

8.2.1. Introduction

It has been argued that rural residency may be a proxy indicator of a variety of health determinants including socio-economic disadvantage, high-risk occupation, poor service access and exposure to unfavourable environmental events (Smith, Humphreys & Wilson, 2008). For these reasons the health of rural residents should be poorer than residents in metropolitan communities. It may be that social support lessens or mediates the effects of rurality-based socio-economic disadvantage on health, however, research on rural populations is sparse, and the direction of these relationships is currently unclear.

We undertook a series of face to face interviews in 2010 with 13 rural women participants and 10 urban participants in the 1921-26 cohort and explored the role of social support on their well-being. An analysis of the women's comments revealed seven major areas or themes describing the role of social support in their lives. These themes are described below and illustrated with comments from the women.

The first theme concerned access to social networks and how this can be difficult for older women, especially because of driving and mobility limitations imposed by increasing age or the distances involved in rural areas. Interview comments reflecting this included:

...the lady in the other unit, she said she'd take me. She's been pretty good to me, that other lady over there. Then the dog had bitten her and she was in hospital, and I used to ride the scooter up to hospital every day.

She had someone that comes once a month that takes her out for three hours... I said look come over here next time. I said I'll give you a cup of tea and something to eat or we can go to the pub and have lunch or whatever.

But at the same time I have been isolated,.... well if can't drive that's a problem.

The next theme reflected how life on a country property can be difficult for women, particularly during the years they are raising their children. Many of the women in our study commented on the loneliness that they experienced when they were younger, as these woman describe:

After we lost (our baby) I just went to pieces for a while. The doctor was really good, and again mum and dad were living just down the road. I always said I don't know how I would have ever pulled through except for them.

I used to sit on the verandah at 6 o'clock at night and see the tractor going around and round, and think, what am I doing here?

It was lonely because see I only had brothers and we were out in the prickly pear country. I think there might have been one woman about 20 miles away somewhere.

Another theme focussed on the changes that occur as the women's children became older, and the women were free to take up off-farm pursuits, such as joining country women's groups. The following quotations describe how some women enjoyed these activities

I tell you, I really enjoyed those 10 years (as a group member) because on the farm you'd be by yourself all day.

We were in the CWA choir. And we had a ton of fun.

In another theme, a number of women commented that establishing and maintaining social networks was easier for women than for men:

My opinion about life is that women can go out and get a lot of interesting things to do where a lot of men can't.

He didn't enjoy that either because he couldn't assimilate with people a lot. ... all he knew was farming. He'd have to be talking to another farmer to understand it all.

....he wouldn't join a club, the seniors' club.

The next theme identified how in rural areas, neighbours are often the most frequently utilised sources of social support:

My advice to my daughter when she got married, I said, go to your next door neighbour and say can you tell me how to do this? People like to tell you things. They'll be a friend for life.

Our neighbours at the farm, well, we've been neighbours for 60-odd years, and we're still in contact with each other.

I've got friends here. People who are friendly to me, will help me if I need help. Friends and neighbours around the same age.

A further theme highlighted the particular demands of rural life and how they interfere with the ability to socialise. For many women, younger as well as older, off-farm income is necessary for survival, as these quotes illustrate:

Say a nurse might work five days a week – she might work more – but when she comes home, she's got to catch up. I know women out there that have subsidised the farm for years.

....they subsidise the financial part of the farm. And I know some that have worked for years.

Finally, the women identified the issue of increasing age and how it may limit the ability of older women to stay in their homes. They highlighted the relatively frequent occurrence for rural women to have to relocate to a town or even to a larger city where they could be closer to family members.

What I notice, I go to the shopping centre and I know nobody. And you walk along the street and there's nobody who says, G'day.

Nobody wants to leave. Now these friends that I've known ever since I've been here they're getting to the stage where they shouldn't be living here anymore.

We're all getting into the same situation health wise. I've got a couple of younger ones (friends) who I'm sure would help out in emergencies. I mean I've lost my very best friend from over the road, she died of cancer. It's nearly three years ago but it feels like yesterday because we were friends for 20 odd years.

The findings from this study emphasise the strength and importance of social support in rural areas and provide context for the quantitative data in Section 8.1. The following section describes a particular aspect, driving, that can affect social isolation in rural areas.

8.2.2. References

- Letvak, S. (2002). The importance of social support for rural mental health. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 23,* 249-261.
- Smith, K.B., Humphreys, J.S. & Wilson, M.G. (2008). Addressing the health disadvantage of rural populations: How does epidemiological evidence inform rural health policies and research? *Australian Journal of Rural Health, 16,* 56-66.
- Adams, M.H., Bowden, A.G., Humphrey, D.S. & McAdams, L.B. (2000). Social support and health promotion lifestyles of rural women. *Online Journal of Rural Nursing and Health Care 1,* Accessed at: http://www.rno.org/journals.
- Gamarra, C.J., Paz, E.P. & Griep, R.H. (2009). Social support and cervical and breast cancer screening in Argentinean women from a rural population. *Public Health Nursing, 26,* 269-276.
- Baxter, J., Shetterly, S.M., Eby, C., Mason, L., Cortese, C.F. & Hamman, R.F. (1998). Social network factors associated with perceived quality of life: The San Luis Valley health and aging study. *Journal of Aging and Health, 10,* 287-310.
- Chiu. H., Chen, C., Huang, C. & Mau, L. (2005). Depressive symptoms, chronic medical conditions and functional status: A comparison of urban and rural elders in Taiwan. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 20,* 635-644.

8.3. Driving

8.3.1. Introduction

The ability to drive is important for older peoples' mobility and social participation and may be the only available means of transport and autonomy, especially for older people in rural areas (Murray, 1997). Driving may also have another important social role for older women in allowing them to provide care for others. However there are also many concerns with people's capacity and safety to keep driving in old age (Dickerson, 2007). Older drivers have been shown to have high prevalence of conditions such as vision and hearing impairment and musculoskeletal problems that can hinder driving abilities (Anstey et al., 2006). Other chronic health conditions such as heart disease, diabetes and stroke have also been associated with reduced driving ability (Dobbs & Carr, 2005) and drivers who have cognitive impairment or dementia have been shown to have an increased risk of car accidents (Anstey et al., 2006). Further, many drugs that are commonly used by older people (including antihypertensives, ophthalmic agents, and analgesics) can have adverse effects on driving skills and responses (Dobbs & Carr, 2005). Older drivers also have a proportionally higher risk of accidents per kilometer driven when compared with younger age groups, although overall they account for a small proportion of motor vehicle accidents (Tefft, 2008).

Many older drivers voluntarily reduce their driving, avoid driving at night or in rain, or cease driving altogether (D'Onorfio et al., 2008), or they may be forced to give up their driving licence following an assessment of their fitness to drive. However, many older adults are very unwilling to make the decision to stop driving, and regret the decision once it is made (Johnson, 2008).

Among the older ALWH cohort, driving is the main form of transport, especially for women in rural and remote areas (Byles et al., 2007). In this section of the report we describe trends in the proportion of older women who drive themselves as their main means of transport, and factors associated with giving up driving – particularly for women in regional and remote areas who may have fewer transport options, and for women who have a caregiving responsibility.

8.3.2. Main means of transport

We first asked about main means of transport at Survey 3 when the women were aged 76-81 years. At that time, 55% of the women were driving themselves as their main means of transport. Three years later, at Survey 4, 86% of these women were still driving themselves, and 73% were still driving themselves at Survey 5 (when they were aged 82-87 years). After accounting for other factors that affect driving (see below), women were 20% less likely to be driving at Survey 4 compared to Survey 3 and 38% less likely to be driving at Survey 5. The majority of women who did not drive themselves relied on another driver for their main means of transport with very few women using taxis or other public transport.

There was a strong and statistically significant association between main means of transport and area of residence at all surveys. At each survey there was a decline in the proportions of women who were driving themselves as the main means of transport in all areas, an increase in the proportion driven by someone else, a slight increase in the use of taxis, and a reduction in the use of other forms of transport (see Figure 8-9).



Figure 8-9 Main means of transport for women in major cities, regional, and remote areas at Survey 3 (N= 7966), Survey 4 (N=6197) and Survey 5 (N=4772)

8.3.3. Factors associated with continuing to drive

On longitudinal analyses a number of factors were associated with driving.

The effect of area was such that, after accounting for time and compared to major cities, the odds of driving were 52% higher in inner regional areas, 110% higher in outer regional, and 117% higher in remote areas. Women were less likely to continue driving if they reported diabetes, stroke, vision problems, and need for help with daily tasks. Higher SF-36 Physical Function scores were associated with continuing to drive.

Compared to women with no caring role, women who cared for someone who lived with them were 32% more likely to drive, and women who cared for someone who lived elsewhere were 69% more likely to drive. Likewise, women who were single, divorced or widowed were 38% more likely to drive than married women, and women with higher levels of education were more likely to drive than those who did not complete school.

Factor	Odds Ratios (95% Confidence Intervals)		
Intercept	0.31	(0.27-0.36)	
Time			
Survey 3 (ref)	1		
Survey 4	0.81	(0.78-0.84)	
Survey 5	0.66	(0.63-0.70)	
ARIA classification			
Major City (ref)	1		
Inner regional	1.59	(1.45-1.75)	
Outer regional	2.26	(2.00-2.55)	
Remote	2.17	(1.57-2.99)	
Health conditions*			
Hypertension	NS		
Diabetes	0.80	(0.72-0.89)	
Stroke	0.66	(0.56-0.78)	
Vision problems*	0.72	(0.67-0.78)	
SF-36 Physical Function**	1.01	(1.01-1.01)	
Need for help with daily tasks*	0.50	(0.45-0.55)	
Caregiving for someone who:*			
lives elsewhere	1.73	(1.61-1.85)	
lives with you	1.47	(1.35-1.59)	
Marital Status Married/Defacto (ref)	1		
Single	1.40	(1.30-1.51)	
Education			
No Qualifications (ref)	1		
School/intermediate certificate	1.50	(1.35-1.67)	
Higher school/leaving certificate	1.74	(1.51-2.01)	
Trade	1.33	(1.04-1.69)	
College or University	2.56	(2.22-2.95)	

Table 8-1 Factors affecting odds of driving, over time and across areas

*Reference level is not having condition

** Continuous variable

8.3.4. Discussion

This study provides a significant amount of information about older women drivers across urban regional and remote areas. The majority of women aged 76-81 years (at Survey 3) who reported driving as their main means of transport were still driving themselves when re-surveyed three and six years later. The study suggests that a majority of older women will maintain driving while ever their health will allow it, and particularly if they are living in regional or remote areas, not married, or if they have caring responsibilities. Women were less likely to drive if they reported conditions such as diabetes and stroke and if they had physical limitations or poor vision. This association with vision has been reported in other research (eg. Anstey et al., 2006; Ross et al., 2009) and it is estimated that vision accounts for 95% of driving capability (Laux & Brelsford, 1990). Women themselves also highlight the importance of good vision for maintaining driving (Byles et al., 2007; Ragland et al., 2004).

In general, women are less likely to drive at older ages than men are. In one study of people aged 65 and over, men were 5.7 times more likely to drive than women and were more likely to drive with significant physical, sensory or cognitive disability (Ross et al., 2009). However, despite their lower probability of driving and therefore their lower overall crash rate (Bayam et al., 2005), older women have been found to be disproportionately represented in fatal accidents occurring in low traffic and low risk conditions (Baker et al., 2003). These findings suggest that even though women are more likely to drive in regional and remote areas than in urban areas, the quieter traffic conditions may not protect them from risk or hazard. Indeed in one study, rural older drivers were less likely to adhere to stop signs than urban drivers (Keay et al., 2009) suggesting rural drivers may take more risks (Rakauskas et al., 2009).

However, women in regional and remote areas may have few alternatives to driving. In this study, women were unlikely to use public transport alternatives, even in urban areas. For these older women, driving is essential to their social participation, and to access health care and other services, particularly if they do not have a husband or someone else who can drive for them (Johnson, 2008; Byles et al., 2009). Women who had caring responsibilities were particularly likely to be driving at older ages. The ability of these women to drive to get around is important therefore, not only for their own sakes, but in allowing them to contribute to the care of other possibly frail older people.

The issue of older women drivers is therefore a complex consideration of not only fitness to drive but also the social needs and benefits of their driving, and the availability of acceptable alternatives (Dickerson et al., 2007). As Oxley et al., (2010) note, "responses to the older driver problem should be multi-scalar", and should include vehicle and road design, alternative transport options and supportive infrastructures, effective licensing systems, and driver education/training tools.

This study is limited in that it relies on self-reported driving and does not have data on licensing, driving behaviour or accident history. It is probable that the women who continued driving had altered their driving behaviour as they aged in terms of the distances travelled and the conditions they drive under. The study is also unable to report on the driving status of those women who failed to respond to the surveys and who may have been more frail.

These analyses show that older women in more rural and remote areas, women who are no longer married, and women who have caring responsibilities are more likely to drive than urban women. For these women, driving remains an important factor for their ongoing participation and contribution to society. There is a need for greater understanding of how we can respond to the changing needs of older women who need to continue to drive despite age and physical limitations, as well as considering the implications for road safety.

8.3.5. References

- Anstey KJ, Windsor TD, Luszcz MA & Andrews GR. (2006). Predicting driving cessation over 5 years in older adults: psychological well-being and cognitive competence are stronger predictors than physical health. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 54(1):121-6.
- Baker TK, Falb T, Voas R, Lacey S. (2003). Older women drivers: fatal crashes in good conditions. *Journal of Safety Research*, 34(4):399-406.
- Bayam E, Liebowotz J, & Agresti W. (2005). Older drivers and accidents: A meta-analysis and data mining application on traffic accident data. *Expert Systems and Applications*, 29(3):598-629.
- Byles J, Gibson R, Parkinson L, & Dobson A. (2009). Driving myself: Main forms of transport among older women in rural and remote Australia. *Intouch*, Outback
- Byles J, Gibson R, Parkinson L, & Dobson A. (2007). Driving myself: Main forms of transport among older women in rural and remote Australia. http://9thnrhc.ruralhealth.org.au/program/docs/papers/byles_A5.pdf

- Dickerson AE, Molnar LJ, Eby DW, Adler G, Bedard M, Berg-Weger M, Classen S, Foley D, Horowitz A, Kerschner H, Page O, Silverstein NM, Staplin L, & Trujillo L. (2007). Transportation and Ageing: A research agenda for advancing safe mobility. *The Gerontologist*, 47(5):578-590
- Dobbs BM & Carr D.(2005). Screening and assessment of medically at-risk drivers. *Public Policy and Ageing Report*, 15:6-12.
- Donorfio LKM, D'Ambrosio LA, Coughlin JF, & Mohyde M. (2008). Health, safety, self-regulation and the older driver: It's not just a matter of age. *Journal of Safety Research*, 39: 555-561.
- Johnson JE. (2008). Informal Social Support Networks and the Maintenance of Voluntary Driving Cessation By Older Rural Women. *Journal of Community Health Nursing*, 25:65–72.
- Keay L, Jasti S, Munoz B, Turano KA, Munro CA, Duncan DD, Baldwin K, Bandeen-Roche KJ, Gower EW, & West SK. (2009). Urban and rural differences in older driver's failure to stop at stop signs. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 41:995-1000.
- Laux LF & Brelsford J. (1990). Age-related changes in sensory, cognitive, psychomotor and physical functioning and driving performance in drivers aged 40 to 92. Washington, D. C.: AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety.
- Murray SL. (1997). What's happening: Driving and the elderly. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*, 9(3), 133–136.
- Ragland DR, Satariano WA & MacLeod KE. (2004). Reasons given by older people for limitation or avoidance of driving. *The Gerontologist*, 44 (2): 237-244.
- Rakauskas ME, Ward NJ, & Gerberich SG. (2009). Identification of differences between rural and urban safety cultures. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 41: 931–937
- Ross LA, Anstey KJ, Kiely K, Windsor TD, Byles JE, Luszcz MA, & Mitchell P. (2009). Older drivers in Australia: Trends in Driving status and cognitive and visual impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*,57(10); 1868-1873.
- Tefft BC. (2008). Risks older drivers pose to themselves and to other road users. *Journal of Safety Research*, 39:577-582



www.alswh.org.au

