# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

NUMBER

# Type I and II Endometrial Cancers: Have They Different Risk Factors?

Veronica Wendy Setiawan, Hannah P. Yang, Malcolm C. Pike, Susan E. McCann, Herbert Yu, Yong-Bing Xiang, Alicja Wolk, Nicolas Wentzensen, Noel S. Weiss, Penelope M. Webb, Piet A. van den Brandt, Koen van de Vijver, Pamela J. Thompson, The Australian National Endometrial Cancer Study Group, Brian L. Strom, Amanda B. Spurdle, Robert A. Soslow, Xiao-ou Shu, Catherine Schairer, Carlotta Sacerdote, Thomas E. Rohan, Kim Robien, Harvey A. Risch, Fulvio Ricceri, Timothy R. Rebbeck, Radhai Rastogi, Jennifer Prescott, Silvia Polidoro, Yikyung Park, Sara H. Olson, Kirsten B. Moysich, Anthony B. Miller, Marjorie L. McCullough, Rayna K. Matsuno, Anthony M. Magliocco, Galina Lurie, Lingeng Lu, Jolanta Lissowska, Xiaolin Liang, James V. Lacey Jr, Laurence N. Kolonel, Brian E. Henderson, Susan E. Hankinson, Niclas Håkansson, Marc T. Goodman, Mia M. Gaudet, Montserrat Garcia-Closas, Christine M. Friedenreich, Jo L. Freudenheim, Jennifer Doherty, Immaculata De Vivo, Kerry S. Courneya, Linda S. Cook, Chu Chen, James R. Cerhan, Hui Cai, Louise A. Brinton, Leslie Bernstein, Kristin E. Anderson, Hoda Anton-Culver, Leo J. Schouten, and Pamela L. Horn-Ross

A B S T B A C T

Author affiliations appear at the end of this article.

Published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on June 3, 2013.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: V. Wendy Setiawan, PhD, Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1450 Biggy St, Rm 1517G, Los Angeles, CA 90033; e-mail: vsetiawa@usc.edu.

© 2013 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/13/3120w-2607w/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2012.48.2596

Purpose

Endometrial cancers have long been divided into estrogen-dependent type I and the less common clinically aggressive estrogen-independent type II. Little is known about risk factors for type II tumors because most studies lack sufficient cases to study these much less common tumors separately. We examined whether so-called classical endometrial cancer risk factors also influence the risk of type II tumors.

### **Patients and Methods**

Individual-level data from 10 cohort and 14 case-control studies from the Epidemiology of Endometrial Cancer Consortium were pooled. A total of 14,069 endometrial cancer cases and 35,312 controls were included. We classified endometrioid (n = 7,246), adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified (n = 4,830), and adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation (n = 777) as type I tumors and serous (n = 508) and mixed cell (n = 346) as type II tumors.

#### Results

Parity, oral contraceptive use, cigarette smoking, age at menarche, and diabetes were associated with type I and type II tumors to similar extents. Body mass index, however, had a greater effect on type I tumors than on type II tumors: odds ratio (OR) per 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> increase was 1.20 (95% Cl, 1.19 to 1.21) for type I and 1.12 (95% Cl, 1.09 to 1.14) for type II tumors ( $P_{heterogeneity} < .0001$ ). Risk factor patterns for high-grade endometrioid tumors and type II tumors were similar.

#### Conclusion

The results of this pooled analysis suggest that the two endometrial cancer types share many common etiologic factors. The etiology of type II tumors may, therefore, not be completely estrogen independent, as previously believed.

J Clin Oncol 31:2607-2618. © 2013 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

# INTRODUCTION

On the basis of differences in histology and clinical outcomes, endometrial cancers have long been divided into two types.<sup>1-4</sup> Type I tumors comprise the large majority of endometrial cancers, are mostly endometrioid adenocarcinomas, are associated with unopposed estrogen stimulation, and are often preceded by endometrial hyperplasia. Type II tumors are predominantly serous carcinomas and are commonly described

as estrogen independent, arising in atrophic endometrium and deriving from intraepithelial carcinoma, a precancerous lesion. Type II tumors generally are less well differentiated and have poorer prognoses than type I tumors, and they account for a disproportionate number of endometrial cancer deaths (40% of deaths, whereas they only account for 10% to 20% of cases).<sup>5</sup> The disparate genetic alterations found in type I and type II tumors suggest that these subtypes may have distinct etiologies.<sup>1,3,6</sup>

© 2013 by American Society of Clinical Oncology 2607

Many established risk factors for type I endometrial cancers are related to an imbalance between estrogen and progesterone exposures, including obesity and the use of unopposed estrogen therapy. Use of combined oral contraceptives (OCs), which is associated with progesterone-dominant states, reduces the risk of endometrial cancer. Other risk factors include nulliparity, early menarche, and late menopause, whereas smoking is associated with reduced risk. Little is known about risk factors for type II tumors, mainly because most epidemiologic studies<sup>7-12</sup> have lacked enough cases to study these less common tumors separately.

In this study, we combined individual-level data from 24 epidemiologic studies participating in the Epidemiology of Endometrial Cancer Consortium (E2C2)<sup>13</sup> and performed a pooled analysis with 854 type II and 12,853 type I cases and 35,312 controls. The E2C2 is an international consortium established to pool data in an effort to identify endometrial cancer genetic and environmental risk factors that are not addressable in a single study. The large number of cases and controls in E2C2 allowed us to evaluate risk factors for type II tumors as well as the associations for specific histologic subtypes.

# **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

#### **Participating Studies**

Twenty-four studies (10 cohort and 14 case-control) in the E2C2 with available type II cases were included in the pooled analysis (Table 1). Cohort studies were analyzed as nested case-control studies, with up to four controls randomly selected from the risk set (women with intact uteri and without endometrial cancer before the index case diagnosis) for each case based on exact year of birth, date of cohort entry ( $\pm$  6 months), and other criteria as appropriate for each individual study (eg, race/ethnicity, study area). The majority of participants were non-Hispanic white, and the populations were from the United States, Canada, Europe, and Australia. Three studies (Multiethnic Cohort [MEC], Hawaii Endometrial Cancer Study [HAW], and Shanghai Endometrial Cancer Study [SECS]) included mainly or exclusively nonwhite populations from the United States or China. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants as part of the original studies in accordance with the requirements of each study's institutional review board.

#### **Data Collection**

Data, with personal identifiers removed, from individual studies were received at the E2C2 data coordinating center at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center. Each study provided information regarding tumor characteristics, demographic variables (age at diagnosis for cases and at interview or reference date for controls, and race/ethnicity), and risk factors (body weight, height, age at menarche, parity, menopausal hormone use, OC use, smoking history, and history of diabetes). These variables were defined and uniformly recoded in accordance with the E2C2 data dictionary. Risk factor data were obtained from the baseline questionnaire for all cohort studies except one (Nurses' Health Study [NHS]) that used information from follow-up cycles in which index cases were diagnosed. In case-control studies, risk factor data were based on a specific reference date (usually 6 to 12 months before date of diagnosis for cases and date of interview for controls). Body mass index (BMI, in kilograms per square meter) in cohort studies was calculated using selfreported weight and height at baseline, except for Canadian National Breast Screening Study (NBSS), which used direct measurement of weight and height during interview. Weight and height in case-control studies was either ascertained by direct measurement during interview (Alberta, HAW, SECS, Turin, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Case-Control [USC]) or was self-reported as of the reference date (Australian National Endometrial Cancer Study [ANECS], Bay Area Women's Health Study [BAWHS], Connecticut Endometrial Cancer Study [CECS], Estrogen, Diet, Genetics, and Endometrial Cancer [EDGE], Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center [FH-CRC], Polish Endometrial Cancer Study [PECS], Patient Epidemiologic Data System [PEDS], US Endometrial Cancer Study [US], and Women's Insight and Shared Experience [WISE]).

#### Data Availability

Data on age, race/ethnicity, BMI, age at menarche, parity, menopausal hormone use (any type), and OC use were provided by all 24 studies. Data specifically on menopausal estrogen use were not available in five studies (Alberta, Iowa Women's Health Study [IWHS], NBSS, Swedish Mammography Cohort [SMC], and Turin), and data on menopausal estrogen-progestin use were not available in seven studies (Alberta, Breast Cancer Detection Demonstration Project [BCDDP], CECS, IWHS, NBBS, Netherlands Cohort Study [NCLS], and Turin). Duration and recency of estrogen or estrogenprogestin use were not provided by the majority of studies. Thus we were unable to quantify the association of specific types of menopausal hormone use with tumor subtypes. For purposes of analysis, we classified women age  $\geq$  55 years whose menopausal status was not available (FHCRC) as postmenopausal. Smoking history was not available in BAWHS, and information regarding pack-years of smoking was not available in six studies (Alberta, CECS, FHCRC, National Institutes of Health America Association of Retired Persons Diet and Health Study [NIH-AARP], Turin, and WISE). A history of diabetes was not available in five studies (ANECS, BAWHS, NBSS, PEDS, and SMC).

#### Tumor Histology

Only incident cases of endometrial cancer (primary site codes: C54 and C55.9) were included in this analysis. Histology data were obtained either from cancer registry information, pathology report/medical chart review, or slide review (Table 1). Nineteen studies (Alberta, ANECS, BAWHS, BCDDP, CECS, Cancer Prevention Study II [CPS-II], CTS, EDGE, FHCRC, HAW, IWHS, MEC, NBSS, NCLS, NIH-AARP, PEDS, SMC, US, and USC) provided the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O-3) histology codes for each case. Four studies (PECS, SECS, Turin, and WISE) provided summary histologic type. One study, NHS, collapsed endometrioid, adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified (NOS), and mucinous adenocarcinoma into one group. Fourteen studies (ANECS, BCDDP, FHCRC, HAW, IWHS, MEC, NLCS, NIH-AARP, SECS, PECS, PEDS, US, USC, and WISE) provided tumor grade. Seven major tumor subtypes were analyzed separately: endometrioid adenocarcinoma (ICD-O-3 code: 8380, 8381, 8382, 8383; n = 7,246), adenocarcinoma NOS (8140; n = 4,830), adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation (8560, 8570; n = 777), serous/papillary serous (8441, 8460, 8461; n = 508), mixed cell adenocarcinoma (8323; n = 346), clear cell (8310; n = 196), and mucinous adenocarcinoma (8480, 8481, 8482; n = 166). Tumors of other histologies were excluded from the present analysis owing to small numbers of each specific type. We classified endometrioid carcinoma, adenocarcinoma NOS, and adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation (n = 12,853) as type I tumors. We classified serous/papillary serous and mixed cell adenocarcinoma (n = 854) as type II tumors. We also incorporated tumor grade in the endometrioid cancer analysis for studies with available grade information because previous reports have shown that high-grade endometrioid tumors (grade 3+) behave similarly to type II cancers.<sup>14,15</sup>

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

Women were excluded from the analysis for extreme BMI values ( $\leq 15$  or  $\geq 50$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) because of concerns regarding the reliability of these data or for missing data on BMI, parity, age at menarche, OC use, or use of menopausal hormones (n = 3,987). With the exception of the BAWHS, which did not collect data on smoking, women in the other studies who had missing smoking data were excluded from the analyses (n = 797). After these exclusions, 854 type II and 12,853 type I cases and 35,312 controls remained for analysis.

#### Statistical Methods

We created categories for BMI (< 25, 25 to <30, 30 to < 35, 35 to < 40,  $\geq$  40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), age at menarche (< 11, 11 to 12, 13 to 14,  $\geq$  15 years), parity (0, 1, 2, 3,  $\geq$  4), OC use (never, ever), menopausal status (pre-, postmenopausal), menopausal hormone use (never, ever), smoking status (never, past, current, missing [for BAWHS]), pack-years of smoking (never smokers, < 20,  $\geq$  20), and a history of diabetes mellitus (no, yes). The associations between risk factors and tumor subtypes were estimated by odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs using conditional logistic regression stratified jointly by study, age (< 50,

			Table 1. Che	aracteristics* of	the 24 St	udies Par	ticipating in the	Table 1. Characteristics* of the 24 Studies Participating in the Pooled Analysis						
									No. of Cases					
Study	Location	Recruitment Period	Matching Factors	Histology Source†	White Race, %	Mean Age, Years‡	Endometrioid	Adenocarcinoma NOS	Adenocarcinoma With Squamous Differentiation	Serous	Mixed Cell	Clear Cell I	Mucinous	No. of Controls
Cohort studies Breast Cancer Detection Project (BCDDP)	29 US clinics	1979-1980	Birth year, cohort entry, race, clínic	Path	92.6	65.2	48	276	27	10		-	4	1,858
Cancer Prevention Study II (CPS-II) Nutrition Cohort	21 US states	1992	Birth year, cohort entry, race, area	Path/registry	98.7	68.9	205	270	18	30	2	თ	16	2,431
Canadian National Breast Screening Study (NBSS)	Canada	1980-1985	Birth year, cohort entry, race, area	Registry	96.9	59.9	149	396	49	23	~	n	12	2,953
California Teachers Study (CTS)	California	1995-1996	Birth year, cohort entry, race, area	Registry	91.9	64.7	367	173	27	19	23	9	16	2,539
lowa Women's Health Study (IWHS)	lowa	1986	hort entry,	Registry	98.7	71.6	66	316	26	26	2	Q	ო	2,120
Multiethnic Cohort (MEC)	Hawaii, California	1993-1996	Birth year, cohort entry, race, area	Registry	28.1	65.6	235	187	26	29	10	13	9	2,341
Nurses' Health Study (NHS)	11 US states	1976	Birth year, race	Path	94.8	62.4	430§		23	4		0		1,271
NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study (NIH- AARP)	8 US areas	1995-1996	birth year, cohort entry, race, area	Registry	93.9	67.5	809	495	6 6	71	23	19	29	6,831
Netherlands Cohort Study (NLCS)	Netherlands	1986	birth year, cohort entry	Path/registry	100.0	69.69	80	182	43	വ		14	со	766
Swedish Mammography Cohort (SMC)	Sweden	1987-1990	Birth year, cohort entry	Registry	100.0	70.5		293	ო	10		4	-	1,283
All cohort studies Case-control studies					61.0	66.4	2,440	2,588	281	227	61	90	06	24,393
Alberta	Canada	2002-2006	Age (± 5 years)	Path/registry	94.8	58.3	424	15	00	18	15	10	4	1,026
Australian National Endometrial Cancer Study (ANECS)	Australia	2005-2007	Age (5-year group), state	Path	88.6	61.2	861	~	164	49	132	21	ო	703
Bay Area Women's Health Study (BAWHS)	California	1996-1999	Age (5-year group), ethnicity	Registry	90.3	61.8	178	199	10	15		11	20	445
Connecticut Endometrial Cancer Study (CECS)	Connecticut	2004-2009	Age (5-year group)	Path	93.2	61.5	502	22	ى	35	23	თ	ດ	627
				(CC	(continued on following page)	n followin	g page)							

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by University of Queensland on February 9, 2017 from 130.102.082.083 Copyright © 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

									No. of Cases					
Study	Location	Recruitment Period	Matching Factors	Histology Source†	White Race, %	Mean Age, Years‡	Endometrioid	Adenocarcinoma NOS	Adenocarcinoma With Squamous Differentiation	Serous	Mixed Cell	Clear Cell	Mucinous	No. of Controls
Estrogen, Diet, Genetics, and Endometrial Cancer (EDGE)	New Jersey	2001-2005	Age (5-year group)	Registry	90.1	62.8	292	90	σ	26	Q	4	с	464
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (FHCRC)	Washington	1994-2005	Age (5-year group)	Registry	95.5	59.7	463	260	35	35	20	с	Q	847
	Hawaii	1988-1993	Age (± 2.5 years), ethnicity	Path/registry	29.1	62.5	93	192	19	4	м	2	σ	335
Polish Endometrial F Cancer Study (PECS)	Poland	2000-2003	Age (± 5 years), site	Path/slide	100.0	57.2	312		110	10	68	Q	4	1,829
Patient Epidemiologic Data System (PEDS)	New York	1982-1998	Age (± 5 years)	Registry	97.4	62.9	72	327	33	19	ى ا	00	2	504
Shanghai Endometrial Cancer Study (SECS)	China	1997-2004	Age (± 5 years)	Path/slide	0.0	54.6	997	60	7	15	м	00	N	1,205
Turin Case Control I Study (TURIN)	Italy	1998-2008		Path	100.0	6.09	180	12	4	ŋ	ო	10	7	266
US Endometrial Cancer Study (US)	5 US clinics	1987-1990	Age (± 5 years), race, telephone exchange	Path	93.1	58.8	18	224	47	11	<del>~</del>	2	10	303
USC LA case- control (USC)	Los Angeles	1987-1993	Age (± 5 years)	Path	100.0	63.1	88	666	45	٢		-	œ	791
Women's Insight and Shared Experience (WISE)	Philadelphia	1999-2002	Age (± 5 years), race	Path	78.9	61.7	376	168		28	9	~		1,574
All case-control studies					79.7	0.09	4,806	2,242	496	281	285	106	76	10,919
Pooled studies					85.4	63.9	7,246	4,830	777	508	346	196	166	35,312

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

50 to < 55, 55 to < 60, 60 to < 65, 65 to < 70,  $\geq$  70 years), and race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, African American/black, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and other) and adjusted for BMI, age at menarche, parity, OC use, menopausal status, menopausal hormone use, and smoking status. Tests for trend were performed by entering the ordinal values representing categories of BMI, age at menarche, parity, and pack-years of smoking as continuous variables in the models. Differences in ORs between tumor types were tested using case-only logistic regression models. To minimize residual confounding owing to menopausal hormone use, we repeated analyses restricted to postmenopausal women who had never used menopausal hormones. We also evaluated the risk factor associations by selected elements of study design (ie, cohort *v* case-control study and source of histologic data [pathologic review *v* registry-based]). All *P* values were two-sided. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

# RESULTS

Characteristics of endometrial cancer cases, by histologic type, and of controls are shown in Table 2. The majority of women were white (>77% for each group) and postmenopausal (>79% for each group). The mean age at diagnosis was highest among patients with serous tumors and lowest among those diagnosed with endometrioid cancer or adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation. Cases with these seven histologic types all had higher average BMI than controls; among cases, the lowest BMI was observed among patients with serous disease. Compared with controls, cases were less likely to be parous or to have ever smoked.

We examined the association of each risk factor with the seven histologic types (Table 3). All factors were associated with endometrioid tumors and adenocarcinoma NOS in the direction expected based on the results of previous research; that is, increasing BMI and diabetes were positively associated with risk, whereas increasing age at menarche, number of children, use of OCs, smoking, and pack-years of smoking were inversely associated with risk. The ORs for a  $2 \text{ kg/m}^2$ increase in BMI for serous, mixed cell, clear-cell, and mucinous adenocarcinomas (ORs ranged from 1.10 to 1.16) were smaller than those seen for endometrioid adenocarcinoma or the other type I tumors (ORs ranged from 1.20 to 1.21). The associations of age at menarche, parity, OC use, smoking, and diabetes with serous, mixed cell, and mucinous adenocarcinoma were generally similar to those for the endometrioid tumors. Clear-cell tumors, however, were similar only with regard to reduced risk associated with OC use. Unlike for other histologies, increasing age at menarche and number of children were not significantly associated with reduced risk of clear-cell tumors, although numbers were small.

Table 4 shows the associations of endometrial cancer risk factors with risk of type I and type II tumors. Risk factors for both types were similar. The OR per 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> increase in BMI was 1.12 (95% CI, 1.09 to 1.14) for type II tumors, weaker than that for type I tumors (OR = 1.20; 95% CI, 1.19 to 1.21;  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < .0001$ ). Increasing parity, age at menarche, and pack-years of smoking were associated with reduced risk of both type II and type I tumors to a similar degree and with significant trends ( $P_{\text{trend}} \leq .0006$ ). Prior OC use and past and current smoking were inversely associated with both type II and type I tumors as well. A history of diabetes was positively associated with both tumor types (OR = 1.53; 95% CI, 1.19 to 1.95 for type II tumors and OR = 1.27; 95% CI, 1.17 to 1.38 for type I tumors). An analysis restricted to postmenopausal women who never used menopausal hormones yielded similar results (Appendix Table A1, online only).

We further examined risk factor associations for endometrioid tumors by tumor grade (Table 5). Compared with low-grade endometrioid tumors (grade  $\leq 2$ , n = 3,630), risk factor associations for high-grade tumors (grade  $\geq 3$ , n = 519) were different only with respect to BMI, with a stronger association for low-grade tumors (OR per 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> = 1.23; 95% CI, 1.21 to 1.25) than for high-grade tumors (OR = 1.16; 95% CI, 1.12 to 1.20;  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < .0001$ ). Risk factor associations for high-grade endometrioid and type II tumors were not different ( $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} \geq 0.08$ ).

We also examined risk factor associations for type II and type I tumors by study type and source of histologic data (Appendix Table A2, online only). The associations were consistent between casecontrol and cohort studies and between registry-based studies and those with review of pathology reports (or for PECS and SECS, review of pathology slides).

#### DISCUSSION

In this large pooled analysis, we observed that most of the classical endometrial cancer risk factors (ie, obesity, age at menarche, parity, OC use, smoking, and diabetes) were associated with the less common and more clinically aggressive type II tumors (serous and mixed cell). In addition, we observed that the risk factor pattern of high-grade endometrioid tumors and type II tumors were similar and that the risk factors for clear-cell tumors seemed to differ from other histologic types of endometrial cancer.

The first epidemiologic study examining risk factors for specific endometrial cancer histologic subtypes was a case-control study with 26 serous and 328 endometrioid cancer cases.<sup>10</sup> This study found that BMI, menopausal estrogen use, age at menarche, and parity were associated with endometrioid tumors but not with serous tumors. OC use and smoking were associated with a reduced risk of both tumor types. The study also found that the age- and BMI-adjusted serum levels of endogenous estrogen and sex-hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) were significantly different between patients with endometrioid tumors and patients with serous tumors. Although small in size, this study raised the possibility that risk factors for serous tumors might differ from those for endometrioid tumors. Data from this study coupled with other clinicopathologic and molecular data have led to the proposed dualistic model of endometrial carcinogenesis.<sup>1</sup>

Since the initial study, five epidemiologic studies examining risk factors for type II tumors have been reported,<sup>7-9,11,12</sup> with two of these studies focusing on BMI.<sup>8,9</sup> Similar to our findings, the largest study,<sup>8</sup> with 992 type II cases (including papillary, serous, clear cell, and some poorly differentiated carcinomas), found that BMI was associated with type II tumors as well as with type I tumors (including endometrioid and mucinous adenocarcinomas) and that the magnitude of risk was somewhat stronger for type I than type II tumors. However, the lack of control for potential confounders (ie, parity, exogenous hormone use, and smoking) in that study left open the possibility of bias and thus weakened the validity of its finding. The other BMI study<sup>9</sup> had limited statistical power with 70 type II cases, but they also found BMI to be associated with type II tumors.

The classical endometrial cancer risk factors have been generally thought to act via estrogenic mechanisms, either by increasing estrogen exposure or opposing the effects of estrogen.<sup>16</sup> Obesity is associated with higher levels of circulating estrogens in postmenopausal

			Table 2	Table 2. Characteristics of Women in the Pooled Analysis by Case-Control Status and Histology	stics of Wo	men in the	Pooled Ané	tysis by Ca؛	se-Control S	Status and H	Histology					
							Cases	s								
	Endometrioid* $(n = 7,246)$	etrioid* (246)	Adenocarcinoma NOS (n = 4,830)	cinoma S ,830)	Adenocarcinoma With Squamous Differentiation (n = 777)	rcinoma Jamous TTT)	Serous (n = 508)	08)	Mixed Cell (n = 346)	d Cell 346)	Clear Cell (n = 196)	Cell 196)	Mucinous (n = 166)	166)	Controls (n = 35,312)	ols (,312)
Variable	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Age, years																
Mean	9	61.9	Ō	64.1	61	61.8	66	66.5	62.4	4	65	65.6	64.6	9.	9	64.3
SD		9.5		8.4	ω	8.8	00	8.0	0	9.5	00	8.6	00	8.6		9.1
Race																
White	5,629	77.7	4,356	90.2	701	90.2	415	81.7	312	90.2	163	83.2	150	90.4	30,528	86.5
Black	154	2.1	103	2.1	13	1.7	44	8.7	10	2.9	n	4.6	വ	3.0	1,360	3.9
Asian	1,165	16.1	256	5.3	28	3.6	25	4.9	0	2.6	11	5.6	o	5.4	2,270	6.4
Hawaiian/Pacific	01	¢ C	30	α	Ľ	9					-	یں ح			757	r 0
0++0-++		0 0 0	00	0 G			v c	L V	- 1	0	- c	0 r	c	, C	102	. ц о с
		0.0	0/	0.1	00	0. 	24	4.7	0	0.4 0.4	7   7	0.1	7	7	031	C.7
Postmenopausal	5,768	79.6	4,246	87.9	648	83.4	471	92.7	177	90.3	294	85.0	135	81.3	29,513	83.6
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	28.9	6.7	28.1	6.7	29.0	6.9	27.6	6.1	28.5	6.3	27.7	5.8	28.1	6.6	25.7	4.9
Mean																
20	L	.00			, CL	C L T	LOV		010	0.00			001	C C T		c r c
rarous	106,0	82.1	3,80/	8U.I	192G	7°C/	G24	Ø3./	R/7	80.0	601	84.2	130	/0.3	30,713	87.U
Ever used menopausal hormone‡	2,310	40.1	2,069	48.7	253	39.0	159	33.8	97	33.0	54	30.5	79	58.5	12,397	42.0
Ever smoked§	2,583	36.5	1,877	40.5	314	40.9	191	38.7	116	33.5	75	40.5	65	44.5	16,052	46.0
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation. "Includes endometrioid carcinoma, mucinous and adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified for one studh throludes mixed, Hispanic, other, and unknown race/ethnicity. #Among postmenopausal women only. Based on 23 studies with smoking data (Bay Area Women's Health Study did not have smoking data).	mass index; ircinoma, mu ;, other, and women only vomen only	SD, standa ucinous and unknown ra /. ata (Bay Are	rd deviation. adenocarcin ace/ethnicity. aa Women's	oma not oth Health Stud	lerwise spe ly did not h:	not otherwise specified for one study (Nurses' Health Study) ith Study did not have smoking data).	ne study (N g data).	urses' Healt	th Study).							

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by University of Queensland on February 9, 2017 from 130.102.082.083 Copyright © 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Setiawan et al

$ \begin{array}{                                    $	No. of No. of Controls Cases Controls Cases 18,400 2,428 10,986 2,163 4,078 1,319 1,255 753 593 583 35,312 7,246 7,332 1,740 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035	strioid 95% Cl Ca 1,46 to 1.68 1,7 2.35 to 2.80 1,1 4.22 to 5.34 5 5.95 to 7.96 3 1.20 to 1.22 4,1 0.73 to 0.99 3,0 0.58 to 0.78 1	Adenocarcin. <sup>1</sup> . of Adenocarcin. <sup>2</sup> . ses OR* <sup>314</sup> 1.00 <sup>332</sup> 1.31 <sup>332</sup> 1.31 <sup>332</sup> 1.31 <sup>412</sup> 4.05 <sup>342</sup> 7.17 <sup>&lt;</sup> .0001 <sup>830</sup> 1.20 <sup>926</sup> 0.94 <sup>104</sup> 0.90 <sup>515</sup> 0.77 <sup>515</sup> 0.77 <sup>515</sup> 0.77	2 49 66 7 2 2 49 66 7 2 2 5 5 6 7 2 2 5 5 6 7 2 2 5 5 6 7 5 5 5 6 7 5 5 5 6 7 5 5 5 6 7 5 5 5 6 7 5 5 6 7 5 7 5	Adenocarc quamous [ of ies OR* ies OR* 3 1.41	inoma With Differentiation		Sero	SU		:		Clear				
More float         More fl	No. of No. of Controls Cases Controls Cases 18,400 2,428 10,986 2,163 4,078 1,319 1,255 753 593 583 593 583 53,312 7,246 35,312 7,246 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035	95% CI C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	OR* 1.00 1.31 2.41 4.05 7.17 < .0001 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.90 0.90	95% CI 1.21 to 1.42 2.19 to 2.67 3.52 to 4.66 6.05 to 8.49 1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.00 to 1.10						2	lixed Cell		500	Cell	~	Mucinous	
Transmistion         State 10	18,400 2,428 10,986 2,163 4,078 1,319 1,255 753 593 583 593 583 35,312 7,246 7,332 1,740 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035	1 1.46 to 1.68 1 2.35 to 2.80 4.22 to 5.34 5.95 to 7.96 1.20 to 1.22 <i>t</i> 0.76 to 0.99 0.73 to 0.94 5 0.58 to 0.78	1.00 1.31 2.41 2.41 7.17 7.17 7.17 1.20 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.90 0.90	1.21 to 1.42 2.19 to 2.67 3.52 to 4.66 6.05 to 8.49 1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04		ō	No. of Cases	OR*	5		95%	No. of Cases		5	No. of Cases C	0R* 9	95% CI
	18,400         2,428           10,986         2,163           4,078         1,319           1,255         753           593         583           593         583           35,312         7,246           1,633         508           7,323         1,740           21,653         3,963           4,784         1,035	1 1.46 to 1.68 2.35 to 2.80 5.95 to 7.96 5.95 to 7.96 1.20 to 1.22 0.73 to 0.99 0.73 to 0.94 0.58 to 0.78	1.00 1.31 2.41 4.05 7.17 < .0001 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.90 0.77 0.77	1.21 to 1.42 2.19 to 2.67 3.52 to 4.66 6.05 to 8.49 1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04													
2-30 (10-56) 216 (1-1) (1-1	10,986         2,163           4,078         1,319           1,255         753           593         583           593         583           593         583           55,312         7,246           1,633         508           7,332         1,740           7,332         1,740           21,663         3,963           4,784         1,035	1.46 to 1.68 1 2.35 to 2.80 4.22 to 5.34 5.95 to 7.96 1.20 to 1.22 <i>t</i> 0.76 to 0.99 0.73 to 0.94 3 0.58 to 0.78	1.31 2.41 7.17 7.17 < .0001 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.90 0.77 0.77	1.21 to 1.42 2.19 to 2.67 3.52 to 4.66 6.05 to 8.49 1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04			208	1.00	<u>(</u>		00	74	1.00		67 1.	0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4,078 1,319 1,255 753 593 583 593 583 53,312 7,246 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035	2.35 to 2.80 4.22 to 5.34 5.95 to 7.96 1.20 to 1.22 / 0.73 to 0.99 0.78 to 0.78	2.41 4.05 7.17 < .0001 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.90 0.77 0.37	2.19 to 2.67 3.52 to 4.66 6.05 to 8.49 1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04		1.17 to 1.71	149	1.11					1.29	0.91 to 1.83			0.76 to 1.67
	1,255 7,246 35,312 7,246 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035	4.22 to 5.34 5.95 to 7.96 1.20 to 1.22 4, 0.76 to 0.99 0.73 to 0.94 3, 0.58 to 0.78	4.05 7.17 < .0001 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.90 0.77	3.52 to 4.06 6.05 to 8.49 1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04		1.86 to 2.89	94	1.73	1.34 to 2.25				2.46	1.65 to 3.68	31 2.		1.35 to 3.28
and $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ $< 0001$ <th< td=""><td>35,312 7,246 35,312 7,246 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035</td><td></td><td><ul> <li>&lt; .0001</li> <li>1.20</li> <li>1.00</li> <li>0.94</li> <li>0.90</li> <li>0.77</li> </ul></td><td>1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04</td><td></td><td>3.15 to 5.54 5.56 to 10.82</td><td>32 25</td><td>1.8U 2.85</td><td>1.20 to 2.68 1.80 to 4.52</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.34 4.36</td><td>0.98 to 3.87 2.16 to 8.82</td><td></td><td>3.29 1.5</td><td>1.90 to 5.96 1.51 to 7.19</td></th<>	35,312 7,246 35,312 7,246 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		<ul> <li>&lt; .0001</li> <li>1.20</li> <li>1.00</li> <li>0.94</li> <li>0.90</li> <li>0.77</li> </ul>	1.18 to 1.21 0.80 to 1.10 0.77 to 1.04		3.15 to 5.54 5.56 to 10.82	32 25	1.8U 2.85	1.20 to 2.68 1.80 to 4.52				1.34 4.36	0.98 to 3.87 2.16 to 8.82		3.29 1.5	1.90 to 5.96 1.51 to 7.19
mass indic.         mass indic. <thmass indic.<="" th=""> <thmass indic.<="" th=""></thmass></thmass>	35,312 7,246 35,312 7,246 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		1.20 1.00 0.94 0.77	18 to 1.21 80 to 1.10 77 to 1.04	> .000	-	V	< .0001		V	0001		< .0001		V	< .0001	
	1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		1.00 0.94 0.77 0.77	80 to 1.10 77 to 1.04		1.17 to 1.23	508						1.14	1.08 to 1.20	166 1.	1.16 1.10	1.10 to 1.22
Instruction	rs 1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		1.00 0.94 0.90 0.77	80 to 1.10 77 to 1.04													
	1,633 508 7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		1.00 0.94 0.90 0.77	80 to 1.10 77 to 1.04													
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7,332 1,740 21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		0.94 0.90 0.77	80 to 1.10 77 to 1.04			40	1.00					1.00	:			
4 $21,533$ 3.963         0.371         0.521         0.371         0.557         0.371         0.311 <th< td=""><td>21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035</td><td></td><td>0.90 0.77 0.77</td><td>77 to 1.04</td><td></td><td>0.53 to 1.05</td><td>134</td><td>0./4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.76</td><td>0.39 to 1.47</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.28 to 0.97</td></th<>	21,563 3,963 4,784 1,035		0.90 0.77 0.77	77 to 1.04		0.53 to 1.05	134	0./4					0.76	0.39 to 1.47			0.28 to 0.97
	4, /84 1, U35	0.00 to 0.78	ر			0.52 to 0.98	283	0.66					0.76	0.41 to 1.41		0.57 0.33	0.33 to 0.99
Indecention         Action         A		10		U.05 TO U.32		0.41 to 0.86	0	0.48					0.0	U.41 TO 1.69	5		U. 14 to U. / U
	end		700.		- O.			100.			04		xo.			.03	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 500 1 005						C	00			00	ć	00			0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46,5963 1,296						77 r	00.1					00.1				
	4,528 1,271		0.81			0.41 to 0.70	4/ /4	1.00					1.8.0	0.46 to 1.42	.0 76 0	0.82 0.48	0.48 to 1.40
						0.00 10 0.00	1 1						0.10	0.11 10 1.10			
Pitter         Signal and the conditionant of the conditionationationationationationationation		0.01 1 0 0.62 1	0.00			0.32 to 0.49		0.00					0.70	0.45 to 1.1.1	5 0 0		0.34 to 0.83
title         2.0.04         3.378         1.00         3.379         1.00         3.379         1.00         3.379         1.00         3.371         0.40 to 0.77         65         0.56         0.40 to 0.77         67         0.41 <t< td=""><td>1 GG GZA'S</td><td>0.37 to 0.47</td><td>0.41 0001</td><td>U.36 TO U.48</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00 10000</td><td>U.38 to U.78</td><td>D)</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>0./3 10</td><td>0.43 to 1.24</td><td>) )</td><td>5</td><td>0.14 to 0.65</td></t<>	1 GG GZA'S	0.37 to 0.47	0.41 0001	U.36 TO U.48				0.00 10000	U.38 to U.78	D)	5		0./3 10	0.43 to 1.24	) )	5	0.14 to 0.65
Dive         20,785         4,139         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,378         1.00         3,491         1.00         3,61         0,00         0,70101.07         155         0,54         0,40100.72         65         0.66		-	000. /								1000		<u>.</u>			7000	
	Ural contraceptive use																
14,527         3,107         0.73         0.73         0.62         0.62         0.02         0.63         0.70         150         0.56         0.40         000.72         65         0.40         000.72         65         0.640         0.63         0.640         0.65         0.647         0.65         0.647         0.676         0.61         0.94         0.70         110         1.00           18,815         4,485         1.00         2.754         1.00         2.754         1.00         2.754         1.00         2.754         1.00         1.01         1.00	20,785 4,139		378 1.00	46			306	1.00	<i>.</i> –		00	131	1.00		103 1.	1.00	
18,815       4,485       1.00       2,754       1.00       453       1.00       302       1.00       230       1.00       1.00       1.00         10,900       2,025       0.83       0.78 to 0.83       0.84 to 0.38       20.83       0.69 to 0.39       145       0.76       0.61, 0.94       82       0.62       0.47 to 0.81       47       0.78         5,152       558       0.61       0.55 to 0.68       456       0.64       0.57 to 0.71       112       0.83       0.69 to 0.99       145       0.76       0.61, 0.94       82       0.62       0.47 to 0.81       47       0.78         5,152       558       0.61       0.55 to 0.68       0.57 to 0.71       112       0.83       0.70 to 1.10       46       0.66       0.48 to 0.91       34       0.75       0.36 to 0.78       28       1.13         4       13,693       2,988       1.00       2,246       1.00       84 to 1.03       139       0.65       0.48 to 0.91       34       0.75       0.84 to 0.78       28       1.10       1.00         5,383       772       0.80       0.60 to 0.77       54       0.79       0.65 to 1.09       65 to 1.00       6.04       0.69       0.47 to 0.81	14,527 3,107		0.67	62 to 0.73		0.53 to 0.78	202	0.87					0.66	0.46 to 0.94	63 0.	0.65 0.4	0.44 to 0.95
18,815         4,485         1.00         2.754         1.00         453         1.00         302         1.00         230         1.00 <t< td=""><td>Cigarette</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Cigarette																
	kingt						000	0	,				0			0	
10,900       2,025       0.83       0.64100.99       145       0.76       0.61,0.94       82       0.62       0.47100.81       47       0.78         5,152       558       0.61       0.55to0.68       456       0.64       0.57to0.71       112       0.88       0.70to1.10       46       0.66       0.48to0.91       34       0.53       0.36to0.78       28       1.13         t       13,633       2,988       1.00       2,246       1.00       412       1.00       190       1.00       177       1.00       76       1.00         5,383       772       0.80       0.73to0.89       724       0.93       0.84to1.03       130       0.63       0.57to1.17       23       0.49       0.31       0.03       0.36	18,815 4,485						302	1.00					1.00				
5,152       356       0.301       0.350       0.304       0.301       0.461       0.35       0.350       0.301       0.35       0.351       0.451       0.35       0.351       0.35       0.351       0.35       0.351       0.35       0.351       0.35       0.351 <td>10,900 2,025 5 150 550</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>84 to 0.98</td> <td></td> <td>0.69 to 0.99</td> <td>145</td> <td>0.76</td> <td>0.61, 0.94</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.78</td> <td>0.54 to 1.11</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.80 to 1.62</td>	10,900 2,025 5 150 550			84 to 0.98		0.69 to 0.99	145	0.76	0.61, 0.94				0.78	0.54 to 1.11			0.80 to 1.62
↓       13,633       2,988       1.00       2,246       1.00       412       1.00       190       1.00       177       1.00       76       1.00         5,383       772       0.80       0.73 to 0.89       724       0.93       0.84 to 1.03       143       0.79       0.64 to 0.98       54       0.79       0.57 to 1.09       52       0.59       0.42 to 0.83       28       0.98         3,594       465       0.68       0.60 to 0.77       544       0.70       0.63 to 1.02       46       0.83       0.59 to 1.17       23       0.49       0.31 to 0.78       17       0.82         3,594       465       0.60       0.60       0.63 to 1.02       46       0.83       0.59 to 1.17       23       0.49       0.31 to 0.78       17       0.82         0.601            0.79       0.63 to 1.02       46       0.83       0.63 to 1.17       23       0.49       0.31 to 0.78       17       0.82         0.601             0.79       0.63 to 1.02       46       0.83       0.63 to 1.17       23       0.49       0.31 to 0.78       17       0.82	900 701 'G	0.001 02.0		1/.0 01/G		U./U to I.IU	40	00.0	U.48 to U.91				- . 10	0./3 to 1./3	ο	0.41 0.13	U. 19 TO U.85
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ack-years of smoking‡																
5,383     772     0.80     0.734     0.93     0.84     10.3     143     0.79     0.64     10.9     52     0.69     0.42     10.83     28     0.98       3,594     465     0.66     0.67     544     0.71     0.64     100     0.80     0.63     102     46     0.83     0.59     0.41     0.31     10.78     17     23     0.49     0.31     0.82       d        0.01     0.80     0.63     0.63     1.02     46     0.83     0.59     0.31     10.78     17     0.82       d        0.01     0.80     0.63     1.02     46     0.83     0.59     0.31     10.78     17     0.82       d        0.01     .07     0.63     1.00     0.63     1.02     46     0.83     0.59     0.31     10.78     17     0.82	13,693 2,988			4			190	1.00			00	76	1.00		58 1.	1.00	
3,594 465 0.68 0.60 to 0.77 544 0.71 0.64 to 0.80 0.080 0.63 to 1.02 46 0.83 0.59 to 1.17 23 0.49 0.31 to 0.78 17 0.82 d < 0.01 < 0.007 < 0.001 .50	5,383 772	0.73 to 0.89	0.93	84 to 1.03		0.64 to 0.98	54	0.79	0.57 to 1.09				0.98	0.62 to 1.54			0.48 to 1.39
< 0001 < 0001 02 17 0002	3,594 465	0.60 to 0.77	544 0.71	64 to 0.80		0.63 to 1.02	46	0.83	0.59 to 1.17				0.82	0.47 to 1.41			0.42 to 1.41
	<i>P</i> trend < .0001	01	< .0001		.02			.17		÷.	0002		.50			.33	
(continued on following page)						(continued on fu	pliowing	t page)									

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by University of Queensland on February 9, 2017 from 130.102.082.083 Copyright © 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

										∧ ∧ ו הו ⊂	המכווות					1000					
											Cases	ŝ									
		End	Endometrioid	A	Adenocarcinoma	cinoma NOS	9de Squê	enocarci mous D	Adenocarcinoma With Squamous Differentiation		Serous	sn		Mixed Cell	Cell		Clear Cell	Cell		Mucinous	snot
Risk Factor	No. of No. of Controls Cases OR*	). of ses OF		No. of CI Cases	No. of 95% CI Cases OR*	* 95% CI Cases OR*	No. of Cases	OR*	No. of 95% CI Cases OR*	No. of Cases	OR*	No. of 95% CI Cases OR*	No. of Cases	OR*	95% CI	No. of 95% CI Cases OR*	OR*	No. of 95% CI Cases OR*	No. of Cases	OR*	95% CI
Diabetes§ No	26,575 4,967 1.00	€7 1.C	0	3,10	3,100 1.00		453	1.00		314	1.00		158	1.00		122	1.00		109	1.00	
Yes	2,077	329 1.2	28 1.16 to 1	1.42 4	17 1.25	5 1.10 to 1.4	3 56	1.04	2,077 929 1.28 1.16 to 1.42 417 1.25 1.10 to 1.43 56 1.04 0.76 to 1.41 63 1.33 0.98 to 1.81 41 1.93 1.30 to 2.85 19 1.23 0.73 to 2.09 13 1.37 0.73 to 2.55	63	1.33	0.98 to 1.8	31 41	1.93	1.30 to 2.£	5 19	1.23	0.73 to 2.05	9 13	1.37	0.73 to 2.55
Abbreviations: NOS, not otherwise specified; OR, odds ratio. "Stratified by age, study, and race/ethnicity and mutually adjusted for tBased on 23 studies with smoking data. #Based on 18 studies with pack-years of smoking data. §Based on 19 studies with diabetes data.	VOS, not oth ge, study, an studies with studies with p studies with c	erwise : d race/e smoking aack-yee Jiabetes	specified; O thnicity and data. irs of smoki data.	IR, odds I mutual ing data	ly adjust		mass ir	dex, ag	body mass index, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive use, menopausal status, menopausal hormone use, and smoking status.	ne, pari	ty, oral	contracept	tive use	, menop	ausal statı	ns, menc	opausal	hormone u	lse, and	smokii	ng status.

		ssociation of Endo			ses			
			Type I*	Ca	363	Type II†		
Risk Factor	No. of Controls	No. of Cases	OR‡	95% CI	No. of Cases	OR‡	95% CI	P heterogeneity
Mean age at diagnosis, years		12,853	62.7		854	64.8		< .0001
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>								
< 25	18,400	4,602	1.00		330	1.00		
25 to < 30	10,986	3,718	1.45	1.37 to 1.53	253	1.16	0.98 to 1.38	
30 to < 35	4,078	2,294	2.52	2.35 to 2.69	159	1.73	1.40 to 2.12	
35 to < 40	1,255	1,247	4.45	4.05 to 4.89	65	2.15	1.60 to 2.88	
≥ 40	593	992	7.14	6.33 to 8.06	47	3.11	2.19 to 4.44	
P trend			< .0001			< .0001		< .0001
Body mass index, per 2 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	35,312	12,853	1.20	1.19 to 1.21	854	1.12	1.09 to 1.14	< .0001
Age at menarche, years								
< 11	1,633	844	1.00		68	1.00		
11-12	7,332	2,832	0.89	0.80 to 0.99	220	0.67	0.50 to 0.90	
13-14	21,563	7,528	0.85	0.77 to 0.94	466	0.62	0.47 to 0.82	
≥ 15	4,784	1,649	0.71	0.63 to 0.80	100	0.50	0.35 to 0.70	
P trend			< .0001			.0002		.11
Parity								
0	4,593	2,451	1.00		150	1.00		
1	4,528	1,999	0.74	0.68 to 0.81	121	0.84	0.65 to 1.09	
2	10,147	3,728	0.67	0.63 to 0.72	250	0.67	0.54 to 0.83	
3	12,119	3,686	0.56	0.52 to 0.60	231	0.56	0.45 to 0.70	
$\geq 4$	3925	989	0.40	0.36 to 0.44	102	0.54	0.41 to 0.72	
P trend			< .0001			< .0001		.31
Oral contraceptive use								
Never	20,785	8,011	1.00		497	1.00		
Ever	14,527	4,842	0.73	0.69 to 0.77	357	0.74	0.62 to 0.89	.17
Cigarette smoking§		·						
Never	18,815	7,692	1.00		532	1.00		
Former	10,900	3,648	0.87	0.82 to 0.91	227	0.70	0.59 to 0.83	.11
Current	5,152	1,126	0.64	0.60 to 0.70	80	0.60	0.46 to 0.77	.79
Pack-years of smoking	-, -	, -						
Never	13,693	5,646	1.00		367	1.00		
< 20	5,383	1,639	0.86	0.80 to 0.92	106	0.69	0.55 to 0.87	
≥ 20	3,594	1,109	0.71	0.65 to 0.77	69	0.68	0.52 to 0.90	
<i>P</i> trend		,	< .0001			.0006		.44
Diabetes¶								
No	26,575	8,520	1.00		472	1.00		
Yes	2,077	1,402	1.27	1.17 to 1.38	104	1.53	1.19 to 1.95	.14

Abbreviation: OR, odds ratio.

\*Type I included endometrioid adenocarcinoma, adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified, and adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation.

†Type II included serous and mixed cell adenocarcinoma.

+Stratified by age, study and race/ethnicity and mutually adjusted for BMI, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive use, menopausal status, menopausal hormone use, and smoking status.

§Based on 23 studies with smoking data.

Based on 18 studies with pack-years of smoking data.

¶Based on 19 studies with diabetes data.

women and with lower progesterone levels in premenopausal women. Obesity is also associated with lower levels of SHBG, a protein that binds and modulates the biologic activity of estrogens. OCs contain progestins, which directly oppose the effect of estrogen on the endometrium. Smoking reduces estrogen levels by lowering age at menopause and by altering estrogen metabolism.<sup>17-20</sup> Hyperinsulinemia, a common feature of type 2 diabetes, can increase levels of bioactive estrogens by decreasing SHBG levels.<sup>21,22</sup>

Type II tumors are commonly described as estrogen independent, and thus it might be anticipated that estrogenic and antiestrogenic exposures would not be related to their risk. However, our pooled analysis identified associations between both estrogenic and antiestrogenic factors and risk of type II tumors, suggesting either that risk factor–associated estrogen-driven proliferation is also important for type II tumors or that associated mechanisms other than those involving estrogens drive these associations. For example, mechanisms associated with BMI/obesity, such as hyperinsulinemia, chronic inflammation, or oxidative activity, may be important.<sup>23-27</sup> Hyperinsulinemia is also a hallmark of type 2 diabetes, which we found to be associated with type II tumors independent of BMI. Cigarette smoking has been shown to increase progesterone receptor (*PGR*) and homeobox A10 (*HOXA10*) expression in human endometrium and

#### Setiawan et al

	Endom	etrioid G	rade 1 and 2	Endo	ometrioid	Grade≥ 3			Туре	11	
Risk Factor	No. of Cases	OR†	95% Cl	No. of Cases	OR†	95% Cl	P heterogeneity	No. of Cases	OR†	95% CI	P heterogeneity‡
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>											
< 25	1,241	1.00		196	1.00			330	1.00		
25 to < 30	1,101	1.73	1.57 to 1.91	177	1.69	1.36 to 2.09		253	1.16	0.98 to 1.38	
30 to < 35	673	3.09	2.73 to 3.49	74	2.02	1.51 to 2.69		159	1.73	1.40 to 2.12	
35 to < 40	362	5.51	4.67 to 6.51	44	4.17	2.89 to 6.03		65	2.15	1.60 to 2.88	
≥ 40	253	7.77	6.30 to 9.58	28	4.51	2.81 to 7.26		47	3.11	2.19 to 4.44	
P trend		< .0001			< .0001		.0001		< .0001		.34
Body mass index, per 2 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	3,630	1.23	1.21 to 1.25	519	1.16	1.12 to 1.20	< .0001	854	1.12	1.09 to 1.14	.89
Age at menarche, years	-,										
< 11	219	1.00		40	1.00			68	1.00		
11-12	846	0.82	0.67 to 0.99	110	0.62	0.41 to 0.92		220	0.67	0.50 to 0.90	
13-14	1.853	0.77	0.64 to 0.93	276	0.67	0.46 to 0.97		466	0.62	0.47 to 0.82	
≥ 15	712	0.66	0.53 to 0.81	93	0.61	0.40 to 0.94		100	0.50	0.35 to 0.70	
P trend	/12	< .0001	0.00 10 0.01	55	0.18	0.40 10 0.04	.75	100	.0002		.58
Parity		< .0001			0.10		.75		.0002		.50
0	624	1.00		87	1.00			150	1.00		
1	763	0.68	0.59 to 0.79	83	0.73	0.52 to 1.04		121	0.84	0.65 to 1.09	
2	1,026	0.65	0.57 to 0.74	136	0.69	0.52 to 1.04 0.52 to 0.93		250	0.67	0.54 to 0.83	
2	913	0.65	0.48 to 0.62	158	0.09	0.52 to 0.93		230	0.56	0.45 to 0.70	
-											
$\geq 4$	304	0.42	0.35 to 0.50	55	0.57	0.40 to 0.83	00	102	0.54	0.41 to 0.72	00
P trend		< .0001			.006		.06		< .0001		.39
Oral contraceptive use											
Never	2,247	1.00		329	1.00			497	1.00		
Ever	1,383	0.77	0.69 to 0.85	190	0.59	0.47 to 0.74	.11	357	0.74	0.62 to 0.89	.14
Cigarette smoking											
Never	2,494	1.00		322	1.00			532	1.00		
Former	886	0.82	0.74 to 0.91	146	0.93	0.75 to 1.16		227	0.70	0.59 to 0.83	
Current	250	0.55	0.47 to 0.64	51	0.84	0.61 to 1.15	.06	80	0.60	0.46 to 0.77	.08
Pack-years of smoking											
Never	1,822	1.00		196	1.00			367	1.00		
< 20	320	0.70	0.60 to 0.82	45	1.05	0.72 to 1.53		106	0.69	0.55 to 0.87	
≥ 20	184	0.66	0.54 to 0.81	25	0.83	0.52 to 1.32		69	0.68	0.52 to 0.90	
P trend		< .0001			0.56		.13		.0006		.47
Diabetes											
No	2,288	1.00		343	1.00			472	1.00		
Yes	465	1.46	1.28 to 1.67	72	1.26	0.94 to 1.69	.15	104	1.53	1.19 to 1.95	.30

Abbreviation: OR, odds ratio.

\*Based on 14 studies with tumor grade information.

†Stratified by age, study and race/ethnicity and mutually adjusted for BMI, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive use, menopausal status, menopausal hormone use, and smoking status.

 $\pm$ Comparing type II with endometrioid grade  $\geq$  3.

endometrial cells.<sup>28</sup> The role of other possible mechanisms needs to be considered further in endometrial cancer etiology.

The strengths of this study include a large sample size that provides greater statistical power than most previous studies have with regard to examining effects for specific histologic types; minimal, if any, publication bias as inclusion of an individual study in our analysis was not dependent on whether results had been previously published; and comparability across studies, in that we used individual-level data to standardize definitions and modeling approaches for the exposures and potential confounders, which is not possible in meta-analyses based on published estimates. Nonetheless, variation in exposure assessment in each study is a limitation of pooled analyses. The unavailability of detailed menopausal hormone data (recency and duration of use of specific hormone type) did not allow us to examine this important association and is a limitation of our analysis. The source of histologic information did not seem to influence our results, but a certain amount of misclassification of tumor types is likely to be present. A central pathologic review that includes staining with such critical markers as p53 was not possible, and inclusion of some type I tumors within the type II group might, partly, account for the associations observed for type II tumors. Almost all of the common associations for type I and type II tumors are, however, equally strong. For our findings to be a result of misclassification of tumor type, almost all type II tumors would have to be type I tumors, and the BMI associations, however, were clearly statistically different, clearly supporting distinct classifications. Pathologists generally agree that the primary concern for misclassification is diagnosing low-grade endometrioid tumors at the expense of high-grade tumors<sup>29</sup> and that the misdiagnosis of tumors as serous is unlikely to be sufficiently common

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

to have produced the necessary amount of misclassification to explain the results obtained here. However, it is clear that future studies need to use pathologic review and molecular diagnostics to accurately define tumor type.

In summary, this large pooled analysis provides epidemiologic evidence that in a number of respects, the risk factor profiles for type II and type I tumors are quite similar, suggesting that they share some common etiologic pathways. Thinking regarding aggressive histologic subtypes of endometrial cancer might be better served by moving away from the traditional type I versus type II distinction.

# AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

**Conception and design:** Veronica Wendy Setiawan, Malcolm C. Pike **Provision of study materials or patients:** Yong-Bing Xiang, Penelope M. Webb, Piet A. van den Brandt, Brian L. Strom, Kim Robien, Timothy R. Rebbeck, Anthony B. Miller, Marjorie L. McCullough, Laurence N. Kolonel, Brian E. Henderson, Marc T. Goodman, Christine M.

# REFERENCES

1. Sherman ME: Theories of endometrial carcinogenesis: A multidisciplinary approach. Mod Pathol 13:295-308, 2000

2. Bokhman JV: Two pathogenetic types of endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 15:10-17, 1983

**3.** Hecht JL, Mutter GL: Molecular and pathologic aspects of endometrial carcinogenesis. J Clin Oncol 24:4783-4791, 2006

**4.** Emons G, Fleckenstein G, Hinney B, et al: Hormonal interactions in endometrial cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 7:227-242, 2000

5. Moore KN, Fader AN: Uterine papillary serous carcinoma. Clin Obstet Gynecol 54:278-291, 2011

**6.** Prat J, Gallardo A, Cuatrecasas M, et al: Endometrial carcinoma: Pathology and genetics. Pathology 39:72-87, 2007

7. Felix AS, Weissfeld JL, Stone RA, et al: Factors associated with type I and type II endometrial cancer. Cancer Causes Control 21:1851-1856, 2010

8. Bjørge T, Engeland A, Tretli S, et al: Body size in relation to cancer of the uterine corpus in 1 million Norwegian women. Int J Cancer 120:378-383, 2007

9. McCullough ML, Patel AV, Patel R, et al: Body mass and endometrial cancer risk by hormone replacement therapy and cancer subtype. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17:73-79, 2008

**10.** Sherman ME, Sturgeon S, Brinton LA, et al: Risk factors and hormone levels in patients with serous and endometrioid uterine carcinomas. Mod Pathol 10:963-968, 1997

**11.** Uccella S, Mariani A, Wang AH, et al: Dietary and supplemental intake of one-carbon nutrients and the risk of type I and type II endometrial cancer:

A prospective cohort study. Ann Oncol 22:2129-2136, 2011

**12.** Yang HP, Wentzensen N, Trabert B, et al: Endometrial cancer risk factors by 2 main histologic subtypes: The NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 177:142-151, 2013

**13.** Olson SH, Chen C, De Vivo I, et al: Maximizing resources to study an uncommon cancer: E2C2–Epidemiology of Endometrial Cancer Consortium. Cancer Causes Control 20:491-496, 2009

**14.** Alvarez T, Miller E, Duska L, et al: Molecular profile of grade 3 endometrioid endometrial carcinoma: Is it a type I or type II endometrial carcinoma? Am J Surg Pathol 36:753-761, 2012

**15.** Voss MA, Ganesan R, Ludeman L, et al: Should grade 3 endometrioid endometrial carcinoma be considered a type 2 cancer: A clinical and pathological evaluation. Gynecol Oncol 124:15-20, 2012

**16.** Key TJ, Pike MC: The dose-effect relationship between 'unopposed' estrogens and endometrial mitotic rate: Its central role in explaining and predicting endometrial cancer risk. Br J Cancer 57:205-212, 1988

**17.** Yang HP, Brinton LA, Platz EA, et al: Active and passive cigarette smoking and the risk of endometrial cancer in Poland. Eur J Cancer 46:690-696, 2010

**18.** Al-Zoughool M, Dossus L, Kaaks R, et al: Risk of endometrial cancer in relationship to cigarette smoking: Results from the EPIC study. Int J Cancer 121:2741-2747, 2007

**19.** Zhou B, Yang L, Sun Q, et al: Cigarette smoking and the risk of endometrial cancer: A meta-analysis. Am J Med 121:501-508.e3, 2008

**20.** Viswanathan AN, Feskanich D, De Vivo I, et al: Smoking and the risk of endometrial cancer: Results

Friedenreich, Chu Chen, Louise A. Brinton, Leslie Bernstein, Hoda Anton-Culver, Leo J. Schouten, Pamela L. Horn-Ross Collection and assembly of data: Veronica Wendy Setiawan, Malcolm C. Pike, Herbert Yu, Yong-Bing Xiang, Alicja Wolk, Nicolas Wentzensen, Penelope M. Webb, Piet A. van den Brandt, Pamela J. Thompson, Brian L. Strom, Amanda B. Spurdle, Xiao-ou Shu, Carlotta Sacerdote, Kim Robien, Harvey A. Risch, Fulvio Ricceri, Timothy R. Rebbeck, Radhai Rastogi, Jennifer Prescott, Silvia Polidoro, Yikyung Park, Sara H. Olson, Kirsten B. Moysich, Anthony B. Miller, Marjorie L. McCullough, Rayna K. Matsuno, Galina Lurie, Lingeng Lu, Jolanta Lissowska, Xiaolin Liang, Laurence N. Kolonel, Brian E. Henderson, Niclas Håkansson, Marc T. Goodman, Mia M. Gaudet, Montserrat Garcia-Closas, Christine M. Friedenreich, Jennifer Doherty, Immaculata De Vivo, Kerry S. Courneya, Linda S. Cook, Chu Chen, James R. Cerhan, Hui Cai, Leslie Bernstein, Kristin E. Anderson, Hoda Anton-Culver, Leo J. Schouten, Pamela L. Horn-Ross

Data analysis and interpretation: Veronica Wendy Setiawan, Hannah P. Yang, Malcolm C. Pike, Susan E. McCann, Herbert Yu, Alicja Wolk, Noel S. Weiss, Penelope M. Webb, Piet A. van den Brandt, Koen van de Vijver, Brian L. Strom, Amanda B. Spurdle, Robert A. Soslow, Xiao-ou Shu, Catherine Schairer, Thomas E. Rohan, Kim Robien, Sara H. Olson, Anthony M. Magliocco, Lingeng Lu, James V. Lacey Jr, Susan E. Hankinson, Marc T. Goodman, Mia M. Gaudet, Christine M. Friedenreich, Jo L. Freudenheim, Jennifer Doherty, Immaculata De Vivo, Linda S. Cook, Chu Chen, Louise Brinton, Leo J. Schouten, Pamela L. Horn-Ross

Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

from the Nurses' Health Study. Int J Cancer 114: 996-1001, 2005

**21.** Nestler JE, Powers LP, Matt DW, et al: A direct effect of hyperinsulinemia on serum sex hormone-binding globulin levels in obese women with the polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 72:83-89, 1991

**22.** Friberg E, Orsini N, Mantzoros CS, et al: Diabetes mellitus and risk of endometrial cancer: A meta-analysis. Diabetologia 50:1365-1374, 2007

23. Calle EE, Thun MJ: Obesity and cancer. Oncogene 23:6365-6378, 2004

**24.** Dossus L, Rinaldi S, Becker S, et al: Obesity, inflammatory markers, and endometrial cancer risk: A prospective case-control study. Endocr Relat Cancer 17:1007-1019, 2010

25. Kaaks R, Lukanova A, Kurzer MS: Obesity, endogenous hormones, and endometrial cancer risk: A synthetic review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 11:1531-1543, 2002

**26.** Lukanova A, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E, et al: Prediagnostic levels of C-peptide, IGF-I, IGFBP -1, -2 and -3 and risk of endometrial cancer. Int J Cancer 108:262-268, 2004

**27.** Cust AE, Kaaks R, Friedenreich C, et al: Plasma adiponectin levels and endometrial cancer risk in pre- and postmenopausal women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 92:255-263, 2007

**28.** Zhou Y, Jorgensen EM, Gan Y, et al: Cigarette smoke increases progesterone receptor and homeobox A10 expression in human endometrium and endometrial cells: A potential role in the decreased prevalence of endometrial pathology in smokers. Biol Reprod 84:1242-1247, 2011

**29.** Soslow RA: Endometrial carcinomas with ambiguous features. Semin Diagn Pathol 27:261-273, 2010

#### Setiawan et al

#### Affiliations

Veronica Wendy Setiawan, Malcolm C. Pike, and Brian E. Henderson, University of Southern California, Los Angeles; James V. Lacey, Jr, and Leslie Bernstein, Beckman Research Institute, City of Hope, Duarte; Hoda Anton-Culver, University of California, Irvine; Pamela L. Horn-Ross, Cancer Prevention Institute of California, Fremont, CA; Hannah P. Yang, Nicolas Wentzensen, Catherine Schairer, Yikyung Park, and Louise A. Brinton, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD; Malcolm C. Pike, Robert A. Soslow, Radhai Rastogi, Sara H. Olson, and Xiaolin Liang, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York; Susan E. McCann and Kirsten B. Moysich, Roswell Park Cancer Institute; Jo L. Freudenheim, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo; Thomas E. Rohan, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY; Herbert Yu, Pamela J. Thompson, Rayna K. Matsuno, Galina Lurie, Laurence N. Kolonel, and Marc T. Goodman, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI; Yong-Bing Xiang, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Shanghai, China; Alicja Wolk and Niclas Håkansson, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; Noel S. Weiss, University of Washington; Chu Chen, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; Penelope M. Webb, the Australian National Endometrial Cancer Study Group, and Amanda B. Spurdle, Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane, Australia; Piet A. van den Brandt, Koen van de Vijver, and Leo J. Schouten, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands; Brian L. Strom and Timothy R. Rebbeck, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA; Xiao-ou Shu and Hui Cai, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN; Carlotta Sacerdote, Center for Cancer Prevention; Carlotta Sacerdote, Fulvio Ricceri, and Silvia Polidoro, Human Genetic Foundation, Turin, Italy; Kim Robien and Kristin E. Anderson, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; Harvey A. Risch and Lingeng Lu, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT; Jennifer Prescott, Susan E. Hankinson, and Immaculata De Vivo, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School; Immaculata De Vivo, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston; Susan E. Hankinson, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA; Anthony B. Miller, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario; Christine M. Friedenreich, Alberta Health Services-Cancer Care, Calgary; Kerry S. Courneya, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; Marjorie L. McCullough and Mia M. Gaudet, American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA; Anthony M. Magliocco, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL; Jolanta Lissowska, M. Sklodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland; Montserrat Garcia-Closas, Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton, Surrey, United Kingdom; Jennifer Doherty, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH; Linda S. Cook, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM; and James R. Cerhan, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN.

# Support

Supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Cancer Institute (NCI; Grant No. CA135632 to V.W.S). V.W.S. is supported in part by NCI K07 Career Development Award (Grant No. CA116543). The individual studies were funded by the following grants: ANECS (National Health and Medical Research Council [NHMRC, Grant No. 339435] of Australia and the Cancer Councils of Queensland and Tasmania; P.M.W. and A.B.S. are supported by Fellowships from the NHMRC); BAWHS (NIH Grant No. R01 CA74877; controls were collected under: NIH Grants No. R01 63446, DAMD 17-96-607, and California Breast Cancer Research Program 4JB-1106); BCDDP (Intramural Research Programs of the NCI, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services, United States); CECS (NIH Grant No. R01 CA098346); CPS-II (the American Cancer Society); CTS (NIH Grant No. R01CA77398 and the California Breast Cancer Research Fund; the collection of cancer incidence data was supported by the California Department of Public Health as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; the NCI's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program awarded to the Cancer Prevention Institute of California, University of Southern California, the Public Health Institute; and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries); EDGE (NIH Grant No. R01 CA83918); FHCRC (NIH Grants No. R35 CA39779, R01 CA75977, N01 HD23166, K05 CA92002, R01 CA105212, R01 CA87538); HAW (NIH Grants No. P01 CA33619, R01 CA58598, N01 CN67001, N01 PC35137); IWHS (NIH Grant No. R01 CA39742); MEC (NIH Grant No. CA54281); NLCS (the Dutch Cancer Society); NHS (NIH Grants No. P01 CA87262 and R01 CA082838); PECS (Intramural Research Funds of the NCI, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services, United States); SECS (NIH Grant No. R01 CA092585); SMC (the Swedish Cancer Registry, the Swedish Research Council, and the Karolinska Institutet's Research Funds); US (Intramural Research Funds of the NCI, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services, USA); USC LA (NIH Grants No. R01 CA48774 and P30 CA14089); and WISE (NIH Grant No. P01 CA77596). 

# Acknowledgment

We thank the many individuals who participated in this study and the numerous institutions and their staff who have supported recruitment. We also like to thank Leah Mechanic, PhD, at the National Cancer Institute for her support of the E2C2 activities.

# Appendix

		Type I*			Type II†		
Risk Factor	No. of Cases	OR‡	95% Cl	No. of Cases	OR‡	95% CI	P heterogeneity
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>							
< 25	1,050	1.00		125	1.00		
25 to < 30	1,256	1.93	1.74 to 2.14	123	1.41	1.08 to 1.85	
30 to < 35	1,000	4.08	3.63 to 4.60	91	2.40	1.77 to 3.26	
35 to < 40	577	7.57	6.45 to 8.87	37	3.38	2.23 to 5.14	
≥ 40	460	10.64	8.80 to 12.87	26	3.93	2.37 to 6.49	
P trend		< .0001			< .0001		< .0001
Body mass index, per 2 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	4,343	1.28	1.26 to 1.30	402	1.17	1.13 to 1.21	< .0001
Age at menarche, years							
< 11	323	1.00		29	1.00		
11-12	1,014	0.80	0.67 to 0.96	114	0.81	0.52 to 1.29	
13-14	2,342	0.79	0.66 to 0.94	205	0.69	0.45 to 1.07	
≥ 15	664	0.63	0.51 to 0.77	54	0.56	0.33 to 0.93	
P trend		< .0001			0.01		.30
Parity							
0	733	1.00		69	1.00		
1	596	0.74	0.63 to 0.86	51	0.77	0.51 to 1.15	
2	1,228	0.68	0.60 to 0.78	112	0.65	0.46 to 0.91	
3	1,334	0.57	0.50 to 0.64	110	0.53	0.38 to 0.75	
$\geq 4$	452	0.40	0.34 to 0.47	60	0.50	0.33 to 0.75	
P trend		< .0001			< .0001		.28
Oral contraceptive use							
Never	3,091	1.00		266	1.00		
Ever	1,252	0.70	0.63 to 0.78	136	0.69	0.52 to 0.92	.25
Cigarette smoking							
Never	2,751	1.00		267	1.00		
Former	1,144	0.82	0.75 to 0.91	89	0.58	0.45 to 0.76	
Current	342	0.60	0.52 to 0.69	39	0.55	0.38 to 0.80	.15
Pack-years of smoking							
Never	2,109	1.00		206	1.00		
< 20	499	0.81	0.70 to 0.93	45	0.55	0.38 to 0.79	
≥ 20	386	0.71	0.61 to 0.83	35	0.62	0.42 to 0.92	
P trend		< .0001			0.002		.33
Diabetes							
No	2,670	1.00		199	1.00		
Yes	637	1.44	1.27 to 1.64	56	1.63	1.16 to 2.30	.43

Abbreviation: OR, odds ratio.

\*Type I included endometrioid adenocarcinoma, adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified, and adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation.

†Type II included serous and mixed cell adenocarcinoma.

+Stratified by age, study, and race/ethnicity and mutually adjusted for body mass index, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive use, and smoking status.

Cohort         Cohort           Bady mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> $OR^*$ 95% Cl         1           25 to < 30         1.32         1.23 to 1.43         1           25 to < 30         1.32         1.23 to 1.43         1           30 to < 35         2.44         2.23 to 2.67         2           35 to < 40         4.15         3.65 to 4.72         4           2 kg/m <sup>2</sup> < .0001         < .0001         <            P trend         < .0001         1.36 to 4.72         4           2 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 1.20         1.18 to 1.21         1           Age at menarche, years         1.20         1.18 to 1.21         1           1-112         0.99         0.78 to 1.04         C           13-14         0.37         0.78 to 1.04         C           11-12         0.99         0.78 to 1.04         C           13-14         0.72         0.61 to 0.86         C           2 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.72         0.61 to 0.86         C         C           31-14         0.72         0.71 to 0.86         C         C         C           13-14         0.72         0.61 to 0.86         C         C         C         C </th <th>Case- OR* 1.00 1.60 2.61 4.84 7.86 &lt;.0001 1.22</th> <th>Case-Control 3* 95% CI 0 0 1.48 to 1.73 1</th> <th>Path</th> <th></th> <th>C</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Case- OR* 1.00 1.60 2.61 4.84 7.86 <.0001 1.22	Case-Control 3* 95% CI 0 0 1.48 to 1.73 1	Path		C									
OR*         95% Cl           1.00         1.100           1.32         1.23 to 1.43           2.44         2.23 to 2.67           4.15         3.65 to 4.72           6.66         5.66 to 7.83           <.0001         1.18 to 1.21           1.200         1.18 to 1.21           1.00         0.78 to 1.04           0.87         0.75 to 0.99           0.72         0.61 to 0.86           .0002         0.75 to 0.99		.73		Maiyau	Зен	Registry	O	Cohort	Cas	Case-Control	Path	n Review	æ	Registry
1.00 1.32 2.44 4.15 6.66 5.66 to 7.83 < .0001 1.20 1.18 to 1.21 1.20 0.78 to 1.04 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 0.72 0.61 to 0.86		.73	OR*	95% CI	OR*	95% CI	OR*	95% CI	OR*	95% CI	OR*	95% CI	0B*	95% CI
1.00 1.32 2.44 2.23 to 1.43 2.44 2.23 to 1.43 2.44 2.23 to 1.43 4.15 3.65 to 4.72 6.66 5.66 to 7.83 <.0001 1.18 to 1.21 1.20 1.18 to 1.21 1.20 0.78 to 1.04 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.96 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.96 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.99 0.75 to 0.99 0.77 to 0.60 0.77 to 0.60 0.77 to 0.60 0.77 to 0.99 0.77 to 0.60 0.77 to 0.90 0.77 to 0.90 to 0.90 to 0.77 to 0.90 to 0.90 to 0.77 to 0.90 to 0.77 to 0.90 to 0.77 to 0.90 to 0.77 to		.73												
1.32       1.23 to 1.43         2.44       2.23 to 2.67         4.15       3.65 to 4.72         6.66       5.66 to 7.83         <.0001		.73	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
2.44 2.23 to 2.67 4.15 3.65 to 4.72 6.66 5.66 to 7.83 < .0001 1.18 to 1.21 1.20 1.18 to 1.21 1.00 0.78 to 1.04 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 .0002 0.100			1.53 1		1.40 1	1.31 to 1.50	1.14	0.86 to 1.52	1.19	0.95 to 1.48	1.08	0.84 to 1.40	1.24	0.98 to 1.57
4.15       3.65 to 4.72         6.66       5.66 to 7.83         <.0001		2.36 to 2.88 2	2.45 2	2.19 to 2.74 2	2.56 2	2.35 to 2.78	1.76	1.25 to 2.47	1.72	1.32 to 2.23	1.55	1.13 to 2.12	1.90	1.44 to 2.50
6.66 5.66 to 7.83 <.0001 1.20 1.18 to 1.21 1.00 0.78 to 1.04 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 .0002		58					2.22	1.37 to 3.61	2.15	1.48 to 3.11	2.21	1.42 to 3.45	2.12	1.43 to 3.16
<ul> <li>&lt;.0001</li> <li>1.20</li> <li>1.18 to 1.21</li> <li>1.00</li> <li>0.30</li> <li>0.75 to 0.99</li> <li>0.72</li> <li>0.61 to 0.86</li> <li>.0002</li> <li>1.00</li> </ul>	1.22 1.00	6.54 to 9.44 8		6.69 to 10.67 6		5.83 to 7.75	3.08	1.69 to 5.61	3.29	2.11 to 5.12	4.20	2.44 to 7.23	2.64	1.63 to 4.30
1.20 1.18 to 1.21 1.00 0.78 to 1.04 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 .0002 100	1.22	V	< .0001	V	< .0001	V	< .0001		< .0001		< .0001	v	< .0001	
1.00 0.90 0.78 to 1.04 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 .0002	1.00	1.20 to 1.23 1	1.21 1	1.19 to 1.23 1	1.20 1	1.19 to 1.22	1.12	1.08 to 1.17	1.12	1.08 to 1.15	1.13	1.08 to 1.17	1.11	1.08 to 1.15
1 1.00 2 0.90 0.78 to 1.04 4 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 5 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 and .0002 100	1.00													
2 0.90 0.78 to 1.04 4 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 5 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 and .0002 100							1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
4 0.87 0.75 to 0.99 5 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 and .0002 1 00		03				0.77 to 1.00	0.62	0.40 to 0.97	0.72	0.48 to 1.07	0.67	0.40 to 1.11	0.67	0.46 to 0.96
5 0.72 0.61 to 0.86 and .0002 .		96				0.76 to 0.97	0.52	0.34 to 0.79	0.71	0.49 to 1.03	0.68	0.42 to 1.10	0.58	0.41 to 0.81
and .0002 100		0.59 to 0.82 0		0.56 to 0.83 C		0.63 to 0.84	0.52	0.31 to 0.90	0.52	0.33 to 0.81	0.45	0.26 to 0.78	0.58	0.37 to 0.90
1 00	< .0001	V	< .0001		.0001		0.01		.008		.008		600 <sup>.</sup>	
1,00														
00-							1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
0.80 0.71 to 0.90		.76				0.71 to 0.88	1.06	0.69 to 1.61	0.72	0.52 to 1.01	0.67	0.45 to 0.98	0.98	0.69 to 1.40
0.70 to 0.85		63				0.67 to 0.80	0.84	0.59 to 1.21	0.57	0.43 to 0.76	0.51	0.37 to 0.72	0.80	0.60 to 1.07
0.63 0.57 to 0.69		54				0.56 to 0.67	0.65	0.46 to 0.93	0.50	0.38 to 0.67	0.46	0.32 to 0.65	0.64	0.48 to 0.85
0.47 0.41 to 0.53				0.28 to 0.39 C		0.39 to 0.49	0.58	0.36 to 0.92	0.51	0.36 to 0.74	0.54	0.35 to 0.82	0.51	0.34 to 0.75
< .0001	< .0001	V	< .0001	V	< .0001		.001		< .0001		.0002		< .0001	
traceptive use														
r 1.00							1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
0.76 0.71 to 0.82	0.68	0.62 to 0.73 0	0.68 0	0.62 to 0.74 C	0.75 0	0.70 to 0.81	0.81	0.62 to 1.07	0.68	0.54 to 0.86	0.59	0.44 to 0.79	0.86	0.68 to 1.08
Cigarette smoking†														
Never 1.00 1	1.00	1	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
0.83 to 0.95	0.84					0.82 to 0.94	0.87	0.67 to 1.12	0.62	0.49 to 0.77	0.60	0.47 to 0.79	0.79	0.63 to 0.98
Current 0.68 0.61 to 0.76 C	0.61	0.54 to 0.68 0	0.60 C	0.52 to 0.68 C	0.68 0	0.61 to 0.75	0.73	0.49 to 1.11	0.52	0.38 to 0.72	0.52	0.36 to 0.75	0.66	0.46 to 0.93
Diabetes‡														
1.00	1.00						1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes 1.21 1.06 to 1.38 1	1.31	1.18 to 1.47 1	1.34 1	1.18 to 1.52 1	1.24 1	1.10 to 1.39	1.15	0.73 to 1.82	1.76	1.31 to 2.37	1.53	1.05 to 2.24	1.55	1.13 to 2.14
Abbreviation: OR, odds ratio. *Stratified by age, study, and race/ethnicity and mutually adjusted	s vltually a		ty mass	for body mass index, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive use, and smoking status.	tenarche	', parity, oral c	contrace	ptive use, and	smokin	g status.				
TBased on 23 studies with smoking data.														
דםמשבת טוו וש שומחופש גאוווו מושהבובש משוש.														

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Setiawan et al

# Downloaded from ascopubs.org by University of Queensland on February 9, 2017 from 130.102.082.083 Copyright © 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.