

Open Access

Functional characterization of probiotic surface layer protein-carrying *Lactobacillus amylovorus* strains

Ulla Hynönen¹, Ravi Kant¹⁺, Tanja Lähteinen¹⁺, Taija E Pietilä¹, Jasna Beganović², Hauke Smidt³, Ksenija Uroić², Silja Åvall-Jääskeläinen¹ and Airi Palva^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Adhesiveness to intestinal epithelium, beneficial immunomodulating effects and the production of pathogen-inhibitory compounds are generally considered as beneficial characteristics of probiotic organisms. We showed the potential health-promoting properties and the mechanisms of probiotic action of seven swine intestinal *Lactobacillus amylovorus* isolates plus the type strain (DSM 20531^T) by investigating their adherence to porcine intestinal epithelial cells (IPEC-1) and mucus as well as the capacities of the strains to i) inhibit the adherence of *Escherichia coli* to IPEC-1 cells, ii) to produce soluble inhibitors against intestinal pathogens and iii) to induce immune signaling in dendritic cells (DCs). Moreover, the role of the *L. amylovorus* surface (S) –layers – symmetric, porous arrays of identical protein subunits present as the outermost layer of the cell envelope – in adherence to IPEC-1 cells was assessed using a novel approach which utilized purified cell wall fragments of the strains as carriers for the recombinantly produced S-layer proteins.

Results: Three of the *L. amylovorus* strains studied adhered to IPEC-1 cells, while four strains inhibited the adherence of *E. coli*, indicating additional mechanisms other than competition for binding sites being involved in the inhibition. None of the strains bound to porcine mucus. The culture supernatants of all of the strains exerted inhibitory effects on the growth of *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *Listeria* and *Yersinia*, and a variable, strain-dependent induction was observed of both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines in human DCs. *L. amylovorus* DSM 16698 was shown to carry two S-layer-like proteins on its surface in addition to the major S-layer protein SIpA. In contrast to expectations, none of the major S-layer proteins of the IPEC-1 -adhering strains mediated bacterial adherence.

Conclusions: We demonstrated adhesive and significant pathogen inhibitory efficacies among the swine intestinal *L. amylovorus* strains studied, pointing to their potential use as probiotic feed supplements, but no independent role could be demonstrated for the major S-layer proteins in adherence to epithelial cells. The results indicate that many intestinal bacteria may coexist with and confer benefits to the host by mechanisms not attributable to adhesion to epithelial cells or mucus.

Keywords: S-layer, Lactobacillus, Adhesion, IPEC-1, Dendritic cell

* Correspondence: airi.palva@helsinki.fi

[†]Equal contributors

¹Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Division of Microbiology and Epidemiology, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 66, Helsinki 00014, Finland Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Hynönen et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Porcine diarrhea during the neonatal and weaning periods is a common problem in pig production farms, resulting in significant mortality and economic losses for pig farmers. The aetiology of post-weaning diarrhea is multifactorial, but an elevated susceptibility to enteric infections due to the altered intestinal microbial balance is believed to play a central role [1]. The micro-organisms most often implicated in post-weaning diarrhea are enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) strains expressing F4 (K88), F5, F6 or F18 fimbriae [2,3]. In the management of piglet gut health around weaning, feed supplementation with lactobacilli has proved beneficial [4-7], although in many cases the molecular mechanisms underpinning the beneficial effects have remained unknown. As adhesion to host tissues is essential for many gastro-intestinal pathogens, the paradigm of competitive exclusion through competition for binding sites has evolved. Therefore, knowledge about Lactobacillus surface components and their roles as adhesins is of major importance when developing strategies based on the administration of commensal bacteria to promote piglet health.

S (surface) layers are the outermost cell envelope structures commonly found on the surface of lactobacilli and other bacterial species. They are composed of numerous identical (glyco)protein subunits (with a 25–71 kDa size in lactobacilli), which form a regular, symmetric and porous array, completely covering the bacterial cell surface. The subunits are held together and connected to the underlying cell surface by non-covalent interactions, and they spontaneously reassemble in vitro by an entropy-driven process, i.e. the subunit proteins are very poorly water-soluble [8]. The biological functions of Lactobacillus S-layer proteins (Slp:s) are not well understood. In some Lactobacillus species, as well as in many other bacteria, S-layer proteins mediate bacterial adherence to host cells or to the extracellular matrix [9-19], but in most cases, the functions of *Lactobacillus* S-layer proteins have remained unknown.

Unlike in humans, lactobacilli are an essential component of the gastrointestinal microbiota of swine [20,21], with *L. amylovorus* representing a characteristic species which is especially abundant in piglets [22,23]. The S-layer carrying *L. amylovorus* strain DSM 16698, isolated from the small intestine of a piglet [24,25], has been shown to exhibit potentially health-promoting effects both *in vitro* and in weaned piglets *in vivo* [26,27]. This has raised the question if other strains of this commensal species might also have the potential to be used as probiotic feed additives during the weaning period. Furthermore, another question is related to the role of Slp:s in the probiotic effects of *L. amylovorus*. We have previously isolated several surface-layer carrying *L. amylovorus* strains from the small intestine or faeces of pigs and preliminarily characterized them for their putative probiotic properties [28,29]. While simultaneously carrying out the whole genome sequencing of the strains (Kant et al., manuscript in preparation), the present study was undertaken to characterize in detail the putative probiotic properties of these strains and to reveal the role of their divergent S-layer proteins in adherence to porcine intestinal epithelium *in vitro*. As a comparison, the above-mentioned *L. amylovorus* strain DSM 16698 of swine intestinal origin, and DSM 20531^T, an S-layer carrying strain isolated from silage, were included in the experiments.

Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Lactobacillus* strains were cultivated anaerobically in MRS-broth (Difco, BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey)

Table 1	1 Strains	used in	this	study
---------	-----------	---------	------	-------

Strain	Reference or	S-layer protein		
	source	Name	Calculated Mw ^a (kDa)	
Lactobacillus amylovorus	porcine faeces [24]	SlpA	44	
DSM 16698		SIpB	50	
		SlpC	40	
<i>Lactobacillus amylovorus</i> DSM 20531 ^T	DSM, fermented corn silage	SIpA	44	
<i>Lactobacillus amylovorus</i> GRL 1112 (LAB 2)	porcine faeces [28]	SIpA	45	
<i>Lactobacillus amylovorus</i> GRL 1114 (LAB 8)	porcine faeces [28]	SIpA	43	
<i>Lactobacillus amylovorus</i> GRL 1115 (LAB 13)	porcine ileum [28]	SIpA	47	
<i>Lactobacillus amylovorus</i> GRL 1116 (LAB 16)	porcine jejunum [28]	SIpA	46	
Lactobacillus amylovorus	porcine jejunum [28]	SlpA	61	
GRL 1117 (LAB 31)		SIpB ^b	49	
<i>Lactobacillus amylovorus</i> GRL 1118 (LAB 52)	porcine jejunum [28]	SIpA	48	
Escherichia coli F4 ⁺ (ETEC)	[26]	_C	_c	
<i>Escherichia coli</i> ERF 2014; O141, F18 ⁺	DVB ^d	_C	_c	
<i>Escherichia coli</i> ATCC 43894; O157 (EHEC)	ATCC	_c	_c	
Salmonella typhimurium ATCC14028	ATCC	_c	_c	
Listeria monocytogenes R14-2-2	DVB ^d	_c	_c	
Yersinia enterocolitica R5-9-1	DVB ^d	_c	_C	
Escherichia coli DH5aF'	[31]	_c	_c	
Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3)	EMD Millipore	_c	_C	

^amolecular weight of mature protein ^bnot tested for adherence ^cnot present ^dculture collection of the Department of Veterinary Biosciences/Veterinary Microbiology and Epidemiology, University of Helsinki, Finland.

at +37°C. Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) was cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (Difco, BD) with agitation at +37°C in the experiments assessing the effect of *Lactobacillus* strains on ETEC adherence. The *E. coli* strains used for the cloning and expression of Slp-encoding genes were cultivated with agitation in LB broth, or in the heterologous gene expression, in M9ZB medium [30] at +37°C, with kanamycin (30 µg/ml) being added when appropriate. In the pathogen inhibition assays, all the pathogens were cultivated in tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates (Difco) and subcultured in tryptic soy broth (TSB, Difco) at +37°C with agitation.

Cell culture

The non-transformed continuous cell line IPEC-1, isolated from the small intestine of an unsuckled, newborn piglet [32] was used as a model for porcine small intestinal epithelium. The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium/Ham's F12 Nutrient Mixture (DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1]) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% insulin-transferrin-selenium (ITS), 16 mmol/L HEPES (all PAN-Biotech, Germany) and 5 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF; BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey) at 39°C and 5% CO₂. In the adhesion and adhesion inhibition experiments, the cells were seeded at a density of 2×10^5 /ml to a Transwell-like culture (Thincerts[™], 1 µm pore size, diameter 10 mm; Greiner bio-one, Frickenhausen, Germany) and cultured for 4-5 days to allow differentiation, until the transepithelial electric resistance (TEER) value was $\geq 1 \text{ k}\Omega \text{ cm}^2$.

Detection and expression analysis of *slp* genes in *L. amylovorus*

The presence of S-layer proteins on the surface of the L. amylovorus intestinal isolates GRL 1112 - GRL 1118 has previously been described [28]. The putative *slp* encoding genes were identified *in silico* in the draft genomes of the L. amylovorus strains based on homology with the publicly available L. acidophilus slp gene sequences. The identification of the expressed *slp* genes was based on the observed molecular weights of the proteins, obtained by analyzing overnight cultures of the strains by standard SDS-PAGE in 12% gels, and on the amino-terminal and/or internal amino acid sequences of the Slp:s. The amino-terminal sequences were obtained by an Edman-degradation-based Procise 494 HT sequencer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), and internal peptide sequences through a peptide mapping analysis: the proteins were digested in-gel by trypsin followed by analysis with liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) carried out with an EASY-nLC liquid chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) connected to a Velos Pro-Orbitrap Elite hybrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) with a nano-electrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Both aminoterminal sequencing and peptide mapping were performed in the Institute of Biotechnology (University of Helsinki, Finland).

Cloning and heterologous expression of the genes encoding *L. amylovorus* S-layer proteins

The expressed *slp* genes (see above) were amplified by PCR from the chromosomal DNA of the *L. amylovorus* strains, cloned as *NcoI-XhoI* –fragments in *E. coli* DH5 α F', sequenced to verify the correct open reading frames, and expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) as C-terminal hexahistidine tag-fusions, as described in the pET system manual (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and as previously reported [33]. Recombinant S-layer proteins were purified in the presence of 4 M guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCI) with His Trap HP columns (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The pooled protein fractions were dialysed against deionized water overnight at +4°C, centrifuged (15 000 g, 20 min, +4°C) and stored in aliquots at –80°C.

Purification of porcine intestinal mucus

The 8-week old pig used for mucus isolation was housed in a piggery of MTT Agrifood Research (Finland), treated in strict accordance with the recommendations of the Finnish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (Directive 2013-497) and EEC (Directive 86/609/EEC) for the care and use of animals in research, and sacrificed by bolt gun. As the pig used in this study was not specifically included in any experimental protocol on living animals before slaughtering, there was no ethical requirement for collecting mucus samples. The mucus isolation protocol was modified from [34]. Briefly, the small intestine was opened longitudinally and washed with cold phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) with 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) as a protease inhibitor (PBS-PMSF). Mucus was collected by gentle scraping into PBS-PMSF, centrifuged (17,000 g, 1 hour, +4°C) to remove cells and insoluble material, and the supernatant was homogenized in a domestic blender. The homogenate was concentrated in a Centricon Plus-70 filter unit (molecular weight cutoff 10,000), clarified by centrifugation (17,000 g, 30 min, +4°C), filtered twice through a glass fibre filter (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) and once through a 0.8 µm cellulose acetate filter (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany) and purified by gel filtration chromatography at +4°C in a Sephacryl S-200 HiPrep 16/60 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) at a flow rate of 1.8 ml/min with PBS as the eluent, monitoring the A_{280nm} values of 5 ml fractions. The protein-containing fractions were dialyzed against water and assayed for total protein by the method of Bradford (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using bovine serum albumin (BSA)

as a standard, and for glycoproteins using the Crypton^{**} Glycoprotein Staining Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), with porcine gastric mucins (Sigma), horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and soybean trypsin inhibitor (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) as standards. The void volume fractions with a high glycoprotein content were pooled, lyophilized and stored at -20° C.

Adherence of L. amylovorus strains to mucus

The adherence of the L. amylovorus strains to porcine gastric mucins (type II, Sigma) or to porcine small intestinal mucus was studied essentially as described earlier [35], but by using a nucleic acid binding fluorescent stain SYTO[®]9 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) rather than tritium for bacterial labeling, and PBS as the buffer. To label the bacterial cells in the experiments, the strains were cultivated overnight, collected, washed twice with 0.85% NaCl and suspended into the original volume of 0.85% NaCl, and then 1 µl of 5 mM SYTO®9 solution was added per 1 ml of cell suspension, followed by a 15 minute incubation in the dark with vigorous shaking, after which the cells were collected and washed twice with PBS. After the adherence assay, the input (added) and output (remaining) fluorescence values were measured in a microplate reader (Victor Multilabel Plate Reader, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) and the adherence was expressed as the proportion (%) of the original fluorescence remaining, after first subtracting the background fluorescence from mucus-coated wells without bacteria (for outputs) and from wells filled with PBS (for inputs).

Adherence of L. amylovorus strains to IPEC-1 cells

L. amylovorus strains were cultivated overnight in MRS-broth containing 10 µCi ³H-thymidine/ml for metabolic labeling, collected and washed twice with PBS. IPEC-1 cells grown on Thincert[™] wells were washed once with PBS, and 125 µl of the labeled bacterial suspensions in DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1] medium at A_{600nm} values of 0.25, 0.5 or 1 were added per well. The plates were incubated for one hour at +37°C, 5% CO₂ followed by five washes with PBS. The cells were lysed by adding 250 μl of 1% SDS in 0.1 M NaOH per well and by incubating overnight at +37°C, and the radioactivity of the lysed samples (output) was measured by liquid scintillation counting. The input radioactivity values were determined by liquid scintillation counting of the cell suspensions in DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1] (A_{600nm} = 0.25, 0.5 or 1), first treated with an equal volume of 1% SDS in 0.1 M NaOH overnight at +37°C. The adherence was expressed as the proportion (%) of the original radioactivity remaining, after first subtracting the background radioactivity from IPEC-1 cells incubated without bacteria (for outputs) and from DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1] medium (for inputs).

Inhibition of F4-fimbriated ETEC adherence to IPEC-1 cells by L. *amylovorus*

L. amylovorus cells were cultivated overnight, collected and washed twice with PBS. The F4⁺ ETEC strain was cultivated overnight in LB broth containing 10 μCi ³H-thymidine/ml for metabolic labeling. Labeled ETEC cells were collected and washed with PBS and the expression of F4 fimbriae was confirmed with the Fimbrex slide agglutination test kit (VLA Scientific, New Haw, UK). The inhibitory effects of the strains were tested in three different experimental set-ups: exclusion, competition and displacement. In each arrangement, 100 µl of L. amylovorus strains in DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1] medium ($A_{600} = 6$) were added to IPEC-1 cells; in exclusion assays 1 hour before, in displacement assays 1 hour after, and in competition assays simultaneously with the addition of 100 μ l of ³H –labeled ETEC in the same medium ($A_{600} = 0.6$). In the displacement assays, the unbound ETEC cells were removed by two washes with PBS before the addition of lactobacilli. The cells were further incubated for one hour at +37°C, 5% CO₂, followed by five washes with PBS. Finally, the cells were lysed by adding 250 µl of 1% SDS in 0.1 M NaOH per well and by incubating overnight at +37°C, and the radioactivity of the lysed samples was measured by liquid scintillation counting. The proportion of adherent ETEC cells (%) in the presence or absence of the L. amylovorus strains was calculated as in the adhesion experiments, and the inhibition (%) was calculated according to the formula: [adherence (no La) - adherence (with La)] / adherence (no La) x 100%, where La indicates L. amylovorus.

Growth inhibition of intestinal pathogens by the culture supernatants of *L. amylovorus*

The supernatants collected (650 g, 20 min, +4°C) from overnight cultures of the L. amylovorus strains were filter-sterilized through 0.22 µm pore-size filters and stored at -20° C. The inhibitory effects of the supernatants were assessed by monitoring the abilities of the pathogens to grow in the presence (10% V/V) of the supernatants in a microtiter plate format as previously described [36]. Briefly, the A_{600nm} values of the pathogen cultures were measured every 30 minutes with an automatic reader (Bioscreen C, Growth Curves Oy, Helsinki, Finland) in the presence or absence of pH-adjusted (pH 6.2) or nonadjusted culture supernatants at $36.5 \pm -0.5^{\circ}$ C, with three parallel wells for each supernatant and control. The inhibition was quantified using the area under the growth curve (AUC) obtained during the first 12 hours of growth, automatically created by the Research Express software (Transgalactic Ltd, Vantaa, Finland), and expressed as the area reduction percentage (ARP) as previously described [29]. Linear regression (SPSS) was used to estimate the relationship between the ARP values and colony forming unit (CFU) counts as previously described [36].

Isolation and generation of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDCs)

Leukocyte-rich buffy coats, donated by healthy volunteers, as well as the permission to use human leukocytes, were obtained from the Finnish Red Cross Blood Service. Monocytes were purified and cultured in vitro to generate moDCs using a method described earlier [37] with minor modifications. Briefly, peripheral blood mononuclear cells were first isolated by Ficoll-Paque (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) density gradient centrifugation using Leucosep separation tubes (Greiner Bio-One, Germany), followed by a Percoll (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) gradient centrifugation step. After magnetic beading using anti-CD3 and anti-CD19 beads (Dynal Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), monocytes were allowed to adhere to 24-well plates (Falcon, BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey) for 1 h in the presence of RPMI 1640 (Sigma) supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, penicillin and streptomycin (100 IU/ml) and 2 mM L-glutamine without serum. The adhering cells were washed twice with PBS, after which differentiation was induced by maintaining the cells in RPMI 1640 (supplemented as described above) containing 10% (v/v) FCS (Integro, Zaandam, the Netherlands), 10 ng/ml human recombinant granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF, Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), and 20 ng/ml human recombinant interleukin 4 (IL-4, Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). MoDCs were used on day 7 in the experiments. In each experiment, cells from four donors were used.

Stimulation of human moDCs and cytokine measurements

L. amylovorus strains were cultivated overnight, collected and washed with PBS. The A_{600nm} values of the bacterial suspensions were normalized, and the bacterial cells were added to human moDCs at the multiplicity of infection (MOI) 1, 10, and 100 in RPMI 1640 containing FCS, HEPES, antibiotics, and glutamine. The same medium without bacteria was used as a control. After 24 h, cell culture supernatants were collected and stored at -20°C before further analyses. The supernatants were analyzed with the Bio-Rad's Bio-Plex Pro Cytokine assay using the Bio-Plex -200 platform (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Human TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-10, and IL-12 quantification was performed for undiluted samples according to the manufacturer's instructions. Human IP-10/CXCL10 was measured separately with the OptEIA ELISA kit (BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey) using samples diluted with sample matrix RPMI 1640 medium.

Purification of cell wall fragments (CWF) and coating of CWF by recombinant S-layer proteins

Cell wall fragments were purified from *L. amylovorus* cells as described earlier [33]. Purified cell walls were

lyophilized and stored as suspensions in water at -20°C. In order to coat the cell walls, the affinity purified recombinant S-layer proteins were dissolved in 5 M GuHCl at a concentration of 30 µg/ml, dialyzed against 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) at +4°C overnight and centrifuged $(40,000 \text{ g}, 30 \text{ min}, +4^{\circ}\text{C})$ to remove large protein aggregates. The protein concentrations of the supernatants were determined by the Bradford method, immediately after which the supernatant proteins and the cell walls were combined in a ratio 1:4 (W/W) and incubated overnight at +4°C with rotation. The coated CWF were collected by centrifugation (25,000 g, 30 min, +4°C), resuspended into DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1] medium and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. To verify the absence of large protein aggregates among the coated CWF, the preparations in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) were routinely negative stained by uranyl acetate (5 min on ice) and observed by JEOL 1200-EX II transmission electron microscope at the operating voltage of 80 kV.

Adherence of S-layer protein-coated cell wall fragments to IPEC-1 cells

CWF to be used as uncoated controls were labeled by EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions by adding 0.5 mg of label per 500 µg of CWF (dry weight). IPEC-1 cells grown on Thincert™ wells were washed once with PBS, and 80 µg of Slp-coated or uncoated CWF in the total volume of 100 µl DMEM/Ham's F-12 [1:1] medium was added per well, corresponding to approximately 8 µg (0.13-0.18 nmol) of each S-layer protein per well containing 2.5 x 10⁵ IPEC-1 cells. The plate was incubated for two hours at +37°C and 5% CO2 followed by four washes with PBS. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 10 minutes at room temperature and washed three times with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Slp-coated CWF were detected by an indirect immunofluorescence staining with Slp-specific immunoglobulins (20 µg/ml, purified by Hi Trap columns, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) and AlexaFluor488-conjugated secondary antibodies (2 µg/ml, LifeTechnologies, Carlsbad, California), all in PBS-0.1% BSA, and uncoated cell walls were detected by staining with AlexaFluor488-conjugated streptavidin (2 µg/ml, LifeTechnologies, Carlsbad, California) in PBS-0.1% BSA. The bottoms of the Thincert[™] wells were prepared for microscopy and observed in a Leica DM 4000B epifluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The mean number of adherent CWF was quantitated from 20 randomly selected fields of 3.5 x $10^4 \,\mu\text{m}^2$, and representative photographs were taken with the Olympus DP70 digital camera system with the cell^P imaging software (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

Results

Adherence of L. amylovorus strains to mucus

The adherence of the *L. amylovorus* strains to commercially available porcine gastric mucins and to mucus isolated from the small intestine of a 8-week old pig were examined using *L. amylovorus* cells labeled by the DNA-binding stain SYTO[®]9 (Figure 1). None of the strains bound extensively to porcine gastric mucins, i.e., typically less than 1% of the original amount of cells remained mucin-bound (Figure 1A). The same was true for porcine intestinal mucus, where the proportion of adhering bacterial cells was usually 2% or less (Figure 1B). The very high variation between the experiments and the lack of any consistent dose–response of binding (data not shown) supported the conclusions.

Adherence of L. amylovorus strains to IPEC-1 cells

In contrast to mucus binding, clear differences were observed in the adherence of the *L. amylovorus* strains to porcine small intestinal epithelial cells as represented by the cell line IPEC-1 (Figure 2A). The previously reported binding of *L. amylovorus* DSM 16698 to IPEC-1 cells [26] was confirmed, and the adherence of the strains GRL 1112 and GRL 1115 was found to be within a similar



range, though the three strains displayed high variabilities in different experiments. In contrast, the type strain of *L. amylovorus*, DSM 20531^T, isolated from silage, and the rest of the porcine intestinal isolates were clearly less adhesive. An example of the dose–response of binding is shown in Figure 2B.

Inhibition of F4-fimbriated ETEC adherence to IPEC-1 cells by *L. amylovorus*

IPEC-1 cells have been shown to support the adherence of E. coli carrying F4-type fimbriae [38]. Next the L. amylovorus strains were tested in three different experimental set-ups (exclusion, displacement and competition as described in Methods) to evaluate if the observed differences in their adherence to IPEC-1 cells correlated with their abilities to inhibit ETEC adherence in the same model. The results were evaluated by comparing the adherence of ETEC in the presence or absence of the L. amylovorus strains. The strains DSM 16698, GRL 1112, GRL 1115 and GRL 1118 were able to inhibit pathogen adherence if they were added beforehand (exclusion, Figure 3A) or simultaneously with ETEC (competition, Figure 3B); the strain DSM 20531^T achieved only a borderline inhibition when added beforehand (Figure 3A), and the rest of the strains had a negligible or even a slightly enhancing effect on ETEC binding in both assays (Figures 3A and B). Importantly, none of the strains was able to displace previously bound ETEC from IPEC-1 cells (displacement, Figure 3C).

Inhibition of pathogen growth by the culture supernatants of *L. amylovorus*

The filter-sterilized culture supernatants of the L. amylovorus strains were assayed for their abilities to inhibit the growth of various intestinal pathogens (Figure 4). All the supernatants markedly inhibited the growth of the test pathogens. For instance, the supernatants of the strains DSM 20531^T and GRL 1117 reduced the growth of F4-fimbriated E.coli by more than 100 000-fold and the growth of Salmonella typhimurium almost by a factor of 10 000. The growth of F4-fimbriated E. coli was most efficiently inhibited. The pH values of the supernatants varied from 3.8 to 4.5. It is notable that the reductions in pathogen counts inversely correlated with the pH values of the supernatants (Figure 4), and culture supernatants which had been adjusted to the pH of plain MRS lowered the pathogen counts by much less than tenfold (data not shown), indicating that the inhibition was mainly due to the low pH associated with lactic acid production.

Cytokine induction in moDCs by L. amylovorus

The S-layer-carrying *L. acidophilus* strain NCFM interacts with human DCs eliciting an anti-inflammatory IL-10 response and it promotes the Th_2 -differentiation of T-cells through DC:s; the S-layer protein has been shown to have



a role in this response [12]. Prompted by these findings, we examined the potential of the phylogenetically closely related, S-layer-carrying L. amylovorus strains to induce immune signaling in human DCs. As shown in Figure 5, when tested at the bacteria/DC ratio of 100:1, clear differences between the levels of cytokines induced by the strains were observed. Interestingly, the anti-inflammatory response induced by L. acidophilus NCFM was not observed with the L. amylovorus strains. Instead, our strains typically induced a mixed cytokine response with the release of both proinflammatory (TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-12, IP-10/CXCL10) and anti-inflammatory (IL-10) cytokines from human DCs. Furthermore, the strain GRL 1116, which was most potent at inducing proinflammatory cytokines, induced also the highest levels of the antiinflammatory cytokine IL-10. Analogously, the strain DSM 20531^T and GRL 1115 were among the weakest



inducers of both pro-and anti-inflammatory cytokines. At the lower MOI values of 1 and 10, no clear induction of any of the cytokines was observed in comparison to the negative control (data not shown).

Genomic characterization of L. amylovorus Slp:s

To initiate comparative studies on the role of *L. amylovorus* surface layer proteins in the probiotic interactions described above, the numbers and sequence similarities of the *slp* genes in the genomes of the strains were initially analysed. The genomic investigation of the eight strains revealed several *slp* genes in each strain. Genes with homology



to L. acidophilus NCFM slpA and slpB [12] were identified, and the homologous L. amylovorus genes were named *slpA* and *slpB*, respectively. Furthermore, *slp*-like genes of a third type were detected in all of the eight genomes and these were designated as *slpC*. The *slp* sequences, along with the deduced amino acid sequences, are shown in Additional file 1. All the eight strains studied carried only one *slpA*-homologue, except for GRL 1117, which had two distinct slpA-like genes (slpA1 and slpA2). Only one slpB-homologue was identified in GRL 1112, 1114, 1115, 1116, 1117 and 1118 as well as in DSM 16698, whereas DSM 20531^T carried two *slpB*-like genes (*slpB1* and *slpB2*). The highest variation was found in the number of *slpC*-type genes: strains DSM 20531^T and GRL 1115 carried one, DSM 16698 possessed three (slpC1, slpC2, slpC3), and the rest of the strains had two *slpC*-type genes (*slpC1* and *slpC2*). Exceptionally, the gene slpC3 of DSM 16698 was found to be located on a plasmid. A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the deduced amino acid sequences of the *slpA*, *slpB* and *slpC* gene products (Figure 6). The tree clearly shows that the SlpA-like sequences have diversified most during evolution, while the SlpB-type proteins have remained more similar to each other whereas the predicted SlpC-type proteins could be clustered into three distinct groups.

Expression analysis of *slp* genes and comparison of surface-located Slp:s *in silico*

In an attempt to reveal which of the identified slp genes encoded the S-layer protein bands seen in the surface protein profiles of the strains (Figure 7), either an aminoterminal sequencing or a peptide mapping analysis was performed for the proteins, and the results were compared with the genomic sequence data. In this study, the major S-layer protein bands of the L. amylovorus isolates GRL 1112-GRL 1118 [28] were all shown to be encoded by slpA-like genes. The surface protein profiles of the strains DSM 16698 and DSM 20531^T also revealed one major protein band, approximately 45 kDa in size (Figure 7), and, based on N-terminal sequencing, this represented the protein encoded by *slpA*. The presence of an S-layer on the surface of L. amylovorus DSM 16698 and DSM 20531^T was thus confirmed in this study. Furthermore, the two additional surface protein bands of DSM 16698, approximately 50 kDa and 40 kDa in size, were found to represent the products of *slpB*- and *slpC*-like sequences, respectively. Of the three *slpC*-type genes present in the DSM 16698 genome, the plasmid-borne version, *slpC3*, was found to be expressed. Despite the presence of the SlpC-encoding gene on a plasmid, the SlpC band was invariably present in the SDS-PAGE profile of DSM 16698. In indirect immunofluorescence assays, SlpA and SlpB of DSM 16698 were identified on the bacterial surface as predicted. In contrast, SlpC remained undetectable, suggesting that the location of SlpC is not accessible to antibodies due to shielding by other cell envelope components (data not shown). The expressed *slp* genes of the L. amylovorus strains are highlighted in Figure 6.

The designations and calculated molecular weights of the S-layer proteins present on the bacterial surface are summarized in Table 1, and the deduced amino acid sequences of these proteins are found in Additional file 1. The analysis of the Slp amino acid sequences revealed the typical



features of *Lactobacillus* S-layer proteins, including a high predicted pI value (9.1-9.6) and a very low proportion of sulfur-containing amino acids [8]. A pairwise comparison of the amino acid sequence similarities of these proteins is shown in Table 2. An amino acid sequence alignment of these Slp:s and the major, surface-located S-layer proteins of *L. acidophilus* NCFM (SlpA, GenBank AAV42070) and *L. crispatus* JCM 5810 (CbsA, GenBank AF001313) is shown in Additional file 2. All the *L. amylovorus* S-layer proteins, with the exception of SlpC, display significant overall similarity to the *L. acidophilus* NCFM and *L. crispatus* Slp:s, with the signal peptides and the carboxy-terminal thirds of the sequences being particularly well conserved.

The role of S-layer proteins in adherence to IPEC-1 cells

The poor water-solubility of *Lactobacillus* S-layer proteins, resulting from the inherent self-assembly property of bacterial S-layers *in vitro*, sets limitations on what methods can be used to assess the adherence of S-layer proteins to a particular target. In order to avoid potential

unspecific effects associated with protein precipitation in adhesion experiments, a protein presentation system, based on purified L. amylovorus cell wall fragments as S-layer protein carriers, was developed and used to study the role of the surface-located L. amylovorus Slp:s in adhering to IPEC-1 cells (see Figure 8B for an electron micrograph of purified CWF). This method is based on the inherent tendency of S-layer proteins to recrystallize in a native manner on CWF [42,43], which have been purified in such a way to remove all of the non-covalently attached components (including the endogenous S-laver proteins), but preserving the covalently attached polymeric components like teichoic acids and polysaccharides, thus ensuring the proper self-assembly of the recombinant Slp:s. However, purified cell wall fragments are of low density and have poor contrast, necessitating specific staining if one wishes to detect the CWF on epithelial cells. For Slp-coated CWF, an indirect immunofluorescence staining procedure with Slp-specific antibodies was used, but as we failed to obtain functional antibodies against purified cell wall



fragments (data not shown), the detection of uncoated control CWF was based on their prior biotinylation and staining with labeled streptavidin after the adherence assay.

Figure 8A shows the adherence of CWF, coated or uncoated by L. amylovorus cell surface-located Slp:s, to IPEC-1 cells. In Figures 8C-H, micrographs illustrating the results of the binding assay in (A) are shown. The adherence of all uncoated CWF was negligible, as exemplified by the adherence of the CWF of the strain DSM 16698 in Figure 8C. The major Slp:s of the L. amylovorus strains DSM 16698 (Figure 8D), GRL 1112 and GRL 1115 adhered poorly to IPEC-1 cells, although the intact cells of these strains were adhesive (Figure 2). The minor S-layer like protein SlpB of DSM 16698 exhibited some adhesiveness (Figure 8E), when compared to SlpA (Figure 8D) or SlpC (Figure 8 F) of the same strain. Surprisingly, the S-layer protein SlpA of the weakly adhering strain GRL 1117 (Figure 8H) and, to a lesser extent, the Slp:s of some of the other weakly adhering strains, e.g. DSM 20531^T (Figure 8G) and GRL 1118 also displayed affinity for IPEC-1 cells.

As detailed in Methods, special care was taken to minimize the formation of S-layer protein precipitates



during the coating procedure of CWF. However, the presence of small Slp aggregates, as indicated by the small, dot-like, immunoreactive material among the coated cell walls, could not be completely avoided (see Figure 8G as an example). However, the quantification of the result by microscopic counting made it possible to ignore this undesirable signal, probably originating from unspecific and/ or irrelevant binding.

Discussion

In this study, seven porcine intestinal *L. amylovorus* strains, and the type strain of *L. amylovorus*, which is not of intestinal origin, were characterized *in vitro* for their abilities 1) to adhere to porcine mucus 2) to bind to epithelial cells of the pig small intestine, 3) to inhibit the adherence of an F4-fimbriated ETEC strain to porcine intestinal epithelial cells, 4) to produce soluble inhibitors against intestinal pathogens and 5) to induce immune signaling in dendritic cells.

None of the eight strains studied exhibited any efficient adherence to porcine gastric or intestinal mucus, as the level of adherence was around 2% or less. In previous studies, lactic acid bacteria or pathogens exhibiting similar levels of adhesion have been among the least adhesive strains, and they are considered to be non-adhering [35,44,45]. In addition, the highly variable adherence of our strains in the different experiments strongly suggests that the binding was nonspecific e.g. being due to hydrophobic interactions, a common complication encountered in interpreting the results of mucus binding studies [46,47]. Furthermore, the analysis of the genomic sequences of *L. amylovorus* GRL 1115 and

	DSM16698_ SIpA	DSM16698_ SlpB	DSM16698_ SlpC3	DSM20531_ SlpA	GRL1112_ SIpA	GRL1114_ SlpA	GRL1115_ SIpA	GRL1116_ SlpA	GRL1117_ SlpA2	GRL1117_ SlpB	GRL1118_ SlpA
DSM16698_SlpA	100 ¹										
DSM16698_SlpB	26.8	100									
DSM16698_SlpC3	12.5	16.9	100								
DSM20531_SlpA	48.2	22.7	15.1	100							
GRL1112_SlpA	36.1	25.4	13.0	34.8	100						
GRL1114_SlpA	51.7	27.2	14.1	53.6	36.9	100					
GRL1115_SlpA	53.7	21.1	14.1	55.0	38.6	48.9	100				
GRL1116_SlpA	53.1	21.5	13.3	53.2	38.6	48.4	95.5	100			
GRL1117_SlpA2	37.0	20.0	12.5	37.3	47.8	32.1	34.5	32.6	100		
GRL1117_SlpB	24.0	69.6	17.1	20.2	21.7	22.6	21.2	21.2	22.0	100	
GRL1118_SlpA	49.4	23.3	12.5	53.6	36.0	48.8	54.2	55.8	35.0	18.9	100

Table 2 Amino acid sequence similarities between *L. amylovorus* S-layer proteins present on the bacterial surface

¹Pairwise scores were calculated for each pair of sequences by calculating the number of identities in the best CLUSTALW alignment, and by dividing by the number of residues compared (gap positions were excluded).



GRL 1116 revealed the complete absence of genes encoding putative mucus binding proteins in these strains (unpublished). Although the presence of unidentified mucus adhesins cannot be completely excluded, the level of adherence of these strains to mucus can be considered as negligible. Both efficiently and poorly mucus-binding *Lactobacillus* strains have been isolated from the intestine

and milk of swine [48-51], and the lack of a mucusadhering capability is not uncommon among the widely used human probiotic lactobacilli [52]. Furthermore, growth conditions not tested in this study, such as cultivation on a solid medium or the addition of mucin to the standard culture, might have triggered the mucus-binding capacities of the strains, as described previously for *L*. *reuteri* [48]. Other binding functions, such as the capacity to adhere to extracellular matrix components, have previously been described for these GRL-strains [28].

The adherence of the L. amylovorus strain DSM 16698 to IPEC-1 cells and its ability to inhibit the binding of ETEC to IPEC-1 cells in a competition-type assay have been demonstrated previously [26]. In this study, the reported adhesion of DSM 16698 to IPEC-1 cells was confirmed, and the adherence of GRL 1112 and 1115 was found to be at a similar level. These three well-adhering strains were also able to inhibit the adherence of ETEC to IPEC-1 cells in competition and exclusion assays. Surprisingly, the poorly adhesive strain GRL 1118 similarly inhibited ETEC adherence, suggesting that mechanisms other than competitive binding were involved in the inhibition, e.g., secreted inhibitory factors or coaggregation with the pathogen [53]. However, the spent culture supernatant of GRL 1118 did not reduce the growth of F4-positive ETEC more than the culture supernatants of the other GRL strains, and the growth inhibition in all cases was mainly attributable to the production of lactic acid. The production of substances specifically able to inhibit adherence was not tested in this study. Thus, at present we have no clear explanation for the observed inhibition of ETEC binding to IPEC-1 cells by GRL 1118.

One of the main mechanisms of probiotic action in the gastrointestinal tract is the modulation of mucosal and systemic immune responses [54]. These immunomodulatory properties, including immunoregulatory and tolerancepromoting, as well as pro-inflammatory functions, have been suggested to result from the stimulation of mucosal dendritic cells by probiotic bacteria [55,56]. Many different Lactobacillus species have been shown to modulate dendritic cell responses in studies with human or murine DCs [12,55-63]. L. reuteri ASM 20016, L. casei NIZO B255 [55] and L. acidophilus NCFM [12] specifically bind the DC-SIGN molecule (dendritic cell specific C-type lectin intercellular adhesion molecule 3-grabbing non-integrin) on dendritic cells, triggering the differentiation of naïve T cells towards the T_{reg} [55] or Th₂ [12] functional types. The bacterial component of L. acidophilus NCFM which interacts with DC-SIGN is its S-layer protein SlpA [12]. However, proinflammatory or Th₁-polarising effects in DCs have also been described for NCFM [64-66]. These responses have been attributed to either lipoteichoic acid [66] or the S-layer associated protein encoded by the gene in locus Lba-1029 of NCFM [67]. L. amylovorus and L. acidophilus are phylogenetically closely related [68], and the S-layer protein of NCFM shows remarkable amino acid sequence similarity with the major Slp:s of the L. amylovorus strains studied (see Additional file 2). These findings led us to investigate the potential of our S-layercarrying L. amylovorus isolates to induce cytokine production in human DCs. There were evident strain-specific differences in L. amylovorus immunomodulating capacities, but no clear preference was noted for any of the strains for inducing cytokines to drive the immune response exclusively towards either the Th₁ or the Th₂ type. Instead, most of the strains induced both pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α and IL-6), IL-12 favouring a Th₁ response and IL-10 favouring a Th₂-type response, a phenomenon that has also been demonstrated for *L. gasseri* strains [63]. Although the specific immunomodulating surface molecules of L. amylovorus remained unidentified, the results of this work emphasize the importance of strain-specific differences in the immunomodulating capacities and are thus in line with previous studies [57,59,61,63]. Considering the probiotic potential of lactobacilli, it is clear that for the optimal performance of the complex immune system, Th1, Th2 and Treg responses have to be balanced. The most successful manipulation by probiotics will also depend on the dose and strain combination of probiotic bacteria, the type of pathogen challenge, and the specific environmental conditions [55,57,69].

In the in silico analysis of the L. amylovorus S-layer proteins, we found that the amino acid sequences of the L. amylovorus Slp:s studied, excluding SlpC, were very similar to the amino acid sequence of L. acidophilus NCFM SlpA, especially in the carboxy-terminal region, a phenomenon observed among the S-layer proteins of other L. acidophilusrelated lactobacilli as well [70]. The pattern of conservation apparently reflects the well-known role of the carboxyterminal domains in cell wall binding [70,71], and strongly suggests that the cell-wall binding function also resides in the carboxy-terminal region in L. amylovorus Slp:s. The amino-terminal parts of L. amylovorus Slp:s, apparently facing the environment, are more variable, but the valine-rich regions, which flank the aminoterminal domain in the S-layer protein CbsA of L. crispatus, and which have been shown to be important for the self-assembly of CbsA monomers [70], were however conserved in most of the studied L. amylovorus S-layer proteins.

S-layers typically form the outermost layer of the bacterial cell, making them attractive candidates for being involved in adherence to host cells. However, attempts to create completely S-layer negative *Lactobacillus* mutants have been unsuccessful [11,72-74], emphasizing the necessity of at least one functional S-layer protein for lactobacilli and compelling us to investigate the role of these proteins by utilizing protein-level methods rather than with knockout mutants. However, as Slp:s are poorly soluble, the presence of S-layer proteins in an aggregated form in the assays may evoke unspecific effects and compromise the reliability of the results. Indeed, due to the methodological difficulties related to the poor water-solubility of S-layer proteins, the results of most of the previous reports examining the role for *Lactobacillus* S-layers in adherence have remained

ambiguous, and so far only a few Lactobacillus S-layer proteins have been convincingly shown to act as epithelial cell adhesins or to bind to extracellular matrix proteins or immune cells [9-13]. In our experiments, we created a protein presentation system based on the self-assembly of recombinant L. amylovorus S-layer proteins on purified cell wall fragments from each strain. The method of coating the CWF was designed to minimize Slp precipitation being based on the finding that even in an aqueous buffer, a small fraction of Slp molecules remains visibly unprecipitated, and this dilute protein fraction can be separated from the precipitate by centrifugation, as previously described [33]. In the carrier system, the CWF-protein complexes are handled similarly as whole bacterial cells and thus the method largely evades the solubility-related problems. Furthermore, it allowed the use of uncoated CWF as controls and the presentation of the proteins in the native, symmetric organization observed on the bacterial surface, i.e. the obtained results possess real biological relevance.

The results of the adhesion experiments clearly indicated that none of the major S-layer proteins of the L. amylovorus strains on their own mediated bacterial adherence to IPEC-1 cells: when compared to uncoated cell walls, all the proteins exhibited at least a low level of adherence irrespective of the adhesive capacity of the bacterial strain from which the protein had originated. However, the putative co-operative role in adherence of other non-covalently attached cell wall components (e.g. Slp-associated proteins), removed during the preparation of CWF, cannot be completely excluded. On the other hand, the finding that the S-layer protein of the poorly adherent strain GRL 1117 bound highly efficiently to IPEC-1 cells suggests that some component(s) on GRL 1117 shield(s) the S-layer proteins, preventing them from interacting with IPEC-1 cells. An analogous phenomenon has been observed in Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG: the exopolysaccharide component shields the mucus-binding fimbriae, reducing the adhesive capacity of the strain for mucus [75,76]. Genes putatively participating in exopolysaccharide synthesis have been identified in all of the L. amylovorus strains studied (unpublished), but so far no biochemical evidence of their presence has been described.

Conclusions

The swine intestinal *L. amylovorus* strains investigated in this study varied in their abilities to adhere to the porcine small intestinal epithelial cell line IPEC-1, while none of the strains adhered efficiently to porcine gastric or intestinal mucus. Several of the strains markedly inhibited the adherence of F4-fimbriated ETEC to IPEC-1 cells, and all inhibited the growth of various intestinal pathogens *in vitro*. The abilities of the strains to adhere to IPEC-1 cells were often associated with, but were not necessary for, the exclusion of F4-fimbriated ETEC from these cells, suggesting that

additional mechanisms, other than competitive binding, were involved in the inhibition. The major S-layer proteins of the strains alone did not mediate the adherence of the strains to IPEC-1 cells. The immunological responses induced in human dendritic cells by the strains were of varying intensity and of a mixed type with both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines induced, with the same strain being the most potent inducer of both types of cytokines. The results indicate that while some commensals show adhesive capacity to epithelial cells, many may co-exist and benefit the host by mechanisms not attributable to adhesion to epithelial cells or mucus. The results warrant further studies of these swine intestinal strains if they are to be developed as probiotic feed supplements.

Additional files

Additional file 1: *L. amylovorus slp* sequences. A .docx-file showing the nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the *slp* genes of the *L. amylovorus* strains studied.

Additional file 2: Amino acid sequence alignment of *L. amylovorus*, *L. acidophilus* and *L. crispatus* S-layer proteins. A tiff-image showing the alignment of the amino acid sequences of the surface-located Slp:s of the *L. amylovorus* strains studied, SlpA of *L. acidophilus* NCFM (LA, GenBank AAV42070) and CbsA of *L. crispatus* JCM 5810 (LC, GenBank AF001313), aligned by ClustalW available at www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/.

Abbreviations

CWF: Cell wall fragments; DC: Dendritic cell; DMEM: Dulbecco's modified eagle medium; EHEC: Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*; ETEC: Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*; F-12: F-12 Nutrient Mixture; FCS: Fetal calf serum; GuHCI: Guanidine hydrochloride; HEPES: 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; moDC: Monocyte-derived dendritic cell; MOI: Multiplicity of infection; MRS: de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; PMSF: Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; S-layer: Surface layer; SIp: Surface layer protein.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

UH planned and performed most of the experiments and prepared the manuscript. RK was responsible for the *in silico* genomic analyses, TEP for the immunological and TL for the growth inhibition experiments; RK, TEP and TL also wrote sections of the manuscript. KH and JB participated in performing bacterial adhesion and ETEC binding inhibition experiments, HS had an accessory role in the management of the genomic data. SÅJ participated in the detection and expression analysis of *slp* genes and performed approximately half of the cloning and heterologous expression work. AP supervised and designed the study and participated in writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was performed in the Centre of Excellence on Microbial Food Safety Research, Academy of Finland (Grant 141140), and supported by the European Union (Grant 22749 in the 7th Framework Programme) and by the Finnish Graduate School on Applied Bioscience (ABS). We thank Elena Mengheri for the ETEC strain and Anja Osola and Esa Pohjolainen for skilled technical assistance.

Author details

¹Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Division of Microbiology and Epidemiology, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 66, Helsinki 00014, Finland. ²Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, Laboratory of Antibiotic, Enzyme, Probiotic and Starter Culture Technologies, University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, Zagreb 10000, Croatia. ³Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University, Dreijenplein 10, Wageningen NL-6703 HB, The Netherlands. Received: 19 February 2014 Accepted: 12 July 2014 Published: 28 July 2014

References

- 1. Lalles JP, Bosi P, Smidt H, Stokes CR: Nutritional management of gut health in pigs around weaning. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2007, 66:260–268.
- Jin LZ, Zhao X: Intestinal receptors for adhesive fimbriae of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) K88 in swine–a review. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2000, 54:311–318.
- Shepard SM, Danzeisen JL, Isaacson RE, Seemann T, Achtman M, Johnson TJ: Genome sequences and phylogenetic analysis of K88- and F18-positive porcine enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 2012, 194:395–405.
- Abe F, Ishibashi N, Shimamura S: Effect of administration of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria to newborn calves and piglets. J Dairy Sci 1995, 78:2838–2846.
- Giang HH, Viet TQ, Ogle B, Lindberg JE: Growth performance, digestibility, gut environment and health status in weaned piglets fed a diet supplemented with potentially probiotic complexes of lactic acid bacteria. *Livest Sci* 2010, 129:95–103.
- Zhang L, Xu YQ, Liu HY, Lai T, Ma JL, Wang JF, Zhu YH: Evaluation of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG using an Escherichia coli K88 model of piglet diarrhoea: Effects on diarrhoea incidence, faecal microflora and immune responses. Vet Microbiol 2010, 141:142–148.
- Gebert S, Davis E, Rehberger T, Maxwell CV: *Lactobacillus brevis* strain 1E1 administered to piglets through milk supplementation prior to weaning maintains intestinal integrity after the weaning event. *Benef Microbes* 2011, 2:35–45.
- Hynönen U, Palva A: Lactobacillus surface layer proteins: structure, function and applications. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2013, 97:5225–5243.
- Toba T, Virkola R, Westerlund B, Björkman Y, Sillanpää J, Vartio T, Kalkkinen N, Korhonen TK: A Collagen-Binding S-Layer Protein in *Lactobacillus crispatus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1995, 61:2467–2471.
- Hynönen U, Westerlund-Wikström B, Palva A, Korhonen TK: Identification by flagellum display of an epithelial cell- and fibronectin-binding function in the SIpA surface protein of *Lactobacillus brevis*. J Bacteriol 2002, 184:3360–3367.
- Buck BL, Altermann E, Svingerud T, Klaenhammer TR: Functional analysis of putative adhesion factors in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005, 71:8344–8351.
- Konstantinov SR, Smidt H, de Vos WM, Bruijns SC, Singh SK, Valence F, Molle D, Lortal S, Altermann E, Klaenhammer TR, van Kooyk Y: S layer protein A of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM regulates immature dendritic cell and T cell functions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008, 105:19474–19479.
- Sun Z, Kong J, Hu S, Kong W, Lu W, Liu W: Characterization of a S-layer protein from *Lactobacillus crispatus* K313 and the domains responsible for binding to cell wall and adherence to collagen. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2013, 97:1941–1952.
- Doig P, Emödy L, Trust TJ: Binding of laminin and fibronectin by the trypsin-resistant major structural domain of the crystalline virulence surface array protein of *Aeromonas salmonicida*. J Biol Chem 1992, 267:43–49.
- Noonan B, Trust TJ: The synthesis, secretion and role in virulence of the paracrystalline surface protein layers of *Aeromonas salmonicida* and *A. hydrophila*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1997, **154**:1–7.
- Calabi E, Calabi F, Phillips AD, Fairweather NF: Binding of Clostridium difficile surface layer proteins to gastrointestinal tissues. Infect Immun 2002, 70:5770–5778.
- Sakakibara J, Nagano K, Murakami Y, Higuchi N, Nakamura H, Shimozato K, Yoshimura F: Loss of adherence ability to human gingival epithelial cells in S-layer protein-deficient mutants of *Tannerella forsythensis*. *Microbiol* 2007, 153:866–876.
- Poppinga L, Janesch B, Funfhaus A, Sekot G, Garcia-Gonzalez E, Hertlein G, Hedtke K, Schaffer C, Genersch E: Identification and functional analysis of the S-layer protein SplA of *Paenibacillus larvae*, the causative agent of American Foulbrood of honey bees. *PLoS Pathog* 2012, 8:e1002716.
- Merrigan MM, Venugopal A, Roxas JL, Anwar F, Mallozzi MJ, Roxas BA, Gerding DN, Viswanathan VK, Vedantam G: Surface-layer protein A (SlpA) is a major contributor to host-cell adherence of *Clostridium difficile*. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e78404.
- Naito S, Hayashidani H, Kaneko K, Ogawa M, Benno Y: Development of intestinal lactobacilli in normal piglets. J Appl Bacteriol 1995, 79:230–236.

- Mulder IE, Schmidt B, Stokes CR, Lewis M, Bailey M, Aminov RI, Prosser JI, Gill BP, Pluske JR, Mayer CD, Musk CC, Kelly D: Environmentally-acquired bacteria influence microbial diversity and natural innate immune responses at gut surfaces. *BMC Biol* 2009, **7**:79. 7007-7-79.
- Konstantinov SR, Awati AA, Williams BA, Miller BG, Jones P, Stokes CR, Akkermans AD, Smidt H, de Vos WM: Post-natal development of the porcine microbiota composition and activities. *Environ Microbiol* 2006, 8:1191–1199.
- Marti R, Dabert P, Ziebal C, Pourcher AM: Evaluation of Lactobacillus sobrius/L. amylovorus as a new microbial marker of pig manure. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010, 76:1456–1461.
- Konstantinov SR, Poznanski E, Fuentes S, Akkermans AD, Smidt H, de Vos WM: *Lactobacillus sobrius* sp. nov., abundant in the intestine of weaning piglets. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006, 56:29–32.
- Jakava-Viljanen M, Murros A, Palva A, Björkroth KJ: Lactobacillus sobrius Konstantinov et al. 2006 is a later synonym of Lactobacillus amylovorus Nakamura 1981. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2008, 58:910–913.
- Roselli M, Finamore A, Britti MS, Konstantinov SR, Smidt H, de Vos WM, Mengheri E: The novel porcine *Lactobacillus sobrius* strain protects intestinal cells from enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* K88 infection and prevents membrane barrier damage. J Nutr 2007, 137:2709–2716.
- Konstantinov SR, Smidt H, Akkermans AD, Casini L, Trevisi P, Mazzoni M, De Filippi S, Bosi P, de Vos WM: Feeding of *Lactobacillus sobrius* reduces *Escherichia coli* F4 levels in the gut and promotes growth of infected piglets. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2008, 66:599–607.
- Jakava-Viljanen M, Palva A: Isolation of surface (S) layer protein carrying Lactobacillus species from porcine intestine and faeces and characterization of their adhesion properties to different host tissues. Vet Microbiol 2007, 124:264–273.
- Lähteinen T, Malinen E, Koort JM, Mertaniemi-Hannus U, Hankimo T, Karikoski N, Pakkanen S, Laine H, Sillanpää H, Söderholm H, Palva A: Probiotic properties of Lactobacillus isolates originating from porcine intestine and feces. Anaerobe 2010, 16:293–300.
- Studier FW: Use of bacteriophage T7 lysozyme to improve an inducible T7 expression system. J Mol Biol 1991, 219:37–44.
- Woodcock DM, Crowther PJ, Doherty J, Jefferson S, DeCruz E, Noyer-Weidner M, Smith SS, Michael MZ, Graham MW: Quantitative evaluation of *Escherichia coli* host strains for tolerance to cytosine methylation in plasmid and phage recombinants. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1989, 17:3469–3478.
- Gonzalez-Vallina R, Wang H, Zhan R, Berschneider HM, Lee RM, Davidson NO, Black DD: Lipoprotein and apolipoprotein secretion by a newborn piglet intestinal cell line (IPEC-1). Am J Physiol 1996, 271:G249–G259.
- Åvall-Jääskeläinen S, Hynönen U, Ilk N, Pum D, Sleytr UB, Palva A: Identification and characterization of domains responsible for self-assembly and cell wall binding of the surface layer protein of *Lactobacillus brevis* ATCC 8287. *BMC Microbiol* 2008, 8:165.
- MacAdam AB, Shafi ZB, Marriott C, Martin GP, James SL: Anti-mucus polyclonal antibody production, purification and linkage to the surface of albumin microspheres. *Int J Pharm* 2000, 195:147–158.
- Collado MC, Gueimonde M, Hernandez M, Sanz Y, Salminen S: Adhesion of selected *Bifidobacterium* strains to human intestinal mucus and the role of adhesion in enteropathogen exclusion. *J Food Prot* 2005, 68:2672–2678.
- Skyttä E, Mattila-Sandholm T: A quantitative method for assessing bacteriocins and other food antimicrobials by automated turbidometry. *J Microbiol Methods* 1991, 14:77–88.
- Veckman V, Miettinen M, Pirhonen J, Siren J, Matikainen S, Julkunen I: Streptococcus pyogenes and Lactobacillus rhamnosus differentially induce maturation and production of Th1-type cytokines and chemokines in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. J Leukoc Biol 2004, 75:764–771.
- Koh SY, George S, Brozel V, Moxley R, Francis D, Kaushik RS: Porcine intestinal epithelial cell lines as a new in vitro model for studying adherence and pathogenesis of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *Vet Microbiol* 2008, 130:191–197.
- 39. Edgar RC: MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**:1792–1797.
- Talavera G, Castresana J: Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst Biol 2007, 56:564–577.
- 41. Guindon S, Gascuel O: A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol* 2003, **52**:696–704.

- Vilen H, Hynönen U, Badelt-Lichtblau H, Ilk N, Jääskeläinen P, Torkkeli M, Palva A: Surface location of individual residues of SlpA provides insight into the Lactobacillus brevis S-layer. J Bacteriol 2009, 191:3339–3349.
- Pum D, Toca-Herrera JL, Sleytr UB: S-layer protein self-assembly. Int J Mol Sci 2013, 14:2484–2501.
- Kirjavainen PV, Ouwehand AC, Isolauri E, Salminen SJ: The ability of probiotic bacteria to bind to human intestinal mucus. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1998, 167:185–189.
- Ouwehand AC, Kirjavainen PV, Grönlund M, Isolauri E, Salminen SJ: Adhesion of probiotic micro-organisms to intestinal mucus. Int Dairy J 1999, 9:623–630.
- Laparra JM, Sanz Y: Comparison of in vitro models to study bacterial adhesion to the intestinal epithelium. Lett Appl Microbiol 2009, 49:695–701.
- Van Tassell ML, Miller MJ: Lactobacillus adhesion to mucus. Nutrients 2011, 3:613–636.
- Jonsson H, Ström E, Roos S: Addition of mucin to the growth medium triggers mucus-binding activity in different strains of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in vitro. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2001, 204:19–22.
- Li XJ, Yue LY, Guan XF, Qiao SY: The adhesion of putative probiotic lactobacilli to cultured epithelial cells and porcine intestinal mucus. *J Appl Microbiol* 2008, **104**:1082–1091.
- Martin R, Delgado S, Maldonado A, Jimenez E, Olivares M, Fernandez L, Sobrino OJ, Rodriguez JM: Isolation of lactobacilli from sow milk and evaluation of their probiotic potential. J Dairy Res 2009, 76:418–425.
- Rojas M, Conway PL: A dot-blot assay for adhesive components relative to probiotics. In *Methods in Enzymology*, 1st editon, Volume 336. Edited by Doyle R. Waltham: Academic Press; 2001:389–402.
- Tuomola EM, Ouwehand AC, Salminen SJ: Chemical, physical and enzymatic pre-treatments of probiotic lactobacilli alter their adhesion to human intestinal mucus glycoproteins. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2000, 60:75–81.
- Lebeer S, Vanderleyden J, De Keersmaecker SC: Genes and molecules of lactobacilli supporting probiotic action. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2008, 72:728–764.
- Jirillo E, Jirillo F, Magrone T: Healthy effects exerted by prebiotics, probiotics, and symbiotics with special reference to their impact on the immune system. Int J Vitam Nutr Res 2012, 82:200–208.
- 55. Smits HH, Engering A, van der Kleij D, de Jong EC, Schipper K, van Capel TM, Zaat BA, Yazdanbakhsh M, Wierenga EA, van Kooyk Y, Kapsenberg ML: Selective probiotic bacteria induce IL-10-producing regulatory T cells in vitro by modulating dendritic cell function through dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule 3-grabbing nonintegrin. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005, 115:1260–1267.
- Mohamadzadeh M, Olson S, Kalina WV, Ruthel G, Demmin GL, Warfield KL, Bavari S, Klaenhammer TR: Lactobacilli activate human dendritic cells that skew T cells toward T helper 1 polarization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005, 102:2880–2885.
- Christensen HR, Frokiaer H, Pestka JJ: Lactobacilli differentially modulate expression of cytokines and maturation surface markers in murine dendritic cells. J Immunol 2002, 168:171–178.
- Hart AL, Lammers K, Brigidi P, Vitali B, Rizzello F, Gionchetti P, Campieri M, Kamm MA, Knight SC, Stagg AJ: Modulation of human dendritic cell phenotype and function by probiotic bacteria. *Gut* 2004, 53:1602–1609.
- Meijerink M, van Hernert S, Taverne N, Wels M, de Vos P, Bron PA, Savelkoul HF, van Bilsen J, Kleerebezem M, Wells JM: Identification of genetic loci in *Lactobacillus plantarum* that modulate the immune response of dendritic cells using comparative genome hybridization. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e10632
- Van Overtvelt L, Moussu H, Horiot S, Samson S, Lombardi V, Mascarell L, van de Moer A, Bourdet-Sicard R, Moingeon P: Lactic acid bacteria as adjuvants for sublingual allergy vaccines. Vaccine 2010, 28:2986–2992.
- D'Arienzo R, Bozzella G, Rossi M, De Bellis P, Lavermicocca P, Sisto A: Distinct immunomodulatory properties of *Lactobacillus paracasei* strains. *J Appl Microbiol* 2011, **111**:1482–1491.
- Hsieh PS, An Y, Tsai YC, Chen YC, Chuang CJ, Zeng CT, Wang CT, An-Erl King V: Potential of probiotic strains to modulate the inflammatory responses of epithelial and immune cells in vitro. *New Microbiol* 2013, 36:167–179.
- Luongo D, Miyamoto J, Bergamo P, Nazzaro F, Baruzzi F, Sashihara T, Tanabe S, Rossi M: Differential modulation of innate immunity in vitro by probiotic strains of *Lactobacillus gasseri*. *BMC Microbiol* 2013, 13:298. 2180-13-298.

- Weiss G, Rasmussen S, Nielsen Fink L, Jarmer H, Nohr Nielsen B, Frokiaer H: Bifidobacterium bifidum actively changes the gene expression profile induced by Lactobacillus acidophilus in murine dendritic cells. PLoS One 2010, 5:e11065.
- Gad M, Ravn P, Soborg DA, Lund-Jensen K, Ouwehand AC, Jensen SS: Regulation of the IL-10/IL-12 axis in human dendritic cells with probiotic bacteria. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2011, 63:93–107.
- 66. Mohamadzadeh M, Pfeiler EA, Brown JB, Zadeh M, Gramarossa M, Managlia E, Bere P, Sarraj B, Khan MW, Pakanati KC, Ansari MJ, O'Flaherty S, Barrett T, Klaenhammer TR: Regulation of induced colonic inflammation by Lactobacillus acidophilus deficient in lipoteichoic acid. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011, 108(Suppl 1):4623–4630.
- Johnson B, Selle K, O'Flaherty S, Goh YJ, Klaenhammer T: Identification of extracellular surface-layer associated proteins in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM. *Microbiology* 2013, 159:2269–2282.
- Felis GE, Dellaglio F: Taxonomy of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 2007, 8:44–61.
- Bailey M: The mucosal immune system: Recent developments and future directions in the pig. Dev Comp Immunol 2009, 33:375–383.
- Antikainen J, Anton L, Sillanpää J, Korhonen TK: Domains in the S-layer protein CbsA of Lactobacillus crispatus involved in adherence to collagens, laminin and lipoteichoic acids and in self-assembly. Mol Microbiol 2002, 2:381–394.
- Smit E, Oling F, Demel R, Martinez B, Pouwels PH: The S-layer protein of Lactobacillus acidophilus ATCC 4356: identification and characterization of domains responsible for S-protein assembly and cell wall binding. J Mol Biol 2001, 305:245–257.
- Boot HJ, Kolen CP, Pouwels PH: Interchange of the active and silent S-layer protein genes of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* by inversion of the chromosomal *slp* segment. *Mol Microbiol* 1996, 21:799–809.
- Martinez B, Sillanpää J, Smit E, Korhonen TK, Pouwels PH: Expression of cbsA encoding the collagen-binding S-protein of Lactobacillus crispatus JCM5810 in Lactobacillus casei ATCC 393(T). J Bacteriol 2000, 182:6857–6861.
- Zadeh M, Khan MW, Goh YJ, Selle K, Owen JL, Klaenhammer T, Mohamadzadeh M: Induction of intestinal pro-inflammatory immune responses by lipoteichoic acid. J Inflamm (Lond) 2012, 9:7. 9255-9-7.
- Lebeer S, Verhoeven TL, Francius G, Schoofs G, Lambrichts I, Dufrene Y, Vanderleyden J, De Keersmaecker SC: Identification of a gene cluster for the biosynthesis of a long galactose-rich exopolysaccharide in Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and functional analysis of the priming glycosyltransferase. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009, 75:3354–3563.
- 76. Kankainen M, Paulin L, Tynkkynen S, von Ossowski I, Reunanen J, Partanen P, Satokari R, Vesterlund S, Hendrickx AP, Lebeer S, De Keersmaecker SC, Vanderleyden J, Hämäläinen T, Laukkanen S, Salovuori N, Ritari J, Alatalo E, Korpela R, Mattila-Sandholm T, Lassig A, Hatakka K, Kinnunen KT, Karjalainen H, Saxelin M, Laakso K, Surakka A, Palva A, Salusjärvi T, Auvinen P, de Vos WM: Comparative genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG reveals pili containing a human- mucus binding protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, 106:17193–17198.

doi:10.1186/1471-2180-14-199

Cite this article as: Hynönen *et al.*: **Functional characterization of probiotic surface layer protein-carrying** *Lactobacillus amylovorus* strains. *BMC Microbiology* 2014 **14**:199.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) **BioMed** Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit