

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cholesterol as a Risk Factor for Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: A Systematic Review

Joni Valdemar Lindbohm^{1,2*}, Jaakko Kaprio^{1,3,4}, Miikka Korja²

1 Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 41, FI-00014, Helsinki, Finland,

2 Department of Neurosurgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, P.O. Box 266, FI-00029, Helsinki, Finland, **3** National Institute for Health and Welfare, P.O. Box 30, FI-00271, Helsinki, Finland, **4** Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, P.O. Box 20, FI-00014, Helsinki, Finland

* joni.lindbohm@helsinki.fi

Abstract

Background

The role played by total cholesterol (TC) in risk for subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) is unclear because studies report both high and low TC each as a risk factor. We performed a systematic review to clarify associations between lipid profile and SAH.

Methods

Our literature search comprised Pubmed, Scopus, and Cochrane Library databases with no language, publication year, or study type limitations. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) checklist guided our reporting. Data forms adapted from the Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP), and Cochrane Collaboration guidelines provided a platform for risk-of-bias evaluation. We used a random effects model to calculate pooled estimates and assessed heterogeneity with I^2 -statistics.

Results

Of the final 21 studies reviewed, 12 were prospective and 9 retrospective. All studies assessed TC, four assessed HDL, and none LDL in risk for SAH. Heterogeneity among all, retrospective, and Asian studies was high ($I^2 = 79.5%$, $I^2 = 89.0%$, and $I^2 = 84.3%$) and considerable in prospective ($I^2 = 46.0%$). We therefore focused on qualitative analysis and found that only two studies had a low risk of bias. According to these studies high TC increases risk for SAH in men, whereas the role of HDL remained unclear.

Conclusion

The low-risk-of-bias studies suggest that elevated TC levels elevate risk for SAH in men. Due to the high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia, population attributable risk (PAR) of hypercholesterolemia may exceed the PARs of smoking and hypertension in men. Apart from diabetes and obesity, the risk-factor profile of SAH seems to resemble that of other cerebrovascular diseases, at least in men.



CrossMark
click for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Lindbohm JV, Kaprio J, Korja M (2016) Cholesterol as a Risk Factor for Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0152568. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568

Editor: Terence J Quinn, University of Glasgow, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: January 25, 2016

Accepted: March 16, 2016

Published: April 14, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Lindbohm et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are available in the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Epidemiological studies of risk factors of subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) are challenging to conduct for a number of reasons, such as relatively young age at diagnosis, low overall incidence of disease, and high death-rate from the first bleed. The latter implies that outside-hospital deaths from SAH are comprehensively diagnosed only in countries with a high coverage of forensic autopsies for sudden deaths. The number of large, long-term, and population-based prospective studies on risk factors for SAH is limited.[1–3] According to these studies, the most important risk factors for SAH are smoking, hypertension, female sex, and increasing age.[1–3]

The role of total cholesterol (TC) in risk for SAH is conflicting, since studies report both high[3–6] and low[7–12] TC to raise risk. Meta-analyses[13–15] suggest no association between TC and SAH, whereas they imply that high HDL is protective against SAH. The reviews do not include, however, a number of studies, such as a recent large study suggesting that high TC levels (>6.22 mmol/l) associate with future SAH in men.[3] As blood lipid levels and especially high levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) are important risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in general,[16] we reviewed current evidence on the role of TC and lipoproteins as risk factors for SAH. In contrast to the preceding reviews,[13–15] ours also focuses in depth on methodological quality of the studies reviewed. Readers should note that M.K. and J.K. have published one of the studies reviewed. [3]

Methods

Literature search

The protocol of this study is available in PROSPERO (International prospective register of systematic reviews, registration code: CRD42015016347) and follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analyses for Protocols Statement (PRISMA-P). The authors J.V.L. and M.K. did all steps of the protocol below; discussion with third-author J.K. resolved disagreements. We performed the literature search in two parts using Cochrane Library, Pubmed, and Scopus databases with no language limitations “S1 Methods”. As we included non-English-language publications, native speakers assisted when necessary. We included all studies reporting effect estimates with a minimum of two categories for TC, LDL, HDL, or apolipoprotein concentrations. Depending on the design, we divided all studies into either prospective or retrospective to facilitate risk-of-bias evaluations. After reviewing risk-of-bias guidelines from the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook,[17] Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP),[18] Newcastle-Ottawa scale,[19] and a measurement tool for assessment of multiple systematic reviews (AMSTAR) [20] we based our risk-of-bias estimations to Cochrane Collaboration Handbook [17] and CASP [18].

We used the PRISMA checklist[21] as a guide to achieve the accepted standards for reporting systematic reviews. Based on the Cochrane Collaborations guidelines,[17] we classified risk of bias into high, unclear, and low-grade categories. Our review focused especially on measurement bias, selection bias, reporting bias, confounder adjustment, reverse causality, and statistical power.

Statistical analysis

Based on the Cox proportional hazards model, we estimated sufficient sample size for prospective follow-up studies. We used a standard significance value of $p < 0.05$, standard power value of $P = 0.8$, and incidence value of 20/100 000. For optimistic power analysis, we selected the hazard ratio (HR) 2.0 (95% CI 1.0–4.0) as effect size with a wide confidence interval and low

correlation factor value of 0.1 between covariates. We used a random effects model to calculate pooled estimates and assessed heterogeneity with I^2 -statistics. For two studies, [7,22] we inverted the reference category in order to present comparable results. Population attributable risk (PAR) was calculated with following formula: $PAR = p_f (RR-1) / [p_f (RR-1) + 1]$, where p_f is population fraction with hypercholesterolemia. Stata statistical software version 12.1 Stata Corp performed all the calculations.

Results

General

Search results. In brief, 21 studies [1–12,22–30] including ≥ 50 SAH cases emerged that reported associations between risk for SAH and TC levels “Fig 1”.

Cohort characteristics. Cohort sizes and follow-up times in prospective studies differed considerably, and the number of SAH ranged from 55 [28] to 437 [3] (Table 1). In the retrospective studies, the number of SAHs ranged from 95 [5] to 858 [9]. The reported mean or median age at SAH in all studies ranged from 46.9 [1] to 64 [9] years (Table 1). Supplementary file “S1 Cohorts” describes the data collection in all 12 prospective studies in detail.

Cases. Eight prospective [1–3,6,8,22,29,30] and three [4,5,9] retrospective studies comprised both fatal and non-fatal SAHs. Five [1–3,6,29] prospective studies (all Finnish or Norwegian) also reported inclusion of outside hospital deaths (Table 1). Diagnosis-verification protocol (computed tomography or lumbar puncture) was sufficient in all studies.

Total cholesterol

Associations in prospective studies. Of the 12 studies, [1–3,6–8,22,24,27–30] two [7,8] suggested that low TC elevated risk for SAH (Table 2). The Swedish study observed, without having data on smoking, that low TC in men elevated SAH risk with a relative risk (RR) of 3.43 (Table 2). [7] The Japanese hospital-based cohort study reported that high TC lowered SAH risk with varying RRs 0.2–0.5 in low vs high analysis (no dose-response reported) including men and women with all their values above 4.14 mmol/l (Table 2). [8]

Two population-based studies, [3,6] including also outside hospital deaths, found that elevated TC levels (>5.59 mmol/l, >6.22 mmol/l, and ≥ 7.07 mmol/l vs ≤ 4.92 mmol/l [3] and ≥ 7.0 mmol/l vs <5.0 mmol/l [6]) increased risk for SAH in men with an HR of 1.97 [3], 1.85 [3], 2.18 [3], and 1.79 [6] (Table 2). The other study also reported dose response as a 1 mmol/l increase in TC raised risk for SAH in men with an HR of 1.16. [6] The remaining eight prospective studies [1,2,22,24,27–30] found no associations between TC and SAH (Table 2).

Associations in retrospective studies. Of the nine [4,5,9–12,23,25,26] studies, four [9–12] found that high TC measured by interview [10,12] or on admission (with hospital-based or health check-up control group), [9,11] decreased SAH risk with ORs of 0.2 [12], 0.22 [11], 0.41 [10] and (in women only) 0.73 (Table 2). [9]

Three [4,5,25] studies with on-admission measurements found that elevated TC (>4.9 [5], >5.7 [4], >6.3 [24] mmol/l) elevated risk for SAH (ORs 1.02 [5], 2.4 [4], 15.7 [24]) (Table 2). One of these studies also found an increased risk for SAH in women with high TC (>5.7 mmol/l) with an RR of 4.08 (Table 2). [4] Two [4,25] of these three [4,5,25] used mainly healthy controls, and one [5] used other acute emergency room patients and their on-admission TC values (Table 2). Two [23,26] studies found no associations between TC and SAH (Table 2).

TC range and power in prospective studies. Nine studies [2,3,6,8,24,27–30] included a wide range of TC values, but only three [1,3,6] reported a sufficient sample size (≥ 31) of male and female SAHs in the high-TC group to determine an HR under 2 (Tables 2 and 3). Table 2 and “S1 Table” present TC measurement protocols in detail.




Fig 1. PRISMA Flow diagram. Study selection protocol.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568.g001

TC measurement and range in retrospective studies. Five[4,5,9,11,25] studies measured TC on admission after SAH and four[10,12,23,26] by interview. None of the nine[4,5,9–12,23,25,26] studies included a wide range of TC values in their analysis (Table 2).

Risk-factor adjustments in prospective studies. All[1–3,6,8,22,24,27–30] except one study[7] recorded known SAH risk factors: age, sex, hypertension, and smoking (or comprised only smokers or one sex), and all but two[2,7] controlled for them in a multivariable model. One study reporting high[6] and one low[8] TC as elevating risk for SAH also controlled for BMI values for confounding in analyses. The two studies reporting low TC as elevating risk lacked data on smoking[7] or analysis by sex.[8]

Risk-factor adjustments in retrospective studies. All nine[4,5,9–12,23,25,26] studies recorded known risk factors for SAH, and the four[9–12] reporting low and the three[4,5,25] high TC as a risk factor for SAH controlled for them all.

Low-TC studies. Five retrospective[4,5,9–11] and three[8,22,27] prospective studies came from Japan and South Korea, where TC levels are generally lower than in Western countries. Separate analysis of these studies was still conflicting, since two[4,5] reported high and four[8–11] low TC as elevating risk, and two[22,27] remained inconclusive (Table 2).

Brief summary of TC findings. Of the 12[1–3,6–8,22,24,27–30] prospective studies, 2[3,6] found high TC raising risk for SAH in men. Conversely, two prospective studies found low TC as raising risk for SAH in men[7] and in both men and women[8] while eight[1,2,22,24,27–30] were indecisive (Table 2). Of the nine[4,5,9–12,23,25,26] retrospective studies, four[9–12] found low and three[4,5,25] high TC as raising risk for SAH whereas the others[23,26] remained inconsistent.

Table 1. Study characteristics. Summary of study characteristics and differences between prospective and retrospective studies.

Authors and Country	Year	Size	Age	% of men	Median/mean Follow-up	SAH cases	Fatal or non-fatal SAHs included	Outside-hospital deaths included	Median/mean age at SAH
Prospective studies									
Finland									
Knekt [1]	1991	42 862	20–69	54	12	187	Both	Yes	46.9
Korja [3]	2013	64 349	25–74	48.3	17.9	437	Both	Yes	59.3
Leppälä [29]	1999	28 519	50–69	100	6	85	Both	Yes	NR
Zhang [6]	2012	58 235	25–74	47.6	20.1	332	Both	Yes	NR
Japan									
Cui [27]	2007	38 158	40–79	38	10	66	Fatal	NR	61.9
Suzuki [8]	2011	156 892	30–89	48.7	3	223	Both	No	NR
Norway									
Sandvei [2]	2012	92 682	20–90+	33.6	10.9	122	Both	Yes	58.9
South Korea									
Suh [22]	2001	114 793	35–59	100	6	98	Both	No	NR
Sweden									
Gatchev [7]	1993	54 385	25–74	40.1	20.5	87	Fatal	NR	NR
USA									
Iso [28] *	1989	350 977	35–57	100	6	55	Fatal	No	47.4
Neaton [24] *,†	1993	353 340	35–57	100	25	259	Fatal	No	47.2
Tirschwell [30]	2004	8 010	30–79	44.2	11	96	Both	NR	N/A‡
Retrospective									
Great Britain & Denmark									
Adamson [25]	1994	189	25–70	44.8	N/A	96	NR	No	48
Japan									
Inagawa [4]	2005	494	25–96	43.7	N/A	247	Both	No	62.6
Inagawa [9]	2010	1 941	31–89	35	N/A	858	Both	NR	64
Ohkuma [10]	2003	780	25–85<	31	N/A	390	NR	No	58
Tokuda [11]	2005	300	24–95	29	N/A	150	NR	No	60
Holland									
Vlak [12]	2013	824	54.8 (15.8)§	29	N/A	250	Non-fatal	No	54.7
Portugal									
Canhao [26]	1994	423	<40–65<	30.5	N/A	141	NR	NR	NR
South Korea									
Park [5]	1998	464 II	21–86	48.1	N/A	95	Both	NR	51.7
USA									

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Authors and Country	Year	Size	Age	% of men	Median/mean Follow-up	SAH cases	Fatal or non-fatal SAHs included	Outside-hospital deaths included	Median/mean age at SAH
Broderick [23]	2003	930	19–49	39	N/A	312	Non-fatal	No	NR

*90.1% European. 6.4% African-American. 4.5% Hispanic or Oriental origin

†Includes all SAH deaths through 1999 as additional data

‡ Mean reported only for hemorrhagic stroke category

§ Standard deviation

|| 102 intra cranial hemorrhages included in the number

NR = not reported. N/A = not applicable

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568.t001

Subfractions of cholesterol

HDL and other lipoproteins. Three[2,6,29] prospective and one[25] retrospective study analyzed associations between HDL and risk for SAH (Table 4). The one[25] retrospective also analyzed association of apolipoprotein B (ApoB) with SAH. None of the studies focused on any other lipoprotein subtypes, such as LDL, or the lipid subfractions nowadays available with lipidomic analyses.[31] S1 Table presents a detailed summary of different laboratory methods that studies used to analyze HDL.

Associations. One prospective study reported that risk for SAH decreased with an HR of 0.6 per SD unit increase in HDL in the subgroup under age 50 when they adjusted for age, sex, smoking, and alcohol consumption, but not for hypertension (Table 4).[2] Another prospective study controlled for known risk factors and also excluded participants who were using cholesterol-lowering medication.[6] After exclusion, however, the association between HDL and SAH remained unchanged. One study, comprising only male smokers, reported a decreasing risk for SAH with increasing HDL values (≥ 0.84 mmol/l) in their prospective setup (Table 4).[29] The only retrospective study focusing on lipoprotein subtypes used on-admission measurements, controlled for known risk factors for SAH, and found no significant associations between HDL, ApoB, and SAH [25] (Table 4).

Risk of bias and power analyses

Prospective studies. Of the 12[1–3,6–8,22,24,27–30] studies, only 2[3,6] had a low risk of bias; those 2 had a sufficient number of SAHs, included outside hospital sudden deaths, comprehensive risk factor control, analysis by sex, wide TC range, unlikely selection bias, sufficient accuracy (validation) of diagnosis, unlikely measurement bias, and low risk for reverse causality “Fig 2”. In addition, although one[1] study met these criteria, all participants had very high TC levels; we thus determined that it had an unclear risk of bias. Nine studies[2,7,8,22,24,27–30] had a high risk of bias because of limitations in the aforementioned criteria “Fig 2”. Moreover, power calculations suggested that only three[1,3,6] studies were able to detect significant associations between high TC and SAH (Table 3).

Retrospective and low-TC studies. All nine[4,5,9–12,23,25,26] retrospective and eight [4,5,8–11,22,27] low-TC studies had a high risk of bias because of limitations in the aforementioned criteria “Fig 2”.

Small studies. Since small studies tend to combine SAH and intracranial hemorrhage in a group of hemorrhagic stroke, we excluded them from the analysis.

Studies on HDL. Of the four[2,6,25,29] studies, only one[6] had a low risk of bias, because it met the aforementioned criteria.

Table 2. Associations between TC and SAH. Associations between TC levels and SAH, number of SAHs in the subgroup analyses, and type of TC measurement with control group.

Authors and country	Lowest vs highest or vice versa (mmol/l)	HR/RR/OR and 95% CIs	No. of SAHs among men	No. of male cases in highest category	No. of SAHs among women	No. of female cases in highest category	Cases' TC measurement and type of cohort or control group
Prospective							
Finland							
Knekt [1]	≤5.96 vs 6.99<	M 0.9 (0.6–1.5) W 1.0 (0.6–1.8)	102	39	85	39	sB, PB
Korja [3]	<4.92 vs 7.07<	M 2.18 (1.19–4.00) W 0.99 (0.62–1.59)	206	58	231	60	sB, PB
Leppälä [29]	<4.90 vs 7.00≤	0.78 (0.38–1.62)	85	19	-	-	B, MS
Zhang [6]	<5.00 vs 7.00<	M 1.79 (1.00–3.19) W 1.25 (0.71–2.20)	151	49	181	46	sB, PB
Japan							
Cui [27]	<4.14 vs 7.22≤	0.60 (0.08–4.73)	25	NR	41	NR	nB, PB
Suzuki [8]	<4.14 vs 7.24≤	0.2 (0.0–0.8)	89	NR	134	NR	B, H
Norway							
Sandvei [2]	5.9, per SD (1.3)	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	41	NR	81	NR	nB, PB
South Korea							
Suh [22] §	<4.31 vs 5.70<	0.69 (0.37–1.32)	98	18	-	-	fB, CP
Sweden							
Gatchev [7] §	M 5.3 vs 7.6 W 5.4 vs 7.9	M 0.29 (0.10–0.89) W 0.98 (0.42–2.08)	37	4	50	12	nB, PB
USA							
Iso [28]	<4.14 vs 7.24≤	0.16 *	55	1	-	-	nB, IG
Neaton [24]	<4.14 vs 7.24≤	1.70 (0.76–3.83)	259	17	-	-	nB, IG
Tirschwell [30]	4.45 vs 7.50 †	1.3 (0.7–2.4)	NR	NR	NR	NR	B, HM
Retrospective							
Great Britain & Denmark							
Adamson [25]	<5.40 vs 6.30<	15.7 (2.8–89)	43	NR	53	NR	aB, HE
Japan							
Inagawa [4]	<5.69 vs 5.69<	M 2.18 (0.67–7.08) W 4.08 (1.59–10.46)	108	18	139	48	aB, HE
Inagawa [9]	<5.69 vs 5.69<	M 0.89 (0.50–1.60) W 0.73 (0.55–0.98)	276	NR	522	NR	aB, HC
Ohkuma [10]	NR	0.41 (0.24–0.71)	119	NR	271	NR	I, HE
Tokuda [11]	<5.20 vs 5.20<	0.22 (0.12–0.40)	43	NR	107	NR	aB, H
Holland							
Vlak [12]	NR	0.2 (0.1–0.4)	62	NR	188	NR	I, HC
Portugal							

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Authors and country	Lowest vs highest or vice versa (mmol/l)	HR/RR/OR and 95% CIs	No. of SAHs among men	No. of male cases in highest category	No. of SAHs among women	No. of female cases in highest category	Cases' TC measurement and type of cohort or control group
Canhao [26]	<6.21 vs 6.21<	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	95	27	46	67	I, HC
Park [5]	<3.88 vs 4.9<	1.02 (1.01–1.02)	24	NR	71	NR	aB, A
USA							
Broderick [23]	NR	0.53	NR	NR	NR	NR	I, HE

M = men, W = women, A = acute patients, B = blood sample with no fasting information, aB = blood sample on admission, fB = fasting blood sample, nB = non-fasting blood sample, sB = semi-fasting blood sample, CP = male civil service and private school workers, H = hospital based, HC = health check-up, HE = healthy, HM = hypertensive men and menopausal women, I = interview, IG = industry-company workers or government staff, MS = male smokers, PB = population based, NR = not reported

*Not significant and CIs not provided

† Quintile means

§ Reference group inverted in studies of Gatchev and Suh

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568.t002

Meta-analysis. In addition to evident methodological differences among studies “Fig 2”, our meta-analysis showed also considerable heterogeneity. Heterogeneity among retrospective and Asian studies was high ($I^2 = 79.5\%-89.0\%$), and considerable in prospective studies ($I^2 = 46.0\%$) “Figures A-D in S1 Figures”. Indisputably, meta-analysis on reviewed studies lacks justification. However, we performed a series of sensitivity analyses and selected the most similar studies for meta-analysis.

A meta-analysis limited to prospective studies with comparable wide TC groups, major limitations only in an analysis by sex and exclusion of certain SAH types, [3,24,27,30] showed moderate evidence that high TC elevates SAH risk with an RR of 1.33 “Figure E in S1 Figures”.

Table 3. Sample sizes. Required number of person-years and SAHs for subgroup analysis for different HR values.

HR	SAH in subgroup studied	Person years needed
1.5	91	452 854
1.6	68	337 026
1.7	53	264 414
1.8	44	215 490
1.9	37	180 715
2.0	31	154 958
2.1	28	135 248
2.2	24	119 760
2.3	22	107 318
2.4	20	97 137
2.5	18	88 675
2.6	17	81 545
2.7	16	75 466
2.8	15	70 229

p<0.05, P = 0.8, covariate correlation factor 0.1, and incidence 20/100 000

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568.t003

Table 4. Associations between HDL and SAH. Associations between HDL levels and SAHs, number of SAHs in subgroup analyses and type of HDL measurement with control group.

Authors and Country	Protective factor	Highest vs lowest (mmol/l)	HR/RR/OR and 95% CIs	No. of SAHs among men	No. of male cases in lowest category	No. of SAHs among women	No. of female cases in lowest category	Cases' TC measurement and type of controls or cohort
Prospective								
Finland								
Leppälä [29]	High HDL	1.45< vs <0.84	0.26 (0.11–0.62)	85	14	0	0	B, SM
Zhang [6]	None	<1.0 vs 1.4<	M 0.56 (0.25–1.25) W 1.27 (0.50–3.28)	151	17	181	15	sB, PB
Norway								
Sandvei [2]	None	1.4 (SD 0.4)	1.0 (0.9–1.3)*	41	NR	81	NR	nB, PB
<50 years	High HDL	1.4 (SD 0.4)	0.6 (0.4–0.9)*	NR	NR	NR	NR	
>50 years	Low HDL	1.4 (SD 0.4)	1.2 (1.0–1.5)*	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Retrospective								
Great Britain & Denmark								
Adamson [25]	None	1.4< vs <1.1	NR	43	NR	53	NR	aB, HE
ApoB (g/l)	None	0.83< vs <0.65	1.0 CIs NR	43	NR	53	NR	

M = men, W = women, B = unknown blood sample, aB = on admission blood sample, nB = non-fasting blood sample, sB = semi-fasting blood sample, HE = healthy controls, PB = population based cohort, SM = cohort of male smokers, NR = not reported

* per SD increase

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568.t004

The meta-analysis including only retrospective studies with TC values measured in an acute phase [9,11,25] showed no association between TC and SAH “Figure F in S1 Figures”. Meta-analysis including only studies which obtained TC values using interviews [10,12,26] showed low TC as risk factor (RR 0.42) “Figure G in S1 Figures”. The sensitivity analysis included a small number of studies, and has unreliable heterogeneity estimates.

Discussion

Some relatively high-quality evidence [3,6] suggests that high TC is a risk factor for SAH in men questioning the current understanding of SAH epidemiology. The role of HDL remained unresolved. [6] Only one [25] retrospective study focused on associations between ApoB and SAH, but found none, whereas none studied LDL. Meta-analyses [13–15] did not find strong associations between SAH and TC. However, they did not include extensive risk-of-bias analyses and, therefore may have failed to uncover sources of heterogeneity in the studies included.

Internal quality of SAH risk factor studies varies considerably, as most have significant methodological shortages and gaps in reporting. Five studies [3–6,25] reported high TC, six [7–12] low TC, and ten others [1,2,22–24,26–30] neither one as increasing risk for SAH. Of all 12 [1–3,6–8,22,24,27–30] prospective studies, 3 [1,3,6] had sufficient statistical power to detect associations between high TC and SAH. Of all 21 studies, [1–12,22–30] only 2 [3,6] had a low risk of bias. According to the classical Hills criteria of causality, [32] the two studies also had convincing temporality, biological gradient, plausibility, coherence, and analogy. These studies also included outside hospital SAH deaths. Both originated from the FINNRISK cohort but from different study groups. The most recent [3] included more SAH cases and found stronger




Fig 2. Risk of bias. Classification of risk of bias and sources of bias in all studies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152568.g002

associations between elevated TC and SAH. Also sensitivity analysis including prospective studies (with comparable TC levels and only few limitations) supported the view that elevated TC associates with SAH risk. As TC is a well-known risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in general,[16] and atherosclerotic changes are commonly found in aneurysm walls,[33] the results for TC seem reasonable. Analysis of low-TC studies separately provided no support for the hypothesis of a U-shaped risk curve.

The recent low-risk-of-bias study suggested[3] that TC levels over 5.6mmol/l elevate risk of SAH with HR of approximately 2 in men. Based on the varying prevalence (between 17% and 55%) of hypercholesterolemia worldwide,[34] the HR of 2 in men translates into PAR estimates ranging from 17% to 35%. The PAR of hypercholesterolemia in men can reach up to 35% in Europe, and up to 32% in the USA. Because TC levels are increasing in a number of countries, [35] PAR of hypercholesterolemia is likely to increase especially in developing countries. The recent low-risk-of-bias study reported HRs of 2.53 and 1.23 for smoking and hypertension in men. [3] With a prevalence estimate of 25% for smoking and 41% for hypertension, the HRs translate into PARs of 28% and 9% in men. Thus, TC may significantly contribute to the SAH incidence among men, and to the difference in risk-factor profiles between sexes.

TC and LDL levels are well-documented risk factors for other cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), whereas HDL is generally considered a protective risk indicator.[16] The role of a high LDL is considered to be causal, whereas the causal nature of the HDL association has been questioned.[36–38] Furthermore, the influence of these factors on CVDs may differ by sex [39,40] because premenopausal women are also at lower risk for CVDs than are age-matched men.[16] Physiological changes during menopause elevate risk for CVDs,[41] when TC and

LDL levels start to rise at its beginning and reach their peak at approximately age 60.[39] At the same time, HDL decreases and triglycerides increase, making the lipid profile more unfavorable, thus elevating risk for CVDs, especially in women.[39] Of those included, two[3,8] prospective studies reporting age-group SAH incidences, further stratified by sex, showed SAH incidence in women to surpass male incidence at age 65 and above. TC levels often being low in premenopausal women may in part explain why associations between TC and SAH are difficult to discover. This emphasizes the importance of analyzing and representing TC associations by sex and age and indicates that future SAH studies should focus on the role of TC in both pre- and postmenopausal women separately.

Prospective follow-up studies require a significant number (approx. 270 000) of person-years and optimally over 35 SAHs for each sex per TC subgroup to reliably study associations between TC levels and risk for SAH. In addition, because roughly 20% of SAH patients die away from hospitals or in emergency rooms,[42] and are thus excluded from most studies, even more person-years may be necessary. Only four[1,3,6,29] Finnish and one Norwegian [2] population-based studies reported in their analyses inclusion of sudden deaths. Furthermore, TC values should be analyzed as a continuous variable and should include adequate numbers of participants with TC below 5.0 mmol/l and above 6.5 mmol/l. As the median age at SAH is nowadays 60 years,[2,3] the study cohort should be of a rather high median age with a very long follow-up. Even then, reliable studies on TC are nowadays very challenging to conduct, as a high proportion of those with hypercholesterolemia use statins. A recent retrospective study [43] relying on administrative data suggested that statin use in elderly patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms does not affect the incidence rate of SAH. However, the study did not report TC levels at baseline or after statin treatment, and did not stratify the results by sex. All these factors considered, it is clear that discovering the epidemiological role of TC in SAH is challenging; relatively small and short-term studies need cautious interpretation.

One of the most important shortcomings in the prospective cohort studies reviewed is their lack of longitudinal follow-up data; changes in risk-factor profile during the study period may therefore distort and confound association analyses. For example, in prospective studies from Norway[2] and Finland,[3,6] TC levels were measured 6 (mean) and 12 (median) years before SAH. As TC values have been a target of intensive drug and diet therapies during recent decades,[16] it is likely that baseline values had changed during follow-ups. Indeed, since the 1970s, when the study surveys began, the decline in TC of the Finnish population has been rapid, [44] and thus the risk estimates for TC levels may be unreliable. However, these modifications can only underestimate the role of high TC levels as a risk factor for SAH, making any associations between TC and SAH only decrease or disappear.

The reliability of retrospective studies in finding new risk factors for SAH is generally limited, not only because all outside hospital deaths are excluded from analyses, but also because of the evident risk of measurement bias when risk factors are measured by interview or after SAH. This was also evident in our sensitivity analyses, which included only on admission TC measurements or measurements by interview. Studies which measured TC by interview suggested that low TC elevates SAH risk. As TC levels may decrease during and after SAH,[45,46] all studies which measure TC on admission or within days after SAH are prone to reverse causality. This view is consistent with the results of the retrospective study[9] reporting TC as a risk factor for aneurysm formation but not for SAH. In addition, selection bias may weaken the generalizability of hospital-based studies by limiting the inclusion of patients with low TC in their control groups. Indeed, those retrospective studies[4,5,25] that reported high TC as leading to increased risk for SAH, used other emergency room patients or healthy people as control groups.

Our review has a few shortcomings. First, we may have missed some relevant publications due to indexing errors and limitations in the search strategy. Our search strategy, however, yielded 21 reviewed studies whereas the three earlier systematic reviews on this topic comprised only 7, [15] 6, [13] and 3 [14] studies with over 50 SAH cases. Second, the review includes study by two authors (M.K. and J.K.), making it possible that unintentional conflict of interest has biased risk-of-bias estimations. We tried to avoid this with an established and public study protocol registered in the PROSPERO. Despite this, readers need to take this into consideration when evaluating the presented evidence. Third, a reliable meta-analysis, one which could have elucidated the role of TC in SAH, was impossible due to methodological differences and lack of the necessary additional data.

Conclusion

Elevated TC levels may elevate risk for SAH among men, and therefore lipid profile should perhaps be taken into account when assessing risk for SAH in men. No convincing evidence exist that low TC elevates risk for SAH. Apart from diabetes and obesity, the risk-factor profile of SAH resembles that of other CVDs, at least in men.

Supporting Information

S1 Cohorts. Description of cohorts used in prospective studies.

(DOCX)

S1 Figures. Meta-analysis and sensitivity analyses.

(DOCX)

S1 Methods. Search strategy and protocol.

(DOCX)

S1 PRISMA Checklist.

(DOC)

S1 Table. Cholesterol measurement protocols used in studies reviewed.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We appreciate James Neaton and Marie Sandvei's providing additional information about their studies. We thank Jari Haukka and Marja-Liisa Lindbohm for critical revisions and Tiina Heino for advice in search protocol, and Carolyn Brimley Norris for language revision. We also thank Liang He, Teemu Hermunen, Joonas Kirsi, and Siamak Bidel for translations.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JVL JK MK. Performed the experiments: JVL JK MK. Analyzed the data: JVL JK MK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JVL JK MK. Wrote the paper: JVL JK MK. Did the literature search and the data collection: JVL MK.

References

1. Knekt P, Reunanen A, Aho K, Heliovaara M, Rissanen A, Aromaa A, et al. Risk factors for subarachnoid hemorrhage in a longitudinal population study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1991; 44(9):933–939. PMID: [1890435](#)
2. Sandvei MS, Lindekleiv H, Romundstad PR, Muller TB, Vatten LJ, Ingebrigtsen T, et al. Risk factors for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage—BMI and serum lipids: 11-year follow-up of the HUNT and the

- Tromso Study in Norway. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2012 Jun; 125(6):382–388. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.01578.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.01578.x) PMID: [21793808](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21793808/)
3. Korja M, Silventoinen K, Laatikainen T, Jousilahti P, Salomaa V, Hernesniemi J, et al. Risk factors and their combined effects on the incidence rate of subarachnoid hemorrhage—a population-based cohort study. *PLoS One* 2013 Sep 9; 8(9):e73760. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0073760](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073760) PMID: [24040058](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24040058/)
 4. Inagawa T. Risk factors for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage in patients in Izumo City, Japan. *J Neurosurg* 2005 Jan; 102(1):60–67. PMID: [15658097](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15658097/)
 5. Park JK, Kim HJ, Chang SJ, Koh SB, Koh SY. Risk factors for hemorrhagic stroke in Wonju, Korea. *Yonsei Med J* 1998 Jun; 39(3):229–235. PMID: [9664827](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9664827/)
 6. Zhang Y, Tuomilehto J, Jousilahti P, Wang Y, Antikainen R, Hu G. Total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and stroke risk. *Stroke* 2012 Jul; 43(7):1768–1774. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.646778](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.646778) PMID: [22496337](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22496337/)
 7. Gatchev O, Rastam L, Lindberg G, Gullberg B, Eklund GA, Isacson SO. Subarachnoid hemorrhage, cerebral hemorrhage, and serum cholesterol concentration in men and women. *Ann Epidemiol* 1993 Jul; 3(4):403–409. PMID: [8275217](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8275217/)
 8. Suzuki K, Izumi M, Sakamoto T, Hayashi M. Blood pressure and total cholesterol level are critical risks especially for hemorrhagic stroke in Akita, Japan. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2011; 31(1):100–106. doi: [10.1159/000321506](https://doi.org/10.1159/000321506) PMID: [21079399](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21079399/)
 9. Inagawa T. Risk factors for the formation and rupture of intracranial saccular aneurysms in Shimane, Japan. *World Neurosurg* 2010 Mar; 73(3):155–64; discussion e23. doi: [10.1016/j.surneu.2009.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surneu.2009.03.007) PMID: [20860953](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20860953/)
 10. Ohkuma H, Tabata H, Suzuki S, Islam MS. Risk factors for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage in Aomori, Japan. *Stroke* 2003 Jan; 34(1):96–100. PMID: [12511757](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12511757/)
 11. Tokuda Y, Stein GH. Serum lipids as protective factors for subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Clin Neurosci* 2005 Jun; 12(5):538–541. PMID: [15975790](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15975790/)
 12. Vlak MH, Rinkel GJ, Greebe P, Greving JP, Algra A. Lifetime risks for aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: multivariable risk stratification. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2013 Jun; 84(6):619–623. doi: [10.1136/jnnp-2012-303783](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2012-303783) PMID: [23355806](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23355806/)
 13. Feigin VL, Rinkel GJ, Lawes CM, Algra A, Bennett DA, van Gijn J, et al. Risk factors for subarachnoid hemorrhage: an updated systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Stroke* 2005 Dec; 36(12):2773–2780. PMID: [16282541](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16282541/)
 14. Teunissen LL, Rinkel GJ, Algra A, van Gijn J. Risk factors for subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review. *Stroke* 1996 Mar; 27(3):544–549. PMID: [8610327](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8610327/)
 15. Wang X, Dong Y, Qi X, Huang C, Hou L. Cholesterol Levels and Risk of Hemorrhagic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Stroke* 2013 May 23.
 16. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation* 2002 Dec 17; 106(25):3143–3421.
 17. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.0.2 [updated September 2009]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2009. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org. Version 5.0.2 ed.; 2009.
 18. *Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP)*. CASP Checklists (<http://media.wix.com/ugd/dded87e37a4ab637fe46a0869f9f977dacf134.pdf>) Oxford CASP 2014.
 19. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp.
 20. Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, Boers M, Andersson N, Hamel C, et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2007 Feb 15; 7:10. PMID: [17302989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17302989/)
 21. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009 Jul 21; 6(7):e1000097. doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097) PMID: [19621072](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19621072/)
 22. Suh I, Jee SH, Kim HC, Nam CM, Kim IS, Appel LJ. Low serum cholesterol and haemorrhagic stroke in men: Korea Medical Insurance Corporation Study. *Lancet* 2001 Mar 24; 357(9260):922–925. PMID: [11289349](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11289349/)
 23. Broderick JP, Viscoli CM, Brott T, Kernan WN, Brass LM, Feldmann E, et al. Major risk factors for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage in the young are modifiable. *Stroke* 2003 Jun; 34(6):1375–1381. PMID: [12764233](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12764233/)

24. Neaton JD, Wentworth DN, Cutler J, Stamler J, Kuller L. Risk factors for death from different types of stroke. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. *Ann Epidemiol* 1993 Sep; 3(5):493–499. PMID: [8167825](#)
25. Adamson J, Humphries SE, Ostergaard JR, Voldby B, Richards P, Powell JT. Are cerebral aneurysms atherosclerotic? *Stroke* 1994 May; 25(5):963–966. PMID: [8165691](#)
26. Canhao P, Pinto AN, Ferro H, Ferro JM. Smoking and aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: a case-control study. *J Cardiovasc Risk* 1994 Aug; 1(2):155–158. PMID: [7606628](#)
27. Cui R, Iso H, Toyoshima H, Date C, Yamamoto A, Kikuchi S, et al. Serum total cholesterol levels and risk of mortality from stroke and coronary heart disease in Japanese: the JACC study. *Atherosclerosis* 2007 Oct; 194(2):415–420. PMID: [16970954](#)
28. Iso H, Jacobs DR Jr, Wentworth D, Neaton JD, Cohen JD. Serum cholesterol levels and six-year mortality from stroke in 350,977 men screened for the multiple risk factor intervention trial. *N Engl J Med* 1989 Apr 6; 320(14):904–910. PMID: [2619783](#)
29. Leppala JM, Virtamo J, Fogelholm R, Albanes D, Heinonen OP. Different risk factors for different stroke subtypes: association of blood pressure, cholesterol, and antioxidants. *Stroke* 1999 Dec; 30(12):2535–2540. PMID: [10582974](#)
30. Tirschwell DL, Smith NL, Heckbert SR, Lemaitre RN, Longstreth WT Jr, Psaty BM. Association of cholesterol with stroke risk varies in stroke subtypes and patient subgroups. *Neurology* 2004 Nov 23; 63(10):1868–1875. PMID: [15557504](#)
31. Kettunen J, Tukiainen T, Sarin AP, Ortega-Alonso A, Tikkanen E, Lyytikäinen LP, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple loci influencing human serum metabolite levels. *Nat Genet* 2012 Jan 29; 44(3):269–276. doi: [10.1038/ng.1073](#) PMID: [22286219](#)
32. Hill AB. The Environment and Disease: Association Or Causation? *Proc R Soc Med* 1965 May; 58:295–300. PMID: [14283879](#)
33. Frosen J, Tulamo R, Paetau A, Laaksamo E, Korja M, Laakso A, et al. Saccular intracranial aneurysm: pathology and mechanisms. *Acta Neuropathol* 2012 Jun; 123(6):773–786. doi: [10.1007/s00401-011-0939-3](#) PMID: [22249619](#)
34. World Health Organization. Description of the global burden of NCDs, their risk factors and determinants. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2011 April 2011:1–176.
35. Farzadfar F, Finucane MM, Danaei G, Pelizzari PM, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, et al. National, regional, and global trends in serum total cholesterol since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 321 country-years and 3.0 million participants. *Lancet* 2011 Feb 12; 377(9765):578–586. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)62038-7](#) PMID: [21295847](#)
36. Haase CL, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Qayyum AA, Schou J, Nordestgaard BG, Frikke-Schmidt R. LCAT, HDL cholesterol and ischemic cardiovascular disease: a Mendelian randomization study of HDL cholesterol in 54,500 individuals. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012 Feb; 97(2):E248–56. doi: [10.1210/jc.2011-1846](#) PMID: [22090275](#)
37. Holmes MV, Asselbergs FW, Palmer TM, Drenos F, Lanktree MB, Nelson CP, et al. Mendelian randomization of blood lipids for coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 2015 Mar 1; 36(9):539–550. doi: [10.1093/eurheartj/ehv571](#) PMID: [24474739](#)
38. Voight BF, Peloso GM, Orho-Melander M, Frikke-Schmidt R, Barbalic M, Jensen MK, et al. Plasma HDL cholesterol and risk of myocardial infarction: a mendelian randomisation study. *Lancet* 2012 Aug 11; 380(9841):572–580. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)60312-2](#) PMID: [22607825](#)
39. Evangelista O, McLaughlin MA. Review of cardiovascular risk factors in women. *Gend Med* 2009; 6 Suppl 1:17–36. doi: [10.1016/j.genm.2009.02.004](#) PMID: [19318217](#)
40. Tan YY, Gast GC, van der Schouw YT. Gender differences in risk factors for coronary heart disease. *Maturitas* 2010 Feb; 65(2):149–160. doi: [10.1016/j.maturitas.2009.09.023](#) PMID: [19897327](#)
41. Auro K, Joensuu A, Fischer K, Kettunen J, Salo P, Mattsson H, et al. A metabolic view on menopause and ageing. *Nat Commun* 2014 Aug 21; 5:4708. doi: [10.1038/ncomms5708](#) PMID: [25144627](#)
42. Korja M, Silventoinen K, Laatikainen T, Jousilahti P, Salomaa V, Kaprio J. Cause-specific mortality of 1-year survivors of subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2013 Jan 29; 80(5):481–486. doi: [10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827f0fb5](#) PMID: [23303843](#)
43. Bekelis K, Smith J, Zhou W, MacKenzie TA, Roberts DW, Skinner J, et al. Statins and subarachnoid hemorrhage in Medicare patients with unruptured cerebral aneurysms. *Int J Stroke* 2015 Oct; 10 Suppl A100:38–45. doi: [10.1111/ijs.12559](#) PMID: [26120925](#)
44. Borodulin K, Vartiainen E, Peltonen M, Jousilahti P, Juolevi A, Laatikainen T, et al. Forty-year trends in cardiovascular risk factors in Finland. *Eur J Public Health* 2015 Jun; 25(3):539–546. doi: [10.1093/eurpub/cku174](#) PMID: [25422363](#)

45. Aull S, Lalouschek W, Schnider P, Sinzinger H, Uhl F, Zeiler K. Dynamic changes of plasma lipids and lipoproteins in patients after transient ischemic attack or minor stroke. *Am J Med* 1996 Sep; 101(3):291–298. PMID: [8873491](#)
46. Woo J, Lam CW, Kay R, Wong HY, Teoh R, Nicholls MG. Acute and long-term changes in serum lipids after acute stroke. *Stroke* 1990 Oct; 21(10):1407–1411. PMID: [2219204](#)