RESEARCH ARTICLE



The putative tumor suppressor gene *EphA3* fails to demonstrate a crucial role in murine lung tumorigenesis or morphogenesis

Jenni Lahtela¹, Barun Pradhan¹, Katja Närhi¹, Annabrita Hemmes¹, Merja Särkioja¹, Panu E. Kovanen², Arthur Brown³ and Emmy W. Verschuren^{1,*}

ABSTRACT

Treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is based on histological analysis and molecular profiling of targetable driver oncogenes. Therapeutic responses are further defined by the landscape of passenger mutations, or loss of tumor suppressor genes. We report here a thorough study to address the physiological role of the putative lung cancer tumor suppressor EPH receptor A3 (EPHA3), a gene that is frequently mutated in human lung adenocarcinomas. Our data shows that homozygous or heterozygous loss of EphA3 does not alter the progression of murine adenocarcinomas that result from Kras mutation or loss of Trp53, and we detected negligible postnatal expression of EphA3 in adult wildtype lungs. Yet, EphA3 was expressed in the distal mesenchyme of developing mouse lungs, neighboring the epithelial expression of its Efna1 ligand; this is consistent with the known roles of EPH receptors in embryonic development. However, the partial loss of EphA3 leads only to subtle changes in epithelial Nkx2-1, endothelial Cd31 and mesenchymal Fgf10 RNA expression levels, and no macroscopic phenotypic effects on lung epithelial branching, mesenchymal cell proliferation, or abundance and localization of CD31-positive endothelia. The lack of a discernible lung phenotype in EphA3-null mice might indicate lack of an overt role for EPHA3 in the murine lung, or imply functional redundancy between EPHA receptors. Our study shows how biological complexity can challenge in vivo functional validation of mutations identified in sequencing efforts, and provides an incentive for the design of knock-in or conditional models to assign the role of EPHA3 mutation during lung tumorigenesis.

KEY WORDS: *EPHA3*, EPH receptor A3, GEMM, Adenocarcinoma, Lung morphogenesis

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. More than 85% of all lung cancers are classified as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which is further sub-classified as adenocarcinoma (ADC; ~50%) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC; ~40%) (Chen et al., 2014). In recent years, excellent progress in molecular profiling of NSCLC has identified stratified patient groups

*Author for correspondence (emmy.verschuren@helsinki.fi)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 19 November 2014; Accepted 12 February 2015

that benefit from targeted therapies (Oxnard et al., 2013). Specifically, erlotinib or gefitinib are prescribed to patients that carry mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*), and crizotinib to carriers of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*) gene rearrangements. However, despite an increase in progression-free survival, the overall survival benefit of such tyrosine kinase inhibitors remains marginal, and profound intra- and inter-tumor genetic heterogeneity confounds effective long-term responses (de Bruin et al., 2014).

Next-generation sequencing of lung cancer patient tumors has identified numerous putative new cancer drivers, including EPH (also defined as erythropoietin-producing hepatocellular) receptor A3 (EPHA3), which is mutated in 6–16% of lung ADC samples (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2014; Ding et al., 2008; Imielinski et al., 2012). The EPH receptors make up the largest family of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and, together with their ephrin ligands, they control a variety of biological processes. They are classified into two subclasses based on sequence homologies, namely EPHA and EPHB receptors and their ephrin-A and ephrin-B ligands. Interaction between the EPH receptors and their ligands at cell-cell contacts triggers signaling into both the receptor- and ligand-expressing cell. Such bidirectional signaling induces changes in the actin cytoskeleton, cellsubstrate adhesion, intercellular junctions and cell shape, impinging on cell movement and tissue patterning (Pasquale, 2010). Contextdependent cellular responses are finely tuned by the abundance and type of receptor-ligand pairs expressed in neighboring cells, leading to specialized cell functions known to control synaptic plasticity, insulin secretion, epithelial homeostasis and inflammatory immune responses (Gucciardo et al., 2014; Pasquale, 2010).

The expression pattern of *EphA3* in mammalian tissues suggests that there is a role for EPHA3 in neuronal development and formation of mesoderm-derived tissues (Kilpatrick et al., 1996; Kudo et al., 2005; Yue et al., 1999). However, in contrast to predictions made based on its expression in the developing medial motor column, constitutive loss of murine *EphA3* does not lead to abnormal motor axon topography (Vaidya et al., 2003). Instead, 75% of the null mice die at birth owing to cardiac abnormalities caused by defective endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition, a specific form of mesenchymal conversion that generates progenitors of the atrioventricular valves (Stephen et al., 2007).

With respect to its putative role in tumorigenesis, previous studies have indicated that EPHA3 can signal both in a kinase-dependent and kinase-independent manner, inducing both tumor-promoting and tumor-suppressing effects (Boyd et al., 2014). For example, in glioblastoma multiforme, EPHA3 is highly expressed in undifferentiated mesenchymal cells where it has been shown to confer a kinase-independent oncogenic role through regulating mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling (Day et al., 2013). A tumor-suppressive role of EPHA3, in particular for lung cancer, is supported by the reduction in receptor activity conferred by the point mutations found in cancers, and ligand- and EPHA3-

¹Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), University of Helsinki, Helsinki FI-00014, Finland. ²Department of Pathology, HUSLAB and Haartman Institute, Helsinki University Central Hospital and University of Helsinki FI-00014, Finland. ³Spinal Cord Injury Team, Robarts Research Institute, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5K8, Canada.

TRANSLATIONAL IMPACT

Clinical issue

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Molecular profiling to identify targetable driver mutations is increasingly being applied in the clinic, and can stratify patient groups. However, pronounced patient- and tumor-specific lung tumor heterogeneity confounds long-term or predictable clinical responses. Hence, validation of *de novo* driver mutations using appropriate *in vivo* model systems is important. The EPH receptor A3 (*EPHA3*) tyrosine kinase is among the most frequently mutated cancer genes in human lung adenocarcinomas. However, we still lack mouse genetic studies to unequivocally validate its previously assigned putative tumor suppressor function in human lung adenocarcinomas.

Results

Here, the authors test the applicability of *EphA3*-null mice to address the functional importance of EPHA3 in mutant Kras- or p53-loss-driven mouse lung adenocarcinomas. The study shows that constitutive loss of *EphA3* does not alter mutant Kras-driven lung adenocarcinoma progression, nor the histopathology or latency of p53-loss-driven adenocarcinomas. Moreover, the study identifies EPHA3 as a receptor that is expressed in embryonic lung mesenchyme and describes subtle lung morphogenesis gene expression changes in *EphA3* heterozygous embryonic lungs. No gross phenotypic changes in morphogenesis-related functions are detected in *EphA3* heterozygous or null embryonic lungs.

Implications and future directions

This study highlights the importance of creating appropriate model systems to study the *in vivo* functional relevance of putative cancer drivers, such as EPHA3. Our studies utilizing *Epha3*-null mice fail to validate a putative tumor suppressor function for *EPHA3* in human lung cancer. Furthermore, the overlapping expression pattern of EPH receptors detected in the developing mouse embryonic lung might imply functional redundancy. Therefore this study provides an incentive to the design of sophisticated, possibly tissue-specific, knock-in or conditional mouse models using genome-editing tools such as the prokaryotic type II CRISPR/Cas-system to elucidate the role of EPHA3 mutations during lung tumorigenesis *in vivo*.

dependent apoptosis of tumor and stroma cells upon receptor agonist treatment, suggesting that wild-type EPHA3 has anti-tumorigenic properties (Lahtela et al., 2013; Lisabeth et al., 2012; Vail et al., 2014; Zhuang et al., 2012). Furthermore, the finding that senescence elicited by acute EPHA3 loss is rescued by loss of $p16^{INK4A}$ (encoded by *Cdkn2a*) or p53 (encoded by *Trp53*) suggests that EPHA3 mutation might promote tumorigenesis only in the absence of senescence-inducing pathways (Lahtela et al., 2013). Given the opposing outcomes of aberrant EPH-ephrin signaling, careful dissection of the tissue and cell-context-specific EPH receptor functions requires studies that utilize valid *in vivo* model systems.

Genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) are the most widely applied and functionally validated *in vivo* models of human lung cancer, in particular to validate gene cooperation concomitant with conditional expression of the oncogenic *Kras* gene (Jackson et al., 2001; Jackson et al., 2005; Ji et al., 2007; Schramek et al., 2011; Snyder et al., 2013). Importantly, murine clinical studies have shown that oncogenic signaling in *Kras*-driven GEMMs is crucially defined by the cooperating tumor suppressor, with loss of liver kinase B1 (*Lkb1*) conferring different therapeutic responses compared with loss of *Trp53* (Chen et al., 2012). Despite convincing data suggesting a tumor suppressor role for EPHA3 during lung tumor progression, thus far no studies have addressed its *in vivo* functional role. We therefore decided to utilize the *EphA3*-null mice to test the effect of constitutive loss of *EphA3* on lung ADC progression driven by mutant *Kras* (LSL-*Kras*^{G12D/+}) (Jackson et al., 2001) and loss of *Trp53* (*p53*^{I//I}) (Marino et al., 2000), hereafter referred to as *Kras* and *p53*. Our data shows that the constitutive loss of *EphA3* does not alter the progression of murine ADC in either of these models. Moreover, despite clear evidence for *EphA3* expression in the developing lung, similar to key regulators of morphogenesis known to regulate lung tumorigenesis (Clark et al., 2001; Snyder et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013), an analysis of selected EphA family receptors shows that *EphA3* has a non-unique or minimal function during lung morphogenesis. Our study thus provides an incentive for rational design of novel GEMMs to unequivocally assign the role of *EPHA3* during lung tumorigenesis *in vivo*.

RESULTS

Constitutive loss of *EphA3* does not accelerate mutant *Kras*- or *p53*-loss-driven lung tumorigenesis

To test the hypothesis that EPHA3 acts as a lung tumor suppressor, we used a previously described constitutive *EphA3*-null mouse model (Stephen et al., 2007; Vaidya et al., 2003). EphA3-null mice did not show any marks of reduced survival during a 1-year followup period, indicating that mere EphA3 loss does not drive tumorigenesis. We therefore assessed whether EphA3 loss could accelerate tumorigenesis induced by conditional alleles known to initiate lung ADC, following a classic multi-allele paradigm. These 'first hit' conditional models comprised mutant Kras (Jackson et al., 2001) and loss of p53 (Marino et al., 2000), which are also common drivers of human disease found in at 17% and 35% of ADCs, respectively (COSMIC, 2014; http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/ cancergenome/projects/cosmic/). In lung ADC, EPHA3 mutations show a statistically significant tendency towards co-occurrence with mutations in TP53 ($P \le 0.01$) and occasional, but not statistically significant, co-occurrence with KRAS mutations (supplementary material Fig. S1A). We established cohorts of 8-16 mice for each genetic combination (homozygous p53 or heterozygous Kras with wild-type EphA3, or homozygous or heterozygous null EphA3). Lung-specific deletion of conditional alleles was achieved through intranasal inhalation of adenoviral Cre recombinase (CMV-AdCre), affording transduction of bronchiolar and alveolar progenitor cells, to initiate carcinoma progression. The infection efficacy was confirmed with a dual fluorescence mT/mG Cre-reporter strain that monitors in vivo integration efficiency through activating a Credependent switch from membrane-tagged Tomato to GFP (supplementary material Fig. S1B) (Muzumdar et al., 2007). Tumor burden analysis at 19 weeks after CMV-AdCre infection showed that constitutive loss of EphA3 did not alter mutant Kras-driven lung ADC progression (Fig. 1A,B; supplementary material Fig. S1C). Similar to previous findings in murine Kras lung cancer studies (Jackson et al., 2001), all *EphA3* genotype cohorts displayed distinct types of progressive lesions, including epithelial hyperplasia, adenomas and ADCs. In addition, we detected previously described profound inflammatory responses as infiltrations of macrophages and neutrophils (supplementary material Fig. S1C) (Ji et al., 2006). Furthermore, analysis of histopathology and NKX2-1 and tumor protein 63 (p63) biomarker expression to respectively depict ADC and SCC tissue, showed that constitutive absence of EphA3 did not alter the tumor histology (Fig. 1C). We further found that the loss of *EphA3* did not alter the latency of *p53*-loss-driven ADCs (Fig. 1D). Thus, the constitutive absence of EPHA3 expression does not accelerate mutant Kras- or p53-loss-driven lung tumorigenesis.

Mesenchymal expression of *EphA3* suggests that it has a functional role during lung development

As previous studies have indicated a role for *EphA3* in embryonic development, we undertook a detailed expression analysis of *EphA3*



Fig. 1. Constitutive loss of EphA3 does not alter mutant Kras-driven or p53 loss-induced lung ADCs. (A) Representative sections (stained with H&E) depicting the tumor burden in Kras; EphA3^{+/+}, Kras; EphA3^{+/+} and Kras; EphA3^{-/-} and Kras; EphA3^{-/-} lungs 19 weeks post CMV-AdCre infection (3.3×10⁷ PFUs) show no difference between the genotypes. Black arrows indicate the site of magnified images. (B) Average tumor-to-lung area at 19 weeks after CMV-AdCre infection of the Kras; EphA3^{+/+}, Kras; EphA3^{+/-} and Kras; EphA3^{+/-} lungs. Two separate lung regions were used for tumor burden analysis. *n*=9 for Kras; EphA3^{+/+}, *n*=16 for Kras; EphA3^{+/-} and *n*=8 for Kras; EphA3^{+/-}. Results are mean±s.d. (C) Immunohistochemical analysis of the ADC marker NKX2-1 and squamous cell carcinoma marker p63 in Kras; EphA3^{+/+}, Kras; EphA3^{+/-} and Kras; EphA3^{+/-} and Kras; EphA3^{+/-} for p53; EphA3^{+/-} and n=13 for p53; EphA3^{-/-} tumors, indicating positive nuclear staining for NKX2-1 and negative for p63. (D) Survival curves of *n*=7 for p53; EphA3^{+/+}, *n*=11 for p53; EphA3^{+/-} and *n*=13 for p53; EphA3^{-/-} mice treated with CMV-AdCre (3.3×10⁸ pfu). Mice were monitored for 15 months, during which one out of seven p53; EphA3^{+/-} and two out of 13 *p53; EphA3^{-/-}* mice died due to CMV-AdCre-induced ADC formation. NS, *P*>0.05 (Student's *t*-test). Scale bars: 1 mm for whole lung lobes; 50 µm for magnified images.

in the developing mouse lung. Both RNA in situ hybridization (Fig. 2A) and immunohistochemical staining (Fig. 2B) demonstrated expression of *EphA3* in the distal mesenchyme of the embryonic lung. The specificity of the EPHA3 antibody was confirmed by absence of detectable immunohistochemical staining in EphA3-null embryos (Fig. 2A,B), as well as a decreased signal in hTERT-RPE1 cells treated with EPHA3 small interfering RNA (siRNA) (supplementary material Fig. S1D). Expression of EPHA3 in the developing lung was detected during embryonic ages E11.5 to E15.5 (Fig. 2B,C), which falls into the pseudoglandular (E9.5-E16.5) stage of murine lung development. During this stage, the newly generated primary lung buds develop into a complex branched tree-like structure ending in thousands of epithelial terminal tubules, accompanied by continued mesenchymal growth around the growing epithelia (Morrisey and Hogan, 2010). Based on this mesenchymal expression in the developing mouse lungs we hypothesized that EPHA3 might function during the pseudoglandular stage of lung development.

Expression of multiple EPH receptors in the developing mouse lung suggests involvement in lung morphogenesis

We next asked how mRNA expression of EphA3 during murine lung morphogenesis might correlate with or impact on mRNA expression of other EphA receptors in epithelial and mesenchymal cells at E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5 of lung development (Fig. 3A). At E11.5 we performed quantitative PCR (q-PCR) expression analysis on both proximal and distal epithelium and mesenchyme. At E13.5 and E15.5, the analysis was restricted to the distal regions, approximating the terminal epithelial buds and their surrounding mesenchyme. We found that among the studied EphA receptors, only expression of EphA3 was restricted to the developing lung mesenchyme, and closely overlapped with expression of known mesenchymal *Fgf10* and endothelial *Cd31* (also known as *Pecam1*) genes (Fig. 3B; supplementary material Fig. S2A). EphA7 expression was detected both in the mesenchyme and epithelia, and was the only other EphA receptor co-expressed with EphA3 in the mesenchyme (Fig. 3B). Importantly, we did not detect any



Fig. 2. Mesenchymal expression of *EphA3* during lung development. (A) *In situ* hybridization analysis of *EphA3* expression in embryonic mouse lungs at E14.5, showing its distal mesenchymal expression. (B) Immunohistochemical analysis of EPHA3 protein in embryonic mouse lungs at E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5 confirms the distal mesenchymal expression. (C) Quantitative PCR analysis of epithelial and mesenchymal mRNA expression of *EphA3* at E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5 indicating strongest expression at E13.5. The inset shows the distribution of the samples within the observed expression values. Scale bars: 100 µm.

compensatory changes in EphA7 expression levels in the heterozygous or homozygous EphA3-null embryonic lungs (supplementary material Fig. S2B). EphA2 and EphA4 were found to be expressed mainly in epithelial cells, whereas expression of EphA1 and EphA5 was absent in both tissue compartments (Fig. 3B). These results correlate with in situ hybridization data described by the Allen Institute for Brain Science, with the exception of EphA1, for which moderate expression was detected in murine lung epithelia (Allen Institute for Brain Science, 2013). We further confirmed the epithelial expression of the known ligand of EPHA3, ephrin-A1 (encoded by *Efna1*), at E11.5, E13.3 and E15.5 (Fig. 3B; supplementary material Fig. S2C). Finally, postnatal murine lung expression analysis revealed very low EphA3 and EphA7 expression levels when compared to that of the embryonic mesenchyme (E13.5), whereas EphA2 and EphA4 expression were higher in the adult tissue (supplementary material Fig. S3A). Taken together, our data identifies *EphA3* as a mesenchymal EPH receptor and suggests that its ligand ephrin-A1 is expressed in the adjacent branching epithelia. Furthermore, the low expression of EphA3 in adult tissue suggests that EPHA3 is absent or has a minimal role in postnatal lung homeostasis.

EphA3 heterozygosity is associated with altered expression of branching morphogenesis and vasculogenesis genes

Next, we investigated whether constitutive loss of *EphA3* affected the mRNA expression of known lung morphogenesis genes. A targeted q-PCR analysis of known regulators of lung morphogenesis identified a small but significant increase in the expression of *Nkx2-I* in heterozygous *EphA3* embryonic epithelium at E13.5 when compared with wild-type tissue (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, similar expression increases were detected in endothelial *Cd31* and mesenchymal Fgf10 (Fig. 3C). In contrast, analysis at E15.5 failed to show statistically significant expression differences for these three genes (supplementary material Fig. S3B) suggesting that any role for EphA3 during pseudoglandular lung development is transitory. Taken together, the partial loss of EphA3 appears to induce subtle and transitory alterations in epithelial Nkx2-1, endothelial Cd31 and mesenchymal Fgf10 mRNA expression, suggesting that EPHA3 function might modulate lung morphogenesis.

Constitutive loss of *EphA3* does not overtly affect murine lung morphogenesis

We next asked whether the constitutive loss of *EphA3* was directly associated with altered lung branching morphogenesis. We first performed a quantitative analysis of lung branch end-points at E13.5 by E-cadherin whole-mount immunohistochemistry staining and optical projection tomography (OPT) to visualize branching epithelia. The number of terminal branches was found to be identical in EphA3 heterozygous (average 113) and null embryonic lungs (average 110) when compared to age-matched littermate controls (average 108) (Fig. 4A,B). Additional qualitative analysis using Ecadherin-stained E11.5 and E15.5 whole-mount lungs further confirmed that EPHA3 does not overtly affect lung branching morphogenesis (supplementary material Fig. S3C). Next, we assessed whether loss of EphA3 was associated with an alteration in distal mesenchymal cell proliferation. Analysis of in vivo BrdU incorporation showed that there was no statistically significant increase in the percentage of mesenchymal S phase cells in EphA3 heterozygous (36%) or EphA3-null (26%) lungs at E13.5 when compared to littermate controls (26%) (Fig. 4C). Finally, we studied whether the pulmonary vasculature formation was altered by loss of EphA3 by analyzing CD31 expression at E13.5. In both EphA3-null



Fig. 3. Embryonic lung gene expression analysis of selected EphA family and morphogenesis genes. (A) Schematic workflow describing the mRNA expression analysis of E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5 embryonic lung epithelium and mesenchyme. (B) Average mRNA expression levels of selected EphA family receptors and *Efna1* ligand. Expression of epithelial *Nkx2-1* and *Cdh1*, mesenchymal *Fgf10* and endothelial *Cd31*, correlates with their known expression patterns. E11.5, *n*=2, E13.5 and E15.5, *n*=4. The inset shows the distribution of the samples within the observed expression values. (C) Comparative mRNA expression analysis of *EphA3^{+/+}* and *EphA3^{+/-}* dissected embryos at E13.5 shows a minor but statistically significant difference between *EphA3^{+/+}* and *EphA3^{+/-}* in epithelial *Nkx2-1* and *Cd31* expression. Results are mean±s.d. (*n*=4).**P*<0.05 (Student's *t*-test).

and heterozygous lungs, the number of CD31-positive endothelial cells at E13.5 was identical to that of the controls (Fig. 4D). Taken together, the data presented here show that constitutive loss of EphA3 does not overtly alter murine lung morphogenesis.

DISCUSSION

The functional validation of *de novo* mutations identified in lung cancer sequencing efforts is a prerequisite for the development of novel targeted therapies. *EPHA3* is among the most frequently mutated RTKs in human lung ADCs, and has been assigned a candidate tumor suppressor role based on its mutation spectrum and findings from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies (Lahtela et al., 2013; Lisabeth et al., 2012; Vail et al., 2014; Zhuang et al., 2012). However, the actual role of EPHA3 during lung tumor progression has not been investigated nor validated using GEMMs. Our previous findings linked loss of EPHA3 to p53 activation (Lahtela et al., 2013), and *EPHA3* and *TP53* point mutations display statistically significant co-occurrence in lung ADC (supplementary material Fig. S1A). We hence asked whether the absence of *EphA3* enhanced

the incidence of p53-loss-driven lung cancer progression. Additionally, we asked whether loss of EphA3 accelerated lung ADC progression caused by the commonly mutated *Kras* oncogene. We here show that the constitutive absence of EPHA3 does not affect tumor progression and histopathology of both p53-loss- and mutant Kras-driven lung ADCs. Thus, EphA3-null mice fail to validate a putative tumor suppressor function for EPHA3 in human lung cancer, perhaps owing to functional redundancy between murine EphA receptors expressed in adult lungs. Interestingly, sequencing of murine small cell lung cancer (SCLC) tumors initiated by loss of p53 and retinoblastoma 1 (Rb1) revealed that there were recurrent somatically acquired EphA5 and EphA7 mutations (McFadden et al., 2014). This means that there is a strong case for further lung tumorigenesis studies to study the role of EphA receptor biology in GEMMs, and in particular the physiological role of EphA5 and EphA7.

Re-activation of EPH-receptor–ephrin pathways, generally known to contribute to cell sorting and tissue patterning in embryonic development, has been causally linked with tumorigenesis



Fig. 4. Constitutive loss of *EphA3* does not alter morphogenesis of murine lungs. (A) Representative E-cadherin whole-mount images of *EphA3^{+/+}*, *EphA3^{+/-}* and *EphA3^{+/*}

(Nievergall et al., 2012). Moreover, expression of key regulators of embryonic lung morphogenesis, Fgf9 and Fgf10 (Colvin et al., 2001; Min et al., 1998; White et al., 2006), has been shown to trigger ADC and adenoma progression, respectively (Clark et al., 2001; Yin et al., 2013). Thus far, of all EphA receptors and ligands, only a role of the ephrin-B2 ligand has been described during lung

development. Specifically, ephrin-B2 has been shown to regulate alveolar epithelial and endothelial viability and vascular growth in hyperoxic rats (Vadivel et al., 2012), as well as pulmonary compliance in mice (Bennett et al., 2013). Our current data shows that *EphA3* is expressed specifically in the mesenchymal distal lung tips during the pseudoglandular stage of branching morphogenesis,

albeit at low levels. However, whereas for example the removal of Fgf10 results in dramatic defects in lung organogenesis (Min et al., 1998), partial loss of *EphA3* appears to induce only subtle increases in epithelial *Nkx2-1*, endothelial *Cd31* and mesenchymal *Fgf10* mRNA expression levels. Furthermore, no macroscopic phenotypic effect on lung epithelial branching, mesenchymal cell proliferation, or abundance and localization of CD31-positive endothelia was measured. This lack of a discernible phenotype might indicate: (1) lack of an overt, or a different, role for EPHA3 in the murine lung; (2) functional redundancy between lung-expressed EphA receptors; or (3) a partial penetrance of the *EphA3*-null genotype.

Of the selected EphA receptors, we found that only *EphA7* was co-expressed with *EphA3* in the lung mesenchyme. Interestingly, a recent study has suggested that there is functional compensation of *EphA3* loss by *EphA7* co-expression during palate development, as compound homozygous mutation of *EphA3* and *EphA4* failed to cause defective midfacial development (Agrawal et al., 2014). Furthermore, a truncated form of EPHA7 has been reported to act as a tumor suppressor in follicular lymphoma (Oricchio et al., 2011), and it would thus be interesting to study its potential role in lung tumor suppression in conjunction with *EphA3* loss of function.

Taken together, we report that loss of *EphA3* does not lead to measurable effects on lung ADC progression, nor lung morphogenesis, in the applied constitutive null GEMM. Importantly, we cannot exclude the possibility that other EphA receptors co-expressed in the (developing) lung, most notably *EphA7*, can compensate for the decreased expression of *EphA3*. Our findings therefore provide an incentive to perform a rational design of tissue-specific knock-in or conditional mouse models to unequivocally assign the role of *EPHA3* mutation or loss of expression, possibly in the context of compound EPHA-ephrin network mutations, on lung tumorigenesis *in vivo*. In this respect, the ability to apply prokaryotic type II CRISPR/Cas genome editing tools to introduce somatic germline mutations in mice (Sanchez-Rivera et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013) provides promise for future tumor modeling approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mouse cohorts and tissue preparation

Animal studies were carried out in accordance with guidelines from the Finnish National Board of Animal Experimentation, and were approved by the Experimental Animal Committee of the University of Helsinki and the State Provincial Office of Southern Finland (License number ESAVI-2010-04855/Ym-23). EphA3-null mice lacking a genetic region encompassing the first exon of EphA3 were previously described (Stephen et al., 2007; Vaidya et al., 2003). Mice carrying a conditional mutant allele of Kras (LSL-Kras^{G12D/+}) (Jackson et al., 2001) or a loss-of-function allele of Trp53 (p53^{fl/fl}) (Marino et al., 2000) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. EphA3-null mice were bred with Kras and p53 mice to generate the study cohorts, and were maintained on a mixed genetic background using littermates as controls. Multiple litters of the same age were used to provide sufficient numbers of each genotype. Lung tumorigenesis was initiated by infecting mice at 6-10 weeks of age with 3.3×10^7 (Kras) or with 3.3×10^8 (p53) plaque-forming units (PFUs) of recombinant adenovirus expressing the Cre recombinase (University of Turku, Finland), using intranasal instillation as described elsewhere (Jackson et al., 2001). Viruses were administered in a Biosafety Level 2+ room according to the guidelines of the Finnish Board for Gene Technology. Lungs from mice were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, and all lobes were embedded in paraffin.

Tumor burden analysis and survival curves

Lungs from *Kras; EphA3^{-/-}*, *Kras; EphA3^{+/-}* and *Kras; EphA3^{+/+}* mice at 19 weeks post infection were processed as described above and paraffin sections (4 μ m) were cut from two distinct zones (middle and bottom) of each paraffin block, thus generating two sections each representing the whole lung surface

area, which were stained with H&E. Whole slide scans of the H&E-stained lung sections were acquired with a Pannoramic 250 3DHISTECH (3DHISTECH Kft., Budapest, Hungary) digital slide scanner with a 20× objective. Whole slide images were assessed for tumor burden using the Tissue studio image analysis solution of the Definiens Developer XD 64 2.1 software (Definiens, Munich, Germany). The histopathology of the lesions and inflammatory infiltrations were diagnosed by an expert pathologist. Longterm follow up of infected *p53;EphA3^{-/-}*, *p53;EphA3^{+/-}* and *p53;EphA3^{+/+}* mice was performed until 15 months, and mice were killed when showing labored breathing. Sections (4 µm) were cut from two distinct zones (middle and bottom) of each paraffin block, stained with H&E and qualitatively analyzed for tumor appearance. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated using Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on paraffin-embedded sections (4 µm). Sections were dehydrated and antigenic epitopes were exposed by heating in 10-mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) or by incubation in 0.05% trypsin at +37°C. Sections were incubated with the following antibodies: anti-NKX2-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK); anti-p63 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK); anti-EPHA3 (Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA); anti-CD31 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ); anti-GFP (polyclonal rabbit serum 8 mg/ml, generated in house). Primary antibody staining was detected using Bright vision poly-horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat antirabbit IgG (ImmunoLogic, Duiven, The Netherlands), HRP-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) (Immunologic, Duiven, The Netherlands) or Alexa-Fluor-488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA). Sections were counterstained with Mayers hemalum solution (Millipore, Billerica, MA) or Hoechst 33342 dye (Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA). Image acquisition was performed either using a Nikon 90i Eclipse microscope (Nikon Instruments Europe BV, The Netherlands) and DS-Fi2 5 MP camera, or a Pannoramic 250 3DHISTECH (3DHISTECH Kft., Budapest, Hungary) digital slide scanner with a 20× objective.

In situ hybridization

Radioactive *in situ* hybridization was performed on paraffin sections according to the standard protocols using probes labeled with ³⁵[S]-UTP. Dark-field images were inverted, linearly thresholded and combined with brightfield images in Adobe Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems Software, Dublin, Ireland). The mouse *EphA3* probe was an 817-bp fragment (nucleotides 658-1474) inserted into pGEM-3Zf- vector. The mouse *Efna1* probe was a 402-bp fragment (nucleotides 20-421) inserted into pGEM-3Zf- vector. The *Fgf10* probe was a 584-bp fragment (nucleotides 11-579) inserted into Bluescript KSII+ vector.

BrdU proliferation assay

A timed pregnant mouse was injected with 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU) (Sigma, St Louis, MO) and killed 4 hours later to harvest embryos at embryonic age of 13.5. Embryos were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Sections (4 μ m) were cut from two distinct zones of the embryonic lungs. BrdU-positive cells were detected using anti-BrdU antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and counterstained with Hoechst 33342 using standard immunohistochemical methods. Image acquisition was performed with a Nikon 90i Eclipse microscope (Nikon Instruments Europe BV, The Netherlands) and DS-Fi2 5 MP camera. Image analysis was done using NIS-Elements AR 4.2 software (Nikon Instruments Europe BV, The Netherlands).

Preparation of embryonic lung tissue

Embryonic lung dissection and epithelial and mesenchymal cell separation was performed as previously described (del Moral and Warburton, 2010), with small modifications. Briefly, pregnant mice were killed to harvest embryos at E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5 by CO₂ administration. Collected embryos were dissected under a stereoscopic microscope in a glass Petri dish immersed in PBS. Isolated lungs were then transferred to 24-well plates

containing CO₂-independent medium (Gibco by Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA). Epithelial and mesenchymal tissues were separated by treating with 10 mg/ml collagenase (collagenase from *Clostridium histolyticum*, Sigma) in CO₂-independent medium at 37°C for 20 minutes. Enzymatic degradation was stopped by adding CO₂-independent medium supplemented with 5 U/ml RNase-free DNase (RQ1 RNase free DNase, M6101, Promega, WI). Depending on the embryonic age, mesenchymal and epithelial cells from one to five embryos were used to reach high enough RNA yields.

Quantitative PCR analysis

Normal adult lung tissue was homogenized using a Precellys homogenization kit (Bertin Technologies, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). Total RNA was extracted using NucleoSpin RNA II kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Düren, Germany) and quantified using NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from the extracted RNA using a High-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The q-PCR amplification was performed using iQ[™] SYBR[®] Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) or iQ[™] Supermix (Bio-Rad) and CFX384 Touch[™] Real-Time PCR Detection System C1000 Touch (Bio-Rad). The following TaqMan® probes were used to measure the EPHA3 expression in hTERT-RPE1 cells: EPHA3 Hs00739096 m1 and RPL19 Hs02338565 gH (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). q-PCR primers were designed to flank exon-exon boundaries and to give specific amplification. Following 3 minutes denaturation at 95°C, 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute in 60°C were run. A melting curve ranging from 57°C to 95°C was included in every analysis to confirm the specific amplification. Primer details are listed in supplementary material Table S1. An exponential expression (ΔCq Expression) was obtained with formula ΔCq Expression= $2^{-\Delta Cq}$, where $\Delta Cq=Cq$ (target) – Cq (reference). The average of the ΔCq expression values of the specific genotypes and time points were visualized with heatmaps generated using an R statistical programing language heatmap function from the Heatplus Bioconductor package. We used R version 2.15.3 freely available at http://www.r-project.org/.

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry and optical tomography scanning

Sample processing and whole-mount immunohistochemistry of dissected embryonic lungs at E11.5-E15.5 were performed as described previously (Alanentalo et al., 2007). Briefly, fixed lungs were dehydrated with methanol followed by rehydration and processing to immunohistochemical staining. Localization of anti-E-cadherin (Cell Signaling Technology) was detected either by fluorescently labeled secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa-Fluor-594-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) or visualized by using the chromogenic DAB substrate (Immunologic, Duiven, The Netherlands) following the incubation with poly-HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Immunologic, Duiven, The Netherlands). Fluorescently labeled lungs were processed for OPT scanning as described previously (Alanentalo et al., 2007), using a Bioptonics OPT 3001M Scanner. Three-dimensional (3D) visualization and branch end-point analysis was performed with Imaris 3D and 4D data software, using the Filament analysis function (Bitplane AG, Switzerland). Chromogenically stained samples were imaged using a Leica MZFLIII stereomicroscope (Leica, Germany) and Colorview camera (Software imaging system, Olympus, Japan).

Cell culture and transfections

hTERT-RPE1 cells (Clontech, CA) were maintained in DMEM with F-12 (Sigma) containing 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.348% sodium bicarbonate and penicillin-streptomycin (all Gibco by Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), following the manufacturer's recommendations. For gene knockdown, hTERT-RPE1 cells were treated with 50 nM pooled siRNAs against *EPHA3* (GE Dharmacon, Denver, CO) or siCONTROL non-targeting siRNA #3 (SiCtrl; GE Dharmacon, Denver, CO) after transfection with Oligofectamine reagent (Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) on 15-cm culture dishes. One fifth of the transfected cells was pelleted for RNA extraction and *EPHA3* mRNA quantification.

Acknowledgements

We thank Irma Thesleff (University of Helsinki, Finland) for providing *in situ* hybridization facilities, Hernán Espinoza (Stanford University School of Medicine and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, USA) for the *EphA3* probe sequence, and Merja Mäkinen and Raija Savolainen (University of Helsinki, Finland) for assistance with the *in situ* hybridization assays. We further thank Jussi Kenkkilä (Biomedicum Imaging Unit) and Sami Blom (University of Helsinki, Finland) for guidance and support in OPT and whole-tissue slide scanning, the Laboratory Animal Centre animal caretakers for expert support in mouse husbandry, and members of the Verschuren laboratory for valuable scientific discussions.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

All work was performed in the laboratory of E.W.V. The *EphA3*-null mice were generated in the laboratory of A.B. J.L., B.P., K.N. and E.W.V. conducted aspects of the experimental design. J.L., B.P., A.S. and M.S. performed experiments. J.L., B.P., P.K. and E.W.V. conducted data interpretation. J.L., B.P. and E.W.V. wrote the manuscript.

Funding

Research was supported by a European Union Framework Programme 7 (EU-FP7) Marie Curie Grant [grant number PIRG06-GA-2009–256485 to E.W.V.]; the Sigrid Juselius and Orion-Farmos Foundations (E.W.V.); and a University of Helsinki Graduate Program scholarship to J.L.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material available online at

http://dmm.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dmm.019257/-/DC1

References

- Agrawal, P., Wang, M., Kim, S., Lewis, A. E. and Bush, J. O. (2014). Embryonic expression of EphA receptor genes in mice supports their candidacy for involvement in cleft lip and palate. *Dev. Dyn.* 243, 1470-1476.
- Alanentalo, T., Asayesh, A., Morrison, H., Lorén, C. E., Holmberg, D., Sharpe, J. and Ahlgren, U. (2007). Tomographic molecular imaging and 3D quantification within adult mouse organs. *Nat. Methods* 4, 31-33.
- Allen Institute for Brain Science (2013). Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas.
- Bennett, K. M., Afanador, M. D., Lal, C. V., Xu, H., Persad, E., Legan, S. K., Chenaux, G., Dellinger, M., Savani, R. C., Dravis, C. et al. (2013). Ephrin-B2 reverse signaling increases α5β1 integrin-mediated fibronectin deposition and reduces distal lung compliance. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **49**, 680-687.
- Boyd, A. W., Bartlett, P. F. and Lackmann, M. (2014). Therapeutic targeting of EPH receptors and their ligands. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **13**, 39-62.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2014). Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature 511, 543-550.
- Chen, Z., Cheng, K., Walton, Z., Wang, Y., Ebi, H., Shimamura, T., Liu, Y., Tupper, T., Ouyang, J., Li, J. et al. (2012). A murine lung cancer co-clinical trial identifies genetic modifiers of therapeutic response. *Nature* 483, 613-617.
- Chen, Z., Fillmore, C. M., Hammerman, P. S., Kim, C. F. and Wong, K. K. (2014). Non-small-cell lung cancers: a heterogeneous set of diseases. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 14, 535-546.
- Clark, J. C., Tichelaar, J. W., Wert, S. E., Itoh, N., Perl, A. K., Stahlman, M. T. and Whitsett, J. A. (2001). FGF-10 disrupts lung morphogenesis and causes pulmonary adenomas in vivo. Am. J. Physiol. 280, L705-L715.
- Colvin, J. S., White, A. C., Pratt, S. J. and Ornitz, D. M. (2001). Lung hypoplasia and neonatal death in Fgf9-null mice identify this gene as an essential regulator of lung mesenchyme. *Development* **128**, 2095-2106.
- Day, B. W., Stringer, B. W., Al-Ejeh, F., Ting, M. J., Wilson, J., Ensbey, K. S., Jamieson, P. R., Bruce, Z. C., Lim, Y. C., Offenhäuser, C. et al. (2013). EphA3 maintains tumorigenicity and is a therapeutic target in glioblastoma multiforme. *Cancer Cell* 23, 238-248.
- de Bruin, E. C., McGranahan, N., Mitter, R., Salm, M., Wedge, D. C., Yates, L., Jamal-Hanjani, M., Shafi, S., Murugaesu, N., Rowan, A. J. et al. (2014). Spatial and temporal diversity in genomic instability processes defines lung cancer evolution. *Science* **346**, 251-256.
- del Moral, P. M. and Warburton, D. (2010). Explant culture of mouse embryonic whole lung, isolated epithelium, or mesenchyme under chemically defined conditions as a system to evaluate the molecular mechanism of branching morphogenesis and cellular differentiation. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 633, 71-79.
- Ding, L., Getz, G., Wheeler, D. A., Mardis, E. R., McLellan, M. D., Cibulskis, K., Sougnez, C., Greulich, H., Muzny, D. M., Morgan, M. B. et al. (2008). Somatic mutations affect key pathways in lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature* 455, 1069-1075.
- Gucciardo, E., Sugiyama, N. and Lehti, K. (2014). Eph- and ephrin-dependent mechanisms in tumor and stem cell dynamics. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 71, 3685-3710.
- Imielinski, M., Berger, A. H., Hammerman, P. S., Hernandez, B., Pugh, T. J., Hodis, E., Cho, J., Suh, J., Capelletti, M., Sivachenko, A. et al. (2012). Mapping the hallmarks of lung adenocarcinoma with massively parallel sequencing. *Cell* 150, 1107-1120.

- Jackson, E. L., Willis, N., Mercer, K., Bronson, R. T., Crowley, D., Montoya, R., Jacks, T. and Tuveson, D. A. (2001). Analysis of lung tumor initiation and progression using conditional expression of oncogenic K-ras. *Genes Dev.* 15, 3243-3248.
- Jackson, E. L., Olive, K. P., Tuveson, D. A., Bronson, R., Crowley, D., Brown, M. and Jacks, T. (2005). The differential effects of mutant p53 alleles on advanced murine lung cancer. *Cancer Res.* 65, 10280-10288.
- Ji, H., Houghton, A. M., Mariani, T. J., Perera, S., Kim, C. B., Padera, R., Tonon, G., McNamara, K., Marconcini, L. A., Hezel, A. et al. (2006). K-ras activation generates an inflammatory response in lung tumors. *Oncogene* 25, 2105-2112.
- Ji, H., Ramsey, M. R., Hayes, D. N., Fan, C., McNamara, K., Kozlowski, P., Torrice, C., Wu, M. C., Shimamura, T., Perera, S. A. et al. (2007). LKB1 modulates lung cancer differentiation and metastasis. *Nature* 448, 807-810.
- Kilpatrick, T. J., Brown, A., Lai, C., Gassmann, M., Goulding, M. and Lemke, G. (1996). Expression of the Tyro4/Mek4/Cek4 gene specifically marks a subset of embryonic motor neurons and their muscle targets. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 7, 62-74.
- Kudo, C., Ajioka, I., Hirata, Y. and Nakajima, K. (2005). Expression profiles of EphA3 at both the RNA and protein level in the developing mammalian forebrain. J. Comp. Neurol. 487, 255-269.
- Lahtela, J., Corson, L. B., Hemmes, A., Brauer, M. J., Koopal, S., Lee, J., Hunsaker, T. L., Jackson, P. K. and Verschuren, E. W. (2013). A high-content cellular senescence screen identifies candidate tumor suppressors, including EPHA3. *Cell Cycle* 12, 625-634.
- Lisabeth, E. M., Fernandez, C. and Pasquale, E. B. (2012). Cancer somatic mutations disrupt functions of the EphA3 receptor tyrosine kinase through multiple mechanisms. *Biochemistry* 51, 1464-1475.
- Marino, S., Vooijs, M., van Der Gulden, H., Jonkers, J. and Berns, A. (2000). Induction of medulloblastomas in p53-null mutant mice by somatic inactivation of Rb in the external granular layer cells of the cerebellum. *Genes Dev.* 14, 994-1004.
- McFadden, D. G., Papagiannakopoulos, T., Taylor-Weiner, A., Stewart, C., Carter, S. L., Cibulskis, K., Bhutkar, A., McKenna, A., Dooley, A., Vernon, A. et al. (2014). Genetic and clonal dissection of murine small cell lung carcinoma progression by genome sequencing. *Cell* **156**, 1298-1311.
- Min, H., Danilenko, D. M., Scully, S. A., Bolon, B., Ring, B. D., Tarpley, J. E., DeRose, M. and Simonet, W. S. (1998). Fgf-10 is required for both limb and lung development and exhibits striking functional similarity to Drosophila branchless. *Genes Dev.* 12, 3156-3161.
- Morrisey, E. E. and Hogan, B. L. (2010). Preparing for the first breath: genetic and cellular mechanisms in lung development. *Dev. Cell* 18, 8-23.
- Muzumdar, M. D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L. and Luo, L. (2007). A global double-fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. *Genesis* 45, 593-605.
- Nievergall, E., Lackmann, M. and Janes, P. W. (2012). Eph-dependent cell-cell adhesion and segregation in development and cancer. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 69, 1813-1842.

- Oricchio, E., Nanjangud, G., Wolfe, A. L., Schatz, J. H., Mavrakis, K. J., Jiang, M., Liu, X., Bruno, J., Heguy, A., Olshen, A. B. et al. (2011). The Eph-receptor A7 is a soluble tumor suppressor for follicular lymphoma. *Cell* **147**, 554-564.
- Oxnard, G. R., Binder, A. and Jänne, P. A. (2013). New targetable oncogenes in nonsmall-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 31, 1097-1104.
- Pasquale, E. B. (2010). Eph receptors and ephrins in cancer: bidirectional signalling and beyond. Nat. Rev. Cancer 10, 165-180.
- Sanchez-Rivera, F. J., Papagiannakopoulos, T., Romero, R., Tammela, T., Bauer, M. R., Bhutkar, A., Joshi, N. S., Subbaraj, L., Bronson, R. T., Xue, W. et al. (2014). Rapid modelling of cooperating genetic events in cancer through somatic genome editing. *Nature* 516, 428-431.
- Schramek, D., Kotsinas, A., Meixner, A., Wada, T., Elling, U., Pospisilik, J. A., Neely, G. G., Zwick, R. H., Sigl, V., Forni, G. et al. (2011). The stress kinase MKK7 couples oncogenic stress to p53 stability and tumor suppression. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 212-219.
- Snyder, E. L., Watanabe, H., Magendantz, M., Hoersch, S., Chen, T. A., Wang, D. G., Crowley, D., Whittaker, C. A., Meyerson, M., Kimura, S. et al. (2013). Nkx2-1 represses a latent gastric differentiation program in lung adenocarcinoma. *Mol. Cell* 50, 185-199.
- Stephen, L. J., Fawkes, A. L., Verhoeve, A., Lemke, G. and Brown, A. (2007). A critical role for the EphA3 receptor tyrosine kinase in heart development. *Dev. Biol.* 302, 66-79.
- Vadivel, A., van Haaften, T., Alphonse, R. S., Rey-Parra, G. J., Ionescu, L., Haromy, A., Eaton, F., Michelakis, E. and Thébaud, B. (2012). Critical role of the axonal guidance cue EphrinB2 in lung growth, angiogenesis, and repair. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 185, 564-574.
- Vaidya, A., Pniak, A., Lemke, G. and Brown, A. (2003). EphA3 null mutants do not demonstrate motor axon guidance defects. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 8092-8098.
- Vail, M. E., Murone, C., Tan, A., Hii, L., Abebe, D., Janes, P. W., Lee, F. T., Baer, M., Palath, V., Bebbington, C. et al. (2014). Targeting EphA3 inhibits cancer growth by disrupting the tumor stromal microenvironment. *Cancer Res.* 74, 4470-4481.
- White, A. C., Xu, J., Yin, Y., Smith, C., Schmid, G. and Ornitz, D. M. (2006). FGF9 and SHH signaling coordinate lung growth and development through regulation of distinct mesenchymal domains. *Development* 133, 1507-1517.
- Yang, H., Wang, H., Shivalila, C. S., Cheng, A. W., Shi, L. and Jaenisch, R. (2013). One-step generation of mice carrying reporter and conditional alleles by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **154**, 1370-1379.
- Yin, Y., Betsuyaku, T., Garbow, J. R., Miao, J., Govindan, R. and Ornitz, D. M. (2013). Rapid induction of lung adenocarcinoma by fibroblast growth factor 9 signaling through FGF receptor 3. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 5730-5741.
- Yue, Y., Su, J., Cerretti, D. P., Fox, G. M., Jing, S. and Zhou, R. (1999). Selective inhibition of spinal cord neurite outgrowth and cell survival by the Eph family ligand ephrin-A5. J. Neurosci. 19, 10026-10035.
- Zhuang, G., Song, W., Amato, K., Hwang, Y., Lee, K., Boothby, M., Ye, F., Guo, Y., Shyr, Y., Lin, L. et al. (2012). Effects of cancer-associated EPHA3 mutations on lung cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 104, 1183-1198.